UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Long-Chain $\omega\text{-}3$ Levels Are Associated With Increased Alcohol Sensitivity in a Population-Based Sample of Adolescents

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5t81d43s

Journal Alcoholism Clinical and Experimental Research, 43(12)

ISSN 0145-6008

Authors

Edwards, Alexis C Heron, Jon Hibbeln, Joseph <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019-12-01

DOI

10.1111/acer.14212

Peer reviewed

1 Long chain ω-3 levels are associated with increased alcohol sensitivity in a 2 population-based sample of adolescents 3 4 Alexis C. Edwards, PhD¹, Jon Heron, PhD², Joseph Hibbeln, MD³, Marc A. Schuckit, 5 MD⁴, Bradley T. Webb, PhD¹, Matthew Hickman, PhD², Andrew G. Davies, PhD⁵, and 6 Iill C. Bettinger, PhD⁵ 7 8¹Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Department of Psychiatry, 9Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA, US 10²Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK 11³Section on Nutritional Neurosciences, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and 12Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, Rockville, MD, US 13⁴Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, US 14⁵Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Virginia Commonwealth University, 15Richmond, VA, US 16 17Correspondence to: Alexis C. Edwards, VCU Box 980126, Richmond, VA 23298-180126; 19alexis.edwards@vcuhealth.org; ph +1 804-828-8591; fax +1 804-828-1471 20 21Abstract word count: 293 22Body word count: 3664 23 24Funding: National Institutes of Health (AA021399, AA018333, and P50AA022537) 25and MRC (MR/L022206/1).

26Abstract

27Background

28Levels of the ω -3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω -3 LC-PUFAs), including 29eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), have been 30associated with alcohol sensitivity in vertebrate and invertebrate model systems, 31but prior studies have not examined this association in human samples despite 32evidence of associations between ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and alcohol-related 33phenotypes. Both alcohol sensitivity and ω -3 LC-PUFA levels are impacted by 34genetic factors, and these influences may contribute to observed associations 35between phenotypes. Given the potential for using EPA and DHA supplementation in 36adjuvant care for alcohol misuse and other outcomes, it is important to clarify how 37 ω -3 LC-PUFA levels relate to alcohol sensitivity.

38Methods

39Analyses were conducted using data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents 40and Children (ALSPAC). Plasma ω -3 LC-PUFA levels were measured at ages 15.5 and 4117.5. Participants reported on their initial alcohol sensitivity using the early drinking 42Self-Rating of Effects of Alcohol (SRE-5) scale, for which more drinks needed for 43effects indicates lower levels of response per drink, at ages 15.5, 16.5, and 17.5. 44Polygenic liability for alcohol consumption, alcohol problems, EPA levels, and DHA 45levels were derived using summary statistics from large, publicly available datasets. 46Linear regressions were used to examine the cross-sectional and longitudinal 47associations between ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and SRE scores.

48Results

49Age 15.5 ω -3 LC-PUFA levels were negatively associated with contemporaneous SRE 50scores and with age 17.5 SRE scores. One modest association (p=0.02) between

51polygenic liability and SRE scores was observed, between alcohol problems-based 52PRS and age 16.5 SRE scores. Tests of moderation by genetic liability were not 53warranted.

54Conclusions

55Plasma $\omega\mbox{-3}$ LC-PUFA levels may be related to initial sensitivity to alcohol during

56adolescence. These data indicate that diet-related factors have the potential to 57impact humans' earliest responses to alcohol exposure.

58

59**Key words**: ALSPAC, ω-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids, alcohol sensitivity, 60PUFA, HUFA, eicosapentaenoic acid, docosahexaenoic acid

61Introduction

Alcohol problems are common in the US and other Western societies: A 2015 Alcohol problems are common in the US and other Western societies: A 2015 G3study of a population-based adult cohort reported a lifetime prevalence of 29.1% for 64DSM-5 alcohol use disorder (AUD) (Grant et al., 2015) and the World Health 65Organization estimated that 16.0% of drinkers aged 15 and older engage in heavy 66episodic drinking (World Health Organization, 2014). Due to the impact of excessive 67alcohol use on health and productivity, the economic consequences are substantial, 68estimated at \$249 billion in 2010 in the US alone (Sacks et al., 2015). Clarifying the 69biological and environmental factors that contribute to the risk of developing 70alcohol problems is, therefore, a public health priority.

Long-chain ω-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid (ω-3 LC-PUFA; also known as highly 72 unsaturated fatty acids [HUFA]) levels are a primarily environmental factor that has 73 been associated with acute ethanol response behaviors in both invertebrate and 74 vertebrate models. In *C. elegans*, genetic contributors to a low level of response 75 (low LR) to alcohol have been identified (Davies et al., 2003), and the development 76 of acute functional tolerance (AFT) to ethanol requires the long chain ω-3 77 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (Raabe et al., 2014). Supplementation of additional EPA 78 can enhance AFT, indicating that EPA levels can influence the acute response to 79 ethanol in *C. elegans* (Raabe et al., 2014). In mice, dietary levels of long chain ω-3s 80 interact with the genetic background to alter several acute ethanol responses 81 including low dose locomotor activation and high dose sedation. Intriguingly, in 82C57BL/6J mice but not DBA/2J mice, dietary EPA and DHA increased voluntary 83 ethanol consumption (Wolstenholme et al., 2018)

Acute ethanol response behaviors, including AFT, in model organisms are a 85model of initial alcohol sensitivity in humans. The initial acute physiological

86sensitivity to alcohol is a partially heritable phenotype (Edwards et al., 2018, Heath 87et al., 1999, Schuckit, 2018) which has been associated with later alcohol 88consumption and problems (Schuckit, 1994, Schuckit et al., 2007). Lower initial 89sensitivity to alcohol is a risk factor for higher alcohol consumption and subsequent 90problems. Improved understanding of factors that impact one's alcohol sensitivity 91may therefore be useful in understanding trajectories from early to problematic 92alcohol use and has been used as a focus for prevention programs (Schuckit et al., 932016).

