UC Santa Cruz

UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

The Mercury-Tolerant Microbiota of the Zooplankton Daphnia Aids in Host Survival and Maintains Fecundity under Mercury Stress

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5tg7r6wc>

Journal Environmental Science and Technology, 53(24)

ISSN 0013-936X

Authors

Fong, Jiunn C De Guzman, Brandon E Lamborg, Carl H [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5tg7r6wc#author)

Publication Date

2019-12-17

DOI

10.1021/acs.est.9b05305

Peer reviewed

The Mercury-Tolerant Microbiota of the Zooplankton Daphnia Aids in Host Survival and Maintains Fecundity under Mercury Stress

Jiunn C. Fong, Brandon E. De Guzman, Carl H. Lamborg, and Marilou P. Sison-Mangus[*](#page-10-0)

Department of Ocean Sciences and Institute for Marine Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States

S [Supporting Information](#page-10-0)

ABSTRACT: Many aquatic organisms can thrive in polluted environments by having the genetic capability to withstand suboptimal conditions. However, the contributions of microbiomes under these stressful environments are poorly understood. We investigated whether a mercury-tolerant microbiota can extend its phenotype to its host by ameliorating host survival and fecundity under mercury-stress. We isolated microbiota members from various clones of Daphnia magna, screened for the mercury-biotransforming merA gene, and determined their mercury tolerance levels. We then introduced the mercury-tolerant microbiota, Pseudomonas-10, to axenic D. magna and quantified its merA gene expression, mercury reduction capability, and measured its

impact on host survival and fecundity. The expression of the merA gene was up-regulated in Pseudomonas-10, both in isolation and in host-association with mercury exposure. Pseudomonas-10 is also capable of significantly reducing mercury concentration in the medium. Notably, mercury-exposed daphnids containing only Pseudomonas-10 exhibited higher survival and fecundity than mercury-exposed daphnids supplemented with parental microbiome. Our study showed that zooplankton, such as Daphnia, naturally harbor microbiome members that are eco-responsive and tolerant to mercury exposure and can aid in host survival and maintain host fecundity in a mercury-contaminated environment. This study further demonstrates that under stressful environmental conditions, the fitness of the host can depend on the genotype and the phenotype of its microbiome.

■ INTRODUCTION

Daphnia is a ubiquitous keystone zooplankton species found in many aquatic ecosystems, capable of growing in both pristine and polluted environments. It can withstand many environmental stressors, hence, is widely used as a model organism for numerous research, $1-\bar{7}$ $1-\bar{7}$ $1-\bar{7}$ $1-\bar{7}$ including studies on the effects of temperature fluctuations,^{[8](#page-10-0),[9](#page-10-0)} food availability,^{[10](#page-10-0)−[12](#page-10-0)} preda-tions,^{13−[15](#page-10-0)} and exposure to metal pollutants (such as mercury, cadmium, copper, arsenic).^{[16](#page-10-0)−[23](#page-11-0)} To date, mercury studies on Daphnia have focused mainly on the mechanisms of uptake, accumulation, and elimination in D. magna. [16](#page-10-0)[,24](#page-11-0)−[26](#page-11-0) While host responses to stressors are evaluated primarily, the responses and contributions of their microbiomes are often overlooked. Aside from host genetics, microbiomes can also be an important component in host survival in deleterious environments. For instance, the gut microbiome of desert woodrats enhances the host's ability to ingest plants with toxic secondary compounds, 27 and the gut microbiota of a coffee berry borer can detoxify the caffeine ingested by its host, allowing the beetle to subsist on the otherwise toxic caffeine-laden berries.²⁸

Mercury is a well-known metal contaminant that is bioaccumulated and biomagnified in aquatic food webs.^{29–[31](#page-11-0)} Many studies on mercury pollution and its neurotoxicity have been reported.[30,32](#page-11-0)[−][34](#page-11-0) Three major species of mercury can be found in the environment: inorganic mercury (Hg^{2+}) , organic methylmercury (MeHg including the mono- and dimethyl forms), and elemental mercury (Hg 0). Hg 0 and Hg $^{2+}$ are commonly released into the atmosphere via anthropogenic and natural sources, while deposition of atmospheric mercury leads to mercury contamination of terrestrial and aquatic ecosys-tems.^{[31,33](#page-11-0),[35](#page-11-0)} Anaerobic microorganisms harboring hgcAB genes (encoding a corrinoid-dependent protein and an associated ferredoxin protein, respectively) have been shown to methylate mercury, producing the highly bioaccumulative MeHg from inorganic $\text{Hg}^{2+,36-39}$ $\text{Hg}^{2+,36-39}$ $\text{Hg}^{2+,36-39}$ $\text{Hg}^{2+,36-39}$ $\text{Hg}^{2+,36-39}$ Demethylation of MeHg to Hg^{2+} can be facilitated by microorganisms containing merB that encodes an organomercurial lyase. Inorganic Hg^{2+} can be further converted into the less toxic, extremely volatile Hg^0 by microorganisms expressing the merA gene that encodes a mercury reductase.^{[40](#page-11-0)−[43](#page-11-0)} Therefore, microorganisms harboring these mercury-biotransformation genes have the potential to impact mercury speciation, cycling, and concentration in the environment. Although mercury tolerance and detoxification in

many bacteria species have been reported, $42-44$ $42-44$ $42-44$ most of these bacteria were identified in water columns, sediments, and soil.[45](#page-11-0)[−][47](#page-11-0) In addition, most studies on the biotransformation of mercury in natural ecosystems focused on bacteria found in specific geographical environmental habitats, $45-47$ $45-47$ $45-47$ with only a few studies on mercury-biotransforming bacteria found in animal hosts.^{[48,49](#page-11-0)} The contribution of these bacteria on host mercury tolerance and survival, however, has never been investigated.

In this study, we investigated the role of microbiomes on host fitness under mercury stress. We first assessed the microbiome community structure of D. magna collected from a seasonally mercury-polluted site (Yolo Bypass, California).⁵⁰ We then isolated members of the microbiota, determined their mercury tolerance levels, and measured merA gene expression and mercury reduction of the mercury-tolerant microbiota member (Pseudomonas-10) in isolation and in association with the daphnid host. We found that Daphnia is an environmental reservoir of mercury-tolerant bacteria that could potentially biotransform mercury into less-toxic form by up-regulating the expression of merA gene upon exposure to elevated levels of mercury and reducing the concentration of mercury in the medium. Most importantly, we found that a daphnid microbiota member can aid in the host survival and allow the host to produce viable offspring even when exposed to mercury contamination.

■ MATERIALS AND METHODS

Daphnia Animal Collection and Husbandry. D. magna CAY ($California$ Yolo-bypass) was sampled in the inlet ponds (38°31′45.4″N, 121°36′28.9″W) that are part of the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area (Davis, California, U.S.A). Other D. magna clones, DE-K35-Iinb1 (Germany) and FI-Xinb3 $(Finland)^{51}$ $(Finland)^{51}$ $(Finland)^{51}$ are part of the Daphnia collection in our laboratory. Daphnid husbandry was carried out as previously published.[52](#page-11-0) Animals were routinely cultured in artificial Daphnia medium $(ADaM)^{53}$ $(ADaM)^{53}$ $(ADaM)^{53}$ at 20 °C with 14:10 light/dark photoperiod and fed daily with Scenedesmus obliquus (\sim 2 × 10⁷ cells). Experiments with D. magna were also carried out in the same media and conditions.

16S rRNA Fragments High-Throughput Sequencing and Data Analysis. Total genomic DNA (gDNA) $(n = 3)$ was extracted using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). Equimolar DNA concentration was sent to the Argonne National Laboratory Sequencing Core for library preparation and sequencing of the 16S rRNA V4 region (PCR-amplified with 515F and 806R primers, [Table S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf), using the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Additional details are provided under [Supporting Information B.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)

D. magna sequences were demultiplexed and checked for chimeras, with low quality sequence and short sequence reads (<150 bp) removed prior to postanalysis with the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME 1.8) pipeline.⁵⁴ Operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered at 97% sequence similarity with Uclust.^{[55](#page-12-0)} Representative sequences from the clustered OTUs were picked for taxonomic identification based on RDP classifier $2.2.^{56}$ $2.2.^{56}$ $2.2.^{56}$ Singletons, chloroplast, and mitochondria sequences were filtered out of the OTU table prior to alignment of OTU representative sequences with $PyNAST.^{54}$ $PyNAST.^{54}$ $PyNAST.^{54}$ Samples were rarified at a minimum of 10 sequences and a maximum depth of 12030 sequences in steps of 10. For microbiome composition analysis, assignments of bacterial taxon were performed using

BLAST 2.22.2.^{[57](#page-12-0)} Information on NCBI data availability of the raw sequence reads are provided in [Supporting Information E](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf).

Bacteria Isolation and Identification, Growth Conditions, and merA Screening. D. magna microbiota were isolated from various D. magna clones: the newly collected CAY clone and clones in the laboratory collections (FI-Xinb3 and DE-K35-Iinb1) as well as sediment samples from Yolo Bypass. Individual D. magna were washed three times with 1 mL of sterile ADaM, homogenized in 200 μL of sterile ADaM, plated on various agar media, including LB, R2A (Teknova, Hollister, CA), 10x-diluted R2A with and without Nacetylglucosamine supplement, and MacConkey (Teknova, Hollister, CA), and incubated at room temperature (22−23 °C) for several days. Sediment samples were also plated on the same set of agar media and incubated at room temperature for several days. Agar medium contains 1.5% (w/v) granulated agar. Colonies exhibiting different phenotypes were repeatedly streaked for single colonies. Pure microbiota isolates were cultured in R2A liquid media and stored at −80 °C in autoclaved glycerol.

