UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Frequency of Orthostatic Hypotension in Isolated REM Sleep Behavior Disorder.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5pc0p802

Journal

Neurology, 101(24)

Authors

Elliott, Jonathan Bryant-Ekstrand, Mohini Keil, Allison <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2023-12-12

DOI

10.1212/WNL.000000000207883

Peer reviewed

Frequency of Orthostatic Hypotension in Isolated REM Sleep Behavior Disorder

The North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy Cohort

Jonathan E. Elliott, PhD, Mohini D. Bryant-Ekstrand, BS, Allison T. Keil, BS, Brittany R. Ligman, BS, Miranda M. Lim, MD, PhD, Jennifer Zitser, MD, Emmanuel H. During, MD, Jean-Francois Gagnon, PhD, Erik K. St Louis, MD, MSc, Julie A. Fields, PhD, Daniel E. Huddleston, MD, Donald L. Bliwise, PhD, Alon Y. Avidan, MD, Carlos H. Schenck, MD, Jennifer McLeland, MD, PhD, Susan R. Criswell, MD, MSc, Albert A. Davis, MD, PhD, Aleksandar Videnovic, MD, MSc, Joyce K. Lee-Iannotti, MD, Ronald Postuma, MSc, Bradley F. Boeve, MD,* Yo-El S. Ju, MD, MScl,* and Mitchell G. Miglis, MD, for North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy (NAPS) Consortium

Neurology® 2023;101:e2545-e2559. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000207883

Abstract

Background and Objectives

Although orthostatic hypotension (OH) can be an early feature of autonomic dysfunction in isolated REM sleep behavior disorder (iRBD), no large-scale studies have examined the frequency of OH in iRBD. In this study, we prospectively evaluated the frequency of OH in a large multicenter iRBD cohort.

Methods

Participants 18 years or older with video polysomnogram-confirmed iRBD were enrolled through the North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy consortium. All participants underwent 3-minute orthostatic stand testing to assess the frequency of OH, and a Δ heart rate/ Δ systolic blood pressure (Δ HR/ Δ SBP) ratio <0.5 was used to define reduced HR augmentation, suggestive of neurogenic OH. All participants completed a battery of assessments, including the Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction (SCOPA-AUT) and others assessing cognitive, motor, psychiatric, and sensory domains.

Results

Of 340 iRBD participants (65 ± 10 years, 82% male), 93 (27%) met criteria for OH (Δ HR/ Δ SBP 0.37 ± 0.28; range 0.0–1.57), and of these, 72 (77%) met criteria for OH with reduced HR augmentation (Δ HR/ Δ SBP 0.28 ± 0.21; range 0.0–0.5). Supine hypertension (sHTN) was present in 72% of those with OH. Compared with iRBD participants without OH, those with OH were older, reported older age of RBD symptom onset, and had worse olfaction. There was no difference in autonomic symptom scores as measured by SCOPA-AUT.

Discussion

OH and sHTN are common in iRBD. However, as patients may have reduced autonomic symptom awareness, orthostatic stand testing should be considered in clinical evaluations. Longitudinal studies are needed to clarify the relationship between OH and phenoconversion risk in iRBD.

Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

Coinvestigators are listed at links.lww.com/WNL/D242.

Written work prepared by employees of the Federal Government as part of their official duties is, under the U.S. Copyright Act, a "work of the United States Government" for which copyright protection under Title 17 of the United States Code is not available. As such, copyright does not extend to the contributions of employees of the Federal Government.

Correspondence Dr. Miglis mmiglis@stanford.edu

^{*}These authors are co-principal investigators to this work

Department of Neurology (J.E.E., M.M.L.), Oregon Health & Science University; Research Service (J.E.E., M.D.B.-E., A.T.K., B.R.L.), Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center (M.M.L.), Department of Neurology (M.M.L.), and National Center for Rehabilitative Auditory Research (M.M.L.), VA Portland Health Care System; Department of Behavioral Neuroscience (M.M.L.), Oregon Health & Science University; Oregon Institute of Occupational Health Sciences (M.M.L.), Oregon Health & Science University, Portland; Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center (J.Z.), Israel; Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (E.H.D., M.G.M.), Stanford University Medical Center, Redwood City; Department of Neurology & Neurological Sciences (E.H.D., M.G.M.), Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA; Department of Psychology (J.-F.G., R.P.), Université du Québec à Montréal; Center for Advanced Research in Sleep Medicine (J.-F.G.), Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal, Quebec, Canada; Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science (E.K.S.L., J.A.F., B.F.B.), Rochester, MN; Department of Neurology (D.E.H., D.L.B.), Emory University, Atlanta, GA; Sleep Medicine Program (A.Y.A.), Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles; Department of Psychiatry (C.H.S.), University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis; Department of Neurology (J.M., S.R.C., A.A.D., Y.-E.S.J.), Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; Movement Disorders Unit (A.V.), Division of Sleep Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital; Neurological Clinical Research Institute (A.V.), Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; Department of Neurology (J.K.L-J.), Banner University Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ; Banner Sun Health Research Institute (J.K.L-I.), Sun City, AZ; and Montréal Neurologicule Institute (R.P.), McGill Université, Québec, Canada.

Glossary

ART = autonomic reflex testing; **BP** = blood pressure; **bpm** = beats per minute; **BSIT** = Brief Smell Identification Test; **DBP** = diastolic blood pressure; **DLB** = dementia with Lewy bodies; *DSM-V* = *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition*; **FM-100** = Farnsworth Munsell 100 Color Hue test; **HR** = heart rate; **HUTT** = head-up tilt table; **IQR** = interquartile range; **IRB** = institutional review board; **iRBD** = isolated RBD; **MLR** = multiple linear regression; **MoCA** = Montreal Cognitive Assessment; **MSA** = multiple system atrophy; **NAPS** = North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy; **nOH** = neurogenic OH; **OH** = orthostatic hypotension; **PAF** = pure autonomic failure; **PD** = Parkinson disease; **RBD** = REM sleep behavior disorder; **SBP** = systolic blood pressure; **SCOPA-AUT** = Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction; **sHTN** = supine hypertension; **SNRI** = selective serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; **SSRI** = selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; **vPSG** = video polysomnogram.

Trial Registration Information

ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT03623672; North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy Consortium.

Introduction

REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) is a disorder characterized by the loss of skeletal muscle atonia during REM sleep with dream enactment behavior.^{1,2} Longitudinal studies have demonstrated that isolated RBD (iRBD), or RBD in the absence of Parkinson disease (PD), multiple system atrophy (MSA), or dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB), is often a prodromal manifestation of one of these disease states.³⁻⁵ However, the rate of phenoconversion, that is, conversion from iRBD to clinically manifest synucleinopathy, is variable, with the prodromal period lasting years to decades⁶⁻⁸ and biomarkers that predict the subtype of synucleinopathy in patients with iRBD are lacking. To better inform prodromal biomarker selection and prepare for future clinical trials, the North American Prodromal Synucleinopathy (NAPS) consortium was established in 2018. NAPS is a multicenter longitudinal observational study across 10 coordinated sites in the United States and Canada, with the goal of enrolling 360 participants with iRBD over 3 years.

