UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Lung volumes identify an at-risk group in persons with prolonged secondhand tobacco smoke exposure but without overt airflow obstruction

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5qw0j414

Journal BMJ Open Respiratory Research, 5(1)

ISSN 2052-4439

Authors

Arjomandi, Mehrdad Zeng, Siyang Geerts, Jeroen <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2018-05-01

DOI

10.1136/bmjresp-2018-000284

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

BMJ Open Respiratory Research

To cite: Ariomandi M. Zeng S.

Geerts J, et al. Lung volumes

identify an at-risk group

exposure but without

BMJ Open Resp Res

bmjresp-2018-000284

Additional material is

overt airflow obstruction.

in persons with prolonged

secondhand tobacco smoke

2018;5:e000284. doi:10.1136/

published online only. To view

please visit the journal online

(http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/

bmjresp-2018-000284).

Received 6 February 2018

Revised 19 March 2018

Lung volumes identify an at-risk group in persons with prolonged secondhand tobacco smoke exposure but without overt airflow obstruction

Mehrdad Arjomandi,^{1,2,3} Siyang Zeng,^{1,3} Jeroen Geerts,⁴ Rachel K Stiner,^{1,3} Bruce Bos,⁴ Ian van Koeverden,⁴ Jason Keene,⁵ Brett Elicker,^{6,7} Paul D Blanc,^{1,3,2,6} Warren M Gold^{1,6}

ABSTRACT

Introduction Exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS) is associated with occult obstructive lung disease as evident by abnormal airflow indices representing small airway disease despite having preserved spirometry (normal forced expiratory volume in 1 s-to-forced vital capacity ratio, FEV₁/FVC). The significance of lung volumes that reflect air trapping in the presence of preserved spirometry is unclear.

Methods To investigate whether lung volumes representing air trapping could determine susceptibility to respiratory morbidity in people with SHS exposure but without spirometric chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, we examined a cohort of 256 subjects with prolonged occupational SHS exposure and preserved spirometry. We elicited symptom prevalence by structured questionnaires, examined functional capacity (maximum oxygen uptake,

VO_{2max}) by exercise testing, and estimated associations of those outcomes with air trapping (plethysmographymeasured residual volume-to-total lung capacity ratio, RV/TLC), and progressive air trapping with exertion (increase in fraction of tidal breathing that is flow limited on expiration during exercise (per cent of expiratory flow limitation, %EFL)).

Results RV/TLC was within the predicted normal limits, but was highly variable spanning $22\% \pm 13\%$ and $16\% \pm 8\%$ across the increments of FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁, respectively. Respiratory complaints were prevalent (50.4%) with the most common symptom being ≥ 2 episodes of cough per year (44.5%). Higher RV/TLC was associated with higher OR of reporting respiratory symptoms (n=256; r²=0.03; p=0.011) and lower VO_{2max} (n=179; r²=0.47; p=0.013), and %EFL was negatively associated with VO_{2max} (n=32; r²=0.40; p=0.017).

Conclusions In those at risk for obstruction due to SHS exposure but with preserved spirometry, higher RV/TLC identifies a subgroup with increased respiratory symptoms and lower exercise capacity.

Check for updates

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Mehrdad Arjomandi; mehrdad.arjomandi@ucsf. edu

INTRODUCTION

The forced expiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC) and their ratio (FEV₁/FVC) commonly define airflow obstruction.¹² The widely used Global Initiative for

Key messages

- In this study of a cohort at risk for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) due to prolonged (although remote) exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke but with normal spirometry, we found that lung volumes that represent air trapping, even when within the normal range, were associated with higher occurrence of respiratory symptoms and lower exercise capacity.
- Our findings show that lung volume measurements provide an additional dimension to spirometry for characterisation and prognostication of obstructive lung disease in these individuals without overt COPD.

Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria require an absolute reduction in $FEV_1/$ FVC below 0.70 (stage 1), with higher stages of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) defined by a concomitant reduction in FEV_1 as a per cent predicted.³ There has been far less attention given to the diagnostic implications of other lung function abnormalities that could be markers of subtle airway disease in the absence of overt COPD.

Air trapping, defined as abnormal increase in volume of air remaining in the lungs at the end of spontaneous exhalation, is a manifestation of obstructive lung diseases.^{3–6} Although usually thought of as a consequence of airflow obstruction, air trapping can persist even after airflow obstruction (measured by FEV_1 and FEV_1/FVC) has improved, and thus may reflect additional pathophysiology beyond what is measured by these spirometric measures of airflow obstruction.^{7–9} Previous studies have documented that, in those with overt chronic airflow obstruction (abnormal FEV_1/FVC), air trapping is associated with dyspnoea, exercise limitation





and mortality.^{10–14} Others have shown that in smokers without GOLD-defined COPD, evaluation of air trapping and hyperinflation indices, beyond the commonly used spirometric indices, could avoid underestimation of clinically important physiologic impairment.^{15 16} Despite this, air trapping as measured by lung volumes often is not emphasised in the interpretation of lung function when spirometry findings are otherwise within normal limits.

In previous studies of never-smoking flight attendants with history of prolonged occupational exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke (SHS) in aircraft cabin, we found the exposed flight attendants to have decreased flow at low-lung and mid-lung volumes (forced expiratory flows at 25%–75% and at 75% of FVC (FEF $_{25-75}$ and FEF₇₅)) while having preserved spirometry (normal FEV,/FVC) and thus not meeting the spirometric criteria for COPD.^{17 18} In this study, we wished to examine the clinical significance of lung volumes representing air trapping as measured by the ratio of residual volume to total lung capacity (RV/TLC) in the same cohort. We hypothesised that in individuals at risk for COPD due to exposure to SHS but with preserved spirometry (normal FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁ by lower limit of normal (LLN) criterion), lung volumes reflecting air trapping (RV/TLC) define a phenotype with greater respiratory symptoms and lower exercise capacity. We further hypothesised that radiographic measurement of lung density attenuation consistent with radiographic 'gas trapping' will also be predictive of those outcomes.