Genetic identification of bacterial isolates and merA screening were carried out by sequencing the partial PCRamplified 16S rRNA and merA fragments. PCR amplification was carried out with MyTaq Red (Bioline, Taunton, MA) using the following PCR program: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 2 min, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Universal 16S primer set 8F and 1492R^{[58](#page-12-0)} and merA primer sets MERA5 and MERA1^{[44](#page-11-0)} or merA-128_F and merA-993 R, were used to amplify 16S rRNA and merA genes, respectively. Additional details are provided in [Supporting](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf) [Information C.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf) Primers used in this study are listed in [Table](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf) [S1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). GenBank accession numbers for the partial 16S rRNA and merA sequences are found in the [Supporting Information E](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). Details on 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis are provided in [Supporting Information D](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf).

Bacteria Mercury Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) Assays. Mercury MIC assays of twenty-seven bacterial isolates were carried out at room temperature (22−23 °C) in 96-well microtiter plates containing 200 μ L of R2A media with different concentrations of mercury (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1 to 15 μ M, with 1 μ M increment). The bacteria MIC was determined using 1 to 15 μ M first, followed by the lower mercury concentrations $(0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, \text{ and } 1 \mu\text{M})$ for bacteria that have MIC $\langle 1 \mu M$. Each well containing the defined mercury concentrations was inoculated with $2 \mu L$ of bacterial inoculum and visible bacterial growth, defined as increase in culture turbidity, was visually checked and measured as increased in absorbance/optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) . Cultures grown in the absence of mercury were used as positive controls, while uninoculated R2A media were used as negative controls. The MIC is the lowest mercury concentration where bacterial growth (culture turbidity) was not observed with the naked eye,^{[59](#page-12-0)} and the difference in OD_{600} values between measured and negative control (uninoculated R2A media) is less than 0.01 .⁶⁰ The MIC assays were repeated three times, and the lowest mercury concentration where no growth occurred 59 after 3 days of incubation indicates the mercury MIC for that particular bacterial isolate. Mercury stock solution (1000 μ g/mL mercury in 10% nitric acid) was purchased from SPEX CertiPrep (Metuchen, NJ). O ${\rm D}_{600}$ was determined daily using SpectraMax M2 microplate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

RNA Extraction and cDNA Synthesis. Since Pseudomonas-10 exhibited the highest mercury MIC (8 μ M) among the merA-positive microbiota isolates identified in this study (Table 1), this microbiota isolate was used to study merA

a Numbers after the genus names indicated the laboratory collection numbers, so as to distinguish between isolates from the same genera. b Bacteria were isolated either from *D. magna* crushed bodies (CAY, DE-K35-Iinb1, or Fl-Xinb3 clones), Yolo Bypass sediment (where the D. magna CAY clones were collected), or the ADaM culture medium. Lowest mercury concentration with no bacterial growth after 3 days of incubation at room temperature ($22-23$ °C). ^dPresence of merA gene determined by PCR and sequencing, indicated with + and bold lettering.

gene expression. To quantify merA gene expression in isolation, Pseudomonas-10 was grown aerobically at room temperature (22−23 °C) in LB media until the midexponential growth phase ($OD_{600} = 0.3$). Aliquots (10 mL) of the cultures were transferred to new culture flasks, followed by no mercury exposure (0 μ M) or exposure to 2.5 μ M or 5 μ M of mercury for 15 min at room temperature. After treatments, 2 mL aliquots were pelleted by centrifugation $(21, 200 \times g)$ at room temperature for 2 min. Cell pellets were immediately resuspended in Trizol (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH) and stored at −80 °C.

For measuring merA expression of daphnid-associated Pseudomonas-10, D. magna CAY harboring Pseudomonas-10 exposed to 50 nM mercury for 4 h on day 5 (Experiment 1, $n =$ 55; Experiment 2, $n = 50$) or unexposed (Experiment 1, $n =$ 50; Experiment 2, $n = 53$) were harvested, washed once with

sterile ADaM, homogenized in Trizol, and stored at −80 °C. The mercury LD_{50} for D. magna is 51.5 nM ([Figure S3\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf); hence, the mercury concentration of 50 nM was chosen for the mercury stress experiments in D. magna CAY. Gnotobiotic D. *magna* were generated as described for survival assays.^{[52](#page-11-0)}

RNA was extracted with Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus (Zymo research, Irvine, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Eluted total RNA was further treated with DNase I (Promega, Madison, WI) for 30 min at 37 °C, followed by inactivation at 65 °C for 10 min and purification using Directzol RNA Miniprep Plus. RNA concentrations were estimated using either a NanoDrop spectrophotometer or a Qubit fluorometer (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH). cDNA was synthesized using SuperScript III and random hexamers (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), according to manufacturer's instructions. For no-host RNA samples, 200 ng of RNA was used as a template for cDNA synthesis. For daphnid-associated RNA samples, depletion of daphnid host RNA was first carried out using a Dynabeads mRNA purification Kit (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, NH), where the Dynabeads Oligo $(dT)_{25}$ binds to host RNA that contains a poly-A tail. Unbound bacterial RNA was collected and purified using Direct-zol RNA Miniprep Plus. cDNA synthesis was then carried out using $2-3 \mu$ g of RNA as templates. Reactions without reverse transcriptase were used as controls (NRT).

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) and Semiquantitative PCR (Semi-qPCR). qRT-PCR reactions (10 μ L) were set up using a PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), according to manufacturer's instructions. Each reaction contains $2 \mu L$ of cDNA, 0.4 μ M of each specific primer (merA-Pse10 F and merA-Pse10_R or glnA_F and glnA_R; [Table S1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)), and 1X SYBR green master mix. qRT-PCR was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR system with the following thermal cycling steps: 50 °C for 2 min, 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s and 60 °C for 1 min. The specificities of the merA and glnA primers were tested with regular PCR and DNA gel electrophoresis, as well as in qRT-PCR with a Dissociation Stage (melt curve analysis). No amplification of no template control (NTC) and no reverse transcriptase (NRT) reactions served as negative controls. Serially diluted Pseudomonas-10 gDNA samples, extracted with a DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD), were used as positive controls and to generate calibration curves. The C_T values of the samples were within the linear dynamic range of the calibration curves for glnA and merA, with R^2 values of >0.99. All samples were normalized to the expression of the glutamine synthetase (glnA) housekeeping $gene₀⁶¹$ $gene₀⁶¹$ $gene₀⁶¹$ and relative gene expression (fold change) is calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C}$ _T method.^{[62](#page-12-0)} Data were log-transformed for statistical analysis. The assay was carried out three times, each with three technical replicates.

Semi-qPCR was carried out, similar to a protocol published previously, 63 to measure *merA* expression in daphnidassociated Pseudomonas-10. The reactions $(10 \mu L)$ were set up using a MyTaq Red master mix, 3 μ L of cDNA and 1 μ M of each specific primer (merA-Pse10_F and merA-Pse10_R or glnA_F and glnA_R) with the following PCR program: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 20 s, 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. NRT and NTC were used as negative controls. Amplified products $(3 \mu L)$ were analyzed with 2% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis, and the gel images

were captured using the ChemiDoc System (BioRad, Hercules, California). Fluorescence was determined with Image Lab v5 software (Bio-Rad) and calculated by subtracting background fluorescence of the corresponding NRT reactions for both merA and glnA, followed by normalization to the corresponding glnA samples. The assay was carried out twice, each with three technical replicates.

D. magna Mercury Lethal Dose 50 (LD $_{50}$), Survival and Fecundity Assays. Mercury LD_{50} of D. magna was determined in nonaxenic daphnids cultured in 80 mL of ADaM medium containing 10, 50, 75, and 100 nM mercury. Daphnids cultured in ADaM without mercury $(0 \mu M$ mercury) served as controls. The mercury LD_{50} experiments were carried out in 10% nitric acid-washed experimental jars (with 0.22 μ M filter caps) containing 4 daphnids per experimental jar ($n = 4$ for each treatment), and these were fed with nonaxenic S. obliquus ($∼2 × 10⁷$ cells per jar). LD₅₀ was determined using a 4-parameter logistic regression ([Figure S3\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). The LD_{50} of D. magna CAY after 2 days of mercury exposure is 51.5 nM, and as such, 50 nM of mercury was used as the applied concentration in the experiments that involved mercury exposure in D . magna CAY. The LD_{50} assays were carried out with four replicates.