Autonomic dysfunction represents 1 potential biomarker, as it is present in all synucleinopathies⁹ and commonly emerges in prodromal disease stages, such as iRBD. In our experience, orthostatic hypotension (OH) is one of the most common manifestations of autonomic dysfunction in these populations. It is defined as a sustained decrease in systolic blood pressure (SBP) of \geq 20 mm Hg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥ 10 mm Hg within 3 minutes of standing or head-up tilt table (HUTT) testing.9 Non-neurogenic factors contributing to OH are common with advancing age¹⁰ and include hypovolemia, bedrest, medications, heart failure, anemia, and severe varicose veins, among others.¹¹ Neurogenic OH (nOH) is less common and highly correlated with the presence of an underlying autonomic disorder, resulting in impaired norepinephrine release from postganglionic sympathetic nerves.^{12,13} As this denervation can also affect cardiac autonomic innervation to the sinoatrial node,¹⁴ many individuals with nOH fail to mount an appropriate compensatory tachycardia in the setting of significant decrements in BP.¹⁵ nOH is quite common in the synucleinopathies, affecting an estimated 30%–50% of individuals with PD,¹⁶ 69% of individuals with DLB,¹⁷ and 70%–80% of individuals with MSA.^{18,19} The frequency of OH and nOH has not been reported in large-scale iRBD studies. Diagnosing OH in general and nOH in particular is important in iRBD as it not only helps inform the underlying pathology of alpha-synuclein but also informs prognosis, as nOH has a much worse prognosis than non-nOH.¹²

Although its application to prodromal synuclein states, such as iRBD, requires validation, calculation of the Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio (ratio of increase in heart rate [HR] in beats per minute [bpm]/change in SBP in mm Hg on standing) has been recently demonstrated to provide excellent sensitivity (91.3%) and specificity (88.4%) distinguishing nOH from non-nOH (area under the curve 0.96, p < 0.0001) in a population of patients with autonomic failure.¹⁵ We applied this methodology to estimate the frequency of nOH in iRBD to better understand the neurologic characteristics of these individuals in the NAPS baseline cohort. These findings will help neurologists, sleep specialists, and other practitioners evaluating patients with iRBD to accurately characterize potential disease trajectory markers for future clinical trials and may present an opportunity to intervene with symptomatic treatments for OH, a condition associated with significant morbidity and mortality.²⁰

Methods

Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents

The NAPS Consortium protocol is a prospective comprehensive battery of demographic, neurocognitive, motor, sensory, autonomic, and other clinical features of participants with





Systolic (A) and diastolic (B) BPs as well as heart rate (C) when supine and after standing between the normal BP group (open violin plot), OH (light shaded violin plot), and OH-HR augmentation (heavy shaded violin plot). Individual data points and connecting supine vs standing outcomes are plotted. The center line for each violin plot represents the mean with 75% and 25% quartiles above and below, respectively. Each plot is unsmoothed extended from min to max, reflecting an overall cohort distribution. *p < 0.05. BP = blood pressure; HR = heart rate; OH = orthostatic hypotension.

iRBD. Clinical trials registered (NCT03623672) as NAPS. A detailed description of the NAPS cohort and study design has been previously described.²¹ Participants were 18 years or older with overnight video polysomnogram (vPSG)–confirmed RBD by *International Classification of Sleep Disorders-3* criteria^{e1} and did not meet criteria for PD,^{e2} DLB,^{e3} MSA,^{e4} narcolepsy,^{e5} or any other disorder associated with RBD. Cross-sectional data from NAPS Consortium participants recruited between August 2018 and April 2021 from 9 sites across North America were included in this analysis: Washington University School of

Medicine in St. Louis (20171205), Mayo Clinic Rochester (18-004722 00), University of Minnesota (study00003927), Center of Advanced Research in Sleep Medicine at the Hôpital du Sacré-Coeur de Montréal (MP-32-2019-1652), Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard University (2018P002080), Emory University (104229), University of California Los Angeles (18-000801), Stanford University (53655), and the Veteran Affairs Portland Health Care System (STUDY00020615 via Oregon Health & Science University). This study was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved

Figure 2 Change in SBP/DBP and HR



Changes in SBD (A), DBP (B), HR (C), and the Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio (D) are presented. The open, light-shaded and heavy-shaded bars reflect the mean value for the normal BP group, OH, and OH-HR augmentation participants, respectively, with standard deviation error bars extending above/below. All individual data points are presented for transparency. *p < 0.05. BP = blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; HR = heart rate; OH = orthostatic hypotension; SBP = systolic blood pressure.

by the institutional review board (IRB) from each enrolling site (corresponding local IRB approval numbers indicated above). All participants provided verbal and written informed consent before participation.

Orthostatic Stand Testing

The presence of OH was assessed using a standard active stand test. Using either a validated automated or manual cuff sphygmomanometer over the brachial artery, BP (in mm Hg) and HR (in bpm) were measured after 5 minutes of supine rest and then again after 1, 2, and 3 minutes of standing in a stationary position. OH was defined as a ≥ 20 mm Hg decrease in SBP and/or ≥ 10 mm Hg decrease in DBP sustained across 2 consecutive minutes of standing. In those with supine hypertension (sHTN, defined by consensus guidelines in those with nOH as SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg and/or DBP

 \geq 90 mm Hg),^{e6} a secondary analysis was performed using a more stringent cutoff of \geq 30 mm Hg decrease in SBP and/or a \geq 15 mm Hg decrease in DBP, based on recent consensus guidelines.^{e6}

The change in orthostatic BP was calculated as the difference between BP at supine baseline and after 3 minutes of standing (i.e., Δ = supine – standing). Similarly, orthostatic HR responses were calculated as the difference between HR at supine baseline and after 3 minutes of standing. The Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio was calculated by dividing the change in HR by the change in SBP at 3 minutes, as previously described.¹⁵ OH with inadequate compensatory tachycardia ("OH-HR augmentation" group) was defined as a Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio <0.5 bpm/mm Hg¹⁵ and used to approximate the frequency of nOH. All patients with OH on antihypertensives or other





The overall SCOPA-AUT total score and each of 7 subscales were normalized to the total possible score and presented as a % impairment within each category (i.e., total score, gastrointestinal, bowel, urinary, cardiovascular, thermoregulatory, visual, and sexual function). SCOPA-AUT total score = 59, with subscales ranging from 1 to 5 questions (scores of 3–15). The open, light-shaded and heavy-shaded bars reflect the mean value for the normal BP group, OH, and OH-HR augmentation participants, respectively. *p < 0.05. BP = blood pressure; HR = heart rate; OH = orthostatic hypotension; SCOPA-AUT = Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction.

medications known to cause OH were excluded from the final analysis.

Health History and Neurologic Battery

Participant health history and neurologic assessments have been previously described.²¹ A detailed description of assessments is provided in the eMethods (links.lww.com/WNL/D221).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were run using SPSS and GraphPad Prism v8 and v9, with alpha set a priori at 0.05. Data are presented as mean with standard deviation or number and percentage of the whole. One-way analysis of variance with Tukey multiple comparison post hoc analyses or χ^2 analyses were computed when analyzing group differences between OH (including those meeting criteria for OH-HR augmentation) and normal BP participants (Figures 1-3; Tables 1–4) when appropriate based on numerical vs categorical data. The effect of sex was examined in participants with OH (eTable 1, links.lww.com/WNL/D221) using 2-tailed Student t tests comparing male vs female participants in OH and normal BP participants. The effect of antidepressant usage was analyzed in those with normal BP and OH, comparing participants reporting antidepressant usage with those not on antidepressants through 2-tailed Student *t* tests (eTable 2).

Data Availability

The full deidentified data set will be made accessible following standard written request.

Results

Of the 361 NAPS participant cohort, 340 with iRBD were included in the present analyses. The 21 excluded participants either were missing key variables (e.g., consecutive standing BP measurements; n = 13), or were taking exclusionary BP altering medications (in those with OH; n = 8). From these 340 participants, we identified OH in 93 (27%) participants. Of these, 72 (77%) met criteria for OH-HR augmentation, suggestive of nOH. The remaining 247 (73%) participants had normal orthostatic BP responses (normal BP group).

Demographics

The normal BP and OH groups were 63.4 ± 10.9 and 68.6 ± 6.7 years of age, respectively (p < 0.0001). There were no differences in race, ethnicity, or years of education between groups (Table 1). With respect to comorbidities, the only significant finding was a higher frequency of atrial fibrillation in the OH group compared with the normal BP group (p = 0.0002). Secondary analyses excluding the n = 6 OH participants with type II diabetes demonstrated no statistical differences in results, including all variables in Tables 1–4.

