# **UCSF**

# **UC San Francisco Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Psoriasis risk SNPs and their association with HIV-1 control

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5qf897bg

## Journal

Human Immunology, 78(2)

## **ISSN**

0198-8859

#### **Authors**

Nititham, Joanne Gupta, Rashmi Zeng, Xue et al.

## **Publication Date**

2017-02-01

#### DOI

10.1016/j.humimm.2016.10.018

Peer reviewed

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript

Hum Immunol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Hum Immunol. 2017 February; 78(2): 179–184. doi:10.1016/j.humimm.2016.10.018.

## Psoriasis risk SNPs and their association with HIV-1 control

Joanne Nititham<sup>1</sup>, Rashmi Gupta<sup>1</sup>, Xue Zeng<sup>1</sup>, Wendy Hartogensis<sup>2</sup>, Douglas F Nixon<sup>2</sup>, Steven G Deeks<sup>2</sup>, Frederick M Hecht<sup>2</sup>, and Wilson Liao<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Division of HIV/AIDS, Department of Medicine, San Francisco General Hospital, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

#### **Abstract**

Human evolution has resulted in selection for genetic polymorphisms beneficial in the defense against pathogens. However, such polymorphisms may have the potential to heighten the risk of autoimmune disease. Here, we investigated whether psoriasis-associated single nucleotide polymorphisms influence host control of HIV-1 infection. We studied psoriasis and viral immune response variants in three HIV-positive cohorts: (1) HIV-1 controllers and non-controllers in the Study of the Consequences of the Protease Inhibitor Era (SCOPE) cohort (n=366), (2) Individuals with primary HIV infection in the Options cohort (n=675), and (3) HIV-positive injection drug users from the Urban Health Study (UHS) (n=987). We found a strong association of two psoriasis MHC variants, rs9264942 and rs3021366, with both HIV-1 controller status and viral load, and identified another Class III MHC variant rs9368699 to be strongly associated with viral load. A number of genetic variants outside the MHC (SOX5, TLR9, SDC4, PROX1, IL12B, TLR4, MBL-2, TYK2, IFIH1) demonstrated nominal significance. Overall, our data suggest that several psoriasis variants within the MHC have a robust impact on HIV-1 control, while variants outside the MHC require further investigation.

#### Keywords

psoriasis; HIV; genetics; immunogenetics; MHC; viral control; viral load; primary infection

## 1. Introduction

Psoriasis is an immune-mediated inflammatory condition where the excessive immune activation results in inflammation of the skin and raised red scaly patches. Psoriasis has a worldwide prevalence of 2–4%. [1] Previous segregation and linkage analysis show psoriasis

Corresponding author: Wilson Liao, Department of Dermatology, University of California San Francisco, 2340 Sutter Street, P.O. Box 0808, San Francisco, CA 94143-0808, USA; wilson.liao@ucsf.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

to be a heritable condition as evidenced by a twin concordance rate of 70% and a sibling recurrence risk  $\lambda_s$  between 4–11. [2]

HIV-1 control is a rare immunologic phenotype in approximately 1% of HIV-1 infected individuals in which patients who are infected with the HIV-1 virus spontaneously maintain low viral loads in the absence of anti-retroviral therapy (ART). HIV-1 control has been observed across all ethnicities and modes of viral transmission and it has been shown to be associated with certain genetic and immunologic characteristics.[3]

Our previous work analyzing genetic variants in the major histocompatibility (MHC) region in psoriasis cases versus controls revealed that psoriasis patients are enriched for several human leukocyte antigen (HLA) class I alleles that are also associated with HIV-1 control. [4] A follow-up study demonstrated that there is a significant increase in antiviral gene expression in psoriasis lesional skin compared to healthy controls.[5] Another study showed that *HLA-C* alleles associated with higher HLA-C surface expression contribute to viral control in HIV but lead to an increase in risk of another immune-mediated disease, Crohn's disease.[6] Together, these studies suggest that some genetic variants that protect the human host against viral pathogens may also increase the risk of autoimmune disease.

Here, to further understand the genetic relationship between psoriasis and HIV-1 control, we genotyped a more comprehensive panel of psoriasis risk single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in HIV cohorts to determine if viral control is associated with psoriasis susceptibility SNPs. We also genotyped a number of known viral control SNPs to evaluate whether they were associated with HIV-1 control.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Subjects

Three HIV cohorts were examined in this study: Study of the Consequences of the Protease Inhibitor Era (SCOPE) and Options as discovery cohorts and the Urban Health Study (UHS) as a replication cohort.

The SCOPE cohort is an ongoing prospective cohort study at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) where HIV-positive participants are seen at 4-month intervals to complete questionnaires and provide a blood sample to determine HIV plasma RNA level and CD4+ T cell count. A subset of SCOPE participants are classified into two groups: (1) virologic "controllers" who were defined individuals maintaining at least one year duration of steady-state HIV RNA plasma levels below 2,000 copies RNA/ml in the absence of antiretroviral drugs; and (2) virologic "non-controllers" who are defined as antiretroviral drug-treated and untreated individuals with at least one documented plasma HIV RNA level of more than 10,000 copies/ml. [7] For this study, we genotyped 139 controllers and 227 non-controllers in the SCOPE cohort. Of the 366 individuals genotyped, 61 controllers and 115 non-controllers were Caucasian and 40 controllers and 50 non-controllers were African-American.

