### UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

#### Title

A Longitudinal Investigation of Internalized Stigma, Constrained Disclosure, and Quality of Life Across 12 Weeks in Lung Cancer Patients on Active Oncologic Treatment

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5s63v134

**Journal** Journal of Thoracic Oncology, 13(9)

1556-0864

#### Authors

ISSN

Williamson, Timothy J Choi, Alyssa K Kim, Julie C <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2018-09-01

#### DOI

10.1016/j.jtho.2018.06.018

Peer reviewed



# **HHS Public Access**

J Thorac Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Thorac Oncol. 2018 September; 13(9): 1284–1293. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2018.06.018.

# A longitudinal investigation of internalized stigma, constrained disclosure, and quality of life across 12 weeks in lung cancer patients on active oncologic treatment

Timothy J. Williamson, M.A., MPH<sup>1</sup>, Alyssa K. Choi, B.A<sup>1</sup>, Julie C. Kim, B.A.<sup>1</sup>, Edward B. Garon, M.D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Jenessa R. Shapiro, Ph.D.<sup>1,4</sup>, Michael R. Irwin, M.D.<sup>1,5,6</sup>, Jonathan W. Goldman, M.D.<sup>2</sup>, Krikor Bornyazan, B.S.<sup>2</sup>, James M. Carroll, B.S.<sup>2</sup>, and Annette L. Stanton, Ph.D.<sup>1,3,5,6</sup>

<sup>1.</sup> Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles

<sup>2.</sup> Department of Medicine, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles

- <sup>3.</sup> Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Los Angeles
- <sup>4.</sup> Anderson School of Management, University of California, Los Angeles
- <sup>5.</sup> Department of Psychiatry/Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles
- <sup>6.</sup> Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, University of California, Los Angeles

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Internalized lung cancer stigma (i.e., feelings of regret, shame, and self-blame about one's lung cancer) is related to poorer psychological outcomes. Less is known about how internalized stigma relates to physical and functional outcomes or how constrained disclosure (i.e., avoidance of or discomfort about disclosing one's lung cancer status to others) relates to well-being. Furthermore, no study has examined whether internalized stigma and constrained disclosure predict changes in well-being for lung cancer patients. This longitudinal study characterized relationships of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure with emotional and physical/functional outcomes.

**Methods:** Participants (N=101, 52.4% male, 63.4% currently/formerly smoked) were lung cancer patients on active medical treatment who completed questionnaires on stigma and well-being at study entry and at 6- and 12-week follow-up. Multivariable linear regressions characterized

Correspondence to: Annette L. Stanton, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, 1285 Franz Hall, Box 951563, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095 1563; astanton@ucla.edu.

Conflicts of interests: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Compliance with ethical standards:

This research complied with laws of the country in which it was performed. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants in the study

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

relationships of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure with emotional and physical/ functional well-being at study entry and across time.

**Results:** Participants who currently or formerly smoked reported higher levels of internalized stigma (but not constrained disclosure), compared to never smokers (p<.001). Higher internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were uniquely associated with poorer emotional and physical/ functional well-being at study entry (all p<.05), beyond sociodemographic characteristics, time elapsed since diagnosis, and smoking status. Higher internalized stigma predicted significant declines in emotional well-being across 6 and 12 weeks (all p<.01) and declines in physical/ functional well-being across 6 weeks (p<.05).

**Conclusions:** Internalized lung cancer stigma and constrained disclosure relate to emotional and physical/functional maladjustment. Findings carry implications for provider- and patient-focused interventions to reduce internalized stigma and promote well-being.

#### Keywords

lung cancer; stigma; disclosure; quality of life; well-being; longitudinal

#### Introduction

In 2018, an estimated 234,030 new cases of lung cancer will be diagnosed in the U.S.<sup>1</sup> Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality, accounting for an estimated 25% of cancer-related deaths in 2018.<sup>1</sup> However, lung cancer mortality has declined by 45% for men and 19% for women over several decades, due to reductions in smoking,<sup>2</sup> advances in screening,<sup>3</sup> and newly developed oncologic treatments.<sup>4</sup> An estimated 415,000 men and women in the U.S. are living with lung cancer.<sup>5</sup> A substantial proportion of adults with lung cancer report bothersome physical symptoms and evidence significant impairments in physical and emotional well-being as well as impairments in functional well-being, defined as the ability to perform usual tasks of daily living.<sup>6-10</sup> People with lung cancer also report worse quality of life and greater distress, compared to age-matched controls and adults with other cancers.<sup>11,12</sup> It is crucial to understand and promote quality of life for this population, particularly because it may also relate to important clinical outcomes (e.g., disease progression, survival).<sup>13,14</sup> Sociodemographic and medical characteristics can be relevant for identifying patients most likely to experience physical and psychological morbidities. <sup>15–17</sup> However, these characteristics cannot be changed through intervention. Therefore, it is important to identify malleable psychosocial factors that predict well-being and can potentially be harnessed through intervention. Additionally, identifying such factors within a longitudinal perspective is needed to bolster causal inference of psychosocial factors influencing health-related outcomes, test theoretical models of adjustment to disease, and identify targets for intervention.

Stigma, defined as recognition and devaluation of a distinguishing characteristic,<sup>18</sup> is an important psychosocial risk factor to study in this population because lung cancer is stigmatized due to its strong association with smoking, the perception of the disease as self-inflicted, and the lethality of the diease.<sup>19</sup> Perceptions of stigma are commonly reported by lung cancer patients.<sup>20,21</sup> Additionally, the general population<sup>22</sup> and medical providers<sup>22,23</sup>

Williamson et al.

evidence negatively biased perceptions towards lung cancer patients. Cross-sectional research demonstrates that higher levels of lung cancer stigma are associated with poorer quality of life,<sup>24</sup> higher levels of depressive symptoms,<sup>24–27</sup> and higher distress.<sup>26</sup> However, the correlational nature of past research precludes causal inference, prompting calls for longitudinal research to examine temporal relationships between lung cancer stigma and health-related adjustment.<sup>26,27</sup> Accordingly, the aim of this longitudinal study was to test

whether lung cancer stigma and the associated experience of constrained disclosure, defined as avoidance or discomfort about disclosing one's lung cancer status to others,<sup>20</sup> predicted emotional and physical/functional well-being across 12 weeks in lung cancer patients on active oncologic treatment.