Table 1	Demographic and	General/Mental	l Health Characteristics
---------	-----------------	----------------	--------------------------

	Normal BP (n = 247)	OH (n = 93)	OH-HR augmentation (n = 72)
Age, y	63.4 ± 10.9	68.6 ± 6.7 ^a	69.0 ± 7.0 ^a
Sex, male	84.1	81.7	83.3
Race and ethnicity			
Ethnicity, Hispanic/Latinx	2.8	94.6	2.8
Race, White	89.5	0.0	93.1
Race, Black or African American	2.8	0.0	0.0
Education			
Education, ≤12 y	14.6	19.35	20.83
Education, 13–14 y	15.0	12.9	12.5
Education, 15–18 y	50.6	49.5	47.2
Education, ≥19 y	19.4	17.2	18.1
General health			
Hypercholesterolemia	36.9	35.5	33.3
Arthritis	34.6	28.0	26.4
Thyroid disease	14.6	11.8	11.1
Type II diabetes	9.6	15.1	18.1
Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular			
Hypertension	36.8	37.6	43.1
Atrial fibrillation	4.5	24.7 ^a	30.6 ^a
Myocardial infarction	4.0	8.6	8.3
Stroke	2.8	2.2	2.8
Mental health			
BAI, score	8.3 ± 8.9	8.0 ± 8.9	7.9 ± 8.7
PHQ-9, score	5.5 ± 5.5	4.5 ± 4.9	4.6 ± 4.9
PCL-5, score	13.2 ± 16.6	10.1 ± 12.7	10.2 ± 12.7

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance; BAI = Beck Anxiety Inventory; BP = blood pressure; OH = orthostatic hypotension; PCL-5 = Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-V; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire-9.

Data presented as mean \pm SD or % of total n.

Numerical data and categorical data were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA or χ^2 , as appropriate. ^a p < 0.05 vs the normal BP group.

 $^{b}p < 0.05 \text{ vs the noise of } p < 0.05 \text{ vs OH.}$

No differences in Beck Anxiety Inventory, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-V, or Patient Health Questionnaire-9 scores between groups were found (Table 1).

Active Stand Testing

As expected, BP regulation was impaired in the OH group. Supine SBP (Table 2; Figure 1) was higher in OH (151.1 ± 18.0 mm Hg, p < 0.0001) compared with the normal BP group (133.2 ± 16.4 mm Hg). The frequency of sHTN (SBP ≥140 mm Hg and/or DBP ≥90 mm Hg) was significantly higher in the OH group (72%) compared with the normal BP group (34%). Using a more stringent orthostatic BP drop of ≥30 mm Hg SBP or ≥15 mm Hg DBP in those with sHTN, 44/93 (47%) of our cohort still met criteria for OH.

After 3 minutes of standing, both SBP (122.9.5 ± 17.1 mm Hg, p = 0.0008) and DBP (77.6 ± 10.3 mm Hg, p = 0.0073) were lower in those with OH compared with the normal BP group (Table 2; Figure 1). This resulted in a Δ SBP and Δ DBP of -2.6 ± 9.3 mm Hg and 3.71 ± 7.4 , respectively, in the normal BP group and a Δ SBP and Δ DBP of -28.2 ± 11.4 mm Hg and -7.27 ± 7.09 , respectively, in the OH group (Table 2; Figure 2) The Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio was lower in the OH group compared with the normal BP group (0.4 ± 0.5 vs 2.1 ± 2.6;

Table 2 Hemodynamic and Autonomic Function Parameters

	Normal BP (n = 247)	OH (n = 93)	OH-HR augmentation (n = 72)
Supine SBP/DBP, mm Hg	133.2 ± 16.4/77.9 ± 9.4	151.1 ± 18.0 ^a /84.9 ± 10.2 ^a	151.5 ± 18.5 ^a /84.9 ± 10.1 ^a
Standing SBP/DBP, mm Hg	130.5 ± 19.1/81.6 ± 12.1	122.9 ± 17.1 ^a /77.6 ± 10.3 ^a	124.4 ± 17.5 ^a /77.4 ± 10.2 ^a
ΔSBP/ΔDBP, mm Hg	-2.6 ± 9.3/3.73 ± 7.4	-28.2 ± 11.4 ^a /-7.3 ± 7.1 ^a	-27.1 ± 12.3ª/-7.6 ± 7.2ª
Supine heart rate, bpm	64.0 ± 10.4	63.2 ± 11.2 ^a	64.4 ± 11.4 ^a
3-min standing heart rate, bpm	73.4 ± 13.8	72.0 ± 11.8	69.6 ± 10.4 ^a
ΔHR, bpm	9.7 ± 8.4	8.7 ± 9.2	5.3 ± 6.5 ^a
ΔHR/ΔSBP	2.1 ± 2.6	0.4 ± 0.5^{a}	0.3 ± 0.5^{a}
Supine hypertension	34.4	72.0	75.0
SCOPA-AUT, total raw	13.2 ± 7.7	14.3 ± 8.1	14.2 ± 8.0
SCOPA-AUT, total scaled	22.3 ± 12.9	24.3 ± 13.6	24 ± 13.5
Gastrointestinal, subscale	12.6 ± 14.1	13.7 ± 14.7	13.8 ± 14.5
Bowel, subscale	18.2 ± 17.9	22 ± 20.5	22.4 ± 20.9
Urinary, subscale	28.6 ± 17.8	30.4 ± 15.9	30.6 ± 16.4
Cardiovascular, subscale	8.8 ± 13.0	11.5 ± 15.3	10.8 ± 15.9
Thermoregulation, subscale	15.3 ± 16.1	12.4 ± 16.1	10.8 ± 15.3
Visual, subscale	17.8 ± 27.4	18.3 ± 26.7	18.1 ± 25
Sexual dysfunction, subscale	28.1 ± 32.0	36.2 ± 36.9	36.8 ± 38.4
Urinary incontinence, %	16.2	16.1	18.1 ^{a,b}
Bowel incontinence, %	4.5	2.2	2.8

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance; BP = blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; HR = heart rate; OH = orthostatic hypotension; SBP = systolic blood pressure; SCOPA-AUT = Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson Disease-Autonomic Dysfunction (total score is raw/unscaled; subscale scores are normalized as a %impairment depending on each subscales possible score).

Data presented as mean ± SD or % of total n.

Numerical data and categorical data were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA or χ^2 , as appropriate.

^a *p* < 0.05 vs the normal BP group.

^b *p* < 0.05 vs OH.

p < 0.0001) (Table 2; Figure 2). There was a significant correlation between supine SBP and Δ HR/ Δ SBP ($r^2 = 0.14$; p = 0.003) such that 78% of those with OH could be correctly identified as having a Δ HR/ Δ SBP <0.5 by a supine SBP of ≥130 mm Hg.

Autonomic Symptom Burden

Scales for Outcomes in PD-Autonomic Dysfunction (SCOPA-AUT) total autonomic symptom severity scores were no different between groups (Figure 3). Subscore analyses evaluating gastrointestinal, bowel, urinary, cardio-vascular, thermoregulatory, and pupillomotor domains also showed no differences between groups.

Dream Enactment History

Detailed assessments of participants' RBD-related history were obtained (Table 3). The OH group reported an older age of dream enactment onset than those in the normal BP group ($56.5 \pm 18.6 \text{ vs } 48.9 \pm 18.2$; p = 0.0024). No other differences with respect to dream-enacting behavior, history

of self/bed partner injury, or association with medication usage were found. Similarly, the frequency of other sleep disorders, including sleep-disordered breathing, restless legs syndrome, insomnia, and periodic limb movement disorder, was similar across groups. Self-reported sleep quality through the SCOPA-Sleep and Epworth Sleepiness Scale was also similar across groups.

