Immune mechanisms associated with protection from vaginal SIV challenge in rhesus monkeys infected with virulence-attenuated SHIV 89.6

Miller CJ, Abel K. Immune mechanisms associated with protection from vaginal SIV challenge in rhesus monkeys infected with virulence-attenuated SHIV 89.6. J Med Primatol 2005; 34:271–281. © Blackwell Munksgaard, 2005

Abstract: Although live-attenuated human immunodeficiency virus-1 (HIV) vaccines may never be used clinically, these vaccines have provided the most durable protection from intravenous (IV) challenge in the simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)/rhesus macaque model. Systemic infection with virulence attenuated-simian-human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) 89.6 provides protection against vaginal SIV challenge. This paper reviews the findings related to the innate and adaptive immune responses and the role of inflammation associated with protection in the SHIV 89.6/SIVmac239 model. By an as yet undefined mechanism, most monkeys vaccinated with live-attenuated SHIV 89.6 mounted effective anti-viral CD8+ T cell responses while avoiding the self-destructive inflammatory cycle found in the lymphoid tissues of unprotected and unvaccinated monkeys.

Introduction

Sexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is the most common route of HIV dissemination throughout most of the world. To be effective against sexual transmission, a vaccine must prevent HIV transmission across the oral, rectal and vaginal mucosal surfaces. Each of these mucosal surfaces has a distinct mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT). It is possible that the induction of immunity, and the nature of the cellular and innate immune responses, may differ at each of these mucosal sites. A number of preclinical studies in macaques have been published demonstrating vaccine-mediated protection from intravenous (IV) simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) or simian-human immunodeficiency virus (SHIV) challenge, but there are very few

Christopher J. Miller^{1,2,3,4}, Kristina Abel^{1,2}

¹California National Primate Research Center, ²Center for Comparative Medicine, and ³Department of Pathology, Microbiology and Immunology, School of Veterinary Medicine, ⁴Division of Infectious Diseases, School of Medicine, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

Key words: AIDS vaccine – HIV – inflammation – innate immunity – interferon-alpha CD8+ T cells – interferon-gamma

Accepted April 14, 2005.

Dr Christopher J. Miller, UC Davis–CNPRC/CCM, One Shields Ave., Davis, CA 95616, USA. Tel.: 530 752 1229; fax: 530 754 4411; e-mail: cjmiller@ucdavis.edu

Funding: This work was supported by Public Health Services grants RR00169, RR14555 from the National Center for Research Resources; and Al44480 from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.

studies that have achieved reproducible protection against intravaginal viral challenge. In nonhuman primate models of AIDS, live-attenuated lentiviruses provide the most reliable protection from systemic and mucosal challenge with pathogenic SIV. Although live-attenuated lentiviruses may never be used in humans because of safety concerns, understanding the nature of the protective immune mechanisms induced by live-attenuated vaccines in primate models is thought to be critical for developing other vaccine approaches. In the monkey AIDS model, live-attenuated vaccines have provided the most consistent protection from vaginal challenge [1, 65]. This paper will review the data generated in these, and related, studies that were undertaken to define the immune mechanisms associated with protection in nonhuman primate live-attenuated AIDS vaccine systems.

Understanding how attenuated viruses protect monkeys from superinfection after vaginal SIV inoculation may provide critical insight into the nature of effective anti-HIV immunity.

Mechanisms of Protection Induced by Attenuated Lentivirus Vaccines

As previously pointed out by others [96], the timedependent nature of the attenuated virus protection is most consistent with immune-mediated control of challenge virus replication rather than vaccine virus interference [5, 95] with challenge virus replication. Further, the level of protection induced by an attenuated SIV is related to its ability to replicate in the animal, better in vivo replication capacity correlates with increased protection [54, 96]. The reason for this is not understood and although the above conclusions are based on IV immunization and challenge, we have now shown consistent protection against vaginal SIV challenge using a live-attenuated SHIV as a 'vaccine' [1, 65]. Systemic infection with an attenuated-SHIV (SHIV 89.6) which replicates well after intravaginal (IVAG), IV or intranasal (IN) inoculation [1, 55], provides protection against vaginal SIV challenge [1, 65]. However, immunization with a DNA plasmid encoding SHIV DNA results in a delayed systemic infection and reduced protection from IVAG SIV challenge [10, 11]. Further, IVAG immunization with an extremely attenuated-SIV (SIVmac1A11) does not protect from vaginal SIV challenge [58]. Thus, the relative ability of attenuated viruses to protect monkeys against vaginal SIV challenge is proportional to the replicative capacity of the attenuated virus.

HIV vaccines may need to elicit genital immune responses to effectively prevent heterosexual transmission [50, 52, 64, 66]. However, we found that the SHIV 89.6-induced protection against IVAG challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239 was achieved independent of the route of immunization and in the absence of strong anti-SIV antibody responses in the genital tract secretions [1]. The proportion of protected monkeys after IV, IN or IVAG was similar [1]. In addition, protection of macaques from mucosal challenge has also been achieved by systemic immunization with other liveattenuated lentiviruses [43, 71, 80]. The route of immunization may have been irrelevant in the challenge outcome because the animals were infected systemically with the vaccine virus for a prolonged period of time (>6 months). The route of immunization may be more important for nonreplicating vaccines that do not propagate and

disseminate in a manner analogous to an attenuated lentiviral vaccines.

Innate Antiviral Immune Responses

One of the reasons attenuated virus vaccines are more effective than DNA. subunit or whole-killed vaccines may be because they elicit non-specific antiviral responses including type I interferons and/ or chemokines in the lymphoid tissues of animals. In fact, type I interferons [36, 79] and chemokines [13] significantly suppress HIV replication in vitro and HIV-infected long-term non-progressors have high serum levels of chemokines, interleukin (IL)-16 and cellular anti-viral factors [87]. We found that SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-protected monkeys had increased interferon (IFN)-alpha mRNA levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) during the acute phase (week 1–5) postchallenge compared with vaccinated-unprotected monkeys. Almost 90% of vaccinated-protected animals had higher IFN-alphaPBMC mRNA levels during the acute phase post-challenge compared with their baseline levels at the time of challenge [1]. This suggests that the ability to increase IFN-alpha mRNA levels post-challenge contributed to the observed protection against challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239 in these animals. Thus post-challenge increase in the PBMC IFN-alpha mRNA levels of vaccinated-protected monkeys is a likely response to a minimal level of challenge virus replication and this may contribute to vaccinemediated protection.

Only a few SHIV 89.6 immunized animals had elevated beta-chemokine (MIP-1alpha and MIP-1beta) PMBC mRNA levels at the time of challenge and, during the first 5 weeks post-challenge, a similar percentage of vaccinated and naïve monkeys had elevated beta-chemokine PBMC mRNA levels [1]. Thus, increased PBMC betachemokine mRNA levels post-challenge were not predictive of challenge outcome, but seemed to be part of an innate, proinflammatory response to pathogen exposure. In contrast to our results, some vaccine studies in rhesus and cynomolgous macaques found that immunization produced increased chemokine levels in PBMC cultures and this in vitro response correlated with protection [4, 23, 34, 51]. However, the technical differences in the methods used in these studies and our own likely contributed to the disparate results. In the studies reported by others, beta-chemokine levels were measured in supernatants of in vitro stimulated PBMC, whereas in our study beta-chemokine mRNA levels were measured directly ex vivo from cells isolated from vaccinated and naïve monkeys without *in vitro* mitogen stimulation. Our data are consistent with studies of HIV-1 infected patients in which a lack of correlation between betachemokine levels and disease progression has been described [12, 69, 70] and SIV-infected rhesus macaques [39].