The UCSF Options cohort consisted of individuals with either potential acute retroviral syndrome or potential recent HIV antibody seroconversion, together representing individuals with possible primary HIV infection.[8] We genotyped 675 HIV-positive individuals in the Options cohort for this study, all of whom had known dates of seroconversion and for whom viral load measurements were taken prior to the start of anti-retroviral therapy. Of the 675 individuals in the Options cohort, 454 were Caucasian and 32 were African American. Both the SCOPE and Options studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board of UCSF.

Participants in UHS were part of a cross-sectional study of injection drug users in the San Francisco area recruited in 1986–2005. To qualify for the study, participants 18 years of age and older had to have injected an illicit drug in the past 30 days and had to be able to provide informed consent. A subset of HIV+ and HIV- cases with an available blood sample were then selected for genotyping. For this study, we used the dataset of 366 HIV+ cases of European descent and the 621 HIV+ cases of African American descent that was available through the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes dbGaP. [9]

#### 2.2 SNP selection

We performed a literature search to identify known psoriasis susceptibility SNPs and SNPs previously reported to be associated with control of several viruses including HIV. We chose 43 psoriasis risk SNPs, 39 viral control SNPs, and 2 associated with both psoriasis and viral control. Details are shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1.

#### 2.3 Genotyping

Frozen PBMCs were obtained from 415 SCOPE patients and 696 Options patients. DNA was extracted using Qiagen DNeasy tissue kit. The quality and quantity of DNA was assessed using a Nanodrop-8000. The DNA samples were mixed with a TaqMan OpenArray (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) master mix and loaded onto the genotyping plate containing 256 TaqMan assays of which 179 were used for this study (Supplemental Table 3). The genotypes were called using TaqMan Genotyper software from Life Technologies (Applied Biosciences, Foster City, CA). Genotype data on the HumanOmni1-Quad\_v1-0\_B platform and the dataset imputed up to the 1000 Genomes for the UHS was acquired through dbGaP. For the UHS cohort, we acquired directly genotyped data and imputed data through dbGaP accession number phs000454.v1.p1. The UHS cohort was genotyped on the HumanOmni1-Quad\_v1-0\_B platform and then imputed in IMPUTE2 using 1000 Genomes phase 1 version 3 as the reference panel by the original authors in Hancock et al. [10].

#### 2.4 Quality control

In both the SCOPE and Options cohorts, SNPs were excluded if missing more than 20% genotyping data and if the minor allele frequency (MAF) was less than 1%. Individuals were removed if missing more than 20% genotyping data. Principal components analysis (PCA) was performed in EIGENSTRAT using 95 ancestry informative markers (AIMs) in both the European and African American cohort in SCOPE and the European cohort in the Options project.[11, 12] These 95 AIMs are listed in Supplementary Table 4. None of the individuals were excluded as ancestry outliers upon visual inspection of the clusters from the PCA.

For the UHS cohort acquired through dbGap, the directly genotyped data, imputed data and principal components already had quality control metrics applied as previously described. [10]

#### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

In the SCOPE cohort, we performed logistic regression analysis adjusting for sex and PCs in HIV-infected patients classified as controllers versus non-controllers stratified by ethnicity. HIV-controllers were coded as the cases and the non-controllers were coded as the controls for the logistic regression model. Conditional analyses were then performed on the top hits.

In the Options cohort, we performed linear regression analyses adjusting for sex and PCs on log-transformed median viral load in three different time periods after seroconversion (all before treatment with antiretroviral therapy). The three pre-treatment windows were: viral load between 0–3 months from time of seroconversion representing very early immune response, viral load between 0–6 months representing early immune response, and viral load measured after 6 months representing late immune response. We then performed conditional analysis on the top SNPs in the each of the pre-treatment windows.

To test for replication, we tested 18 SNPs that scored p<0.1 in any of the pre-treatment windows in the Options cohort and used a Bonferroni threshold of less than 0.003. We performed PC-adjusted linear regression analyses on log-transformed median viral load. Additional covariates that we adjusted for include age, sex and survey year. Conditional analyses were then performed on the top results. All genetic analyses were performed separately for Caucasian and African-American groups.

#### 3. Results

We evaluated 43 psoriasis risk SNPs, 39 viral control SNPs and 2 SNPs associated with both psoriasis and viral control in the SCOPE and Options cohort and then tested the top SNPs in the UHS dataset for replication.

In the Caucasian population of SCOPE, the SNPs passing the Bonferroni correction (p-value less than 0.000658) were rs9264942, which is 35 kb upstream from *HLA-C* (OR=2.61, p=0.00062), and rs3021366 which tags the *HLA-B\*5701* allele (OR=5.99, p=0.000497) as shown in Table 2. For both of those SNPs, individuals with one copy of the minor allele are more likely to be virologic controllers. When we conditioned on rs9264942, rs3021366 remained statistically significant (OR=3.64, p=0.019) but did not pass a multiple testing threshold (Table 5).

In the African American population of SCOPE, the top SNPs were rs27524 (OR=2.33, p=0.016) in the *ERAP1* region and rs13196377 (OR=11.38, p=0.04) in the *TRAF3IP2* region. Both of these SNPs were statistically significant but did not pass multiple testing thresholds (data not shown).