METHODS

Study overview

This was an observational, cross-sectional analysis of findings in a larger cohort of subjects with a range of SHS exposure.^{17 18} We used data from this cohort to examine the associations among airflow obstruction indices (FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ and FEF₇₅), air trapping (RV/ TLC and radiographic gas trapping), progressive air trapping (defined as an increase in either fraction of tidal volume (V_T) that is flow limited on expiration (per cent of expiratory flow limitation, %EFL) or end-expiratory lung volume (EELV or functional residual capacity, FRC) during exercise), respiratory symptoms and exercise capacity (maximum oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) and maximum work achieved (Watts_{max})).

Study population

Between July 2007 and July 2015, we recruited US airline flight crewmembers as part of an investigation of the potential adverse health effects of the cabin environment on those employed before and after introduction of the ban on smoking in US commercial aircraft. Crewmembers were eligible to participate in the study if they had worked ≥5 years in aircraft. A referent group of 'sea-level' subjects who lived in San Francisco Bay area and had never been employed as airline crewmembers were also recruited. All subjects were non-smokers defined by

never smoking or, in ever smokers, no smoking for ≥ 20 years and a cumulative history of smoking <20 packyears. Overall, 440 subjects were enrolled in the larger cohort, of whom 49 did not undergo plethysmography and were not eligible for the current analysis. Otherwise eligible subjects were excluded if they manifested impaired spirometry (abnormal FEV,/FVC or FEV, by LLN) (n=61), body mass index $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ (n=21), had no SHS exposure (n=26), or reported any known medical diagnosis that could have adversely affected their lung function (n=27). Overall, 256 eligible subjects with preserved spirometry were included in the study. Of those, 179 agreed and underwent cardiopulmonary exercise testing (figure 1). To examine the effect of air trapping during exercise, starting July 2013, subjects were offered an additional exercise protocol of inspiratory capacity (IC) and maximal expiratory flow (MEF) measurements at progressive levels of exercise for determination of %EFL and EELV (n=32). Additionally, to demonstrate radiographic 'gas trapping', subjects were offered and 23 agreed to undergo thoracic CT imaging using a low radiation protocol. Characteristics of the subgroups undergoing IC with MEF measurements and CT imaging are shown in online supplementary e-Table 1. Written institutional review board-approved informed consent was obtained from all participants.

SHS exposure characterisation

SHS exposure was characterised by a questionnaire developed by UCSF Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute (FAMRI) Center of Excellence,¹⁹ and modified to acquire information on airline-related occupational history, as described previously.^{17 18} Briefly, this included employer airlines, duration of employment and flight routes with quantification of 'cabin SHS exposure' as the number of years during which the crewmembers were exposed to SHS in aircraft. Other possible sources of SHS exposure were also explored by questioning subjects about their non-cabin exposures in additional settings, as described previously.²⁰

Pulmonary function and cardiopulmonary exercise testing

Lung function measurement procedures are detailed in online supplementary e-Methods 1. Routine pulmonary function tests were performed in the seated position as described previously.¹⁷ Subjects performed symptom-limited, progressively increasing exercise tests in the supine position on a cycle ergometer as part of a separate study, which examined changes in diffusing capacity during exercise and required supine positioning.¹⁸ During this maximum VO₂ testing, subjects were encouraged to continue exercise until a VO₂ plateau effect on a breathto-breath analysis of oxygen consumption was visually observed. Additionally, a subset of subjects underwent V_T, IC and MEF measurements in seated position at increasing work rates corresponding to 20%, 40% and 80% of their VO_{2max} as described previously.^{21 22} The



Figure 1 Subject flow. BMI, body mass index; EFL, expiratory flow limitation; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; PFT, pulmonary function test; SHS, secondhand tobacco smoke; VO_{2max}, maximum oxygen uptake; w, with; w/o, without.

volume of the V_T that was flow limited on expiration (V_{FL}) was measured on flow-volume loop graphics and used to calculate %EFL according to formula [(V_{FL}/V_T)*100] at each work rate. Similar methods were used to measure EELV (FRC) and RV (TLC-IC).

CT imaging of lungs

Two low-dose thoracic CT scans (at maximum inspiration (TLC) and maximum expiration (RV)) were completed on a subset of participants (online supplementary e-Methods 1). Lung density measurements were performed using 'density mask' technique²³ by computing the per cent of voxels between -860 and -950 Hounsfield unit (HU) on 1 mm sections at RV scans to determine low attenuation areas (mosaic perfusion) that reflects gas trapping (LAA_{exp-860 to -950}) as described previously.²⁴⁻²⁸ Low attenuation lung density areas below -950 HU on 1 mm sections at TLC scans (LAA_{insp<-950}) were also measured to examine presence of emphysema. A voxel threshold of $\geq 5\%$ was used to define presence of gas trapping or emphysema on respective measurements.

Respiratory symptom scoring

Respiratory symptoms were assessed using modified Medical Research Council (mMRC) Dyspnea Scale and another self-reported internal questionnaire (FAMRI Center of Excellence questionnaire¹⁹) that elicited symptoms of dyspnoea, cough and subjects' perception of a decreased level of exertion compared with peers over the year preceding enrolment. A dichotomous indicator of respiratory symptoms was defined by mMRC ≥ 1 or report of at least one respiratory symptom on the FAMRI questionnaire.

Data analysis

We examined correlations among airflow indices and RV/TLC using the Pearson partial correlation test controlling for covariates. Linear or logistic regression analyses were used to examine the association among lung function (airflow indices or RV/TLC) or LAA_{exp-860} to -950 as independent variables and respiratory symptoms or maximum observed work (VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}) as the



Figure 2 Mediation effect modelling diagram. Association of air trapping with maximum exercise capacity may be mediated through its effect on airflow indices. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

dependent variable. Models were adjusted for age, sex, height and weight unless noted otherwise.

Measurements of %EFL, V_{FL} , RV and EELV at maximum exercise are technically challenging to perform. As an alternative, we assumed the rates of increase in these variables to provide a proxy for their values at maximum exercise. Thus, the rates of increase in %EFL, V_{FL} , RV and EELV were estimated by linear regression approach, and then used as independent variables to determine their contribution to maximum exercise achieved.

To assess whether association between VO_{2max} and RV/ TLC was mediated through airflow indices, we performed Sobel-Goodman mediation testing in 'mediator models'²⁹ with VO_{2max} (dependent variable), RV/TLC (independent variable) and airflow indices (mediator variables), with inclusion of covariates (figure 2).