Survival assays were carried out twice in 10% nitric acidwashed experimental jars (with $0.22 \mu M$ filter caps) containing 80 mL of sterile ADaM with one daphnid per jar which were fed with axenic S. obliquus (\sim 8 × 10⁷ cells/jar). Bacteria-free eggs were generated with antibiotic-treatment^{[52](#page-11-0)} using the third egg clutches of reproductively synchronized F2 adults and were separated into 3 groups: Bacteria-free (Bac-Free), bacteria supplemented with parental microbiome (Bac-Suppl), and Pseudomonas-10-infected (Pse-Inf). Pseudomonas-10 was used as it exhibits the highest MIC (8 μ M) among the merA-positive microbiota isolates identified in this study [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). Mercury stress (50 nM) was introduced on day 5. Survival was monitored daily for a period of 18 days. Fecundity assays were carried out twice, set up similarly as the survival assays, in 80 mL of sterile ADaM with one daphnid per jar which were fed with axenic S. obliquus ($\sim 8 \times 10^7$ cells/jar). Live hatchlings were counted and removed from the experimental jars daily for a period of 18 days. Mercury stress (50 nM) was added on day 5. At the end of the experiments, the sterility of the daphnids in the Bac-Free group and the presence of bacteria in the Bac-Suppl and Pse-Inf groups were verified by PCR using a 327F and 936R primer set ([Table S1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)), targeting the bacterial 16S $rRNA$ gene. 52 In addition, crushed daphnids from each experimental group were also plated on LB or R2A agar media (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) to verify that there was no bacterial growth from the Bac-Free group, mixed bacterial growth from Bac-Suppl group (indicated by the growth of bacteria exhibiting various morphotypes), and pure bacterial growth from Pse-Inf group. The identity of Pseudomonas-10 from the Pse-Inf groups was further confirmed via sequencing of the 16S rRNA using 8F and 1492R.⁵⁸

Mercury Biotransformation by Pseudomonas-10. Total mercury $(\rm{Hg}^{2+}$ and $\rm{Hg}^0,$ MeHg, and other \rm{Hg} species) concentrations in the ADaM medium of Pseudomonas-10 only (Pse), bacteria-free daphnids (Bac-Free), and daphnids infected with Pseudomonas-10 (Pse-Inf) were determined by cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry using a DMA-80 (Milestone, Inc.), calibrated with aqueous standards and accuracy checked against standard reference material BCR-414 (EPA Standard Method 7473).^{[64](#page-12-0)} Experimental jars were

set up similar to the survival assays, except that each experimental jar contained 5 daphnids. The experimental jars containing ADaM medium (80 mL) only served as controls. The experimental jars of the Pse group contained ADaM medium (80 mL) and Pseudomonas-10 (200 μ L of ADaMwashed bacterial culture suspension diluted to OD = \sim 0.6). Mercury (50 nM) was added to the experimental jars on day 5. Samples were collected and filtered through a 0.22 μ m filter on day 5, before (No Hg) and after (D5) the addition of mercury, and on day 8 (D8). Mercury samples were stored at 4 °C until analysis. Total mercury in the samples was measured directly with cold vapor atomic absorption spectrometry without any pretreatment. Data were obtained from at least 3 replicates for each condition: ADaM medium $(n = 3)$, Pse $(n = 3)$, Bac-Free $(n = 4)$, Pse-Inf $(n = 4)$.

Statistical Analysis. Data were presented as mean values with standard errors, except for box plots where medians (horizontal lines within the boxes) were shown with 25% to 75% quartiles, and maximum and minimum values were shown as whiskers. For experiments measuring the differences in gene expression and mercury reduction between treatments, data were log-transformed (when necessary) to fit the assumption of normal distribution and were tested for homogeneity of variance prior to performing one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD posthoc test or Student's t test using JMP 14. Statistical analysis for differences in survival rates was conducted using the Mantel Cox log-rank test in GraphPad Prism 5.01, with Bonferroni corrected p-value for multiple comparisons. The Kruskal−Wallis test and the Wilcoxon each pair test were used to compare differences in fecundity using JMP 14.0.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Microbiome Composition of D. magna CAY. To investigate whether D. magna CAY (collected from California Yolo Bypass) harbors mercury-tolerant and merA-positive bacteria, we first determined the composition of the D. magna CAY microbiome via 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. A total of 1295 OTUs were identified from the samples ($n =$ 3). After removing singletons and mitochondrial and chloroplast sequences, 416 OTUs remained and were assigned into 73 genera, 32 families, 10 orders, 9 classes, and 1 phylum ([Table S2\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). Only bacteria with \geq 1% average relative abundance (from 3 samples) were included in the comparison shown in Figure 1. The top three most abundant microbiota in D. magna CAY are bacteria from the genus Limnohabitans

Figure 1. Relative abundance of major taxonomic groups of microbiota from D. magna CAY. Relative abundance of microbiota members that are \geq 1% (average abundance of all 3 samples). Genera with less than 1% are grouped as "Other". Some of the microbiota were identified only at the family and order levels using BLAST. D. magna samples $(n = 3)$: DM1, DM2, and DM3.

Figure 2. 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree of isolated D. magna microbiota. 16S rRNA sequences of the 27 isolates were first aligned using MUSCLE, followed by tree-construction using maximum likelihood method (PhyML), with Jukes-Cantor substitution model and 250 bootstrap replicates. merA-positive microbiota isolates identified in this study ([Table 1](#page-3-0)) are in red. Numbers after the bacteria name represent laboratory collection ID number. Bootstrap values and scale bar are indicated as substitution per site.

(32.8%, average abundance), the order Saprospirales (26.2%), and the genus Leptothrix (11.9%). Other identified microbiota members that are \geq 1% (average abundance) include bacteria from the family Comamonadaceae (9.2%), the genera Sediminibacterium (5.8%), Flavobacterium (1.8%), and Pseudomonas (1.3%), and the order Sphingobacteriales (1%). Since Pseudomonas has been shown to be pathogenic to D. magna,^{[65](#page-12-0)–[67](#page-12-0)} it is interesting that *Pseudomonas* was found to be >1% in the microbiota of D. magna CAY. The presence of Pseudomonas as part of the Daphnia microbiome has also been reported in different Daphnia species,^{[51](#page-11-0),[68](#page-12-0),[69](#page-12-0)} suggesting that these Pseudomonas microbiome members may be nonpathogenic, as opposed to the isolates (P. aeruginosa PAO1 and strain DD1) used in the reported studies.

Notably, the microbial composition and structure of D. magna CAY reported here is similar to the microbiomes of other D. magna coming from different geographical locations, $51,68,70$ $51,68,70$ $51,68,70$ $51,68,70$ such as the dominance of Limnohabitans and the Saprospirales group for instance, suggesting active selection of microbiome by the daphnid host. Limnohabitans have been reported to increase fecundity in the Daphnia host^{[71](#page-12-0)} and are abundant in the host's filter apparatus.^{[72](#page-12-0)} Indeed, host genetics have been shown to play a role in the structuring and maintaining of different microbiota community abundances in D aphnia 51 51 51 and in Drosophila.^{[73](#page-12-0)–[75](#page-12-0)} Collectively, our data indicates that the newly collected D. magna CAY clones harbor microbiota similar to other published D. magna clones and reinforces the idea that host factors influence the microbial composition of the Daphnia microbiome.

Mercury Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and merA Screening of Microbiota Isolates. Many bacteria are capable of mercury detoxification, commonly through the expression of *mer* operons.^{42−[44](#page-11-0)} One of the central players of this widespread mercury-detoxification system is MerA, a mercuric reductase that is capable of reducing a more reactive, cationic form of mercury (Hg^{2+}) to a relative inert, volatile monatomic mercury vapor (Hg^0) , which can diffuse through the cell membrane.[40](#page-11-0)[−][42](#page-11-0) Cross-referencing the 73 assigned

genera found in D. magna CAY with NCBI databases, 41 genera were found to contain species that putatively harbor merA genes ([Table S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)). We therefore hypothesize that Daphnia could harbor merA-positive bacteria capable of detoxifying mercury through biotransformation. To test this hypothesis, we isolated pure cultures of the microbiota members from the newly collected D. magna CAY and two published D. magna clones (DE-K35-Iinb1 and FI-Xinb3) in the laboratory collection as well as their environments (ADaM culture media and the sediment from Yolo Bypass sampling site). We then determined the mercury MICs of individual isolated bacteria and screened them for the presence of the merA gene. We isolated 27 bacteria from different D. magna clones and their environments [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0) and determined their identities and phylogeny using partial 16S rRNA sequences. The well-resolved phylogenetic tree showed the phylum/class groupings of D. magna CAY microbiota isolates ([Figure 2](#page-5-0)). Several of the bacterial isolates (Acidovorax, Acinetobacter, Blastomonas, Exiguobacterium, Hydrogenophaga, and Pseudomonas) have homologous 16s rRNA sequences identified in the D. magna CAY microbiome ([Figure 1](#page-4-0) and [Table S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)). In addition, some of these isolated bacteria (Acidovorax, Acinetobacter, Aeromonas, Hydrogenophaga, and Pseudomonas) were also identified as microbiome members of other Daphnia species.^{68,[69,76](#page-12-0)} We were not able to isolate and culture the dominant microbiota member, Limnohabitans, despite numerous attempts using several different media and methods of isolation.

[Table 1](#page-3-0) also shows the level of mercury tolerance (reported as MIC) of the isolated D. magna microbiota. Highest mercury MIC was observed with Acidovorax-4 $(11 \mu M)$ and Curtobacterium-20 (11 μ M), followed by Pseudomonas-10 (8 μ M). Other microbiota isolates exhibited low to medium MICs ranging from 0.6 to 6 μ M. To date, most bacteria tested for mercury tolerance are either free-living bacteria or bacteria found in the sediments[.45](#page-11-0),[77](#page-12-0)[−][79](#page-12-0) Host-associated bacteria that are mercury-tolerant have been reported only in a few hosts, including fish gut bacteria grown in media containing 12.5 μ M of mercury,^{[48](#page-11-0)} bacteria isolated from nodules of leguminous plants, and marine sponges exhibiting MICs of 30 μ M and $>100 \mu M$ of mercury, respectively.^{[49](#page-11-0)[,80](#page-12-0)} Hence, our study and that of others indicate that host-associated microbiomes are also potential sources of mercury-detoxifying bacteria.