94 The effect of ω -3 LC-PUFA levels on the physiological response to ethanol may 95be of particular relevance to human alcohol use: Human EPA and DHA levels are 96primarily determined by diet, making them an easily modifiable target for alcohol 97studies. Indeed, EPA and DHA supplementation, usually from fish oil, is common, 98and can have significant impacts on the levels of ω -3 LC-PUFAs in plasma (Superko 99et al., 2013). However, in humans, little is known about how the levels of ω -3 LC-100PUFAs may be related to alcohol sensitivity.

101 Here, we sought evidence for a relationship between measured plasma ω -3 102LC-PUFA levels and alcohol sensitivity in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and 103Children (ALSPAC). We capitalized on the availability of repeated measures of 104plasma ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and self-reported initial sensitivity to alcohol (SRE-5) 105across adolescence to test whether these measures were related, and if so, if the 106relationship was contemporaneous and/or whether ω -3 LC-PUFA levels are 107associated with later alcohol sensitivity. Prior evidence indicates that both initial 108alcohol sensitivity and ω -3 LC-PUFA levels are genetically influenced (Edwards et al., 1092018, Lemaitre et al., 2011, Steer et al., 2012). The aforementioned differences in 110the phenotypic association between ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and alcohol consumption as

111a function of genetic background in mice (Wolstenholme et al., 2018) raises the 112question of whether genetic factors may have a similar impact in humans. We 113therefore further assessed if polygenic liability for alcohol-related phenotypes 114and/or ω-3 LC-PUFA blood levels contributes to any association between ω-3 levels 115and alcohol sensitivity. Incorporation of aggregate genetic factors may clarify 116models of biological mechanism(s) contributing to the relationship between ω-3 LC-117PUFA levels and alcohol outcomes. Furthermore, should genetic factors prove 118influential in this association, they could potentially inform the suitability of using 119EPA and DHA supplements in treatment settings.

120

121 Materials and Methods

122Sample

123 There were 14,541 initial pregnancies for which the mothers enrolled in the 124Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) study and had either 125returned at least 1 questionnaire or attended a "Children in Focus" clinic by July 19, 1261999. Of these initial pregnancies, there was a total of 14,062 live births and 13,988 127children who were alive at 1 year of age. Subsequent phases of enrollment 128increased the sample size over time (Fraser et al., 2013, Boyd et al., 2013). The 129phases of enrollment are described in more detail elsewhere (Fraser et al., 2013, 130Boyd et al., 2013). Only offspring genotypes were used in the current analyses. 131Participants are encouraged to contribute to assessments whenever possible even if 132not at every wave, and are permitted to skip questions within an assessment; 133accordingly, there is often variation across and within waves with respect to data 134availability for a given participant. The study website contains details of all the data 135that is available through a fully searchable data dictionary (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/ 136<u>alspac/researchers/our-data/</u>). Beginning with the age 22 assessment, online 137questionnaires were administered using REDCap (Harris et al., 2009). Ethical 138approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee 139and the Local Research Ethics Committees. Informed consent for the use of data 140collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the 141recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at the time. 142*Alcohol sensitivity*

143 Sensitivity to alcohol was assessed using the Self-Rating of the Effects of 144Alcohol (SRE) scale (Schuckit et al., 1997). The SRE consists of 4 items; for the 145current study, each item referred to the first five or so times, the SRE-5, a 146participant used alcohol (referred to hereafter as SRE). Participants were asked to 147 report the number of standard drinks they usually needed to consume to 148experience any effect of the alcohol, slur their speech, feel unsteady on their feet, 149or intentionally fall asleep. Consistent with prior reports (Edwards et al., 2018) 150 responses were winsorized to limit extreme values and reduce the effect of possibly 151spurious outliers. SRE scores were calculated by summing the drinks needed for 152effects across items and dividing by the number of the up to four effects 153experienced, as recommended by Schuckit and colleagues (Schuckit et al., 1997). 154Thus, higher SRE scores correspond to lower initial alcohol sensitivity. The current 155study included SRE reports from approximate ages 15.5 (n=3285), 16.5 (n=1398), 156and 17.5 (n=942), which correspond to a time frame during which initiation of 157alcohol use is common and thus increases the likelihood that participants are 158 reporting on their first experiences with alcohol.

159 Because we were interested in relationships between *initial* alcohol sensitivity 160and ω -3 levels, data were coded such that only a participant's first report of

161sensitivity was used. That is, if a participant responded to the SRE questionnaire 162items at ages 15.5 and 16.5, only the age 15.5 response was included in 163regressions; this decision was made to increase the likelihood that scores more 164closely reflected the participant's first exposure to five or so drinks, as we were 165concerned that recall bias and/or more recent alcohol use experiences may impact 166responses during later assessments. Due to attrition and the fact that most 167participants had initiated alcohol use prior to age 16.5, a consequence of this 168decision was a smaller sample size in analyses for which the outcome was SRE 169score at age 16.5 or 17.5.