Cognitive, Motor, and Sensory Function

Detailed assessments of cognitive, motor, and sensory function were obtained. For the Phenomic/Category Fluency Animal category, the OH group had lower scores (18.9 ± 5.3 , p = 0079) compared with the normal BP group (20.9 ± 5.5). The OH group showed lower scores for the Purdue Pegboard test (10.8 ± 2.3 vs 10.9 ± 2.5 , p < 0.0001) and Alternative Tap Test (175.1 ± 41.1 vs 176.2 ± 38.7 , p < 0.0001) compared with the normal BP group, although the clinical relevance for this is likely minimal. The only other significant difference was olfactory function (Brief Smell Identification Test [BSIT])

	Table 3 R	BD Behavior	and Sleep	Disorders /	Across Groups
--	-----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	---------------

	Normal BP (n = 247)	OH (n = 93)	OH-HR augmentation (n = 72)
RBD behavior and characteristics			
Age of RBD onset, y	48.9 ± 18.2	56.5 ± 18.6^{a}	56.8 ± 18.9 ^a
Movement/talking	97.6	98.9	100.0
Movement/talking with dreams, always	58.3	38.1	59.7
RBD behavior: injured self, ever	54.7	55.9	58.3
RBD behavior: injured bed partner, ever	42.5	32.3	34.7
Other sleep disorders			
Obstructive sleep apnea	56.3	49.5	52.8
Restless leg syndrome	17.8	15.1	12.5
Insomnia	30.0	22.6	23.6
Periodic limb movement	15.4	17.2	16.7
Sleep questionnaires			
SCOPA-Sleep (participant), score	12.0 ± 6.7	10.7 ± 6.4	10.8 ± 6.8
SCOPA-Sleep (coparticipant), score	12.9 ± 7.4	11.7 ± 6.8	12.2 ± 7.2
Epworth Sleepiness Scale, score	6.8 ± 4.9	5.8 ± 4.4	6.2 ± 4.7

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance; BP = blood pressure; OH = orthostatic hypotension; RBD = REM sleep behavior disorder. Data presented as mean \pm SD or % of total n.

Numerical data and categorical data were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA or χ^2 , as appropriate.

^a p < 0.05 vs the normal BP group.

^b*p* < 0.05 vs OH.

where the OH group had lower scores (6.1 ± 2.7 vs 7.7 ± 3.0 , p < 0.0001) compared with the normal BP group (Table 4).

Predictors of OH

To explore correlations between OH and other prodromal features common in iRBD, multiple linear regression (MLR) analyses were computed for 3 separate outcome variables (Δ SBP, Δ DBP, and Δ HR/ Δ SBP). Predictor variables were chosen a priori and based on expert opinion, consisting of age, Farnsworth Munsell 100 Color Hue test (FM-100), BSIT, Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and Movement Disorders Society-sponsored revision of the Unified PD Rating Scale part III, and all predictor variables passed the collinearity threshold (variance inflation index <3.0 corresponding to an R^2 of <0.7). These MLR models predicting Δ SBP, Δ DBP, and Δ HR/ Δ SBP for the OH group demonstrated that the only effect observed was age, for which an older age predicted Δ DBP (p = 0.0182, t = 2.437, $\beta = -0.42$).

Given the known association between male sex and RBD, the effect of sex within OH and normal BP groups was assessed as an exploratory outcome (eTable 1, links.lww.com/WNL/D221). We found 76 (82%) male participants in the OH group and 201 (81%) male participants in the normal BP groups, compared with 17 (18%) female participants in the OH group and 46 (19%) female participants in the normal BP group. Age was no different between groups. Male

participants with OH reported worse olfaction (BSIT; p = 0.0393) than female participants with OH. Male participants in the normal BP group reported worse color vision (FM-100; p = 0.0168), worse olfaction (BSIT; p = 0.0350), and worse cognition (MoCA; p = 0.0035) than female participants in the normal BP group.

Antidepressant Usage

To address the potential effects of antidepressant use on active stand test results and autonomic symptom burden, we performed a subanalysis of those iRBD patients with OH taking antidepressant medications (eTable 2, links.lww.com/ WNL/D221). These patients had a slightly older age of RBD symptom onset (53.3 ± 15.9 vs 59.5 ± 11.1 , respectively, p =0.0377) and slightly higher cardiovascular SCOPA-AUT symptom scores (15.5 ± 18.7 vs 7.2 ± 9.2 , respectively, p =0.0088). The remainder of pertinent demographic and autonomic variables, including age, severity of injurious RBD behaviors, severity of OH, and severity of autonomic symptoms, as measured by the remainder of the SCOPA-AUT subscales and total scores, were no different between groups.

OH-HR Augmentation Subanalysis

The OH-HR augmentation group was older (69.0 ± 7.0) than the normal BP group (63.4 ± 10.9 ; p < 0.0001) and reported an older age of RBD onset (56.8 ± 18.9) than those in the normal BP group (48.9 ± 18.2 ; p = 0.0049). With respect to

	Normal BP (n = 247)	OH (n = 93)	OH-HR augmentation (n = 72)
Cognitive function			
Montreal Cognitive Assessment	26.3 ± 5.3	26.3 ± 5.5	26.4 ± 6.0
Craft story			
Immediate verbatim	13.1 ± 5.3	13.0 ± 5.1	13.5 ± 4.9
Delay verbatim	15.5 ± 6.8	14.6 ± 6.6	15.1 ± 6.4
Benson			
Immediate	15.6 ± 2.0	15.3 ± 2.6	15.3 ± 2.9
Delay	11.3 ± 3.6	10.8 ± 3.4	10.9 ± 3.3
Number span			
Total forward	8.4 ± 2.4	8.0 ± 2.2	7.9 ± 2.2
Total backward	6.8 ± 2.3	6.6 ± 2.1	6.7 ± 2.3
Trails A, s	39.2 ± 63.3	47.6 ± 101.5	50.6 ± 115.0
Trails B, s	104.1 ± 127.7	103.1 ± 105.2	105.1 ± 114.7
Multilingual Naming Test	30.2 ± 2.0	29.8 ± 3.8	29.8 ± 4.2
Phonemic/categorical fluency			
F words	13.8 ± 5.3	14.1 ± 5.2	13.8 ± 5.3
L words	12.8 ± 5.3	12.8 ± 5.1	12.6 ± 5.3
Animals	20.9 ± 5.5	18.9 ± 5.3 ^a	18.9 ± 5.4 ^a
Vegetables	13.9 ± 4.0	14.2 ± 4.7	14.5 ± 4.9
Motor			
MDS-UPDRS part 3, score	2.0 ± 3.3	2.1 ± 3.9	2.4 ± 4.4
Purdue Pegboard, dominant hand	10.9 ± 2.5	10.8 ± 2.3	10.8 ± 2.4 ^a
Alternate Tap Test, dominant hand	176.2 ± 38.7	175.1 ± 41.1 ^a	178.8 ± 40.0 ^a
Timed Up and Go, s	9.0 ± 3.5	8.7 ± 3.3	8.6 ± 3.5
Sensory function			
Farnsworth-Munsell Color Vision Test	141.4 ± 102.0	152.1 ± 96.8	146.0 ± 94.8
Brief Smell Identification Test	7.7 ± 3.0	6.1 ± 2.7 ^a	6.0 ± 2.8 ^a

:4:. Mat <u>م</u> د -...: \sim

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance; BP = blood pressure; MDS-UPDRS = Movement Disorders Society-sponsored revision of Unified Parkinson Disease Rating Scale; OH = orthostatic hypotension.

Data presented as mean ± SD or % of total n.