The process of stigmatization involves the devaluation of an individual based on a distinguishing characteristic<sup>18</sup> and can prompt intrapersonal processes such as internalized stigma (i.e., directing negative societal attitudes toward oneself)<sup>18</sup> and anticipated stigma (i.e., fear of negative evaluation or treatment from others).<sup>28</sup> These processes represent the two primary ways that stigma has been conceptualized as a psychosocial stressor that can be deleterious for well-being<sup>18,20,28</sup> and are described below with regard to their relevance to lung cancer.

Research on lung cancer stigma has focused primarily on internalized stigma. Consistent with theory,<sup>20,28</sup> internalized stigma is indicated by feelings of regret, shame, and self-blame and is experienced by the majority of lung cancer patients.<sup>20</sup> Internalized stigma may be related to poor health through processes such as low self-esteem, maladaptive beliefs about oneself, or restricted use of social support resources.<sup>18</sup> Evidence suggests that internalized stigma is higher among adults who smoked,<sup>20,26,29</sup> because the psychological experiences of internalized stigma are closely linked with one's smoking history. However, relationships between nuanced smoking-related factors (e.g., time elapsed since smoking cessation) and internalized stigma have not been tested. Characterizing such relationships could identify particular subgroups of patients who may benefit from interventions designed to decrease internalized stigma.

Many people with lung cancer report experiences of anticipated stigma,<sup>21,28,30</sup> which may be harmful for health through processes such as affective or physiological hypervigilance or perceived stress.<sup>18</sup> Feelings of anticipated stigma are also theorized to lead to constrained disclosure.<sup>20</sup> Constrained disclosure has been reliably characterized in a sample of over 200 lung cancer patients and was related significantly to higher internalized stigma.<sup>31</sup> It is posited that constrained disclosure may be harmful for health by hindering patients' ability to recruit social support or process their thoughts and feelings about their cancer.<sup>32</sup> Few studies, however, have examined whether constrained disclosure is related to health outcomes. One study demonstrated that higher self-reported social constraint, which includes constrained disclosure about one's lung cancer, mediated the relationships of shame with higher distress and worse quality of life.<sup>32</sup> Also, recently presented findings indicate that constrained disclosure is related to higher depressive symptoms in lung cancer patients. <sup>33</sup> Constrained disclosure and internalized lung cancer stigma are conceptually and statistically related,<sup>31,33</sup> and no study has evaluated their unique contributions to healthrelated adjustment.

#### Williamson et al.

Research has predominantly focused on characterizing the relationship between lung cancer stigma and psychological health.<sup>24–27,29,34,35</sup> Only two studies to our knowledge have assessed whether stigma is related to greater physical symptom severity and/or functional impairments in lung cancer patients, <sup>26,35</sup> and none has done so longitudinally. Additional research is needed to assess whether internalized lung cancer stigma and constrained disclosure are related to physical and functional outcomes across time.

The primary aim of the current study was to test whether internalized lung cancer stigma and/or constrained disclosure were associated significantly with emotional and physical/ functional quality of life across 12 weeks in a sample of lung cancer patients on active oncologic treatment. Theory and research <sup>20,26,35</sup> led to the hypothesis that higher internalized stigma and constrained disclosure would be associated with poorer emotional and physical/functional well-being at study entry and would predict significant declines in well-being 6 and 12 weeks later. We also explored whether smoking-related characteristics (e.g., months since smoking cessation) were associated with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure whether smoking status moderated relationships between internalized stigma and constrained disclosure with well-being.

#### Methods

#### **Participants**

Men and women with lung cancer were recruited to participate in the study through UCLA Hematology and Oncology clinics. Patients were eligible if they were: 1) diagnosed with lung cancer (any type, any diagnosis duration); 2) receiving active oncologic treatment; 3) at least 18 years of age; and 4) comfortable reading and responding to questions in English. Participants were ineligible if cognitive impairment was apparent. Consecutive patients were recruited to reduce potential bias. Eligible participants completed self-report questionnaires at study entry as well as at 6- and 12-week follow-up assessments. All participants provided written informed consent. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, Los Angeles.

#### Measures

At study entry, participants reported their age, sex, race/ethnicity, years of education, marital status, and smoking history. Current oncologic treatment, months since diagnosis, cancer stage and type, history of cancer-related surgery, and past receipt of chemotherapy were assessed via medical record review. Smoking status was separated into three categories: current, former, and never smoker (fewer than 100 cigarettes smoked in lifetime).<sup>26</sup> Participants who currently or formerly smoked provided age at smoking initiation, number of years smoked, number of packs smoked per day, and months since smoking cessation (scored as 0 for those currently smoking). Pack years was computed by multiplying number of packs smoked per day by number of years smoked.

Internalized stigma was measured at study entry using an adapted version of the Cancer Responsibility and Regret Scale.<sup>26</sup> Specifically, we used the four items from the regret subscale (e.g., "When it comes to my cancer, I have nothing to be ashamed of" [reverse

Williamson et al.

scored]) in addition to four new items adapted from HIV-related stigma scales<sup>36,37</sup> that captured feelings of shame, guilt, and self-blame (e.g., "I feel guilty that I have lung cancer"). Constrained disclosure was assessed at study entry with two adapted items from an HIV stigma scale<sup>37</sup> ("I hide my lung cancer status from others", "It is difficult for me to tell people about my lung cancer"). Items were rated on a 7-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 7 = strongly agree). Higher scores indicate higher internalized stigma and constrained disclosure. Internal consistency reliability for both subscales was adequate ( $\alpha$ s > .74; Spearman-Brown coefficients > .74).