Antiviral Antibody Responses

Given that the SHIV 89.6 vaccine and the SIVmac239 challenge virus used in our studies have completely different envelope genes, it is not surprising that we found no association between serum anti-SIV binding or neutralizing antibody titers with challenge outcome in the SHIV 89.6/ SIVmac239 system [1]. This is consistent with earlier studies that have shown that protection from pathogenic virus can be achieved in the absence of strong antibody responses with attenuated SHIV vaccines and SIV challenge [16, 65, 89] or with attenuated SIV vaccines and SHIV challenge [16, 89]. Similar data have also been reported after infection with other attenuated SIV viruses [15, 19, 20, 91].

In the SHIV 89.6/SIVmac239 system, neutralizing antibodies to SHIV 89.6 were detectable in the serum of 23 of 37 animals tested at the time of challenge, and 15 of these 23 monkeys were subsequently categorized as vaccinated-protected [1]. However, post-challenge, neutralizing antibodies to the challenge virus, SIVmac239, were detectable in only two of 37 SHIV-immunized monkeys tested and neither of these animals was protected from challenge [1]. Thus, anti-SIVmac239 neutralizing antibodies did not play role in the challenge outcome in this system [1]. This is consistent with earlier reports showing potent neutralizing antibody responses in SHIV 89.6 infected monkeys to related laboratory-adapted HIV-1 strains, but not to primary HIV-1 isolates [68]. Also consistent with our results, the protection achieved by immunization with attenuated SIV (SIVmac $\Delta 3$ or SIVmac Δ nef) against pathogenic SIVmac251 [17, 96], two viruses with closely related envelope genes, cannot be explained by the induction of neutralizing antibodies.

While neutralizing antibodies are likely to be critical in the protection achieved with many lentiviral vaccines, it is worth noting that protection has also been found in vaccine systems in which anti-env neutralizing antibodies could not be elicited or could not be documented. Thus, some protection from IV SIV challenge has been achieved with vaccinia vectors that did not include env as an antigen [74] and with subunit protein vaccines containing only gag and pol antigens [41]. Further, an association has been noted between the strength of anti-gag antibody responses and relative protection levels from IV SIV challenge in a recombinant vaccinia vaccine system [35]. In addition, it has been reported that Modified Vaccinia Ankara (MVA) and Canarypox Virus (ALVAC) vaccines that elicited anti-env antibodies that could neutralize tissue culture-adapted virus but not the autologous challenge virus strains, protected monkeys from mucosal pathogenic SIV inoculation [93] and a similar finding has been described with recombinant adenoviral vector SIV vaccines and gp120 protein vaccines [78].

One explanation for these observations is that antibody functions other than neutralization, including ADCC [8, 26, 22] contributed to protection. Further, non-neutralizing antibodies may contribute to formation of immunogenic immune complexes and these complexes enhance the immune response to SIV in the challenge inoculum that leads to very rapid generation of neutralizing antibodies after challenge. This enhanced antibody response mediated by immune complexes may contribute to the observed vaccine protection in some cases [18, 31, 32, 53, 84].

Antiviral T Cell Responses

Previous studies have provided conflicting data about the role cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTL) play in the protective immunity induced by live-attenuated vaccines [16, 42, 43]. In the SHIV 89.6 vaccine model, we compared CTL precursor frequencies, the frequency of IFN-gamma secreting cells and the levels of IFN-gamma mRNA in PBMC of vaccinated-protected and vaccinated-unprotected animals at the time of challenge and during the acute phase post-challenge. At the time of challenge, more than 80% of all SHIV 89.6 vaccinated monkeys had detectable SIV-specific CTL activity, but only the vaccinated-protected monkeys showed a significant increase in CTL precursor frequencies in the first 5 weeks post-challenge. We previously reported [65] that anamnestic CTL responses were apparently an important part of the protective immune response in SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-protected monkeys [1]. The induction of strong CTL responses has also been observed after immunization with attenuated SIVmac deletion mutants [43]. The observed role of CTL in vaccine-mediated protection is consistent with the well documented role of CD8 T cells in the control of virus replication in HIV [61] and SIV infection [72, 73, 88].

It has been demonstrated in mice that cytokines, especially IFN-gamma and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-alpha, contribute to the clearance of viral infections via non-cytolytic mechanisms [27-29]. More recently, it has also been shown that IFNgamma is involved in the non-cytolytic clearance of Hepatitis B virus (HBV) in the chimpanzee [30]. Although in vitro CD8-mediated inhibition of SIV replication was detected in PBMC of approximately 60% of all SHIV 89.6 vaccinated monkeys at the time of challenge, the ability of CD8+ T cells of an individual monkey to inhibit virus replication *in vitro* was not predictive of challenge outcome in a monkey. Thus, SHIV 89.6-induced control of post-challenge viremia was associated with CD8+ T cell mediated cytolytic activity, but not with non-cytolytic CD8+ T cell mediated suppression of viral replication in our studies.

The role of anti-HIV/SIV cellular immune responses in disease progression and vaccine-mediated protection has been the focus of intense study in recent years. Immunization with SHIV 89.6 led to the induction of persistent anti-SIV proliferative responses in the majority of animals [1], as has been observed after immunization with other liveattenuated viruses [21]. However, during the acute phase post-challenge, the T-cell proliferative responses were similar in SHIV 89.6 vaccinatedprotected and SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-unprotected animals and we did not detect polarized Th1 or Th2 responses in any monkeys and a specific pattern of Th1/Th2 cytokine expression in PBMC was not associated with challenge outcome [1]. Similarly, simultaneous production of IFN-gamma, IL-2 and IL-4 was observed in PBMC of vaccinated rhesus macaques after challenge with a pathogenic SHIV [33]. Thus the role of antigenspecific CD4+ T cell cytokines in live-attenuated vaccine mediated protection remains to be defined.

The Role of Inflammation in Long-Term Control of Challenge Virus Replication in Live-Attenuated Systems and HIV Pathogenesis

In the SHIV 89.6/SIVmac239 system, we determined the relationship between virus replication, inflammation, and SIV-specific IFN-gamma T cell responses in the lymphoid tissues of SHIV 89.6 vaccinated and unvaccinated monkeys 6 months after challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239. We found that the lymphoid tissue vRNA levels in the study animals at 6 months post-challenge [2] supported the original categorization of monkeys into vaccinated-protected and vaccinated-unprotected groups based on post-challenge plasma vRNA levels [1]. Thus, relative tissue vRNA levels among

the animals were consistent with the relative plasma vRNA levels [2]. The high levels of vRNA in spleen and peripheral and genital lymph nodes of unvaccinated and vaccinated-unprotected animals were associated with a concomitant and severe loss of CD4+ T cells in blood and tissues. In the blood and lymphoid tissues of vaccinatedunprotected and unvaccinated monkeys, there was a trend towards increased frequencies of activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells expressing HLA-DR and CD38 [2]. Several other investigators have found that higher frequencies of HLA-DR and CD38 positive T cells in the blood are associated with disease progression [25, 47, 48]. The presence of higher frequencies of activated CD4+ T cells in lymphoid tissues of unprotected monkeys at 6 months post-challenge is consistent with the fact that CD4+ T cell activation facilitates SIV replication. Increased expression of CD38 and HLA-DR on CD8+ T cells in blood and lymphoid tissues reflects the activation of these cells as they develop into CTL effector cells [37]. However, increased immune activation in SIV-infected monkeys and HIV-infected humans is associated with disease progression [86]. Consistent with this notion we found that at 6 months post-challenge the SHIV vaccinated-unprotected animals had higher numbers of CD8+CD38+ T cells than the vaccinatedprotected animals in PBMC and lymphoid tissues. This finding may reflect a decreased effectiveness of the adaptive T cell response in the SHIV-vaccinated unprotected monkeys and interpretation that is consistent with the finding that although activated, these CD8+CD38+ T cells are not effective anti-HIV CTL [25]. Further, in African monkeys with non-pathogenic SIV infections there is little immune activation [90]. Thus SHIV 89.6 vaccination may allow some rhesus monkeys to respond to the SIV challenge in a manner that is similar to the response of African monkeys to SIV (Fig. 1).