170*ω*-3 LC-PUFA blood levels

171ALSPAC participants periodically participate in clinics wherein physiological172measures are taken in addition to typical questionnaire assessments. Fasting173(minimum of 6 hours) plasma lipids were assessed at participant ages 15.5174(n=3361) and 17.5 (n=3166) (an assessment at age 7.5 was excluded as it was175temporally distant from SRE reports). Lipids were measured using a high-throughput176nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics platform (Soininen et al., 2015, Soininen177et al., 2009). We restricted our analyses to total ω-3 LC-PUFAs; data are in mmol/L.178Polygenic liability

To assess whether genetic factors relevant to alcohol outcomes and/or ω-3 180LC-PUFA are related to any observed association between ω-3 LC-PUFA levels and 181initial alcohol sensitivity, we constructed polygenic risk scores for individuals within 182ALSPAC. PRS are derived by multiplying beta estimates (or odds ratios) for an effect 183allele at a particular locus – estimated in an independent sample – by the number of 184effect alleles an individual carries at that locus. This is repeated at the genome-wide 185level (after accounting for linkage disequilibrium). Ultimately, an individual's score

186reflects their aggregate genetic liability for a phenotype of interest (in this case, 187AUDIT scores and plasma EPA and DHA levels). Additional information on PRS is 188available in Choi et al. (2018) and Sugrue and Desikan (2019).

189 To derive PRS for the current study, we obtained publicly available summary 190statistics from the most well-powered and phenotypically appropriate GWAS 191identified through a literature search. Although a meta-analysis of two GWAS of SRE 192scores is available (Edwards et al., 2018) that study included the ALSPAC sample, 193rendering it unsuitable as a discovery dataset. We therefore used summary 194statistics from a GWAS of AUDIT (Babor et al., 2001) scores in the UK Biobank 195sample (Bycroft et al., 2018, Sanchez-Roige et al., 2019) disaggregated into the 196AUDIT-C and AUDIT-P to enable detection of potential differences in the association 197between genetic liability to each construct with ω-3 LC-PUFA levels. AUDIT-C 198consists of the first three AUDIT items and captures past-year alcohol consumption; 199AUDIT-P consists of the remaining 7 AUDIT items and captures past-year 200problematic use. Because the aim was to account for polygenic liability to alcohol 201consumption/problems rather than to dissect the impact of loci implicated at 202various levels of significance, only the inclusive p < 0.50 threshold PRS was derived 203 for inclusion as a predictor. Note that, while the Sanchez-Roige report includes both 204UK Biobank and 23andMe participants, only summary statistics for the former were 205used in the current analyses.

To account for genetic factors associated with ω-3 LC-PUFA levels, we 207downloaded summary statistics from meta-analyses of GWAS on plasma EPA and 208DHA levels, made available by the CHARGE Consortium

209(<u>http://www.chargeconsortium.com/main/results</u>) and reported by Lemaitre et al. 210(2011) The CHARGE study consisted of 8,866 participants of European ancestry,

211making it suitable as a discovery sample for ALSPAC. We chose to analyze the long-212chain polyunsaturated fatty acids EPA and DHA because these long chain ω-3 fatty 213acids had been directly tested in animal models and had been shown to affect 214ethanol sensitivity (Wolstenholme et al., 2018) In addition, EPA and DHA are the 215main constituents of fish oil, a common dietary supplement.

216 Genotypes for ALSPAC participants are available for a fee to researchers will 217an approved project (see http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/ for details). 218Genotyping and initial quality control of data were performed by ALSPAC analysts, 219unrelated to the current project. Genotyping in ALSPAC was performed on the 220Illumina HumanHap550 guad genome-wide SNP genotyping platform by 23andMe 221subcontracting the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK, and the 222Laboratory Corporation of America, Burlington, NC, USA. Individuals were excluded 223 from analyses on the basis of excessive or minimal heterozygosity, gender 224mismatch, individual missingness (0.3%), cryptic relatedness as measured by 225identity by descent (genome-wide IBD 0.10%) and sample duplication. Individuals 226were assessed for population stratification using multi-dimensional scaling 227modelling seeded with HapMap Phase II release 22 reference populations. 228Individuals of non-European ancestry were removed from further analysis. Shapelt 229v2 was used to impute to 1000 Genomes Phase 1, Version 3, Release December 2302013. We excluded markers with MAF<0.01, deviation from HWE ($p < 5 \times 10-6$), 231genotyping rate <0.95, or INFO <0.80. Polygenic risk scores were derived using the 232--score and --dosage options in Plink 1.9 (www.cog-genomics.org/plink/1.9/) (Chang 233et al., 2015) for markers with p<0.5 in the discovery sample. This corresponding to 234the following numbers of SNPs contributing to the four PRS after pruning: AUDIT-C, 235222,651; AUDIT-P, 222,118; DHA, 154,759; EPA, 156,352.

236Statistical analyses

237 Analyses were conducted in R version 3.4.3 using the glm function, 238potentially using three stages of multivariable regressions. In the first stage, SRE 239scores were regressed onto ω -3 LC-PUFA levels, including biological sex (determined 240at birth) as a covariate. In the second stage, we added the main effects of ancestry-241informative principal components as well as polygenic scores for alcohol 242consumption, alcohol problems, DHA, and EPA. In the third stage, we added 243interaction terms between ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and any PRS variable for which a 244main effect (p<0.05) was observed for both the variables in the second stage, in 245order to test whether polygenic liability for the trait(s) in question moderated the 246 ω -3 LC-PUFA \rightarrow SRE association.