Since controller status was not available for the Options dataset, we tested genetic risk factors for association with early viremia using log-transformed median viral load measurements. For the Caucasian Options cohort of individuals with primary HIV infection,

the top genetic associations are shown in Table 3. The most significant result in the Options cohort across all three pre-treatment windows is SNP rs9264942 (<3 month:  $\beta$ =-0.39, p=2.8×10<sup>-6</sup>, <6 month:  $\beta$ =-0.32, p=3.35×10<sup>-6</sup>, 6 month:  $\beta$ =-0.36, p=1.53×10<sup>-5</sup>) which was also one of the top SNPs in the SCOPE cohort. The rs9264942 minor allele is associated with a decreased viral load in the three pre-treatment windows. Additional SNPs that were highly significant in the early pre-treatment windows of less than three months and less than six months were rs3021366 which tags the *HLA-B\*5701* allele (<3 month:  $\beta$ =-0.94, p=7.19×10<sup>-5</sup>, <6 month:  $\beta$ =-0.91, p=1.5×10<sup>-5</sup>) as well as rs9368699 in the *C6orf48* region (<3 month:  $\beta$ =-0.99, p=7.1×10<sup>-5</sup>, <6 month:  $\beta$ =-0.78, p=2.3×10<sup>-4</sup>). The *HLA-B\*5701* SNP was also strongly associated in the SCOPE cohort and the *C6orf48* SNP rs9368699 showed suggestive association in the SCOPE cohort (OR=2.43, p=0.08). When these genetic associations were conditioned on the top SNP rs9264942, both rs3021366 and rs9368699 remained statistically significant suggesting independent effects, although only rs3021366 remained significant after adjustment for multiple testing using a Bonferroni threshold (Table 5).

To replicate findings regarding viral load in the Options cohort and to provide complementary data to our SCOPE results, we examined the UHS dataset which also studied viral load. The two SNPs that replicated at or below the multiple testing threshold in the UHS study were rs3021366 (HLA-B\*5701;  $\beta=-0.45$ , p=0.003) and rs9368699 (C6orf48;  $\beta=-0.54$ , p=0.0003) as shown in Table 4. SNP rs9264942 (-35HLAC) bordered nominal significance in the UHS dataset. In the conditional analysis, C6orf48 SNP rs9368699 remained significant after conditioning on rs9264942 which was the top SNP from discovery. The direction of the  $\beta$  coefficient indicating decreased viral loads with the minor allele is consistent with the results from the Caucasian Options cohort.

## 4. Discussion

In this study, we investigated a set of psoriasis risk SNPs and viral control SNPs in HIV cohorts to examine whether these SNPs influence HIV-1 control or viral load. We observed two strong hits in the MHC class I region. The rs3021366 SNP tagging the HLA-B\*5701 allele had a strong effect in the SCOPE, Options, and UHS cohorts which affirms previous findings that the HLA-B\*5701 allele is protective in HIV-1 disease.[13],[14],[15] The HLA-B\*5701 allele has also been strongly associated with increased risk in psoriasis. Another top hit in SCOPE, Options, and UHS was the rs9264942 variant 35 kb upstream from HLA-C locus. This variant has been shown to correlate with increased cell surface expression of HLA-C and is also associated with both psoriasis and HIV-1 control.[6] These SNPs represent the two SNPs we identified as associated with both psoriasis and HIV viral control when selecting genetic loci for this study. Interestingly, we also identified a strong hit with variant rs9368699 upstream of C6orf48 in the MHC Class III region. This variant showed a strong effect on lower viral load in the Options and UHS cohorts, but was only suggestively associated with HIV-1 controller status in SCOPE. This SNP has been shown to be associated with the HIV long-term nonprogressor (LTNP) phenotype; individuals who can immunologically maintain a stable amount of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells for approximately 7–10 years. [16–18] LTNPs and controllers both experience a longer progression to AIDS but while LTNPs can maintain higher CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell counts, they may still have detectable viral loads.

Similarly, controllers can immunologically control viral loads but may still experience a loss of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells.[19] Our study demonstrated a novel association between rs9368699 and lower viral load in early viremia in Options and replicated the association in the UHS cohort. The suggestive association with a higher odds of being a controller in SCOPE complemented the association between low viral loads and the minor allele of rs9368699 in both Options and UHS.

Outside of the MHC region, we observed several nominally significant associations (p<0.05) such as *SOX5*, *TLR9*, *SDC4*, and *PROX1* in SCOPE (Table 2) and *PROX1*, *IL12B*, *TLR4*, *MBL-2*, and *TYK2* in Options (Table 3). However, these were not significant after strict Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, possibly due to limited power of our sample size. One gene of note is the psoriasis-associated gene *IFIH1* (rs17716942) which showed a suggestive association in the Options cohort (Table 3) and which showed nominal replication in the UHS dataset (Table 4). This SNP demonstrated a consistent direction of effect in both cohorts with the minor allele associating with higher viral loads. The *IFIH1* gene is known to be part of the innate immune and antiviral response and encodes the MDA5 protein which detects viral infection.[20, 21] This SNP has not previously been reported to be associated with HIV viral control. It is possible that the suggested association between the *IFIH1* SNP and an increased viral load may be a modest effect thus requiring a larger sample size to detect a statistically significant effect. Nevertheless, the biology of *IFIH1* is promising and warrants further research.

