

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

New Tricks for Old Friends: Treating Gut Microbiota of Patients With CKD

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5q58r830>

Journal

Journal of Renal Nutrition, 31(5)

ISSN

1051-2276

Authors

Mafra, Denise
Kalantar-Zadeh, Kamyar
Moore, Linda W

Publication Date

2021-07-01

DOI

10.1053/j.jrn.2021.07.002

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

New Tricks for Old Friends: Treating Gut Microbiota of Patients With CKD



RECENT OMICS-BASED STUDIES have helped elucidate the influence of gut microbiota on human health and disease. The diverse community of microorganisms that inhabit the human intestine has essential roles in host immunity and producing numerous bioactive metabolites that influence the host metabolism. Interesting, chronic metabolic disorders, despite their specifics, shared abnormalities in the composition and function of the intestinal microbiota, which can be associated with a low-grade chronic inflammation that is characteristic of disease phenotypes.¹

Regarding chronic kidney disease (CKD), there is a bidirectional cause-effect relationship with gut microbiota.² In patients with CKD, the accumulation of uremic metabolites leads to changes in the composition and functionality of the gut microbiota and intestinal barrier disruption.³ On the other hand, the presence of dysbiosis in these patients leads to increased production of substances resulting from gut microbial metabolisms, such as uremic toxins, including indoxyl sulfate, *p*-cresyl sulfate, *N*-trimethylamine *N*-oxide (TMAO), and indole acetic acid, which are associated with inflammation and oxidative stress.^{3,4} These disorders in the gut microbiota, associated with the accumulation of toxins in the blood, aggravate several complications in patients with CKD by activating the immune system and leading to changes in other organs. Thus, gut microbiota may be seen as the cross-road between CKD and the phenotype of inflammation and oxidative stress that is typical in CKD.⁴

There is a growing interest in therapeutic strategies to modulate the gut microbiota in patients with chronic diseases. Several studies investigate the influence of nutrients, bioactive compounds from botanical foods, prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, postbiotics, and also physical exercise in microbial composition and functions.^{1,3,5}

Diet is the main factor responsible for variations in the gut microbiome, and change can be fast.^{6,7} Indeed,

David et al.⁸ observed that after 5 days with different diets (vegetarian and animal protein), the gut microbiota composition was altered in healthy individuals, and the animal protein-based diet provoked an increase in the abundance of the genera *Alistipes*, *Bilophila*, and *Bacteroides*, and a reduction in the genera *Roseburia*, *Eubacterium rectale*, and *Ruminococcus bromii*, which can metabolize polysaccharides. Therefore, the “food as medicine” approach in culinary medicine can be considered a strategy to target gut microbiota in CKD.^{5,9} A high-intake animal protein-based diet and a low intake of dietary fiber change the gut microbiota, increasing the bacteria *Hungatella*, a trimethylamine (TMA) producer that leads to the high TMAO levels, which is a uremic toxin associated with inflammation and cardiovascular disease.¹⁰

Food is considered the primary driver of the gut microbiota composition; thus, more attention should be paid to patients with CKD regarding nutrition modulation of microbiota be leverage for disease management.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Researchers are evaluating the potential therapeutic strategies to modulate the gut microbiota in these patients. In fact, recent studies have shown that probiotics, prebiotics, and symbiotics can be effective on that modulation.³ A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials concluded that prebiotic, probiotic, and symbiotic have a beneficial effect on metabolic, inflammatory, and oxidative stress markers. However, more studies are needed to evaluate the changes in gut microbial composition promoted by food interaction in patients with CKD.¹⁵

The assessment of responses to therapeutic interventions on the gut microbiota profile is arduous due to the complexity of this ecosystem and various factors that can impact this response.¹⁶⁻²¹ Probiotics were the first strategies Hida et al.²² used to modulate the gut microbiota in CKD, but the benefits remained controversial. Prebiotics and symbiotics are also studied as potential beneficial strategies for patients with CKD.^{17,23,24}

In a pilot study published in this issue of the *Journal of Renal Nutrition* on the relationship between consumption of the prebiotic fiber inulin and gut microbiota composition and its metabolites, Biruete et al.²⁵ showed that inulin consumption for 4 weeks increased the relative abundance of the phylum Verrucomicrobia. However, they did not observe any change in the other parameters evaluated. This paper was very well controlled and designed with fascinating data, which additionally showed the impact of

Financial Disclosure: The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

Support: Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) support Denise Mafra research.