We performed receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses to examine the suitability of airflow indices (FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁) and air trapping (RV/TLC) as predictors of respiratory symptoms and exercise capacity (VO_{2max}) . For respiratory symptoms, a binary variable of having or not having any symptoms was used in ROC analysis. For exercise capacity, a binary variable of low and high capacity was generated based on the ability to achieve the predicted VO_{2max} ($\geq 100\%$ predicted value) and used in ROC analysis. To obtain comparable area under the curve (AUC) values, the predictor variables were given a negative sign if they were inversely associated with the outcome variable (eg, the value of RV/TLC was made negative for its contribution to VO_{9max}). The AUC values from RV/TLC, FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁ and FRC (per cent-predicted values) were calculated with adjustment for covariates, and compared for their ability to discriminate between the binary outcomes. To construct risk groups, optimal cut-points of RV/TLC for each ROC analysis were calculated, and used to define at-risk and not at-risk groups.

Sensitivity analyses on effects from smoking or exceeding abnormal predicted limits for RV, TLC, FVC or FRC were done and results were congruent to primary results.

RESULTS

RV/TLC had a wide variance and was not correlated with **FEV**₁/FVC in subjects with preserved spirometry

Subject characteristics for the 256 participants included in the analysis are shown in table 1. The subjects were predominantly women (232 (90.6%)) and never smokers (230 (89.8%)) and among those who had smoked, the median (IQR) amount of smoking was 4.0 (3.0–13.8) pack-years. All subjects had past exposure to SHS. The prevalence of exposure to cabin and non-cabin SHS was 62.9% and 93.3%, respectively. One subject had FVC below LLN, and four had TLC below LLN (online supplementary e-Table 2). The subgroup of subjects who underwent exercise testing (n=179) was slightly younger with less average SHS exposure and otherwise was similar in characteristics (table 1).

FEV₁/FVC was inversely correlated with RV/TLC (correlation coefficient (r)=–0.34; p<0.001); however, this correlation was no longer present after controlling for age, height, weight and sex (partial correlation coefficient (r_p)=–0.01; p=0.896) (online supplementary e-Table 3). Additionally, the inverse correlation between FEV₁ and RV/TLC was moderate when adjusted for covariates (r_p=–0.37; p<0.001) (online supplementary e-Table 3). Consistently, the RV/TLC, although within the predicted normal limits, manifested a wide range of observed values with an average span of 22.4%±13.1% and 16.0%±7.9% across the increments of FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁, respectively, corresponding to coefficient of variation (SD-to-mean ratio) ranges of 13.6%–27.8% and 11.1%–32.9% (figure 3).

Higher RV/TLC was associated with having respiratory symptoms in subjects with preserved spirometry

Respiratory complaints defined by mMRC were present in 9.0% of subjects (table 1). Using an internal respiratory questionnaire that included measurement of cough, respiratory symptoms were present in half the group (50.4%) with the most common symptom being ≥ 2 episodes of cough per year (44.5%) (table 1). In adjusted models, higher RV/TLC was non-significantly associated with an mMRC score ≥ 1 (p=0.112). Using our internal questionnaire, higher RV/TLC was significantly associated with the likelihood of having any of the respiratory symptoms with an average of 9% increase in OR for each 1% increase in RV/TLC (p=0.011) (table 2). In adjusted models, FEV₁/FVC was also associated with respiratory symptoms; however, the direction of the association was opposite to that anticipated for obstructive lung disease (table 2). Inclusion of FEV₁/FVC as a covariate in the same model as RV/TLC did not affect its association with respiratory symptoms. Other airflow indices were not associated with having respiratory symptoms (table 2) nor did they affect the association of RV/TLC with respiratory symptoms when they were individually included in a multivariable lung function model along with RV/TLC.

4

Table 1 Subjects' characteristics		
Characteristics	Subjects with preserved spirometry (n=256)	Subgroup that performed exercise (n=179)
Age (years)	56.1±11.0	54.7±11.3
Female sex, n (%)	232 (90.6)	163 (91.1)
BMI (kg/m²)	23.5±2.9	23.5±3.0
FEV ₁ (% predicted)	103±12	103±12
FVC (% predicted)	106±12	106±12
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	77±4	77±4
FEV ₁ /FVC (% predicted)	98±5	98±4
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ (% predicted)	92±23	91±21
FEF ₇₅ (% predicted)	41±16	43±17
TLC (% predicted)	101±10	101±10
RV (% predicted)	93±15	92±16
(RV/TLC) (%)	34±6	34±6
(RV/TLC) (% predicted)	92±12	92±12
FRC (% predicted)	96±18	97±18
DcoSB adjusted for Hgb (% predicted)	79±10	79±10
mMRC Dyspnea Scale ≥1, n (%)	23 (9.0)	15 (8.4)
Symptoms		
Subjects ever experiencing shortness of breath, n (%)	36 (14.1)	23 (12.8)
Subjects with cough ≥2 episodes/year, n (%)	114 (44.5)	73 (40.8)
Subjects with less activity than peers, n (%)	10 (3.9)	5 (2.8)
Subjects with any respiratory symptoms, n (%)	129 (50.4)	83 (46.4)
SHS exposure		
Ever cabin SHS exposure, n (%)	161 (62.9)	102 (57.0)
Cabin SHS exposure (years)	12.1±11.7	10.1±10.7
Any form of non-cabin SHS exposure, n/N (%)	196/210 (93.3)	130/139 (93.5)
Childhood home SHS exposure, n/N (%)	133/207 (64.3)	87/138 (63.0)
Adult home SHS exposure, n/N (%)	80/207 (38.6)	48/138 (34.8)
Non-airline occupational SHS exposure, n/N (%)	73/198 (36.9)	53/136 (38.9)
Other SHS exposure, n/N (%)	133/193 (68.9)	90/130 (69.2)

Demographics, lung function and secondhand smoke (SHS) exposure status in subjects with preserved spirometry and the subgroup that underwent exercise testing. Other SHS exposure was defined as non-aircraft cabin SHS exposure outside the work or home environment such as in recreational public places. Data are presented as mean±SD or number of subjects with positive value for the variable (n) out of the total number of subjects (N) and percentage of subjects (%).