To determine if mercury-tolerant bacteria contain merA genes, we screened all 27 bacteria isolates for the presence of merA using published primer sets [\(Table S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). $44,77,81$ $44,77,81$ Initial screening identified partial merA genes in Acinetobacter-1 and Hydrogenophaga-8 using primer set MERA5 and MERA1;⁴⁴ other primer sets did not yield amplified merA fragments. Using the partial merA sequences from Hydrogenophaga-8 and Acinetobacter-1, we designed a primer set, merA-128 F and merA-993_R [\(Table S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf), targeting the conserved sequence regions ([Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf), and further identified three more bacteria isolates containing merA. In all, merA fragments were amplified from five microbiota isolates: three γ-proteobacteria isolates (Pseudomonas-10, Pseudomonas-23, and Acinetobacter-1) and two β-proteobacteria isolates (Variovorax-11 and Hydrogenophaga-8). Homology sequence searches using BLAST showed high sequence similarity to known merA sequences ([Table S3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf) and [Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf), indicating that Pseudomonas-10, Pseudomonas-23, Acinetobacter-1, Variovorax-11, and Hydrogenophaga-8 harbor merA genes. It is noteworthy that all these five merA-positive isolates also exhibited moderate to high

mercury MICs, ranging from 3 to 8 μ M [\(Table 1](#page-3-0)), hinting that these bacteria isolates likely contain functional merA genes. The ability of the primer set merA-128_F and merA-993_R in amplifying *merA* fragments from both β -proteobacteria and γ proteobacteria, indicates that it can be used for the merA screening of environmental samples.

Although many other isolated microbiota exhibited high mercury tolerance or belong to genera that putatively contain merA-positive species, we were unable to amplify their merA gene, including Acidovorax-4 and Curtobacterium-20. In addition, while Pseudomonas-10 and -23 harbor the merA gene, we were unable to detect the presence of merA in the congeneric Pseudomonas-28. It is possible that these bacterial isolates contain nucleotide polymorphisms in the binding regions of the designed merA primer sets or that these particular species/strains do not contain merA but exhibit mercury tolerance by other yet-to-be identified mechanisms. We are continuing our effort in using new merA primer sets to screen our remaining microbiota isolates as well as screen for the presence of merB, which will be part of another study.

MerA exists as a homodimer protein, and three major conserved regions/residues critical for MerA activity have been identified.^{[42](#page-11-0)} At the N-terminus, a stretch of residues containing two cysteines forms a redox-active site, while the C-terminus short cysteine pair aids in Hg^{2+} binding to the N-terminal redox-active site of the corresponding monomer. In addition, two tyrosine residues are also involved in Hg^{2+} binding.⁴ While most of the conserved active regions/residues are beyond the identified merA sequences of the microbiota isolates, the first Hg^{2+} -binding tyrosine is found in Pseudomonas-10, Hydrogenophaga-8, and Acinetobacter-1 ([Fig](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)[ure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). The well-resolved MerA tree also showed divergence of MerA between Pseudomonas isolates and the other three microbiota isolates [\(Figure S1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). This is not surprising since merA found in Pseudomonas has been suggested to be more distantly related to the merA of other Gram-negative bacteria.⁸² While the partial MerA sequences between Pseudomonas-10 and -23 determined in this study are identical, they exhibited distinct mercury tolerance levels. Possible sequence variations may exist in their C- and N-terminus active sites, which may explain the tolerance differences between these two Pseudomonas isolates. Together, our data showed that Daphnia microbiomes contain mercury-tolerant bacteria that harbor merA genes, which imply their potential to biotransform mercury from the toxic Hg^{2+} to less toxic Hg^0 species.

Expression of Bacterial merA Gene in Isolation and in Association with D. magna after Mercury Exposure. To test the functionality of the merA gene, we exposed exponentially growing Pseudomonas-10 to 2.5 and 5 μ M of mercury for 15 min and compared merA expressions between mercury-exposed and unexposed cultures $(0 \mu M)$. Pseudomonas-10 was chosen as it exhibits the highest mercury MIC (8 μ M) among the *merA*-positive microbiota isolates identified in this study ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). Using qRT-PCR, relative expression of merA was found to be more than 300-fold higher in cells exposed to mercury compared to unexposed cells (one-way ANOVA, F = 130.79, $p = 0.00001$ [Figure 3\)](#page-7-0). We also visualized the qRT-PCR amplified products with agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified the relative fluorescence ([Figure S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)). As expected, higher fluorescence was detected in samples exposed to mercury compared to the unexposed samples. Expression of merA is not significantly different between cells exposed to 2.5 and 5 μ M mercury (Tukey's HSD Posthoc test,

Mercury Concentration

Figure 3. merA expression in the microbiota isolate Pseudomonas-10. Relative fold-change of merA expression in Pseudomonas-10 (Pse-10) bacterial cultures exposed to 0, 2.5, and 5 μ M of mercury in LB media, determined from 3 independent experiments with 3 technical replicates. Data were log-transformed and were analyzed using oneway ANOVA with Tukey's HSD Posthoc test. Column with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

 $p > 0.05$, Figure 3 and [Figure S2](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)). Similarly, increases in *merA* gene expressions have also been reported in other Pseudomonas strains, where over 10-fold and 30-fold increases were observed with 2 and 5 μ M mercury exposures (respectively) in Pseudomonas stutzeri $OX₁⁸³$ $OX₁⁸³$ $OX₁⁸³$ and more than a 2-fold increase was observed with 50 μ M of mercury exposure in the Pseudomonas strain ATH-43.^{[78](#page-12-0)} Our data show that the mercury-tolerant and merA-positive Pseudomonas-10 isolate is capable of upregulating merA gene expression upon mercury exposure.

We further investigated if similar upregulation of merA also occurs in Pseudomonas-10 when in association with the D. magna host upon exposure to mercury. We first determined the lethal dose 50 (LD_{50}) of mercury in D. magna CAY. To do this, we exposed 5 day old nonaxenic daphnids to various concentrations of mercury for 2 days and monitored their survival. Using a 4-parameter logistic regression, we determined that the LD_{50} of mercury is 51.5 nM [\(Figure S3](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)) and as such, 50 nM was chosen as the applied mercury concentration for the mercury stress experiments in D. magna. We infected bacteria-free D. magna CAY with Pseudomonas-10, allowed bacteria-host association to establish for 5 days, exposed the Pseudomonas-10-infected daphnids to 50 nM of mercury for 4 h, harvested total RNA, and determined merA gene expression. Several attempts using qRT-PCR to determine merA expression in host-associated Pseudomonas-10 did not yield reliable results, likely due to low abundance of bacterial cDNA and interference from the daphnid host cDNA, despite attempts to deplete host RNA before cDNA synthesis. As such, we carried out semiquantitative RT-PCR (semi $qPCR)$ by analyzing the amplified merA and glnA (housekeeping gene for normalization) on agarose gel and determined band intensities of the amplified products (Figure 4). Upon exposure to 50 nM of mercury, merA expression in daphnid-associated Pseudomonas-10 was upregulated approximately 31-fold, when compared to daphnid-associated Pseudomonas-10 without mercury treatment. This data indicates that Pseudomonas-10 is capable of upregulating merA expression in response to mercury stress even when in association with the daphnid host. The lower expression level

Figure 4. Expression of merA in the daphnid-associated Pseudomonas-10. (A) Agarose gel electrophoresis of amplified merA and glnA (housekeeping gene for normalization). (B) Relative merA expression in host-associated Pseudomonas-10 exposed to 50 nM mercury, determined from 2 independent experiments with 3 technical replicates. $*, p = 0.0001$ (Student's t test). RT, reverse transcriptase.

of merA in daphnid-associated Pseudomonas-10 (31-fold), when compared to *Pseudomonas*-10 in isolation (>300-fold), is likely due to the lower mercury concentration used in hostassociated Pseudomonas-10 exposure.

Pseudomonas-10 Aids in Host Survival and Maintains Host Fecundity under Mercury Stress. Since hostassociated Pseudomonas-10 exhibited increased merA expression upon mercury exposure, we investigated whether Pseudomonas-10 can contribute to host survival and fecundity under mercury stress. We infected D. magna CAY with Pseudomonas-10 (Pse-Inf), allowed the daphnids to grow for 5 days before exposing the daphnids to 50 nM mercury, and compared their survival rates to bacteria-free (Bac-Free) and parental-microbiome supplemented D. magna CAY (Bac-Suppl) over a period of 18 days ([Figure 5](#page-8-0)A). We positively verified the absence of bacteria in the Bac-Free group, the presence of Pseudomonas in the Pse-Inf group, and the presence of various bacterial morphotypes in the Bac-Suppl group at the end of the experiment.