Emotional and physical/functional well-being were measured at study entry and at 6- and 12-week follow-up with two subscales from the 35-item Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Lung (FACT-L) scale.<sup>38</sup> The Trial Outcome Index (TOI), a subscale of the FACT-L, was computed as the sum of the 21 physical well-being (e.g., "I have nausea"), functional wellbeing (e.g., "I am able to work [including work at home]"), and lung cancer-specific symptom items (e.g., "I have been short of breath"). It is considered the best composite index of physical/functional well-being and lung cancer-specific symptoms.<sup>38</sup> Additionally, the emotional well-being subscale from the FACT-L was computed as the sum of the 6 emotional well-being items (e.g., "I feel sad"). The FACT-L TOI (referred to as physical/functional well-being subscales had adequate internal consistency reliability at all assessments ( $\alpha$ s > .68). Higher scores indicate higher well-being.<sup>38</sup> Total scores range from 0–24 and 0–84 for emotional and physical/functional well-being, respectively.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

First, descriptive statistics were derived for study variables. Next, between-subjects ANOVAs were used to evaluate whether internalized stigma and constrained disclosure differed by smoking status. Among participants who currently or formerly smoked, Pearson's correlations were used to examine relationships between smoking-related characteristics with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure. Multivariable linear regressions were conducted to explore whether smoking status moderated relationships between internalized stigma, constrained disclosure, and well-being.

Next, multivariable linear regression models using the SEM command in STATA v13 were conducted to evaluate internalized stigma and constrained disclosure as predictors of wellbeing at study entry and over time. Emotional and physical/functional well-being at study entry, 6-week follow-up, and 12-week follow-up were each entered as the dependent variable in six separate multivariable linear regression models. To evaluate change over time, emotional and physical/functional well-being at 6- and 12-week follow-up were evaluated with study entry well-being controlled statistically.

A priori based on evidence,  $^{17,39-41}$  age, sex, education, race/ethnicity, and marital status were selected as covariates. Because findings on whether cancer- and treatment-related characteristics are related to quality of life in lung cancer patients are mixed,  $^{15,41-43}$  any medical factor associated with the outcome at p < .10 also was entered as a covariate.

Likelihood ratio tests assessed whether the full model produced significantly better model fit than the covariateonly model. Regressions were estimated with full information maximum likelihood to address missing data.<sup>44</sup> Two-tailed significance tests were used, and p < .05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Of 211 patients screened, 25 were ineligible, 40 declined to participate, and 20 passively refused (e.g., indicated interest in being re-approached but attempts to do so were unsuccessful). Of 186 eligible patients, 126 provided informed consent and the 101 participants (53 men, 48 women) who completed the first survey were included in subsequent analyses. Of the 101 participants, 80 (79%) and 69 (68%) participants completed the 6- and 12-week follow-up assessments, respectively. Participants who completed all assessments did not differ significantly from participants who completed one or two assessments on internalized stigma, constrained disclosure, or study entry well-being (p's > . 35).

The sample was comprised of eight participants who currently smoked, 56 who formerly smoked, and 37 who never smoked. On average, participants were 64.5 years old and had 15.7 years of education. The majority was married/living as married, non-Hispanic White, and had stage IV disease. Ninety participants were diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer (76.7% [n=69 of 90] of which was adenocarcinoma), eight with small cell lung cancer, and three with mesothelioma (Table 1). (Note that findings did not change if the three participants with mesothelioma were excluded from analyses.) Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. Internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were correlated significantly (r = .20, p = .048) and did not interact significantly to predict the outcomes (all p > .30).

#### Internalized Stigma and Constrained Disclosure by Smoking-Related Factors

The three smoking groups differed significantly on internalized stigma (F(2,95) = 14.40, p < .001). Planned comparisons (Tukey's Honest Significant Difference tests) revealed that current smokers reported higher levels of internalized stigma than former (p = .001) and never smokers (p < .001). Former smokers also reported higher levels of internalized stigma than never smokers (p = .013). Smoking history groups did not differ significantly on constrained disclosure (p = .809). Findings are displayed in Figure 1. The three smoking history groups did not differ significantly by age, education, sex, and race/ethnicity (all p > .10), or on emotional or physical/functional well-being at study entry (all p > .41). Statistical significance of these findings was identical when smoking status was examined dichotomously (current/former vs. never).

Among participants who currently/formerly smoked, a greater number of months since smoking cessation was related to lower levels of internalized stigma (r = -.47, p < .001) but not constrained disclosure (r = -.06, p = .674). Additionally, number of pack years smoked and age at smoking initiation were not significantly related to internalized stigma (all p > . 122) or constrained disclosure (all p > .377). Results were unchanged when excluding the eight participants who currently smoked.

#### Well-Being Outcomes Regressed on Internalized Stigma and Constrained Disclosure

**Covariates.**—Emotional and physical/functional well-being at each assessment was not associated significantly with cancer type (non-small cell vs. other type; all p > .44), current oncologic treatment (immunotherapy or targeted therapy, chemotherapy, combination therapy; all p > .16), disease stage (stage IV vs. I-III; p's > .14), line of treatment (all p > . 35), participation in an oncologic clinical trial (all p > .17), history of chemotherapy (all p > .16), or previous lung cancer-related surgery (e.g., lobectomy; all p > .28). Emotional wellbeing was not associated significantly with months since diagnosis (all p > .25). By contrast, poorer study entry physical/functional well-being was associated with fewer months since diagnosis (r = .17, p = .098) at p < .10. As such, months since diagnosis was included as a covariate in analyses.

Smoking status did not interact significantly with internalized stigma or constrained disclosure on emotional well-being, physical/functional well-being, or changes in well-being over time (p's > .16), although power to test interactions was limited. As such, smoking status (current/former vs. never) was included along with months since diagnosis and a priori covariates (i.e., age, sex, education, race/ethnicity, marital status) in analyses.