Numerical data and categorical data were analyzed using 1-way ANOVA or χ^2 , as appropriate.

p < 0.05 vs normal BP.

p' < 0.05 vs OH.

comorbidities, the frequency of atrial fibrillation was higher in the OH-HR augmentation group compared with the normal BP group (p < 0.0001). As expected, BP and HR regulation was impaired in the OH-HR augmentation group and similar to the results found in our primary analyses comparing OH and normal BP groups (Table 2; Figure 1), with the exception of Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio, which by definition was lower in the OH-HR augmentation group (0.3 ± 0.5) compared with the normal BP group (2.1 \pm 2.6; p < 0.0001). Cognitive and

olfactory abnormalities were also similar to the results found in our primary analyses comparing OH and normal BP groups (Table 4).

Discussion

We report the frequency of OH in the largest cohort of patients with iRBD to prospectively assess orthostatic BP and

HR data, finding that OH was common, with 26% of patients meeting criteria for this diagnosis, most of whom (21%) also met criteria for OH-HR augmentation, suggestive of nOH. We also found that 72% of patients with OH had sHTN, with 15% of this group meeting criteria for OH when following the more stringent orthostatic BP cutoff of $\geq 30/15$ mm Hg for SBP/DBP, respectively. This frequency is substantially higher than most population-based estimates of OH and nOH. A meta-analysis of OH in the elderly reported a prevalence of 18.4%, based on a pooled analysis of high-quality studies; however, significant variability in prevalence estimates was noted, and sHTN was not accounted for.²² When excluding those on medications known to cause OH, as we did in our study, prevalence rates as low as 6.4% were reported.²³ Although the rates of nOH are not available, it is believed to be a relatively rare condition, affecting <200,000 individuals in the United States.²⁴ Thus, our findings suggesting nOH in approximately 1 of 5 participants with iRBD are much higher than population-based estimates, especially when considering the estimated iRBD prevalence of 1%.²⁵⁻²⁷

The recognition of OH is especially important given the morbidity and mortality associated with this condition. OH is a common cause for hospitalization, with an estimated hospitalization rate of 36 per 100,000 adults.²⁸ Increasing age confers greater risk, with an estimated hospitalization rate of 233 per 100,000 in those older than 75 years, with a median length of stay of 3 days and an overall in-hospital mortality rate of 0.9%.²⁸ OH increases the risk of syncope and falls, with greater risk of head trauma and large bone fractures.²⁹

Despite the high frequency of OH in our cohort of participants with iRBD, autonomic symptom burden, as measured by total and subdomain SCOPA-AUT scores, was no different from scores in participants without OH, suggestive of impaired autonomic symptom recognition. Other groups have also demonstrated that orthostatic intolerance is impaired in those with OH and nOH. In one study of 210 patients with PD and nOH, only 16% of patients reported symptoms that corresponded with their drop in BP.³⁰ In another study of 89 patients with OH (% nOH not specified), including 41 patients with synucleinopathies, 24% reported mild symptoms of orthostatic intolerance and 43% reported no symptoms at all, despite significant drops in BP.³¹ The authors hypothesized that attenuation of the subjective response to hypotension may be secondary to the degeneration of those structures responsible for sensing impaired cerebral perfusion or alternatively could represent attenuation of interoception due to the neurodegenerative process, a form of anosognosia. To test this hypothesis, one study³² compared orthostatic symptoms experienced during head-up tilt testing in those with MSA, a primarily preganglionic disorder, to those with peripheral autonomic neuropathy, a primarily postganglionic disorder. The authors found no difference between groups, suggesting that lack of symptom awareness in those with nOH may be more likely due to cerebral hypoperfusion than degeneration of central afferent systems. Our findings in iRBD, a

disorder localized to preganglionic REM control centers in the dorsal pons, supports this theory.

Longitudinal studies in iRBD have demonstrated that those with higher total SCOPA-AUT scores have a greater risk of phenoconversion to a defined synucleinopathy. In one study,⁸ the domain associated with the greatest risk of phenoconversion was the cardiovascular domain (adjusted odds ratio 1.28, 95% CI 1.010-1.6), which includes those symptoms most commonly reported by individuals with nOH. In another study,³³ the autonomic symptoms of constipation (HR 1.67 [1.2-2.2]) and urinary dysfunction (HR 1.06 [0.7–1.5]) were associated with greater phenoconversion risk (cardiovascular symptoms were not consistently reported in this study). Orthostatic stand testing was performed in this study, however not standardized (standing BPs at 1 and 3 minutes were alternatively reported); heart rate was not reported, and OH was defined as a SBP drop of >10 mm Hg, and the presence of a SBP drop resulted in a hazard ratio of 1.37 (0.9–2.1).³³ A total of 352 patients in this study (28%) phenoconverted to a defined synucleinopathy, with a mean interval between baseline evaluation and phenoconversion of 4.6 ± 3.5 years.

nOH, like iRBD, can be a prodromal feature of underlying synuclein-driven neurodegenerative disease. In cases where the OH presents with gastrointestinal, genitourinary, and sudomotor abnormalities, patients can be given the diagnosis of pure autonomic failure (PAF). Neuropathologic studies of PAF have demonstrated deposition of pathologic alphasynuclein in peripheral autonomic neurons within sympathetic ganglia as well as Lewy neurites throughout autonomic axons of the heart, periadrenal tissue, bladder, skin, and colon,³⁴ supporting the concept of PAF as a synucleinopathy subtype. Furthermore, longitudinal studies have demonstrated that a substantial proportion of those with PAF will eventually phenoconvert to PD, DLB, or MSA, establishing PAF as another prodromal synuclein disease state in a subset of patients, such as iRBD. In one longitudinal study of 74 individuals with PAF (70% male) presenting with nOH and followed prospectively for 4 years, 25 patients (35%) developed DLB, 6 patients (8%) developed PD, and 6 patients (8%) developed MSA, with a cumulative incidence of phenoconversion of 34%.³⁵ Patients who developed MSA had a younger age of nOH onset (median 53, interquartile range [IQR] 8 years), more severe bladder/bowel dysfunction, normal olfaction, and a more robust HR response in the setting of OH on head-up tilt, indicating less impaired postganglionic cardiac chronotropic responses; however, mean $\Delta HR/\Delta SBP$ ratios were still in the abnormal range, and there was significant variability in HR responses. Those who developed PD and DLB had an older age of nOH onset (PD, median 60 [IQR 9] years; DLB, median 66 [IQR 7] years), abnormal olfaction, and a more severely blunted HR response in the setting of OH on head-up tilt, indicating abnormal postganglionic cardiac chronotropic responses. Probable RBD, as assessed by a positive response to the RBD single

item screen,³⁶ was present in 74% of patients at study entry, and the presence of probable RBD was associated with a greater risk of phenoconversion to a defined synucleinopathy (odds ratio 7.1, 95% CI 1.5–33.5). Considering these studies in the iRBD and PAF populations, longitudinal follow-up of patients with iRBD in our NAPS cohort will be critical to further evaluate the role of autonomic cardiovascular dysfunction, including OH and nOH, as a biomarker of disease progression in iRBD, as well as future synucleinopathy subtype. Future studies should also focus on the distinction between patients with iRBD + OH, with or without HR augmentation, and whether PAF + RBD and iRBD + OH represent similar phenotypic expressions of synuclein-driven neurodegeneration.

sHTN was also common in our cohort, affecting 72% of those with OH. iRBD patients with sHTN had a greater fall in SBP compared with those who were normotensive, indicating more severe baroreflex impairment. sHTN is common in nOH and is estimated to affect approximately 50% of patients with this condition.³⁷ Although no studies have systematically evaluated the complication rates of sHTN, this condition can potentially result in complications of hypertensive emergencies (e.g., cerebral hemorrhage, ischemic stroke, pulmonary edema, myocardial infarction) and may also result in greater risk of left ventricular hypertrophy and renal impairment, as has been demonstrated in diabetics with autonomic cardiovascular impairment.³⁸ In addition, sHTN can induce nocturnal diuresis and natriuresis, leading to more severe OH in the morning and a greater risk of falls. We also found that patients with OH in our cohort had much higher rates of atrial fibrillation. This association has been noted in other cohorts,³⁹ suggesting shared pathophysiologic mechanisms of autonomic dysfunction. In support of this possibility, other studies have demonstrated impaired baroreflex gain in those with atrial fibrillation.⁴⁰ Clinicians should be aware of this association when interpreting the electrocardiogram on overnight vPSGs in those with iRBD, especially if comorbid OH has been identified.