A significant difference in survival rates was observed between daphnid groups (Mantel-Cox log-rank test, χ^2 = 26.29, $p = 0.003$). In the absence of mercury stress, Bac-Suppl daphnids (94%, $n = 29/31$) exhibited similar survival rates with Pse-Inf daphnids (87%, $n = 26/30$, $\chi^2 = 0.68$, $p = 0.41$) and significantly higher survival rates than Bac-Free daphnids (73%, $n = 22/30$, $\chi^2 = 4.16$, $p = 0.04$) at the end of the assay at day 18. This suggests that Pseudomonas-10 is a mutualistic symbiont and contributes to D. magna survival. A similar report also showed that a Pseudomonas strain isolated from a D. magna host is beneficial to the development of D. magna resting eggs at warm conditions. [84](#page-12-0)

Under mercury stress, lower survival rates were observed for the three mercury-treated groups when compared to their counterparts at the end of the assay (day 18): Bac-Suppl + Hg $(43\%, n = 13/30)$, Pse-Inf + Hg (70%, $n = 21/30$), and Bac-

Figure 5. Survival and fecundity of gnotobiotic D. magna CAY harboring different microbiota under mercury stress. (A) Survival of bacteria-free daphnids (Bac-Free), bacteria-supplemented daphnids harboring parental microbiota (Bac-Suppl), and Pseudomonas-10 infected daphnids (Pse-Inf) with (50 nM Hg) and without (no Hg) mercury exposure. Mercury stress (Hg stress) was introduced at day 5, indicated with a red arrow. Bac-Free (no Hg), n = 30; Bac-Free (50 nM Hg), n = 30; Bac-Suppl (no Hg), n = 31; Bac-Suppl (50 nM Hg), n = 30; Pse-Inf (no Hg), n = 30; Pse-Inf (50 nM Hg), n = 30. Survival assays were repeated twice ([Figure S4](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)), but only one representative experiment is shown here. (B) Fecundity of Bac-Free, Bac-Suppl, and Pse-Inf daphnids with (50 nM Hg) and without (no Hg) mercury stress. Boxes show the 25% to 75% quartiles, medians are shown as horizontal lines (within the box), and maximum and minimum values are shown as whiskers. Columns with the same letter are not significantly different, analyzed using Kruskal–Wallis test with Wilcoxon each pair test for pairwise comparisons. Bac-Free (no Hg), $n = 8$; Bac-Free (50 nM Hg), $n = 9$; Bac-Suppl (no Hg), $n = 15$; Bac-Suppl (50 nM Hg), $n = 10$; Pse-Inf (no Hg), $n = 9$; Pse-Inf (50 nM Hg), $n = 9$. Fecundity assays were repeated twice [\(Figure S5\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf).

Free + Hg (60%, $n = 18/30$). Daphnids from the Bac-Suppl + Hg were most severely affected under mercury stress (χ^2 = 17.22, $p < 0.0001$) while the survival rates of Pse-Inf + Hg daphnids were statistically similar to those of Pse-Inf daphnids $(\chi^2 = 2.18, p = 0.14)$. Survival rates of Bac-Free daphnids were also statistically similar under mercury and without mercury stress (χ^2 = 1.61, $p = 0.21$). Notably, under mercury stress, daphnids harboring Pseudomonas-10 (Pse-Inf + Hg) exhibited significantly higher survival rates than Bac-Suppl + Hg (χ^2 = 6.19, $p = 0.01$). These results suggest that the mercury-tolerant Pseudomonas-10 is capable of augmenting host survival under mercury stress, likely by biotransforming mercury into a less toxic form, in addition to the daphnid's ability to eliminate mercury through excretion, egestion, molting, and neonate production.^{[25](#page-11-0)} Intriguingly, under mercury stress, daphnids supplemented with parental microbiome (Bac-Suppl + Hg) exhibited the lowest survival (43% at day 18; $n = 13/30$). Similar results were obtained in a repeated experiment [\(Figure](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf) [S4](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf)). Possibly, the microbiota composition of the Bac-Suppl daphnids is low in merA-positive bacteria, hence the low survival under mercury exposure, as compared to the higher abundance of merA-positive Pseudomonas-10 in Pse-Inf daphnids. It is also likely that under mercury stress, harboring various kinds of microbiota can have a detrimental effect on the health of the daphnids. Indeed, Bac-Suppl + Hg daphnids also exhibited significantly lower survival rates than BacFree +

Hg daphnids (χ^2 = 14.49, p = 0.0001), implying that harboring many bacteria is beneficial under normal conditions but can be a burden under stressful environmental conditions. This is also observed on grasses with and without fungal endophytes, where the endophyte-free plants significantly fared better than endophytic-laden plants in terms of root/shoot ratio under extreme limiting-nutrient conditions.^{[85](#page-12-0)}

To further investigate the contributions of Pseudomonas-10 in host fitness, we carried out fecundity assays and compared the number of live hatchlings from the first and second clutches of all daphnid groups with and without mercury stress (Figure 5B,C and [Figure S5\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf). The six groups significantly vary in the number of first and second clutch hatchlings (Kruskal− Wallis test, χ^2 = 26.97 and 37.56, respectively, $p < 0.0001$), suggesting differences between treatments. Under no mercury stress, Bac-Suppl daphnids have a significantly higher number of first and second clutch hatchlings than the Bac-Free daphnids (Wilcoxon Each Pair test, $p = 0.0004$ and $p = 0.0003$, respectively) but did not differ with Pse-Inf daphnids ($p = 0.31$) and $p = 0.15$, respectively). This data confirms that Pseudomonas-10 is a beneficial symbiont and positively contributes to daphnid host fitness under normal environmental conditions. Intriguingly, untreated Bac-Suppl and Pseinf daphnids produced a similar number of first and second clutch hatchlings as the Pse-Inf + Hg treated daphnids ($p >$ 0.05), suggesting that the fecundity of the daphnids harboring mercury-tolerant Pseudomonas-10 is maintained under mercury stress and is comparable to that of unstressed daphnids. Under mercury stress, hatchling production of Bac-Suppl + Hg treated daphnids was significantly lower than that of Bac-Suppl daphnids ($p = 0.007$, [Figure 5B](#page-8-0)) and this decrease in fecundity is even more pronounced in the second clutch ($p < 0.0001$, [Figure 5C](#page-8-0)). Moreover, Bac-Suppl + Hg have a similar number of hatchlings as Bac-Free and Bac-Free + Hg daphnids ($p >$ 0.05). This indicates that prolonged exposure to mercury results in lower fecundity of daphnids harboring several microbiota associates. Interestingly, Bac-Suppl + Hg treated daphnids have a significantly lower number of second clutch hatchlings than the Pse-Inf + Hg daphnids ($p = 0.0011$). This further confirms that mercury-tolerant Pseudomonas-10 can maintain the fecundity of the daphnid host under mercury exposure, likely by up-regulating its merA expression and reducing the toxicity of mercury, thereby allowing the host to withstand and survive and maintain clonal reproduction in a mercury-contaminated environment.

Pseudomonas-10 is Capable of Biotransforming Mercury. Since the merA-positive and mercury-tolerant Pseudomonas-10 can up-regulate merA expression, both in isolation and in host-association, and is capable of aiding host survival and fecundity under mercury stress, we wanted to investigate its ability to biotransform and reduce mercury (Figure 6). In a separate experiment, we measured the

Figure 6. Mercury biotransformation by Pseudomonas-10. Mercury concentrations (nM of Hg^{2+}) measured in the ADaM medium control, with Pseudomonas-10 only (Pse), bacterial-free daphnids (Bac-Free), and daphnids infected with Pseudomonas-10 (Pse-Inf) on day 5, before (No Hg) and after (D5) addition of mercury, and on day 8 (D8). Experimental jars containing ADaM medium only served as controls. * indicates significant difference $(p < 0.0001)$, analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD Posthoc test, while n.s. indicates no significant difference $(p > 0.05)$.

reduction or loss of mercury by Pseudomonas-10 in isolation (Pse) and in association with the daphnids (Pse-Inf) and compared that with that of the Bac-Free daphnids to tease apart the contribution of each respective partner to mercury reduction. We did not include Bac-Suppl in this study because it harbors several microbiota of unknown mercury biotransformation potentials. As expected, before the addition of mercury on day 5 (No Hg), negligible background concentrations of mercury were detected. Upon addition of 50 nM mercury on day 5 (D5), we detected initial mercury concentrations ranging from 11.6 to 15.6 nM in all groups (ADaM control, Pse, Bac-Free, and Pse-Inf). The significant mercury loss after initial mercury addition could be attributed to the attachment of mercury to the walls of the processing vessels. Nonetheless, the concentration of mercury in the ADaM only control group between D5 and D8 showed no significant differences (Tukey's HSD, $p > 0.05$), as in the D5 samples of all treatments (Tukey's HSD, $p > 0.05$), indicating that the loss of mercury due to attachment to processing vessels is consistent among samples. Intriguingly, after 3 days (day 8), a drastic 4.7-fold decrease in mercury concentrations (from 15.5 nM to 3.3 nM) was detected in the Pse group, which contained only ADaM medium and Pseudomonas-10 (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.0001$). Mercury loss in the ADaM only control group between D5 and D8 is not significant, indicating that the reduction of mercury from solution in Pse group was due to the presence of Pseudomonas-10. This strongly suggests that Pseudomonas-10 biotransformed and reduced mercury in the solution, most likely with the use of its MerA enzyme, which is known to be the common mechanism for the reduction and biotransformation of Hg^{2+} to elemental $Hg^{0.42}$ $Hg^{0.42}$ $Hg^{0.42}$ We also observed a significant reduction of mercury in the Bac-Free group after 3 days (12.9 to 6.3 nM, 2.0-fold), suggesting that the daphnids are capable of mercury uptake from their environment. This is not surprising as the assimilation of mercury by Daphnia has been reported.²⁵ A similar reduction of mercury was also detected in the Pse-Inf group after 3 days (15.6 to 5.8 nM, 2.7-fold), but mercury reduction was not significantly different from that of Bac-Free group. Hence, we could not conclusively show that Pseudomonas-10 significantly contributes to mercury reduction when it is in association with the daphnid host, despite its mercury biotransformation capability in isolation.