To clarify the role of IFN-gamma in SHIV 89.6mediated protection and disease progression, IFN-gamma mRNA levels and SIV-specific IFNgamma T cell responses were determined in the same samples [2]. Vaccinated-protected monkeys with low vRNA levels in lymphoid tissues had only slightly increased tissue IFN-gamma mRNA levels and strong IFN-gamma T cell responses to SIVgag peptide stimulation in vitro [2]. Tissue IFN-gamma mRNA levels and in vitro SIV-specific IFN-gamma T cell responses to peptide stimulation were both consistently increased in vaccinated-unprotected monkeys with intermediated to high vRNA levels. In contrast, unvaccinated animals had high levels of vRNA in lymphoid tissues, increased tissue IFN-gamma mRNA levels, and T cells that did not



Fig. 1. A diagrammatic representation of the proposed relationship between effective anti-viral T cell responses and the associated inflammation that supports viral replication in (A). In unvaccinated-SIV-infected rhesus monkeys inflammation far exceeds the modest ant-viral T cell responses. (B) Monkeys immunized with non-pathognenic-SHIV-89.6 but unprotected from subsequent intravaginal SIV challenge have strong anti-viral T cell responses and considerable IFN-gamma driven inflammation. (C) Monkeys immunized with non-pathognenic-SHIV-89.6 and protected from subsequent intravaginal SIV challenge have strong anti-viral T cell responses but little IFN-gamma driven inflammation to support viral replication.

respond to *in vitro* SIVgag peptide stimulation [2]. This discordance between T cell responses and constitutive IFN-gamma mRNA expression was confirmed by statistical analysis and was most obvious when the vRNA levels in the lymphoid tissues were either very high or very low [2]. Based on these findings, we proposed that in vitro antigen-specific T cell responses reflect the potential of an animal to develop anti-SIV CD8+ T cell responses in lymphoid tissues, and that the tissue IFN-gamma mRNA levels reflect the amount of ongoing virus-induced inflammation in the same tissues [2]. This interpretation is consistent with the recently proposed model that infection with SIV might initiate IFN-gamma driven chronic inflammation [82]. Thus, low levels of virus replication are associated with effective anti-SIV T cell immunity (robust SIV p27-specific T cell IFN-gamma responses) without any inflammation contributing to pathogenesis. The most robust T cell IFNgamma responses are induced in tissues with intermediate levels of virus replication.

This is the first study to our knowledge showing that there is an increasing frequency (positive correlation) of IFN-gamma secreting cells at low to intermediate vRNA levels (up to 4.2 log10 vRNA copies/ μ g RNA), but a decrease (negative correlation) in the number of SIV-specific IFNgamma secreting T cells at higher vRNA levels [2] in lymphoid tissues of SIV-infected monkeys. In tissues with very high vRNA levels, inflammation (increased IFN-gamma mRNA levels, see also below) may drive pathogenesis, and thereby result in suppression of effective anti-SIV immunity. Most SHIV 89.6 vaccinated animals did not develop the inflammatory response to SIV challenge that is associated with uncontrolled virus replication and vaccine failure.

The IFN-gamma induces several chemokines [7] including Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 [14]. Increased levels of Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/ CXCL10 in lymphoid tissues of SIV-infected monkeys are associated with inflammation and disease progression [82]. We found that the increased IFN-gamma mRNA levels in lymphoid tissues of SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-unprotected monkeys were associated with the induction of Mig/ CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 mRNA. Vaccinatedunprotected animals had the highest IFN-gamma mRNA levels in spleen and lymph nodes, and Mig/ CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 mRNA levels were also increased [2]. Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 attract activated, but not resting T cells expressing CXCR3, a chemokine receptor [7]. Increased CXCR3 mRNA levels were found in matched tissue samples from animals with elevated Mig/ CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 mRNA levels. Thus, Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 likely contributed to the recruitment of activated T cells to lymphoid tissues.

As Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 recruit both activated CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, they can promote ongoing viral replication (Fig. 1). In the case of the SHIV 89.6-immunized animals, the net effect of increased Mig/CXCL9 and IP-10/CXCL10 expression was deleterious because the highest levels of these pro-inflammatory chemokines were found in lymphoid tissues with higher vRNA levels [2]. The critical role of virus-induced inflammation in promoting SIV pathogenesis is underlined by the fact that in sooty mangabeys, natural hosts for SIV, moderate plasma vRNA levels are maintained in the absence of generalized immune activation and associated bystander immunopathology [90] and these animals do not develop AIDS for extended periods.

Importantly, this is the first study showing that vaccination with an attenuated lentivirus can prevent the IFN-gamma driven inflammation that occurs in essentially all of the unvaccinated monkeys after pathogenic SIV challenge [2]. In SHIV 89.6 vaccinated monkeys, IFN-gamma driven inflammation was present only in lymphoid tissues of the animals that were not protected from the SIV challenge. Further, the onset of uncontrolled viral replication occurred much later in vaccinatedunprotected monkeys than in unvaccinated animals indicating that the immune escape occurred relatively late after challenge [1]. Thus, IFNgamma driven inflammation can directly contribute to viral replication and to the observed inability of prior lentiviral infection to protect some individuals from subsequent challenge with a pathogenic virus (Fig. 1).

We also found that the level of CD8+ T cell proliferation and the extent and nature of lymph node histopathology correlated with lymph node vRNA levels and these parameters were significantly different in vaccinated-protected compared with vaccinated-unprotected animals [49]. The frequency of proliferating CD8+ T cells was significantly higher in the lymph nodes of vaccinated-unprotected and unvaccinated monkeys compared with vaccinated-protected monkeys [49]. High lymph node vRNA levels also corresponded with increased levels of MIP-1 alpha and MIP-1 beta. Although there were CD8+ T cells expressing MIP-1 alpha or MIP-1 beta, the frequency of these cells negatively correlated with levels of protection [49]. These results are consistent with the conclusion that beta-chemokine expression by cells including CD8+ T cells and non-CD8+ T cells is a feature of the immune activation and inflammation associated with robust SIV replication. Thus, the beta-chemokine mediated control of viral replication does not seem to play a role in SHIV 89.6 vaccine outcome [49]. In PMBC at 6 months postchallenge, beta-chemokine levels were near baseline and there was no difference between the levels among vaccinated-protected, vaccinated-unprotected and unvaccinated animals [1]. This result underlines the importance of the proposed analysis of tissue responses in SHIV vaccinated monkeys.