Address correspondence to Denise Mafra, PhD, Unidade de Pesquisa Clínica-UPC, Federal Fluminense University, Rua Marquês de Paraná, 303/4, Niterói-Rio de Janeiro (RJ), Zip Code 24033-900, Brazil. E-mail: dmafra30@gmail.com

© 2021 by the National Kidney Foundation, Inc. All rights reserved.
1051-2276/\$36.00

<https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2021.07.002>

the drug sevelamer, body mass index, and gender on the gut microbiota composition of CKD patients, opening the way to new and more extensive studies. Nevertheless, the study should be qualified for certain limitations like the sample size, the accurate adherence of the supplementation, and the “low” amount of the inulin supplementation (10 and 15 g). Another paper published in the Journal regarding gut microbiota by Yang et al.²⁶ is a meta-analysis that observed the effects of dietary fiber intake on uremic toxins produced by the gut microbiota. Despite the small number and heterogeneity between studies evaluated (10 randomized controlled clinical trials involving 292 patients with CKD), the authors concluded that dietary fiber supplementation could reduce uremic toxin levels, with more evident effects in patients on dialysis and without diabetes. However, more studies should be addressed to establish the amount and type of fibers to promote the beneficial effects.

In addition to the prebiotics, several hypotheses have been addressed on this topic focusing on bioactive compounds from food that may be effective strategies for gut microbiota modulation as well as mitigation of inflammation and oxidative stress in patients with CKD.^{3,27,28} Polyphenol-rich foods like grape, red wine, pomegranate, garlic, green tea, chocolate, propolis, turmeric, blueberry, and cranberry may modify the gut microbiota composition due to their bacteriostatic or bactericidal actions.^{5,27-31} On the other hand, the enzymatic activity of the gut microbiota contributes to the breakdown of the oligomeric and polymeric polyphenol structures into the low molecular weight phenolic metabolites, increasing their bioavailability.

It is crucial to notice that diet can provoke both malefic and salutary effects on the gut microbiota, for example, high red meat and eggs consumption may increase the production of toxins as TMAO, indoxyl sulfate, and *p*-cresyl sulfate due to fermentation of some amino acids, carnitine, and choline by the gut microbiota.^{32,33} Also, studies suggest that both higher dietary intake of salt and sugar may deleteriously alter the gut microbiota composition.^{34,35} Alcohol has been associated with modification in gut microbiota, leading to increased Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria phyla and a decrease of Firmicutes.³⁶ Artificial sweeteners may increase Firmicutes and alter gut microbiota in mice,³⁷ and micronutrients supplementation (e.g., oral iron) can result in a remarkable change in the microbiota.³⁸ Gut microbiota can also be affected by environmental chemicals (pesticides, herbicides, insecticides), which have been increased exponentially in the contemporary era.³⁹

In contrast, beneficial strategies have shown to effectively decrease uremic toxins in patients with CKD, such as a low protein diet in which the red meat intake is low.⁴⁰ Taken together, a low protein diet is associated with lower phosphorus intake, which is well-known to

bring beneficial effects to these patients. However, little is known about its effects on gut microbiota. In another study published in this issue of the Journal, Zhang et al.⁴¹ showed the difference between a standard and low phosphorus diet in a selected group of healthy men. After 5 days of intervention for each type of diet, they observed a shift in the intestinal microbiome in the low phosphorus diet group, where an increase in the relative abundance of beneficial microbes was noted, in addition to other interesting results in biochemical analysis. However, these changes, mainly the intestinal microbiome, were attributed to phosphorus diet content since the carbohydrate and protein diet content was changed in the low phosphorus diet. Thus, these findings are promising contributions to other studies in this area.

