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Peptidyl macrocycles are a compound class with a rich clinical history and great potential for drugging biological targets by mediating protein-protein interactions. Methods to forge S-S disulfide bonds largely rely on the oxidation of dithiol containing substrates. We have developed and implemented a sulfur transalkylative macrocyclization induced by an appended cinnamyl carbonate-based template. This is a mechanistically new method for the construction of peptide macrocycles. The resultant macrocyclic structures contain the functionality of the linear oligomer, while scaffolding this potential pharmacophore in a more conformationally rigid manner. We aim
to improve these macrocyclic structure's biological stability and pharmacology relative to the linear oligomer.

Chapter 2 details the synthesis of mono- and disulfides via sulfur transalkylation induced by a tethered cinnamyl cation. Scope, limitations, and competition with previously reported nucleophilic residues are discussed. Methods to synthetically elaborate these structures are demonstrated and attempted. Synthesis of a potential ghrelin O-acyl transferase inhibitor is disclosed.
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## Chapter 1- Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Rationale

Designing molecules to occupy enzyme active sites has been a well-trod and productive approach in medicinal chemistry. However, many actively researched pharmacological targets lack a conventional binding pocket and are in turn difficult to develop small molecule therapeutics for. Native biochemical interactions with these "undruggable" targets typically involve contact with other proteins via a shallow, solvent exposed interface. ${ }^{1-2}$ These protein surfaces often associate with each other by recognition of short linear motifs within the recruiting protein's solvent exposed surface. ${ }^{3}$ Engagement of these surfaces (Protein-Protein Interactions, PPIs) requires a conformationally accessible structure, harboring proteomic functionality, and scaffolding it in a suitable three-dimensional arrangement. Macrocycles, in particular small peptide macrocycles, are fitting candidates with these characteristics. A peptide macrocycle can contain functionality reminiscent of a native PPI scaffolding partner while having improve proteolytic stability, pharmacokinetics, ease of synthesis, therapeutic efficacy, and conformational rigidity. ${ }^{4-6}$ While macrocycles have always featured prominently in medicinal natural products, only recently has industry pursued de novo macrocycle synthesis in earnest (i.e. figure $1.1 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{7-11}$

Classic tactics for the synthesis of macrocyclic peptides center on methodologies such as reductive amination, amide, and ester bond formation. Recent condensation-based methodologies include ring contractive amide bond formation via O to N -acyl migration ${ }^{32}$ and imine forming macrocyclizations with subsequent heterocycle incorporation ${ }^{30,31}$. Advances in copper catalysis have delivered macrocyclizations via Huisgen cycloaddition ${ }^{23-26}$, Sonogashira ${ }^{27}$, and Glaser
couplings ${ }^{55-57}$. Olefin ${ }^{17,18}$ and alkyne $^{34}$ metathesis have proven amenable to peptide macrocyclization, relying on ruthenium and molybdenum catalysis respectively. The burgeoning
A


B
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Figure 1.1. A FDA approved disulfide macrocycles. B De Novo synthesized peptide macrocycles in recent clinical trials.
field of C-H activation has provided a wealth of new methods to construct peptide macrocyles ${ }^{20-}$ ${ }^{22}$. Palladium catalysis has likewise produced a large body of macrocyclization methods, including examples of Stille ${ }^{26}$, Heck $^{28}$, and Buchwald-Hartwig ${ }^{29}$ couplings. Multicomponent methodologies, mainly Ugi, Yudin, and Passerini reactions, have emerged as an attractive approach for rapid and combinatorial macrocycle library synthesis ${ }^{16}$. More recently, methods to synthesize macrocycles
from genetically encoded peptides have gained prominence, merging biological and chemical synthesis. ${ }^{54}$

With synthetic access to complex peptide macrocycles becoming more feasible, so too has their use as functional therapeutics and biochemical tools. For instance, oncological sciences have seen new macrocyclic kinase inhibitors such as FDA approved Lorlatinib ${ }^{37}$, clinical candidates Pacritinib ${ }^{58}$, and JNJ-2648332759 (figure 1.1. B). Additionally, cytostatic agents ${ }^{36}$, oncogene specific PPI mediators ${ }^{35}$, and apoptosis inducing agents ${ }^{33}$ have been reported. Another medical breakthrough enabled by macrocycles is Hepatitis C treatment. Once a chronic and devastating disease, HCV can now be effectively cured in upwards of $90 \%$ of patients with macrocyclic compounds (see figure 1.1. C for 2 experimental HCV drugs). This dramatic change in HCV prognosis can be traced to the successful development and adoption of antiviral peptidomimetics, particularly of the macrocyclic and C2 symmetric variety. More general medicinal chemistry targets have also been pursued with these strategies, such as GTPase targeting bimacrocycles ${ }^{34}$ and renin inhibtors ${ }^{38}$. As synthetic chemistry and drug discovery programs continued to advance in concert, we expect to see further examples of peptidyl and or de novo designed macrocycles in the clinic.

While a litany of new methods has enabled this macrocycle renaissance, very few operate by engaging natural peptidyl functionality beside simple condensation reactions. Turning our attention to the realm of natural products, we frequently see varied C-C and C-heteroatom linkages within macrocycles. ${ }^{12}$ Seeking to mirror this outcome, our laboratory has developed a series of chemical templates that can react with unprotected peptidyl functionality under various conditions to afford a diverse host of macrocycles (figure 1.2 B). These templates consist of a cinnamyl carbonate and other electrophiles poised for incremental cyclization reactions, tethered to an
activated ester for ligation to peptidyl amines. Acidolysis furnishes C-C linked macrocycles via Friedel-Crafts alkylation of tyrosine, tryptophan and various unnatural, non-pi basic aromatic side chains. ${ }^{46-48,50,51}$ Alternatively, palladium catalyzed Tsuji-Trost reactions in these systems furnish heteroatom linked macrocycles with tyrosine, histidine, carboxylates, amines and free thiols. ${ }^{45,49}$ Emulating the imbedded heterocycles frequently seen in natural products ${ }^{12}$, templates have been designed to furnish $\beta$-carbolines and other fused heterocycles via Picket-Spengler reactions. ${ }^{50,52}$ These hetero- and macrocycle formations decrease the number of amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and freely rotatable bonds present in the products, transforming a peptide into a composite amphipathic structure with increased drug-like character and less peptidyl nature.







Figure 1.2. A Unique macrocycles derived from the polysulfide transalkylation research program. B Evolution of bimacro-cinnamylation templates \& previously designed multi-armed templates.

Recent efforts in our research group centered on macrocycles containing disulfides, which are a privileged motif in the chemistry of the proteome ${ }^{39,40,42}$. We have sought unique methods to incorporate this moiety into the template constrained peptide macrocycle project. Disulfides serve as a reductively labile covalent bond, capable of inducing considerable changes in confirmation
and supramolecular association of biomolecules based on their environments. ${ }^{41,43}$ Disulfide linked peptide macrocycles have featured prominently in pharmaceutical and natural product chemistry ${ }^{14}$, with several somatostatin analogs (i.e. lanreotide), the HDAC inhibitor romidepsin, and conotoxins ${ }^{44}$ being of note (see figure 1.1. A). Despite this clinical adoption of disulfide linked peptide macrocycles, commonly used methods to forge them thus far rely solely on the oxidation of linear dithiol precursors. We have discovered a new modality for the synthesis of di- and monosulfide linked macrocycles, a redox neutral transalkylation of tert-butylated polysulfides to furnish cysteine polysulfide-cinnamyl linked products (chapter 2).${ }^{52}$

Additionally, we have developed a series of templates capable of forming multiple macrocyclic linkages (chapter 3.). These templates can participate in one-pot acid induced bimacrocyclizations or engage in sequential metal catalyzed ring forming processes. We demonstrate the use of these templates in forming bimacrocycles from simple peptides in several steps. Such systems hope to emulate the biosynthetic processing of non-ribosomal peptides into poly-macrocyclic products in a synthetic setting.

Despite their presence in the proteomone ${ }^{42}$ and obvious analogy to disulfides, trisulfides have received comparatively little attention from the synthetic community. While synthetic programs centered on disulfide bearing peptide macrocycles inspired by natural products are well established and fruitful ${ }^{13,15}$, the same cannot be said for trisulfides. Fortunately, our previously discovered sulfur-based transalkylation reaction can be applied to the synthesis of trisulfide linked macrocycles (chapter 4.). In the trisulfidation systems a $S_{2}$ exchange event occurred, wherein we isolate mono-, tri-, and pentasulfide linked macrocycles with total yields comparable to the analogous disulfidations. We have applied this unique trisulfidation reaction towards the synthesis
of an antimicrobial trithiocane containing secondary metabolite (chapter $4 \&$ figure 1.3.). ${ }^{53}$ Future directions for this technology and applications of the derived structures will be discussed.


Figure 1.3. Proposed retrosynthesis of a tunicate-derived trithiocane containing antimicrobial natural product.
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## 2 Synthesis of mono- and disulfide macrocycles via sulfur transalkylation

### 2.1 Introduction

Disulfides occupy a privileged role in biochemistry and chemical biology ${ }^{1-3}$. Methods to forge disulfides universally require the oxidative formation of a sulfur-sulfur bond ${ }^{4,5}$ (figure 2.1 A). This is the case in biosynthesis and synthetic chemistry, with many reported disulfide containing natural products being well-studied. ${ }^{6}$ Thioethers are likewise ubiquitous in the proteome and as secondary metabolites. SAM (S-adenosyl methionine), a sulfonium, is central to biomolecule methylation. This impacts mediation of protein translation ${ }^{7}$ (i.e. Kozak sequences), genomic regulation ${ }^{8}$ (i.e. DNA methylation), and natural product biosysnthesis ${ }^{9}$. All implicate a net sulfur transalkylation via sulfonium intermediates. Synthetic strategies to form thioethers typically rely on the alkylation or Michael addition of a free thiol (figure 2.1. B). Biosynthetic manifolds also feature the Michael addition of thiols ${ }^{10,11}$ (i.e. lanthipeptide biosynthesis), as well as the net sulfur transalkylation widely seen in SAM mediated processes.

Sulfur transalkylation is known in total synthesis ${ }^{14,15}$ and methodology ${ }^{16}$. Its general utility and study to date has centered on acyclic and small ring containing systems. Oxidative net transalkylation to form macrocycles is known, though the role of oxidant mechanistically distinguishes it from redox neutral variants (scheme 2.1. B). ${ }^{13}$ Herein we report the discovery, development, and utilization of a templated based system for the macrocyclization of peptides via sulfur transalkylation. These reactions are hypothesized to proceed through a macrocyclic sulfonium intermediate and at no point is thiol invoked in the proposed mechanism (figure 2.1. C). This stands in contrast with the current paradigm of dithiol oxidation for the formation of disulfide bonds in the context of macrocycles, peptidomimetics, and small molecules.


Figure 2.1. A Disulfide biosynthesis proceeds via dithiol oxidation to forge an S-S bond. Synthetic methods mostly employ this manifold, though persulfidations and transalkyation are known. B Biosynthesis and synthesis of thioethers center on Michael additions and nucleophilic displacements with thiol respectively. C The unified synthetic approach disclosed for synthesis of macrocyclic thioethers and disulfides.

The scope of these sulfidations are presented and competitions with known nucleophilic residues are explored. Use of a previously reported multi-armed template with the transalkylation methodology is demonstrated, as is S-S reduction and subsequent arylation to furnish macrocycles with embedded fluorocarbo- and heterocycles. Efforts towards the selective oxidation and rearrangement of these scaffolds will be discussed. Synthesis of a potential ghrelin-O-acyl transferase inhibitor utilizing the method shall be divulged.


Scheme 2.1. A Discovery of a transalkylative macrocyclization. B Examples of sulfur (oxidative) transalkyation in total synthesis

### 2.2 Results and discussion

### 2.2.1 Effect of ring size and polar functionality

In the seminal report ${ }^{17}$ compound 2.1 was intended to undergo a Friedel-Crafts macrocyclization to furnish a tyrosinyl C-C linked product. An equimolar amount of disulfide $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ was isolated and characterized, indicating an apparent sulfur transalkylation (scheme 2.1. A). It should be noted that tert-butylated sulfides are inert to acidic conditions, routinely being carried through solution phase peptide synthesis with multiple Boc deprotections (TFA). Seizing upon this intriguingly selective reaction, we first sought to probe the effect of incipient ring size on reaction efficiency. Synthesis commenced with the solution phase coupling of pyrrolidine to (L)-N-Boc-tert-butylthiocysteine. The resultant pyrroloamide was deprotected and incrementally extended with one, two or three leucine residues. This set of peptides was in turn N-Boc deprotected and acylated with our previously described template 2.3. Upon acidolysis we observed slightly higher yields for the four residue macrocyclic disulfide 2.7 (table 2.1 . entry 2 ) compared to the five residue variant 2.9 (table 2.1. entry 3). The three-residue system ( $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ to $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ ) afforded the lowest, albeit a synthetically useful yield (table 2.1. entry 1). Given our interest in small (2 to 5 residue)
peptides, we considered an investigation of the upper limit of macrocycle size ( $6+$ residues) to be unnecessary.


Table 2.1. Impact of ring size on disulfidation.

While we were confident in the identity of our products, it should be noted that conventional through-carbon bond methods of 2D NMR structural validation could not be used to unequivocally prove a disulfide linkage. This was achieved by crystallization of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ via slow diffusion of pentane into a chloroform solution containing this product. The resultant crystal (Figure 2.2) was of space group 19 and featured a cuboid unit cell measuring a 5.31100(10)X b


Figure 2.2. A Cyrstal struture of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ as visualized in Mercury $4.2 .0,50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. $\mathbf{B}$ Unit cell thereof.
$23.6454(5) \mathrm{X}$ c $26.0025(6)$ Å. This unit cell contained three molecules of $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ and showed disorder in the disulfide and olefinic regions. ${ }^{41}$

With the effect of incipient ring size explored and the veracity of the reaction proven by crystallography, we set about testing the system's tolerance of polar functionality. Past reports of cinnamyl carbonate-based macrocyclization methodologies demonstrated a wide array of tolerated functionality ${ }^{17-19,42}$. Investigation started with the incorporation of alcohols, namely threonine, into

[a] $10 \mathrm{vol} . \% \mathrm{TFA},, 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$.
Table 2.2. Impact of polar functionality on transalkylative macrocyclization.
linear precursors. Acidololysis of substates $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ smoothly afforded macrocycles $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ respectively (table 2.2. entries 1,3 ). It should be noted that no ester hydrolysis products of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ or $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ were detected in the timespan of experiments. Free carboxylic acid $\mathbf{2 . 1 2}$ readily provided macrocycle 2.13, a lower yield likely resulting from the poor solubility of product that necessitated HPLC purification opposed to $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ gel column chromatography (table 2.2. entry 2). These experiments by no means capture the full scope of tolerated amino acid functionality. Further examples will demonstrate the compatibility of amines (chapter 2, vide infra), imidazoles, guanidines (chapter 3), and polysulfides (chapter 4) with this reaction.

### 2.2.2 Competitions with aromatic residues and thioetherfication

Competition experiments between sulfide formation and aromatics residues were explored starting with compound $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ (table 2.3. entry 1). Upon acidolysis the Friedel-Crafts and disulfide products were readily separable via column chromatography, furnishing disulfide $\mathbf{2 . 1 7}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ linked macrocycle 2.18 in 35 and $55 \%$ yield respectively. Expectedly, dichloro-tyrosine variant $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ provided only the disulfide linked product $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ (table 2.3. entry 2 ). When reacting a substrate containing tyrosine distal from the template, as is the case in compound 2.21, the ratios of disulfide and Friedel-Crafts product are reversed. Acidolysis of $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ provided disulfide $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ and carbonlinked product $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ in 55 and $28 \%$ yield respectively (table 2.3. entry 3 ).

This suggests that the cinnamyl cation generated under these conditions has approximately equal affinity for tert-butyl thiocysteine and tyrosine. Product distribution is dictated by the proximal or distal nature of these residues. A long-standing feature of our template system is the regiodivergent alkylation of tryptophan to form topologically distinct C-C linked macrocycles. We sought to assess the competition and compatibility between tryptophan and tert-butyl thiocysteine

1, AYC(SBu-t)-pyrr (2.16)

2.17 (35\%)


2, AY(dichloro)C(SBu-t)-pyrr (2.19)


[a] 10 vol. $\%$ TFA,, 5 mM MeNO 2, rt, 15 min .
Table 2.3. Nucleophilic residue competition experiments.
residues. Product $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ was cyclized to furnish two readily separable spots corresponding to a mixture of Friedel-Craft products, mass yield $58 \%$, and disulfide $\mathbf{2 . 2 6}$ in $34 \%$ yield (table 2.3.


Table 2.4. Macrocyclic monosulfides and residue competitions.
entry 4). HPLC purification and structural elucidation revealed the major tryptophan regioisomer to be 2.25. These results indicate that tryptophan residues, even halogenated derivates, can readily out compete tert-butyl thiocysteine regardless of their proximal or distal nature. Exceptions to this are found when considering substrates with template-fused $\beta$-carbolines (i.e. 2.1 and 2.39).

We next sought to extend this methodology to macrocyclic thioethers. Upon cyclization of compound 2.27 we were pleased to find that transalkylation occurred, furnishing macrocyclic thioether 2.28. This product is analogous to disulfide 2.5, but isolated in improved yield (table 2.4. entry 1). We next sought to test the competition of tert-butyl disulfides verses tert-butyl thioethers. Stunningly, we observed complete selectivity for macrocyclic thioether products, regardless of the distal (2.29) or proximal (2.31) nature of the sulfides (table 2.4. entries 2,3 respectively). Compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 2}$ is example of a two-residue containing macrocycle obtained in excellent yield. Given the tendency for glutathione mediated reduction of disulfides in vivo, these macrocyclic thioethers are likely more structurally stable molecules in potential therapeutic applications. This enables the acyclic disulfide in these structures to undergo synthetic elaboration or in vivo reduction and interactions with protein-based targets. These macrocyclic thioetherifications likewise proved tolerant of free carboxylic acids, D -amino acids, and N -methyl amides (table 2.4, entry 4, 2.34).

Reactivity of the thioethers was confirmed via rigorous 2D H-NMR characterization of 2.27, namely the HMBC correlations across the thioether bridge as seen in figure 2.3. Exclusive


Figure 2.3. Key HMBC correlations of 2.28.
reactivity of the thioethers in competition with disulfides was established in a similar fashion in compound $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ (figure 2.4). Additionally, TCEP reduction of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ and HPLC characterization of the resultant thiol bearing thioether was used to corroborate this outcome.


Figure 2.4. Key HMBC correlations of 2.32.

### 2.2.3 Embedding hetero- and fluorocycles in disulfide macrocycles.

During our efforts to develop reagents that incrementally react with and alter the properties of peptides, we have reported a series of increasingly functional templates. Template $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$ was developed to react with N -terminal, non-pi basic heteroaromatics via Pictet-Spengler reactions before subsequent macrocyclizations by various means. Engagement of indoles to furnish $\beta$ carbolines is most prominent in our system given tryptophan's place as a canonical amino acid.

While compound $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ demonstrated this reaction's compatibility with one template ${ }^{17}$, we then sought to employ template 2.36 in a similar fashion ${ }^{18}$. Peptide $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ was acylated with template 2.36, furnishing compound 2.37. 2.37 was subsequently subjected to mild acidolysis ( AcOH ) providing Picket-Spengler product $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ in $51 \%$ yield. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ with 10 vol\% TFA in 5 mM MeNO 2 furnished macrocyclic disulfide 2.39 in $53 \%$ yield with a $d r$ of 10:1. Lack of NOE correlation between the pyrrolo- $\beta$-carboline and tryptophan-derived methines of $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ supports a trans relationship, as does literature precedent of relative stereochemistry ${ }^{18}$. The tert-butylthiolated cysteine residue performed as intended, allowing three simple operations to convert a linear peptide into a stable polycyclic product having only two freely rotatable bonds. (scheme 2.2.).

[a] 1.0 equiv 2.36, 1.1 equiv. 2.35, 4.0 equiv. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DMF, rt, 2 h [b] $4: 1 \mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$, rt. [c] $10 \mathrm{vol} . \% \mathrm{TFA}, \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$, rt, 15 min .
Scheme 2.2. Use of pyrroloindoline forming template $\mathbf{2 . 3 6}$.

As previously stated, disulfide bonds are reactive in vivo and a liability in terms of macrocycle structural integrity. To circumvent this, we sought methods to insert stable
functionality in between reduced dithiols in a macrocyclic fashion. To this end, $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ was treated with the selective S-S bond reductant TCEP before reacting with a bis-electrophilic fluorocycle. Firstly, we sought to employ our previously disclosed method to insert octafluorocyclopentene into disulfides. ${ }^{19}$ This led to the isolation of macrocycle 2.40 in $33 \%$ yield over two steps. Additionally, we exploited the selective thiophilic reactivity of hexafluorobenzene ${ }^{20-22}$ to provide.

compound 2.41 over two steps. Fluorine is prized in medicinal chemistry for its potential to improve metabolic stability, potency ${ }^{23,24}$, and inform structural biochemistry due to its NMR
activity ${ }^{25,26}$. Furthermore, we desired the incorporation of H-bond acceptors amongst other polar functionality into the macrocyclic disulfide bond. A one-pot method was developed to reduce disulfides and engage the resultant dithiol in successive $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions to form a macrocycle. Use of 2,4,-dichloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5- triazine in this fashion provided triazine linked macrocycle $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ in $39 \%$ isolated yield from product 2.5. It is envisioned that any of the previously depicted macrocyclic disulfides could be elaborated in an analogous fashion as seen in compounds 2.402.42 (scheme 2.3.), potentially increasing the size of any disulfide derived compound set four-fold.

### 2.2.4 Oxidations and rearrangements of macrocyclic sulfanes

Cyclic thiosulfinates are known to selectively cross-link cysteine pairs in proteins ${ }^{27}$, in addition to serving as starting material for Pummerer type rearrangements ${ }^{28}$. Agar and coworkers reported that cyclic thiosulfinates selectively crosslink dithiol networks in proteins and supported this finding with in vitro, in vivo and in silico demonstrations (figure $2.5, \mathrm{~A}$ ). ${ }^{27}$ Furthermore, highly functionalized macrocyclic thiosulfinates could provide greater selectivity when crosslinking


Figure 2.5. A Application of thiosulfinates in protein dithiol cross linking. B Oxidation of a macrocyclic disulfide for furnish thiosulfinates.
protein dithiol networks, finding potential use as tool compounds or therapeutics. Additionally, our quest for increasingly rigidified and functionalized peptide macrocycles drove us to investigate the feasibility of Pummerer rearrangements in our systems.

We first synthesized thiosulfinate $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ by adapting a sulfoxidation procedure utilizing catalytic $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ with hydrogen peroxide oxidant. ${ }^{29}$ This provided $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ in quantitative yield on small scale, with crude NMR indicating a $d r$ of 1:1. (table 2.5. entry 1 ; scheme 2.4 ). Work by Lucke et al informed our thoughts on regio- verses diastereoselectivity in the oxidation of macrocyclic disulfides to macrocyclic thiosulfinate. ${ }^{43}$ Upon treating GCSPACG peptide with mCPBA, Lucke and coworkers isolated four major thiosulfinate peaks (I-IV figure 2.5. B). Regioselectivity for the reaction was $3: 1$ (I, II vs III, IV), whereas the $d r$ was 2.7:1 and 1.7:1 for regiochemical pairs I/II and III/IV respectively. Regiochemical assignments were supported by 2D NMR spectroscopy.

In our systems, compound $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ was characterized with the following data. Firstly, monooxygenation was the only compound observed by mass, no spectra indicative of thiosulfonate formation was detected. COSY ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ resonances of the cinnamyl olefins in compound $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ coupled to methylene protons at 4.25 and 4.10 ppm , far ( 1 ppm ) above the usual chemical shift associated with disulfides. The depicted regiochemistry was assigned accordingly. Both diastereomer cinnamyl peaks couple to these signals, supporting their identity as diastereomeric peaks and not regioisomers. Secondly, oxidation of thiosulfinates to thiosulfonate is unlikely, given the stoichiometry of the oxidant and the fact Lucke isolated only $2 \%$ of thiosulfonate (figure 2.5. B). Literature supports the difficulty of this oxidation. Furthermore, the branching of the peptidyl fragment of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ leads to much greater steric hindrance relative to the planar cinnamyl
group that flanks the oxidized sulfur of $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$. Please note that the entries of table 2.5 correspond to the schemes


Table 2.5. Oxidation of sulfide macorcycles.
depicted above in scheme 2.4, as denoted by roman numerals. While $S$-oxidized products (2.43, $\mathbf{2 . 4 5}, \mathbf{2 . 4 7}$ ) were expected and $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ was obtained, we consider Pummerer products (2.44, 2.46, 2.48) a possibility as well. Unfortunately, Pummerer rearrangement derived structures proved elusive. Seeking to exploit the steric bulk of the $t$-butyl disulfide to obtain a thiosulfinate with opposite regiochemistry, linear compound $\mathbf{2 . 1 3}$ was treated with $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The crude linear thiosulfinate was subjected to cyclization conditions. No desired product was detected, and evidence of decomposition was apparent (table 2.5. entry 2). Seeking an oxidant that could improve the $d r$ of the resultant thiosulfinate and inspired by Lucke's work, we first turned to mCPBA. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ with 1.1 eq. of mCPBA furnish complete conversion to $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ as determined by HPLC, though NMR analysis revealed the $d r$ to be $1: 1$ (table 2.5. entry 3 ; scheme 2.4 I). Peracetic acid provided an improved, albeit modest $d r$ of 3:1 (table 2.5 entry 4 ; scheme 2.4 I). Investigation of t - BuOOH as an oxidant proved to be unreactive given tested conditions (table 2.5. entry 5).