Hormonal Manipulation of Protection Levels in the Live-Attenuated SHIV 89.6 Vaccine System

Hormonal contraception is widely used by women and exogenous progesterone enhances vaginal SIV transmission [60]. Thus it is critical to determine the effect of hormonal contraceptives on AIDS vaccines designed to prevent sexual transmission of

HIV. We have recently shown that Depo-Provera administration to SHIV-89.6 vaccinated female rhesus macaques prior to challenge significantly lowers the efficacy of the live-attenuated vaccine against IVAG challenge with virulent SIVmac239 [3]. The higher plasma vRNA levels in the Depo-Provera treated SHIV monkeys were associated with the loss of SIVgag-specific IFN-gamma T cell responses in PBMC at 1 week post-challenge [3]. This result is especially troubling because liveattenuated lentiviral vaccines, although inherently unsafe because of the potential for retention of virulence and integration-induced carcinogenesis [38, 85], provide the most consistent protection from systemic and mucosal challenge with virulent viruses in the monkey models of AIDS. The impact of Depo-Provera may be even greater with vaccines that are less effective than live-attenuated viruses.

At least three mechanisms can explain the deleterious effect of Depo-Provera on live-attenuated 'vaccine' effectiveness. Depo-Provera could increase the 'effective' dose of the challenge inoculum or Depo-Provera could blunt the development of anamnestic antiviral immune responses, or Depo-Provera could elicit a pro-inflammatory environment in the host that facilitates virus replication after genital transmission. There is abundant evidence that exogenous progestins increase susceptibility to genital tract infections in unvaccinated women and monkeys. Use of exogenous hormones for contraception, especially injectable progestins, increases a woman's susceptibility to HIV and other STD infections [6, 59]. Progesterone-dependent thinning of the vaginal epithelium [60] is unlikely to be the complete explanation for why women that use injectable progestins are at increased risk for HIV and STD infection. Although, Depo-Provera may increase the effective dose of the inoculum by increasing the probability of replication competent virion/target cell interaction, Depo-Provera also seems to suppress the initial immune response to vaginally transmitted HIV/SIV.

Exogenous progesterone has profound immunosuppressive effects and a single dose enhances renal allograft survival in dogs and skin allograft survival in rabbits [92] and produces uncontrolled growth of Moloney sarcoma virus-induced tumors in mice [57]. Further, progesterone enhances susceptibility and decreases immune responses to vaginal herpes infection in mice [44, 62, 77]. Exposure to progesterone for more than 15 days prevents the induction of protective mucosal immune responses in mice immunized intravaginally with an attenuated HSV-2 [24]. We noted that Depo-Provera-SHIV monkeys, in contrast to protected SHIV monkeys, were incapable of making anti-SIVgag ELISPOT responses at 1 week postchallenge [3]. Studies to assess the effect of Depo-Provera on innate antiviral immunity are underway. In addition, in the mouse model of cervical chlamydia infection, exogenous progesterone increases tissue inflammation and the severity of disease [45]. Although we did not conclusively document the nature of the Depo-Provera effect in our previous studies, it seems likely that Depo-Provera induced immune suppression and inflammation affects multiple steps in viral transmission, including the initial susceptibility to infection, the initial immune response to the virus invasion in the naïve host, and the ability of the immune host to mount effective anti-viral recall responses.

Analysis of Antiviral Immunity in Tissues

Despite the analysis of multiple immune effector mechanisms in a large number of SHIV vaccinated animals (Table 1), no single immune response was found to be responsible for protection against IVAG challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239 in all animals [1, 2, 49]. It is possible that immune mechanisms other than the ones we measured contribute to the observed protection against infection with pathogenic virus. Thus far, the analysis of effective immune effector mechanisms in the acute stage of infection has been limited to immune responses measured in PBMC, and this may not accurately reflect the immune responses in the gut [9, 63, 94] the main site of CD4 + T cell depletion, and in the other lymphoid tissues that are the main sites of HIV and SIV replication [75, 76]. Further, the results of the current study support the fact that although live-attenuated vaccines provide the most consistent protection from SIV challenge, the protection is neither

Table 1. Summary of the relative level of the immune responses in the acute post-SIV challenge stage of the study in SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-protected monkeys, SHIV 89.6 vaccinated-unprotected monkeys and vaccine naïve SIV-infected control monkeys

	Shiv 89.6 vaccinated monkeys		Naïvo
	Protected	Unprotected	monkeys
Anti-SIV serum antibodies T cell proliferative response Anti-SIVgag pCTL (>700/10 ⁶ CD8 ⁺ T)	Moderate Moderate High	High Moderate Moderate	High Low Not done
SIVgag-specific IFN-g T cells b-Chemokine PBMC mRNA levels IFN-a PBMC mRNA Levels Mx PBMC mRNA levels	High High High Moderate	High High Moderate Moderate	Low High Moderate High

uniform nor absolute. The coexistence of the vaccine and challenge virus after challenge has been described [46, 56], and recent reports indicate that superinfection with HIV can occur [81]. Immune responses in tissues need further evaluation and will be critical in our understanding of the observed vaccine-mediated protection. In fact we have found that studies that seek to define protection based only on assessment of antiviral immune responses in blood are of limited utility because lentiviral infection is focused on the lymphoid tissues of the intestine and lymph nodes and thus effector mechanisms responsible for controlling viral replication are likely to be in these tissues. In addition, as the challenge virus must cross the genital mucosa to gain access to these target tissues, we hypothesize that the antiviral effector mechanisms responsible for reducing or eliminating the challenge inoculum are located in genital secretions and the genital mucosa.

If the current paradigm of stepwise dissemination of HIV from mucosal surface to regional lymph nodes and then to systemic lymphoid tissue is correct [40], then the best vaccine strategy is to elicit anti-HIV immune responses at the site of virus entry and to limit or block viral dissemination and replication. The fact that SIV-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes can be consistently detected in, genital tract, lymphoid tissues and gut during acute SIV infection [83], implies that a full range of anti-viral immunity can be brought to bear in the attempt to blunt each stage of virus transmission and dissemination. We believe that the outcome of SIV challenge in monkeys vaccinated with the live, virulence-attenuated SHIV 89.6 is controlled, at least in part, by the balance between SIV-specific IFN-gamma T cell responses vs. non-specific IFN-gamma driven inflammation (Fig. 1). In most monkeys, SHIV 89.6 vaccination reverses this balance between inflammation and anti-SIV immunity, so that little inflammation occurs, but strong anti-SIV T cell immunity develops after SIV challenge [2]. Vaccinated-protected monkeys had little inflammation in lymphoid tissues and strong in vitro T cell responses to SIV peptide stimulation. One manifestation of the increased inflammation in tissues of vaccinatedunprotected and unvaccinated monkeys was increased IFN-gamma-induced expression of Mig/ CXCL90 and IP-10/CXCL10 and recruitment of activated CXCR3+ T cells. Thus, the inflammatory response that is critical for the induction of effective anti-viral cellular immune responses enhances SIV replication in unvaccinated and vaccinated-unprotected monkeys. By an as yet undefined mechanism, most monkeys vaccinated

Miller and Abel

with live-attenuated SHIV 89.6 mounted effective anti-viral CD8+ T cell responses while avoiding the self-destructive inflammatory cycle found in the lymphoid tissues of unprotected and unvaccinated monkeys. Recent data suggests that T regulatory cells could contribute to the controlling the inflammation that contributes to immune-mediated damage in chronic viral diseases (reviewed in [67]) Defining the mechanism(s) by which the vaccine induces this condition and prevents disease progression is a major goal of ongoing studies.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Drs McChesney, La Franco-Scheuch and McCune for helpful discussions. Kristina Rothaeusler, Tracy Rourke, Lara Compton, Ding Lu, Blia Vang and Rino Dizon provided excellent technical assistance.