**Study entry.**—First, we evaluated associations of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure with emotional well-being (Table 2) and physical/functional well-being (Table 3) at study entry, controlling for smoking status, sociodemographic factors, and months since diagnosis. Regarding covariates, women reported significantly poorer emotional and physical/functional well-being. Additionally, fewer months since diagnosis was associated significantly with poorer physical/functional well-being. No other covariate was associated significantly with the outcomes (all p > .07). Higher internalized stigma and higher constrained disclosure were associated significantly with poorer emotional and physical/functional well-being (Tables 2 and 3). The model with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure produced a significantly better fit than the covariate-only model for both emotional ( $\chi^2(2)= 12.19$ , p=.002) and physical/functional well-being ( $\chi^2(2)= 25.29$ , p < .001).

**Changes in well-being across six weeks.**—Next, we assessed internalized stigma and constrained disclosure as predictors of 6-week well-being, controlling for covariates and well-being at study entry (Tables 2 and 3). Study entry emotional and physical/functional well-being, respectively, significantly predicted 6-week emotional and physical/functional well-being. Being married was associated significantly with declining emotional and physical/functional well-being across 6 weeks. Additionally, non-Hispanic white race/ ethnicity was associated significantly with declining physical/functional well-being across 6 weeks. No other covariates were associated significantly with the outcomes (all p > .05).

Higher internalized stigma was associated significantly with declining emotional and physical/functional well-being (Tables 2 and 3). Constrained disclosure was not significantly associated with changes in emotional or physical/functional well-being (all p > .10). The model with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure produced a significantly better fit

than the covariate-only model for both emotional ( $\chi^2(2) = 9.77$ , p = .008) and physical/functional well-being ( $\chi^2(2) = 6.59$ , p = .037).

**Changes in well-being across 12 weeks.**—Finally, we assessed internalized stigma and constrained disclosure as predictors of 12-week well-being, controlling for covariates and well-being at study entry (Tables 2 and 3). Well-being at study entry significantly predicted 12-week emotional and physical/functional well-being, respectively. Covariates were not associated significantly with changes in well-being across 12 weeks (all p > .11). Higher internalized stigma was associated significantly with declining emotional but not physical/functional well-being across 12 weeks. Constrained disclosure was not associated significantly with changes in emotional or physical/functional well-being (all p > .33). The model with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure produced a significantly better fit than the covariate-only model for emotional ( $\chi^2(2) = 7.86$ , p = .020) but not physical/functional well-being ( $\chi^2(2) = 3.45$ , p = .178).

#### Discussion

In this 12-week study of 101 adults in treatment for lung cancer, higher internalized stigma (i.e., feelings of regret, shame, and self-blame about one's lung cancer) and constrained disclosure (i.e., avoidance or discomfort about disclosing one's lung cancer status to others) were uniquely associated with poorer emotional and physical/functional well-being at study entry, beyond sociodemographic, medical, and smoking-related characteristics, as hypothesized. Findings are consistent with cross-sectional research that higher levels of overall lung cancer stigma <sup>24,27,34,35</sup> and feelings of regret, guilt, and shame specifically, <sup>25,26,29</sup> are associated with poorer psychological outcomes. Internalized lung cancer stigma also was related to poorer physical/functional well-being, consistent with some cross-sectional research.<sup>26,35</sup>

The present study also demonstrated that constrained disclosure is associated with adverse psychological and physical/functional well-being, independent of internalized stigma, which is a novel finding. These results are consistent with recently presented results that constrained disclosure about one's lung cancer is associated with higher depressive symptoms.<sup>33</sup> Constrained disclosure may contribute to poorer health outcomes by preventing patients from seeking social support or processing their thoughts and feelings with others,<sup>32,45</sup> which can be adaptive strategies for coping with cancer. Alternatively, feeling unwell may lead to constrained disclosure, and additional longitudinal research is needed to clarify temporal precedence.

This is the first longitudinal study to demonstrate significant relationships between stigma processes and changes in health-related outcomes among lung cancer patients, extending previous cross-sectional research.<sup>24,25,26,27,29,34,35</sup> Findings demonstrated that internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were uniquely associated with changes in emotional and physical/functional well-being. Specifically, higher internalized stigma predicted significant declines in emotional well-being across 6 and 12 weeks as well as declines in physical/functional well-being across 6 weeks. Constrained disclosure was not associated with changes in well-being. Future research should examine whether individual differences, such

as extraversion or use of particular coping strategies, moderate relationships between constrained disclosure and health-related adjustment. The well-being of lung cancer patients fluctuates throughout the cancer trajectory,<sup>14,43</sup> and these findings highlight the utility of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure in explaining such changes, beyond sociodemographic, medical, and smoking-related factors.

Internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were correlated significantly, consistent with prior research<sup>31</sup>. Notably, overall levels of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were low, also consistent with prior research.<sup>26,34</sup> On average, patients reported slight disagreement with stigma items, although scores spanned the range of the scales with some patients endorsing moderate or strong agreement with stigma items. The proportion of participants who never smoked (37%) in the current sample was higher than in the lung cancer population (10%), which may explain the low levels of stigma.<sup>46</sup> Despite low endorsement of stigma, robust relationships between internalized stigma, constrained disclosure, and well-being were apparent.

At study entry, women reported worse emotional and physical/functional well-being than men, which is consistent with prior research.<sup>39</sup> Years of education and smoking status were not related significantly to well-being, consistent with other studies of lung cancer patients. <sup>9,41,47</sup> Shorter time elapsed since diagnosis was related to poorer physical/functional well-being at study entry, which is inconsistent with previous opposite<sup>9</sup> or null findings.<sup>39</sup> Previous studies assessed lung cancer patients during long-term survivorship or post-surgery rather than on active oncologic treatment, however, which may explain the disparate findings. Age and cancer stage were not related significantly to well-being, which also contradicts others' findings.<sup>8,39,40</sup> Finally, it is unclear why being married and non-Hispanic white race/ethnicity were associated with declining well-being across 6 weeks, given that these factors have previously been related to better functioning.<sup>17</sup> Notably, marital status and race/ethnicity did not differentiate patients on study entry well-being.