Chiral oxaziridines reported to asymmetrically oxidized sulfides to sulfoxides were employed, though no product was obtained (table 2.5. entries 6-8). ${ }^{30}$ We explored the common oxidant NCS for the formation of macrocyclic thiosulfinates and sulfoxides. Interestingly, complete conversion to sulfoxide 2.47 (table 2.5. entry 9; scheme 2.4. III) was observed by HPLC, while analogous reaction conditions failed to oxidize disulfides (table 2.5. entry 10; scheme 2.4. III). The stark reactivity difference between di- and monosulfide oxidation was quite interesting, echoing the observed selectivity found in mono- verses disulfide transalkyative macrocycliczations. However, we soon turned our attention to other applications and modifications of these structures. Stang's reagent has been reported to induce Pummerer-type rearrangements in heterocyclic thioethers ${ }^{31-33}$ (figure 2.6, A), although premature hydrolysis in these systems (figure
2.6. A, brackets) could be envisioned to furnish sulfoxides and thiosulfinates. Despite our best efforts, fruitful use of this methodology was elusive (table 2.5. entries 11, 12).

Allylic sulfoxides are well known to undergo [2,3] sigmatropic rearrangements under mild conditions. ${ }^{34}$ An analogy from this facile Mislow-Evans rearrangement to allylic disulfides was evident in the research of Crich and coworkers. This group recently reported desulfurative $[3,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangements in allylic disulfides derived from peptidyl substrates (figure 2.5 . B). ${ }^{36,37}$ Taking place at ambient temperature and induced by $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or silica gel, we envisioned these reactions could transform our non-branched allylic disulfide macrocycles (i.e. $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ or 2.7) to branched products as depicted in scheme 2.4 II and III.


Efforts began with Crich's conditions (table 2.6. entry 1; scheme 2.5 . II), but no product was detected by HPLC or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$. Elevated temperatures similarly failed to rearrange the product (table 2.6. entries 2, 3; scheme 2.5. II). Considering that aryl phosphines were not sufficient, we elected to use tributyl phosphine as a thiophile. Despite this, no reaction was observed. A rationale for this utter lack of reactivity may come from macrocyclic systems' innately rigid character. The necessary orbital overlap may be kinetically inaccessible in macrocyclic systems, or the resulting ring contracted product too enthalpically costly to contribute to the depicted equilibrium (scheme 2.4. square brackets). Crich and coworkers reported the rate constants for tertiary to primary allylic disulfide rearrangement to be $1.4-1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ verses $0.7-8.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for primary to tertiary, a rate decrease of almost two orders of magnitude. ${ }^{35}$ It should be noted that the group's only reported reactions in the latter category involve deselenative rearrangement of allyl selenosulfides to furnish branched and primary allylic sulfides (figure 2.6. B). ${ }^{36}$ Regardless of the mechanistic underpinnings, the fact remains desulfurative rearrangement of primary allylic disulfides to branched allylic thioethers remains an unmet challenge in macrocyclic and linear systems.

We then looked away from ring contraction and towards ring expansion via sulfur addition. Work by Yamaguchi initially inspired us to utilize rhodium catalysis to introduce additional sulfur atoms into our systems (figure 2.6. D, scheme 2.5 III). ${ }^{37}$ Upon treating macrocycle 2.5 with 10 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, DPPV (cis-1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethene) ligand, and elemental sulfur no reaction was observed at room temperature (see scheme 2.5 , III). The reaction was then heated to reflux (acetone, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for several hours. HPLC monitoring showed only starting material, with no polysulfides detected (table 2.5 . entry 5.). Attempts using more sulfur (table 2.5. entry 6) and higher boiling, nonpolar solvent (table 2.5. entry 7) proved fruitless. Our subsequent discovery of




Scheme 2.5. Attempts at rearrangement and S exchange in sulfide macorcycles.

| Entry/Substrate/Scheme | Conditions | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1, 2.7 (ii) | 2,0 eq. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, | 2.7 recovered |
| 2, 2.14 (i) | 3,0 eq. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}$, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, | 2.14 recovered |
| 3, 2.14 (i) | 10,0 eq. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ Polymer Bound MeCN: MeOH, $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, | 2.14 recovered |
| 4, 2.14 (ii) | $\begin{gathered} 2,0 \text { eq. } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \\ \mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}, \\ 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \end{gathered}$ | 2.14 recovered |
| 5, 2.5 (iii) | ```5 mol% HRh(PPh}\mp@subsup{)}{4}{}\mp@subsup{)}{4}{ 1 0 \mathrm { mol } \mathrm {  \%  } \mathrm { DPPV, } 2.0 eq. S8 Ace. 0.1M, 60'C, 4 h``` | 2.5 recovered |
| 6, 2.5 (iii) | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \\ 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DPPV} \\ 10.0 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{S}_{8} \\ \text { Ace. } 0.1 \mathrm{M}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ | 2.5 recovered |
| 7, 2.5 (iii) | ```5 mol% HRh(PPh}\mp@subsup{)}{4}{}\mp@subsup{)}{4}{ 1 0 \mathrm { mol } \mathrm {  \%  } \mathrm { DPPV, } 10.0 eq. S8 Tol. 0.1M, 85 % C, 4 h``` | 2.5 recovered |
| 8, 2.5 (iii) | $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, <br> $6 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Tol}_{3}\right)_{3}$, <br> $6 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{CSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ <br> MeCN. $0.2 \mathrm{M}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~m}$ | 2.5 recovered |

Table 2.6. Attempted reargangement and $S$ exchange conditions.
a polysulfidation (chapter 4) diverted our attention from these catalytic methods and towards the direct synthesis of polysulfide-linked macrocycles. Further attempts at rhodium catalysis induced disulfide exchange (i.e. figure 2.6. C) failed to provide the desired dimeric macrocycles (table 2.5. entry 8 , scheme $2.5, \mathbf{I I I}) .{ }^{38}$

### 2.2.5 Sulfur transalkylation to furnish a potential GOAT inhibitor

We continually apply our template constrained peptides towards therapeutic ends. Ghrelin O-acyltransferase (GOAT) is a membrane bound protein responsible for activating the hormone ghrelin via serine octanoylation of a non-acylated pro-peptide. ${ }^{39,40}$ Ghrelin is implicated in feeding response and analogs induce weight gain in mice. In this vein, we sought to exploit GOAT inhibitors as potential therapeutics for diabetes, Prader-Willi syndrome, and other metabolic aliments. No crystal structure of GOAT has been reported, therefor an SAR of inhibitors must be deduced through iterative rounds of compound synthesis and assay evaluation. Pentapeptide $\mathbf{2 . 6 0}$ and macrocycle $\mathbf{2 . 5 9}$ are representative structures of our efforts to develop peptidomimetic GOAT inhibitors. Macrocyclic inhibitors soon came to the forefront during our in vitro evaluation of compounds. We then sought to adapt our template system to the targeted synthesis of a macrocyclic GOAT inhibitor and designed compounds $\mathbf{2 . 5 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 5 7}$ to this end.

2.60

2.59

2.58

Figure 2.7.. Peptidomimetic ghrelin O-acyl transferase inhibitors.

Elman's auxiliary-3-bromobenzaldhyde adduct ((S,E)-N-(3-bromobenzylidene)-2-methylpropane-2-sulfinamide) $\mathbf{2 . 4 9}$ was treated with benzylmagnesium chloride to furnish $\mathbf{2 . 5 0}$ in modest yield and $d r$. The auxiliary was then cleaved to provide $\mathbf{2 . 5 1}$, which was in turn coupled to octanoylated diaminopropionic acid derivative 2.52, yielding peptide 2.53. Deprotection and iterative coupling of N -Boc S-t-butyl-L-cysteine and Boc glycine to $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ provided product $\mathbf{2 . 5 4}$ in

[a] 2.0 eq. $\mathrm{BnMgCl}, \mathrm{THF},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}->23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; 8 h [b] 4.0 eq. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ [c] DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2 h [d] 1.2 eq. HBTU, 5.0 eq DIEPA, DMF, rt, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{e}] 1: 1$ TFA: DCM; 1.2 eq. HNBoc-StBu-Cys 1.2 eq. DIPEA, DMF; 1:1 TFA: DCM; 1.2 eq. BocGly, 1.2 eq. DIPEA, DMF. [f] $10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 5: 1 \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h},[\mathrm{~g}] 6.0$ eq. TBAF, THF, rt, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{~h}] 1.5$ eq. iBuOCOCl, 2,0 eq. NMM, THF, rt, 15 min . [i] 15 vol. $\%$ TFA $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$, $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{j}] 2.4 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{mCPBA}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$. $[\mathbf{k}] 10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ TFA, $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO} 2, \mathrm{rt}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 2.6. Synthesis of a potenial GOAT inhibitor via S-transalkyation.
good yield. A Suzuki reaction was performed on compound $\mathbf{2 . 5 4}$ with (E)-tert-butyldimethyl((3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)allyl)oxy)silane to yield TBSO-protected cinnamyl alcohol 2.55, which was then deprotected and treated with isobutyl-chloroformate yielding linear substrate 2.56. Subjecting 2.56 to $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ TFA in nitromethane led to instantaneous removal of the Boc group followed by sluggish cyclization to compound 2.57. The slower kinetics of this cyclization likely stem from the doubly cationic nature of the macrocyclic sulfonium intermediate bearing an ammonium. This hypothesis is supported by further experiments disclosed in this dissertation (chapter 3), featuring cationic non-participating residues. Compound 2.57 was isolated in subpar yield after HPLC purification. Subjecting $\mathbf{2 . 5 6}$ to 15 vol\% TFA followed by oxidation of the crude mixture provided sulfone $\mathbf{2 . 5 8}$ in fair yield after HPLC purification. Unfortunately, neither $\mathbf{2 . 5 7}$ nor $\mathbf{2 . 5 8}$ proved effective inhibitors when tested with our in-house developed assay.

## Conclusion 2.3

A novel sulfur-based transalkylation has been developed to synthesize a diverse set of diand monosulfide linked peptide macrocycles. The reaction proceeds via the acid induced generation of a cinnamyl cation, followed by formation of a macrocyclic sulfonium, and subsequent dealkylation to afford a net transalkylated product. Polar functionalities, such as alcohols, amides, amines, guanidines (chapter 3), and imidazoles (chapter3), are well tolerated. The system has proven capable of forming peptide macrocycles ranging from two to five residues (15 to 26 atoms). This reaction is compatible with previously reported multi-armed, heterocycle forming templates. ${ }^{17,18}$ Disulfide linked macrocyclic products can be readily reduced and the resultant dithiol inserted into various fluorocarbo- and heterocycles via successive $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{Ar}$ reactions, providing new macrocyclic structures. Selective oxidation of disulfides to thiosulfinates was reported, as well as attempts at desulfurative rearrangements and sulfur exchanges. The
methodology reported here was employed in the syntheses of potential macrocyclic inhibitors of ghrelin O-acyl transferase. Future use of sulfur transalkylations to furnish combinatorial libraries of macrocyclic peptidomimetics can be envisioned. Discovery of novel PPI mediating structures of therapeutic value is a persistent interest of our laboratory. The methods disclosed here add a new modality and several structure classes to the cinnamyl carbonate template system with the potential to be employed to this end.
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## 3 Synthesis of bimacrocyclic peptidomimetics and enabling templates

### 3.1 Introduction

Natural products featuring multiple peptide macrocycles are of medical and academic interest. ${ }^{1}$ Bioactive bimacrocycles, such as the antibiotics vancomycin, its numerous congeners, and semi-synthetic derivates, have attracted immense synthetic and therapeutic attention. Thioether and disulfide linked polymacrocycles have likewise drawn considerable efforts towards their medicinal use and synthesis. The potent RNA polymerase inhibitor $\alpha$-amanitin has been used in several antibody-drug conjugates for anticancer purposes. ${ }^{2,3}$ HDAC inhibitor romidepsin has been approved for treatment of lymphoma and studied for other oncological maladies. ${ }^{4,5,10}$ Ulithiacyclamides, a series of disulfide-bridged thiazole bimacrocyclic peptides, are reported to be cytotoxic against several cell lines. ${ }^{6}$ Conotoxins ${ }^{7}$ and lanthipeptides ${ }^{8}$ have garnered considerable
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Figure 3.1. Bioactive sulfide linked bimacrocycles.
use and scholarship as analgesics and antibiotics respectively. With such a wide range of potent bioactivities found in the broad structure class of mono- and disulfide linked polymacrocycles, we desired a method to synthesize similar compounds.
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Figure 3.2. A general scheme of bimacrocyclization and previously reported nucleophiles. B Previously reported monocyclization templates and new bimacrocycle forming templates.

The synthesis of bimacrocyclic peptides is a long-standing goal of our template constrained peptide project, and several templates have been reported towards this end (figure 3.2. B). Given the number of peptidyl nucleophiles the cinnamyl carbonate-based templates can engage (figure 3.2.A), we reasoned that a C 2 symmetric template would offer direct access to diverse bimacrocyclic products. While efforts to this end have been reported ${ }^{11,12}$, we sought the structural diversity and synthetic flexibility inherent in our cinnamyl carbonate template system.

### 3.1 Results and discussion

### 3.2.1 Synthesis of templates 3.6, 3.7, and initial exploration of their use

Synthesis commenced with treatment of carbomethoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium bromide with NaOH to furnish stabilized ylided methyl(triphenylphosphaneylidene)acetate. A Wittig reaction between 3,5 dibromobenzaldehyde and methyl (triphenylphosphaneylidene)acetate furnished trans-methyl-3,5-dibromocinnamate (3.1) in excellent yield (scheme 3.1.). Acrylic ester $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ was then reduced conjugately reduced with nickel borohydride to afford 3.2. Exposure of 3.2 to (E)-tert-butyldimethyl((3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-

[a] 3.0 equiv. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}: \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$. [b] 3.0 equiv. boronate, 6.0 equiv. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, $48 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$. [c] $13 \mathrm{~mol} \% 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 25: 1 \mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. [d] 3.0 equiv. $\mathrm{LiOH}, 5: 1 \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1.5 h [e] 3.3 equiv. i-BuOCOCI, 3.3 equiv. $\mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; 1.25$ equiv. N -hydroxy succinimide, $1 \mathrm{~h}(37-45 \%)$. f$] \quad 10.0$ equiv. $\mathrm{AcOH}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 10-20 \mathrm{~min}(31 \%)$.

Scheme 3.1. Synthesis of dual-armed templates 3.6 and 3.7.
dioxaborolan-2-yl)allyl)oxy)silane in the presence of catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ yielded double Suzuki product 3.3. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ was desilylated in acidic methanol and the product was saponified to afford carboxylic acid $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ in $44 \%$ yield over three steps. Activation of $\mathbf{3 . 5}$ as its NHS ester was then achieved via a derived mixed anhydride (Scheme 3.1.).

Our initial efforts focused on optimizing $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ to form bimacrocycles. Template $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ was ligated to the N -terminus of peptide Ala-Thr-(S-tBu)Cys-Tyr-OMe to obtain template-peptide conjugate 3.8. Use of standard conditions ( $5 \mathrm{vol} \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ ) on $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ lead to a

promising initial HPLC trace followed by intractables upon evaporation (scheme 3.2.). We reasoned that a base quenchable acidolysis would circumvent this issue. Triflimide ( $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ) was found to be suitable to this end. However, attempts to use template $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ off the N -terminus of peptides lead to the isolation of HPLC peaks with the apparent correct mass, but with ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicative of a complex set of oligomers, in addition to poor mass recovery. Such was the case with substrates $\mathbf{3 . 9}$ and 3.10. We reasoned that when linked at the N -terminus, macrocyclization at one cinnamyl unit of 3.6-derived structures created products with the second cinnamyl motif oriented unfavorably for subsequent intramolecular bimacrocycle forming reactions (scheme 3.2. square brackets). We reasoned that placing template $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ on a side chain would place the cinnamyl arms in a suitable position to form a bimacrocycle via successive reactions with flanking nucleophilic residues.

### 3.2.2 Bimacrocyclic peptidomimetics via one-pot acidolysis of 3.6 derived structures

To our delight this proved feasible. Acylating the distal amine of ornithine central within peptide $\mathrm{AcYROMrpC}(\mathrm{SBu}-\mathrm{t})-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ with template $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ and treating the product (3.11) with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ rapidly formed complex bimacrocycle 3.12 (table 3.1 entry 1). Internal cinnamylation of tyrosine and alkyl group exchange with the tert-butylthiolated cysteine residue occurred concomitantly without interference from the free guanidine of arginine in the case of compound 3.12 (table 3.1. entry 1). Peptide-template adduct $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ was likewise cyclized and thioether containing bimacrocycle $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ was isolated (table 3.1. entry 2). Both reactions were complete within minutes and bimacrocyclic product was readily isolable following neutralization with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

Adding an N-terminal 11-amino undecanoyl spacer to SC(SBu-t)tyramine, followed by deprotection and acylation with 3.6 gave 3.15. Subsequent acidolysis of this product afforded
bimacrocyclic structure $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ (table 3.1. entry 3). Products containing two macrocyclic disulfides were accessible by acylating the $\alpha$-amine of ornithine within $\operatorname{PhAcC}(\mathrm{SBu}-\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{SOC}(\mathrm{SBu}-\mathrm{t})$-morp with 3.6, followed by treatment with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}\left(\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}\right)$. This furnished bimacrocyclic structure

[a] $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ solution of template acylated peptide was treated with 6.0 eq . $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ dissolved in an equal volume of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ neutralization [b] 5 $\mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ solution of template acylated peptide was treated with 3.0 equiv. $\mathrm{HNTf}_{2}$ dissolved in an equal volume of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ neutralization. Yields refer to analytical pure material isolated by preparative HPLC. $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ Isolated as a TFA salt.

Table 3.1. Bimacrocyclic sulfanes derived from 3.6.
3.18, harboring two allylic disulfide units (table 3.1. entry 4). Connectivity in doubly macrocyclized products were assigned using HMBC and HSQC correlations spanning thioether and tyrosyl linkages. In cases where HMBC and HSQC correlations were not observed, NOESY and COSY spectra were used to support assignments. In general, NOESY spectra of bicyclization products were rich with detail, consistent with rigid cage-like structures having well defined conformations (see SI). It should be noted that 6.0 eq. of triflimide (table 3.1. [a]) was necessary for macrocycle $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ to cyclize in the same timeframe as the other examples (table 3.1. [b]), likely due to the doubly cationic intermediate implicated in the cyclization (a guanidinium and sulfonium bearing structure).

### 3.2.3 Bimacrocyclic peptidomimetics via palladium catalysis on 3.7 derived structures

Using template 3.6, the synthesis of complex bimacrocyclic structures was facile and numerous permutations could be envisioned. We had previously shown the cinnamyl carbonate motif could support metal catalyzed ring formations with polar functional groups. However, integrating that methodology with internal sulfidation using template 3.7 required orchestration of steps. For oligomers having a single sulfide nucleophile, acylation with $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ and subsequent acidolysis gave macrocyclic sulfides, but the cinnamyl carbonate remaining in products became susceptible to hydrolysis. This complicated handling and isolation. Changing the order of events was similarly unproductive. Peptide conjugates of $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ reacted readily with $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ complexes but maintaining the second carbonate intact after initial macrocyclization was difficult (scheme 3.3).


A solution was to convert $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ to monoacetyl derivative 3.7 (scheme 3.1. [f]). Compound $\mathbf{3 . 7}$ was used to acylate $\mathrm{AcC}(\mathrm{Bu}-\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{OTH}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to afford 3.21. When this product was treated with $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$, the allylic carbonate reacted selectively to afford macrocyclic monosulfide 3.22. The remaining cinnamyl acetate was more slowly converted to the corresponding trifluoroacetate 3.23. The reaction was concentrated to dryness and the crude material dissolved in DMF, treated with $i \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ and catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{Pd}(0) /$ Xantphos complex to afford histidine linked bimacrocycle $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ (scheme 3.4.). C-terminal carboxylic acid containing substrate $\mathbf{3 . 2 5}$ was treated with acid and the crude cyclic thioether was subjected to the aforementioned catalysis conditions over 12 hours. Compound $\mathbf{3 . 2 6}$ was then isolated, featuring exclusive selectivity for the imidazole over carboxylate residue (scheme 3.4.).





[a] DMF, 5.0 eq. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, rt,1-2 h [b] MeNO $2,5.0 \mathrm{mM}, 10$ vol\% TFA, rt, 3 h [c] DMF, 5.0 $\mathrm{mM}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}, 9.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Xantphos, 10.0 eq. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 h [d] DMF, 5.0 $\mathrm{mM}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 9.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ Xantphos, 10.0 eq. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 12 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields refer to analytical pure material isolated by prep. HPLC. Final products isolated as TFA salts.

Scheme 3.4. Synthesis of Bimacrocyclic sulfides derived from 3.7.

Connectivities in bimacrocycle $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ were established as shown in figure 3.3. Clear HMBC correlations are seen between the cinnamyl protons of carbon 3 to carbon 5. Furthermore, HMBC correlation is seen between carbon 5 and the protons on carbon 33, confirming the macrocyclic sulfur linkage. The identity of carbon 33 is further corroborated by HSQC correlation of its protons. These protons readily couple to methine proton of atom 29 as seen on COSY. The characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ peak of the cinnamyl-imidazolyl linkage (on carbon 9) correlates by HMBC to the signals of imidazole carbons 10 and 12.


Figure 3.3. Key NMR correlations of $\mathbf{3 . 2 4}$ confirming bimacrocyclization.
It should be noted that the acidolysis step in these reactions are inordinately long compared to earlier examples of sulfur transalkyative macrocyclization. This can be rationalized considering the intramolecular electrostatic repulsion of the sulfonium and imidazolium groups in the key intermediate. Acetyl to trifluoroacetyl ester exchange also extends the required reaction times. Lastly, this method is limited to imidazole and thioether bearing products. No Friedel-Crafts competent residues are tolerated, given the outcome of earlier experiments with non-quenched bimacrocycle forming acidolysis.

### 3.3 Conclusion

In summation we have designed and described a pair of peptide macrocyclization templates featuring two cinnamyl electrophile arms (3.6, 3.7). When appended to an internal amine residue or suitably long linker, template $\mathbf{3 . 6}$ is capable of engaging in Friedel-Crafts and sulfur transalkyative cyclizations to furnish bimacrocyclic molecules containing C-C aryl, mono-, and disulfide linkages via acidolysis (table 3.1.). Combinations of peptidyl nucleophiles for this bimacrocyclization were not exhaustively explored. Thousands of short sequences containing pairs of $t$-butyl sulfide and or non-pi basic residues flanking template-capped amines could be envisioned, synthesized and bimacrocyclized. Acidolysis of similar systems capped with template
3.7 can be subsequently treated with catalytic palladium to afford sulfide-heteroatom linked bimacrocycles (scheme 3.4.). Despite the narrower scope compared to solely acidolysis derived examples, these systems furnish novel bimacrocycles featuring a bridged cinnamyl unit linked to thioethers and imidazoles. While immense insight into the synthesis and efficient use of these templates has been gained, much work remains toward library generation and applied use of these bimacrocyclic molecules.
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## 4 Polysulfide macrocycles and synthetic progress towards a trithiocane natural product

### 4.1 Introduction

A vast array of bioactive natural products have been isolated and characterized from diverse sources ${ }^{1,2}$. These structures often serve as logical starting points for the development of potent and selective drugs. ${ }^{3,4}$ We attempt to mimic the structure and function of these molecules as chemists, steering their qualities towards potential therapeutic applications with synthesis. Broadly, this aim leads to the pursuit of natural product total syntheses and development of analogs. Our laboratory is engaged in these feats, in addition we pursue the syntheses of potentially bioactive, natural product-like compounds via our unique template constrained peptide macrocyclization system. Our discoveries to date have provided routes to common macrocyclic linkages found in natural product chemistry, including but not limited to macrocyclic esters ${ }^{5}$, aryl ${ }^{6}$ and thioethers ${ }^{9}$, aryl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond linked products $^{5}$, $\beta$-carbolines ${ }^{7,8}$, sulfides $^{9}$, and bimacrocyclic systems ${ }^{10}$. These structural features are accessible through ligation of our templates to poly-nucleophilic oligomers amenable to automated synthesis, followed by successive cyclizations via acidolysis or catalysis. Consequently, this enables the transformation of common peptides and related oligomers into increasingly complex amphipathic peptidomimetics using several simple reaction conditions.

Heterocycles ${ }^{11}$ and macrocycles ${ }^{12}$ have always occupied a prominent place in the annals of bioactive molecules. Polysulfides represent a fascinating class of natural products, rich in bioactivity, and challenging in constrution. ${ }^{13}$ Depicted in figure 4.1. is a sampling of the many unique, biologically active polysulfides isolated from ascidians. These compounds highlight the immense structural and functional diversity found in one clade of marine animal secondary
metabolites. Much interest in the biology ${ }^{15,16}$ and total synthesis ${ }^{17,18}$ of the pentasulfide varacin (4.3) was generated upon its isolation ${ }^{14}$. This molecule's reactivity with DNA lent itself to exploration of antitumor and antimicrobial properties. Decades of subsequent research revealed


Figure 4.1 Acadian derived polysulfide natural products.
many related bioactive congeners, including the antibacterial lissoclinotoxins $(4.5,4.7)^{19}$, antileukemia lissoclibadin $14(\mathbf{4 . 6})^{20}$, and various varacin-related polysulfides (i.e. 4.4). Trithiane products $4.8^{21}$ and $4.9^{22}$ exhibited modest cytotoxicity and bactericidal activity. Trisulfides form the functional trigger in many ascidian-derived enediyne natural products (4.10-4.12) of immense synthetic ${ }^{23}$ and biological interest. ${ }^{54}$ Given the potential bioactivity and unquestionable novelty of these structures, incorporation of polysulfide motifs into the template constrained peptide macrocyclization system was pursued.

### 4.2 Results and discussion

### 4.2.1 Synthesis of Macrocyclic Polysulfides

To emulate these novel polysulfide natural products, we investigated the sulfur transalkylation reactions first demonstrated with tert-butyl disulfides in our template system. A natural starting point for this endeavor was the synthesis of linear, template-capped tert-butyl trisulfides. Employing methods first used by Harpp, Nicolaou ${ }^{23}$, and others ${ }^{24}$, we elaborated cystine dimer 4.14 into 4.16 via reduction to thiol 4.15 and subsequent treatment with reagent 4.17. We sought to cyclize this trisulfide in an analogous fashion to previously demonstrated disulfides and thioethers (chapter 2). Subjecting compound 4.16 to acidolysis conditions furnished one spot as visible by TLC and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ gel column chromatography in good mass yield (76\%). It was only upon HPLC purification that the presence of thioether 4.18, trisulfide 4.19, and pentasulfide $\mathbf{4 . 2 0}$ were evident in a 1:2:0.5 ratio (scheme 4.1.).