References

- ABEL K, COMPTON L, ROURKE T, MONTEFIORI D, LU D, ROTHAEUSLER K, FRITTS L, BOST K, MILLER CJ: Simianhuman immunodeficiency virus SHIV89.6-induced protection against intravaginal challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239 is independent of the route of immunization and is associated with a combination of cytotoxic T-lymphocyte and alpha interferon responses. J Virol 77:3099– 3118, 2003.
- ABEL K, LA FRANCO-SCHEUCH L, ROURKE T, MA ZM, DE SILVA V, FALLERT B, BECKETT L, REINHART TA, MILLER CJ: Gamma interferon-mediated inflammation is associated with lack of protection from intravaginal simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmac239 challenge in simianhuman immunodeficiency virus 89.6-immunized rhesus macaques. J Virol 78:841–854, 2004.
- ABEL K, ROURKE T, LU D, BOST K, MCCHESNEY MB, MILLER CJ: Abrogation of attenuated lentivirus-induced protection in rhesus macaques by administration of Depo-Provera before intravaginal challenge with simian immunodeficiency virus mac239. J Infect Dis 190:1697–1705, 2004.
- AHMED RK, NILSSON C, WANG Y, LEHNER T, BIBER-FELD G, THORSTENSSON R: Beta-chemokine production in macaques vaccinated with live attenuated virus correlates with protection against simian immunodeficiency virus (SIVsm) challenge. J Gen Virol 80(Pt 7):1569–1574, 1999.
- 5. ARYA SK, GALLO RC: Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) type 2-mediated inhibition of HIV type 1: a new approach to gene therapy of HIV infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:4486–4491, 1996.
- BAETEN JM, NYANGE PM, RICHARDSON BA, LAVREYS L, CHOHAN B, MARTIN HL Jr, MANDALIYA K, NDINYA-ACHOLA JO, BWAYO JJ, KREISS JK: Hormonal contraception and risk of sexually transmitted disease acquisition: results from a prospective study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 185:380–385, 2001.
- 7. BAGGIOLINI M, DEWALD B, MOSER B: Interleukin-8 and related chemotactic cytokines CXC and CC chemokines. Adv Immunol 55:97–179, 1994.

- BAUM LL, CASSUTT KJ, KNIGGE K, KHATTRI R, MARGOLICK J, RINALDO C, KLEEBERGER CA, NISHANIAN P, HENRARD DR, PHAIR J: HIV-1 gp120-specific antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity correlates with rate of disease progression. J Immunol 157:2168–2173, 1996.
- BRENCHLEY JM, SCHACKER TW, RUFF LE, PRICE DA, TAYLOR JH, BEILMAN GJ, NGUYEN PL, KHORUTS A, LARSON M, HAASE AT, DOUEK DC: CD4+ T cell depletion during all stages of HIV disease occurs predominantly in the gastrointestinal tract. J Exp Med 200:749–759, 2004.
- BUSCH M, ABEL K, LI J, PIATAK M Jr, LIFSON JD, MILLER CJ: Efficacy of a SHIV 89.6 proviral DNA vaccine against mucosal SIVmac239 challenge. Vaccine in press, 2005.
- BUSCH M, LU D, FRITTS L, LIFSON JD, MILLER CJ: Comparison of virology and immunology in SHIV 89.6 proviral DNA and virus-inoculated rhesus macaques. J Med Primatol 32:240–246, 2003.
- CHEN Y, GUPTA P: CD8+ T-cell-mediated suppression of HIV-1 infection may not be due to chemokines RANTES, MIP-1 alpha and MIP-1 beta. AIDS 10:1434–1435, 1996.
- 13. COCCHI F, DEVICO A, GARZINO-DEMO A, ARYA SK, GALLO RC, LUSSO P: Identification of RANTES, MIP-1 α and MIP-1 β as the major HIV-suppressive factors produced by CD8+ T cells. Science 270:1811–1815, 1995.
- 14. COLDITZ IG, WATSON DL: The effect of cytokines and chemotactic agonists on the migration of T lymphocytes into skin. Immunology 76:272–278, 1992.
- 15. CONNOR RI, MONTEFIORI DC, BINLEY JM, MOORE JP, BONHOEFFER S, GETTIE A, FENAMORE EA, SHERIDAN KE, HO DD, DAILEY PJ, MARX PA: Temporal analyses of virus replication, immune responses, and efficacy in rhesus macaques immunized with a live, attenuated simian immunodeficiency virus vaccine. J Virol 72:7501–7509, 1998.
- 16. CRANAGE MP, WHATMORE AM, SHARPE SA, COOK N, POLYANSKAYA N, LEECH S, SMITH JD, RUD EW, DENNIS MJ, HALL GA: Macaques infected with live attenuated SIVmac are protected against superinfection via the rectal mucosa. Virology 229:143–154, 1997.
- DANIEL MD, KIRCHHOFF F, CZAJAK SC, SEHAGAL PB, DESROSIERS RC: Protective effects of a live attenuated SIV vaccine with a deletion in the nef gene. Science 258:1938– 1941, 1992.
- DENISOVA G, STERN B, RAVIV D, ZWICKEL J, SMORO-DINSKY NI, GERSHONI JM: Humoral immune response to immunocomplexed HIV envelope glycoprotein 120. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 12:901–909, 1996.
- 19. DESROSIERS RC: Prospects for live attenuated HIV. Nat Med 4:982, 1998.
- DESROSIERS RC, WYAND MS, KODAMA T, RINGLER DJ, ARTHUR LO, SEHGAL PK, LETVIN NL, KING NW, DANIEL MD: Vaccine protection against simian immunodeficiency virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 86:6353–6357, 1989.
- 21. DITTMER U, HUNSMANN G: Long-term non-progressive human immunodeficiency virus infection: new insights from the simian immunodeficiency virus model. J Gen Virol 78(Pt 5):979–984, 1997.
- 22. FORTHAL DN, LANDUCCI G, DAAR ES: Antibody from patients with acute human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection inhibits primary strains of HIV type 1 in the presence of natural-killer effector cells. J Virol 75:6953–6961, 2001.