The influence of diet on gut microbiota composition is very complex. However, food intake is the primary factor that influences the gut microbiota composition and diversity, and evaluation of different kinds of foods on the gut microbiota in CKD patients should take new aspects into account. The articles discussed in this commentary highlight the importance of diet to the gut microbiota metabolism in patients with CKD; hence, renal dietitians should pay attention to all diet prescriptions, not only for kidney function and complications in these patients but also to treat well the microorganism living in their body. Altogether the effects of food intake on the microbiota in CKD patients deserve further studies.

This issue of the Journal also addresses some issues associated with the importance of metabolic balance in patients with kidney disease. Hypertension associated with elevated homocysteine levels, known as H-type hypertension, may place patients at greater risk for CKD and cardiovascular events. In a registry study of H-type hypertension, Shi et al.⁴² examined these associations while adjusting for age, body mass index, waist circumference, smoking, drinking, blood pressure, lipid profile, and medication use in a cohort of 12,873 patients. They found that people with H-type hypertension having homocysteine levels $>22 \mu\text{mol/L}$ had increased risk for CKD and lower kidney function in the multivariate analyses. Although following a cohort of 746 patients with CKD for almost 5 years, Galán et al.⁴³ demonstrated hypermagnesemia to be associated with cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in patients with CKD. The findings held across univariate, multivariate, and propensity score analyses. Their results suggest caution when using magnesium supplementation in CKD. In a report by Haghghatdoost et al.,⁴⁴ it was demonstrated that the net endogenous acid production was associated with calcium oxalate stone production in patients with CKD, independent of dietary potassium and protein intake. Metabolic rate was assessed by Vilar et al.⁴⁵ using the gold-standard doubly labeled water technique for total energy expenditure and indirect calorimetry for resting energy

expenditure. They assessed whether levels of kidney function in 80 patients at differing stages of CKD were associated with changes in metabolic rate. Their study demonstrated no differences in energy metabolism between patients with eGFR <50 and ≥ 50 mL/min/1.73 m² after adjusting for age, sex, and weight.

Other contributions in this issue of the *Journal of Renal Nutrition* address concerns related to helping patients with CKD make changes in their diet and lifestyle. Implementation of behavioral modification in patients with CKD was examined by Okubo et al.⁴⁶ who reports on the cost-effectiveness of implementing behavior modification as evaluated in the Frontier of Renal Outcome Modifications in Japan (FROM-J) study. They determined that the behavior modification delivered by dietitians together with practice guidelines implementing the program in primary care settings was cost effective as demonstrated by fewer canceled visits, more nephrology referrals, and slower progressive decrease in kidney function. Adherence to pharmacotherapy and life style recommendations for patients having maintenance hemodialysis or kidney transplant was evaluated by Nowicka et al.⁴⁷ using a self-assessment questionnaire. They determined that kidney transplant recipients rated their knowledge higher and reported a higher adherence rate than the patients on hemodialysis. Assessing physical performance of patients requiring maintenance hemodialysis using the Health-related Quality of Life questionnaire was examined by Matsuzawa et al.⁴⁸ They demonstrated that the 10 items in the questionnaire associated with physical functioning were associated with measured physical performance and could be used as a surrogate for formal physical function assessment. Telehealth for medical nutrition therapy⁴⁹ continues to be an important option for delivery of medical nutrition therapy for patients with CKD. In this issue of the Journal, telehealth is addressed by a personal perspective from Betz⁵⁰ who routinely provides virtual MNT, especially during the recent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID 19). She outlines some advantages and disadvantages observed during the process of managing nutrition care for nephrology patients, including increased scheduling and show rates. The Patient Education⁵¹ offering in this issue of the Journal also addresses telehealth and provides a handout to be used for patients that explains the terms and definitions of telehealth.