In summary, we examined a set of known psoriasis and virologic risk SNPs to determine if these SNPs were associated with the ability to spontaneously control viral load in HIV patients. We found strong associations with variants in MHC Class I and Class III regions, as well as several suggestive signals outside the MHC. Our study further highlights the similar genetic architecture in psoriasis and HIV-1 control in the MHC region and suggests the *IFIH1* locus as a potential region of interest for follow-up.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## **Acknowledgments**

W.L is supported by grants from the NIH (R01AR065174, U01AI119125). Further funding was from the Creative and Novel Ideas in HIV Research Program (CNIHR) through a supplement to the University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) Center For AIDS Research funding (P30 AI027767-24), made possible by collaborative efforts of the Office of AIDS Research, the National Institutes of Allergies and Infectious Diseases, and the International AIDS Society. S.D. is supported by the UCSF/Gladstone Institute of Virology & Immunology CFAR (P30 AI027763) and the CFAR Network of Integrated Systems (R24 AI067039). F.H. is supported by National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease (NIAID grant AI071713). The authors acknowledge the contribution of data from CIDR-NIDA Study of HIV Host Genetics accessed through dbGAP accession number phs000454.v1.p1. Funding support for genotyping, which was performed at the Center for Inherited Disease Research (CIDR), was provided by 1 X01 HG005275-01A1. CIDR is fully funded through a federal contract from the National Institutes of Health to The Johns Hopkins University, contract number HHSN268200782096C. Funding support for collection of datasets and samples was provided by NIDA grants R01DA026141 (Johnson); R01DA004212 (Watters); U01DA006908 (Watters); R01DA009532 (Bluthenthal); as well as the San Francisco Department of Public Health; SAMHSA; and HRSA.

## References

 Parisi R, Symmons DP, Griffiths CE, Ashcroft DM, et al. Identification, Management of P. Global epidemiology of psoriasis: a systematic review of incidence and prevalence. J Invest Dermatol. 2013; 133:377. [PubMed: 23014338]

- 2. Bhalerao J, Bowcock AM. The genetics of psoriasis: a complex disorder of the skin and immune system. Hum Mol Genet. 1998; 7:1537. [PubMed: 9735374]
- 3. Okulicz JF, Lambotte O. Epidemiology and clinical characteristics of elite controllers. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2011; 6:163. [PubMed: 21502920]
- 4. Chen H, Hayashi G, Lai OY, Dilthey A, Kuebler PJ, Wong TV, et al. Psoriasis patients are enriched for genetic variants that protect against HIV-1 disease. PLoS Genet. 2012; 8:e1002514. [PubMed: 22577363]
- 5. Raposo RA, Gupta R, Abdel-Mohsen M, Dimon M, Debbaneh M, Jiang W, et al. Antiviral gene expression in psoriasis. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2015; 29:1951. [PubMed: 25809693]
- Apps R, Qi Y, Carlson JM, Chen H, Gao X, Thomas R, et al. Influence of HLA-C expression level on HIV control. Science. 2013; 340:87. [PubMed: 23559252]
- Emu B, Sinclair E, Favre D, Moretto WJ, Hsue P, Hoh R, et al. Phenotypic, functional, and kinetic
  parameters associated with apparent T-cell control of human immunodeficiency virus replication in
  individuals with and without antiretroviral treatment. J Virol. 2005; 79:14169. [PubMed: 16254352]
- 8. Hecht FM, Busch MP, Rawal B, Webb M, Rosenberg E, Swanson M, et al. Use of laboratory tests and clinical symptoms for identification of primary HIV infection. AIDS. 2002; 16:1119. [PubMed: 12004270]
- 9. Johnson EO, Hancock DB, Gaddis NC, Levy JL, Page G, Novak SP, et al. Novel genetic locus implicated for HIV-1 acquisition with putative regulatory links to HIV replication and infectivity: a genome-wide association study. PLoS One. 2015; 10:e0118149. [PubMed: 25786224]
- Hancock DB, Gaddis NC, Levy JL, Bierut LJ, Kral AH, Johnson EO. Associations of common variants in the BST2 region with HIV-1 acquisition in African American and European American people who inject drugs. AIDS. 2015; 29:767. [PubMed: 25985399]
- Price AL, Butler J, Patterson N, Capelli C, Pascali VL, Scarnicci F, et al. Discerning the ancestry of European Americans in genetic association studies. PLoS Genet. 2008; 4:e236. [PubMed: 18208327]
- Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2006; 38:904. [PubMed: 16862161]
- Fellay J, Shianna KV, Ge D, Colombo S, Ledergerber B, Weale M, et al. A whole-genome association study of major determinants for host control of HIV-1. Science. 2007; 317:944. [PubMed: 17641165]
- 14. Migueles SA, Sabbaghian MS, Shupert WL, Bettinotti MP, Marincola FM, Martino L, et al. HLA B\*5701 is highly associated with restriction of virus replication in a subgroup of HIV-infected long term nonprogressors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000; 97:2709. [PubMed: 10694578]
- Altfeld M, Addo MM, Rosenberg ES, Hecht FM, Lee PK, Vogel M, et al. Influence of HLA-B57 on clinical presentation and viral control during acute HIV-1 infection. AIDS. 2003; 17:2581. [PubMed: 14685052]
- 16. Le Clerc S, Coulonges C, Delaneau O, Van Manen D, Herbeck JT, Limou S, et al. Screening low-frequency SNPS from genome-wide association study reveals a new risk allele for progression to AIDS. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2011; 56:279. [PubMed: 21107268]
- 17. Guergnon J, Dalmasso C, Broet P, Meyer L, Westrop SJ, Imami N, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphism-defined class I and class III major histocompatibility complex genetic subregions contribute to natural long-term nonprogression in HIV infection. J Infect Dis. 2012; 205:718. [PubMed: 22238471]
- Limou S, Zagury JF. Immunogenetics: Genome-Wide Association of Non-Progressive HIV and Viral Load Control: HLA Genes and Beyond. Front Immunol. 2013; 4:118. [PubMed: 23750159]
- 19. Okulicz JF, Marconi VC, Landrum ML, Wegner S, Weintrob A, Ganesan A, et al. Clinical outcomes of elite controllers, viremic controllers, and long-term nonprogressors in the US