Protective mechanisms in live-attenuated AIDS vaccines

- GAUDUIN MC, GLICKMAN RL, MEANS R, JOHNSON RP: Inhibition of simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) replication by CD8(+) T lymphocytes from macaques immunized with live attenuated SIV. J Virol 72:6315–6324, 1998.
- 24. GILLGRASS AE, ASHKAR AA, ROSENTHAL KL, KAUSHIC C: Prolonged exposure to progesterone prevents induction of protective mucosal responses following intravaginal immunization with attenuated herpes simplex virus type 2. J Virol 77:9845–9851, 2003.
- GIORGI JV, DETELS R: T-cell subset alterations in HIVinfected homosexual men: NIAID Multicenter AIDS cohort study. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 52:10–18, 1989.
- 26. GOMEZ-ROMAN VR, PATTERSON LJ, VENZON D, LIEWEHR D, ALDRICH K, FLORESE R, ROBERT-GUROFF M: Vaccineelicited antibodies mediate antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity correlated with significantly reduced acute viremia in rhesus macaques challenged with SIVmac251. J Immunol 174:2185–2189, 2005.
- 27. GUIDOTTI LG, ANDO K, HOBBS MV, ISHIKAWA T, RUNKEL L, SCHREIBER RD, CHISARI FV: Cytotoxic T lymphocytes inhibit hepatitis B virus gene expression by a noncytolytic mechanism in transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 91:3764–3768, 1994.
- GUIDOTTI LG, BORROW P, BROWN A, MCCLARY H, KOCH R, CHISARI FV: Noncytopathic clearance of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus from the hepatocyte. J Exp Med 189:1555–1564, 1999.
- GUIDOTTI LG, ISHIKAWA T, HOBBS MV, MATZKE B, SCHREIBER R, CHISARI FV: Intracellular inactivation of the hepatitis B virus by cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Immunity 4:25–36, 1996.
- GUIDOTTI LG, ROCHFORD R, CHUNG J, SHAPIRO M, PURCELL R, CHISARI FV: Viral clearance without destruction of infected cells during acute HBV infection. Science 284:825–829, 1999.
- HAIGWOOD NL, WATSON A, SUTTON WF, MCCLURE J, LEWIS A, RANCHALIS J, TRAVIS B, VOSS G, LETVIN NL, HU SL, HIRSCH VM, JOHNSON PR: Passive immune globulin therapy in the SIV/macaque model: early intervention can alter disease profile. Immunol Lett 51:107– 114, 1996.
- HAIGWOOD NL, ZOLLA-PAZNER S: Humoral immunity to HIV, SIV, and SHIV. AIDS 12(Suppl. A):S121–132, 1998.
- 33. HEENEY J, AKERBLOM L, BARNETT S, BOGERS W, DAVIS D, FULLER D, KOOPMAN G, LEHNER T, MOOIJ P, MOREIN B, de GIULI MORGHEN C, ROSENWIRTH B, VERSCHOOR E, WAGNER R, WOLF H: HIV-1 vaccine-induced immune responses which correlate with protection from SHIV infection: compiled preclinical efficacy data from trials with ten different HIV-1 vaccine candidates. Immunol Lett 66:189–195, 1999.
- 34. HEENEY JL, TEEUWSEN VJ, van GILS M, BOGERS WM, DE GIULI MORGHEN C, RADAELLI A, BARNETT S, MOREIN B, AKERBLOM L, WANG Y, LEHNER T, DAVIS D: betachemokines and neutralizing antibody titers correlate with sterilizing immunity generated in HIV-1 vaccinated macaques. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:10803–10808, 1998.
- 35. HIRSCH VM, FUERST TR, SUTTER G, CARROL MW, YANG LC, GOLDSTEIN S, PIATAK MJ, ELKINS WR, ALVORD WG, MONTEFIORI DC, MOSS B, LIFSON JD: Patterns of viral replication correlate with outcome in simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)-infected macaques: effect of prior immunization with a trivalent SIV vaccine in modified vaccinia virus Ankara. J. Virol. 70:3741–3752, 1996.

- Ho DD, HARTSHORN KL, ROTA TR, ANDREWS CA, KAPLAN JC, SCHOOLEY RT, HIRSCH MS: Recombinant human interferon-α suppresses HTLV-III replication in-vitro. Lancet i:602–604, 1985.
- 37. HO HN, HULTIN LE, MITSUYASU RT, MATUD JL, HAUSNER MA, BOCKSTOCE D, CHOU CC, O'ROURKE S, TAYLOR JM, GIORGI JV: Circulating HIV-specific CD8+ cytotoxic T cells express CD38 and HLA-DR antigens. J Immunol 150:3070–3079, 1993.
- HOFMANN-LEHMANN R, VLASAK J, WILLIAMS AL, CHE-NINE AL, MCCLURE HM, ANDERSON DC, O'NEIL S, RUPRECHT RM: Live attenuated, nef-deleted SIV is pathogenic in most adult macaques after prolonged observation. AIDS 17:157–166, 2003.
- 39. HOFMANN-LEHMANN R, WILLIAMS AL, SWENERTON RK, LI PL, RASMUSSEN RA, CHENINE AL, MCCLURE HM, RUPRECHT RM: Quantitation of simian cytokine and betachemokine mRNAs, using real-time reverse transcriptasepolymerase chain reaction: variations in expression during chronic primate lentivirus infection. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 18:627–639, 2002.
- HU J, GARDNER MB, MILLER CJ: Simian immunodeficiency virus rapidly penetrates the cervicovaginal mucosa after intravaginal inoculation and infects intraepithelial dendritic cells. J Virol 74:6087–6095, 2000.
- 41. HU SL: Recombinant subunit vaccine against primate lentiviruses. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 12:451–453, 1996.
- 42. HULSKOTTE EG, GERETTI AM, SIEBELINK KH, van AMERONGEN G, CRANAGE MP, RUD EW, NORLEY SG, de VRIES P, OSTERHAUS AD: Vaccine-induced virus-neutralizing antibodies and cytotoxic T cells do not protect macaques from experimental infection with simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmac32H (J5). J Virol 69:6289– 6296, 1995.
- 43. JOHNSON RP, LIFSON JD, CZAJAK SC, COLE KS, MANSON KH, GLICKMAN R, YANG J, MONTEFIORI DC, MONTEL-ARO R, WYAND MS, DESROSIERS RC: Highly attenuated vaccine strains of simian immunodeficiency virus protect against vaginal challenge: inverse relationship of degree of protection with level of attenuation. J Virol 73:4952–4961, 1999.
- 44. KAUSHIC C, ASHKAR AA, REID LA, ROSENTHAL KL: Progesterone increases susceptibility and decreases immune responses to genital herpes infection. J Virol 77:4558–4565, 2003.
- 45. KAUSHIC C, ZHOU F, MURDIN AD, WIRA CR: Effects of estradiol and progesterone on susceptibility and early immune responses to Chlamydia trachomatis infection in the female reproductive tract. Infect Immun 68:4207–4216, 2000.
- 46. KHATISSIAN E, MONCEAUX V, CUMONT MC, KIENY MP, AUBERTIN AM, HURTREL B: Persistence of pathogenic challenge virus in macaques protected by simian immunodeficiency virus SIVmacDeltanef. J Virol 75:1507–1515, 2001.
- KURODA MJ, SCHMITZ JE, CHARINI WA, NICKERSON CE, LIFTON MA, LORD CI, FORMAN MA, LETVIN NL: Emergence of CTL coincides with clearance of virus during primary simian immunodeficiency virus infection in rhesus monkeys. J Immunol 162:5127–5133, 1999.
- 48. KURODA MJ, SCHMITZ JE, CHARINI WA, NICKERSON CE, LORD CI, FORMAN MA, LETVIN NL: Comparative analysis of cytotoxic T lymphocytes in lymph nodes and peripheral blood of simian immunodeficiency virusinfected rhesus monkeys. J Virol 73:1573–1579, 1999.