Host genetics indeed play a major role on metal stress tolerance via metallothionein genes, which act as metalresponsive systems upon metal exposure. D. magna contain three putative genes encoding the metal-binding metallothionein proteins in their genome, $86,87$ $86,87$ and these proteins are known to play an important role in metal detoxification and homeostasis in many organisms, likely through binding and sequestration of various metals, including mercury.^{[88,89](#page-12-0)} While the expression of these metallothionein genes may enable the Daphnia host to tolerate mercury stress, we showed in this study, that the merA-positive and mercury-tolerant microbiota Pseudomonas-10 can also reduce mercury in isolation and contributes to host survival and fecundity under mercury stress, through upregulation of merA and thus likely reducing the mercury stress experienced by the host. To definitively show the contribution of Pseudomonas-10 in biotransforming mercury inside the host and to further investigate its mechanistic role during host association, daphnids containing knockouts of the host metallothionein genes and a Pseudomonas-10 strain harboring a merA-knockout need to be generated, and this will be part of another study.

Our study highlights one of the mechanisms on how an aquatic organism like Daphnia can potentially withstand and survive environmental stresses. Just like many hosts, Daphnia harbor several groups of bacteria (with different relative abundances) in their microbiome consortium, most of them with unknown functions; some microbial members maybe

mutualistic, commensals, or parasitic depending on existing environmental conditions, or the microbiome consortium may be operating as a group of ecological guilds, with various microbial members having specific contributions to the functioning of the ecosystem (i.e., the host). Under normal conditions, the microbial consortium collectively increases D. magna survival and fitness as reported here and in another study.⁵¹ But under stressful environmental conditions, the collective beneficial contribution of the microbial consortium to the host can break down (as shown in this study) and the fitness of the host may depend on the genetic and phenotypic traits of a specific microbiome member/s that can positively respond to the given environmental condition. Pseudomonas and other merA-containing bacteria only constitute a minor percentage of the microbiome consortium in D. magna. In this study, we did not investigate if prolonged exposure to mercury can induce changes in microbiota composition or if it can lead to the enrichment of merA-positive bacteria in Daphnia. It would be interesting to investigate the flexibility of the symbiosis under stressful environmental conditions.

In conclusion, this study is one of the few that shows the extension of the microbiome's genetic and phenotypic traits to the fitness traits of the host, resulting in a holobiont phenotype that can withstand stressful environmental conditions. The microbiome of Daphnia should therefore be taken into consideration specifically in ecotoxicological research where Daphnia is commonly used as a testing animal.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305?goto=supporting-info)

Genbank accession numbers, list of primers, assigned taxon of D. magna CAY microbiota, merA Blastn matches, merA protein alignment and tree, merA expression in Pseudomonas-10, Daphnia mercury LD_{50} assay, replicates of survival and fecundity [\(PDF](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.est.9b05305/suppl_file/es9b05305_si_001.pdf))

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: msisonma@ucsc.edu.

ORCID[®]

Marilou P. Sison-Mangus: [0000-0002-6569-9380](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6569-9380)

Funding

The project was funded by UCSC−COR to MPSM. The funder had no role in data collection and interpretation or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the help of John Negrey and Wesley Heim during Daphnia CAY sampling, Aigbe Woghiren and Elodie Burcklen for their involvement in Daphnia microbiota isolations, Peter Chanseyha for his help in mercury tolerance experiments and Daphnia husbandry, and Christian Valdez for his assistance in merA screening experiments.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Harris, K. D.; Bartlett, N. J.; Lloyd, V. K. Daphnia as an emerging epigenetic model organism. Genet. Res. Int. 2012, 2012, 147892.

(2) Ebert, D., Ecology, Epidemiology, and Evolution of Parasitism in Daphnia, 2005.

(3) Ebert, D. Genomics. A genome for the environment. Science 2011, 331 (6017), 539−540.

(4) Miner, B. E.; De Meester, L.; Pfrender, M. E.; Lampert, W.; Hairston, N. G., Jr. Linking genes to communities and ecosystems: Daphnia as an ecogenomic model. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2012, 279 (1735), 1873−1882.

(5) Colbourne, J. K.; Pfrender, M. E.; Gilbert, D.; Thomas, W. K.; Tucker, A.; Oakley, T. H.; Tokishita, S.; Aerts, A.; Arnold, G. J.; Basu, M. K.; Bauer, D. J.; Caceres, C. E.; Carmel, L.; Casola, C.; Choi, J. H.; Detter, J. C.; Dong, Q.; Dusheyko, S.; Eads, B. D.; Frohlich, T.; Geiler-Samerotte, K. A.; Gerlach, D.; Hatcher, P.; Jogdeo, S.; Krijgsveld, J.; Kriventseva, E. V.; Kultz, D.; Laforsch, C.; Lindquist, E.; Lopez, J.; Manak, J. R.; Muller, J.; Pangilinan, J.; Patwardhan, R. P.; Pitluck, S.; Pritham, E. J.; Rechtsteiner, A.; Rho, M.; Rogozin, I. B.; Sakarya, O.; Salamov, A.; Schaack, S.; Shapiro, H.; Shiga, Y.; Skalitzky, C.; Smith, Z.; Souvorov, A.; Sung, W.; Tang, Z.; Tsuchiya, D.; Tu, H.; Vos, H.; Wang, M.; Wolf, Y. I.; Yamagata, H.; Yamada, T.; Ye, Y.; Shaw, J. R.; Andrews, J.; Crease, T. J.; Tang, H.; Lucas, S. M.; Robertson, H. M.; Bork, P.; Koonin, E. V.; Zdobnov, E. M.; Grigoriev, I. V.; Lynch, M.; Boore, J. L. The ecoresponsive genome of Daphnia pulex. Science 2011, 331 (6017), 555−561.

(6) Watanabe, H.; Kobayashi, K.; Kato, Y.; Oda, S.; Abe, R.; Tatarazako, N.; Iguchi, T. Transcriptome profiling in crustaceans as a tool for ecotoxicogenomics: Daphnia magna DNA microarray. Cell Biol. Toxicol. 2008, 24 (6), 641−647.

(7) Orsini, L.; Decaestecker, E.; De Meester, L.; Pfrender, M. E.; Colbourne, J. K. Genomics in the ecological arena. Biol. Lett. 2011, 7 (1), 2−3.

(8) Yampolsky, L. Y.; Schaer, T. M.; Ebert, D. Adaptive phenotypic plasticity and local adaptation for temperature tolerance in freshwater zooplankton. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2014, 281 (1776), 20132744.

(9) Henning-Lucass, N.; Cordellier, M.; Streit, B.; Schwenk, K. Phenotypic plasticity in life-history traits of Daphnia galeata in response to temperature - a comparison across clonal lineages separated in time. Ecol Evol. 2016, 6 (4), 881−891.

(10) Garbutt, J. S.; Little, T. J. Maternal food quantity affects offspring feeding rate in Daphnia magna. Biol. Lett. 2014, 10 (7), 20140356.

(11) Bukovinszky, T.; Verschoor, A. M.; Helmsing, N. R.; Bezemer, T. M.; Bakker, E. S.; Vos, M.; de Senerpont Domis, L. N. The good, the bad and the plenty: interactive effects of food quality and quantity on the growth of different Daphnia species. PLoS One 2012, 7 (9), No. e42966.

(12) Pietrzak, B.; Grzesiuk, M.łg.; Bednarska, A. Food quantity shapes life history and survival strategies in Daphnia magna (Cladocera). Hydrobiologia 2010, 643, 51−54.

(13) Petrusek, A.; Tollrian, R.; Schwenk, K.; Haas, A.; Laforsch, C. A "crown of thorns" is an inducible defense that protects Daphnia against an ancient predator. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2009, 106 (7), 2248−2252.

(14) Spanier, K. I.; Leese, F.; Mayer, C.; Colbourne, J. K.; Gilbert, D.; Pfrender, M. E.; Tollrian, R. Predator-induced defences in Daphnia pulex: selection and evaluation of internal reference genes for gene expression studies with real-time PCR. BMC Mol. Biol. 2010, 11, 50.

(15) Schwarzenberger, A.; Courts, C.; von Elert, E. Target gene approaches: Gene expression in Daphnia magna exposed to predatorborne kairomones or to microcystin-producing and microcystin-free Microcystis aeruginosa. BMC Genomics 2009, 10, 527.

(16) Tsui, M. T.; Wang, W. X. Temperature influences on the accumulation and elimination of mercury in a freshwater cladoceran, Daphnia magna. Aquat. Toxicol. 2004, 70 (3), 245−256.

(17) Tsui, M. T.; Wang, W. X. Acute toxicity of mercury to Daphnia magna under different conditions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2006, 40 (12), 4025−4030.

(18) Altshuler, I.; Demiri, B.; Xu, S.; Constantin, A.; Yan, N. D.; Cristescu, M. E. An integrated multi-disciplinary approach for

studying multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: Daphnia as a model organism. Integr. Comp. Biol. 2011, 51 (4), 623−633.