Limitations of our study include the fact that patients in the normal BP group on antihypertensives and other medications known to cause OH were not excluded from the analysis. However, we felt this appropriate to maintain an adequate sample size and to maintain an appropriate comparison group. However, this may have influenced our sHTN comparisons, in that sHTN may have been masked by antihypertensive use in some patients in the normal BP group. However, as sHTN is strongly correlated with nOH and baroreflex impairment, we do not believe antihypertensive use significantly affected this analysis, as most iRBD patients with sHTN likely have autonomic impairment due to the neurodegenerative process. Another class of medications that might have affected our results is selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and selective serotonin norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), which may increase BP and HR and are commonly prescribed to patients with iRBD. By including participants on these medications, it is possible that we might be underreporting the true frequency of nOH in iRBD, as 32% of the OH group and 47% of the normal BP group were taking SSRIs/SNRIs, some of which may have resulted in higher BPs and therefore masked OH in some patients. However, a subanalysis of OH patients within our cohort on antidepressant medications revealed minimal differences when compared with those patients with OH not on antidepressants.

In addition, we included 6 participants who reported a diagnosis of type II diabetes mellitus in our OH group; however, a secondary analysis after excluding these participants demonstrated no statistical differences in our findings. Although the NAPS protocol does query for participants to self-report comorbid conditions that might affect autonomic function, it does not verify these diagnoses or include systematic laboratory measures to exclude these conditions definitively (e.g., B12, hemoglobin A1c, serum-free light chains); thus, it is possible that some causes of secondary nOH were not captured in some patients. In addition, our protocol captured BP and HR measurements within 3 minutes of standing only and may have missed some patients with delayed OH, defined as OH occurring after the upright 3-minute mark, a condition that has been also associated with synucleinopathies.⁴¹ The high percentage of those with atrial fibrillation may have also contributed to inaccuracies of single HR measurements, as ECG was not performed during stand testing. While the Δ HR/ Δ SBP is a surrogate marker of nOH, cardiovascular autonomic reflex testing (ART) remains the gold standard for diagnosing this condition. Although ART was not performed in the NAPS baseline cohort, we performed ART on a prior cohort of iRBD participants,⁴² finding nOH in 7/25 (28%) on HUTT, with 5 patients exhibiting classic nOH and 2 delayed nOH. The phase IV overshoot of the Valsalva maneuver, another measure of sympathetic adrenergic function important in confirming nOH, was reduced in 11/25 (44%) of our cohort. In another study of 18 patients with vPSG-proven iRBD, 13 patients had symptoms of orthostatic intolerance, asymptomatic OH, or syncope, and abnormal autonomic function testing was found in 15 (83%) patients with iRBD, including 11 (61%) with adrenegic impairments.⁴³ Interestingly, greater autonomic dysfunction was more associated with eventual DLB than PD disease trajectory, suggesting autonomic function could be a valuable phenotyping marker. Further longitudinal studies of autonomic function in iRBD will be necessary to fully characterize autonomic dysfunction in iRBD and determine its relationships with disease trajectory and conversion risk. It should also be noted that iRBD patients with isolated preganglionic autonomic degeneration, as seen in MSA, may manifest a normal compensatory tachycardia with pathologic orthostatic BP falls, thus resulting in a normal Δ HR/ Δ SBP ratio. Recognizing that this ratio is not perfect for classifying nOH vs non-nOH, we aim to incorporate ART in a subset of patients with iRBD in NAPS stage 2 to provide more detailed measures of autonomic cardiovascular function and to validate our findings. It would also be informative to incorporate time and frequency domain analyses of HR variability on both active stand testing or

HUTT and overnight vPSG as additional measures of autonomic function. NAPS stage 2 will also include a group of age-adjusted control patients without iRBD. Lack of this comparison group is another limitation of this preliminary study, as other conditions can result in OH. We hope to include this additional comparison group in future analyses. Finally, our cohort comprised of only individuals in the United States and Canada, and most of our patients identified as Caucasian; thus, our cohort may not be generalizable to a more diverse sample of the global iRBD population. Despite these limitations, our harmonized multicenter cohort represents the largest group of patients with iRBD to undergo standardized measures of orthostatic BP and HR analyses.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that OH is common in iRBD, affecting nearly 1 in 4 patients, with sHTN existing in 3 of 4 of those with OH. Despite this, symptom recognition of autonomic impairment was generally impaired. Given the morbidity and mortality associated with OH in general and nOH in particular, clinicians evaluating patients with RBD should be aware of this association. Patients should be assessed for symptoms of orthostatic intolerance and queried for recent falls, and orthostatic BP measurements should be routinely performed in the evaluation of all patients with RBD.

Acknowledgment

The authors express their sincere appreciation and gratitude for the participation of our research subjects and to the entire NAPS consortium. NAPS Consortium Personnel: Coprincipal Investigators: Yo-El S. Ju and Bradley F. Boeve. Site Investigators (alphabetical): Alon Y. Avidan, Donald L. Bliwise, Parichita Choudhury, Susan R. Criswell, Emmanuel H. During, Jonathan E. Elliott, Julie A. Fields, Leah K. Forsberg, Jean-François Gagnon, Michael J. Howell, Daniel E. Huddleston, Joyce K. Lee-Iannotti, Miranda M. Lim, Mitchell G. Miglis, Ronald B. Postuma, David R. Shprecher, Erik K. St. Louis, Aleksandar Videnovic. Study Coordinators & Research Associates: Jennifer McLeland (lead), Sommer Amudson-Huffmaster, Anam Arik, Nellie Brushaber, Mohini Bryant-Ekstrand, Jae Woo Chung, Joshua De Kam, Adrian Ekelmans, Ellen Fischbach, Marissa Keane, Allison T. Keil, Ruth Kraft, Brittany R. Ligman, Colum MacKinnon, Daeva Miner-Rose, Samantha Murphy, Cosette Olivo, Amelie Pelletier, Katherine L.M. Powers, Adreanne Rivera, Sarahmay Sanchez, Matthew Stauder, Rebekah Summers, Leah Taylor, Luke Tiegan, Paul Timm, Kelsey A. Tucker, Maggie Zangrilli. Data management: Peter Tran. Consultants: Douglas Galasko, Emmanuel Mignot, Carlos Schenck. The interpretations and conclusions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the position or policy of the Department of Veterans Affairs, the National Institute of Health, or the United States government.

Study Funding

NIH grants R34 AG056639, U19 AG071754, P50 AG016574, P30 AG62677; VA RRD 1K2 RX002947; and Canadian

support via Research Chair in Cognitive Decline in Pathological Aging.