BMI, body mass index; DcoSB, single-breath diffusing capacity of carbon monoxide; FEF₂₅₋₇₅, maximum airflow at mid-lung volume; FEF₇₅, maximum airflow at low-lung volume; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; Hgb, haemoglobin; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

On ROC analysis, RV/TLC was a better, although not statistically significantly different, predictor than FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC to discriminate between the subjects with and without reports of respiratory symptoms. The AUC measurements for RV/TLC were 0.59 while those for FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC were 0.54 and 0.44, respectively. To identify at-risk groups, subjects were categorised based on having an RV/TLC per cent-predicted value of 90% (statistical optimised cut-point for ROC analysis was 89% predicted). Subjects with RV/TLC values \geq 90% predicted were 92% more likely to report respiratory symptoms (p=0.015).

Higher RV/TLC was associated with lower maximum exercise capacity in subjects with preserved spirometry

In the subgroup that underwent exercise testing (n=179), the average VO_{2max} was $1.496\pm0.429 \text{ L/min}$ (99.6%±23.5% predicted) with a range of 0.695–3.004 L/min reflecting 53%–186% of normal values based on Wasserman predicted formulas³⁰ (table 3). In adjusted models, RV/TLC was inversely associated with maximum work level achieved (both VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}) (table 4 and online supplementary e-Table 4). On average, there was a decrease of $16\pm6 \text{ mL/min}$ in VO_{2max} and $1.4\pm0.6 \text{ W}$



Figure 3 Association between RV/TLC and FEV₁/FVC or FEV₁. Box plots show distributions of RV/TLC versus FEV₁/FVC (A) or FEV₁ (B) by 5% increments. Values are shown as per cent predicted of normal. FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

in Watts_{max} with each 1% increase in RV/TLC ($r^2=0.47$, p=0.013 and $r^2=0.47$, p=0.023, respectively).

In adjusted models that included only a single lung function variable, none (FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ or FEF₇₅) was associated with VO_{2max} (table 4). Furthermore, when FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ or FEF₇₅ each was individually included in multivariable lung function models along with RV/TLC, RV/TLC retained its statistical significance (models' $r^2 \ge 0.47$; models' $p\le 0.044$) (online supplementary e-Figure 1). The association of these airflow indices with Watts_{max} followed a similar pattern. Additionally, when tested for any potential confounding effects of airflow indices, FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ or FEF₇₅ had no clinically or statistically significant mediation effect on the association between RV/TLC and VO_{2max} (figure 2; table 5).

On ROC analysis, RV/TLC was a better, although not statistically significantly different, predictor than FEV₁ and

FEV₁/FVC to discriminate between the subjects who were and those who were not able to achieve their 100% predicted maximum exercise capacity (100% predicted VO_{2max}). The AUC measurement for RV/TLC was 0.60 while those for FEV₁ and FEV₁/FVC were 0.55 and 0.46 (figure 4). Subjects with RV/TLC values ≥90% predicted were 49% less likely to achieve their predicted maximum exercise capacity (VO_{2max} of 100% predicted or higher) (p=0.045).

Progressive EFL during exercise was associated with lower maximum exercise capacity in subjects with preserved spirometry

In the subgroup that underwent IC and MEF measurements (n=32), 9 had EFL at baseline, 25 developed EFL with exercise and 21 had progressive EFL (increasing %EFL) during exercise (online supplementary e-Table 5). The rate of change in %EFL was inversely associated

Table 2 Association of subjects' symptoms with lung function						
	Having any respiratory symptoms		Having mMRC score ≥1			
Variable	r ²	OR±SEM	P values	r ²	OR±SEM	P values
RV/TLC (%)	0.03	1.09±0.04	0.011	0.05	1.09±0.06	0.112
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	0.03	1.07±0.04	0.045	0.03	1.01±0.06	0.882
FEV ₁ (L)	0.02	0.58±0.25	0.216	0.04	0.44±0.35	0.302
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ (L/s)	0.02	1.10±0.24	0.681	0.03	1.06±0.40	0.875
FEF ₇₅ (L/s)	0.02	1.06±0.43	0.884	0.03	1.24±0.83	0.754
TLC (L)	0.02	0.72±0.19	0.204	0.03	0.84±0.38	0.698
RV (L)	0.02	1.59±0.72	0.310	0.03	1.72±1.30	0.471
FRC (L)	0.02	0.88±0.26	0.683	0.04	1.77±0.84	0.225

The subjects' symptoms and activity level was scored as whether they have ever reported shortness of breath, cough ≥ 2 episodes/ year or less activity than peers. Logistic regression model of respiratory symptoms with lung functions and adjustments: OR of having symptoms= $\beta_0 + \beta_1(age) + \beta_2(sex) + \beta_3(height) + \beta_4(weight) + \beta_3(lung function index).$

Significant P values are shown in bold. n=256.

FEF₂₅₋₇₅, maximum airflow at mid-lung volume; FEF₇₅, maximum airflow at low-lung volume; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; mMRC, modified Medical Research Council; r², model fit; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

 Table 3
 Exercise parameters of subjects who performed exercise

Characteristics	Subgroup that performed exercise
VO _{2max} (L/min)	1.496±0.429
VO _{2max} (% predicted)	100±24
VO _{2max} (mL/min⋅kg)	23.4±6.4
Watts _{max} (W)	150.9±43.5
Watts _{max} (% predicted)	134±33
VCO _{2max} (L/min)	1.819±0.544
O ₂ pulse _{max} (L/beat)	10.6±2.8
O ₂ pulse _{max} (% predicted)	117±25
VE _{max} (L/min)	55.41±15.26
VE _{max} (% predicted)	113±26
RER	1.21±0.15
RR _{max} (breaths/min)	34.74±9.52
VT _{max} (L)	1.66±0.42
VT _{max} (% predicted)	84±16
VE/VO _{2max}	37.4±6.4
VE/VCO _{2max}	30.9±4.1
HR _{max} (beats/min)	142.1±18.7
Systolic BP _{baseline} (mm Hg)	121.7±16.9
Diastolic BP _{baseline} (mm Hg)	76.0±8.5
Systolic BP _{max} (mm Hg)	177.3±21.3
Diastolic BP _{max} (mm Hg)	92.5±9.9
VO ₂ at anaerobic threshold (L/min)	0.977±0.304
VE/VCO ₂ at anaerobic threshold	31.6±3.9
O ₂ pulse at anaerobic threshold (L/beat)	8.7±2.6
Shortness of breath (Borg Scale)	4.1±1.9
Effort (Borg Scale)	5.1±1.9
Fatique (Borg Scale)	4.5±1.9