(19) Orsini, L.; Gilbert, D.; Podicheti, R.; Jansen, M.; Brown, J. B.; Solari, O. S.; Spanier, K. I.; Colbourne, J. K.; Rush, D.; Decaestecker, E.; Asselman, J.; De Schamphelaere, K. A.; Ebert, D.; Haag, C. R.; Kvist, J.; Laforsch, C.; Petrusek, A.; Beckerman, A. P.; Little, T. J.; Chaturvedi, A.; Pfrender, M. E.; De Meester, L.; Frilander, M. J. Daphnia magna transcriptome by RNA-Seq across 12 environmental stressors. Sci. Data 2016, 3, 160030.

(20) Rogalski, M. A. Tainted resurrection: metal pollution is linked with reduced hatching and high juvenile mortality in Daphnia egg banks. Ecology 2015, 96 (5), 1166−1173.

(21) Chen, S.; Nichols, K. M.; Poynton, H. C.; Sepulveda, M. S. MicroRNAs are involved in cadmium tolerance in Daphnia pulex. Aquat. Toxicol. 2016, 175, 241−248.

(22) Fan, W.; Ren, J.; Li, X.; Wei, C.; Xue, F.; Zhang, N. Bioaccumulation and oxidative stress in Daphnia magna exposed to arsenite and arsenate. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2015, 34 (11), 2629− 2635.

(23) Nagato, E. G.; D'Eon J, C.; Lankadurai, B. P.; Poirier, D. G.; Reiner, E. J.; Simpson, A. J.; Simpson, M. J. (1)H NMR-based metabolomics investigation of Daphnia magna responses to sub-lethal exposure to arsenic, copper and lithium. Chemosphere 2013, 93 (2), 331−337.

(24) Boudou, A.; Ribeyre, F. Comparative study of the trophic transfer of two mercury compounds-HgCl2 and CH3HgCl-between Chlorella vulgaris an Daphnia magna. Influence of temperature. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 1981, 27 (5), 624−629.

(25) Tsui, M. T.; Wang, W. X. Uptake and elimination routes of inorganic mercury and methylmercury in Daphnia magna. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2004, 38 (3), 808−816.

(26) Tsui, M. T.; Wang, W. X. Maternal transfer efficiency and transgenerational toxicity of methylmercury in Daphnia magna. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 2004, 23 (6), 1504−1511.

(27) Kohl, K. D.; Weiss, R. B.; Cox, J.; Dale, C.; Dearing, M. D. Gut microbes of mammalian herbivores facilitate intake of plant toxins. Ecol Lett. 2014, 17 (10), 1238−1246.

(28) Ceja-Navarro, J. A.; Vega, F. E.; Karaoz, U.; Hao, Z.; Jenkins, S.; Lim, H. C.; Kosina, P.; Infante, F.; Northen, T. R.; Brodie, E. L. Gut microbiota mediate caffeine detoxification in the primary insect pest of coffee. Nat. Commun. 2015, 6, 7618.

(29) Watras, C. J.; Back, R. C.; Halvorsen, S.; Hudson, R. J.; Morrison, K. A.; Wente, S. P. Bioaccumulation of mercury in pelagic freshwater food webs. Sci. Total Environ. 1998, 219 (2−3), 183−208. (30) Li, W. C.; Tse, H. F. Health risk and significance of mercury in

the environment. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 2015, 22 (1), 192−201.

(31) Driscoll, C. T.; Mason, R. P.; Chan, H. M.; Jacob, D. J.; Pirrone, N. Mercury as a global pollutant: sources, pathways, and effects. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47 (10), 4967−4983.

(32) Ha, E.; Basu, N.; Bose-O'Reilly, S.; Dorea, J. G.; McSorley, E.; Sakamoto, M.; Chan, H. M. Current progress on understanding the impact of mercury on human health. Environ. Res. 2017, 152, 419− 433.

(33) Gonzalez-Raymat, H.; Liu, G.; Liriano, C.; Li, Y.; Yin, Y.; Shi, J.; Jiang, G.; Cai, Y. Elemental mercury: Its unique properties affect its behavior and fate in the environment. Environ. Pollut. 2017, 229, 69− 86.

(34) Lamborg, C. H.; Hammerschmidt, C. R.; Bowman, K. L.; Swarr, G. J.; Munson, K. M.; Ohnemus, D. C.; Lam, P. J.; Heimburger, L. E.; Rijkenberg, M. J.; Saito, M. A. A global ocean inventory of anthropogenic mercury based on water column measurements. Nature 2014, 512 (7512), 65−68.

(35) Fitzgerald, W. F.; Lamborg, C. H.; Hammerschmidt, C. R. Marine biogeochemical cycling of mercury. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107 (2), 641−662.

(36) Podar, M.; Gilmour, C. C.; Brandt, C. C.; Soren, A.; Brown, S. D.; Crable, B. R.; Palumbo, A. V.; Somenahally, A. C.; Elias, D. A. Global prevalence and distribution of genes and microorganisms involved in mercury methylation. Sci. Adv. 2015, 1 (9), No. e1500675.

(37) Parks, J. M.; Johs, A.; Podar, M.; Bridou, R.; Hurt, R. A., Jr.; Smith, S. D.; Tomanicek, S. J.; Qian, Y.; Brown, S. D.; Brandt, C. C.; Palumbo, A. V.; Smith, J. C.; Wall, J. D.; Elias, D. A.; Liang, L. The genetic basis for bacterial mercury methylation. Science 2013, 339 (6125), 1332−1335.

(38) Hsu-Kim, H.; Kucharzyk, K. H.; Zhang, T.; Deshusses, M. A. Mechanisms regulating mercury bioavailability for methylating microorganisms in the aquatic environment: a critical review. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47 (6), 2441−2456.

(39) Gilmour, C. C.; Podar, M.; Bullock, A. L.; Graham, A. M.; Brown, S. D.; Somenahally, A. C.; Johs, A.; Hurt, R. A., Jr.; Bailey, K. L.; Elias, D. A. Mercury methylation by novel microorganisms from new environments. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2013, 47 (20), 11810− 11820.

(40) Mathema, V. B.; Thakuri, B. C.; Sillanpaa, M. Bacterial mer operon-mediated detoxification of mercurial compounds: a short review. Arch. Microbiol. 2011, 193 (12), 837−844.

(41) Nascimento, A. M.; Chartone-Souza, E. Operon mer: bacterial resistance to mercury and potential for bioremediation of contaminated environments. Genet Mol. Res. 2003, 2 (1), 92−101.

(42) Barkay, T.; Miller, S. M.; Summers, A. O. Bacterial mercury resistance from atoms to ecosystems. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2003, 27 (2−3), 355−384.

(43) Osborn, A. M.; Bruce, K. D.; Strike, P.; Ritchie, D. A. Distribution, diversity and evolution of the bacterial mercury resistance (mer) operon. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 1997, 19 (4), 239− 262.

(44) Ojo, K. K.; Tung, D.; Luis, H.; Bernardo, M.; Leitao, J.; Roberts, M. C. Gram-positive merA gene in gram-negative oral and urine bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 2004, 238 (2), 411−416.

(45) Jan, A. T.; Azam, M.; Ali, A.; Haq, Q. M. Molecular characterization of mercury resistant bacteria inhabiting polluted water bodies of different geographical locations in India. Curr. Microbiol. 2012, 65 (1), 14−21.

(46) Cabral, L.; Giovanella, P.; Gianello, C.; Bento, F. M.; Andreazza, R.; Camargo, F. A. Isolation and characterization of bacteria from mercury contaminated sites in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, and assessment of methylmercury removal capability of a Pseudomonas putida V1 strain. Biodegradation 2013, 24 (3), 319− 331.

(47) Figueiredo, N. L.; Canario, J.; O'Driscoll, N. J.; Duarte, A.; Carvalho, C. Aerobic Mercury-resistant bacteria alter Mercury speciation and retention in the Tagus Estuary (Portugal). Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf. 2016, 124, 60−67.

(48) Lloyd, N. A.; Janssen, S. E.; Reinfelder, J. R.; Barkay, T. Coselection of Mercury and Multiple Antibiotic Resistances in Bacteria Exposed to Mercury in the Fundulus heteroclitus Gut Microbiome. Curr. Microbiol. 2016, 73 (6), 834−842.

(49) Santos-Gandelman, J. F.; Giambiagi-de Marval, M.; Muricy, G.; Barkay, T.; Laport, M. S. Mercury and methylmercury detoxification potential by sponge-associated bacteria. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek 2014, 106 (3), 585−590.

(50) Alpers, C. N.; Fleck, J. A.; Marvin-DiPasquale, M.; Stricker, C. A.; Stephenson, M.; Taylor, H. E. Mercury cycling in agricultural and managed wetlands, Yolo Bypass, California: spatial and seasonal variations in water quality. Sci. Total Environ. 2014, 484, 276−287.

(51) Sison-Mangus, M. P.; Metzger, C.; Ebert, D. Host genotypespecific microbiota do not influence the susceptibility of D. magna to a bacterial pathogen. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8 (1), 9407.

(52) Sison-Mangus, M. P.; Mushegian, A. A.; Ebert, D. Water fleas require microbiota for survival, growth and reproduction. ISME J. 2015, 9 (1), 59−67.

(53) Klüttgen, B.; Dülmer, U.; Engels, M.; Ratte, H. T. ADaM, an artificial freshwater for the culture of zooplankton. Water Res. 1994, 28 (3), 743−746.