247 Although our primary research question focused on contemporaneous ω -3 LC-248PUFA and SRE, we also considered the possibility that ω -3 LC-PUFA level would 249impact later SRE scores. We therefore ran regression models in which the age 15.5 250 ω -3 LC-PUFA measure was the predictor of interest for SRE at age 16.5 or 17.5. SRE 251scores, ω -3 LC-PUFA levels, and PRS scores were standardized prior to analysis for 252ease of interpretation.

253

254**Results**

255Descriptive statistics

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics for ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and SRE scores 257across waves. ω -3 LC-PUFA levels at ages 15.5 and 17.5 were correlated at r = 0.49258(p < 0.0001). Correlations within SRE scores were not calculated since only the first 259report was used for each individual. Both alcohol-related PRS were weakly positively 260correlated with the first reported SRE score (r = 0.03, p = 0.04-0.06), indicating that

261higher genetic liability to alcohol consumption/problems was correlated with 262needing more standard units of alcohol to perceive its effects (i.e., lower alcohol 263sensitivity). DHA/EPA PRS were weakly positively correlated with ω -3 LC-PUFA levels 264(r = 0.02-0.05, p = 0.01-0.22). Correlations across ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and SRE 265scores ranged from r = -0.18 to r = -0.05 (p < 0.01-0.38; **Figure**).

266Model 1 regression results

Table 2 (top panel) provides results from Model 1, in which SRE scores were 268regressed onto ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and sex. Higher ω -3 LC-PUFA levels at age 15.5 269were nominally associated (p<0.05) with lower SRE scores (i.e., higher initial alcohol 270sensitivity) at ages 15.5 and 17.5, but not at age 16.5. Age 17.5 ω -3 LC-PUFA levels 271were not associated with concurrent SRE scores.

272Model 2 regression results

273 We next added PRS for alcohol consumption, alcohol problems, DHA levels, 274and EPA levels as potential predictors of initial alcohol sensitivity. Results are 275presented in **Table 2** (bottom panel). The associations between higher age 15.5 ω -3 276LC-PUFA levels and lower SRE scores (i.e., higher alcohol sensitivity) at ages 15.5 277and 17.5 persisted in these adjusted models. We also observed a negative 278association between AUDIT-P PRS and age 16.5 SRE scores. No other PRS was 279associated with SRE score at any age (all p≥0.05). Because the only main effect of 280PRS was observed in a model in which no significant main effect of ω -3 LC-PUFA was 281observed, we did not test for an interaction with the PRS score.

282

283**Discussion**

Prior research in model systems has demonstrated that ω-3 LC-PUFA levels
285may influence initial physiological sensitivity to alcohol, but associations between

286 ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and sensitivity to alcohol in humans have not been reported, to 287our knowledge. Our analyses tested for an association between plasma ω -3 LC-PUFA 288levels and initial sensitivity to alcohol in a population-based sample of adolescents. 289We also assessed whether genetic factors underlying to alcohol outcomes 290(consumption or problems) or EPA/DHA levels further contributed to initial alcohol 291sensitivity. We found that higher ω -3 LC-PUFA levels were associated with higher 292alcohol sensitivity in some, but not all, analyses. Polygenic scores exhibited little to 293no effect on the outcome, and moderation tests were not warranted. In conjunction 294with results from model systems, these findings extend our understanding of the 295relationship between ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and alcohol outcomes to include an 296individual's earliest experiences with the drug.

We observed a main effect of aggregate genetic liability toward alcohol
310problems — operationalized by scores on the problems subscale of the AUDIT — on

311age 16.5 SRE scores but in no other case. This association was not in the expected 312direction: Here, higher genetic liability to alcohol problems was associated with 313lower SRE scores (i.e., higher sensitivity). There were no main effects of polygenic 314liability for alcohol consumption, EPA levels, or DHA levels. Furthermore, in the 315absence of jointly observed main effects for both polygenic liability and ω -3 LC-PUFA 316levels, moderation tests were not warranted. Thus, although both the outcome and 317predictor of interest are genetically influenced (Edwards et al., 2018, Lemaitre et 318al., 2011), it is unlikely that the degree of their phenotypic association in the 319ALSPAC sample is moderated by genetic factors. This observation is potentially 320pertinent to efforts to determine whether EPA and DHA supplementation could be 321used to modify the response to alcohol because, in the context of initial alcohol 322sensitivity in adolescence, response to ω -3 LC-PUFA supplementation is unlikely to 323depend heavily on one's underlying genetic vulnerability to alcohol problems.

It is important to note that the current approach does not determine whether 325the observed associations are causal in nature. In addition, because SRE scores are 326based on self-report, they may be imprecise and/or subject to recall bias. While 327ALSPAC participants are instructed to respond to the items used for the current 328study by recalling their first exposures to alcohol, these reports may be influenced 329by more recent drinking experiences. We therefore restricted our analyses to 330include only an individual's first report on the SRE items (though participants are 331administered the "first 5 or so" SRE items repeatedly across waves) in an effort to 332capture the report that was closest in time to the initial alcohol experiences.