[a] 1.0 equiv. SS dimer, 2.2 equiv. TCEP, 8.8 equiv. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DMF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}[\mathrm{~b}] 1.5$ equiv. 4.17, DMF, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ [c] 5.0 vol. $\%$ TFA $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$, rt 15 min . Yields given for step [c] Product ratios detemined from HPLC peak integrals (monitoring @ 254 nm ).
Scheme 4.1. Intial synthesis, trisulfidation, and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ exchange events.

Further exploration of this trisulfidation and apparent $S_{2}$ exchange event began with the synthesis of compound $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$ (scheme 4.2). Subjecting this material to $5 \mathrm{vol} \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in $5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$

4.21 $41 \%$ form template cystine dimer

4.25 44\% form Boc cystineamine dimer

$\begin{array}{ll}4.26 n=1 & 1 \\ 4.27 n=3 & 2\end{array}$

4.29 77\% form template cystine dimer

4.33 34\% from Boc Cystine dimer
[a] 5.0 vol . $\%$ TFA, 5 mM MeNO , rt, 15 min ., [b] 5.0 eq. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}^{\mathrm{m}} 5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO}$, rt, quench, 5 min .
Scheme 4.2. Scope of trisulfidation and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ exchange.
afforded one spot as seen on TLC, in $80 \%$ mass yield in respect to the trisulfide after column chromatography. As in the previous example, HPLC purification enabled the facile separation of mono-, tri- and tentative pentasulfides 4.22, 4.23, and 4.24. The ratio was determined by 254 nm HPLC trace integration to be 1:1.8:0.6, close to the ratio found in the first example.

A time trace experiment was performed on $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$, in which aliquots were quenched with base and subjected to a standard analytical HPLC method. At one minute the conversion to product seemed quantitative, with a prominent trisulfide peak being seen alongside a smaller thioether peak (figure 4.2, upper panel). It should be noted that this product distribution does not mirror those observed in the preparative samples. At ten minutes the pentasulfide is visible. When short (1 minute) reaction times were employed for substrate $\mathbf{4 . 2 9}$ mostly starting material was isolated, casting doubt on the veracity of the 1-minute time trace of $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$ as seen in figure 4.3. The relative amount of tri- to monosulfide appears slowly decrease over the next 14 hours. However, trisulfide was not observed to disappear after 48 hours.


Figure 4.2. Time lapse trisulfidation of $\mathbf{4 . 2 1}$ (5-10 min retention time, 254 nm , top panel) and overlaid ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ Spectra of 4.22-4.24 (bottom panel).

Cyclization of substrate 4.25 , featuring a primary carboxamide and cysteamine derived trisulfide, furnished a mono-, tri-, and pentasulfide ratio of 1:2:0.4. Acidolysis of the proline containing 4.16 analog, 4.29, lead to the isolation of compounds 4.30-4.32 as proline rotamers with a ratio of 1:2.1:0.6. Attempts to use triflimide, a reaction condition developed for tryptophan containing substrates and bimacrocyclizations (chapter 3), provided a complex mixture (scheme

### 4.2. 4.33).

Our method to synthesize thioethers via sulfur transalkylation provided an opportunity to confirm the products of this $S_{2}$ exchange by independent synthesis of 4.22. To this end, linear thioether 4.34 was synthesized and subjected to cyclization conditions. Upon isolation and characterization, the $\mathbf{4 . 3 4}$ derived product was found to spectroscopically match the thioether product isolated from the analogous trifulfidation example (4.22). This is evident when the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the two are overlaid, as seen in figure 4.3. Furthermore, the homologous

relationship between mono-, tri- and pentasulfides can be established in a similar fashion, as seen in figure 4.2. Minor pentasulfide products were chromatographically homogeneous and assigned by mass spectra. However, their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were uniformly complex. Resonances could not be assigned unambiguously, likely due to dynamic sigmatropy in these flexible allylic systems. The $S_{2}$ exchange event was an intriguing occurrence and we sought a mechanistic rationale for this observation. A proposed mechanism is found in figure 4.4. Proton induce fragmentation of the template carbonate furnishes a cinnamyl cation, which forms macrocyclic sulfonium trisulfides I and II. Products I and II shed tert-butonium or IV, yielding macrocycles V and III respectively. IV is envisioned to react with $\mathbf{V}$ or II, leading to cyclic pentasulfide VI.


Figure 4.4. Proposed mechanism for S 2 exchange observed in trisulfidations.

### 4.3 Sulfur transalkyation meets non-classical carbocations in synthesis

### 4.3.1 Conceptual background, discovery, and retrosynthetic plan for the total synthesis of trithiocane natural products $4.1 \& 4.2$

Intrigued by facile access to novel polysulfide macrocycles we began to apply this discovery towards the total synthesis of bioactive natural products. Examples of transalkylative trisulfidations in total synthesis are known. Movassaghi et al reported the apparent polysulfur transalkylation in the total synthesis of (+) Luteoalbusin B (scheme 4.3). ${ }^{25}$ No system forming rings larger than seven members has been reported for redox neutral sulfur transalkylation. Given
this precedent, extending the cation induced sulfur transalkylations to an 8-membered trithiocane seemed achievable.


Scheme 4.3. Polysulfide transalkyation in total synthesis.

In 2002 Rezanka and Dembitsky reported the isolation and structural elucidation of trithiocane natural products 4.1 and 4.2 (figure 4.1). ${ }^{31}$ These structures harbor a unique 1,2,3 trithiocane ring system. Compound 4.2 features further oxidation, namely a hemipolythioacetal and O-glycosylation. Additionally, an amide bearing a putrescine and likely cysteine derived peptide fragment are found. These compounds display modest bioactivity against $s$. aureus, $b$. subtilis, brine shrimp, and exceptional potency against the sea urchin $p$. lividus as determined by disc diffusion assay and IC50s.

Our retrosynthetic plan centered on the generation of a non-classical, highly stabilized bicyclobutonium via the ionization of a cyclopropyl-carbinol. ${ }^{32,33}$ This ion was envisioned to capture the pendant alkyltrisulfide, forming a sulfonium that in turn dealkylates to furnish trithiocane product (figure 4.5.). Nonclassical carbocations are of immense theoretical and


Figure 4.5. Transition state and disconnections for the bicyclobutonium induced sulfur transalkylation as a key step in the proposed total synthesis of trithiocane compounds 4.1 and 4.2.
historical importance in the development of chemistry. ${ }^{26}$ Seminal works in this field inform our understanding of bonding, structure, and underpin modern molecular orbital theory. ${ }^{27,28}$ Many examples of nonclassical ion use in total synthesis have been reported. For instance, Johnson employed a Z-norborneyl cation rearrangement in his 1975 synthesis of Longifolene. ${ }^{29}$ Corey et al reported the use of a bicyclobutonium rearrangement that enabled the synthesis of cyclobutene on scale, facilitating the total synthesis of pentacycloanammoxic acid (scheme 4.4). ${ }^{30}$ Bicyclobutonium formation and cation-induced sulfur transalkylation serve as the conceptual inspiration for our route towards trithiocane products 4.1 and 4.2 .


Scheme 4.4. Nonclassical ions in total synthesis.

Several strategies towards the synthesis of these trithiocane natural products have been disclosed by our lab and others. Conceptually, our interest in functionalized cyclopropyl-carbinols stems from work reported by Marek, Fox, and our own group (scheme 4.5). Upon treatment with


Scheme 4.5. Select examples of cyclopropene organometalation and syn selective electrophile capture. A Marek's exemplar work on alcohol directed syn selective cyclopropene metalation. B Fox's work on alcohol and protecting group directed syn selective cyclopropene metalation. C Our lab's work on cyclopropene metalation and oxidative fragmentation to furnish quaternary centers bearing alpha and beta carbonyls.
an organocopper species, cyclopropenes can undergo substituent directed alkylation and concomitant metalation. The resulting cyclopropyl anion can capture a halocarbon electrophile to afford a syn functionalize cyclopropane. Marek demonstrated this as depicted in A. Upon treatment of a cyclopropenyl alcohol with cuprate, alkylation is observed at the most substituted carbon and the resultant cyclopropyl anion traps introduced allyl bromide leading to a syn functionalized cyclopropane bearing a quaternary center . ${ }^{61}$ Fox and coworkers reported MOM-protected cyclopropenyl alcohols can likewise be organo-metalated, capture electrophiles, and furnish syn functionalized cyclopropanes also containing quaternary centers (scheme 4.5 B). ${ }^{40}$ Recently, our laboratory reported the metalation of an enantioenriched cyclopropenyl-ester, followed by oxidation, and fragmentation of the resultant oxygenated cyclopropane to yield an enantioenriched quaternary center bearing $\alpha$ and $\beta$ carbonyl functionality (scheme 4.5. C). ${ }^{8}$

Our interest in cyclopropyl-carbinols as synthons in the route to $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ is multifaceted. The methods depicted in scheme 4.5 enable the rapid, stereocontrolled construction of two $s p^{3}$ centers in a single operation. Considering 4.1 and 4.2 contain three contiguous stereocenters, one of which is quaternary, a highly functionalize cyclopropane would be an excellent synthon provided the
cyclopropane could fragment as desired. Fortunately, the literature is rife with examples of cyclopropane fragmentation. Of particular note is cyclopropyl-carbinol fragmentation via bicyclobutonium formation. As seen in figure 4.5, fragmentation of a functionalized cyclopropane with appended trisulfide is envisioned to concomitantly furnish a tertiary trithiocane, an $\alpha, \beta$ unstaturated ester, and a tertiary branched carbon bearing a vinyl group. All of these structural motifs are present in the natural products, we considered this disconnection to be ideal.

To arrive at trithiocane $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ from compound $\mathbf{I}$ as seen in figure 4.6, one can envision the following. Cyclization of $\mathbf{I}$ as depicted in figure 4.6, followed by silyl deprotection, enyne metathesis, and saponification/deprotection to arrive at natural product 4.1. Working backward from compound I to compound II, activation of the cyclopropyl-carbinol, trisulfidation, and deprotection must be performed to obtain II (figure 4.6). Compound II can be conceivably
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Figure 4.6. General retrosynthesis proposed for total synthesis of 4.1 and 4.2.
synthesized in two way. Firstly, an epoxide opening using a thiol nucleophile could be performed on epoxide III a. Alternatively, a Mitsunobu reaction using thioactetic acid and diol compound III b could selectively provide the required terminal thiol of II. Both III a and III b could be readily obtained by oxidation of olefin IV. Compound IV could be synthesized by treatment of
cyclopropene $\mathbf{V}$ with methylcuprate, followed by syn selective crotylation of the resulting anion with crotyl bromide. Obtaining the correct methyl regiochemistry during crotylation is necessary for obtaining the desired terminal olefin with methyl branching. This requires the cyclopropyl cuprate generated (I, figure 4.7.) to engage crotyl bromide in a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} 2$ ' fashion (III, figure 4.7.), opposed to a $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} 2$ manner. Alternatively, allyl bromide could be used to expediently generate a desmethyl model system for testing the key cyclization step. The final retrosynthetic step ( $\mathbf{V}$ to VI, figure 4.6) would be the cyclopropenation of an alkyne with ethyl diazopyruvate, reduction, and any alcohol derivatization required for subsequent metalation steps.


Figure 4.7. Regiochemical considerations for crotylation of a metalated cyclopropene.

Others have worked towards the synthesis of trithiocanes 4.1 and 4.2, as depicted in Scheme 4.6. Work by Murzinski and Mustafa in our laboratory towards a model system employing a similar transalkylation based approach went as follows (scheme 4.6. A). An organo-aluminum species $(\mathbf{I})$ is generated by treating a simple alkyne with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$, which opens an epoxide furnishing alcohol II. Compound II is then elaborated to diazoacetate ester III. Generation of a carbenoid in compound III lead to the isolation of C-H insertion product IV, a $\gamma$-butyrolactone. The desire compound, cyclopropanation product $\mathbf{V}$, was not detected (scheme 4.6. A). Fuchs and Weaver of Loughborough U. obtained PMB protected dithiol I via Michael addition of thiol an $\alpha, \beta$ unstaturated lactone (scheme 4.6. B). Oxidative deprotection of compound I afforded bicyclic dithiepane II in good yield. Scaling issues prevented the team from pursuing this approach further.

In our model system (scheme 4.6. B), compound $\mathbf{V}$ would need to be reduced, homologated, and trisulfidated to arrive at the cyclopropane depicted in scheme 4.6. C. Compound II in Fuchs' system would need to be reduced, extended via Wittig reaction, and undergo sulfur insertion to arrive at the depicted trithiocane models (scheme 4.6. C). With these previous routes in mind we began our synthesis in earnest.


Scheme 4.6 A Intial route towards a model system developed in our lab B Attempted route towards a model system developed by Fuchs and Weaver C Potenal end games.

### 4.3.2 Synthesis of model systems of a transalkyative sulfur cyclization.

After several abortive routes to make a TMS analog of $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ via metalation induced homopropargyl heterodimerization, we elected to do the following (scheme 4.7). 4-Pentyn-l-ol

[a] 2.2 eq. EtMgBr reflux, 12 h ; 1.1 eq TIPSCI, THF reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h},[\mathrm{~b}] 1.05 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{DMP}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$. [c] 1.5 eq . Ohira-Bestmann reagent, 2.2 eq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $>\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. [d] $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, $8 \mathrm{~h} ; 1.0 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{NaBH} 4$, EtOH, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$.[e] 1.5 eq. $\mathrm{MOMBr}, 3.0$ eq. (i-Pr) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DCM}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$. [f] 1.5 eq. SEMCI, 3.0 eq. (i-Pr) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$, DCM, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h [g] 2.0 eq. $\mathrm{AcCl}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}$ DMAP, 5.0 eq . ( $\left.\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$.

Scheme 4.7. Synthesis of common intermediate 4.41.
(4.35) was treated with two equivalents of freshly made ethyl Grignard reagent and to the resulting dianion was added one equivalent of TIPSCl to furnish protected pentynol 4.36 in $96 \%$ yield. ${ }^{34}$ Dess Martin Periodane oxidation and sequent Ohira-Bestmann homologation yielded TIPS 1,5hexadiyne 4.38 in 60-70\% isolated yield over two steps. The yield of the homologation varied with scale and commercial nature of Ohira-Bestmann reagent starting materials. A sole example of ethyl diazopyruvate reacting with an alkyne is known in literature. The reported product is a furan, likely derived from a ( $3+2$ ) dipolar cycloaddition pathway. ${ }^{35}$ Additional examples could be found, wherein ethyl diazopyruvate reacts with olefins to furnish cyclopropyl-ketoesters in poor to modest yields. ${ }^{36-38}$ Initial test reactions using a simple alkyne showed ketone to furan ratios varying from 4 to $7: 1$. These products were separable by column chromatography and we deemed this route feasible for further pursuit.

To improve the lackluster yields common to ethyl diazopyruvate as a reagent, we explored the effect of dimeric rhodium catalyst ligands on isolated ketone yield. Rhodium acetate furnished cyclopropenyl-ketoester 4.40 in $32 \%$ yield (table 4.1. entry 1). Use of the divalent Esp ligand


| Entry | Catalyst | Isolated Yield | Conditions | (Syn:Anti) | Isolated Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAC})_{4}$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, <br> $\mathrm{EtOH},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1:1.2 | $45 \%$ (two steps from 4.38) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{Esp})_{2}$ | 21\% | L-Selectride, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.5:1 | $39 \%$ (from 4.40) |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{TFA})_{4}$ | N.R. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIBAL, } \\ & \text { THF, }-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 2:1 | 42\% (from 4.40) |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{Oct})_{4}$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ |  | N.R. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})(\mathrm{DPTI})_{3}$ | N.R. | LTBA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt |  | N.R. |

Table 4.1. Catalyst screen for keto-cyclopropeneation.
Table 4.2. Diastereoselective reductant screen.
afforded a diminished yield of $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ ( $21 \%$ ), as did the use of rhodium octanoate ( $16 \%$ ) (table 4.1. entries 2,4 respectively). While the desired reactivity proved diminished for more sterically crowded carboxylate ligands, use of ligands with varying electronics proved more disadvantageous. Rhodium trifluoroacetate provided starting material, as did the chiral diphenyltriflylimidazolidinone complex developed by Corey et al (table 4.1. entries 3, 5 respectively). ${ }^{39}$ With the most convenient and effective achiral catalyst selected we turned our attention to optimization of other reaction parameters. We hope to leverage the diastereoselective construction of a substituted cyclopropane toward a racemic synthesis.

Slow addition (8 hours) of ethyl diazopyruvate to a solution of 2.5 eq. of $\mathbf{4 . 3 8}$ ( 0.16 M final molarity) routinely afforded $40-45 \%$ yield of ketone $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$. Subsequent reduction of $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ provided 4.41 in upwards $70 \%$ yield. To minimize handling of the air-sensitive cyclopropenes we developed a telescoped variant of our previously refined cyclopropenation procedure, wherein a solvent swap and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction at cryogenic temperature were performed (scheme 4.7. [d]). Exploration of various hydride reductants failed to reveal highly
diastereoselective conditions (table 4.2). Relative stereochemistry was deduced considering the Felkin-Ahn model and literature precedent. ${ }^{55,56}$ Given the stereoablative nature of the bicyclobutonium forming key step and the development of chromatographic conditions to separate diastereomers (vide infra), we elected to carry the mixture forward. With 4.41 in hand, several Oprotected analogs were synthesized. Synthesis of MOM protected analog $\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ was motivated by work of Fox and coworkers ${ }^{40}$, featuring diastereoselective functionalization of a MOM protected cyclopropenyl-carbinol. SEM protective derivative $\mathbf{4 . 4 3}$ was envisioned to be reactive under these conditions as well. Acetyl ester $\mathbf{4 . 4 4}$ was synthesized given the precedent of Marek et al. ${ }^{41}$

With a host of cyclopropenyl derivatives in hand we turned to conditions developed in our laboratory for the organo-metalation and electrophile capture of cyclopropenes. The reaction began with preformation of 2.0 eq. of methyl Gilman reagent by treating TMEDA solubilized CuI

[a] 2.0 eq. $\mathrm{MeMgBr}, 2.0$ eq. Cul, 2.2 eq. TMEDA, 2.0 eq. Allyl $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{THF},-40->-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min. [b] 4.0 eq. AcOH, 0.1 eq. Acetanisole, 0.1 eq. DMPA, $350 \mathrm{~nm} h v$, EtOAc, rt, 1 h [c] 3.0 eq. $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{EtOH},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min} .: 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$. $\mathrm{AcOH} ; 3.0$ eq. PhthSSt-Bu, MeOH, rt , 0.5 h .

Scheme 4.8. Middle game of model system synthesis.
with methylmagnesium bromide. To this cuprate was added cyclopropenyl alcohol derivatives before treatment with allyl bromide furnished the methyl-allyl cyclopropane products (scheme 4.8.
[a]). MOM derivative 4.42 was elaborated into product 4.47. SEM analog $\mathbf{4 . 4 3}$ could be transformed in an analogous fashion, thought deprotection considerations led to MOM being the sole protecting group of focus. Acetyl ester $\mathbf{4 . 4 4}$ furnished a poor yield of the desired allyl cyclopropane, alongside the ring opened diene side product (Side Product 1).

Given our interest in testing trisulfides bearing acid sensitive groups in the trithiocane forming transalkylation, we investigated direct use of alcohol 4.41. Fortunately, $\mathbf{4 . 4 1}$ proved amenable to the desired transformation. Cyclpropyl-carbinol 4.45 was isolated in 55 to $65 \%$ yield and no O-allylation was detected. Subjecting compounds 4.45 and 4.47 to a dual photocatalyst system under UV radiation lead to the isolation of thioesters $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 4 8}$ (scheme 4.8. [b]). ${ }^{42}$ The reaction was carried out in a rayonet with 350 nm bulbs, reacted at ambient temperature for an hour and was isolated in good yields. Saponification of thioester 4.48 with LiOH, acidic quenching, and treatment with 3.0 eq. of tert-butyl phthalimidodisulfide afforded trisulfide 4.49 in 51\% yield (scheme 4.8. [c]).

### 4.3.3 Attempted cyclization of a trithiocane model system via transalkylation.

Initial efforts toward bicyclobutonium formation and cyclization focused on the direct use of MOM protected analog 4.49. Inspire by our reported reaction conditions for sulfur transalkyative macrocyclizations, TFA in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ was employed. Given the less stabilized nature of bicyclobutonium relative to cinnamyl cations, we started with $20 \mathrm{vol} \%$ of TFA followed by direct evaporation (table 4.3. entry 1). These conditions lead to degradation despite promising TLC results during the reaction. According, we use a sodium bicarbonate quench in all further reactions in this series (as denote by *). Triflimide in $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was used but furnished only decomposition products upon purification, as did similar reactions in $n-\operatorname{PrNO}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (table 4.3.
entries 2, 3 respectively). Use of $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ TFA enabled the full conversion of $\mathbf{4 . 4 9}$ to $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$, provided a quench was performed (table 4.3. entry 4). Addition of $5 \mathrm{vol} \%$ methanesulfonic acid to a solution of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ failed to provide the desired trithiocane model system 4.51 (table 4.3. entry 5). Treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 4 9}$ with 1.33 M of hydrochloric acid at ambient temperature furnished alcohol 4.50 in 1.5 hours, comparatively mild conditions for the removal of a MOM group (table 4.3. entry 6). With an adequate supply of $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ in hand we ceased our experiments on the direct cyclization of 4.49. It was at this point that separation of alcohol diastereomers was successfully undertaken.


Table. 4.3 Attempts at direct cyclization of $\mathbf{4 . 4 9}$ and synthesis of 4.50.

Our desire to incorporate acid labile groups into the trisulfides necessitated the use of free alcohol containing thioester $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ directly in trisulfidation procedures. The mild base sodium methanethiolate (MeSNa) was employed in further trisulfidation experiments, owing to its extreme selectivity for thioester cleavage an inability to generate alkoxide. While elaborating $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ directly to trisulfides $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 5 2}$ was facile, chromatographic separation of these compounds form Harpp reagents (PhthSSR) proved challenging. Use of toluene: acetone-based eluent systems and oversized columns was necessary to obtain pure material in this case. Inspired by the voluminous work of Movassaghi ${ }^{25,57-59}$ and others ${ }^{53}$ we looked to install a trityl trisulfide. Synthesis of the trityl Harpp reagent (PhthSSTrt) was straightforward, however it proved inert under various reaction conditions (table 4.4. entries 2, 3).


Table 4.4. Thioester cleavage and polysulfidation procedures.

Synthesis of paramethoxybenzy Harpp reagent was likewise facile and provided trisulfide 4.52 in comparable yields to the tert-butyl example (table 4.4. entry 4). Trityl trisulfides are known,
however the reagent to synthesize them from thiols is TrtSSCl . The synthesis of this compound required chlorine gas, which we were unable to acquire in a reasonable timeframe. To circumvent this triphenylmethanesulfenyl chloride ( TrtSCl ) was synthesized and proved to be a competent electrophile in formation trityl disulfides from thiols. In this vein, $\mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ was subjected to standard MeSNa induced thioester cleavage conditions and the resultant thiol was purified. This thiol was immediately dissolved in DCM and treated with TrtSCl to provide trityl disulfide $\mathbf{4 . 5 3}$ in excellent yield. With a diverse set of polysulfides in hand we set about systemically testing conditions for transalkyative cyclizations to furnish model trithiocanes.

We first investigated cyclopropyl-carbinol ionization using $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ etherate, adapting a highly dilute variation from literature precedent. ${ }^{43,44}$ We recovered starting material $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ along with a highly nonpolar decomposition product (table 4.5. entry 1). Inspired by our template system conditions and relevant literature ${ }^{45,46}$, we elected to use triflic acid to ionize $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ (table 4.4. entry 2). Intractables were recovered. Use of Metal triflates, namely $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{In}^{3+},{ }^{47}$ failed to furnish product besides des- $t$-butyl cyclopropane $\mathbf{4 . 5 5}$ and minor impurities lacking acrylate ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR resonances (table 4.5. entries 3, 4). Synthesis of mesylated derivatives proved similarly challenging to isolate, leading to diene 4.54 in addition to thiol congener Side Product 2 (table 4.5. entry 7). Treatment of free alcohol $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to decomposition, with no product 4.51 detectable (table 4.5. entry 10). Treatment with DAST at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and deoxo-fluor® at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished trace amounts and $15 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$ respectively (table 4.5. entries 8,13 ). Use of Martin's Sulfurane at ambient temperature lead to formation of $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$ in $12 \%$ yield, albeit in a chaotic reaction mixture (table 4.5. entry 12).

Taking this into account we elected to form the triflated cyclopropyl-carbinol in situ and react it with various reagents in a one-pot fashion. Treatment of a 2,6-lutidine and $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ solution



$n=2, R=t-B u=4.54$
$\mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}=$ Side Prod. $2 \quad 4.55$
Entry
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

16 eq. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \circ \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 12 h
5.0 eq. TfOH, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$
1.0 eq. $\ln (\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, DCM, rt
1.0 eq Cu(OTf) ${ }_{2}$, DCM, it
1.4 eq. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq} .2,6$-lut., $0.04 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DCM},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; $32 \mathrm{eq} . \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ o $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 5 mM DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}->\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ 1.4 eq. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$. DTBMP, 0.04 M DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$; 1.4 eq. TFA,
$10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MeNO} 2,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}->\mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$
1.4 eq. $\mathrm{MeSO}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, 1.5$ eq. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$
3.0 eq. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NSF}_{3}$,

10 mM DCM, $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}->$ rt various workups
1.5 eq. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 10 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{DCM}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-> rt, 1 h
1.5 eq. $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4} 10 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{DCM}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}->\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$
1.5 eq. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{KHMDS} 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$. ., 10 mM Tol:THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$
1.5 eq. Martin's Sulfurane, 10 mM DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$
1.5 eq. deoxo-fluor $\circledR_{\text {, }}$, 10 mM DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$
4.50 isolated + decomp.