Cardiopulmonary measurements in subjects who underwent exercise testing. Data are presented as mean±SD. HR_{max}, maximum heart rate; O₂pulse_{max}, oxygen uptake per heartbeat at maximum exercise; RER, respiratory exchange ratio (VCO₂/ VO₂) at maximum exercise; RR_{max}, maximum respiratory rate; VCO_{2max}, maximum carbon dioxide production; VE_{max}, maximum minute ventilation value; VO_{2max}, maximum oxygen uptake; VO_{2max}, maximum oxygen uptake per kilogram of body weight; VT_{max}, maximum tidal volume; Watts_{max}, maximum work stage completed in watts.

with maximum work level achieved (both VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}) (table 4 and online supplementary e-Table 4). On average, there was a decrease of 3.52 ± 1.39 mL/min in VO_{2max} and 0.42 ± 0.14 W in Watts_{max} with each 1%/W increase in slope of %EFL (r²=0.40, p=0.017 and r²=0.67, p=0.004, respectively). Similarly, the rate of change in V_{FL} was inversely associated with maximum work level achieved (both VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}; r²=0.42; p=0.009 and r²=0.64; p=0.021, respectively) (table 4 and online supplementary e-Table 4). Pertinently, RV/TLC was associated

with the rate of change in %EFL and V_{FL} (0.5%±0.2%/W and 5.9±2.8mL/W increase in %EFL and V_{FL} per 10% increase in RV/TLC (online supplementary e-Table 6)). The rates of change in EELV (FRC) or RV were not associated with maximum work achieved (table 4).

Radiographic gas trapping was associated with lower maximum exercise capacity in subjects with preserved spirometry

In the subgroup that underwent CT imaging (n=23), the total lung voxels with low attenuation area $(LAA_{exp_{-860 to -950}})$ were $7.6\overline{\%} \pm 13.5\%$ with 9 out of 23 (39%) having $\geq 5\%$ LAA_{exp} -860 to -950. In adjusted models, LAA_{exp} -860 to -950 was inversely associated with maximum work level achieved (both VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}) (table 4 and online supplementary e-Table 4). On average, there was a decrease of 9 ± 4 mL/min in VO_{2max} and 1.2 ± 0.5 W in Watts_{max} with each 1% increase in voxels representing gas trapping ($r^2=0.24$; p=0.049 and $r^2=0.28$; p=0.018, respectively). Furthermore, the association of LAA_{exp -860 to -950} with exercise capacity was not affected by inclusion of FEV₁/FVC or FEF₇₅ (model $r^2 \ge 0.24$; $p \le 0.048$) and minimally affected by inclusion of FEV₁ or FEF_{95 75} (model $r^2 \ge 0.20$; $p \le 0.077$). Only 2 out of the 23 subjects that underwent CT imaging had $\geq 5\%$ LAA_{insp<-950} meeting the criteria for presence of emphysema.

Higher exposure to SHS was associated with lower maximum exercise capacity

Complete non-cabin SHS exposure data were available in 127 out of the 179 subjects who underwent maximum effort exercise testing. In these, length of exposure to cabin SHS was inversely associated with maximum work level achieved (both VO_{2max} and Watts_{max}) (table 6 and online supplementary e-Table 7). On average, there was a decrease of 7±4 mL/min in VO_{2max} and 0.8±0.4 W in Watts_{max} achieved with each year increase in exposure to cabin SHS (p=0.040 and p=0.027, respectively). Other measures of SHS exposure (SHS exposures from childhood, adult home and non-airline occupational) were not significantly associated with maximum exercise capacity (table 6 and online supplementary e-Table 7).

DISCUSSION

In a cohort at risk for obstructive lung disease because of prolonged SHS exposure but with preserved spirometry, we found RV/TLC to be overall within the predicted normal limits. However, RV/TLC showed remarkable variability across the range of FEV₁/FVC or FEV₁, suggesting that, at least in this cohort with preserved spirometry, RV/TLC informs an additional dimension to the obstructive lung disease not captured by standard airflow indices. Higher RV/TLC was associated with greater odds of reporting respiratory symptoms, and was predictive of lower maximum exercise capacity, independent of the level of airflow indices (FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FEF₂₅₋₇₅ or FEF₇₅). In a subgroup of the subjects, we

Iable 4 Association of maximum exercise capacity with lung function				
	Change in VO _{2max} (mL/min)			
Predictor variable	r ²	PE±SEM	P values	
RV/TLC (%)	0.47	-16±6	0.013	
FEV ₁ /FVC (%)	0.46	-12±7	0.070	
FEV ₁ (L)	0.46	149±78	0.059	
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅ (L/s)	0.45	-21±44	0.635	
FEF ₇₅ (L/s)	0.46	-109±76	0.151	
TLC (L)	0.47	113±47	0.017	
RV (L)	0.45	-31±85	0.714	
FRC (L)	0.47	-15±58	0.801	
Slope of V _{FL} (mL/W)*	0.42	-29±10	0.009	
1% change in slope of %EFL (%/W)*	0.40	-3.52±1.39	0.017	
Slope of EELV (FRC) (mL/W)*	0.27	16±18	0.390	
Slope of RV (mL/W)*	0.26	-7±19	0.701	
LAA _{exp -860 to -950} †	0.24	-9±4	0.049	

Association of maximum exercise capacity (VO_{2max}) with lung function was estimated using the regression analyses with

adjustment for age, sex, height and weight with inclusion of one lung function at a time based on the regression model:

Work= $\beta 0+\beta 1(age)+\beta 2(sex)+\beta 3(height)+\beta 4(weight)+\beta 5(lung function)$. n=179 unless otherwise noted. Significant p-values are shown in bold. *n=32.

†n=23.