We used a large, publicly available dataset of GWAS summary statistics for 334ω-3 LC-PUFA (from the CHARGE Consortium). While a meta-analysis of initial alcohol 335sensitivity GWAS has been conducted (Edwards et al., 2018), we were unable to use

336summary statistics from that study because genetic influences were driven by the 337ALSPAC sample, and therefore were not independent. We therefore elected to use 338summary statistics from the UK Biobank, as this is a statistically well-powered, 339population-based sample of the same ancestry as ALSPAC, and prior research 340indicates that PRS are more useful when used across similarly ascertained samples 341(Savage et al., 2018) We used summary statistics based on adult phenotypes, and 342given the dynamic nature of diet and alcohol use across the life course, discovery 343samples closer in age to the ALSPAC sample will be valuable to analyze in the 344future.

This work adds to the growing appreciation of the effects of dietary ω -3 LC-345 346PUFA levels on neurobiological outcomes, including substance use and 347psychopathology. Here we found that basal levels of ω -3 LC-PUFA were associated 348 with alcohol response in adolescence, though effect sizes were small and may not 349be clinically significant. Previously, a small study of treatment-seeking substance 350 abusers found that low ω -3 LC-PUFA levels were associated with an increased risk of 351relapse or study drop-out (Buydens-Branchey et al., 2009). Plasma ω-3 LC-PUFA 352 levels were positively correlated with alcohol consumption in non-alcoholic people in 353IMMIDIET study (di Giuseppe et al., 2009). Together, these observations underscore 354the potential clinical implications of ω -3 LC-PUFA levels on alcohol-related outcomes. 355 Our study is particularly significant because we examined a young population 356and looked at initial responses to ethanol. In alcoholics, heavy drinking is associated 357 with dysregulation of ω -3 LC-PUFA levels, probably due in part to liver damage 358(Vatsalya et al., 2016). It may therefore be difficult to distinguish between an effect 359 of ω -3 LC-PUFA levels on ethanol responses, versus an effect of alcohol abuse

360behavior on ω -3 levels. Our study of adolescents is less subject to that confound 361than studies of adults with long-term histories of heavy drinking.

The mechanisms whereby ω -3 LC-PUFAs may influence low responses to 363alcohol are beyond the scope of the current study but merit consideration. Both ω -3 364LC-PUFAs and alcohol engage neurotransmitter systems: Deficits in ω -3 LC-PUFAs 365adversely affect neuroinflammatory mechanisms (Laye et al., 2018) which in turn 366impact stress hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis sensitivity and other 367neurotransmitter systems (Levant, 2013). Effects of ω -3 LC-PUFA deficiencies on 368dopaminergic neurons can be pronounced, especially in the ventral striatum (Healy-369Stoffel and Levant, 2018). The dopaminergic system is of central relevance in 370alcohol responses (Schuckit, 2018); alcohol also has prominent simultaneous effects 371on gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), glutamate, opioid, serotonin, and 372acetylcholine systems, and on the HPA axis, each of which could contribute to 373alcohol sensitivity (Schuckit, 2018). Future studies could potentially investigate 374whether high versus low ω -3 LC-PUFA levels may contribute to differences in alcohol 375sensitivity via perturbation of specific neurotransmitter systems.

In summary, we report an association between plasma ω -3 LC-PUFA levels 377and initial alcohol sensitivity in a population-based cohort of adolescents, such that 378higher ω -3 LC-PUFA levels correspond to higher alcohol sensitivity. These findings, 379which primarily support a contemporaneous association in mid-adolescence, 380warrant follow-up in an independent sample. While our observational study cannot 381address causality, the results raise the possibility that dietary ω -3 LC-PUFA levels 382could reduce low initial responses to alcohol, which has been previously associated 383with the development of problematic alcohol outcomes (Schuckit, 1994). Our

384findings add to the growing body of literature suggesting important associations 385between low levels of ω -3 LC-PUFAs and increased risks for psychopathology.

386

387Acknowledgments

388We are extremely grateful to all the families who took part in this study, the 389midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team, which 390includes interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians, clerical workers, 391research scientists, volunteers, managers, receptionists and nurses. GWAS data was 392generated by Sample Logistics and Genotypic Facilities at Wellcome Sanger 393Institute and LabCorp (Laboratory Corporation of America) using support from 39423andMe. The UK Medical Research Council and the Wellcome Trust (Grant ref: 395092731) and the University of Bristol provide core support for ALSPAC. This 396publication is the work of the authors and ACE will serve as guarantor for the 397contents of this paper. This research was specifically funded by the National 398Institutes of Health (AA021399, AA018333, and P50AA022537). We acknowledge 399additional support from MRC (MR/L022206/1). The authors have no conflicts of 400interest to declare.