(54) Caporaso, J. G.; Kuczynski, J.; Stombaugh, J.; Bittinger, K.; Bushman, F. D.; Costello, E. K.; Fierer, N.; Pena, A. G.; Goodrich, J. K.; Gordon, J. I.; Huttley, G. A.; Kelley, S. T.; Knights, D.; Koenig, J. E.; Ley, R. E.; Lozupone, C. A.; McDonald, D.; Muegge, B. D.;

Pirrung, M.; Reeder, J.; Sevinsky, J. R.; Turnbaugh, P. J.; Walters, W. A.; Widmann, J.; Yatsunenko, T.; Zaneveld, J.; Knight, R. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 2010, 7 (5), 335−336.

(55) Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics 2010, 26 (19), 2460−2461.

(56) Wang, Q.; Garrity, G. M.; Tiedje, J. M.; Cole, J. R. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2007, 73 (16), 5261−5267.

(57) Altschul, S. F.; Gish, W.; Miller, W.; Myers, E. W.; Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 1990, 215 (3), 403− 410.

(58) Weisburg, W. G.; Barns, S. M.; Pelletier, D. A.; Lane, D. J. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol. 1991, 173 (2), 697−703.

(59) Wiegand, I.; Hilpert, K.; Hancock, R. E. Agar and broth dilution methods to determine the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of antimicrobial substances. Nat. Protoc. 2008, 3 (2), 163−175.

(60) Lin, M.-F.; Lin, Y.-Y.; Lan, C.-Y. Minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay for Acinetobacter baumannii. Bio-protocol 2014, 4 (23), No. e1308.

(61) Hurt, R. A.; Qiu, X.; Wu, L.; Roh, Y.; Palumbo, A. V.; Tiedje, J. M.; Zhou, J. Simultaneous recovery of RNA and DNA from soils and sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2001, 67 (10), 4495−4503.

(62) Pfaffl, M. W., Quantification strategies in real-time PCR, in A− Zof quantitativePCR, Bustin, S.A., Ed.. 2004, International University Line: La Jolla, CA. p 87−112.

(63) Fong, J. C.; Yildiz, F. H. Interplay between cyclic AMP-cyclic AMP receptor protein and cyclic di-GMP signaling in Vibrio cholerae biofilm formation. J. Bacteriol. 2008, 190 (20), 6646−6659.

(64) EPA, U. S. Method 7473 (SW-846): Mercury in Solids and Solutions by Thermal Decomposition, Amalgamation, and Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry. Available from: [https://www.epa.](https://www.epa.gov/esam/epa-method-7473-sw-846-mercury-solids-and-solutions-thermal-decomposition-amalgamation-and) [gov/esam/epa-method-7473-sw-846-mercury-solids-and-solutions](https://www.epa.gov/esam/epa-method-7473-sw-846-mercury-solids-and-solutions-thermal-decomposition-amalgamation-and)[thermal-decomposition-amalgamation-and.](https://www.epa.gov/esam/epa-method-7473-sw-846-mercury-solids-and-solutions-thermal-decomposition-amalgamation-and)

(65) Le Coadic, M.; Simon, M.; Marchetti, A.; Ebert, D.; Cosson, P. Daphnia magna, a host for evaluation of bacterial virulence. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2012, 78 (2), 593−595.

(66) Martin-Creuzburg, D.; Beck, B.; Freese, H. M. Food quality of heterotrophic bacteria for Daphnia magna: evidence for a limitation by sterols. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 2011, 76 (3), 592−601.

(67) Freese, H. M.; Martin-Creuzburg, D. Food quality of mixed bacteria-algae diets for Daphnia magna. Hydrobiologia 2013, 715 (1), 63−75.

(68) Qi, W.; Nong, G.; Preston, J. F.; Ben-Ami, F.; Ebert, D. Comparative metagenomics of Daphnia symbionts. BMC Genomics 2009, 10, 172.

(69) Freese, H. M.; Schink, B. Composition and stability of the microbial community inside the digestive tract of the aquatic crustacean Daphnia magna. Microb. Ecol. 2011, 62 (4), 882−894.

(70) Sullam, K. E.; Pichon, S.; Schaer, T. M. M.; Ebert, D. The Combined Effect of Temperature and Host Clonal Line on the Microbiota of a Planktonic Crustacean. Microb. Ecol. 2018, 76 (2), 506−517.

(71) Peerakietkhajorn, S.; Kato, Y.; Kasalicky, V.; Matsuura, T.; Watanabe, H. Betaproteobacteria Limnohabitans strains increase fecundity in the crustacean Daphnia magna: symbiotic relationship between major bacterioplankton and zooplankton in freshwater ecosystem. Environ. Microbiol. 2016, 18 (8), 2366−2374.

(72) Eckert, E. M.; Pernthaler, J. Bacterial epibionts of Daphnia: a potential route for the transfer of dissolved organic carbon in freshwater food webs. ISME J. 2014, 8 (9), 1808−1819.

(73) Chaplinska, M.; Gerritsma, S.; Dini-Andreote, F.; Falcao Salles, J.; Wertheim, B. Bacterial Communities Differ among Drosophila melanogaster Populations and Affect Host Resistance against Parasitoids. PLoS One 2016, 11 (12), No. e0167726.

(74) Early, A. M.; Shanmugarajah, N.; Buchon, N.; Clark, A. G. Drosophila Genotype Influences Commensal Bacterial Levels. PLoS One 2017, 12 (1), No. e0170332.

(75) Chaston, J. M.; Dobson, A. J.; Newell, P. D.; Douglas, A. E. Host Genetic Control of the Microbiota Mediates the Drosophila Nutritional Phenotype. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2016, 82 (2), 671− 679.

(76) Callens, M.; Macke, E.; Muylaert, K.; Bossier, P.; Lievens, B.; Waud, M.; Decaestecker, E. Food availability affects the strength of mutualistic host-microbiota interactions in Daphnia magna. ISME J. 2016, 10 (4), 911−920.

(77) Sotero-Martins, A.; de Jesus, M. S.; Lacerda, M.; Moreira, J. C.; Filgueiras, A. L.; Barrocas, P. R. A conservative region of the mercuric reductase gene (mera) as a molecular marker of bacterial mercury resistance. Braz. J. Microbiol. 2008, 39 (2), 307−310.

(78) Rodriguez-Rojas, F.; Diaz-Vasquez, W.; Undabarrena, A.; Munoz-Diaz, P.; Arenas, F.; Vasquez, C. Mercury-mediated crossresistance to tellurite in Pseudomonas spp. isolated from the Chilean Antarctic territory. Metallomics. 2016, 8 (1), 108−117.

(79) Rojas, L. A.; Yanez, C.; Gonzalez, M.; Lobos, S.; Smalla, K.; Seeger, M. Characterization of the metabolically modified heavy metal-resistant Cupriavidus metallidurans strain MSR33 generated for mercury bioremediation. PLoS One 2011, 6 (3), No. e17555.

(80) Ruiz-Diez, B.; Quinones, M. A.; Fajardo, S.; Lopez, M. A.; Higueras, P.; Fernandez-Pascual, M. Mercury-resistant rhizobial bacteria isolated from nodules of leguminous plants growing in high Hg-contaminated soils. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2012, 96 (2), 543−554.

(81) Ni Chadhain, S. M.; Schaefer, J. K.; Crane, S.; Zylstra, G. J.; Barkay, T. Analysis of mercuric reductase (merA) gene diversity in an anaerobic mercury-contaminated sediment enrichment. Environ. Microbiol. 2006, 8 (10), 1746−1752.

(82) Gilbert, M. P.; Summers, A. O. The distribution and divergence of DNA sequences related to the Tn21 and Tn501 mer operons. Plasmid 1988, 20 (2), 127−136.

(83) Schaefer, J. K.; Yagi, J.; Reinfelder, J. R.; Cardona, T.; Ellickson, K. M.; Tel-Or, S.; Barkay, T. Role of the bacterial organomercury lyase (MerB) in controlling methylmercury accumulation in mercurycontaminated natural waters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2004, 38 (16), 4304−4311.

(84) Mushegian, A. A.; Burcklen, E.; Schar, T. M.; Ebert, D. Temperature-dependent benefits of bacterial exposure in embryonic development of Daphnia magna resting eggs. J. Exp. Biol. 2016, 219 (6), 897−904.

(85) Cheplick, G. P. Costs of fungal endophyte infection in Lolium perenne genotypes from Eurasia and North Africa under extreme resource limitation. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2007, 60, 202−210.

(86) Poynton, H. C.; Varshavsky, J. R.; Chang, B.; Cavigiolio, G.; Chan, S.; Holman, P. S.; Loguinov, A. V.; Bauer, D. J.; Komachi, K.; Theil, E. C.; Perkins, E. J.; Hughes, O.; Vulpe, C. D. Daphnia magna ecotoxicogenomics provides mechanistic insights into metal toxicity. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2007, 41 (3), 1044−1050.

(87) Asselman, J.; Shaw, J. R.; Glaholt, S. P.; Colbourne, J. K.; De Schamphelaere, K. A. Transcription patterns of genes encoding four metallothionein homologs in Daphnia pulex exposed to copper and cadmium are time- and homolog-dependent. Aquat. Toxicol. 2013, 142−143, 422−430.

(88) Mao, H.; Wang, D. H.; Yang, W. X. The involvement of metallothionein in the development of aquatic invertebrate. Aquat. Toxicol. 2012, 110−111, 208−213.

(89) Amiard, J. C.; Amiard-Triquet, C.; Barka, S.; Pellerin, J.; Rainbow, P. S. Metallothioneins in aquatic invertebrates: their role in metal detoxification and their use as biomarkers. Aquat. Toxicol. 2006, 76 (2), 160−202.