%EFL, per cent of expiratory flow limitation; EELV, end-expiratory lung volume; FEF_{25-75} , maximum airflow at mid-lung volume; FEF_{75} , maximum airflow at low-lung volume; FEV_1 , forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; LAA_{exp-860 to -950}, per cent low attenuation area between -860 and - 950 HU on RV scan representing radiographic gas trapping; PE±SEM, parameter estimate±SEM; r^2 , model fit; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity; VFL, volume of the tidal breath that is flow limited on expiration; VO_{2max}, maximum oxygen uptake.

found many with normal RV/TLC to have EFL at rest, and many more to develop EFL with exercise. We also demonstrated that exercise capacity, although not associated with an increase in EELV (FRC), was associated

Table 5	Test of possible mediation effect of airflow
measures	s on association of maximum exercise capacity
with air ti	rapping

	Effect of RV/TLC on VO _{2max} (mL/min)			
Mediating variable	Airflow- mediated effect (%)	P values	Non- mediated effect (%)	P values
FEV ₁ /FVC	6.9	0.286	93.1	0.020
FEV ₁	15.2	0.237	84.8	0.042
FEF ₂₅₋₇₅	1.6	0.609	98.4	0.011
FEF ₇₅	2.0	0.655	98.0	0.014

To see if the association of hyperinflation (RV/TLC) with maximum exercise capacity (VO_{2max}) was mediated through airflow indices, mediation analyses were performed with adjustment for age, sex, height, and weight and inclusion of one airflow index at a time based on the model: Work= $\beta_0+\beta_1(age)+\beta_2(sex)+\beta_3(height)+\beta_4(weight)+\beta_5(RV/TLC)+\beta_6(airflow index)$. n=179. Significant p-values are shown in bold.

$$\label{eq:FEF} \begin{split} \mathsf{FEF}_{25-75}, & \text{maximum airflow at mid-lung volume; } \mathsf{FEF}_{75}, & \text{maximum airflow at low-lung volume; } \mathsf{FEV}_1, & \text{forced expiratory volume in 1 s; } \\ \mathsf{FVC}, & \text{forced vital capacity; } \mathsf{RV}, & \text{residual volume; } \mathsf{TLC}, & \text{total lung capacity; } \mathsf{VO}_{2\mathsf{max}}, & \text{maximum oxygen uptake.} \end{split}$$

with progressive EFL (an increase in %EFL that in turn was related to RV/TLC), implicating higher RV/TLC as a possible mechanism that limits exercise capacity in this cohort with preserved spirometry. Finally, in a subgroup with imaging data, we found that $LAA_{exp-860 to -950}$, the radiographic estimation of air trapping, was also associated with lower maximum exercise capacity. Overall, our findings show that in those at risk for obstruction due to SHS exposure but with preserved spirometry, the amount of air retained in lungs at the end of full expiration (RV/TLC), even if within the predicted normal range, has prognostic value in estimating functional capacity.

Many studies have documented the significance of air trapping in patients with overt COPD and its association with increased morbidity and mortality.¹⁰⁻¹⁴ Others have shown that in mild disease or in smokers without GOLD-defined COPD, air trapping and hyperinflation may be associated with physiologic impairment beyond the information provided by spirometry.¹⁵¹⁶ Our study further uncovers the informative nature of lung volumes representing air trapping, even when they are in normal range, in predicting respiratory symptoms and exercise capacity. In fact, we found that having RV/TLC of 90% predicted or higher doubled the likelihood of reporting respiratory symptoms and halved the likelihood of being able to achieve the expected normal exercise capacity. Although our study was done in a cohort of never smokers with prolonged SHS exposure, it is likely that its findings are generalisable to



Figure 4 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis of lung function (FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁, FRC and RV/TLC) as predictors of maximum exercise capacity (VO_{2max}). ROC curves were constructed by dichotomising having any respiratory symptoms (A) or reaching $\geq 100\%$ predicted of maximum oxygen uptake (B) to show independent contributions of the lung function indices after adjustment for covariates. The AUC values were not statistically significantly different from each other. AUC, area under the curve; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1s; FRC, functional residual capacity; FVC, forced vital capacity; RV, residual volume; TLC, total lung capacity.

others who are at risk for COPD due to any cause but have preserved spirometry. Furthermore, since many studies have reported worsened respiratory symptoms and exercise

Table 6Association of maximum exercise capacity andSHS exposure			
	VO_{2max} (mL/min) r ² =0.39		
Variable	PE±SEM	P values	
Cabin SHS exposure (years)	-7±4	0.040	
Childhood home SHS exposure	-6±63	0.930	
Adult home SHS exposure	-16±66	0.805	
Non-airline occupational SHS exposure	91±60	0.134	
Other SHS exposure*	-29±67	0.661	
Age	-10±4	0.008	
Height	9±6	0.134	
Weight	1±4	0.722	
Sex	-448±144	0.002	

The association of maximum exercise capacity (VO_{2max}) with SHS was estimated using the regression analyses with adjustment for age, sex, height and weight on the regression model: Work= $\beta_0+\beta_1(age)+\beta_2(height)+\beta_3(weight)+\beta_4(sex)+\beta_5(cabin SHS)+\beta_6(non-cabin SHS). SHS exposures were included as dichotomous variable except for cabin SHS exposure. *Other SHS exposure was defined as non-aircraft cabin SHS exposure outside the work or home environment such as in recreational public places. n=127. Significant p-values are shown in bold.$

PE±SEM, parameter estimate±SEM; r², model fit; SHS, secondhand tobacco smoke; VO_{2max}, maximum oxygen uptake.

tolerance in patients with spirometric COPD and air trapping,^{6 21 31 32} it would be interesting to ascertain whether RV/TLC is a uniform predictor of worse outcomes regardless of spirometry status of patients (preserved or spirometrically defined COPD).

The physiologic mechanisms that result in air trapping have been extensively studied.^{33–35} In those with obstruction, active exhalation during exercise is thought to further augment air trapping via progressive increase in intrathoracic pressure and thus earlier closure of small airways, a process that results in EFL and increased EELV, reduced vital capacity and exercise limitation. An intriguing finding in our study is that many subjects who did not have evidence of air trapping at baseline (by either RV/TLC or baseline EFL) proceeded to develop EFL with exertion. Similarly, in the small subgroup that underwent CT imaging, many had evidence of mosaic perfusion and gas trapping in the setting of normal RV/TLC. Overall, these observations underscore the value of physiologic measurements during exercise and radiographic CT imaging for diagnosis of subclinical obstructive lung disease. Furthermore, they convey the diverse underlying processes that are likely involved in development of air trapping, and the complexity in its definition through various testing modalities.