401 402	References					
403BABOR, T., HIGGINS-BIDDLE, J., SAUNDERS, J. & MONTEIRO, M. 2001. The Alcohol						
404	Use Disorders Identification Test. Guidelines for Use in Primary Health Care.					
405	2nd ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.					
406BOYD	, A., GOLDING, J., MACLEOD, J., LAWLOR, D. A., FRASER, A., HENDERSON, J.,					
407	MOLLOY, L., NESS, A., RING, S. & DAVEY SMITH, G. 2013. Cohort Profile: the					
408	'children of the 90s'the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of					
409	Parents and Children. Int J Epidemiol, 42, 111-27.					
410BUYDENS-BRANCHEY, L., BRANCHEY, M. & HIBBELN, J. R. 2009. Low plasma levels of						
411	docosahexaenoic acid are associated with an increased relapse vulnerability					
412	in substance abusers. Am J Addict, 18, 73-80.					
413BYCROFT, C., FREEMAN, C., PETKOVA, D., BAND, G., ELLIOTT, L. T., SHARP, K.,						
414	MOTYER, A., VUKCEVIC, D., DELANEAU, O., O'CONNELL, J., CORTES, A.,					
415	WELSH, S., YOUNG, A., EFFINGHAM, M., MCVEAN, G., LESLIE, S., ALLEN, N.,					
416	DONNELLY, P. & MARCHINI, J. 2018. The UK Biobank resource with deep					
417	phenotyping and genomic data. <i>Nature,</i> 562, 203-209.					
418CHANG, C. C., CHOW, C. C., TELLIER, L. C., VATTIKUTI, S., PURCELL, S. M. & LEE, J. J.						
419	2015. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer					
420	datasets. <i>Gigascience</i> , 4, 7.					
421CHOI,	S. W., HENG MAK, T. S. & O'REILLY, P. F. 2018. A guide to performing					
422	Polygenic Risk Score analyses. <i>bioRxiv</i> , 416545.					
423DAVIES, A. G., PIERCE-SHIMOMURA, J. T., KIM, H., VANHOVEN, M. K., THIELE, T. R.,						
424	BONCI, A., BARGMANN, C. I. & MCINTIRE, S. L. 2003. A central role of the BK					
425	potassium channel in behavioral responses to ethanol in C. elegans. Cell,					

426 115**,** 655-66.

427DI GIUSEPPE, R., DE LORGERIL, M., SALEN, P., LAPORTE, F., DI CASTELNUOVO, A.,

428 KROGH, V., SIANI, A., ARNOUT, J., CAPPUCCIO, F. P., VAN DONGEN, M.,

429 DONATI, M. B., DE GAETANO, G., IACOVIELLO, L. & EUROPEAN

430 COLLABORATIVE GROUP OF THE, I. P. 2009. Alcohol consumption and n-3

431 polyunsaturated fatty acids in healthy men and women from 3 European

432 populations. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 89, 354-62.

433EDWARDS, A. C., DEAK, J. D., GIZER, I. R., LAI, D., CHATZINAKOS, C., WILHELMSEN,

434 K. P., LINDSAY, J., HERON, J., HICKMAN, M., WEBB, B. T., BACANU, S. A.,

435 FOROUD, T. M., KENDLER, K. S., DICK, D. M. & SCHUCKIT, M. A. 2018. Meta-

436 Analysis of Genetic Influences on Initial Alcohol Sensitivity. *Alcohol Clin Exp*

437 *Res,* 42, 2349-2359.

438FRASER, A., MACDONALD-WALLIS, C., TILLING, K., BOYD, A., GOLDING, J., DAVEY

439 SMITH, G., HENDERSON, J., MACLEOD, J., MOLLOY, L., NESS, A., RING, S.,

440 NELSON, S. M. & LAWLOR, D. A. 2013. Cohort Profile: the Avon Longitudinal

441 Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol*, 42,

442 97-110.

443GRANT, B. F., GOLDSTEIN, R. B., SAHA, T. D., CHOU, S. P., JUNG, J., ZHANG, H.,

444 PICKERING, R. P., RUAN, W. J., SMITH, S. M., HUANG, B. & HASIN, D. S. 2015.

445 Epidemiology of DSM-5 Alcohol Use Disorder: Results From the National

446 Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions III. *JAMA Psychiatry*,

447 72**,** 757-66.

448HARRIS, P. A., TAYLOR, R., THIELKE, R., PAYNE, J., GONZALEZ, N. & CONDE, J. G.

449 2009. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven

450 methodology and workflow process for providing translational research

451 informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*, 42, 377-81.

452HEALY-STOFFEL, M. & LEVANT, B. 2018. N-3 (Omega-3) Fatty Acids: Effects on Brain

453 Dopamine Systems and Potential Role in the Etiology and Treatment of

454 Neuropsychiatric Disorders. CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets, 17, 216-232.

455HEATH, A. C., MADDEN, P. A., BUCHOLZ, K. K., DINWIDDIE, S. H., SLUTSKE, W. S.,

456 BIERUT, L. J., ROHRBAUGH, J. W., STATHAM, D. J., DUNNE, M. P., WHITFIELD, J.

457 B. & MARTIN, N. G. 1999. Genetic differences in alcohol sensitivity and the

458 inheritance of alcoholism risk. *Psychol Med*, 29, 1069-81.

459LAYE, S., NADJAR, A., JOFFRE, C. & BAZINET, R. P. 2018. Anti-Inflammatory Effects of

460 Omega-3 Fatty Acids in the Brain: Physiological Mechanisms and Relevance

to Pharmacology. *Pharmacol Rev*, 70, 12-38.

462LEMAITRE, R. N., TANAKA, T., TANG, W., MANICHAIKUL, A., FOY, M., KABAGAMBE, E.