Decomp.
N.R.
$4.50+4.55$

57\% 4.54 1:0.6 Internal: Geminal
4.54 + decomp

37\% 4.54 13\% Thiol Side Prod. 2

Minor amounts of $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$

Decomp.

Decomp.

35\% 4.54

12\% 4.54

15\% 4.54

Table 4.5. Macrocyclization attempts on $t$-Bu substrate 4.50.
at standard molarity $(0.05 \mathrm{M})$ with triflic anhydride led to the near instantaneous consumption of starting material at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dilution of this reaction to 10 mM with a solution containing 16 eq. of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ etherate afforded diene $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$ in $57 \%$ yield after one hour (table 4.5. entry 5). In an attempt to suppress the elimination, we elected to neutralize the reaction with 1.4 eq. of TFA before diluting with $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$. Additionally, the solid base 2,6-Di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (DTBMP) was used in an attempt to more rigorously control stoichiometry (table 4.5. entry 6). Unfortunately, this led to the isolation of $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$. Use of KHMDS as a base furnished $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$ in diminished yield (table 4.5. entry 12). Use of no base lead to decomposition (table 4.5. entry 9).

Seeking greater acid lability and precedent relative to tert-butyl trisulfide 4.50, we began testing reactions of trityl disulfide 4.53. Treatment of a solution of $\mathbf{4 . 5 3}$ and 2,6-lutidine with $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ lead to the isolation of diene $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ in good yield (table 4.6. entry 2). Formation of triflate was followed by dilution to 10 mM with a $2.5 \mathrm{vol} \%$ solution of TFA in $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}$. This reaction furnished diene $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ in $47 \%$ yield (table 4.6. entry 3). Use of KHMDS as a base led to the isolation of diene $4.58(53 \%)$ in addition to oxidized ketone product $4.59(21 \%)$, the latter product conceivably arising from a Corey-Kim type oxidation mechanism (table 4.6. entry 4). Use of no base with substrate $\mathbf{4 . 5 3}$ lead to decomposition (table 4.6. entry 1), as did MgO and NaH used as such with substrate $\mathbf{4 . 5 2}$ (table 4.6 . entries 7,8 respectively). Treatment of $\mathbf{4 . 5 3}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 5 2}$ with Martin's sulfurane provided the dienes $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 5 7}$ in 15\% yield and trace amounts respectively (table 4.6. entries 5, 9). As in the case with compound 4.50, no trace of trithiocane $\mathbf{4 . 5 1}$ or trithiane 4.56 could be found using reported conditions.




Table 4.6. Macrocyclization attempts on Trt and PMB substrates 4.53 and 4.52.

### 4.3.4 Tertiary thiol forming attempts

Despite the controllable fragmentation of the cyclopropyl-carbinol triflates we ceased our pursuit of cation induced translative trithiocane forming cyclizations. Efforts shifted to the
formation of a tertiary sulfide of desired regiochemistry, through either cyclopropyl-carbinol or pre-fragmented polyene products. To this end cyclopropanes $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}, \mathbf{4 . 4 6}$ and $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ (table 4.7 entries $1,2,3$ respectively) were treated with standard triflation conditions before the introduction of a 1:4 thioactetic acid: DCM solution. Unfortunately, a complex mixture was isolated in the case of all three substrates, with no desired product being apparent by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$. Marek and Lanke recently reported the controlled generation and nucleophilic trapping of bicyclobutonium ions via copper

catalysis. ${ }^{47}$ Subjecting 4.45 to the reported conditions provided no rearrangement, even with extended reaction times and stoichiometric copper (table 4.7. entry 6). Reactions to elaborate the polyenes derived from cyclopropyl-carbinol fragmentation were undertaken. Geminal olefins are reported to undergo hydrosulfidation with thioacetic acid in the presence of catalytic $\mathrm{InCl}_{3 .}{ }^{48}$ Subjecting triene $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}$ to these conditions lead to the recovery of starting material (table 4.7. entry 4). An attempt was made to elaborate trityl disulfide containing diene $\mathbf{4 . 5 8}$ product to dithiepane via acidolysis and cyclization. These conditions failed to furnish the desired product, leading to intractables (table 4.7. entry 5).

Allylic rearrangements featuring O to S connectivity are known. ${ }^{49-51}$ Based on these precedents we synthesized xanthate 4.61, planning to use the cyclopropane moiety in analogy to a vinyl group. Thioester 4.62 was synthesized via photocatalysis, the xanthate surviving the transformation intact despite the thiyl radicals invoked in this reaction mechanism (scheme 4.10). Our initial investigations centered on a thermal rearrangement of cyclopropyl-xanthates to afford the desired S-migrated product (scheme 4.10 , square brackets). Neat thermolysis at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to instantaneous conversions of starting material, with an acrylate derivative visible on crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (table 4.8. entry 1). pTLC purification of this reaction afforded triene $\mathbf{5 . 6 0}$ in $19 \%$ yield, along with decomposition products. Thermolysis at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in o-DCB achieved similar results for both olefin and thioester derivatives (table 4.8, entries 2, 3 respectively), furnishing $40 \%$ isolated yield of $\mathbf{4 . 6 0}$ and trace amounts of 4.63. Seeking the mildest thermolysis conditions, compound 4.61 was dissolved in d 4 o-DCB, heated incrementally, and observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (table 4.8. entry 4, figure 4.8).


Scheme 4.10. A Synthesis of cyclopropyl xanthates 4.61 and 4.62. B Desired cyclopropyl-xanthate rearrangement. C Depiction of product 4.63.

| Entry, Substrate | Conditions | Results | Entry, Substrate | Conditions | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,4.61 | Neat, $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% 4.60+ \\ \text { decomp. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,4.61 \\ & 6,4.62 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{8}$, AIBN, DCE 0.07 $\mathrm{M}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | Complex mixture |
| 2, 4.61 | o-DCB 0.1 M $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% 4.60+ \\ 4.61 \text { recovered } \end{gathered}$ | 7,4.62 | AIBN 0.2 M Tol, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | 4.62 recovered |
| 3,4.62 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o-DCB } 0.1 \mathrm{M} \\ & 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min} \end{aligned}$ | $4.63+$ <br> decomp. | 8,4.62 | Lauroyl Perox. 0.2 M Tol, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.62+4.63 \\ \text { (trace) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4, 4.61 | d4-o-DCB 0.1 <br> M $125-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% 4.60+ \\ & \text { decomp. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9,4.61 \\ 10,4.62 \end{gathered}$ | AIBN, $\mathrm{Sn}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{6}$ 0.2 M Tol , $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | S.M. recovered |

Table 4.8. Attempted radical and thermal reargangements of cyclopropyl xanthates.

## F: Isolated $\mathbf{4 . 6 0}$ product from Scheme 4.9



Figure 4.8. NMR time/ temperature trace of thermolysis of 4.61

Triene 4.60 was observed and confirmed as the resultant unsaturated ester product seen in previous thermolysis attempts. Furthermore, no intermediate acrylate-bearing tertiary thiol could be observed in the timeframe of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR monitoring (Figure 4.8).

We next attempted to induce radical initiated rearrangements of xanthates 4.61 and 4.62. Care was taken to select conditions without a mechanistically available source of hydrogen, ruling out common tin hydride mediated Barton-McCombie conditions. Use of elemental sulfur as a radical chain propagator with AIBN as an initiation yielded intractable mixtures (table 4.8. entries 5, 6). Use of a stoichiometric amount of AIBN failed to furnish product, leading to isolation of starting material 4.62 (table 4.8. entry 7). This result indicates the radicals formed in AIBN thermolysis are not persistent enough to induce the desired reaction, hence the ubiquitous use of $\mathrm{HSnBu}_{3}$ for chain propagation. Seeking a hydrogen free variant of classic Barton- McCombie conditions, we elected to use hexamethylditin in lieu of $\mathrm{HSnBu}_{3}$. The ensuing reaction led to recovered starting material (table 4.8, 9, 10). Lauroyl peroxide has been used to furnish a BartonMcCombie deoxygenation or Schonberg rearrangement depending on solvent. ${ }^{52}$ We elected to use toluene in hopes of inducing a sulfide transposition concomitant with cyclopropyl fragmentation and acrylate double bond formation. While these conditions (table 4.8. entry 8) led to product, the isolated material proved to be triene 4.60.

While the allylated cyclopropane $\mathbf{4 . 4 5}$ proved useful in model systems to test cyclizations, a method to introduce the $\alpha$-branched methallyl group needed for natural products 4.1 and 4.2 was sought. Initial attempts with crotyl tosylates and phospinocarboxylates proved unfruitful. Cuprate intermediate derived from cyclopropene $\mathbf{4 . 4 2}$ was found to readily react with crotyl bromide to furnish a mixture of regio- and diastereomers in $65 \%$ mass yield (scheme 4.11). Compounds $\mathbf{4 . 6 4}$ and 4.65 proved inseparable by preparative scale chromatography. Considering thiol-ene reactions
are largely selective for terminal olefins, subsequent reaction and chromatography could likely separate 4.64 and $\mathbf{4 . 6 5}$ derived structures. While the results of this experiment were encouraging, we shifted focus to model systems of trithiocane ring formation by various means.


Scheme 4.11. Crotylation of cuprate to furnish complete carbon skeleton of 4.1.

### 4.4 Conclusion

### 4.4.1 Chapter four conclusion

In summary we have reported a template-based system to elaborate tert-butyl trisulfide containing peptides into trisulfide linked macrocycles. Upon cyclization an apparent $S_{2}$ exchange event occurred, after which mono-, tri- and pentasulfide linked compounds could be isolated by preparative HPLC purification. This is the first reported preparative method for the synthesis of trisulfide linked peptide macrocycles to date. The veracity of this $S_{2}$ exchange was proven by the independent synthesis of a thioether product first isolated from the cyclization of a trisulfide. This cation-induced transalkylation of a polysulfide informed the design and implantation of an attempted synthetic route to trithiocane containing natural products (4.1\&4.2, figure 4.1). A number of complex model systems were synthesized and numerous cyclization attempts via cation induced sulfur transalkylation, xanthate rearrangements, and tertiary sulfide formation were made. While the cyclization to form the key ring system proved elusive, great strides were made in the rapid synthesis of a highly functionalize cyclopropane core containing all the requisite carbons of compound 4.1.

While bicyclobutonium induced sulfur transalkylation proved to be unsuccessful in a bimolecular reaction, the extremely selective fragmentation of said ion suggests the route may have a future. Surely some sulfur-based nucleophile could be introduced to the bicyclobutonium and, given the right conditions form the long sought tertiary sulfide required for the trithiocane core. This product could then be synthetically elaborated into a dithiepane and sulfur insertion may furnish the final trithiocane product. Nucleophiles of interest for intercepting the bicyclobutonium in a bimolecular fashion are thioacetates, thiobenzoates, benzylthiols, hydrogen sulfide, and salts thereof. Other modalities of sulfur-carbon bond formation could be explored, such as Michael additions or $[3,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangement. For instance, $\mathbf{4 . 1}$ could be synthesized by doing the following. Furan compound I (scheme 4.12.), could be exhaustively hydrogenated and


Scheme 4.12 Proposed 3,3 sigmatropic rearrangement obtain tertiary thiol.
homologated to furnish compound II. Tetrahydrofuran II could be treated with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ and propargyl aldehyde to furnish thiocarbamate III after thiocarbamylation. Compound III could undergo $[3,3]$ sigmatropic rearrangement to furnish compound IV bearing a tertiary thiol center (scheme 4.12. square brackets). This compound could be selectively reduced and undergo enyne
metathesis to provide $\mathbf{V}$. Treatment of $\mathbf{V}$ with base would generate an enolate, which may ring open the THF. Conversion of OP to a suitable sulfur group (VI, scheme 4.12) may enable the oxidative dithiepane formation as seen in the work of Fuchs (scheme 2.6 B ). ${ }^{62}$ Only sulfur insertion would remain to synthesize trithiocane 4.1. Synthesis of the trithiocanes (4.1 and 4.2) remains an unachieved goal, it is hoped that the work in this dissertation may enable that goal.

### 4.4.2 Dissertation conclusion

Taken as a whole, the research disclosed in this dissertation enables the synthesis of libraries of sulfur linked peptidomimetic macrocycles. Di-, mono- and exotic trisulfides rich in functionality can be rapidly synthesized. Several reliable methods to elaborate disulfides have been reported, including oxidation, fluorocarbo- and heterocycle insertion. New templates capable of forming multiple macrocyclic linkages have been invented, enabling the synthesis of cage-like, natural product inspired molecules from simple peptides in several steps. Synthetic efforts have advanced the synthesis of novel trithiocane natural products further than previously achieved in our group. Continued refinement and applied use of the basic methods reported here are ongoing. We hope to augment the methods pioneered here with in silico generation and screening of massive virtual libraries. ${ }^{60}$
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Synthesis of mono- and disulfide macrocycles via sulfur transalkylation
Table of contense
2.1 Experimental procedures for chapter 2. ..... 77-88
2.2 Characterization Ddata for chapter 2. ..... 89
2.2.1 NMR, HPLC and Crystallographic data for compounds 2.4-2.9 ..... 89-119
2.2.2 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.10-2.15 ..... 120-133
2.2.3 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.16-2.26 ..... 134-161
2.2.4 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.27-2.34 ..... 162-191
2.2.5 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.38-2.39 ..... 192-195
2.2.6 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.40-2.43 ..... 196-206
2.2.7 NMR and HPLC data for compounds 2.49-2.59 ..... 207-216
2.2.8 NMR associated with tables ..... 217

## Chapter 2 Experimental Procedures

## General Methods.

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under positive pressure of argon at room temperature. Solvents were dried on activated alumina solvent drying system. Nitromethane was dried by storing for 24 hours over neutral Brockmann I Alumina before being filtered onto to activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. DMF was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ onto activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated plates Sorbent Technologies, silica gel 60 PF254 (0.25 $\mathrm{mm})$. TLC was visualized with UV light ( 254 nm ) and stained using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (240-400 mesh). 1D NMR spectra for peptidal substrates were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 500 MHz ) spectrometer using MeOH-d4 or DMSO-d6 as solvent and referenced relative to residual $\mathrm{MeOH}(\delta=3.31 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or DMSO $(\delta=2.50 \mathrm{ppm})$. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants $(\mathcal{J})$ in Hertz. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments ( 125 MHz ) with total proton decoupling referenced relative to residual $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d4}(\delta=49.00 \mathrm{ppm})$ or DMSO ( $\delta=39.52 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). HSQC, HMBC, COSY and NOESY NMR experiments were used to aid assignment of NMR peaks when required. 2D NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 600 MHz ). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific Exactive® Mass Spectrometer with DART IDCUBE, Waters GST Premier, and Waters LCT Premier. All HPLC traces are shown at 254 nm and depict preparative purifcation of macrocycles on a SunFire ${ }^{8}$ C18 OBD 5 um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column using an Agilent 1100/1200 Series HPLC.

## General Procedure A - Peptide Synthesis:

All peptides were synthesized by either standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis using Rink Amide MBHA resin (polystyrene, $1 \%$ DVB, $0.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) or Boc/Cbz solution-phase peptide synthesis. ${ }^{1}$

## General Procedure B - Acylation of Organic-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template 3 as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc , washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting compound was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent gradient.

## General Procedure C - Acylation of Water-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template $\mathbf{X}$ as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the solvent was removed via roto evaporator and the residue dissolved in 2 ml of DMSO, passed through a 0.5 micron filter and purified via preparative HPLC (procedure used to prepare sequences containing His and Glu residues).

## General Procedure D - Peptide Macrocyclization with Template 2.3:

A scintillation vial was charged with a stir bar and template capped peptide (1.0 equiv.) before being capped with a septum and backfilled thrice with argon. Nitromethane (as described in the materials section) was added to the substrate to afford a concentration of 5.26 mM before 5 volume \% TFA was added, bringing the final molarity to 5.00 mM . After the addition of TFA the reaction was stirred for 15 minutes before the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Crude product was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent or preparative HPLC depending on the polarity of the resultant macrocycle.

## General Procedure E - Template 2.46 Pictet Spengler:

Peptide-template 2.46 adduct (as described above in the general peptide-template acylation procedure) was dissolved in 4:1 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : AcOH to afford a 0.1 M solution. The reaction was stirred for 48 h at room temperature before evaporation of solvent and evaporation thrice with MeCN and Thrice with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. resulting compound was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ based eluent.

Template 2.3:
Template 2.3 was synthesized according to our published procedure. ${ }^{2}$
Template 2.46:
Template 2.46 was synthesized according to our published procedure. ${ }^{3}$

## Linear Precursor 2.4:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 75\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 500 MHz$) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.3,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.55-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 7.7 Hz ), $2.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}$, $9 \mathrm{H}), 0.79$ (dd, $J=24.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=173.7,173.1,171.1,168.6,141.1,136.7$, 136.5, $133.8,129.1,128.4,12800,126.4,124.3,122.8,81.5,67.0,54.1,51.7,50.6,46.6,45.9,41.0,40.2,37.2,37.0,36.7$, 31.2, 28.8, 26.6, 25.5, 24.2, 23.7, 22.08, 20.56.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 811.41$; found 811.3.

## Macrocycle 2.5:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $53 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(600 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, DMSO- $\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80$ (dd, $J=14.7,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53$ (dd, $J=13.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.12 (td, $J=9.4,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.54 (dd, $J=13.5,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (ddd, $J=13.8,9.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.76-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55$ (ddd, $J=13.1,8.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48$ (dd, $J=15.5,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-$ $1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{tt}, J=13.0,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta$ 171.8, 171.4, 169.9, 167.2, 141.6, 136.9, 136.4, 133.3, 129. 4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 126.3, 125.4, 125.0, 124.1, 53.0, 52.1, 50.4, 45.9, 45.6, 42.0, 41.5, 37.7, 35.2, 29.9, 29.6, 25.6, 24.2, 23.7, 22.9 21.3.; HRMS-ESI ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 637.28768$; found 637.28638 .

## Linear Precursor 2.6:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $87 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta=7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4,3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.9,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,6.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.28$ (m, 2H), 3.56 (td, $J=6.8,2.1,2 H$ ), 3.41 (t, $J=6.8,2 H$ ), 3.14 (dd, $J=13.8,6.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (dd, $J=13.5,7.7,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.03$2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.2,5.0,6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.86 (dd, $J=18.6,5.0,6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 173.8,173.6,172.9,171.1,168.5,153.6$, 141.1, 136.7 136.5, 133.8, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 126.4, 124.3, 122.9, 81.5, 67.0, 54.2, 51.9, 51.7, 50.6, 46.6, 45.9, $41.02,40.4,40.3,37.2,37.0,31.2,28.9,26.6,25.5,24.4,24.3,23.7,22.3,22.0,20.7,20.5 . ;$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 924.50$; found 924.4.

## Macrocycle 2.7:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $95 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- ${ }^{2}$, 600 MHz ) $\delta 8.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ (dd, $J=14.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.7,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44$ (dd, $J=12.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.25$ (dd, $J=14.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 173.6,173.5,171.0,167.7,142.0,138.4,136.8,133.7,129.5,128.9,128.5,126.7$, $126.1,125.6,125.2,54.0,53.2,52.2,50.0,46.3,46.1,42.1,41.3,37.4,37.2,31.4,30.0,26.0,24.5,24.2,23.4,23.3$, 21.9, 21.7.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 750.37174$; found 750.3711 .

## Linear Precursor 2.8:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $52 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}) 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.31 (dt, J = 15.9, 6.3 Hz, 1H) $4.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (dd, J = 6.3, 1.1 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (dd, J = 8.3, $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ (dd, J = $9.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.06 (dd, J = 13.4, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.99-2.90 (m, 3H), $2.88(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.64-2.53 (m, 2H), 1.99-1.91 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 174.0,173.9$, 173.3, 173.0, 171.2, 168.4, 153.6, 141.1, 136.8, 136.5, 133.7, 129.0, 128.4, 128.0, 126.3, 124.3, 122.9, 81.5, 67.0, $54.4,52.3,52.1,52.0,50.6,46.6,45.9,41.1,40.4,40.2,39.9,37.3,37.0,31.2,28.9,26.6,25.5,24.5,24.4,24.3,23.7$, 22.2, 22.1 22.1, 20.7, 20.7, 20.5.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 1037.58$; found 1037.4.

## Macrocycle 2.9:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in 73\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.7,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 3.04 (ddd, $J=19.6,13.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-$ $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.0,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=29.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $0.87-0.71(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.8,172.2,171.8,170.6,167.8,142.1,137.7,136.8$, $134.0,129.7,128.4,128.2,126.8,126.5,124.8,124.7,53.8,52.5,52.1,51.6,50.4,46.3,46.1,41.6,40.9,37.9,37.0$, 31.1, 30.0, 26.0, 24.7, 24.4, 24.2, 23.6, 23.4, 23.4, 22.0, 22.0, 21.7.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ 863.45580; found 863.46053.

## Linear Precursor 2.10:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $83 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (dd, $J=8.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28$ (dd, J=6.4, $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, J=14.1,5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.07 (dd, J = 13.5, $9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.99(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ) $\delta 174.0,173.9,171.9$, 171.2, 170.8, 153.6, 141.3, 136.8, 136.5, 133.5, 129.1, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 126.5, 126.3, 124.3, 122.9, 81.5, 67.2, 67.1, 58.6, 54.6, 53.0, 51.5, 49.4, 41.4, 37.0, 36.8, 31.1, 29.0, 26.7, 19.0 16.4.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 853.35$; found 853.3.

## Macrocycle 2.11:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in 66\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d , 600 MHz ) $\delta 8.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.19-4.11(m, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.09-4.01 (m, 1H), $3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,7.4 \mathrm{HZ}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1$, $4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.1,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.43$2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) $\delta 172.1,171.2$, 170.9, $170.8,170.4,141.4,137.3,136.5,133.3,129.5,128.1,127.9,127.8,126.8,126.3,124.8,123.1,66.2,57.8,53.5,52.8$, $51.9,48.9,43.5,43.5,40.9,37.2,35.2,30.0,20.1,18.1$.; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 657.24112$; found 657.24121.

## Linear Precursor 2.12:

Synthesized according to general procedure C, obtained in $29 \%$ isolated yield.
H NMR (MeOH-d4, 500 MHz$) \delta 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.31 (dt, $J=15.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.19(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.30$ (m,5H) $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 174.9,173.8,173.7,173.5,172.8,172.6,172.3,153.6,141.2$, $136.8,136.5,133.7,128.9,128.4,128.2,127.9,126.5,126.4,124.3,122.9,81.5,67.1,54.8,54.2,53.3,52.6,41.2$, 38.6, 37.5, 36.6, 31.5, 30.7, 29.7, 28.9, 28.5, 26.6, 26.6, 26.4, 22.8, 21.1.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Na} 965.41$; found 965.9.

## Macrocycle 2.13:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $54 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{td}, J=8.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.097 .01$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21-6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{td}, J=10.3,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{td}, J=8.2,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ (dd, $J=13.7,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14$ (d, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.2,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{~h}) 2.30(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 174.40, 172.2, 172.14, 171.9, 171.8, 171.6, 170.1, 141.9, 138.3, 136.7, 133.1, 129.6, 129.0, 128.6, 128.5, 126.7, 126.6, 125.7, 124.6, 54.7, 54.2 52.8, 52.5, 41.9, 41.6, 38.6, 37.5, 37.3, 31.8, 31.6, 30.54 29.3, 27.7, 23.0, 22.9.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 769.3054$; found 769.3021.

## Linear Precursor 2.14:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 75\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.33-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})\right.$ 4.70-4.64 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.03-3.95(m,

1 H ), 3.25 (dd, J=13.5, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.68(\mathrm{~m} .3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3,3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 174.1, 173.7, 172.1, 171.4, 171.1, 153.6, 141.2, 136.8, 136.5, 133.7, 129.0, 128.4, 128.1, $127.9,126.5,126.4,124.3,122.9,81.5,67.1,66.9,58.7,55.2,53.0,49.3,41.1,37.1,36.8,31.2,28.8,26.6,25.2,18.3$, 15.9; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 852.36$; found 852.3

## Macrocycle 2.15:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $49 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91$ (d, J= $\left.8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.45$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.07 (dd, J=13.7, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.96-2.86 (m. 3H), 2.81 (dd, J=13.6, 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.76-2.65 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.54 (m, 2H overlap) $2.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz ) $\delta 173.1,172.6,171.0,170.7,169.8,142.0,138.1,137.0,133.3,129.6,129.0,128.5$, 128.0, 126.7, 125.8, 125.6, 125.1, 66.5, 61.9, 54.6, 53.4, 48.8, 41.5, 41.4, 37.1, 36.5, 31.4, 26.2, 20.2,18.4.; HRMSESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 656.257654$; found 656.25606.

## Linear Precursor 2.16:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $72 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{t}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (dd, $J=7.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (dd, $J=6.3$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=12.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH$d_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 175.0,174.6,172.6,169.8,157.3,155.0,142.6,137.8,135.1,131.5,131.2,129.8,129.22,128.44$, $127.71,125.6,124.2,116.1,82.9,68.4,55.8,51.8,50.3,48.0,47.3,42.5,38.4,37.9,32.6,30.2,28.1,26.9,25.1,18.0 . ;$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 785.36$; found 784.9.

## Macrocycle 2.17:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $35 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.12$ (m, 2H), $7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{t}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=15.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.1,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (ddd, $J=38.0,13.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.39(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.1,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.891 .69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.4,171.8,170.6,167.7,156.3,142.0,136.9,133.7,130.7,128.7$, 128.6, 127.4, 125.9, 125. 5, 124.7, 115.2, 54.0, 50.8, 49.6, 46.3, 46.1, 42.4, 42.1, 37.0, 35.6, 30.4, 26.0, 24.2, 18.6.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 611.23564$; found 611.23333 .