A recent study in heavy smokers with preserved spirometry showed evidence of dyspnoea and lower exercise tolerance associated with increased airway resistance and diaphragm dysfunction.³⁶ However, that study did not evaluate the association of air trapping with such outcomes. Our study showed that, at least in this cohort with individuals at risk for obstruction but with preserved spirometry, the effect of lung volumes representing air trapping (RV/TLC) on

maximum exercise capacity was not mediated by airflow obstruction as measured by spirometric airflow indices, and suggests that air trapping may reflect additional pathophysiology beyond what is measured by the airflow indices of small airways. Evidence supporting this hypothesis includes other studies in patients with overt obstruction, which have shown persistence of air trapping for days to weeks even after improvement in airflow obstruction by bronchodilator therapy.^{7–9} Interestingly, a recent cohort study showed that the areas with gas trapping on CT imaging of patients with COPD indeed proceed to become emphysematous on follow-up CT imaging.³⁷ Overall, it appears that the pathophysiology of air trapping may be more complicated than previously thought.

In recent years, CT imaging has been used extensively to characterise patients with COPD and identify radiographic characteristics that could help define functional phenotypes. Some of these measures include presence of emphysema, airway wall thickening and 'gas trapping'. Additionally, various methods have been proposed for optimal quantification of these radiographic attributes.^{24–28 38} A few of these studies have shown gas trapping to be associated with poor respiratory outcomes.^{34 39} In our study, we used a method validated by Matsuoka *et al*⁴⁰ to measure gas trapping via calculation of LAA $_{exp -860 to -950}$ in subjects with preserved spirometry. Remarkably, even in the small number of subjects examined in our radiographic subset, $LAA_{exp-860 to -950}$ was associated with lower maximum exercise capacity. Our findings are consistent with another recent report about the association of gas trapping on CT imaging and respiratory symptoms in a cohort of smokers without spirometric COPD.⁴¹

Our study has limitations that should be kept in view. The cohort studied had only modest past direct smoking but experienced substantial SHS exposure. The American Thoracic Society statement on COPD considers SHS exposure to be a possible, but not definite, cause of COPD.⁴² Since that statement, however, further evidence has emerged supporting a contribution of SHS to development of COPD as well as to obstructive-type lung disease which do not meet the current definition of COPD.^{20 43} The weight of new evidence suggests that the disease caused by exposure to SHS, while it may not be spirometrically defined as COPD, is indeed similar to the lung disease of those smokers without GOLD-defined COPD.^{17 18 44} Another potential limitation is that to assess the presence of respiratory symptoms, we used mMRC and a similar questionnaire that additionally asked about coughing,¹⁹ and found a significant association of RV/TLC only with the latter. However, the direction of association of RV/TLC with symptoms measured by mMRC, although not significant, was consistent with our hypothesis.

In conclusion, we found that in a cohort at risk for COPD due to prolonged occupational exposure to SHS but without spirometric COPD, lung volumes representing air trapping (RV/TLC) had a wide distribution across increments of airflow indices. Higher RV/TLC, even within normal range, identified a subgroup with more prevalent respiratory symptoms and lower exercise capacity. Many of those without abnormal RV/TLC and frank air trapping had or developed EFL with exercise, which was associated with respiratory symptoms and exercise capacity. Our findings, along with other literature, suggest that lung volumes representing air trapping could be used for prognostication in populations with preserved spirometry who are at risk for COPD due to any cause such as direct smoking or air pollution.

Author affiliations

¹Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, Allergy and Immunology, and Sleep Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

²Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine; Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA ³Department of Medicine, San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, California, USA

 ⁴Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands
 ⁵Division of Pulmonary Sciences and Critical Care Medicine, University of Colorado, Denver, Colorado, USA

⁶Department of Medicine, Cardiovascular Research Institute, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

⁷Department of Radiology, University of California, San Francisco, California, USA

Acknowledgements We thank Oliver Beech, Patricia Emerson-Healy, Emily Ghio and Liane Tolang for help with performance of cardiopulmonary exercise testing, and Drs Jonathan Goldin and Berend C Stoel for their consultation regarding CT imaging. We also appreciate the contribution of the flight attendants who took time out of their busy schedules to participate as research subjects in this study.

Contributors Conceived and designed the experiments: MA, WMG. Developed study protocols: MA, WMG. Collected the data: MA, JG, IvK, JK, BB, RKS, BE. Analysed and interpreted the data: MA, SZ, WMG, JG, IvK, BE. Prepared the manuscript: MA, SZ. Edited the manuscript: JG, WMG, PDB. Obtained funding: MA, WMG.

Funding This work was supported by the Flight Attendant Medical Research Institute (MA and WMG) and the discretionary funds from the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) Cardiovascular Research Institute (MA and WMG).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

Ethics approval UCSF IRB.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:319–38.
- Anon. Standardization of Spirometry, 1994 Update. American Thoracic Society. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:1107–36.
- Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agustí ÁG, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013;187:347–65.
- Finucane KE, Colebatch HJ. Elastic behavior of the lung in patients with airway obstruction. J Appl Physiol 1969;26:330–8.