463 K., NETTLETON, J. A., KING, I. B., WENG, L. C., BHATTACHARYA, S.,

464 BANDINELLI, S., BIS, J. C., RICH, S. S., JACOBS, D. R., JR., CHERUBINI, A.,

465 MCKNIGHT, B., LIANG, S., GU, X., RICE, K., LAURIE, C. C., LUMLEY, T.,

466 BROWNING, B. L., PSATY, B. M., CHEN, Y. D., FRIEDLANDER, Y., DJOUSSE, L.,

467 WU, J. H., SISCOVICK, D. S., UITTERLINDEN, A. G., ARNETT, D. K., FERRUCCI,

468 L., FORNAGE, M., TSAI, M. Y., MOZAFFARIAN, D. & STEFFEN, L. M. 2011.

469 Genetic loci associated with plasma phospholipid n-3 fatty acids: a meta-

analysis of genome-wide association studies from the CHARGE Consortium.

471 *PLoS Genet,* 7, e1002193.

472LEVANT, B. 2013. N-3 (omega-3) polyunsaturated Fatty acids in the pathophysiology

and treatment of depression: pre-clinical evidence. CNS Neurol Disord Drug

474 *Targets,* 12, 450-9.

475RAABE, R. C., MATHIES, L. D., DAVIES, A. G. & BETTINGER, J. C. 2014. The omega-3

476 fatty acid eicosapentaenoic acid is required for normal alcohol response

477 behaviors in C. elegans. *PLoS One*, 9, e105999.

478SACKS, J. J., GONZALES, K. R., BOUCHERY, E. E., TOMEDI, L. E. & BREWER, R. D.

2015. 2010 National and State Costs of Excessive Alcohol Consumption. *Am J Prev Med*, 49, e73-9.

481SANCHEZ-ROIGE, S., PALMER, A. A., FONTANILLAS, P., ELSON, S. L., ANDME

482 RESEARCH TEAM, T. S. U. D. W. G. O. T. P. G. C., ADAMS, M. J., HOWARD, D.

483 M., EDENBERG, H. J., DAVIES, G., CRIST, R. C., DEARY, I. J., MCINTOSH, A. M. &

484 CLARKE, T. K. 2019. Genome-Wide Association Study Meta-Analysis of the

485 Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT) in Two Population-Based

486 Cohorts. *Am J Psychiatry*, 176, 107-118.

487SAVAGE, J. E., SALVATORE, J. E., ALIEV, F., EDWARDS, A. C., HICKMAN, M., KENDLER,

488 K. S., MACLEOD, J., LATVALA, A., LOUKOLA, A., KAPRIO, J., ROSE, R. J., CHAN,

489 G., HESSELBROCK, V., WEBB, B. T., ADKINS, A., BIGDELI, T. B., RILEY, B. P. &

490 DICK, D. M. 2018. Polygenic Risk Score Prediction of Alcohol Dependence

491 Symptoms Across Population-Based and Clinically Ascertained Samples.

492 Alcohol Clin Exp Res, 42, 520-530.

493SCHUCKIT, M. A. 1994. Low level of response to alcohol as a predictor of future

alcoholism. *Am J Psychiatry*, 151, 184-9.

495SCHUCKIT, M. A. 2018. A Critical Review of Methods and Results in the Search for

496 Genetic Contributors to Alcohol Sensitivity. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.*

497SCHUCKIT, M. A., SMITH, T. L., CLAUSEN, P., FROMME, K., SKIDMORE, J., SHAFIR, A. &

498 KALMIJN, J. 2016. The Low Level of Response to Alcohol-Based Heavy Drinking

499 Prevention Program: One-Year Follow-Up. J Stud Alcohol Drugs, 77, 25-37.

500SCHUCKIT, M. A., SMITH, T. L., DANKO, G. P., PIERSON, J., HESSELBROCK, V.,

501 BUCHOLZ, K. K., KRAMER, J., KUPERMAN, S., DIETIKER, C., BRANDON, R. &

502 CHAN, G. 2007. The ability of the Self-Rating of the Effects of Alcohol (SRE)

503 Scale to predict alcohol-related outcomes five years later. *J Stud Alcohol*

504 *Drugs*, 68, 371-8.

505SCHUCKIT, M. A., TIPP, J. E., SMITH, T. L., WIESBECK, G. A. & KALMIJN, J. 1997. The

506 relationship between Self-Rating of the Effects of alcohol and alcohol

507 challenge results in ninety-eight young men. *J Stud Alcohol*, 58, 397-404.

508SOININEN, P., KANGAS, A. J., WURTZ, P., SUNA, T. & ALA-KORPELA, M. 2015.

509 Quantitative serum nuclear magnetic resonance metabolomics in

510 cardiovascular epidemiology and genetics. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet,* 8, 192-

511 206.

512SOININEN, P., KANGAS, A. J., WURTZ, P., TUKIAINEN, T., TYNKKYNEN, T.,

513 LAATIKAINEN, R., JARVELIN, M. R., KAHONEN, M., LEHTIMAKI, T., VIIKARI, J.,

514 RAITAKARI, O. T., SAVOLAINEN, M. J. & ALA-KORPELA, M. 2009. High-

515 throughput serum NMR metabonomics for cost-effective holistic studies on

516 systemic metabolism. *Analyst*, 134, 1781-5.

517STEER, C. D., HIBBELN, J. R., GOLDING, J. & DAVEY SMITH, G. 2012. Polyunsaturated

518 fatty acid levels in blood during pregnancy, at birth and at 7 years: their

associations with two common FADS2 polymorphisms. *Hum Mol Genet*, 21,

520 1504-12.