Disclosure

J.E. Elliott has received support from the Department of Veteran Affairs, NIH (NHLBI, NIA, NCCIH), Oregon Medical Research Foundation, Portland VA Research Foundation, Eugene & Clarissa Evonuk Foundation in Environmental Physiology, and American Heart Association. M.D. Bryant-Ekstrand, A.T. Keil, and B.R. Ligman have no disclosures. M.M. Lim has received support from federal, state, and nonprofit organizations including: Department of Veteran Affairs, Department of Defense, NIH (NIMH, NHLBI, NIA, NCCIH, NINDS, NIGMS, NCATS), NSF, Military Traumatic Brain Injury Initiative (Henry Jackson Foundation), Oregon Medical Research Foundation, Collins Medical Trust, Brain & Behavior Foundation (NARSAD), American Sleep Medicine Foundation, Hartford Center of Gerontological Excellence, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, and Portland VA Research Foundation. M.M. Lim receives compensation as a member of the Scientific Advisory Board for Applied Cognition. J. Zitser has no disclosures. E.H. During has received support from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi, Takeda, Rythm Inc., and the Feldman Foundation CA. R.B. Postuma has received support from the Fonds de Recherche du Quebec-Santé, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the Parkinson Society of Canada, the Weston-Garfield Foundation, the Michael J. Fox Foundation, the Webster Foundation; and personal fees from Takeda, Roche/Prothena, Teva Neurosciences, Novartis Canada, Biogen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Theranexus, GE HealthCare, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Abbvie, Jannsen, Otsuko, Phytopharmics, Inception Sciences, and Curasen. A.A. Davis has received research support from the Department of Defense, NIH (NINDS), and the Michael J. Fox Foundation. J.-F. Gagnon has received support from the NIH, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Fonds de Recherche du Québec-Santé. E.K. St. Louis has received support from NIH (NIA, NINDS, and NHLBI), the Michael J. Fox Foundation, Harmony, Inc., and Sunovion, Inc. J.A. Fields has received support from the NIH and is a consultant for Medtronic, Inc. D.L. Bliwise has received support from the NIH and has been a Consultant to CliniLabs, Eisai, Ferring, Huxley, Idorsia, and Merck. D.E. Huddleston has received support from NIH (NIA, NINDS, Department of Veteran Affairs), the American Parkinson's Disease Association Center for Advanced Research, the Emory Udall Parkinson's Disease Research Center, the Emory Lewy Body Dementia Association Research Center of Excellence, the Emory Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, the Michael J Fox Foundation, the Georgia Research Alliance, the Bumpus Family Foundation, and the McMahon Family. A.Y. Avidan has received consultant fees from Avadel, Merck, Takeda, Eisai, Idorsia and Harmony, and speaker honoraria from Merck, Eisai, Harmony and Idorsia. C.H. Schenck has

received a one-time speaker honorarium from Eisai, Inc. J. McLeland has no disclosures. S.R. Criswell has received support from the NIH and consulting fees from Abbvie and Sio Gene Therapies. A. Videnovic has received research support from the NIH and the Michael J Fox Foundation; consultancy fees from Alexion Pharmaceuticals, Biogen, XW Pharma, Jazz. J.K. Lee-Iannotti has received support from NIH, Liva Nova, Respicardia, and the Arizona Alzheimer's Consortium. She serves on the Scientific Advisory Board for Jazz Pharmaceuticals, INSPIRE, and Avadel. She is a consultant and speaker for Jazz Pharmaceuticals. B.F. Boeve has served as an investigator for clinical trials sponsored by Alector, Biogen and Transposon. He serves on the Scientific Advisory Board of the Tau Consortium, which is funded by the Rainwater Charitable Foundation. He receives support from NIH, the Mayo Clinic Dorothy and Harry T. Mangurian Jr. Lewy Body Dementia Program, the Little Family Foundation, and the Ted Turner and Family Foundation. Y.E. Ju has received support from the NIH and the Centene Corporation contract (P19-00559) for the Washington University-Centene ARCH Personalized Medicine Initiative; and compensation for consultant activities for Applied Cognition. M.G. Miglis has received support from Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Embr Wave, and Biohaven Pharmaceuticals; Consulting fees from 2nd MD, Infinite MD and Guidepoint LLC; Payments for CME lectures from MED-IQ; and Royalties from Elsevier Inc. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

Publication History

Received by *Neurology* June 16, 2023. Accepted in final form September 11, 2023. Submitted and externally peer reviewed. The handling editor was Associate Editor Barbara Jobst, MD, PhD, FAAN.

Appendix 1 Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Jonathan E. Elliott, PhD	Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland; Research Service, VA Portland Health Care System, OR	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Mohini D. Bryant- Ekstrand, BS	Research Service, VA Portland Health Care System, OR	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; analysis or interpretation of data
Allison T. Keil, BS	Research Service, VA Portland Health Care System, OR	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data

Appendix	(continued)	
Name	Location	Contribution
Brittany R. Ligman, BS	Research Service, VA Portland Health Care System, OR	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; analysis or interpretation of data
Miranda M. Lim, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Oregon Health & Science University; Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center, Department of Neurology, and National Center for Rehabilitative Auditory Research, VA Portland Health Care System; Department of Behavioral Neuroscience, Oregon Health & Science University; Oregon Institute of Occupational Health Sciences, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Jennifer Zitser, MD	Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Israel	Analysis or interpretation of data
Emmanuel H. During, MD	Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University Medical Center, Redwood City; Department of Neurology & Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Jean- Francois Gagnon, PhD	Department of Psychology, Université du Québec à Montréal; Center for Advanced Research in Sleep Medicine, Hôpital du Sacré- Coeur de Montréal, Quebec, Canada	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design
Erik K. St. Louis, MD, MSc	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, MN	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Julie A. Fields, PhD	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, MN	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Daniel E. Huddleston, MD	Department of Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Donald L. Bliwise, PhD	Department of Neurology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Alon Y. Avidan, MD	Sleep Medicine Program, Department of Neurology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data

Continued

Appendix 1 (continued)

Name	Location	Contribution
Carlos H. Schenck, MD	Department of Psychiatry, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Jennifer McLeland, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Susan R. Criswell, MD, MSc	Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Albert A. Davis, MD, PhD	Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Aleksandar Videnovic, MD, MSc	Movement Disorders Unit, Division of Sleep Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital; Neurological Clinical Research Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Joyce K. Lee- lannotti, MD	Department of Neurology, Banner University Medical Center, Phoenix; Banner Sun Health Research Institute, Sun City, AZ	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Ronald Postuma, MSc	Department of Psychology, Université du Québec à Montréal; Montréal Neurologique Institute, McGill Université, Montréal, Québec, Canada	Major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Bradley F. Boeve, MD	Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science, Rochester, MN	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Yo-El S. Ju, MD, MScl	Department of Neurology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data
Mitchell G. Miglis, MD	Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford University Medical Center, Redwood City; Department of Neurology & Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA	Drafting/revision of the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content; major role in the acquisition of data; study concept or design; analysis or interpretation of data

Appendix 2 Coinvestigators

Coinvestigators are listed at links.lww.com/WNL/D242.