In the United States, discussions surrounding staffing ratios in dialysis centers continue. Currently, eight states in the United States have staffing ratio requirements for dialysis facilities but only one state (Texas) has ratio requirements for dietitians.⁵² Hand et al.⁵³ continue a series of investigations into patient:dietitian staffing ratios in dialysis facilities in the United States. In the latest report, comparisons are made between the mandated patient:dietitian ratio required by the State of Texas of <125:1 to comparable facilities elsewhere in the United States that do not require a staffing ra-

tio. Significant differences were noted between Texas and other regions for patient:dietitian staffing ratios. More areas outside of Texas had ratios >125:1 but, even within Texas, the number of facilities having >125 patients is fewer, indicating that more dialysis facilities are smaller in current times compared to when the Texas mandate was first enacted (ca. 1999). Unfortunately, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Dialysis Facilities Report does not allow for a deeper examination into the quality of professional practice such as that noted by McClellan⁵⁴ who reported that standardized mortality ratios were impacted by, among other factors, dietitian practice pattern (one of 5 factors explaining 31% of mortality rates). We hope these important issues continue to be examined by this team and others.

Denise Mafra, PhD
Post Graduation Program in Medical Sciences and Post-Graduation Program in Cardiovascular Sciences
Federal University Fluminense
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Kamyar Kalantar-Zadeh, MD, PhD
Division of Nephrology, Hypertension and Kidney Transplantation
University of California, Irvine, California

Linda W. Moore, PhD
Department of Surgery
Houston Methodist Hospital
Houston, Texas

References

1. Fan Y, Pedersen O. Gut microbiota in human metabolic health and disease. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2021;19:55-71.
2. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Jafar TH, Nitsch D, Neuen BL, Perkovic V. Chronic kidney disease. *Lancet.* 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00519-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00519-5) [published online ahead of print].
3. Mafra D, Borges N, Alvarenga L, et al. Dietary components that may influence the disturbed gut microbiota in chronic kidney disease. *Nutrients.* 2019;11:1-23.
4. Borges NA, Barros AF, Nakao LS, Dolenga CJ, Fouque D, Mafra D. Protein-bound uremic toxins from gut microbiota and inflammatory markers in chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr.* 2016;26:396-400.
5. Mafra D, Borges NA, Lindholm B, Shiels PG, Evenepoel P, Stenvinkel P. Food as medicine: targeting the uraemic phenotype in chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2020;17:153-171.
6. Mohajeri MH, Brummer RJM, Rastall RA, et al. The role of the microbiome for human health: from basic science to clinical applications. *Eur J Nutr.* 2018;57(Suppl 1):1-14.
7. Moschen AR, Wieser V, Tilg H. Dietary factors: major regulators of the Gut's microbiota. *Gut Liver.* 2012;6:411-416.
8. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature.* 2014;505:559-563.
9. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Mattix-Kramer HJ, Moore LW. Culinary medicine as a core component of the medical nutrition therapy for kidney health and disease. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:1-4.