521SUGRUE, L. P. & DESIKAN, R. S. 2019. What Are Polygenic Scores and Why Are They

522 Important?What Are Polygenic Scores and Why Are They Important?What Are

523 Polygenic Scores and Why Are They Important? *JAMA*, 321, 1820-1821.

524SUPERKO, H. R., SUPERKO, S. M., NASIR, K., AGATSTON, A. & GARRETT, B. C. 2013.

525 Omega-3 fatty acid blood levels: clinical significance and controversy.

526 *Circulation*, 128, 2154-61.

527VATSALYA, V., SONG, M., SCHWANDT, M. L., CAVE, M. C., BARVE, S. S., GEORGE, D.

528 T., RAMCHANDANI, V. A. & MCCLAIN, C. J. 2016. Effects of Sex, Drinking

529 History, and Omega-3 and Omega-6 Fatty Acids Dysregulation on the Onset

530 of Liver Injury in Very Heavy Drinking Alcohol-Dependent Patients. *Alcohol*

531 *Clin Exp Res,* 40, 2085-2093.

532WOLSTENHOLME, J. T., BOWERS, M. S., PAIS, A. B., PAIS, A. C., POLAND, R. S.,

533 POKLIS, J. L., DAVIES, A. G. & BETTINGER, J. C. 2018. Dietary Omega-3 Fatty

534 Acids Differentially Impact Acute Ethanol-Responsive Behaviors and Ethanol

535 Consumption in DBA/2J Versus C57BL/6J Mice. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*.

536WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION 2014. Global status report on alcohol and health.

537 Luxembourg: World Health Organization.

538

539Figure caption

540Correlations between unstandardized ω-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty acid 541levels (in mmol/L; x-axis) and scores on the Self-Rating of the Effects of Alcohol 542(SRE; y-axis). Pearson correlations and corresponding p-values are presented, along 543with regression lines and shaded standard errors.

544

545

	ω-	3 LC-PUFA	SRE Score ¹		
	N	Mean (SD) mmol/L	N	Mean (SD)	
Age 15.5					
Total	3361	0.28 (0.07)	3285	5.40 (2.95)	
Girls	1749	0.30 (0.07)	1869	5.07 (2.83)	
Boys	1612	0.26 (0.07)	1416	5.82 (3.05)	
_					
Age 16.5					
Total	n/a	n/a	1398	4.58 (2.43)	
Girls	n/a	n/a	877	4.35 (2.32)	
Boys	n/a	n/a	521	4.98 (2.56)	
Age 17.5					
Total	3166	0.30 (0.08)	942	5.14 (2.62)	
Girls	1647	0.31 (0.08)	446	4.65 (2.26)	
Boys	1519	0.28 (0.07)	496	5.58 (2.84)	

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and Self-Rating of the Effects 547of Alcohol (SRE) scores.

548¹Figures are restricted to participants' first SRE report, and represent UK standard drinks, 549one of which contains 8 grams of ethanol (a standard US drink has 14 grams of ethanol). The 550participants whose first SRE report is at age 15.5 do not overlap with those whose first SRE 551report is from a later age.

561**Table 2.** Results from linear models where scores on the Self-Rating of the Effects of Alcohol (SRE) scale are 562regressed onto concurrent or prior ω -3 LC-PUFA levels and other potential predictors/covariates. In the interest of 563space, results for 10 ancestry-informative principal component covariates are not shown. Continuous variables were 564standardized prior to analysis, and beta coefficients represent the change in SRE associated with a unit change in 565 ω -3 LC-PUFA.

	Age 15.5 ω-3 LC- PUFA and age 15.5 SRE		Age 15.5 ω-3 LC-PUFA and age 16.5 SRE		Age 15.5 ω-3 LC-PUFA and age 17.5 SRE		Age 17.5 ω-3 LC-PUFA and age 17.5 SRE	
	Beta (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	Beta (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	Beta (SE)	<i>p</i> -value	Beta (SE)	<i>p</i> -value
Model 1								
Sex ¹	-0.20 (0.05)	1.7e-5	-0.12 (0.11)	0.30	-0.51 (0.11)	1.0e-5	-0.33 (0.08)	9.6e-5
ω-3 LC-PUFA ²	-0.07 (0.02)	9.7e-4	-0.03 (0.06)	0.58	-0.12 (0.06)	0.03	-0.01 (0.04)	0.73
Model 2								
Sex	-0.19 (0.05)	2.35e-4	-0.10 (0.13)	0.43	-0.36 (0.12)	2.77e-3	-0.22 (0.09)	0.03
ω-3 LC-PUFA	-0.08 (0.03)	1.67e-3	-0.01 (0.07)	0.88	-0.14 (0.06)	0.02	<0.01 (0.05)	0.94
AUDIT-C PRS	0.03 (0.03)	0.30	0.08 (0.07)	0.25	0.02 (0.06)	0.70	0.11 (0.05)	0.05
AUDIT-P PRS	<0.01 (0.03)	0.88	-0.17 (0.07)	0.02	0.11 (0.06)	0.09	0.04 (0.05)	0.41
DHA PRS	-0.01 (0.03)	0.66	0.06 (0.08)	0.42	0.01 (0.06)	0.83	0.02 (0.05)	0.64
EPA PRS	-0.02 (0.03)	0.56	-0.05 (0.07)	0.48	0.08 (0.06)	0.22	0.04 (0.05)	0.45

566¹Boys are the reference group 567²mmol/L