- 49. LAFRANCO-SCHEUCH L, ABEL K, MAKORI N, ROTHAEUSLER K, MILLER CJ: High beta-chemokine expression levels in lymphoid tissues of simian/human immunodeficiency virus 89.6-vaccinated rhesus macaques are associated with uncontrolled replication of simian immunodeficiency virus challenge inoculum. J Virol 78:6399–6408, 2004.
- LEHNER T, BERGMEIER L, WANG Y, TAO L, MITCHELL E: A rational basis for mucosal vaccination against HIV infection. Immunol Rev 170:183–196, 1999.
- 51. LEHNER T, WANG Y, CRANAGE M, BERGMEIER LA, MITCHELL E, TAO L, HALL G, DENNIS M, COOK N, BROOKES R, KLAVINSKIS L, JONES I, DOYLE C, WARD R: Protective mucosal immunity elicited by targeted iliac lymph node immunization with a subunity SIV envelope and core vaccine in macaques. Nat Med 2:767–775, 1996.
- LEHNER T, WANG Y, PING L, BERGMEIER L, MITCHELL E, CRANAGE M, HALL G, DENNIS M, COOK N, DOYLE C, JONES I: The effect of route of immunization on mucosal immunity and protection. J Infect Dis 179(Suppl. 3):S489– 492, 1999.
- 53. LETVIN NL: What immunity can protect against HIV infection. J Clin Invest 102:1643–1644, 1998.
- 54. LOHMAN BL, MCCHESNEY MB, MILLER CJ, MCGOWAN E, JOYE SM, VAN ROMPAY KKA, REAY E, ANTIPA L, PEDERSEN NC, MARTHAS ML: A partially attenuated simian immunodeficiency virus induces host immunity that correlates to resistance to pathogenic virus challenge. J. Virol. 68:7021–7029, 1994.
- LU Y, BROSIO PB, LAFAILE M, LI J, COLLMAN RG, SO-DROSKI J, MILLER CJ: Vaginal transmission of chimeric simian/human immunodeficiency viruses in rhesus macaques. J. Virol. 70:3045–3050, 1996.
- 56. MACKAY GA, LIU Z, SINGH DK, SMITH MS, MUKHERJEE S, SHEFFER D, JIA F, ADANY I, SUN KH, DHILLON S, ZHUGE W, NARAYAN O: Protection against late-onset AIDS in macaques prophylactically immunized with a live simian HIV vaccine was dependent on persistence of the vaccine virus. J Immunol 173:4100–4107, 2004.
- 57. MARKHAM RB, WHITE A, GOLDSTEIN AL: Selective immunosuppressive activity of steroids in mice inoculated with the Moloney Sarcoma virus (38503). Proc Soc Exp Biol Med 148:190–193, 1975.
- MARTHAS ML, MILLER CJ, SUTJIPTO S, HIGGINS J, TOR-TEN J, LOHMAN BL, UNGER RE, KIYONO H, MCGHEE JR, MARX PA, PEDERSEN NC: Efficacy of live-attenuated and whole-inactivated simian immunodeficiency virus vaccines against vaginal challenge with virulent SIV. J. Med. Primatol. 21:99–107, 1992.
- MARTIN HL Jr, NYANGE PM, RICHARDSON BA, LAVREYS L, MANDALIYA K, JACKSON DJ, NDINYA-ACHOLA JO, KREISS J: Hormonal contraception, sexually transmitted diseases, and risk of heterosexual transmission of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Infect Dis 178:1053– 1059, 1998.
- 60. MARX PA, SPIRA AI, GETTIE A, DAILEY PJ, VEAZEY RS, LACKNER AA, MAHONEY CJ, MILLER CJ, CLAYPOOL LE, HO DD, ALEXANDER NJ: Progesterone implants enhance SIV vaginal transmission and early virus load. Nat Med 2:1084–1089, 1996.
- 61. MCCHESNEY M, TANNEAU F, REGNAULT A, SANSONETTI P, MONTAGNIER L, KIENY MP, RIVIERE Y: Detection of primary cytotoxic T lymphocytes specific for the envelope glycoprotein of HIV-1 by deletion of the env amino-terminal signal sequence. Eur J Immunol 20:215–220, 1990.

- 62. MCDERMOTT MR, SMILEY JR, LESLIE P, BRAIS J, RUDZ-ROGA HE, BIENENSTOCK J: Immunity in the female genital tract after intravaginal vaccination of mice with an attenuated strain of Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2. J Virol 51:747–753, 1984.
- 63. MEHANDRU S, POLES MA, TENNER-RACZ K, HOROWITZ A, HURLEY A, HOGAN C, BODEN D, RACZ P, MARKOWITZ M: Primary HIV-1 infection is associated with preferential depletion of CD4+ T lymphocytes from effector sites in the gastrointestinal tract. J Exp Med 200:761–770, 2004.
- 64. MILLER CJ: Use of the SIV/rhesus macaque model of the heterosexual transmission of HIV in vaccine research. Vaccine Res 1:295–301, 1992.
- 65. MILLER CJ, MCCHESNEY MB, LÜ XS, DAILEY PJ, CHUTKOWSKI C, LU D, BROSIO P, ROBERTS B, LU Y: Rhesus macaques previously-infected with SHIV are protected from vaginal challenge with pathogenic SIVmac239. J Virol 71:1911–1921, 1997.
- MILLER CJ, MCGHEE JR, GARDNER MB: Mucosal immunity, HIV transmission and AIDS. Lab Invest 68:129–145, 1993.
- 67. MILLS KH: Regulatory T cells: friend or foe in immunity to infection? Nat Rev Immunol 4:841–855, 2004.
- MONTEFIORI DC, REIMANN KA, WYAND MS, MANSON K, LEWIS MG, COLLMAN RG, SODROSKI JG, BOLOGNESI DP, LETVIN NL: Neutralizing antibodies in sera from macaques infected with chimeric simian-human immunodeficiency virus containing the envelope glycoproteins of either a laboratory-adapted variant or a primary isolate of human immunodeficiency virus type 1. J Virol 72:3427– 3431, 1998.
- MORIUCHI H, MORIUCHI M: Dichotomous effects of macrophage-derived chemokine on HIV infection. AIDS 13:994–996, 1999.
- MORIUCHI H, MORIUCHI M, COMBADIERE C, MURPHY PM, FAUCI AS: CD8+ T-cell-derived soluble factor(s), but not beta-chemokines RANTES, MIP-1 alpha, and MIP-1 beta, suppress HIV-1 replication in monocyte/macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93:15341–15345, 1996.
- NILSSON C, MAKITALO B, THORSTENSSON R, NORLEY S, BINNINGER-SCHINZEL D, CRANAGE M, RUD E, BIBERFELD G, PUTKONEN P: Live attenuated simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV)mac in macaques can induce protection against mucosal infection with SIVsm. AIDS 12:2261– 2270, 1998.
- 72. OGG GS, JIN X, BONHOEFFER S, DUNBAR PR, NOWAK MA, MONARD S, SEGAL JP, CAO Y, ROWLAND-JONES SL, CERUNDOLO V, HURLEY A, MARKOWITZ M, HO DD, NIXON DF, MCMICHAEL AJ: Quantitation of HIV-1-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes and plasma load of viral RNA. Science 279:2103–2106, 1998.
- 73. OGG GS, JIN X, BONHOEFFER S, MOSS P, NOWAK MA, MONARD S, SEGAL JP, CAO Y, ROWLAND-JONES SL, HURLEY A, MARKOWITZ M, HO DD, MCMICHAEL AJ, NIXON DF: Decay kinetics of human immunodeficiency virus-specific effector cytotoxic T lymphocytes after combination antiretroviral therapy. J Virol 73:797–800, 1999.
- 74. OURMANOV I, BROWN CR, MOSS B, CARROLL M, WYATT L, PLETNEVA L, GOLDSTEIN S, VENZON D, HIRSCH VM: Comparative efficacy of recombinant modified vaccinia virus Ankara expressing simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) Gag-Pol and/or Env in macaques challenged with pathogenic SIV. J Virol 74:2740–2751, 2000.
- 75. PANTALEO G, GRAZIOSI C, BUTINI L, PIZZO P, SCHNITTMAN S, KOTLER D, FAUCI A: Lymphoid organs

Protective mechanisms in live-attenuated AIDS vaccines

function as major resevoirs for human immunodeficiency virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 88:9838–9842, 1991.