10. Genoni A, Christophersen CT, Lo J, et al. Long-term Paleolithic diet is associated with lower resistant starch intake, different gut microbiota composition and increased serum TMAO concentrations. *Eur J Nutr.* 2020;59:1845-1858.
11. Sumida K, Lau WL, Kovesdy CP, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Microbiome modulation as a novel therapeutic approach in chronic kidney disease. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens.* 2021;30:75-84.
12. Lau WL, Tran T, Rhee CM, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Vaziri ND. Diabetes and the gut microbiome. *Semin Nephrol.* 2021;41:104-113.
13. Koppe L, Beddhu S, Chauveau P, et al. A call for a better understanding of the role of dietary amino acids and post-translational protein modifications of microbiome in the progression of CKD. *Nephrol Dial Transpl.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfab033> [published online ahead of print].
14. Joshi S, Moore LW, Kalantar-Zadeh K. The future of nutrition in kidney disease: plant-based diets, gut microbiome, and beyond. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:97-99.
15. Zheng HJ, Guo J, Wang Q, et al. Probiotics, prebiotics, and synbiotics for the improvement of metabolic profiles in patients with chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* 2021;61:577-598.
16. Joossens M, Faust K, Gryp T, et al. Gut microbiota dynamics and uremic toxins: one size does not fit all. *Gut.* 2019;68:2257-2260.
17. March DS, Jones AW, Bishop NC, Burton JO. The efficacy of prebiotic, probiotic, and synbiotic supplementation in modulating gut-derived circulatory particles associated with cardiovascular disease in individuals receiving dialysis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Ren Nutr.* 2020;30:347-359.
18. McFarlane C, Ramos CI, Johnson DW, Campbell KL. Prebiotic, probiotic, and synbiotic supplementation in chronic kidney disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Ren Nutr.* 2019;29:209-220.
19. Nelson K, Wysocki J. Benefits of probiotic consumption on chronic kidney disease. *J Ren Nutr.* 2020;30:e35-e36.
20. Lim PS, Wang HF, Lee MC, et al. The efficacy of lactobacillus-containing probiotic supplementation in hemodialysis patients: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:189-198.
21. Pan Y, Yang L, Dai B, Lin B, Lin S, Lin E. Effects of probiotics on malnutrition and health-related quality of life in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis: a randomized controlled trial. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:199-205.
22. Hida M, Aiba Y, Sawamura S, Suzuki N, Satoh T, Koga Y. Inhibition of the accumulation of uremic toxins in the blood and their precursors in the feces after oral administration of lebenin®, a lactic acid bacteria preparation, to uremic patients undergoing hemodialysis? *Nephron.* 1996;74:349-355.
23. Esgalhado M, Kemp JA, Paiva BRD, et al. Resistant starch type-2 enriched cookies modulate uremic toxins and inflammation in hemodialysis patients: a randomized, double-blind, crossover and placebo-controlled trial. *Food Funct.* 2020;11:2617-2625.
24. Cosola C, Rocchetti MT, Di Bari I, et al. An innovative synbiotic formulation decreases free serum indoxyl sulfate, small intestine permeability and ameliorates gastrointestinal symptoms in a randomized pilot trial in stage IIIb-IV CKD patients. *Toxins (Basel).* 2021;13:334.
25. Biruete A, Cross TWL, Allen JM, et al. Effect of dietary inulin supplementation on the gut microbiota composition and derived metabolites of individuals undergoing hemodialysis: a pilot study. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:512-522.
26. Yang HL, Feng P, Xu Y, et al. The role of dietary fiber supplementation in regulating uremic toxins in patients with chronic kidney disease: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:438-477.
27. Ribeiro M, Alvarenga L, Cardozo LFME, et al. From the distinctive smell to therapeutic effects: garlic for cardiovascular, hepatic, gut, diabetes and chronic kidney disease. *Clin Nutr.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.03.005> [published online ahead of print].
28. De Almeida Alvarenga L, Borges NA, Moreira LDSG, et al. Cranberries - potential benefits in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Food Funct.* 2019;10:3103-3112.
29. Salarolli RT, Alvarenga L, Cardozo LFME, et al. Can curcumin supplementation reduce plasma levels of gut-derived uremic toxins in hemodialysis patients? A pilot randomized, double-blind, controlled study. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2021;53:1231-1238.
30. Alvarenga L, Cardozo LFME, Borges NA, et al. To bee or not to bee? The bee extract propolis as a bioactive compound in the burden of lifestyle diseases. *Nutrition.* 2021;83:111094.