- Department of Defense HIV natural history study. J Infect Dis. 2009; 200:1714. [PubMed: 19852669]
- Yount JS, Moran TM, Lopez CB. Cytokine-independent upregulation of MDA5 in viral infection. J Virol. 2007; 81:7316. [PubMed: 17475649]
- 21. Harden JL, Krueger JG, Bowcock AM. The immunogenetics of Psoriasis: A comprehensive review. J Autoimmun. 2015; 64:66. [PubMed: 26215033]
- 22. Limou S, Le Clerc S, Coulonges C, Carpentier W, Dina C, Delaneau O, et al. Genomewide association study of an AIDS-nonprogression cohort emphasizes the role played by HLA genes (ANRS Genomewide Association Study 02). J Infect Dis. 2009; 199:419. [PubMed: 19115949]
- 23. Majorczyk E, Matusiak L, Nowak I, Pietkiewicz-Sworowska A, Luszczek W, Szepietowski JC, et al. A single nucleotide polymorphism –35 kb T>C (rs9264942) is strongly associated with psoriasis vulgaris depending on HLA-Cw(\*)06. Hum Immunol. 2014; 75:504. [PubMed: 24759677]
- 24. Liu Y, Helms C, Liao W, Zaba LC, Duan S, Gardner J, et al. A genome-wide association study of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis identifies new disease loci. PLoS Genet. 2008; 4:e1000041. [PubMed: 18369459]
- 25. Jin Y, Birlea SA, Fain PR, Ferrara TM, Ben S, Riccardi SL, et al. Genome-wide association analyses identify 13 new susceptibility loci for generalized vitiligo. Nat Genet. 2012; 44:676. [PubMed: 22561518]
- 26. Ferreira RC, Pan-Hammarstrom Q, Graham RR, Gateva V, Fontan G, Lee AT, et al. Association of IFIH1 and other autoimmunity risk alleles with selective IgA deficiency. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:777. [PubMed: 20694011]
- Plagnol V, Howson JM, Smyth DJ, Walker N, Hafler JP, Wallace C, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of autoantibody positivity in type 1 diabetes cases. PLoS Genet. 2011; 7:e1002216. [PubMed: 21829393]
- 28. Barrett JC, Clayton DG, Concannon P, Akolkar B, Cooper JD, Erlich HA, et al. Genome-wide association study and meta-analysis find that over 40 loci affect risk of type 1 diabetes. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:703. [PubMed: 19430480]
- 29. Strange A, Capon F, Spencer CC, Knight J, et al. Genetic Analysis of Psoriasis C, the Wellcome Trust Case Control C. A genome-wide association study identifies new psoriasis susceptibility loci and an interaction between HLA-C and ERAP1. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:985. [PubMed: 20953190]
- 30. Nair RP, Duffin KC, Helms C, Ding J, Stuart PE, Goldgar D, et al. Genome-wide scan reveals association of psoriasis with IL-23 and NF-kappaB pathways. Nat Genet. 2009; 41:199. [PubMed: 19169254]
- 31. Ellinghaus E, Ellinghaus D, Stuart PE, Nair RP, Debrus S, Raelson JV, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a psoriasis susceptibility locus at TRAF3IP2. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:991. [PubMed: 20953188]
- 32. Huffmeier U, Uebe S, Ekici AB, Bowes J, Giardina E, Korendowych E, et al. Common variants at TRAF3IP2 are associated with susceptibility to psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:996. [PubMed: 20953186]
- 33. Stuart PE, Nair RP, Ellinghaus E, Ding J, Tejasvi T, Gudjonsson JE, et al. Genome-wide association analysis identifies three psoriasis susceptibility loci. Nat Genet. 2010; 42:1000. [PubMed: 20953189]
- 34. van Manen D, van 't Wout AB, Schuitemaker H. Genome-wide association studies on HIV susceptibility, pathogenesis and pharmacogenomics. Retrovirology. 2012; 9:70. [PubMed: 22920050]
- 35. Martin MP, Lederman MM, Hutcheson HB, Goedert JJ, Nelson GW, van Kooyk Y, et al. Association of DC-SIGN promoter polymorphism with increased risk for parenteral, but not mucosal, acquisition of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. J Virol. 2004; 78:14053. [PubMed: 15564514]
- 36. Wichukchinda N, Kitamura Y, Rojanawiwat A, Nakayama EE, Song H, Pathipvanich P, et al. The polymorphisms in DC-SIGNR affect susceptibility to HIV type 1 infection. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses. 2007; 23:686. [PubMed: 17530994]