## Macrocycle 2.18:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $58 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz ) б $9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.83$ (dd, $J=8.2$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22-6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.5$, $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (ddd, $J=17.0,12.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64$ (dd, $J=14.3$, 10.7 $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.29-2.21 (m, 1H), 1.94-1.81 (m, 2H), 1.80-1.72 (m, 2H), 1.27 (s, 9H), $1.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.4,172.4,171.6,171.4,171.3,168.1,153.7,153.7,142.3,137.4,137.4,130.6,130.2,129.4$, $128.8,128.4,128.2,128.0,125.9,124.7,124.2,115.2,53.9,50.6,48.3,48.1,46.5,46.2,42.1,36.9,36.0,32.4,30.0$, 29.9, 26.1, 24.2, 20.6.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 667.29824$; found 667.29924.

## Linear Precursor 2.19:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $31 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.30(\mathrm{dt}$, J $=16.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.28(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.15$, 1H) 3.65-3.49 (m, 2H) 3.03 (dd J = 13.5, $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) $2.96(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.7,1 \mathrm{H})$ 2.92-2.80 (m, 4H), $2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 1.98-1.90 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.88-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ) $\delta 173.7,173.3,170.7$, 168.6, 153.6, 148.1, 141.3, 136.4, 133.7, 129.3, 129.1, 128.4, 127.9, 126.3, 124.3, 122.9, 121.6, 81.5, 67.1, 53.9, 50.6, 49.1, 46.6, 46.0, 41.2, 37.0, 36.0, 31.2, 28.9, 26.7, 25.5, 23.7, 16.6.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{H} 853.28$; found 853.2.

## Macrocycle 2.20:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $70 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.6,500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.93(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.77(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.42(\mathrm{dd}, 12.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.63-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.50-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.49-3.26 (m, 3H) $3.06(\mathrm{q}, 6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.99-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.82(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.76-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.90-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) ס 172.1, 171.3, 170.0, 167.4, 147.5, 141.5, 136.4, 133.4, 130.0, 129.5, 128.2, 128.1, 125.7, 124.9, 123.9, 121.7, 53.1, 50.8, 49.1, 45.9, 45.7, 41.8, 41.8, 36.1, 35.0, 29.9, 25.6, 23.7, 18.3.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 679.1582$; found 679.1577.

## Linear Precursor 2.21:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $56 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.d_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (dd, $J=7.8,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68$ (dd, $J=6.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.05 (dd, $J=13.4,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $12.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH$d_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ס) $175.5,173.1,172.1,172.0,157.3,155.0,142.6,137.8,135.1,131.3,129.8,129.2,128.5,127.7,125.6$, $124.2,116.3,82.9,68.4,68.1,59.9,55.7,55.7,54.3,52.7,51.1,42.9,38.5,37.6,32.7,30.2,30.2,28.0,28.0,19.0$, 19.9, 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 847.36$; found 847.2.

## Macrocycle 2.22:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $55 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{td}, J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ (dd, $J=14.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.1,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.822 .72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) $\delta 172.8,172.1,171.9,170.0,169.6,169.2,156.5,142.0,137.0,133.7,130.5,128.6,128.3,127.4,126.9,125.5,123.7$, $115.5,66.8,58.0,54.7,53.5,52.3,49.4,43.1,41.8,36.3,35.6,34.8,30.4,30.0,19.2,18.8 . ;$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 729.29864$; found 729.30100.

## Macrocycle 2.23:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $28 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.69 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.41 (d, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.30 (dt, $J=15.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.00 (s, 1H), 4.53 (td, $J=8.6,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 4.30 (dd, $J=13.5,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.44 (dd, $J=15.4,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (dd, $J=15.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (dd, $J=15.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.12 (dd, $J=13.0,4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.7,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) $\delta 173.0,172.0,170.4,170.3,154.1,141.8,137.6,131.0,130.9,129.1,128.9,127.7,127.6,126.5,125.7,124.7,115.4$, $66.6,59.1,54.9,52.3,49.2,48.2,43.0,36.7,36.36,33.0,31.3,30.0,19.8,18.2$. HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 751.3563$; found 751.3531.

## Linear Precursor 2.24:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 66\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two rotamers present ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.76-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-$ $6.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.43(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.41-3.32 (m, 1H), 3.23-3.01(m, 3H), 3.00-2.85 (m, 3H), 2.82-2.52 (m, 5H), 2.50-2.39 (m, 3H) 1.56-1.41. (m, 9H), 1.40-1.30 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.25 (m,9H) 0.95-0.60(m, 3H), 0.47-0.43 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 173.7, $173.4,172.9,170.5,170.3,169.8,153.6,141.2,136.5,135.2,133.7,129.4,128.5,127.9,126.3,125.1,124.3,123.9$, $122.9,120.6,112.8,111.9,109.1,81.5,67.1,53.4,50.0,46.0,42.4,41.5,37.2,36.5,35.8,35.2,34.0,33.0,32.9,31.1$, 30.2, 28.9, 26.7, 20.4 . LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 1028.36$; found 1028.4.

## Macorcycle 2.25:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $34 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ ठ 11.22-11.02 (m, 1H), 8.55-8.40 (m, 1H), 8.37-8.27 (m, 1H), 8.09-7.98 (m, 1H), 7.74$7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.34-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.18-6.6.02 (m, 1H), 4.96-4.86 (m $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
3.12-2.86 (m, 4H), 2.86-2.58 (m,4H), 2.45-2.24 (m, 5H), 1.60-1.17 (m, 4H), 1.00-0.88 (m, 1H), 0.88-0.58 (m, 3H), $0.49-0.10{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }^{2}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 172.2, 171.7, 171.6, 171.2, 169.7, 169.6, 142.3, 137.0, 135.2, 133.2, 129.8, $128.8,128.4,128.0,126.2,126.0,123.8,123.3,121.1,113.8,111.7,110.2,51.7,50.7,49.4,45.7,42.4,37.0,36.6$, 35.9, 35.7, 33.7, 33.3, 30.8, 30.4, 28.6, 21.9, MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 854.24$; found 854.5.

## Macrocycle 2.26:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $59 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two rotomers present (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 11.15-10.85 (m, 1H), 8.22-8.12 (m, 1H), 7.97-7.82 (m, 1H), 7.77$7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.46-6.25 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.02-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.25-2.91(m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta ; 171.9,171.7,171.2,170.3,169.3,169.2,142.1,137.6,136.0,130.9,129.5,129.0$, $128.7,127.8,126.2,125.7,124.5,122.4,114.4,113.7,108.7,107.6,58.4,52.7,52.0,50.3,48.0,42.9,42.6,42.1,37.7$, 36.9, 35.6, 35.4, 30.9, 30.3, 30.0, 22.2, $22.0 \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{BrN}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 910.30$; found 910.8

## Linear Precursor 2.27:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 70\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98-2.89 (m, 4H), $2.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96$ (ddd, $J=19.5,12.7,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.91-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=29.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.d_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz} \delta\right) \delta 175.1,174.5,172.5,170.3,155.0,142.5,138.9,137.9,135.2,130.5,129.8,129.5,129.3$, $127.8,127.8,125.7,125.7,124.3,82.9,68.4,55.5,53.0,52.9,48.1,47.2,43.5,38.7,38.4,32.6,31.3,30.8,28.0,26.9$, 26.9, 25.6, 25.2, 23.5, 22.0.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 779.44$; found 779.7 .

## Macrocycle 2.28:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $71 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14$ (dt, J=15.5, 7.6 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,9.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{t}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{ddd}, J=27.2,13.5,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.3,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48$ (dd, $J=12.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.81$ (dd, $J=51.5,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 171.2, 171.1, 167.7, 167.4, 141.0, 136.4, 136.0, 132.8, 129.2, $129.2,127.6,126.9,126.0,125.8,123.9,121.0,52.0,52.0,48.9,45.8,45.4,41.2,38.2,32.6,32.4,29.9,28.0,25.3$, 24.2, 23.7, 22.9, 21.0.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SH} 605.31561$; found 605.31404.

## Linear Precursor 2.29:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $67 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.d_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.31 (dt, $J=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, ~, ~ 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $19.3,13.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.87 (dt, $J=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.47 (s, 7H), $1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ) $\delta 177.7,175.2,173.5,172.0,170.0,155.0,142.6,137.9,135.1,135.0,129.9,129.2,127.7,125.7,125.7,124.3$, 82.9, 79.5, 68.4, 54.9, 54.2, 52.1, 48.1, 47.4, 43.3, 42.45, 38.6, 32.6, 32.6, 31.3, 31.0, 30.3, 28.8, 28.1, 26.9, 25.1.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 985.46$; found 985.9.

## Macrocycle 2.30:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $65 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.23(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=20.3,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (dd, $J=14.3,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.4,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=13.7,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 4.14-4.05 (m, 2H), 3.45-3.17 (m, 8H), 3.10-3.00 (m, 1H), 2.78 (s, 9H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) ס 173.7, 172.2, 171.3, 170.9, 169.1, 167.8, 141.6, 137.4, 136. 5, 132.4, 129.1, 128.3, 128.0, 127.6, 126.9, 126.2, 125.6, 123.4, $54.2,52.8,52.4,50.6,47.8,46.1,45.7,42.1,37.4,33.9,31.5,31.3,29.6,26.9,25.6,23.8 . ;$ HRMS $-E S I(m / z):[M+N a]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 833.31647$ found 833.3139.

Linear Precursor 2.31:
Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 80\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.31 (dt, $J=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $13.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, ~, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $19.3,13.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz б) $\delta 177.7,175.2,173.5,172.0,170.0,155.0,142.6,137.9,135.1,135.0,129.9,129.2,127.7,125.7,125.7,124.3$, 82.9, 79.5, 68.4, 54.9, 54.2, 52.1, 48.1, 47.4, 43.3, 42.5, 38.6, 32.6, 32.6, 31.3, 31.0, 30.3, 28.8, 28.1, 26.9, 25.1.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 838.39$; found 838.4.

## Macrocycle 2.32:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $86 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07$ (dd, $J=12.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{t}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82$ (dd, $J=13.0,6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.93-1.82 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) $\delta 174.12,171.80$, $171.58,170.19,167.55,141.53,136.84,133.23,128.76,128.17,126.60,125.88,123.72,51.73,51.58,50.87,48.16$, 46.42, 46.26, 42.25, 36.66, 32.93, 32.28, 32.09, 30.87, 30.03, 29.46, 26.03, 24.16. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 664.26556$; found 664.26818 .

## Linear Precursor 2.33:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in 53 \% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.32-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34-6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-\right.$ 4.61(m, 2H), 4.13-4.02 (m, 2H) 3.72-3.48 (m, 8H), 3.43-3.35 (m, 2H), 3.23-3.16 (m, 2H), 3.10-3.00 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.90 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.87-2.69 (m, 4H), 2.51-2.28 (m, 6H), 2.16-2.01 (m, 1H), 2.00-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.89-174 (m, 1H), 1.54-1.38 (m, 9H), 1.34-1.24 (m, 9H): ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}\right) \delta$ 175.0, 173.5, 172.9, 172.3, 171.6, 169.3, 168.6, 153.6, 141.2, $137.1,137.1,136.4,133.7129,128.5,128.0,127.8,126.4,124.3,122.9,81.5,67.1,66.4,60.2,54.7,50.9,49.2,46.3$, $42.6,42.1,37.8,37.2,36.0,35.5,34.7,31.2,30.0,29.7,29.2,26.7,13.0$, ; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{69} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}+953.47$; found 953.5.

## Macrocycle 2.34:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $75 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two amide rotamers present (DMSO-d $6,600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.72-8.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.38-6.92 (m, 9H), 6.54-6.28 (d, J=15.6, 1H), 6.25-6.07 (m, 1H), 4.94-4.82 (m, 1H), 4.76-4.55 (m, 1H), 4.49-4.32 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27$; $3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.77-2.55 (m, 5H), 2.47-216 (m, 5H), 2.04-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.60 (m, 1H) 1.32-1.20 (m, 2H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 126 MHz ) $\delta 174.5,172.4,171.9,171.6,171.3,168.6,167.7,142.0,138.7,136.9,132.7,129.5,128.5,128.0,127.1$, 126.7, 126.1, 123.6 66.6, 54.9, 50.7, 49.1, 48.9, 48.4, 46.1, 42.6, 37.7, 37.1, 36.2, 35.9, 35.3, 34.3, 33.9, 31.1, 26.6, 21.1 HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S} 778.34$; found 778.4

## Linear Precursor 2.38:

Synthesized according to general procedures B and E, obtained in $51 \%$ yield over two steps from peptide.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.d_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$ (dd, J = 6.2, $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.41$3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.93-2.85 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (s, 9H), $1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 176.1,170.7,170.4,168.7,160.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=43.7), 153.6,135.4$, $134.0,132.7,132.5,129.2,128.4,126.4,126.0,123.8,122.9,120.3,115.0,112.3,111.7,103.9,66.9,61.5,55.2,50.9$, $50.85,50.3,46.6,46.0,43.1,40.9,32.0,26.7,25.5,23.7,22.07$. . LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[M+H]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{BrFN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ 944.27; found 943.8.

## Macrocycle 2.39:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in 53\% yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36$ (dt, J = 15.7, $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.28$4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.4,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.97-2.92(m, 2 H ), 2.90 (dd, J = 12.8, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.82-2.74 (m, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 16.1, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.88-170 (m, 4H), 1.56-147 ( m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 174.3,169.5,169.4,168.2,159.5,135.3,135.1,133.5,131.5,128.7,127.9$,
126.7, 125.3, 123.9, 120.8, 115.4, 115.3, 113.6, 111.6, 105.1, 61.6, 56.1, 51.1, 50.0, 49.3, 46.7, 46.4, 43.5, 42.5, 41.9, 33.8, 26.2, 26.0, 25.1, 24.2, 21.2.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrFN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 770.1484$; found 770.1491 .

## Macrocycle 2.40:

To a 0.02 M solution of 48 in DMF was added TCEP HCl (2.2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{EtN}(\mathrm{iPr})_{2}$ ( 8.8 equiv.) at room temperature. After 1 hour the reaction was diluted with EtOAc, extracted thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, once with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed, and the crude product was taken up in 0.01 M DMF . 1.5 equiv. of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added followed by 1.5 equiv. of perfluorocyclopentene as a 1 M solution in MeCN . The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc , washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was taken up in DMSO an purified via HPLC for a $30 \%$ yield of 49 over two steps.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=13.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21-6.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.89 (d, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17$ (dd, $J=12.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ (dd, $J=13.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 1.22 ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.71 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 172.84,172.76,171.46,170.70,169.35,142.12,137.96,136.28,134.15,129.55,128.95$, 128.61, 126.81, 125.76, 125.34, 123.75, 66.51, 60.41, 54.82, 52.67, 49.17, 40.58, 40.49, 40.41, 40.32, 40.24, 40.15, 40.07, 39.99, 39.91, 39.82, 39.65, 39.48, 37.10, 36.95, 35.35, 32.55, 31.46, 30.24, 26.28, 19.61, 17.90. .; HRMS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 830.24807$; found 830.24618.

## Macrocycle 2.41:

To a 0.02 M solution of 48 in DMF was added TCEP HCl (2.2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{EtN}(\mathrm{iPr})_{2}$ ( 8.8 equiv.) at room temperature. After 1 hour the reaction was diluted with EtOAc, extracted thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, once with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed and the crude product was taken up $\operatorname{In} 0.01 \mathrm{M}$ DMF. 5.5 equiv. of $\mathrm{EtN}(\mathrm{iPr})_{2}$ was added followed by 5.5 equiv. of perfluorobenzene. The reaction was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was taken up in DMSO an purified via HPLC for a 66\% yield of 50 over two steps.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11-6.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.92(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.6,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.42(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.37 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 172.91,172.55,171.16,171.03,169.58,148.50-147.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{C}), 146.5-145.5$ (m, 2C) $142.06,137.92,136.62,133.55,129.61,128.94,128.59,128.03,127.26,126.81,124.78,123.77,115.01-114.83$ (m, 1C), 113.09-112.93 (m, 1C), 66.60, 60.30, 54.83, 53.33, 49.24, 40.57, 40.48, 40.40, 40.32, 40.24, 40.15, 40.07, 39.98, 39.81, 39.65, 39.48, 37.31, 37.27, 37.16, 35.52, 31.19, 25.87, 20.12, 17.43.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 804.251266$; found 804.24919.

## Macrocycle 2.42:

To a 0.02 M solution of 48 in DMF was added TCEP HCI (1.1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{EtN}(\mathrm{iPr}) 2$ ( 15.0 equiv.) at room temperature. After stirring for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 4.5$ equiv. 2,4-Dichloro-6-methoxy-1,3,5-triazine was added and the reaction was stirred another 11 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc , washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was taken up in DMSO an purified via HPLC for $39 \%$ yield of 51 over a one pot, two reaction sequence.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.93 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J= $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5$, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.49-4.40 (m, 1H), 4.01-3.91 (m, 2H), 3.88 (s, 3H), 3.47-3.39(m, 2H), 3.38-3.33 (m, 1H), 3.32-3.22 (m,. 2 H ), 3.02 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=13.9,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92-2.83 (m, 1H), $2.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2), 2.52-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 182.2,181.8,172.14,172.09,170.9,168.0,167.9,142.1,137.7,136.8$, $132.9,129.7,128.8,128.4,126.7,125.8,125.5,125.0,55.7,53.9,52.2,50.2,46.4,46.2,41.2,37.3,36.2,32.4,32.1$, 30.5, 26.0, 24.4, 24.2, 23.2, 22.1; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H} 746.315837$; found 746.31540 .

Table 2.5: Experimental procedures.

## Table 2.5: Entry 1

$\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}\left(4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.2\right.$ eq.) was suspended in 0.5 ml of DCM:EtOH (9:1) and stirred in a dram vial. $50 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution ( $14.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the stirring suspention. After 5 minutes, macrocycle 2.14 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 50$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The reaciton was monitored byTLC and HPLC. After 55 minutes the reaction was diluted with $15: 1 \mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}$, passed through a plug of silica and evaporated to afford 35 mg of macrocycle 2.43 in quantitative yield. This product was shown to be two diastereomers upon ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis in DMSO-d6, dr 1:1.

## Table 2.5: Entry 2

$\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}\left(4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.2 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.) was suspended in 0.5 ml of $\mathrm{DCM}: E t O H(9: 1)$ and stirred in a dram vial. $50 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution ( $14.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the stirring suspention. After 5 minutes compound 2.13 ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 50$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added. The reaciton was monitored byTLC and HPLC. After 55 minutes the reaction was diluted with 15:1 MeCN:MeOH, passed through a plug of silica and evaporated. The crude product obtained was suspended in 9.5 ml of nitromethane and 0.5 ml of THF was added. After 10 minutes the solvent was evaporated, TLC and crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR indicated decomposition had occured.

## Table 2.5: Entry 3

2.14 ( $11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 0.2 ml of DCM:DMF and stirred in a dram vial (4:1), mCBPA (4.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 21 . \mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.$) of was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30$ minutes before the solvent was removed. A crude NMR determined the $d r$. to be 1.4:1 in MeOD-d4.

## Table 2.5: Entry 4

2.14 ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.171 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. $32 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ Peracetic acid in $\mathrm{AcOH}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 19 . \mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.1$ eq.) was added and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over 40 minutes. At 25 minutes $\sim\left(-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ an extra $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of AcOOH was added. After onedeoxygenated a drop of DMS was added and the solvent was removed. Column chromatagraphy furnished 75 mg of 2.43 in $66 \%$ yield with a dr of 3:1.
( $95: 1$->90:1 CHCl $3: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), $\mathrm{Rf}=0.46$ ( $9: 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two diastereomers present
$2.43{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.28-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.20-6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.51 (dd, J=10.7, $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1), 3.77$ (dd, J=14.4, 4.3 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.61-3.55 (m, 1H), 3.24 (q, J= $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05-2.94 (m, 2H), 2.88-2.80 (m, 2H), 2.78-2.74 (m, 3H), 2.72-2.65 *m, 2H), $1.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 173.5,173.1,171.7,170.5$, $170.0,142.4,138.4,138.1,136.5,129.6,129.1,128.8,128.5,126.6,126.4,125.0,117.2,66.3,62.6,59.7,54.2,49.1$, $37.4,36.6,34.7,31.7,30.0,26.3,20.2,18.1$. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}+671.24$; found 671.9 .

## Table 2.5: Entry 5

$2.14(11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in 0.4 ml of $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. $90 \%$ TBHP $(2 \mu, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to teh solution and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 1deoxygenated with no reaction $6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of TBHP was added. No reaction was observed.

Table 2.5: Entry 6.1
Oxaziridine 1 ( $11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of was dissolved in 4.1 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{MeCN}(4: 1)$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. 2.14 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 41.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added followed by of $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(6.8 \mathrm{mg} 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2$ eq.) After 30 minutes one extra eq. of Oxaziridine 1 and $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ was added. No reaction was observed.

## Table 2.5: Entry 6.2

$2.14(11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ dissolved in 0.2 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : $\mathrm{MeCN}(9: 1)$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. Oxaziridine 1 ( $8 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added followed by $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( $3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.6$ eq.). No reaction was observed byTLC or HPLC.

Table 2.5: Entry 7
2.5 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 12.5 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in a scintillation vial equipped with stir bar. Oxaziridine $2(46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) of was then added. After 1 hour, no conversion was detected byTLC or HPLC.

## Table 2.5: Entry 8

2.5 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. Oxaziridine 2 ( 23 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was then added, followed $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ (by $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). After 1deoxygenated no conversion was detected byTLC or HPLC.

## Table 2.5: Entry 9

$2.3416 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 2.0 ml of DCM:DMF ( $9: 1$ ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. NCS ( $5.3 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml DCM and added dropwise over 5 minutes. HPLC monitoring revealed full converion to a peak mass consistent with sulfoxide 2.47.

Table 2.5: Entry 10
2.14 ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of $\mathrm{DCM}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $9: 1$ ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. ( $3.3 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.3$ eq.) of NCS was added and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After 1deoxygenated no conversion was detected byTLC or HPLC.

## Table 2.5: Entry 11

$2.34(13 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in 3.3 ml of dry MeCN and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. To this solution was added of 2,6 lutidine ( $8.1 \mu \mathrm{l}, 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). Stang's reagent ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.333$ eq. every $10 \mathrm{~min} ; 38 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mathrm{eq}$. total) was added every ten minutes over 3 hours. No product was detected, 4 and 3 extra equivlents of base and Stang's reagent were added respectively.

## Table 2.5: Entry 12

11.5 mg ( $11.5 \mathrm{mg} 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) of macrocycle 2.14 was dissolved in 3.5 ml of dry MeCN and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. To this solution was added 2,6 lutidine ( $8.1 \mu \mathrm{l}, 70 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 4.0$ eq.) followed by freshly prepared Stang's reagent ( $19.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.052 .5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). No product was detected by HPLC.

## Table 2.6: Experimental procedures.

## Table 2.6: Entry 1

2.7 (18.4 mg, $24 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of $\mathrm{MeCN}: \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1)$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $(12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added and the solution was stirred at ambient temperature overnight. No rearrangement product was observed after 16 hours.

## Table 2.6: Enrty 2

2.14 ( $11.5 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.35 ml of MeCN : $\mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$ ) in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ( $13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 5.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) of was added and the reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

Table 2.6: Enrty 3
2.14 ( 11.5 mg , $17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.35 ml of MeCN : $\mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$ ) in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. Polymer-bound $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0 \mathrm{eq})$ of was added and the reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

## Table 2.6: Enrty 4

2.14 ( 33 mg , $50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of MeCN : $\mathrm{MeOH}(3: 1)$ in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. The solution was freezed-pumped-thawed thrice and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{PBu}_{3}(20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \sim 55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$, with $\sim 25 \%$ oxide impurity) was added to the solution and the reaction was stirred. After 30 minutes 0.5 ml of DMF was added, along with $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{PBu}_{3}$. The reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

Table 2.6: Entry 5
2.5 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1.0 ml of acetone in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. DPPV ( 2.0 mg , $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of was added. Elemental sulfur ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) of was then added. After stirring at room temperature for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of DMF was added and the reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

Table 2.6: Entry 6
2.5 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of acetone in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. 2 DPPV (2.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ of was added. $16 \mathrm{mg}(0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0 \mathrm{eq})$ of Elemental sulfur was added. After stirring at room temperature for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of DMF was added and the reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

Table 2.6: Entry 7
2.5 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of toluene in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. DPPV ( 2.0 mg , $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(2.9 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added. Elemental sulfur ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.0$
eq) of was then added. After stirring at room temperature for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}, 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of DMF was added and the reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC after 12 hours.

## Table 2.6: Entry 8

To a two neck flask equipped with a reflux condenser was placed 2.5 ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}.), \mathrm{HRh}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(5.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Tol})_{3}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. These solids were dissolved in 0.5 ml of dry degassed acetone and 0.1 ml of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ stock solution ( 0.45 ml of 50 ml of MeCN ). The reaction was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. No rearrangement product was observed by HPLC.

## Scheme 2.6: Experimental procedures.

### 2.49

Was Prepared as descibed in literature. ${ }^{5}$
2.49: Two imine isomers present ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta \delta 8.50(\mathrm{~s}, 0.9 \mathrm{H}), 8.23-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}) 8.02-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.75-7.60 (m, 2H), $7.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrNOS}, 288.00$; found 287.7.

### 2.50:

2.49 ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 4.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 47 ml of dry THF in a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. The reaction was cooled to $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and benzylmagnesium chloride ( $9.5 \mathrm{ml}, 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $9.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added over 20 minutes. The reaction was kept at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours before warming to room temperature overnight. The reaction was poured into cold saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(100 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted thrice with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(100 \mathrm{ml})$ washed once with brine ( 150 ml ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo to furnish $853 \mathrm{mg}(48 \%)$ of an off-white crystalline powder. Crude NMR revealed a dr of 2:1. This tan solid was used directly in the next step without purifcation. Two rotamers+ diastereomers present ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz},\right)$ б 7.48-6.93 (m, 9H), 4.66-4.49 (m, 1H), 4.00-3.73 (br, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.34-2.97 (m, 2H), 1.50-1.28 (m, 2H), 1.24-1.08 (m, 9H). LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNOS}, 380.06$; found 379.9.