31. Fanton S, Cardozo LFME, Combet E, et al. The sweet side of dark chocolate for chronic kidney disease patients. *Clin Nutr.* 2021;40:15-26.
32. Mafra D, Borges NA, Cardozo LFME, et al. Red meat intake in chronic kidney disease patients: two sides of the coin. *Nutrition.* 2018;46:26-32.
33. Miller CA, Corbin KD, Da Costa KA, et al. Effect of egg ingestion on trimethylamine-N-oxide production in humans: a randomized, controlled, dose-response study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2014;100:778-786.
34. Müller DN, Wilck N, Haase S, Kleiweietfeld M, Linker RA. Sodium in the microenvironment regulates immune responses and tissue homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol.* 2019;19:243-254.
35. Do MH, Lee E, Oh MJ, Kim Y, Park HY. High-glucose or-fructose diet cause changes of the gut microbiota and metabolic disorders in mice without body weight change. *Nutrients.* 2018;10:761.
36. Kosnicki KL, Penprase JC, Cintora P, et al. Effects of moderate, voluntary ethanol consumption on the rat and human gut microbiome. *Addict Biol.* 2019;24:617-630.
37. Wang QP, Browman D, Herzog H, Gregory Neely G. Non-nutritive sweeteners possess a bacteriostatic effect and alter gut microbiota in mice. *PLoS One.* 2018;13:e0199080.
38. Ribeiro M, Fonseca L, Anjos JS, et al. Oral iron supplementation in patients with chronic kidney disease: can it be harmful to the gut microbiota? *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ncp.10662> [published online ahead of print].
39. Giambò F, Teodoro M, Costa C, Fenga C, Gregory Robson M. Toxicology and microbiota: how do pesticides influence gut microbiota? A review. *Int J Environ Res Public Heal.* 2021;18:5510.
40. Black AP, Anjos JS, Cardozo L, et al. Does low-protein diet influence the uremic toxin serum levels from the gut microbiota in nondialysis chronic kidney disease patients? *J Ren Nutr.* 2018;28:208-214.
41. Zhang J-y, Niu C, Zhang Q, et al. Full-scale clinical data and reshaped intestinal microbiome on a short-term low-phosphorus diet among healthy adults. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:488-458.
42. Shi Y, Ding C, Hu L, et al. Saturation effects of plasma homocysteine on chronic kidney disease in Chinese adults with H-type hypertension: a cross-sectional study. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:459-466.
43. Carrillo IG, Vega A, Goicoechea M, et al. Impact of serum magnesium levels on kidney and cardiovascular prognosis and mortality in CKD patients. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:494-502.
44. Haghighatdoost F, Sadeghian R, Clark CCT, Abbasi B. Higher dietary acid load is associated with an increased risk of calcium oxalate kidney stones. *J Ren Nutr.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jrn.2020.08.012> [published online ahead of print].
45. Vilar E, Sridharan S, Wong J, et al. Effect of chronic kidney disease on metabolic rate: studies using doubly labelled water. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:475-483.
46. Okubo R, Kondo M, Hoshi S-L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of behavior modification intervention for patients with chronic kidney disease in the FROEM-J study. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:484-493.
47. Nowicka M, Górska M, Nowicka Z, et al. Adherence to pharmacotherapy and lifestyle recommendations among hemodialyzed patients and kidney transplant recipients. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:503-511.
48. Matsuzawa R, Suzuki Y, Yamamoto S, et al. Determinants of health-related quality of life and physical performance-based components of frailty in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:529-536.
49. Kalantar-Zadeh K, Moore LW. Renal telenutrition for kidney health: leveraging telehealth and telemedicine for nutritional assessment and dietary management of patients with kidney disorders. *J Ren Nutr.* 2020;30:471-474.
50. Betz M. MNT in a virtual world: a renal dietitian's perspective. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:541-542.

51. Adair D. Telehealth and kidney care: helping patients understand and access telemedicine. *J Ren Nutr.* 2021;31:e1-e6.

52. Rastogi A, Chertow GM. Mandating staffing ratios in hemodialysis facilities: California SB 349 and unintended consequences. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2018;13:1110-1112.

53. Hand RK, Albert JM, Sehgal AR. Structural equation modeling to explore patient to staff ratios as an explanatory factor for variation in dialysis facility outcomes. *J Ren Nutr.* 2018;28:309-316.

54. McClellan W. Processes of care and reduced mortality among hemodialysis patients in the United States. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2010;5:1905-1907.