- 76. PANTALEO G, GRAZIOSI C, DEMAREST JF, BUTINI L, MONTRONI M, FOX CH, ORENSTEIN JM, KOTLER DP, FAUCI AS: HIV infection is active and progressive in lymphoid tissue during the clinically latent stage of disease. Nature 362:355–358, 1993.
- PARR MB, PARR EL: Mucosal immunity to herpes simplex virus type 2 infection in the mouse vagina is impaired by in vivo depletion of T lymphocytes. J Virol 72:2677–2685, 1998.
- PATTERSON LJ, MALKEVITCH N, VENZON D, PINCZEWSKI J, GOMEZ-ROMAN VR, WANG L, KALYANARAMAN VS, MARKHAM PD, ROBEY FA, ROBERT-GUROFF M: Protection against mucosal simian immunodeficiency virus SIV(mac251) challenge by using replicating adenovirus-SIV multigene vaccine priming and subunit boosting. J Virol 78:2212–2221, 2004.
- 79. POLI G, ORENSTEIN JM, KINTER A, FOLKS TM, FAUCI AS: Interferon- α but not AZT suppresses HIV expression in chronically infected cell lines. Science 244:575–577, 1989.
- QUESADA-ROLANDER M, MAKITALO B, THORSTENSSON R, ZHANG YJ, CASTANOS-VELEZ E, BIBERFELD G, PUTKONEN P: Protection against mucosal SIVsm challenge in macaques infected with a chimeric SIV that expresses HIV type 1 envelope. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 12:993–999, 1996.
- 81. RAMOS A, HU DJ, NGUYEN L, PHAN KO, VANICHSENI S, PROMADEJ N, CHOOPANYA K, CALLAHAN M, YOUNG NL, MCNICHOLL J, MASTRO TD, FOLKS TM, SUBBARAO S: Intersubtype human immunodeficiency virus type 1 superinfection following seroconversion to primary infection in two injection drug users. J Virol 76:7444–7452, 2002.
- 82. REINHART TA, FALLERT BA, PFEIFER ME, SANGHAVI S, CAPUANO S III, RAJAKUMAR P, MURPHEY-CORB M, DAY R, FULLER CL, SCHAEFER TM: Increased expression of the inflammatory chemokine CXC chemokine ligand 9/ monokine induced by interferon-gamma in lymphoid tissues of rhesus macaques during simian immunodeficiency virus infection and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Blood 99:3119–3128, 2002.
- 83. REYNOLDS MR, RAKASZ E, SKINNER PJ, WHITE C, ABEL K, MA M, COMPTON L, NAPOÉ G, WILSON N, MILLER CJ, HAASE A, WATKINS DI: The CD8⁺ T-lymphocyte response to major immunodominant epitopes after vaginal exposure to SIV: too late too little, especially in gut associated lymphatic tissue. J Virol in press, 2005.
- 84. ROBINSON HL, MONTEFIORI DC, JOHNSON RP, MANSON KH, KALISH ML, LIFSON JD, RIZVI TA, LU S, HU SL, MAZZARA GP, PANICALI DL, HERNDON JG, GLICKMAN R, CANDIDO MA, LYDY SL, WYAND MS, MCCLURE HM: Neutralizing antibody-independent containment of immunodeficiency virus challenges by DNA priming and recombinant pox virus booster immunizations. Nat Med 5:526–534, 1999.
- 85. RUPRECHT RM: Live attenuated AIDS viruses as vaccines: promise or peril? Immunol Rev 170:135–149, 1999.

- SAVARINO A, BOTTAREL F, MALAVASI F, DIANZANI U: Role of CD38 in HIV-1 infection: an epiphenomenon of T-cell activation or an active player in virus/host interactions? AIDS 14:1079–1089, 2000.
- 87. SCALA E, D'OFFIZI G, ROSSO R, TURRIZIANI O, FERRARA R, MAZZONE AM, ANTONELLI G, AIUTI F, PAGANELLI R: C-C chemokines, IL-16 and soluble antiviral factor activity are increased in cloned T cells from subjects with longterm nonprogressive HIV infection. J Immunol 158:4485– 4492, 1997.
- 88. SCHMITZ JE, KURODA MJ, SANTRA S, SASSEVILLE VG, SIMON MA, LIFTON MA, RACZ P, TENNER-RACZ K, DALESANDRO M, SCALLON BJ, GHRAYEB J, FORMAN MA, MONTEFIORI DC, RIEBER EP, LETVIN NL, REIMANN KA: Control of viremia in simian immunodeficiency virus infection by CD8+ lymphocytes. Science 283:857–860., 1999.
- 89. SHIBATA R, MALDARELLI F, SIEMON C, MATANO T, PARTA M, MILLER G, FREDRICKSON T, MARTIN MA: Infection and pathogenicity of chimeric simian-human immunodeficiency viruses in macaques: determinants of high virus loads and CD4 cell killing. J Infect Dis 176:362–373, 1997.
- 90. SILVESTRI G, SODORA DL, KOUP RA, PAIARDINI M, O'NEIL SP, MCCLURE HM, STAPRANS SI, FEINBERG MB: Nonpathogenic SIV infection of sooty mangabeys is characterized by limited bystander immunopathology despite chronic high-level viremia. Immunity 18:441–452, 2003.
- 91. STEBBINGS RJ, ALMOND NM, STOTT EJ, BERRY N, WADE-EVANS AM, HULL R, LINES J, SILVERA P, SANGSTER R, CORCORAN T, ROSE J, WALKER KB: Mechanisms of protection induced by attenuated simian immunodeficiency virus. Virology 296:338–353, 2002.
- TURCOTTE JG, HAINES RF, BRODY GL, MEYER TJ, SCHWARTZ SA: Immunosuppression with medroxyprogesterone acetate. Transplantation 6:248–260, 1968.
- 93. VAN ROMPAY KK, GREENIER JL, COLE KS, EARL P, MOSS B, STECKBECK JD, PAHAR B, ROURKE T, MONTELARO RC, CANFIELD DR, TARARA RP, MILLER C, MCCHESNEY MB, MARTHAS ML: Immunization of newborn rhesus macaques with simian immunodeficiency virus (SIV) vaccines prolongs survival after oral challenge with virulent SIVmac251. J Virol 77:179–190, 2003.
- 94. VEAZEY RS, DEMARIA M, CHALIFOUX LV, SHVETZ DE, PAULEY DR, KNIGHT HL, ROSENZWEIG M, JOHNSON RP, DESROSIERS RC, LACKNER AA: Gastrointestinal tract as a major site of CD4+ T cell depletion and viral replication in SIV infection. Science 280:427–431, 1998.
- 95. WEISS R: Experimental biology and assay of RNA tumor viruses. In: *RNA Tumor Viruses*. WEISS, TEICH, VARMUS & COFFIN (eds). Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 209–261, 1987.
- 96. WYAND MS, MANSON KH, GARCIA-MOLL M, MONTEF-IORI D, DESROSIERS RC: Vaccine protection by a triple deletion mutant of simian immunodeficiency virus. J. Virol. 70:3714–3733, 1996.