### 2.51

2.50 product ( $853 \mathrm{mg} 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 22 ml of MeOH in a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar and the reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}(2.24 \mathrm{ml}, 4 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4-dioxane, $8.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq.) was added, the reaction was warmed to room temperatue, and stirred for 2 hours. The solvent was removed and this product ( $611 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ yield) was used directly in the next step without purifcation.

### 2.52

N-hydroxysuccinimidyl-octanoate ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and Boc-DAP-OH ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) were dissolved in 12 ml of DMF and 4 ml of $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ in a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours before the reaction was diluted with 25 ml of water and the reaction was acidified with 2 N HCl until precipitation of product. The reaction was then extracted with 200 ml of EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ twice and brine twice. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and a crude NMR was taken. This product appeared as a gummy solid and was used directly in the next step without purifcation ( $57 \%$ yield). $2.52{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $)$ 86.60-6.42 (br, 1H), 6.14-5.96 (br, 1H), 4.26-4.18 (m, 1H), 3.90-3.70 (m, 1H), 2.23 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.71-1.55 (m, 2H), $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) 087 .(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ ). LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}, 602.25$; found 602.5 .

### 2.53:

HBTU (291.1, $1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.$) , 2.51(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.$) , and 2.52(508 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.2eq.) were dissolved in 3 ml of DMF in a round bottom flask equipped with a stir bar. $\operatorname{iPr} 2 \mathrm{NEt}(1.40 \mathrm{ml}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 30 ml ), washed thrice with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, thrice with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and thrice with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. This product appeared as a tan foam, was used directly in the next step without purification ( $67 \%$ yield). LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+, -Boc] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{BrN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} 488.19$; Found 488.2.

### 2.46:

2.45 product ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 6 ml of $1: 1$ DCM:TFA in a scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar. After 25 minutes the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in 2 ml of DMF, (L) N Boc Stertbutylthio cysteine ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.) and HTBU ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.) were then added. $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( $0.30 \mathrm{ml}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.5$ eq.) was added and reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 ml ), washed thrice with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, thrice with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and thrice with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. This product was directly dissolved in in 6 ml of 1:1 DCM:TFA. After 25 minutes the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolved in 2 ml of DMF, Boc Gly OH ( 65 mg ,
$0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and HTBU ( $141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.) were added. iPr2NEt ( $0.30 \mathrm{ml}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and reaction was stirred for 1.5 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted wiht EtOAc ( 10 ml ), washed thrice with NH 4 Cl , thrice with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and thrice with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the product charaterized by HPLC. 241 mg of product as a tan foam was obtained ( $94 \%$ ). LC-MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{BrN}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ ss 804.33; found 804.2.

### 2.55:

To a flask equipped with stir bar and reflux condenser was added 2.52 product ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ eq.), and E)-tert-butyldimethyl((3-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl )allyl)oxy)silane ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 035 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ eq.). These compounds were dissolved in 2.4 ml of $4: 1 \mathrm{THF}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the reaction was sparged with argon for 30 minutes. After this time $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added and the reaciton was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. After 12 hours the reaction was cooled, the THF was removed in vacuo, and the residue was partitioned between EtOAc and water. The aqueous layer was back extracted once with EtOAc and the combined organic layers were washed thrice with brine. The solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed in vacuo, the product charaterized by HPLC ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) and appeared as an off-white foam. LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{77} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SSi} 896.53$; found 896.9.

## Linear Precursor 2.56:

$\mathbf{2 . 5 5 ( 2 1 6 ~ \mathrm { mg } , ~} 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 1 ml of THF in a scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1 M TBAF in THF ( $1 \mathrm{ml}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.2$ eq.) was added. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour, after that time additional 1 M TBAF ( $0.5 \mathrm{ml}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. After 1.5 hours total time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed thrice with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, twice with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and twice with brine. The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude reaction was purified by silica gel column chromatagraphy (99:1->93: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ ), $R f=0.70$ (15:1 $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH} .108 \mathrm{mg}$ of a clear oil was obtained ( $57 \%$ ) and HPLC analysis reavealed the target mass. LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} 7 \mathrm{~s}$, 782.44; found 782.3.

All the material from the reaction above ( $108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1.4 ml of dry THF in a scintillation vial equipped with a stir bar. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and of N -methylmorpholine ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.276$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added, followed by isobutyl chloroformate ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.276 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). After 15 minutes the reaction was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and diluted with EtOAc. The organics layers were washed twice with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, twice with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatagraphy (200:1 ->95:1 CHCl3:MeOH), Rf $=0.65\left(25: 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: \mathrm{MeOH}.\right) 87 \mathrm{mg}$ of a gummy solid was obtained for $71 \%$ isolated yield.
2.56¹H NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta 7.37-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.81-4.70 (m, 2H), 4.42-4,4.32 (m, 2H), $3.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.3 .22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.3 .05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00$, (dd, J=13.0, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.90(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \quad\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right)\right.$ ס.171.9, 171.1, 169.2, 157.0, 155.4, 142.5, 138.0, 136.5, 134.0, 129.1, 128.5, 127.9, 126.5, 126.1, 125.3, 124.9, 123.0, $79.5,73.7,67.8,55.1,54.4,43.6,42.3,40.7,35.4,31.5,29.9,29.1,28.9,28.7,27.7,27.4,25.3,25.3,17.8,13.0$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O} 9 \mathrm{~s}, 882.50$; found 882.3.

## Macrocycle 2.57:

In a vial equipped with stir bar, 2.56 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 36 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 6.9 ml of nitromethane at room temperature. 0.72 ml of TFA ( $10 \mathrm{vol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. After this time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by preperative HPLC, affording 9.1 mg of $\mathbf{2 . 4 9}$ product ( $35 \%$ yield) as a white film.
2.57 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ 8.96-8.80 (m, 1H), 8.63-8.8.50 (m, 1H), 8.34-8.15 (m, 1H), 8.02-7.66 (br, 3H), 7.65-7.50 (m, 1H), 7.40-7.00 (m, 9H), $6.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.09-5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-4.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.82-4.47 (m, $2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.29-2.80 (m, 7H), 2.65-2.51 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.67 (m, 2H), 1.49-1.01 (m, 12H), 0.90-0.72 (m, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR 173.0, $169.9,169.8,166.6,144.0,139.4,138.0,133.1,129.5,128.7,128.6,128.5,126.5,125.1,123.2,54.4,53.3,52.6,35.5$, 32.9, 32.5, 31.7, 29.2, 29.0, 25.5, 22.6, 14.4. HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}, 608.32$; found 608.3

## Macrocycle 2.58:

In a vial equipped with stir bar, 2.56 ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 10.9 ml of nitromethane at room temperature. 1.8 ml of TFA (10 vol\%) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. After this time the solvent was removed in vacuo, the residue was redissolved in 0.5 ml of DMF, and cooled $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. mCPBA ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.4$ eq.) was added and the reaction was stirred for 45 minutes. After this time the reaction quenched with DMS, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified by preperative HPLC, affording 30.1 mg of 2.50 product ( $63 \%$ yield) as a white film. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $8.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.90-7.84 (m, 5H), $7.72(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
7.22-7.15 (m, 2H), 7.11, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), $6.79(d, J=15.9 H z, 1 H), 6.40-6.31(m, 1 H), 5.03-4.93(m, 1 H), 4.53(q, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) $\delta 173.7,169.7,168.5,166.6,144.4$, $139.4,138.6,136.7,133.8,133.1,131.1,129.5,129.3,128.5,128.4,59.3,54.7,53.3,50.3,42.0,35.6,31.7,29.1,29.0$, 25.5, 22.6, 14.4 HRMS-ESI (m/z); [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}, 640.31$; found 640.3.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound 2.4 (MeOD -d4, 125 MHz )
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COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.5 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


$$
\text { TOCSY spectrum of macrcocycle } 2.5 \text { (DMSO-d6, } 600 \mid \mathbf{M H z})
$$






Chemical details

| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal details |  |
| Space group | P $2122_{1}$ (19) |
| Unit cell | $\begin{aligned} & \boldsymbol{a} 5.31100(10) \AA \text { 号 } 23.6454(5) \AA \boldsymbol{c} 26.0025(6) \AA \\ & \boldsymbol{\alpha} 90^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\beta} 90^{\circ} \gamma 90^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Cell volume | 3265.41 |
| Reduced cell | $\boldsymbol{a} 5.311 \AA$ ह $23.645 \AA$ A $26.003 \AA$ <br> a $90.000^{\circ} \boldsymbol{\beta} 90.000^{\circ} \gamma 90.000^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathbf{Z , ~} \mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$ | 4,1 |
| Habit | block |
| Disorder | $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 16, \mathrm{C} 17, \mathrm{C} 18, \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 16 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C} 17 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C} 18 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C} 1 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ disordered ov occupancies 0.511:0.489; C15,C32,O1,S2 and C15A,C32A,O1A,S2A disorder with occupancies 0.806:0.194. |
| Colour | colorless |
| Experimental details |  |
| R-factor (\%) | 3.34 |
| Temperature (K) | 100 |
| Density (CCDC) | 1.29544 |



## Labeled Heavy Atoms 2.5 Crystal Structure

Atoms List of 2.5 Crystal Structure

| Number | Label | Charge | Sybyltype | Xfrac + ESD | Yfrac + ESD | Zfrac + ESD | Symm. op. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | S1 | 0 | S. 3 | 0.28625 (16) | 0.57473 (3) | 0.84069 (3) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 2 | S2 | 0 | S. 3 | 0.34267 (16) | 0.49802 (3) | 0.87610 (3) | $x, y, z$ |
| 3 | 01 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.6941 (9) | 0.52085 (19) | 0.50154 (13) | $x, y, z$ |
| 4 | 02 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2956 (4) | 0.40596(8) | $0.60457(7)$ | $x, y, z$ |
| 5 | 03 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.9304 (4) | 0.42421 (8) | 0.73606 (7) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 6 | 04 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.4050 (4) | $0.34800(10)$ | $0.84994(10)$ | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 7 | N1 | 0 | N. am | 0.6597 (4) | 0.40843 (8) | 0.64832 (8) | $x, y, z$ |
| 8 | H1N | 0 | H | 0.8245 | 0.4123 | 0.6476 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 9 | N2 | 0 | N. am | 0.5795 (5) | 0.42301 (10) | 0.78617 (9) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 10 | H2 | 0 | H | 0.4148 | 0.4269 | 0.7851 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 11 | N3 | 0 | N. am | $0.7153(5)$ | 0.35577 (9) | 0.90792 (9) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 12 | N4 | 0 | N. am | 0.8766 (4) | 0.45781 (9) | 0.55668 (8) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 13 | H4N | 0 | H | 1.0070 | 0.4498 | 0.5762 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 14 | C1 | 0 | C. 2 | $0.809(2)$ | 0.5857 (4) | 0.6498 (5) | $x, y, z$ |
| 15 | H1 | 0 | H | 0.7997 | 0.5482 | 0.6627 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 16 | C2 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.6315 (16) | 0.6242 (5) | 0.6689 (3) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 17 | C3 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.648 (2) | 0.6794 (4) | 0.6525 (4) | $x, y, z$ |
| 18 | H3 | 0 | H | 0.5327 | 0.7077 | 0.6635 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 19 | C4 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.8211 (7) | 0.68978 (15) | 0.62258 (12) | $x, y, z$ |
| 20 | H4 | 0 | H | 0.8353 | 0.7284 | 0.6128 | $x, y, z$ |
| 21 | C5 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.9992 (6) | 0.65414 (12) | 0.60079 (11) | $x, y, z$ |
| 22 | H5 | 0 | H | 1.1195 | 0.6691 | 0.5774 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 23 | C6 | 0 | C. 2 | $1.0038(5)$ | 0.59704 (12) | 0.61274 (11) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 24 | C7 | 0 | C. 3 | 1.1919 (6) | 0.55857 (12) | 0.58757 (12) | $x, y, z$ |
| 25 | H7A | 0 | H | 1.2118 | 0.5241 | 0.6088 | $x, y, z$ |
| 26 | H7B | 0 | H | 1.3570 | 0.5779 | 0.5861 | $x, y, z$ |
| 27 | C8 | 0 | C. 3 | 1.1140 (5) | 0.54118 (12) | 0.53267 (12) | $x, y, z$ |
| 28 | H8A | 0 | H | 1.0926 | 0.5758 | 0.5117 | $x, y, z$ |
| 29 | H8B | 0 | H | 1.2523 | 0.5189 | 0.5172 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 30 | C9 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.8747 (5) | 0.50700 (11) | 0.52980 (11) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 31 | C10 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.6693 (5) | 0.41835 (10) | 0.55391 (10) | $x, y, z$ |
| 32 | H10 | 0 | H | 0.5464 | 0.4338 | 0.5284 | $x, y, z$ |
| 33 | C11 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.5285 (5) | $0.41093(10)$ | 0.60447 (10) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 34 | C12 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.5324 (5) | 0.39933 (11) | 0.69710 (10) | $x, y, z$ |
| 35 | H12 | 0 | H | 0.3803 | 0.4242 | 0.6979 | $x, y, z$ |
| 36 | C13 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.7035 (5) | $0.41701(10)$ | 0.74114 (10) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 37 | C14 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.7013 (6) | 0.42350 (11) | 0.83640 (10) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 38 | H14 | 0 | H | 0.8849 | 0.4165 | 0.8308 | $x, y, z$ |
| 39 | C15 | 0 | C. 3 | $0.6758(7)$ | $0.48032(14)$ | 0.86461 (14) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 40 | H15A | 0 | H | 0.7549 | 0.5105 | 0.8438 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 41 | H15B | 0 | H | 0.7656 | 0.4783 | 0.8979 | $x, y, z$ |
| 42 | C16 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.206 (4) | 0.5540 (10) | 0.7711 (6) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 43 | H16A | 0 | H | 0.1530 | 0.5138 | 0.7703 | $x, y, z$ |
| 44 | H16B | 0 | H | 0.0621 | 0.5772 | 0.7590 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 45 | C17 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.4249 (13) | 0.5621 (3) | 0.7352 (3) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 46 | H17 | 0 | H | 0.5558 | 0.5348 | 0.7339 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 47 | C18 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.4352 (12) | 0.6079 (3) | 0.7051 (2) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 48 | H18 | 0 | H | 0.2963 | 0.6331 | 0.7077 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 49 | C19 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.7582 (6) | $0.36058(11)$ | 0.53336 (10) | $x, y, z$ |
| 50 | H19A | 0 | H | 0.8906 | 0.3456 | 0.5564 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 51 | H19B | 0 | H | 0.6150 | 0.3338 | 0.5341 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 52 | C20 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.8620 (6) | 0.36353 (12) | 0.47862 (11) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 53 | H20 | 0 | H | 1.0046 | 0.3911 | 0.4786 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 54 | C21 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.9662 (8) | $0.30638(14)$ | 0.46244 (14) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 55 | H21A | 0 | H | 0.8285 | 0.2789 | 0.4605 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 56 | H21B | 0 | H | 1.0906 | 0.2936 | 0.4877 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 57 | H21C | 0 | H | 1.0466 | 0.3098 | 0.4287 | $x, y, z$ |


| 58 | C22 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.6663 (7) | 0.38435 (14) | $0.44009(11)$ | x,y,z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 59 | H22A | 0 | H | 0.7364 | 0.3825 | 0.4053 | $x, y, z$ |
| 60 | H22B | 0 | H | 0.6207 | 0.4235 | 0.4481 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 61 | H22C | 0 | H | 0.5160 | 0.3604 | 0.4421 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 62 | C23 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.4425 (5) | 0.33724 (11) | 0.70354 (11) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 63 | H23A | 0 | H | 0.3489 | 0.3339 | 0.7363 | $x, y, z$ |
| 64 | H23B | 0 | H | 0.3243 | 0.3281 | 0.6753 | $x, y, z$ |
| 65 | C2 4 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.6530 (5) | 0.29448 (10) | 0.70339 (10) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 66 | C25 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.7379 (6) | $0.27059(11)$ | $0.65822(12)$ | $x, y, z$ |
| 67 | H25 | 0 | H | 0.6601 | 0.2808 | 0.6266 | $x, y, z$ |
| 68 | C26 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.9335 (7) | 0.23224 (12) | $0.65799(15)$ | $x, y, z$ |
| 69 | H26 | 0 | H | 0.9893 | 0.2164 | 0.6264 | $x, y, z$ |
| 70 | C27 | 0 | C. 2 | 1.0485 (7) | 0.21672 (13) | 0.70349 (16) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 71 | H27 | 0 | H | 1.1830 | 0.1902 | 0.7035 | $x, y, z$ |
| 72 | C28 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.9661 (7) | $0.24001(13)$ | $0.74861(14)$ | $x, y, z$ |
| 73 | H2 8 | 0 | H | 1.0445 | 0.2296 | 0.7801 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 74 | C29 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.7702 (6) | 0.27841 (12) | 0.74900 (11) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 75 | H29 | 0 | H | 0.7149 | 0.2940 | 0.7807 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 76 | C30 | 0 | C. 2 | 0.5946 (6) | 0.37199 (13) | $0.86552(12)$ | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 77 | C31 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.6299 (6) | 0.30514 (15) | 0.93542 (15) | $x, y, z$ |
| 78 | H31A | 0 | H | 0.5994 | 0.2735 | 0.9112 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 79 | H31B | 0 | H | 0.4731 | 0.3129 | 0.9548 | $x, y, z$ |
| 80 | C32 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.8505 (9) | 0.29107 (17) | 0.97264 (19) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 81 | H32A | 0 | H | 0.7873 | 0.2754 | 1.0055 | $x, y, z$ |
| 82 | H32B | 0 | H | 0.9690 | 0.2637 | 0.9569 | $x, y, z$ |
| 83 | C33 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.9743 (7) | 0.34800 (13) | 0.98062 (15) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 84 | H33A | 0 | H | 0.8871 | 0.3695 | 1.0080 | $x, y, z$ |
| 85 | H33B | 0 | H | 1.1533 | 0.3433 | 0.9904 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 86 | C34 | 0 | C. 3 | 0.9519 (7) | $0.37792(13)$ | 0.92974 (12) | $x, y, z$ |
| 87 | H34A | 0 | H | 0.9426 | 0.4194 | 0.9346 | $x, y, z$ |
| 88 | H34B | 0 | H | 1.0967 | 0.3690 | 0.9072 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 89 | S2A | 0 | S. 3 | 0.5891 (8) | 0.53888 (17) | 0.84246 (17) | $x, y, z$ |
| 90 | 01A | 0 | 0.3 | 0.687 (4) | 0.5300 (8) | 0.5211 (6) | $x, y, z$ |
| 91 | C1A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.847 (2) | 0.5685 (4) | 0.6463 (4) | $x, y, z$ |
| 92 | H1A | 0 | H | 0.8598 | 0.5288 | 0.6513 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 93 | C2A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.6682 (18) | 0.6012 (4) | 0.6725 (4) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 94 | C3A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.6476 (16) | 0.6573 (5) | 0.6609 (3) | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 95 | H3A | 0 | H | 0.5177 | 0.6781 | 0.6774 | $x, y, z$ |
| 96 | C15A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.508(4) | 0.4699 (7) | 0.8636 (6) | $x, y, z$ |
| 97 | H15C | 0 | H | 0.5223 | 0.4676 | 0.9015 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 98 | H15D | 0 | H | 0.3317 | 0.4613 | 0.8540 | $x, y, z$ |
| 99 | C16A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.179 (5) | 0.5643 (11) | 0.7776 (8) | $x, y, z$ |
| 100 | H16C | 0 | H | 0.1819 | 0.5234 | 0.7694 | $x, y, z$ |
| 101 | H16D | 0 | H | 0.0022 | 0.5775 | 0.7748 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 102 | C17A | 0 | C. 3 | $0.3399(15)$ | 0.5959 (4) | 0.7396 (3) | $x, y, z$ |
| 103 | H17A | 0 | H | 0.3225 | 0.6359 | 0.7379 | $x, y, z$ |
| 104 | C18A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.4987 (14) | 0.5725 (4) | 0.7095 (3) | $x, y, z$ |
| 105 | H18A | 0 | H | 0.5092 | 0.5324 | 0.7109 | $x, y, z$ |
| 106 | H31C | 0 | H | 0.4471 | 0.3064 | 0.9428 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 107 | H31D | 0 | H | 0.6704 | 0.2701 | 0.9163 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 108 | C32A | 0 | C. 3 | 0.756 (4) | 0.3097 (9) | 0.9747 (9) | $x, y, z$ |
| 109 | H32C | 0 | H | 0.8183 | 0.2713 | 0.9827 | $x, y, z$ |
| 110 | H32D | 0 | H | 0.6366 | 0.3199 | 1.0024 | $x, y, z$ |
| 111 | H33C | 0 | H | 1.1349 | 0.3271 | 0.9839 | $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$ |
| 112 | H33D | 0 | H | 0.9541 | 0.3741 | 1.0101 | $x, y, z$ |

## Bond List for 2.5 Cysrtal Structure

| Number | Atom1 | Atom2 | Type | Polymeric | Cyclicity | Length SybylType |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S1 | S2 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $2.056(1)$ | 1 |


| 2 | S1 | C16 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.92 (2) | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | S2 | C15 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.842(4) | 1 |
| 4 | 01 | C9 | Unknown | no | acyclic | $1.252(5)$ | 2 |
| 5 | 02 | C11 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.243(3) | 2 |
| 6 | 03 | C13 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.224(3) | 2 |
| 7 | 04 | C30 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.225(4) | 2 |
| 8 | N1 | H1N | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.880 1 |  |
| 9 | N1 | C11 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.338 (3) | un |
| 10 | N1 | C12 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.453(3) | 1 |
| 11 | N2 | H2 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.880 | 1 |
| 12 | N2 | C13 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.351 (4) | un |
| 13 | N2 | C14 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.458(4) | 1 |
| 14 | N3 | C30 | Unknown | no | acyclic | $1.332(4)$ | un |
| 15 | N3 | C31 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.466(4) | 1 |
| 16 | N3 | C34 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.475(4) | 1 |
| 17 | N4 | H4N | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.879 | 1 |
| 18 | N4 | C9 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.357 (3) | un |
| 19 | N4 | C10 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.445 (3) | 1 |
| 20 | C1 | H1 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.95 | 1 |
| 21 | C1 | C2 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.40 (1) | un |
| 22 | C1 | C6 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.44 (1) | un |
| 23 | C2 | C3 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.38 (1) | un |
| 24 | C2 | C18 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.46 (1) | un |
| 25 | C3 | H3 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.95 | 1 |
| 26 | C3 | C4 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.23 (1) | un |
| 27 | C4 | H4 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.951 | 1 |
| 28 | C4 | C5 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.388(5) | un |
| 29 | C5 | H5 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.950 | 1 |
| 30 | C5 | C6 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.386(4) | un |
| 31 | C6 | C7 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.501(4) | 1 |
| 32 | C7 | H7A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 33 | C7 | H7B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 34 | C7 | C8 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.542(4) | 1 |
| 35 | C8 | H8A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 36 | C8 | H8B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.989 | 1 |
| 37 | C8 | C9 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.508(4) | 1 |
| 38 | C10 | H10 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.000 | 1 |
| 39 | C10 | C11 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.523(4) | 1 |
| 40 | C10 | C19 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.541(4) | 1 |
| 41 | C12 | H12 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.999 | 1 |
| 42 | C12 | C13 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.520(4) | 1 |
| 43 | C12 | C23 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.553(4) | 1 |
| 44 | C14 | H14 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.000 | 1 |
| 45 | C14 | C15 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.537(4) | 1 |
| 46 | C14 | C30 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.542(4) | 1 |
| 47 | C15 | H15A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.989 | 1 |
| 48 | C15 | H15B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.989 | 1 |
| 49 | C16 | H16A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.99 | 1 |
| 50 | C16 | H16B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.99 | 1 |
| 51 | C16 | C17 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.50 (2) | 1 |
| 52 | C17 | H17 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.949 | 1 |
| 53 | C17 | C18 | Unknown | no | cyclic | 1.34 (1) | un |
| 54 | C18 | H18 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.951 | 1 |
| 55 | C19 | H19A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.989 | 1 |
| 56 | C19 | H19B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 57 | C19 | C20 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.528(4) | 1 |
| 58 | C20 | H20 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.999 | 1 |
| 59 | C20 | C21 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.520 (5) | 1 |
| 60 | C20 | C22 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 1.525(4) | 1 |
| 61 | C21 | H21A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.980 | 1 |
| 62 | C21 | H21B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.979 | 1 |
| 63 | C21 | H21C | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.979 | 1 |


| 64 | C22 | H22A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.979 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 65 | C22 | H22B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.979 | 1 |
| 66 | C22 | H22C | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.980 | 1 |
| 67 | C23 | H23A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.989 | 1 |
| 68 | C23 | H23B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 69 | C23 | C24 | Unknown | no | acyclic | $1.507(4)$ | 1 |
| 70 | C24 | C25 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.379(4)$ | un |
| 71 | C24 | C29 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.392(4)$ | un |
| 72 | C25 | H25 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.951 | 1 |
| 73 | C25 | C26 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.379(4)$ | un |
| 74 | C26 | H26 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.9501 |  |
| 75 | C26 | C27 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.381(6)$ | un |
| 76 | C27 | H27 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.951 | 1 |
| 77 | C27 | C28 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.368(5)$ | un |
| 78 | C28 | H28 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.951 | 1 |
| 79 | C28 | C29 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.381(5)$ | un |
| 80 | C29 | H29 | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.950 | 1 |
| 81 | C31 | H31A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.991 | 1 |
| 82 | C31 | H31B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.991 | 1 |
| 83 | C31 | C32 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.556(6)$ | 1 |
| 84 | C32 | H32A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 85 | C32 | H32B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.991 | 1 |
| 86 | C32 | C33 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.512(5)$ | 1 |
| 87 | C33 | H33A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 88 | C33 | H33B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 89 | C33 | C34 | Unknown | no | cyclic | $1.505(5)$ | 1 |
| 90 | C34 | H34A | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |
| 91 | C34 | H34B | Unknown | no | acyclic | 0.990 | 1 |