Consistent with prior research,<sup>26,29</sup> those who currently or formerly smoked (vs. never smokers) reported higher levels of internalized stigma. Feelings of self-blame, guilt, shame, and regret surrounding one's lung cancer diagnosis are closely linked to one's past experiences of smoking.<sup>19</sup> Interestingly, levels of constrained disclosure were comparable across all smoking groups, consistent with recently presented findings from a separate sample of lung cancer patients.<sup>33</sup> People may not share their lung cancer status, regardless of smoking history, for several reasons. Some may limit their disclosure to avoid others' automatic reactions, which often imply that the cancer is their fault,<sup>21</sup> and others may want to avoid pity<sup>20</sup> or burdening others.<sup>48</sup> This is the first quantitative study to demonstrate that current smokers report significantly higher levels of internalized stigma than former smokers, which is consistent with qualitative findings.<sup>20</sup> Current and former smokers did not differ significantly on feelings of regret in one study $^{26}$ , and other studies combined current and former smokers into one group when assessing internalized stigma.<sup>24,29</sup> Current smokers may face stigma not only about their past but also about their current smoking, perhaps from their medical team, family, or friends. However, these findings should be cautiously interpreted, given that only eight participants currently smoked.

Among participants who currently or formerly smoked, we explored whether smokingrelated factors were associated with internalized stigma and constrained disclosure. A greater number of months since smoking cessation was associated significantly with lower levels of internalized stigma, but not constrained disclosure. Qualitative findings also suggest that feelings of internalized stigma are expressed more by lung cancer patients who currently smoke or recently quit, compared to long-term quitters.<sup>20</sup> Adults who have quit smoking for a longer time may have developed an identity as a former (rather than current) smoker, which may mitigate feelings of shame. Thus, early smoking cessation efforts may have implications for preventing or reducing internalized stigma. Age at smoking initiation and pack years smoked were not associated with internalized stigma or constrained disclosure, consistent with recently presented findings.<sup>33</sup>

#### Limitations and Strengths

A strength of the study is its longitudinal design, which informs theory<sup>20</sup> and strengthens causal inference about the influence of lung cancer stigma on well-being. However, causality cannot be directly inferred. Interventions that aim to reduce lung cancer stigma and subsequently facilitate positive adjustment would further bolster causal inference. Although some attrition occurred, the retention rate (68%) was comparable to another 12-week longitudinal study of lung cancer patients (67%).<sup>49</sup> Additionally, using separate measures of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure allowed for evaluation of independent contributions of these constructs<sup>31</sup> for both emotional and physical/functional health outcomes. However, the constrained disclosure subscale contained only two items, and assessing this construct with additional items may provide a more reliable estimate of constrained disclosure. Future research should quantify lung cancer stigma and constrained disclosure with the recently developed Lung Cancer Stigma Inventory,<sup>31</sup> which uses a 6-item constrained disclosure subscale and was established through a multiphase psychometric evaluation process. Most participants were non-Hispanic white and caution is warranted in generalizing these findings broadly. However, race/ethnicity was not associated with internalized stigma, constrained disclosure, or well-being.

#### Conclusions

Although internalized stigma and constrained disclosure were not high, they contributed significantly and uniquely to emotional and physical/functional well-being in lung cancer patients during active oncologic treatment. Additionally, internalized stigma may be especially important for explaining changes in well-being across time, and adults who currently smoke or have recently quit may be at particular risk for experiencing internalized stigma.

There is a need for interventions to reduce the negative influence of stigma and improve the well-being for lung cancer patients, and the longitudinal findings in the current study can inform such interventions. Specifically, feelings of self-blame and shame may be candidate intervention targets for mindfulness- or acceptance-based therapies.<sup>50</sup> Additionally, affective or physiological hypervigilance associated with anticipated stigma should also be considered as potential targets for such interventions. Finally, feelings of avoidance or discomfort about

disclosing one's lung cancer status may be targeted through provider-focused interventions that encourage oncologists and medical teams to use empathic communication skills in their discussions with lung cancer patients and couple- or family-based interventions that aim to bolster socially supportive exchanges. Future research should examine whether lung cancer stigma varies or relates to health outcomes differently across various phases of the cancer trajectory (e.g., at diagnosis, during long-term survivorship, at the end-of-life). These findings highlight the importance of internalized lung cancer stigma and constrained disclosure for health-related adjustment and emphasize the need for intervention development.

#### Acknowledgments:

We extend gratitude to the women and men who participated in this research as well as to Dr. Aaron Lisberg, Dr. Karin Stinesen Kollberg, Paulina Linares, Anna Chiu, Benjamin Jones, Jamie Hunt, Jennifer Strunck, Elinam Ladzekpo, Niharika Reddy, Marshall Spiegel, John Madrigal, and Eljie Bragasin.

Funding support:

This research was supported in part by a National Institute of Mental Health Predoctoral Fellowship (MH-15750; Williamson), the American Lung Association (LH-51232; Williamson), NIH (RO1 CA208403; Garon), NIH (AG051944; AG056424; CA160245; CA207130; CA203930; AG052655; DA032922; Irwin), and the Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center/David Geffen School of Medicine (Stanton).