37. Zhang J, Zhang X, Fu J, Bi Z, Arheart KL, Barreiro LB, et al. Protective role of DC-SIGN (CD209) neck-region alleles with <5 repeat units in HIV-1 transmission. J Infect Dis. 2008; 198:68. [PubMed: 18510454]

- 38. Mangano A, Rocco C, Marino SM, Mecikovsky D, Genre F, Aulicino P, et al. Detrimental effects of mannose-binding lectin (MBL2) promoter genotype XA/XA on HIV-1 vertical transmission and AIDS progression. J Infect Dis. 2008; 198:694. [PubMed: 18637753]
- 39. Catano G, Agan BK, Kulkarni H, Telles V, Marconi VC, Dolan MJ, et al. Independent effects of genetic variations in mannose-binding lectin influence the course of HIV disease: the advantage of heterozygosity for coding mutations. J Infect Dis. 2008; 198:72. [PubMed: 18498240]
- 40. Pontillo A, Oshiro TM, Girardelli M, Kamada AJ, Crovella S, Duarte AJ. Polymorphisms in inflammasome' genes and susceptibility to HIV-1 infection. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2012; 59:121. [PubMed: 22227487]
- 41. Le Clerc S, Limou S, Coulonges C, Carpentier W, Dina C, Taing L, et al. Genomewide association study of a rapid progression cohort identifies new susceptibility alleles for AIDS (ANRS Genomewide Association Study 03). J Infect Dis. 2009; 200:1194. [PubMed: 19754311]
- 42. Herbeck JT, Gottlieb GS, Winkler CA, Nelson GW, An P, Maust BS, et al. Multistage genomewide association study identifies a locus at 1q41 associated with rate of HIV-1 disease progression to clinical AIDS. J Infect Dis. 2010; 201:618. [PubMed: 20064070]
- Pereyra F, Jia X, McLaren PJ, Telenti A, de Bakker PI, et al. International HIVCS. The major genetic determinants of HIV-1 control affect HLA class I peptide presentation. Science. 2010; 330:1551. [PubMed: 21051598]
- 44. Pine SO, McElrath MJ, Bochud PY. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor 4 and toll-like receptor 9 influence viral load in a seroincident cohort of HIV-1-infected individuals. AIDS. 2009; 23:2387. [PubMed: 19855253]
- 45. Bochud PY, Hersberger M, Taffe P, Bochud M, Stein CM, Rodrigues SD, et al. Polymorphisms in Toll-like receptor 9 influence the clinical course of HIV-1 infection. AIDS. 2007; 21:441. [PubMed: 17301562]
- 46. Soriano-Sarabia N, Vallejo A, Ramirez-Lorca R, del Rodriguez MM, Salinas A, Pulido I, et al. Influence of the Toll-like receptor 9 1635A/G polymorphism on the CD4 count, HIV viral load, and clinical progression. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008; 49:128. [PubMed: 18769358]
- 47. Freguja R, Gianesin K, Zanchetta M, De Rossi A. Cross-talk between virus and host innate immunity in pediatric HIV-1 infection and disease progression. New Microbiol. 2012; 35:249. [PubMed: 22842595]

Table 1
List of SNPs associated with psoriasis risk and viral control

SNP Category	GENE	SNPs
Psoriasis risk and viral control	B*5701	rs3021366[13],[22]
	-35HLAC	rs9264942[13], [23]
Psoriasis risk	CARD14	rs11652075
	ERAP1	rs27524; rs30187
	FBXL19	rs12924903
	HLA-C	rs10484554[24]
	IFIH1	rs2111485[25]; rs1990760[26],[27],[28]; rs17716942[29]
	IL12B	rs2082412[30]; rs3213094[29]; rs2546890[31]; rs953861[31]; rs12188300[32
	IL13	rs1800925; rs20541; rs848
	IL23A/STAT2	rs2066808
	IL23R	rs1004819; rs7530511; rs2201841; rs11209026
	IL28RA	rs4649203
	LCE3D Del	rs4112788
	NFKBIA	rs8016947[29]
	NOS2	rs4795067
	PTPN22	rs3765598
	REL	rs702873
	RPS26	rs12580100
	SDC4	rs1008953[33]; rs2743403[33]
	SATB1 - KCNH8	rs6809854
	TNFAIP3	rs610604
	TNIP1	rs17728338
	TRAF3IP2	rs240993; rs458017; rs13196377; rs13190932; rs33980500; rs13210247
	TYK2	rs12720356[29]; rs280497[29]; rs753859[29]
	ZNF313	rs495337
Viral control	AGR3	rs152363[34]
	APH1B	rs1047552
	APOBEC	rs139316
	C6orf48	rs9368699[16, 17]
	CXCR6	rs2234358
	CYP7B1	rs6996198
	DC-SiGN	rs4804803[35],[36]; rs2287886[37]
	DEFB-1	rs1799946; rs1800972
	DYRK1A	rs12483205
	IFNg	rs2069709
	IL-10	rs1800872
	IL1B	rs1143634
	IL28B	rs4803222; rs8099917
	IL-4	rs2243250

Nititham et al.