## Angle List for 2.5 crystal structure

| Number | Atom1 | Atom2 | Atom3 | Angle |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | S2 | S1 | C16 | $103.2(6)$ |
| 2 | S1 | S2 | C15 | $105.5(1)$ |
| 3 | H1N | N1 | C11 | 119.7 |
| 4 | H1N | N1 | C12 | 119.8 |
| 5 | C11 | N1 | C12 | $120.5(2)$ |
| 6 | H2 | N2 | C13 | 117.9 |
| 7 | H2 | N2 | C14 | 117.9 |
| 8 | C13 | N2 | C14 | $124.1(2)$ |
| 9 | C30 | N3 | C31 | $119.3(3)$ |
| 10 | C30 | N3 | C34 | $128.8(3)$ |
| 11 | C31 | N3 | C34 | $111.5(2)$ |
| 12 | H4N | N4 | C9 | 119.2 |
| 13 | H4N | N4 | C10 | 119.3 |
| 14 | C9 | N4 | C10 | $121.5(2)$ |
| 15 | H1 | C1 | C2 | 117 |
| 16 | H1 | C1 | C6 | 117 |
| 17 | C2 | C1 | C6 | $126.9(9)$ |
| 18 | C1 | C2 | C3 | $117.6(9)$ |
| 19 | C1 | C2 | C18 | $122.6(8)$ |
| 20 | C3 | C2 | C18 | $119.8(8)$ |
| 21 | C2 | C3 | H3 | 122. |
| 22 | C2 | C3 | C4 | $115.7(9)$ |
| 23 | H3 | C3 | C4 | 122.9 |
| 24 | C3 | C4 | H4 | 114.9 |
| 25 | C3 | C4 | C5 | $130.3(6)$ |
| 26 | H4 | C4 | C5 | 114.8 |
| 27 | C4 | C5 | H5 | 119.6 |
| 28 | C4 | C5 | C6 | $120.8(3)$ |
| 29 | H5 | C5 | C6 | 119.6 |
| 30 | C1 | C6 | C5 | $108.6(5)$ |


| 31 | C1 | C6 | C7 | 131.1(5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 120.3(3) |
| 33 | C6 | C7 | H7A | 109.1 |
| 34 | C6 | C7 | H7B | 109.1 |
| 35 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 112.7(2) |
| 36 | H7A | C7 | H7B | 107.9 |
| 37 | H7A | C7 | C8 | 109.0 |
| 38 | H7B | C7 | C8 | 109.0 |
| 39 | C7 | C8 | H8A | 108.7 |
| 40 | C7 | C8 | H8B | 108.6 |
| 41 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 114.5(2) |
| 42 | H8A | C8 | H8B | 107.6 |
| 43 | H8A | C8 | C9 | 108.6 |
| 44 | H8B | C8 | C9 | 108.7 |
| 45 | 01 | C9 | N4 | 122.2(3) |
| 46 | 01 | C9 | C8 | 122.3(3) |
| 47 | N4 | C9 | C8 | 115.3(2) |
| 48 | N4 | C10 | H10 | 107.1 |
| 49 | N4 | C10 | C11 | 113.9(2) |
| 50 | N4 | C10 | C19 | 110.9(2) |
| 51 | H10 | C10 | C11 | 107.1 |
| 52 | H10 | C10 | C19 | 107.1 |
| 53 | C11 | C10 | C19 | 110.4(2) |
| 54 | 02 | C11 | N1 | 120.8(2) |
| 55 | 02 | C11 | C10 | 120.1(2) |
| 56 | N1 | C11 | C10 | 119.0(2) |
| 57 | N1 | C12 | H12 | 107.9 |
| 58 | N1 | C12 | C13 | 109.8(2) |
| 59 | N1 | C12 | C23 | 112.2(2) |
| 60 | H12 | C12 | C13 | 107.8 |
| 61 | H12 | C12 | C23 | 107.8 |
| 62 | C13 | C12 | C23 | 111.3(2) |
| 63 | 03 | C13 | N2 | 124.0(2) |
| 64 | 03 | C13 | C12 | 123.0 (2) |
| 65 | N2 | C13 | C12 | 113.0(2) |
| 66 | N2 | C14 | H14 | 107.5 |
| 67 | N2 | C14 | C15 | 113.3(2) |
| 68 | N2 | C14 | C30 | 105.7(2) |
| 69 | H14 | C14 | C15 | 107.5 |
| 70 | H14 | C14 | C30 | 107.4 |
| 71 | C15 | C14 | C30 | 115.1(2) |
| 72 | S2 | C15 | C14 | 111.1(2) |
| 73 | S2 | C15 | H15A | 109.4 |
| 74 | S2 | C15 | H15B | 109.4 |
| 75 | C14 | C15 | H15A | 109.4 |
| 76 | C14 | C15 | H15B | 109.4 |
| 77 | H15A | C15 | H15B | 108.0 |
| 78 | S1 | C16 | H16A | 109 |
| 79 | S1 | C16 | H16B | 109 |
| 80 | S1 | C16 | C17 | 112 (1) |
| 81 | H16A | C16 | H16B | 108 |
| 82 | H16A | C16 | C17 | 109 |
| 83 | H16B | C16 | C17 | 109 |
| 84 | C16 | C17 | H17 | 120 |
| 85 | C16 | C17 | C18 | 120 (1) |
| 86 | H17 | C17 | C18 | 120.0 |
| 87 | C2 | C18 | C17 | 128.4(7) |
| 88 | C2 | C18 | H18 | 115.8 |
| 89 | C17 | C18 | H18 | 115.7 |
| 90 | C10 | C19 | H19A | 109.0 |
| 91 | C10 | C19 | H19B | 109.0 |
| 92 | C10 | C19 | C20 | 113.1(2) |


| 93 | H19A | C19 | H19B | 107.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94 | H19A | C19 | C20 | 108.9 |
| 95 | H19B | C19 | C20 | 108.9 |
| 96 | C19 | C20 | H20 | 107.7 |
| 97 | C19 | C20 | C21 | 110.4(3) |
| 98 | C19 | C20 | C22 | 112.4(2) |
| 99 | H20 | C20 | C21 | 107.7 |
| 100 | H20 | C20 | C22 | 107.8 |
| 101 | C21 | C20 | C22 | 110.7(3) |
| 102 | C20 | C21 | H21A | 109.4 |
| 103 | C20 | C21 | H21B | 109.5 |
| 104 | C20 | C21 | H21C | 109.5 |
| 105 | H21A | C21 | H21B | 109.5 |
| 106 | H21A | C21 | H21C | 109.5 |
| 107 | H21B | C21 | H21C | 109.4 |
| 108 | C20 | C22 | H22A | 109.5 |
| 109 | C20 | C22 | H22B | 109.5 |
| 110 | C20 | C22 | H22C | 109.5 |
| 111 | H22A | C22 | H22B | 109.4 |
| 112 | H22A | C22 | H22C | 109.5 |
| 113 | H22B | C22 | H22C | 109.5 |
| 114 | C12 | C23 | H23A | 108.8 |
| 115 | C12 | C23 | H23B | 108.7 |
| 116 | C12 | C23 | C24 | 113.9(2) |
| 117 | H23A | C23 | H23B | 107.6 |
| 118 | H23A | C23 | C24 | 108.7 |
| 119 | H23B | C23 | C24 | 108.8 |
| 120 | C23 | C24 | C25 | 121.3(2) |
| 121 | C23 | C24 | C29 | 120.8(2) |
| 122 | C25 | C24 | C29 | 117.9(2) |
| 123 | C24 | C25 | H25 | 119.3 |
| 124 | C24 | C25 | C26 | 121.3(3) |
| 125 | H25 | C25 | C26 | 119.4 |
| 126 | C25 | C26 | H26 | 119.9 |
| 127 | C25 | C26 | C27 | 120.3(3) |
| 128 | H26 | C26 | C27 | 119.9 |
| 129 | C26 | C27 | H27 | 120.5 |
| 130 | C26 | C27 | C28 | 119.1(3) |
| 131 | H27 | C27 | C28 | 120.4 |
| 132 | C27 | C28 | H28 | 119.6 |
| 133 | C27 | C28 | C29 | 120.8(3) |
| 134 | H28 | C28 | C29 | 119.6 |
| 135 | C24 | C29 | C28 | 120.7(3) |
| 136 | C24 | C29 | H29 | 119.7 |
| 137 | C28 | C29 | H29 | 119.6 |
| 138 | 04 | C30 | N3 | 122.4(3) |
| 139 | 04 | C30 | C14 | 120.4(3) |
| 140 | N3 | C30 | C14 | 117.2(3) |
| 141 | N3 | C31 | H31A | 110.9 |
| 142 | N3 | C31 | H31B | 110.9 |
| 143 | N3 | C31 | C32 | 104.2(3) |
| 144 | H31A | C31 | H31B | 109.0 |
| 145 | H31A | C31 | C32 | 110.9 |
| 146 | H31B | C31 | C32 | 110.9 |
| 147 | C31 | C32 | H32A | 111.2 |
| 148 | C31 | C32 | H32B | 111.2 |
| 149 | C31 | C32 | C33 | 102.9(3) |
| 150 | H32A | C32 | H32B | 109.1 |
| 151 | H32A | C32 | C33 | 111.2 |
| 152 | H32B | C32 | C33 | 111.2 |
| 153 | C32 | C33 | H33A | 110.6 |
| 154 | C32 | C33 | H33B | 110.7 |


| 155 | C32 | C33 | C34 | $105.3(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 156 | H33A | C33 | H33B | 108.8 |
| 157 | H33A | C33 | C34 | 110.7 |
| 158 | H33B | C33 | C34 | 110.8 |
| 159 | N3 | C34 | C33 | $103.8(3)$ |
| 160 | N3 | C34 | H34A | 111.0 |
| 161 | N3 | C34 | H34B | 111.0 |
| 162 | C33 | C34 | H34A | 110.9 |
| 163 | C33 | C34 | H34B | 111.0 |
| 164 | H34A | C34 | H34B | 109.0 |

2.5254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control
Column Flow : $\quad 15.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
Stoptime 20.00 min

Posttime
Off

PressureLimits
Minimum Pressure : 0 bar
Maximum Pressure : 400 bar
Auxiliary
Flow Ramp : $800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2$
Compressibility : 75*10^-6/bar

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 65.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 65.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 95.0 | 18.000 |
| 16.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 20.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |





TOCSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.7 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


HSQC spectrum of macrcocycle 2.7 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


NOESY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.7 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


Compressibility : 75*10^-6/bar

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 80.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 10.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |
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COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.9 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


TOCSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.9 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


HSQC spectrum of macrcocycle 2.9 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


HMBC spectrum of macrcocycle 2.9 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


2.9254 nm hplc trace

SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aressure |  |  |
| 0.00 | 80.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 10.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 18.000 |  |









2.13254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 40.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 2.00 | 40.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 8.00 | 65.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 14.00 | 40.0 | 15.000 | 400 |


${ }^{13}$ C NMR of compound 2.14 (MeOD -d4, 125 MHz )
$\varepsilon 6^{\circ} \mathrm{GT}=$

$\qquad$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR of macrcocycle 2.15 (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz )



| 220 | 200 | 180 | 160 | 140 | 120 | 100 | 80 | 60 | 40 | 20 | ppm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2.15254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 30.0 | 12.000 |
| 0.50 | 30.0 | 12.000 |
| 11.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 11.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 13.00 | 30.0 | 18.000 |





$\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\circ} 9 G T$
ZL. $\angle 9 T$
GG $0 \angle T$
$9 L \cdot T \angle T$
$6 \varepsilon \cdot Z L T$
2.17254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control

| Column Flow | $:$ | $15.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Stoptime | $:$ | 12.00 min |
| Posttime | $:$ | Off |

Posttime
Off
Solvents
Solvent A : 40.0 \% (Water)
Solvent B : $\quad 60.0 \%$ (Organic)
Auxiliary
Flow Ramp : $800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2$
Compressibility : 75*10^-6/bar

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 60.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 60.0 | 18.000 |
| 10.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 11.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |

2.8254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow | Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 60.0 | 10.000 |  |
| 2.00 | 60.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 10.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 11.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 12.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |  |









2.22254 nm hplc trace

SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column



2.23254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 100.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 10.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 11.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |





2.25254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Agilent 1100/1200 Gradient Prep Pump

Control

| Column Flow | $:$ | $15.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stoptime | $:$ | 14.00 min |
| Posttime | $:$ | Off |

Solvents
Solvent A : 28.0 \% (Water)

Solvent B : $72.0 \%$ (Organic)

Timetable

| Time | Solv. B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \|------- | Pressure |  |
| 0.00 | 72.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 72.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.00 | 90.0 | 18.000 |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |


2.26254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column






| atom | 13C | 1H | Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 32.4 | 3.33 (m, 2H, overlap/ water) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2->1 COSY } \\ & \text { 2->HMBC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 132.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.50,(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | key |
| 3 | 123.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.5,7.6 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2->3 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 2->3 \mathrm{COSY} \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 136.0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3->4 HMBC } \\ & 2->4 \text { HMBC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 121.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 | 127.6 | 7.05 (m, 1H) | 5->6 HMBC |
| 7 | 129.2 | 7.05 (m, 1H) | 5-> 7 HMBC |
| 8 | 141.0 |  | 11->8 HMBC |
| 9 | 125.8 | 6.94 (s, 1H) |  |
| 10 | 28.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.02(\mathrm{t}, J=13.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9->10 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 7->10 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 32.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10->11 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 10->11 \mathrm{COSY} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 12 | 171.2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11->12 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 14->12 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 14->13 COSY |
| 14 | 52.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,9.4 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | key |
| 15 | 41.2 | $1.41-1.23$ (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & 14->15 \mathrm{COSY} \\ & 14->15 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 16 | 24.2 | 1.53-1.42 (m, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & 15->16 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 15->16 \mathrm{COSY} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 22.9 | 0.85 (d, J = 6.4, 3H) | 16->17 COSY |


|  |  |  | 16->17 HMBC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 21.0 | 0.76 (d, J = 6.4, 3H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16->18 COSY } \\ & 16->18 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | 171.1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14->19 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 21->19 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 21->20 COSY |
| 21 | 52.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.4 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | key |
| 22 | 38.2 | 2.95 (dd, $J=13.5,5.1$ <br> $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79$ (m, <br> overlap, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21->22 HMBC } \\ & 21->22 \mathrm{COSY} \end{aligned}$ |
| 23 | 136.4 |  | 21->23 HMBC |
| 24 | 126.9 | 7.20 (m, overlap, 2H) | 21-> 24 HMBC |
| 25 | 126.0 | 7.20 (m, overlap, 2H) | 21->25 HMBC |
| 26 | 129.2 | 7.06 (m, 1H overlap, 1H) | $23->26$ HMBC |
| 27 | 167.4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 21->27 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 29->27 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 28 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | COSY 29->28 |
| 29 | 48.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 30 | 167.7 |  | 35->30 HMBC |
| 31 | 45.4 | $3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33$ (m, 1H, overlap w/ water) |  |
| 32 | 23.7 | 1.81 (m, 2H, overlap) |  |
| 33 | 25.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 34 | 45.8 | 3.33 (m, 2H, overlap w/ water) |  |
| 35 | 29.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, \text { overlap 1H) } \\ & 2.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3,2.5 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 1->35 HMBC |

COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.28 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


TOCSY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.28 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )



HMBC spectrum of macrcocycle 2.28 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


NOSEY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.28 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )

2.28254 nm hplc trace

SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
19x250mm column


Control

| Column Flow | $:$ | $15.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stoptime | $:$ | 14.00 min |
| Posttime | $:$ | Off |

Solvents
Solvent A : 30.0 \% (Water)
Solvent B : 70.0 \% (Organic)
PressureLimits
Minimum Pressure : 0 bar
Maximum Pressure : 400 bar

Auxiliary

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { Flow Ramp } & : & 800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2 \\
\text { Compressibility } & : & 75 * 10^{\wedge}-6 / \mathrm{bar}
\end{array}
$$

Timetable

\[

\]




${ }^{13}$ C NMR of macrcocycle 2.30 (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz )



HSQC spectrum of macrcocycle 2.30 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )



2. 30254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
19x250mm column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 45.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 45.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 16.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 20.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of macrcocycle 2.32 (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz )






|  | 13C | 1H |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 32.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2->1 \text { HMBC } \\ & 3->1 \text { HMBC } \\ & 2->1 \text { cosy } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 125.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.92(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7,7.7 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3->2 \text { HMBC } \\ & 3->2 \text { COSY } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 132.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | Key |
| 4 | 136.2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2->4 HMBC } \\ & 3->4 \text { HMBC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | 123.0 | 7.17 (m, 1H) | 6->5 COSY |
| 6 | 127.8 | 7.18 (m, 1H) | 7->6 COSY |
| 7 | 127.4 | 7.03 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1) | 9-> 7 HMBC |
| 8 | 140.9 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9->8 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 7->8 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | 125.8 | 7.10 (s, 1H) | key |
| 10 | 30.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9->10 HMBC } \\ & \text { 7-> } 10 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 36.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 10->11 COSY |
| 12 | 171.1 |  |  |
| 13 |  | 8.06 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1) |  |
| 14 | 51.0 | 4.51 (m, 1H, overlap) |  |
| 15 | 29.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65 \\ & (\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | COSY 14->15 |
| 16 | 31.4 | 2.12-1.98 (m, 2H) |  |
| 17 | 173.5 |  | 16->17 hmbc |
| 18 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, \\ 1 \mathrm{H}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| 19 | 171.2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 14->19 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & 21->19 \mathrm{HMBC} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 |  | 8.48 (d, J = 8.4, 1H) | 21->20 HMBC |
| 21 | 51.0 | 4.51 (m, 1H, overlap) |  |
| 22 | 169.6 |  | 21->22 HMBC |


|  |  |  | $24->22$ HMBC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 23 |  | $8.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, <br> $1 \mathrm{H})$ | $24->23 \mathrm{COSY}$ |
| 24 | 50.2 | $4.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.3$, <br> $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 25 | 166.9 |  | HMBC 24->25 |
| 26 | 45.7 | $3.59-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, |  |
| 27 | 25.2 | $3.48-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 28 | 45.7 | $3.91-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 29 | 23.4 | $3.33-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 30 | 41.5 | $3.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.3,7.6$ | COSY 24->30 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 31 |  | $2.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.0,6.2$ |  |
| 32 | $47.5(48.2)$ | $\mathrm{Hz}, 1)$ |  |
| 33 | 30.0 | $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$ |  |





## NOSEY spectrum of macrcocycle 2.32 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound 2.33 (MeOD -d4, 125MHz)




WG.T8

$09^{\circ}$ EGT_










${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of macrcocycle 2.42 (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz )





2.42254 nm hplc trace

SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control

| Column Flow | : | $18.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stoptime | : | 13.00 min |
| Posttime | : | 0.50 min |
| vents |  |  |
| Solvent A | : | 40.0 \% (Water) |
| Solvent B | : | 60.0 (Organic) |
| iliary |  |  |
| Flow Ramp | : | $800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Compressibility | : | 75*10^-6/bar |

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 60.0 | 12.000 |
| 0.50 | 60.0 | 12.000 |
| 11.00 | 95.0 | 18.000 |
| 11.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 13.00 | 60.0 | 18.000 |




2.43, Table 2.5, entry 1254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control

| Column Flow | $:$ | $15.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stoptime | $:$ | 14.00 min |

Stoptime 14.00 min

Posttime
Off
Solvents
Solvent A : 60.0 \% (Water)
Solvent B : $40.0 \%$ (Organic)

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 40.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 2.00 | 40.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 8.00 | 70.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 13.00 | 90.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 14.00 | 40.0 | 15.000 | 400 |

crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound $\mathbf{N}$-hydroxysuccinimidyl-octanoate $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$






2.49254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


| Agilent 1100/1200 Gradient Prep Pump |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Control |  |  |
| Column Flow | : | $20.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Stoptime | : | 11.00 min |
| Posttime | : | Off |
| Solvents |  |  |
| Solvent A | : | 55.0 \% (Water) |
| Solvent B | : | 45.0 \% (Organic) |
| PressureLimits |  |  |
| Minimum Pressure | : | 0 bar |
| Maximum Pressure | : | 400 bar |
| Timetable |  |  |
| Time Solv.B | Flow | sure |
| $0.00 \quad 45.0$ | 20.000 |  |
| $2.00 \quad 45.0$ | 20.000 |  |
| $9.00 \quad 75.0$ | 20.000 |  |
| 10.00100 .0 | 20.000 |  |
| 10.50100 .0 | 20.000 |  |
| 11.0040 .0 | 20.000 |  |


とも・もち——
$8 G \cdot 2 Z$
$\angle \sigma^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{GZ}$
$86 \cdot 82$
$9 \tau \cdot 62$
$89 \cdot \tau \varepsilon$
$6 \sigma^{2} \cdot 2 \varepsilon$
$06 \cdot 2 \varepsilon$
$\tau G \cdot G \varepsilon$

$99^{\circ} 99 \mathrm{~T} \longrightarrow$
$68.69 \mathrm{~T} \longrightarrow$
$88.69 \mathrm{~T} \longrightarrow$
2.50254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column

$=========================================================================$

Control

| Column Flow | $:$ | $20.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Stoptime | $:$ | 14.00 min |
| Posttime | $:$ | Off |

Solvents

| Solvent A | $:$ | $65.0 \%$ (Water) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Solvent B | $:$ | $35.0 \%$ (Organic) |

PressureLimits
Minimum Pressure : 0 bar Maximum Pressure : 400 bar

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Elow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 35.0 | 20.000 |
| 2.00 | 35.0 | 20.000 |
| 7.00 | 50.0 | 20.000 |
| 12.00 | 75.0 | 20.000 |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 20.000 |
| 14.00 | 40.0 | 20.000 |

Relevant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ for table 2.5
Table 2.5 Entry 1


Table 2.5 Entry 3


Table 2.5 Entry 4 See 2.43 spectra.
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## Chapter 3 Experimental Procedures

## General Methods.

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under positive pressure of argon at room temperature. Solvents were dried on activated alumina solvent drying system. Nitromethane was dried by storing for 24 hours over neutral Brockmann I Alumina before being filtered onto to activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. DMF was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ onto activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated plates Sorbent Technologies, silica gel 60 PF254 (0.25 mm ). TLC was visualized with UV light ( 254 nm ) and stained using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (240-400 mesh). 1D NMR spectra for peptidal substrates were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 500 MHz ) spectrometer using MeOH-d4 or DMSO-d6 as solvent and referenced relative to residual $\mathrm{MeOH}(\delta=3.31 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or DMSO ( $\delta=2.50 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants $(J)$ in Hertz. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments ( 125 MHz ) with total proton decoupling referenced relative to residual MeOH-d4 ( $\delta=49.00 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or DMSO $(\delta=39.52 \mathrm{ppm})$. HSQC, HMBC, COSY and NOESY NMR experiments were used to aid assignment of NMR peaks when required. 2D NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 600 MHz ). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific Exactive® Mass Spectrometer with DART IDCUBE, Waters GST Premier, and Waters LCT Premier. All HPLC traces are shown at 254 nm and depict preparative purifcation of macrocycles on a SunFire $®$ C18 OBD 5 um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column using an Agilent 1100/1200 Series HPLC.

## General Procedure A - Peptide Synthesis:

All peptides were synthesized by either standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis using Rink Amide MBHA resin (polystyrene, $1 \%$ DVB, $0.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) or Boc/Cbz solution-phase peptide synthesis. ${ }^{1}$

## General Procedure B - Acylation of Organic-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template $\mathbf{X}$ as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the reaction was either diluted with EtOAc, washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting compound was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent gradient.

## General Procedure C - Acylation of Water-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template $\mathbf{X}$ as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the solvent was removed via roto evaporator and the residue dissolved in 2 ml of DMSO, passed through a 0.5 micron filter and purified via preparative HPLC. (procedure used to prepare sequences containing His and Glu residues)

## General Procedure D - Template 10 Derived Peptide Macrocycle Syntheses:

A scintillation vial was charged with a stir bar and template capped peptide ( 1.0 equiv.) before being capped with a septum and backfilled thrice with argon. Nitromethane (as described in the materials section) was added to the substrate to afford a concentration of 5.00 mM . In a separate vial, $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ( 3.0 equiv. for neutral substrates, 6.0 equiv. for cationic residues) was dissolved in an equal volume of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ as the substrate. The acid solution was rapidly added to the substrate solution via syringe and the resulting solution was stirred for 15 minutes. After said time 10 volume \% triethylamine was added, and the solvent as removed under reduced pressure. The obtained residue dissolved in ~2 mL of DMSO, passed through a 0.5 micron filter and purified via preparative HPLC.

## General Procedure E- Template 11 Derived Peptide Macrocycle Syntheses:

A scintillation vial was charged with a stir bar and template capped peptide (1.0 equiv.) before being capped with a septum and backfilled thrice with argon. Nitromethane (as described in the materials section) was added to the substrate to afford a concentration of 4.50 mM .10 volume \% TFA was added to bring the total concertation to 5.0 mM . The reaction was stirred at ambient temperature for 2-3 hours before the solvent was removed via vacuum. The residue was placed on a high vacuum for over an hour.

A scintillation vial was charged with $\left.\operatorname{PdCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]_{2}(7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}$ dimer), xanthphos ( $27.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.048 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), a stir bar and capped with a septum. Backfill thrice with Argon. A quantity of DMF ( 10 ml for catalyst solution, and the required volume for the substrate in the next step) was frozen, pumped, backfilled with Argon, and thawed in three cycles. 10 ml THF from a solvent system was added to the ligand and catalyst, followed by 10 ml of degassed DMF. The resultant yellow solution was stirred under argon at room temperature for 30 minutes.