<u>6</u>

- McCarthy DS, Sigurdson M. Lung elastic recoil and reduced airflow in clinically stable asthma. *Thorax* 1980;35:298–302.
- O'Donnell DE, Lam M, Webb KA. Spirometric correlates of improvement in exercise performance after anticholinergic therapy in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;160:542–9.
- Gold WM, Kaufman HS, Nadel JA. Elastic recoil of the lungs in chronic asthmatic patients before and after therapy. J Appl Physiol 1967;23:433–8.
- 8. Verbanck S, Schuermans D, Vincken W. Small airways ventilation heterogeneity and hyperinflation in COPD: response to tiotropium bromide. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2007;2:625–34.
- Woolcock AJ, Read J. Lung volumes in exacerbations of asthma. Am J Med 1966;41:259–73.
- Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Gascon M, *et al.* Inspiratory capacity, dynamic hyperinflation, breathlessness, and exercise performance during the 6-minute-walk test in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;163:1395–9.
- Waschki B, Kirsten A, Holz O, *et al.* Physical activity is the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality in patients with COPD: a prospective cohort study. *Chest* 2011;140:331–42.
- Casanova Ć, Cote C, de Torres JP, *et al.* Inspiratory-to-total lung capacity ratio predicts mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;171:591–7.
 Callens E, Graba S, Gillet-Juvin K, *et al.* Measurement of dynamic
- Callens E, Graba S, Gillet-Juvin K, et al. Measurement of dynamic hyperinflation after a 6-minute walk test in patients with COPD. Chest 2009;136:1466–72.
- Garcia-Rio F, Lores V, Mediano O, et al. Daily physical activity in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is mainly associated with dynamic hyperinflation. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:506–12.
- O'Donnell DE, Laveneziana P, Webb K, *et al*. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: clinical integrative physiology. *Clin Chest Med* 2014;35:51–69.
- Elbehairy AF, Guenette JA, Faisal A, et al. Mechanisms of exertional dyspnoea in symptomatic smokers without COPD. Eur Respir J 2016;48:694–705.
- Arjomandi M, Haight T, Redberg R, et al. Pulmonary function abnormalities in never-smoking flight attendants exposed to secondhand tobacco smoke in the aircraft cabin. J Occup Environ Med 2009;51:639–46.
- Arjomandi M, Haight T, Sadeghi N, et al. Reduced exercise tolerance and pulmonary capillary recruitment with remote secondhand smoke exposure. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e34393.
- Eisner MD, Wang Y, Haight TJ, et al. Secondhand smoke exposure, pulmonary function, and cardiovascular mortality. Ann Epidemiol 2007;17:364–73.
- van Koeverden I, Blanc PD, Bowler RP, et al. Secondhand Tobacco Smoke and COPD Risk in Smokers: A COPDGene Study Cohort Subgroup Analysis. COPD 2015;12:182–9.
- O'Donnell DE, Lam M, Webb KA. Measurement of symptoms, lung hyperinflation, and endurance during exercise in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998;158:1557–65.
- O'Donnell DE, Revill SM, Webb KA. Dynamic hyperinflation and exercise intolerance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001;164:770–7.
- Müller NL, Staples CA, Miller RR, et al. "Density mask". An objective method to quantitate emphysema using computed tomography. Chest 1988;94:782–7.
- 24. Arakawa A, Yamashita Y, Nakayama Y, *et al.* Assessment of lung volumes in pulmonary emphysema using multidetector helical CT: comparison with pulmonary function tests. *Comput Med Imaging Graph* 2001;25:399–404.
- Bankier AA, De Maertelaer V, Keyzer C, et al. Pulmonary emphysema: subjective visual grading versus objective quantification with macroscopic morphometry and thin-section CT densitometry. *Radiology* 1999;211:851–8.

- Lee YK, Oh YM, Lee JH, et al. Quantitative assessment of emphysema, air trapping, and airway thickening on computed tomography. *Lung* 2008;186:157–65.
- Matsuoka Ś, Kurihara Y, Nakajima Y, et al. Serial change in airway lumen and wall thickness at thin-section CT in asymptomatic subjects. *Radiology* 2005;234:595–603.
- Park KJ, Bergin CJ, Clausen JL. Quantitation of emphysema with three-dimensional CT densitometry: comparison with twodimensional analysis, visual emphysema scores, and pulmonary function test results. *Radiology* 1999;211:541–7.
- Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51:1173–82.
- Wasserman K, Hansen JE, Sue DY, et al. Principles of Exercise Testing and Interpretation: Including Pathophysiology and Clinical Applications. 3rd edn. Philadelphia, USA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins, 1999.
- O'Donnell DE, Webb KA. Exertional breathlessness in patients with chronic airflow limitation. The role of lung hyperinflation. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1993;148:1351–7.
- Ofir D, Laveneziana P, Webb KA, et al. Mechanisms of dyspnea during cycle exercise in symptomatic patients with GOLD stage I chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2008;177:622–9.
- Langer D, Ciavaglia CE, Neder JA, et al. Lung hyperinflation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: mechanisms, clinical implications and treatment. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2014;8:731–49.
- Giuntini C, Camiciottoli G, Maluccio NM, et al. Chronic effort dyspnea explained by lung function tests and by HRCT and CRX radiographic patterns in COPD: a post-hoc analysis in 51 patients. COPD 2007;4:169–76.
- Laveneziana P, Webb KA, Wadell K, et al. Does expiratory muscle activity influence dynamic hyperinflation and exertional dyspnea in COPD? *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* 2014;199:24–33.
- Elbehairy AF, Faisal A, Guenette JA, *et al.* Resting Physiological Correlates of Reduced Exercise Capacity in Smokers with Mild Airway Obstruction. *COPD* 2017;14:267–75.
- Mets OM, de Jong PA, van Ginneken B, et al. CT air trapping is independently associated with lung function reduction over time. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e61783.
- Schroeder JD, McKenzie AS, Zach JA, et al. Relationships between airflow obstruction and quantitative CT measurements of emphysema, air trapping, and airways in subjects with and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2013;201:W460–W470.
- Hersh CP, Washko GR, Estépar RS, et al. Paired inspiratoryexpiratory chest CT scans to assess for small airways disease in COPD. *Respir Res* 2013;14:42.
- Matsuoka S, Kurihara Y, Yagihashi K, et al. Quantitative assessment of air trapping in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease using inspiratory and expiratory volumetric MDCT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2008;190:762–9.
- Bodduluri S, Reinhardt JM, Hoffman EA, et al. Signs of Gas Trapping in Normal Lung Density Regions in Smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;196:1404–10.
- Eisner MD, Anthonisen N, Coultas D, et al. An official American Thoracic Society public policy statement: Novel risk factors and the global burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010;182:693–718.
- He Y, Jiang B, Li LS, *et al.* Secondhand smoke exposure predicted COPD and other tobacco-related mortality in a 17-year cohort study in China. *Chest* 2012;142:909–18.
- Woodruff PG, Barr RG, Bleecker E, et al. Clinical Significance of Symptoms in Smokers with Preserved Pulmonary Function. N Engl J Med 2016;374:1811–21.