SNP Category	GENE	SNPs
	IL7RA	rs987106
	MBL-2	rs5030737[38],[39]
	NALP3	rs10754558[40]
	PARD3B	rs11884476
	PRMT6	rs4118325[41]
	PROX1	rs17762192[42]
	PSORS1C3	rs3131018[43]
	SOX5	rs1522232[41]
	TLR3	rs3775291
	TLR4	rs4986790[44]; rs4986791[44]
	TLR8	rs3764880
	TLR9	rs352139[44],[45],[46]; rs352140[47]; rs5743836[44]
	TRIM5	rs10838525; rs3824949; rs3740996; rs11038628; rs11601507; rs28381981
	ZNRD1	rs7746866[13]

Page 11

Table 2

SNP association results in the SCOPE cohort

6         rs3021366         B*5701         0.2         0.04         5.99         (2.19 – 16.4)         0.00           6         rs9264942         -35HLAC         0.6         0.4         2.61         (1.51 – 4.51)         0.00           12         rs152232         SOX5         0.58         0.42         0.24         (1.16 – 3.51)         0.01           3         rs5743836         TLR9         0.18         0.08         2.48         (1.11 – 5.54)         0.02           20         rs3131018         PSORS1C3         0.23         0.36         0.51         0.27 – 0.93)         0.03           20         rs21743403         SDC4         0.32         0.39         0.39         0.34         0.03           6         rs610604         TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         0.39         0.34         0.04         0.99         0.97         0.05           11         rs28381981         TRIM5         0.40         0.40         0.29         0.04         0.99         0.99         0.04           2         rs9368699         C6orf48         0.12         0.04         0.04         0.90         0.90         0.90         0.90         0.90         0.90         0.90	CHR	SNP	GENE	Frequency in cases (n=53)	Frequency in cases (n=53) Frequency in controls (n=97) OR 95% CI	OR	95% CI	P-value
rs9264942         35HLAC         0.6         0.4         2.61         (1.51-4.51)           rs152223         SOX5         0.58         0.42         2.02         (1.16-3.51)           rs5743836         TLR9         0.18         0.08         2.48         (1.11-5.54)           rs2131018         PSORS1C3         0.23         0.35         (1.11-5.54)           rs17762192         PROXI         0.51         0.22         1.91         (1.05-3.49)           rs610604         TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         1.78         (1.03-3.49)           rs23831981         TRIM5         0.08         0.04         2.99         0.97-9.20           rs10754558         NALP3         0.40         0.29         0.57         0.97-2.82           rs936899         Coorf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         0.90-6.58	9	rs3021366	B*5701	0.2	0.04	5.99	(2.19 - 16.4)	$\boldsymbol{0.000497}^{\dagger}$
rs152223         SOX5         0.58         0.42         2.02         (1.16 - 3.51)           rs743836         TLR9         0.18         0.08         2.48         (1.11 - 5.54)           rs3131018         PSORS1C3         0.23         0.36         0.51         (0.27 - 0.93)           rs2743403         SDC4         0.32         0.22         1.91         (1.05 - 3.49)           rs17762192         PROX1         0.51         0.39         1.78         (1.03 - 3.08)           rs28381981         TRIM5         0.08         0.04         2.99         0.97 - 9.2)           rs10754558         NALP3         0.40         0.29         0.57 - 0.83           rs9368699         Coorf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         0.90 - 6.58	9	rs9264942	-35HLAC	9.0	0.4	2.61		$\boldsymbol{0.00062}^{\dagger}$
rs5743836         TLR9         0.18         0.08         2.48         (1.11-5.54)           rs3131018         PSORS1C3         0.23         0.36         0.51         (0.27-0.93)           rs2743403         SDC4         0.32         0.22         1.91         (1.05-3.49)           rs17762192         PROXI         0.51         0.39         1.78         (1.03-3.08)           rs610604         TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         0.51-0.99           rs238381981         TRIM5         0.08         0.07         2.99         (0.97-0.22)           rs10754558         NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97-2.82)           rs9368699         Coorf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90-6.58)	12	rs1522232	SOX5	0.58	0.42	2.02	(1.16 - 3.51)	0.01
rs3131018         PSORS1C3         0.23         0.36         0.51         (0.27 - 0.93)           rs2743403         SDC4         0.32         0.22         1.91         (1.05 - 3.49)           rs17762192         PROXI         0.51         0.39         1.78         (1.03 - 3.08)           rs610604         TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         0.55         (0.31 - 0.99)           rs10754558         NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 - 2.82)           rs9368699         Coorf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90 - 6.58)	8	rs5743836	TLR9	0.18	0.08	2.48	(1.11 - 5.54)	0.027
rs2743403         SDC4         0.32         0.22         1.91         (1.05 - 3.49)           rs17762192         PROX1         0.51         0.39         1.78         (1.03 - 3.08)           rs610604         TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         0.55         (0.31 - 0.99)           rs28381981         TRIM5         0.08         0.04         2.99         (0.97 - 9.2)           rs10754558         NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 - 2.82)           rs9368699         C6orf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90 - 6.58)	9	rs3131018	PSORS1C3	0.23	0.36	0.51	(0.27 - 0.93)	0.029
ZABOXI         0.51         0.39         1.78         (1.03 – 3.08)           TNFAIP3         0.29         0.39         0.55         (0.31 – 0.99)           11         TRIM5         0.08         0.04         2.99         (0.97 – 9.2)           12         NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 – 2.82)           12         CGorf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90 – 6.58)	20	rs2743403	SDC4	0.32	0.22	1.91	(1.05 - 3.49)	0.035
Intering         0.29         0.39         0.55         (0.31 – 0.99)           Intering         0.08         0.04         2.99         (0.97 – 9.2)           Intering         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 – 2.82)           Intering         0.04         2.43         (0.90 – 6.58)	1	rs17762192	PROX1	0.51	0.39	1.78	(1.03 - 3.08)	0.04
TRIM5         0.08         0.04         2.99         (0.97 – 9.2)           NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 – 2.82)           C6orf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90 – 6.58)	9	rs610604	TNFAIP3	0.29	0.39	0.55	(0.31 - 0.99)	0.047
NALP3         0.40         0.29         1.65         (0.97 - 2.82)           C6orf48         0.12         0.04         2.43         (0.90 - 6.58)	11	rs28381981	TRIM5	0.08	0.04	2.99	(0.97 - 9.2)	0.057
C6orf48 0.12 0.04 2.43 (0.90 – 6.58)	1	rs10754558	NALP3	0.40	0.29	1.65	(0.97 - 2.82)	0.065
	9	rs9368699	C6orf48	0.12	0.04	2.43	(0.90 - 6.58)	0.08