References

- Schenck CH, Bundlie SR, Ettinger MG, Mahowald MW. Chronic behavioral disorders of human REM sleep: a new category of parasomnia. *Sleep.* 1986;9(2):293-308. doi:10.1093/sleep/9.2.293
- Dauvilliers Y, Schenck CH, Postuma RB, et al. REM sleep behaviour disorder. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2018;4(1):19. doi:10.1038/s41572-018-0016-5
- Boeve BF, Silber MH, Ferman TJ, et al. Clinicopathologic correlations in 172 cases of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder with or without a coexisting neurologic disorder. Sleep Med. 2013;14(8):754-762. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2012.10.015
- Marion M-H, Qurashi M, Marshall G, Foster O. Is REM sleep behaviour disorder (RBD) a risk factor of dementia in idiopathic Parkinson's disease? J Neurol. 2008; 255(2):192-196. doi:10.1007/s00415-008-0629-9
- Gagnon J-F, Postuma RB, Mazza S, Doyon J, Montplaisir J. Rapid-eye-movement sleep behaviour disorder and neurodegenerative diseases. *Lancet Neurol.* 2006;5: 424-432. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(06)70441-0
- Iranzo A, Stockner H, Serradell M, et al. Five-year follow-up of substantia nigra echogenicity in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Mov Disord*. 2014;29(14): 1774-1780. doi:10.1002/mds.26055
- Schenck CH, Montplaisir JY, Frauscher B, et al. Rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: devising controlled active treatment studies for symptomatic and neuroprotective therapy—a consensus statement from the International Rapid Eye Movement Sleep Behavior Disorder Study Group. *Sleep Med.* 2013;14(8):795-806. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2013.02.016
- Postuma RB, Iranzo A, Hogl B, et al. Risk factors for neurodegeneration in idiopathic rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: a multicenter study. Ann Neurol. 2015; 77(5):830-839. doi:10.1002/ana.24385
- Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB, et al. Consensus statement on the definition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. *Auton Neurosci.* 2011;161(1-2):46-48. doi:10.1016/ j.autneu.2011.02.004
- Low PA. Neurogenic orthostatic hypotension: pathophysiology and diagnosis. Am J Manag Care. 2015;21(13 suppl):S248-S257.
- Kalra DK, Raina A, Sohal S. Neurogenic orthostatic hypotension: state of the art and therapeutic strategies. *Clin Med Insights Cardiol.* 2020;14:1179546820953415. doi: 10.1177/1179546820953415
- Freeman R Clinical practice. Neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358(6):615-624. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp074189
- Smit AAJ, Halliwill JR, Low PA, Wieling W. Pathophysiological basis of orthostatic hypotension in autonomic failure. *J Physiol*. 1999;519(pt 1):1-10. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7793.1999.0001o.x
- Convertino VA. Neurohumoral mechanisms associated with orthostasis: reaffirmation of the significant contribution of the heart rate response. *Front Physiol.* 2014;5: 236. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00236
- Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Kaufmann H, Palma J-A, et al. Orthostatic heart rate changes in patients with autonomic failure caused by neurodegenerative synucleinopathies. *Ann Neurol.* 2018;83(3):522-531. doi:10.1002/ana.25170
- Velseboer DC, de Haan RJ, Wieling W, Goldstein DS, de Bie RMA. Prevalence of orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parkinsonism Relat Disord*. 2011;17(10):724-729. doi:10.1016/ j.parkreldis.2011.04.016
- Andersson M, Hansson O, Minthon L, Ballard CG, Londos E. The period of hypotension following orthostatic challenge is prolonged in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Int J Geriat Psychiatry*. 2008;23(2):192-198. doi:10.1002/gps.1861
- Low PA, Reich SG, Jankovic J, et al. Natural history of multiple system atrophy in the USA: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2015;14(7):710-719. doi:10.1016/ S1474-4422(15)00058-7
- Wenning GK, Geser F, Krismer F, et al. The natural history of multiple system atrophy: a prospective European cohort study. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12(3):264-274. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70327-7
- Wieling W, Kaufmann H, Claydon VE, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of orthostatic hypotension. *Lancet Neurol.* 2022;21(8):735-746. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(22) 00169-7
- Elliott JE, Lim MM, Keil AT, et al. Baseline characteristics of the North American prodromal Synucleinopathy cohort. *Ann Clin Transl Neurol*. 2023;10(4):520-535. doi: 10.1002/acn3.51738
- Saedon NI, Pin Tan M, Frith J. The prevalence of orthostatic hypotension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci. 2018;75(1):117-122. doi:10.1093/gerona/gly188
- Mader SL, Josephson KR, Rubenstein LZ. Low prevalence of postural hypotension among community-dwelling elderly. JAMA. 1987;258(11):1511-1514. doi:10.1001/ jama.258.11.1511
- Palma J, Kaufmann H. Epidemiology, diagnosis, and management of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. *Mov Disord Clin Pract.* 2017;4(3):298-308. doi:10.1002/ mdc3.12478

- Haba-Rubio J, Frauscher B, Marques-Vidal P, et al. Prevalence and determinants of rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder in the general population. *Sleep*. 2018; 41(2):zsx197. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsx197
- Kang S-H, Yoon I-Y, Lee SD, Han JW, Kim TH, Kim KW. REM sleep behavior disorder in the Korean elderly population: prevalence and clinical characteristics. *Sleep*. 2013;36(8):1147-1152. doi:10.5665/sleep.2874
- Pujol M, Pujol J, Alonso T, et al. Idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder in the elderly Spanish community: a primary care center study with a two-stage design using video-polysomnography. *Sleep Med.* 2017;40:116-121. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2017.07.021
- Shibao C, Grijalva CG, Raj SR, Biaggioni I, Griffin MR. Orthostatic hypotensionrelated hospitalizations in the United States. *Am J Med.* 2007;120(11):975-980. doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.05.009
- Juraschek SP, Daya N, Appel LJ, et al. Orthostatic hypotension in middle-age and risk of falls. Am J Hypertens. 2017;30(2):188-195. doi:10.1093/ajh/ hpw108
- Palma J, Gomez-Esteban JC, Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, et al. Orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson disease: how much you fall or how low you go? *Mov Disord*. 2015;30(5): 639-645. doi:10.1002/mds.26079
- Freeman R, Illigens BMW, Lapusca R, et al. Symptom recognition is impaired in patients with orthostatic hypotension. *Hypertension*. 2020;75(5):1325-1332. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.119.13619
- Tipton PW, Cheshire WP. Mechanisms underlying unawareness of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension. *Clin Auton Res.* 2020;30(3):279-281. doi:10.1007/ s10286-020-00679-0
- Postuma RB, Iranzo A, Hu M, et al. Risk and predictors of dementia and parkinsonism in idiopathic REM sleep behaviour disorder: a multicentre study. *Brain*. 2019;142(3): 744-759. doi:10.1093/brain/awz030

- Hague K, Lento P, Morgello S, Caro S, Kaufmann H. The distribution of Lewy bodies in pure autonomic failure: autopsy findings and review of the literature. *Acta Neuropathol.* 1997;94(2):192-196. doi:10.1007/s004010050693
- Kaufmann H, Norcliffe-Kaufmann L, Palma J-A, et al. Natural history of pure autonomic failure: a United States prospective cohort. *Ann Neurol.* 2017;81(2):287-297. doi:10.1002/ana.24877
- Postuma RB, Arnulf I, Hogl B, et al. A single-question screen for rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder: a multicenter validation study. *Mov Disord.* 2012;27(7): 913-916. doi:10.1002/mds.25037
- Fanciulli A, Göbel G, Ndayisaba JP, et al. Supine hypertension in Parkinson's disease and multiple system atrophy. *Clin Auton Res.* 2016;26(2):97-105. doi:10.1007/s10286-015-0336-4
- Milazzo V, Di Stefano C, Milan A, et al. Cardiovascular complications in patients with autonomic failure. *Clin Auton Res.* 2015;25(3):133-140. doi:10.1007/s10286-015-0275-0
- McNicholas T, Tobin K, O'Callaghan S, Kenny RA. Is orthostatic hypotension more common in individuals with atrial fibrillation? Findings from The Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing (TILDA). Age Ageing. 2017;46(6):1006-1010. doi:10.1093/ageing/afx096
- Field ME, Wasmund SL, Page RL, Hamdan MH. Restoring sinus rhythm improves baroreflex function in patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc. 2016;5(2):e002997. doi:10.1161/JAHA.115.002997
- Gibbons CH, Freeman R. Clinical implications of delayed orthostatic hypotension: a 10-year follow-up study. *Neurology*. 2015;85(16):1362-1367. doi:10.1212/ WNL.00000000002030
- Miglis MG, Zitser J, Schneider L, et al. Cutaneous α-synuclein is correlated with autonomic impairment in isolated rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder. *Sleep*. 2021;44(12):zsab172. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsab172
- McCarter SJ, Gehrking TL, St Louis EK, et al. Autonomic dysfunction and phenoconversion in idiopathic REM sleep behavior disorder. *Clin Auton Res.* 2020;30(3): 207-213. doi:10.1007/s10286-020-00674-5