#### References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(1):7–30. [PubMed: 29313949]
- Islami F, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global trends of lung cancer mortality and smoking prevalence. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2015;4(4):327–338. [PubMed: 26380174]
- National Lung Screening Trial Research Team, Aberle DR, Adams AM, et al. Reduced lung-cancer mortality with low-dose computed tomographic screening. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(5):395–409. [PubMed: 21714641]
- Garon EB, Rizvi NA, Hui R, et al. Pembrolizumab for the treatment of non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(21):2018–2028. [PubMed: 25891174]
- 5. Howlander N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975–2013. Natl Cancer Institute Bethesda, MD 2016.
- 6. Morrison EJ, Novotny PJ, Sloan JA, et al. Emotional problems, quality of life, and symptom burden in patients with lung cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2017;18(5):497–503. [PubMed: 28412094]
- 7. Hung R, Krebs P, Coups EJ, et al. Fatigue and functional impairment in early-stage non-small cell lung cancer survivors. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2011;41(2):426–435. [PubMed: 21216563]
- Gift AG, Jablonski A, Stommel M, Given W. Symptom clusters in elderly patients with lung cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2004;31(2):203–210.
- Sarna L, Evangelista L, Tashkin D, et al. Impact of respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function on quality of life of long-term survivors of non-small cell lung cancer. Chest. 2004;125(2):439–445. [PubMed: 14769722]
- Montazeri A, Milroy R, Hole D, McEwen J, Gillis CR. How quality of life data contribute to our understanding of cancer patients' experiences? A study of patients with lung cancer. Qual Life Res. 2003;12(2):157–166. [PubMed: 12639062]
- Sugimura H, Yang P. Long-term survivorship in lung cancer: A review. Chest. 2006;129(4):1088– 1097. [PubMed: 16608961]
- Zabora J, Brintzenhofeszoc K, Curbow B, Hooker C, Piantadosi S. The prevalence of psychological distress by cancer site. Psychooncology. 2001;10(1):19–28. [PubMed: 11180574]

- Möller A, Sartipy U. Associations between changes in quality of life and survival after lung cancer surgery. J Thorac Oncol. 2012;7(1):183–187. [PubMed: 21964535]
- Eton DT, Fairclough DL, Cella D, Yount SE, Bonomi P, Johnson DH. Early change in patientreported health during lung cancer chemotherapy predicts clinical outcomes beyond those predicted by baseline report: Results from Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Study 5592. J Clin Oncol. 2003;21(8):1536–1543. [PubMed: 12697878]
- 15. Ostroff JS, Krebs P, Coups EJ, et al. Health-related quality of life among early-stage, non-small cell, lung cancer survivors. Lung Cancer. 2011;71(1):103–108. [PubMed: 20462654]
- Möller A, Sartipy U. Predictors of postoperative quality of life after surgery for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2012;7(2):406–411. [PubMed: 22089118]
- Ye J, Shim R, Garrett SL, Daniels E. Health-related quality of life in elderly black and white patients with cancer: results from Medicare managed care population. Ethn Dis. 2012;22(3):302– 307. [PubMed: 22870573]
- Stuber J, Meyer I, Link B. Stigma, prejudice, discrimination and health. Soc Sci Med. 2008;67(3): 351–357. [PubMed: 18440687]
- Chambers SK, Dunn J, Occhipinti S, et al. A systematic review of the impact of stigma and nihilism on lung cancer outcomes. BMC Cancer. 2012;12(1):184. [PubMed: 22607085]
- Hamann HA, Ostroff JS, Marks EG, Gerber DE, Schiller JH, Lee SJC. Stigma among patients with lung cancer: A patient-reported measurement model. Psychooncology. 2014;23(1):81–92. [PubMed: 24123664]
- 21. Chapple A, Ziebland S, McPherson A. Stigma, shame, and blame experienced by patients with lung cancer: qualitative study. Soc Sci Med. 2010;70(6):800–801.
- 22. Sriram N, Mills J, Lang E, et al. Attitudes and stereotypes in lung cancer versus breast cancer. PLoS One. 2015;10(12).
- 23. Hamann HA, Lee JW, Schiller JH, et al. Clinician perceptions of care difficulty, quality of life, and symptom reports for lung cancer patients An analysis from the Symptom Outcomes and Practice Patterns (SOAPP) Study. J Thorac Oncol. 2013;8(12):1474–1483. [PubMed: 24189514]
- 24. Cataldo JK, Jahan TM, Pongquan VL. Lung cancer stigma, depression, and quality of life among ever and never smokers. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2012;16(3):264–269. [PubMed: 21803653]
- Else-Quest NM, LoConte NK, Schiller JH, Hyde JS. Perceived stigma, self-blame, and adjustment among lung, breast and prostate cancer patients. Psychol Health. 2009;24(8):949–964. [PubMed: 20205038]
- Criswell KR, Owen JE, Thornton AA, Stanton AL. Personal responsibility, regret, and medical stigma among individuals living with lung cancer. J Behav Med. 2016;39(2):241–253. [PubMed: 26546243]
- 27. Gonzalez BD, Jacobsen PB. Depression in lung cancer patients: The role of perceived stigma. Psychooncology. 2012;21(3):239–246. [PubMed: 22383265]
- Quinn DM, Chaudoir SR. Living with a concealable stigmatized identity: The impact of anticipated stigma, centrality, salience, and cultural stigma on psychological distress and health. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2009;97(4):634–651. doi:10.1037/a0015815. [PubMed: 19785483]
- LoConte NK, Else-Quest NM, Eickhoff J, Hyde J, Schiller JH. Assessment of guilt and shame in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer compared with patients with breast and prostate cancer. Clin Lung Cancer. 2008;9(3):171–178. [PubMed: 18621628]
- Tod AM, Craven J, Allmark P. Diagnostic delay in lung cancer: A qualitative study. J Adv Nurs. 2008;61(3):336–343. [PubMed: 18197868]
- Hamann HA, Shen MJ, Thomas AJ, Lee SJC, Ostroff JS. Development and preliminary psychometric evaluation of a Patient-Reported Outcome measure for lung cancer stigma: The Lung Cancer Stigma Inventory (LCSI). Stigma Heal. 2017.
- Chambers SK, Baade P, Youl P, et al. Psychological distress and quality of life in lung cancer: The role of health-related stigma, illness appraisals and social constraints. Psychooncology. 2015;24(11):1569–1577. [PubMed: 25920906]
- 33. Ostroff JS. Measurement of clinically meaningful lung cancer stigma. In: Hamann HA, ed. American Psychosocial Oncology Society Annual Meeting Tuscon, AZ; 2018.