findicates that the p-value adjusted for the number of tests performed is p<0.05. The Bonferroni threshold for 76 tests is p=0.0007.

**Author Manuscript** 

Table 3

SNP associations in the Options cohort in where p<0.1 in at least one of the three pre-treatment windows

GENE	BETA	Р	BETA	Р	BETA	Р
PRMT6	0.19	0.05	0.14	0.10	0.02	0.86
PROX1	0.23	0.0048	0.11	0.11	60.0	0.30
IFIHI	0.12	0.32	0.085	0.37	0.20	80.0
IL12B	-0.04	0.59	0.004	0.95	0.20	0.02
ZNRD1	-0.23	90.0	-0.25	0.01	-0.25	0.02
PSORS1C3	0.27	0.0009	0.21	0.002	0.16	0.07
-35HLAC	-0.39	$2.68\text{E-}06^{\dagger}$	-0.32	$3.35\text{E-}06^{7}$	-0.36	$\mathbf{1.53E\text{-}05}^{ 7}$
HLA-C	-0.30	0.009	-0.23	0.02	-0.28	0.02
B*5701	-0.94	$\textbf{7.19E-05}^{ 7}$	-0.91	$\boldsymbol{1.50\text{E-}05}^{\!$	-0.49	0.04
C6orf48	-0.99	$7.10\text{E-}05^{7}$	-0.78	$\boldsymbol{0.00023}^{\neq}$	-0.26	0.25
TLR4	-0.18	90.0	-0.16	0.04	-0.11	0.29
TLR4	-0.30	0.07	-0.35	0.01	-0.35	0.04
MBL-2	-0.36	0.01	-0.34	0.005	-0.21	0.14
NFKBIA	-0.01	0.89	0.03	99.0	0.15	60.0
DC-SIGN	0.14	0.08	80.0	0.27	90.0	0.55
DC-SiGN	-0.07	0.47	0.02	0.82	0.20	0.07
TYK2	-0.19	0.01	-0.17	0.007	-0.09	0.25
SDC4	-0.12	0.21	-0.08	0.33	-0.18	0.07

findicates that the p-value adjusted for the number of tests performed is p<0.05. The Bonferroni threshold for 77 tests is p=0.0006.

Table 4

SNP associations in UHS replication dataset

	<b>*</b>	4.		
Ь	$\boldsymbol{0.0003}^{\neq}$	$\boldsymbol{0.003}^{\neq}$	0.046	0.059
BETA P	-0.54	-0.45	0.23	-0.15
GENE	C6orf48	B*5701	IFIH1	-35HLAC -0.15
SNP_Status <sup>‡</sup> GENE	50	50	i	i
BP	31802541	31445771	163260691	31274380
SNP	rs9368699	rs3021366	rs17716942	rs9264942
CHR SNP	9	9	2	9

 $^{7}$ Indicates that the p-value adjusted for the number of tests perfored is p<0.05. The Bonferroni threshold for 18 tests is p=0.003

**Author Manuscript** 

Table 5

Conditional analysis on top SNP rs9264942 in the MHC region

P-value	0.019	0.001	$\boldsymbol{0.00015}^{\!$	0.003	-0.81 0.0012	-0.66 0.0016	$\boldsymbol{0.0007}^{\sharp}$	0.017
et Size¥	OR 3.64 0.019	-0.77	-0.78	-0.52 0.003	-0.81	-0.66	-0.57	0.20 0.017
Effe	OR	β	β	β	β	β	В	8
Cohort Treatment window (Options) Effect $\operatorname{Size}^{\frac{F}{4}}$ P-value	1	Options <3 mos	<pre><e mos<="" pre=""></e></pre>	-	<3 mos	<pre>som 9&gt;</pre>	1	<3 mos
Cohort	SCOPE	Options		OHS	Options <3 mos		UHS	Options
Gene	B*5701				C6orf48			rs3131018 PSOR1C3 Options <3 mos
	rs3021366 B*5701				rs9368699 C6orf48			rs3131018
CHR SNP	9				9			9

Tridicates that the p-value adjusted for the number of tests performed is p<0.05. The Bonferroni threshold for 77 tests in Options is p=0.0006

 $<sup>\</sup>sp{\sharp}$  Bonferroni correction for 18 tests is 0.003 in replication dataset

 $<sup>^{</sup>ot}$  OR=odds ratio;  $\beta$ =beta coefficient