- 34. Lebel S, Castonguay M, MacKness G, Irish J, Bezjak A, Devins GM. The psychosocial impact of stigma in people with head and neck or lung cancer. Psychooncology. 2013;22(1):140–152. [PubMed: 21932417]
- Cataldo JK, Brodsky JL. Lung cancer stigma, anxiety, depression and symptom severity. Oncology. 2013;85(1):33–40. [PubMed: 23816853]
- 36. Berger BE, Ferrans CE, Lashley FR. Measuring stigma in people with HIV: Psychometric assessment of the HIV stigma scale. Res Nurs Heal. 2001;24(6):518–529.
- Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC, Cloete A, Mthembu PP, Mkhonta RN, Ginindza T. Measuring AIDS stigmas in people living with HIV/AIDS: The Internalized AIDS-Related Stigma Scale. AIDS Care. 2009;21(1):87–93. [PubMed: 19085224]
- Cella DF, Bonomi AE, Lloyd SR, Tulsky DS, Kaplan E, Bonomi P. Reliability and validity of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Lung (FACT-L) quality of life instrument. Lung cancer. 1995;12(3):199–220. [PubMed: 7655830]
- 39. Ostroff JS, Krebs P, Coups EJ, et al. Health-related quality of life among early-stage, non-small cell, lung cancer survivors. Lung Cancer. 2011;71(1):103–108. [PubMed: 20462654]
- 40. Garces YI, Yang P, Parkinson J, et al. The relationship between cigarette smoking and quality of life after lung cancer diagnosis. Chest. 2004;126(6):1733–1741. [PubMed: 15596667]
- Sarna L, Padilla G, Holmes C, Tashkin D, Brecht ML, Evangelista L. Quality of life of long-term survivors of non–small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2002;20(13):2920–2929. [PubMed: 12089220]
- Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, et al. The European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-of-life instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1993;85(5):365–376. [PubMed: 8433390]
- 43. Lo C, Zimmermann C, Rydall A, et al. Longitudinal study of depressive symptoms in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal and lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(18):3084–3089. [PubMed: 20479397]
- 44. Enders C, Bandalos D. The relative performance of full information maximum likelihood estimation for missing data in structural equation models. Struct Equ Model A Multidiscip J. 2001;8(3):430–457.
- 45. Badr H, Carmack Taylor CL. Social constraints and spousal communication in lung cancer. Psychooncology. 2006;15(8):673–683. doi:10.1002/pon.996. [PubMed: 16287210]
- 46. Alberg AJ, Ford JG, Samet JM. Epidemiology of lung cancer: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2007;132.
- 47. Schag CAC, Ganz PA, Wing DS, Sim M-S, Lee JJ. Quality of life in adult survivors of lung, colon and prostate cancer. Qual Life Res. 1994;3(2):127–141. [PubMed: 8044158]
- Gray RE, Fitch M, Phillips C, Labrecque M, Fergus K. To tell or not to tell: Patterns of disclosure among men with prostate cancer. Psychooncology. 2000;9(4):273–282. [PubMed: 10960925]
- 49. Thornton AA, Owen JE, Kernstine K, et al. Predictors of finding benefit after lung cancer diagnosis. Psychooncology. 2012;21(4):365–373. [PubMed: 21254308]
- Albertson ER, Neff KD, Dill-Shackleford KE. Self-compassion and body dissatisfaction in women: A randomized controlled trial of a brief meditation intervention. Mindfulness (N Y). 2015;6(3):444–454.

Williamson et al.



## Internalized stigma

### Constrained disclosure

#### Figure 1.

Mean scores of internalized stigma and constrained disclosure by smoking status Note: \*p < .05; \*\*p < .01; \*\*\*p < .001; Error bars represent standard errors.

#### Table 1

#### Sample characteristics and demographics (N=101)

	n	Mean	Standard Deviation
Age (in years)	101	64.54	11.6
Years of Education	93	15.69	2.83
Age at smoking initiation	61	18.39	4.94
Pack years smoked	54	33.95	28.08
Internalized Stigma	98	2.42	1.17
Constrained Disclosure	97	2.73	1.82
Emotional well-being at study entry	99	17.57	4.02
Physical/functional well-being at study entry	99	57.15	16.8
	n	Median	Interquartile Range
Months since lung cancer diagnosis	101	9.97	17.38
Duration of smoking cessation (in months)	57	180	342
	n	%	
Sex			
	Male	53	52.5
	Female	48	47.5
Race/Ethnicity			
	Non-Hispanic white	72	71.3
	Other race/ethnicity	29	28.7
Marital status			
	Married/Living as married	66	65.3
	Not married	34	33.7
	Did not report	1	1.0
Smoking status			
	Current	8	7.9
	Former	56	55.4
	Never	37	36.6
Cancer type			
	Non-small cell lung cancer	90	89.1
	Other lung cancer type	11	10.9
Stage of disease			
	Stage I or II	7	7
	Stage III	18	17.8
	Stage IV	74	73.3
	Missing	2	2
Current participation in oncologic clinical trial			
	Yes	55	54.4
	No	45	44.6

	n	Mean	Standard Deviation
	Missing	1	1.0
Current oncologic treatment			
	Immunotherapy only	49	48.5
	Chemotherapy only	24	23.8
	Targeted therapy only	8	7.9
	Combination therapy	20	19.8
Line of current oncologic treatment			
	First	48	47.4
	Second	22	21.8
	Third	16	15.8
	Fourth or fifth	14	14.9
	Missing	1	1.0
History of lung cancer-related surgery			
	Yes	29	28.7
	No	71	70.3
	Missing	1	1.0
Past receipt of chemotherapy for lung cancer			
	Yes	48	47.5
	No	52	51.5
	Missing	1	1.0

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

# Table 2

Internalized stigma and constrained disclosure as predictors of emotional well-being at study entry, 6-week follow-up, and 12-week follow-up using multivariable linear regression models

Williamson et al.

	Emoti	onal Wel	-Being	ıt Study Entry	Emotion	al Well-Be	ing at 6-V	Veek Follow-Up	Emotiona	ıl Well-Bei	ing at 12-V	иеек ғоноw-∪р
Predictor	q	2	d	95% CI	q	7	d	95% CI	q	2	d	95% CI
Intercept	15.88	3.85	<.001	[7.80, 23.96]	11.81	2.97	.003	[4.03, 19.60]	12.26	2.27	.023	[1.68, 22.83]
Study entry emotional well-being	ł	ł	I	1	0.64	6.65	<.001	[0.45, 0.83]	0.75	5.40	<.001	[0.47, 1.02]
Age (in years)	0.03	0.96	.337	[-0.04, 0.10]	-0.03	-0.93	.353	[-0.09, 0.03]	-0.05	-1.11	.266	[-0.14, 0.04]
Sex (female vs. male)	-1.83	-2.45	.014	[-3.30, -0.37]	-1.34	-1.89	.059	[-2.72, 0.05]	-1.45	-1.52	.129	[-3.32, 0.42]
Education (in years)	0.16	1.10	.272	[-0.13, 0.45]	0.01	0.06	.955	[-0.24, 0.26]	-0.05	-0.27	.786	[-0.39, 0.29]
Race/ethnicity (other race/ethnicity vs. non-Hispanic white)	0.73	0.91	.363	[-0.84, 2.30]	0.99	1.35	.178	[-0.45, 2.42]	1.38	1.41	.160	[-0.55, 3.32]
Marital status (married vs. unmarried)	-0.61	-0.74	.459	[-2.22, 1.00]	-2.04	-2.65	.008	[-3.55, -0.53]	-0.96	-0.90	.367	[-3.05, 1.13]
Smoking history (current/former vs. never)	1.53	1.80	.071	[-0.13, 3.19]	0.38	0.51	.612	[-1.10, 1.87]	1.58	1.51	.132	[-0.48, 3.64]
Months since diagnosis	0.02	1.53	.127	[-0.01, 0.05]	0.02	1.53	.125	[-0.01, 0.05]	-0.02	-0.97	.333	[-0.05, 0.02]
Internalized stigma	-0.85	-2.33	.020	[-1.56, -0.14]	-1.03	-3.06	.002	[-1.68, -0.37]	-1.22	-2.88	.004	[-2.05, -0.39]
Constrained disclosure	-0.50	-2.39	.017	[-0.92, -0.09]	0.24	1.13	.259	[-0.17, 0.65]	-0.05	-0.18	.858	[-0.56, 0.47]

score test statistic. CI = confidence interval. Bolded statistics indicate regression coefficients significant at p < .05.

$\mathbf{r}$
2
±
5
0
-
_
<
5
Щ
$\overline{0}$
õ
$\simeq$
<u> </u>
σ
Ť.

# Table 3

Internalized stigma and constrained disclosure as predictors of physical/functional well-being at study entry, 6-week follow-up, and 12-week follow-up using multivariable linear regression models

	Physical	/functional	Well-Bein	ıg at Study Entry	Physical/fu	nctional Wel	ll-Being at 6	-Week Follow-Up	Physical/fu	nctional Wel	l-Being at 12 Up	-Week Follow-
Predictor	þ	Z	р	95% CI	þ	Z	d	95% CI	ą	Ŋ	d	95% CI
Intercept	51.37	3.22	.001	[20.08, 82.66]	33.17	2.65	.008	[8.60, 57.74]	44.55	2.37	.018	[7.72, 81.38]
Physical/functional well- being at study entry	I	I	ł	ł	0.65	8.85	<.001	[0.50, 0.79]	0.70	5.89	<.001	[0.47, 0.93]
Age (in years)	0.15	1.07	.284	[-0.12, 0.42]	-0.08	-0.88	.379	[-0.27, 0.10]	-0.22	-1.41	.158	[-0.52, 0.08]
Sex (female vs. male)	-6.44	-2.22	.026	[-12.11, -0.76]	1.11	0.51	.613	[-3.21, 5.44]	1.93	0.59	.553	[-4.45, 8.31]
Years of Education	0.80	1.39	.166	[-0.33, 1.92]	-0.06	-0.16	.876	[-0.82, 0.70]	-0.49	-0.80	.426	[-1.70, 0.72]
Race/ethnicity (other race/ ethnicity vs. non-Hispanic white)	0.97	0.31	.755	[-5.10, 7.04]	6.78	2.90	.004	[2.20, 11.36]	5.27	1.57	.117	[-1.33, 11.87]
Marital status (married vs. unmarried)	-2.07	-0.65	.514	[-8.27, 4.14]	-6.12	-2.39	.017	[-11.14, -1.10]	-4.78	-1.31	.190	[-11.94, 2.37]
Smoking history (current/ former vs. never)	4.45	1.36	.175	[-1.99, 10.89]	-1.10	-0.45	.649	[-5.84, 3.64]	1.00	0.28	.781	[-6.09, 8.10]
Months since diagnosis	0.14	2.48	.013	[0.03, 0.24]	-0.02	-0.50	.620	[-0.11, 0.06]	-0.05	-0.86	.388	[-0.18, 0.07]
Internalized stigma	-3.29	-2.38	.018	[-6.01, -0.58]	-2.15	-2.00	.045	[-4.25, -0.05]	-2.46	-1.69	.091	[-5.32, 0.40]
Constrained disclosure	-3.60	-4.47	<.001	[-5.18, -2.02]	1.12	1.64	.100	[-0.22, 2.46]	0.95	0.97	.333	[-0.97, 2.86]

J Thorac Oncol. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 September 01.

To evaluate change over time, physical/functional well-being at 6- and 12-week follow-up were evaluated with study entry physical/functional well-being controlled statistically.

b = unstandardized coefficient estimate. z = z-score test statistic. CI = confidence interval. Bolded statistics indicate regression coefficients significant at p < .05.