The crude product from the acidolysis was taken up in a volume of dry degassed DMF (as described in the materials section) as to make a 5 mM solution. To this solution was added a volume of catalysis stock solution equivalent to 7.5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of Pd monomer. 10.0 equiv. of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ was rapidly added and the reaction was placed in an $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 312 hours. After said time the solvent was removed. The residue was dissolved in $\sim 2 \mathrm{ml}$ of DMSO, passed through a 0.5 micron filter and purified via preparative HPLC.

## Figure S1: Synthesis of C2 symmetric templates 3.6 and 3.7

## 3.1: Dibromocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester

A 500 ml round bottom flask was charged with a stir bar and 3,5-Dibromobenzaldhyde ( $12.5 \mathrm{~g}, 47.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.). In an Erlenmeyer flask, (Methoxycarbonylmethyl)triphenylphosphonium Bromide ( $21.6 \mathrm{~g}, 52.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) was dissolved in 100 ml of DCM and stirred vigorously with 300 ml of 1 N NaOH for 10 minutes. The aqueous layer was then extracted twice with DCM ( 100 ml ) and the combined organic phase was washed with brine followed by drying with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The dry, ylide containing solution was filtered into the flask containing 3,5-Dibromobenzaldhyde. 76 ml of DCM was added to bring the total volume to 376 ml and a reflux condenser was affixed before heating to reflux for 2 hours. After this time the solvent was reduced $\sim 95 \%$ and an equal volume of hexanes was added. The residue was loaded onto a silica gel column, using 1:1 DCM: Hexanes and purified using the same eluent to afford 3,5Dibromocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester as a white crystalline solid ( $15.15 \mathrm{~g}, 42.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ isolated yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.65(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.56(\mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=1.7,0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41$ (d, J = 16.0 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.81 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 166.5,141.6,137.9,135.3,129.5,123.5,120.7,52.0 . ;$ LC-MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 316.88$; found 316.91.

## 3.2: 3,5 Dibromohydrocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester

A 500 ml round bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, 3,5-Dibromocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester ( $13.64 \mathrm{~g}, 42.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 64.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) and of 315 ml of $2: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : MeOH before being cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(4.9 \mathrm{~g}, 129.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.) was added portion wise over 15 minutes. The resulting black suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 5$ minutes before being passed through a pad of celite. This filtered solution was washed once with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and once with brine. The aqueous phase was washed twice with 150 ml of DCM before the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Removal of solvent and purification via silica gel chromatography afforded Dibromohydrocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester ( $12.06 \mathrm{~g}, 37.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ isolated yield) as a colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.6, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 172.6, 144.4, 132.2, 130.3, 122.9, 51.8, 35.0, 30.2.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z) [M-H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{H} 318.9$; found 318.9.

## 3.3: Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate (3.3):

A 250 ml round bottom flask was charged with a stir bar, Dibromohydrocinnamic Acid Methyl Ester( $11.0 \mathrm{~g}, 34.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 28.2 g , $204.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 6.0$ equiv.) and ( E )-3-(tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)propene-1-yl-boronic acid pinacol ester ${ }^{2}$ ( $32.9 \mathrm{~g}, 102.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ equiv.). The solids were dissolved in 68 ml of $5: 1 \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and sparged with argon for 30 minutes. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right) 4(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added, a reflux condenser was fitted and the reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 48 hours the THF was removed and the aqueous layer was washed thrice with EtOAc. The combined organic was washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and twice with brine before being dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Said solution wash evaporated and either purified via silica gel chromatography to afford Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate as a colorless oil ( $80 \%$ isolated yield on 4.08 mmol scale) or carried crude for further reactions (assumed 34.1 mmol$\left.)^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl} 3,500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.9,2 H), 6.28(d t, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.66-2.59(m, 2H), 0.94 (s, 18H), 0.11 (s, 12H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ठ 173.0141 .0 137.6,130.0,127.7, 125.4, 122.6, 63.9, 51.7 35.7, 30.9, 30.5, 26.0, -5.3.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 327.3$; found 327.3.

## 3:4. Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate

Crude Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate from the previous reaction ( $\sim 34.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ assumed) was dissolved in 133 ml of MeOH and 2.24 ml of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added. A 1 N HCl solution in methanol ( $4.4 \mathrm{ml}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for an hour before TLC indicated the consumption of starting material. The solvent was evaporated and the resultant compound, Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate was used without any purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$, 500 MHz , ठ 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.12 (s, 2H), 6.57 (d, J = $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.36 (dt, J = 16.0, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.32(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{CDCl3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},) \delta 172.4,141.2,137.3$, 130.8, 129.0, 125.8, 122.8, 63.7, 51.7, 35.6, 30.8; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 299.12$; found 299.3.

## 3.5: 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoic acid

The crude diol product from the reaction above (Methyl 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate ~34.1 mmol) was dissolved in 133 ml of 5:1 THF:H2O.Anhydrous $\mathrm{LiOH}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.9 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in 3 ml
of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and added to the reaction. The reaction was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1.5 hours. After said time TLC indicated full saponification of the methyl ester. The solvent was removed, and the reaction was acidified to $\sim 2.0 \mathrm{pH}$ with 4.5 M Phosphoric acid. The acidified aqueous layer was extracted five times with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified via silica gel chromatography (1:1 Hexane:EtOAc-> pure EtOAc) to afford 3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoic acid ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 44 \%$ yield over 3 steps from dibromide) as a viscous light yellow gel. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $d_{4}, 500$ MHz , : $\delta 7.29$ (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 2H), $6.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd} \mathrm{J}=5.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $2.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 175.3,141.4,137.5,130.0$, 128.9, 125.2, 122.3, 62.3, 35.3, 30.5.;LC-MS-ESI [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 285.11$; found 285.3.

## 3.6: Template 8 (2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((isobutoxycarbonyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1yl)phenyl)propanoate)

3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-hydroxyprop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoic acid ( $3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in in 150 ml of dry THF and N-Methylmorpholine ( $5.4 \mathrm{ml}, 49.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv.) was added. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and isobutylchloroformate ( $6.4 \mathrm{ml}, 49.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.3$ equiv.) was added dropwise over 5 minutes. After stirring a further 25 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of N -hydroxysuccinimide ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 18.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.25$ equiv.) in 8 ml of dry THF was added via syringe. The reaction was stirred a further 30 minutes before it was poured into 200 ml of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and partitioned between 200 ml of EtOAc. The phases were separated and the aqueous was extracted twice with 100 ml of EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed twice with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed via reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography ( $50: 1 \mathrm{DCM}$ :Diethyl Ether$>16: 1)$ to afford Template 3.6 (2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((isobutoxycarbonyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1yl)phenyl)propanoate ( $3.07 \mathrm{~g}, 5.49 \mathrm{mmol}, 37 \%$ isolated yield).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{2}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.3,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.78 (dd, J = 6.2, 1.2 Hz, 4H), $3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.86-2.81 (br $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 2.03-1.94 (m, 2H) $0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},: ~ \delta 169.0,167.8,155.2,139.8,136.9$, 134.0, 126.4, 123.4, 74.2, 68.1, 32.6, 30.3, 27.8, 25.6, 18.9.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na}_{3} 327.3$; found 327.3.

## 3.7: Template 9: 2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl 3-(3-((E)-3-acetoxyprop-1-en-1-yl)-5-((E)-3-((isobutoxycarbonyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate

4.25 grams of 2 (2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl3-(3,5-bis((E)-3-((isobutoxycarbonyl)oxy)prop-1-en-1-yl)phenyl)propanoate ( 7.59 mmol ) was dissolved in 152 ml of dry, degassed THF ( 0.05 M resultant solution) 4.2 ml of AcOH ( $\sim 10$ equiv.) was added and the solution was sparged with argon for 15 minutes. The septum was quickly removed and 132 mg of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was added. After 10 minutes the reaction was diluted 5 -fold with $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : Hexanes and passed through a silica plug. The diluted reaction mixture was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ once, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed via reduced pressure and the residue was purified via silica gel column chromatography. An eluent gradient as follows was used $2.5: 1 \mathrm{Hex}:$ EtOAc->2:1->1.75:1->1.5:1->1:1 Hexane:EtOAc. Said reaction afforded 1.17 g of Template 9 ( $31 \%$ isolated yield), 1.15 g of $\mathbf{S 5}$ ( $33 \%$ isolated yield) and 368 mg of starting material ( $9 \%$ isolated yield).

## Template 3.7:

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36-6.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91$ (t, J = $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8,6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$,): $\delta 170.8$, $169.0,167.8,155.2,139.8,137.0,136.9,134.1,133.5,126.3,124.0,123.5,123.4,74.2,68.1,64.9,32.6,30.3,29.7$, 27.8, 25.6, 21.0, 18.9.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{Na} 524.189654$; found 524.18912.

Template S5: (2E,2'E)-(5-(3-((2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl)oxy)-3-oxopropyl)-1,3-phenylene)bis(prop-2-ene-3,1-diyl) diacetate:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16.0,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 170.9,169.0,167.8,139.8,137.0,133.5,126.3,123.4,64.9,32.6,30.3,25.6,21.0$.

## Linear Precursor 3.8:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $82 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74-6.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 6.43-6.34 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.17$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.00-1.90 (m ,2H), 1.35-1.25 (m, 12 H$), 1.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) \quad\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}\right) \delta 174.2$, $171.7,170.8,170.7,156.0,155.4,141.7,136.8,133.7,130.0,127.2,126.1,123.1,114.9,73.7,67.8,66.6,58.3,52.3$,
51.3, 49.8, 41.4, 37.0, 36.2, 31.2, 28.8, 28.8, 27.7, 18.6, 17.8, 16.2 .; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{70} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ 1025.42, found 1025.6.

## Linear Precursor 3.9:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $52 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two amide isomers present ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.34-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.76-6.59 (m, 4H), 6.41-6.28 (m, 2H), 5.20-5.05 (m, 2H), 4.77-4.69 (m, 4H), 4.50-4.41 (m,1H), 3.98-3.88 (m, 4H), 3.72$3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.89$ (m, 2H), 1.34-1.24 (m, 9 H), 0.97-0.91 (m, 12H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}$ ) ס 173.8, 170.6, 170.2, 155.8, 155.4, $141.7,141.7,136.8,133.8,129.7,129.5,126.1,123.1,122.9,114.9,73.7,67.9,61.7,55.1,51.8,49.1,41.6,41.4,37.1$, 37.0, 35.4, 33.8, 33.5, 32.1, 31.0, 28.9, 27.7, 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 873.39$; found 873.2.

## Linear Precursor 3.10:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $62 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, $500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=8.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 8.3, 2H), $6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6, \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.40-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.7,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14$ (dd, J=13.6, 4.9 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ (S, 9H), 0.95 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) 171.6, 171.2, 170.7, 155.5, 155.4, 141.7, 136.7, 133.7, 129.7, 129.7, 129.4, 126.1, 123.1, 122.9, 114.9, 73.7, 61.6, 60.1, 55.3, 53.2, 53.2, 41.4, 41.3, 36.9, 34.1, 31.0, 28.9, 28.9, 27.7, 21.3, 19.5, 17.8 .; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for. $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 860.38$; found 860.5.

Exact Mass: 860.38

## Linear Precursor 3.11:

Synthesized according to general procedure C, obtained in $30 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500\right) \mathrm{MHz} 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78-$ $4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.66-3.58 (m, 1H) 3.56-3.43 (m, 1H), 3.42-3.32 (m, 1H), 3.28-3.07 (m, 6H), 3.06-2.95 (m, 2H), 2.94-2.87 (m, 2H), 2.83$2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.64-1.49(m, 4 H ), 1.31 (rotamer split t-Bu group, 4 H and 5 H ), 0.94 (d, $\mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ).; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 173.9$, 173.8, 173.0, $172.7172 .5,172.3172 .2,172.0,171.9,171.7,169.8,169.2,168.7$ (amide carbons from both rotomers listed, all other peaks are for major rotomer) 157.2, 155.9, 155.4, 141.7, 136.8, 133.7, 136.8, 133.7, 129.8, 127.5, 126.2, 124.7, 123.2, 114.9, 73.7, 67.9, 67.2, 66.1, 56.7, 55.4, 53.2, 52.9, 52.6, 52.3, 51.9, 49.2, 43.5, 42.1, 40.8, 40.7, 40.5, 40.0, 38.5, 38.1, 37.6, 37.3, 36.5, 31.4, 28.9, 27.7, 24.6, 21.0 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ 1241.61; found 1242.0.

## Macrocycle 3.12

Synthesized according to general procedure D, 19\% yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) (resonances form major conformer listed ) $\delta 9.14(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
8.05 , (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.33 (s, 2H), 7.25 (s, 3H), 7.17 (s, 1H), $6.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.25-6.19 (m, 2H), 4.88 (s, 1H), 4.80-4.74 (m, 1H), 4.67-4.59 (m, 1H), 4.52-4.44 (m, 2H), $4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.93$2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.13(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 0.77-0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( prominent peaks of major conformer listed) (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 171.9,171.4$, $171.3,171.1,169.5,169.4,157.1,154.4,141.1,137.5,137.2,134.4,133.3,130.5,130.4,129.6,128.7,127.2,126.2$, $124.2,123.8,123.6,114.7,68.8,67.1,53.5,53.3,53.0,51.9,49.1,47.9,46.5,43.4,40.9,38.5,38.1,34.8,30.2,28.7$, 26.2, 25.7, 24.9, 22.9, 21.1,; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{H} 949.4064$; found 949.4082.

## Linear Precursor 3.13

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $45 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{dt}$, J $=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.3,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37$ (dd, J=8.9, $4.8 \mathrm{HZ}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.06 (dd, J = 14.1, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.98-2.91 (m, 2H), $2.89(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.2,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75$ (dd, J = 12.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (dd, J = 14.9, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) 1.99-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.91 (s, 3H), 1.84-1.74 (m, 1H), 1.65-1.55 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-d_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 173.8,172.9,171.9,170.7,169.2,155.9,155.4,141.7,136.8,133.7,129.9,127.6,126.1,123.1$, $123.0,114.8,73.7,70.2,67.8,66.9,66.2,60.1,58.5,55.3,52.3,49.2,38.3,37.5,36.3,29.9,29.7,28.7,27.9,27.7$, 25.4, 21.0, 21.0, 18.5, 18.0, 17.8 LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{SH} 1111.6$; found 1111.4.

## Macrocycle 3.14:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, $29 \%$ yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17 (dd, J = 7.8, 4.3 Hz ), $3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4) 3.50-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, 4 H ), 3.37-3.30 (m, 2H) 3.32-3.35 (m, 2H) $3.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.90-2.76 (m, 2H) 2.88-2.75 (m, 2H), 2.81$2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1 \mathrm{H} 0.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 0.88-$ 0.77 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 171.4,171.0,170.7,169.4,169.3,168.9,154.0,141.2,138.2,136.1$, $132.2,130.6,130.3,129.5,128.7,128.5,127.9,126.1,121.7,114.6,67.4,66.6,57.6,53.9,52.5,50.2,45.9,42.7$, 37.9, 37.5, 37.1, 36.6, 34.0, 32.2. 28.6, 25.0, 22.9, 19.0.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{SH} 841.3571$; found 841.3561 .

## Linear Precursor 3.15

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $27 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4,2 \mathrm{H}) 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.42-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 4.65-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.48-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 3.84-$ $3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.6,5.0,1 \mathrm{H}) 3.09(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.02-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 2.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.32-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.01-1.91 (m, 2H) 1.68-1.59 (m, 2H) $1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 1.32-1.11(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}) 0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ) $\delta 175.3,173.5,171.3,170.6,155.5,155.4,141.5,136.7,133.8$, 129.7, 129.4, 126.2, 123.1, 123.0, 114.9, 73.7, 67.8, 61.6, 55.4, 53.2, 47.1, 41.4, 41.2, 39.0, 37.0, 35.4, 34.1, 31.4, 29.17, 29.14, 29.03, 28.97, 28.89, 28.87, 27.7, 26.5, 25.4, 17.9, 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{82} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ 1043.53; found 1043.0.

## Macrocycle 3.16:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, $28 \%$ yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.6,600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{t}, 5.7 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.95(\mathrm{~S}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-$ $6.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.39(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59,3.52$ (m, 2H), $3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~m} 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2. 98-2.88(m, 2H) 2.86-2.76 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.57 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.14 (m, 1H) 2.02-1.97 (m, 1H) 1.54 (m, 1H 1.38 (m, 1H) 1.20-1.00 (m, 14H), $0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 171173.4, .4,171.3$ 170.0, 153.4, 141.1, 138.1, 136.3, 133.0, 130.7, 130.5 130.3, 127.1, 127.0, 126.2, 126.2, 119.3, 115.2, 61.8, 55.8, 52.7, 42.6, 41.7, 41.3, $36.5,35.3,34.3,32.0,30.8,29.7,29.2,29.2,29.0,28.5,26.6,25.7 . ;$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ 751.3563; found 751.3531.

## Linear Precursor 3.17:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $68 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.31-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) 7.24-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 6.67(\mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 6.37(\mathrm{dt} \mathrm{J}=$ $15.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 4.25 (dd, J = 8.9, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.0,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 3.69-3.61 (m, 6H) $3.59(d, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.58-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.15-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.07$ (dd, J = 13.4, 7.1 Hz, 2H) 3.01 (dd, J = 13.6, 8.6 Hz, 1H) $2.91(t, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 2.62$2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 1.70-1.61 (m, 1H) 1.56-1.47 (m, 1H) 1.45-1.36 (m, 2H) 1.31 (s, 9H) $1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 0.95$ (d, J = $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 173.7, 173.2, 172.4, 171.1, 170.4, 169.0, 155.4, 141.6, 136.8, $135.1,133.8,128.9,128.3,126.6,126.2,123.1,123.0,73.7,67.9,66.4,66.3,61.3,55.7,53.5,53.2,46.2,42.6,42.1$, $41.5,41.3$, 38.6, 36.9, 31.2, 31.1, 28.9, 28.2, 27.7, 22.5, 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{Na} 1269.53$; found 1269.2.

## Macrocycle 3.18:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, $47 \%$ yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 8.40-8.08 (m, 2H), 7.89-7.78 (m, 1H), 7.42 (s, 1H), 7.40-7.30 (m, 1H) 7.30-7.16 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32-6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-$ $3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 1.40-1.20(m,6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz ) $\delta 172.2,171.8,170.9,170.8,169.6,167.3,141.8,137.1,136.9,136.3,133.4,132.3,129.6,128.6,127.1$, $126.8,126.4,125.6,124.9,124.2,66.6,66.5,61.5,56.3,54.0,51.9,48.0,45.9,43.9,42.6,42.4,42.3,41.7,37.6$, 37.3, 31.9, 27.8, 18.5, 17.2, HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{4} \mathrm{H} 899.2964$; found 899.2996.

## Linear Precursor 3.19:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $38 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.10-6.96 (m, 4H), 6.69-6.61 (m, 4H), 6.58-6.51 (m, 1H), $6.33(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ (dd, J=6.3, 1.1 Hz, 4H), 4.68 (dd, J= 8.2, $5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.33 (dd, J= 8.7, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.91 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61-3.43 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5$, $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91$ (sept, $\mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.94$ (d, J= 6.7 Hz, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, MeOH- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta 173.7,172.6,172.6,172.6,171.8,168.4,157.3,155.4,141.7$, $136.8,136.6,136.4,133.7,129.3,129.2,127.4,126.1,123.2,123.1,123.0,121.1,119.9,118.5,118.1,115.7,113.6$, 110. $9,109.4,73.7,67.8,60.1,54.1,52.7,43.3,42.2,41.6,38.2,37.5,31.4,28.9,27.7,27.3,25.3,25.0,24.0,19.5$, 17.8, 13.0 HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd $\mathrm{C}_{61} \mathrm{H}_{80} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~S}_{2} 1175.52$; found 1175.7.

## Linear Precursor 3.21:

Synthesized according to general procedure C, obtained in $59 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.4,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (dd, J = 6.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 4.64 (dd, J = 8.8, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (dd, J=8.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (dd, J = 9.1, 4.9 Hz, 1H), $4.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.4,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22-$ $3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05$ (dd, J = 15.4, $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.96 (dd, J = 12.9, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.81 (dd, J= $12.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.60$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 $\mathrm{MHz}) 173.8,173.5,173.0,172.3,172.1,171.3,170.8,155.4,141.7,137.0,136.8,134.1,133.8,133.2,131.4,126.01$, 125.97, 123.6, 123.1, 122.8, 117.0, 73.7, 67.8, 66.8, 64.7, 59.1, 54.3, 53.5, 52.5, 42.1, 38.3, 37.5, 31.3, 29.9, 29.4, 28.2, 27.7, 27.5, 25.5, 21.1, 19.5, 18.7, 17.8.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{SH} 957.48$ found 957.4 .

## Macrocycle 3.24:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, $20 \%$ yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 600 \mathrm{MHz}\right) ~ \delta 14.5(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, 15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-6.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.07-$ $3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 2.88-2.72 (m, 4H), 2.53-2.40(m, 2H) 2.49$2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126MHz) ס 172.6, 171.1, $171.0,170.9,170.6,169.9,141.3,136.1,135.2,134.7,132.4,128.0,127.6,126.0,124.1,123.5,119.6,67.7,57.2$, 54.2, 52.5, 51.4, 50.4, 37.9, 37.0,34.9, 33.0, 31.5, 29.2, 27.1, 25.7, 22.8, 19.4.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SH} 723.32884$; found 723.32796 .

## Linear Precursor 3.25:

Synthesized according to general procedure C, obtained in $39 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.64$ (d, J $=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.37(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.34(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=16,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.72(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz} 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.1,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.33$ (dd, 8.6, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6,1 \mathrm{H}) 3.62-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.2,4.7,1 \mathrm{H}) 3.24-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 3.06 (dd, J = 15.1, 8.1, 2H), 3.01 (dd, J = 12.9, 5.7 Hz, 2H) $2.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.65,2 \mathrm{H}) 2.82$ (dd, J = 12.9, $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.54$ ( $\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) $2.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.45-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.02-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.91-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 1.82$1.74(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) 1.12(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=17.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ) $\delta 178.82,173.83,173.35,172.83$, 172.44, 171.74, 171.24, 170.20, 155.36, 141.7, 136.9, 136.8, 133.8, 133.5, $133.2,130.7126 .00,125.94,123.6,123.1,122.9,117.1,73.7,67.8,64.7,53.5,53.0,52.7,50.4,46.3,45.9,42.0$, $38.2,37.5,34.6,31.3,30.8,29.9,29.7,28.6,27.7,26.625 .6,25.4,23.7,19.5,18.7,18.3,17.8 . ;$ LC- MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{78} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{SH} 1067.55$; found 1067.50.

## Macrocycle 3.26:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, 31\% yield after preparative HPLC.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d} 6,600 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 13.2(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.91(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}) 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.37(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.20(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.94-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) 4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 4.31 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.85(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ 0.89-0.78 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 126 MHz ) $\delta 176.7,172.7,172.1,171.7,170.8,170.3,168.5,142.0,137.2,136.2,135.5,135.2,134.0,129.2,127.4$, $126.0,123.7,120.6,119.0,54.7,50.8,50.4,50.2,49.6,49.0,46.0,45.9,38.7,33.9,33.5,32.5,31.3,28.5,27.3,26.0$, 25.6, 24.2, 20.2, 19.9.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SH} 833.4020$; found 833.4010.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound $3.1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$




${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound $3.2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$






$\varepsilon 0^{\circ} 9 \varepsilon T$
$66^{\circ} 9 \varepsilon I=$
$\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} 6 \varepsilon I-$
$\varepsilon 0^{\circ} 9 \varepsilon T$
$66^{\circ} 9 \varepsilon I=$
$\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} 6 \varepsilon I-$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound $3.6\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

$76 \cdot 8 T=$
$65 \cdot 36=$
$08 \cdot 62=$
$28 \cdot 08=$
$95 \cdot 28=$


$1, * 99 \mathrm{~T}=$
$06.691=$



,










COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.12 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )




NOESY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.12 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )

3.12254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control Column Flow Stoptime Posttime

## Solvents

Solvent A : 75.0 \% (Water) Solvent B : 25.0 \% (Organic)

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 25.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 2.00 | 25.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 8.00 | 65.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 13.00 | 85.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 14.00 | 25.0 | 15.000 | 400 |




66. हSI_
$98 \cdot 89 T$
$\varepsilon \varepsilon \cdot 69 T$
$9 \varepsilon \cdot 69 T$
$T \angle \cdot 0 \angle T$
$96 \cdot 0 \angle T$
$9 \varepsilon \cdot T \angle T$


|  | 13C | $1 H$ | Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 121.7 | 7.36 (s, 1H) | key |
| 2 | 138.2 | X | HMBC 1->2 |
| 3 | 130.3 | 6.33 (m, 1H) overlap | HMBC 1->3 |
| 4 | 129.4 | $6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ overlap | COSY 3->4 |
| 5 | 34.0 | $3.37-3.30$ (m, 2H) | HMBC 3->5 COSY 3-> 5 |
| 6 | 128.5 |  | HMBC 9->6 |
| 7 | 154.0 |  | key |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 114.6 | 6.69 ( d, J = 8.0, 1H) | key |
| 10 | 128.7 | 6.82 (d, J = 8.0, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY 9->10 } \\ & \text { HMBC } 9->10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 130.6 |  | HMBC 9->11 |
| 12 | 126.1 | 6.90 (s, 1H) | HMBC 10->12 |
| 13 | 37.1 | 2.88-2.75 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC 10->13 } \\ & \text { COSY } 14->13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | 53.9 | 4.61 (m, 1H) | COSY 15->14 |
| 15 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | HMBC 17->15 |
| 16 | 169.4 |  | HMBC 17->16 |
| 17 | 22.9 | 1.87 (s, 1H) | key |
| 18 | 170.7 |  |  |
| 19 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | COSY 20->19 |
| 20 | 57.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8,4.3 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | COSY 21->20 |
| 21 | 67.4 | 3.89 (m, 1H) | COSY 22->21 |
| 22 | 19.0-cor | $\begin{aligned} & 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 3 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | key |


| 23 | X | x |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 171.0 | X | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC 21->24 } \\ & \text { HMBC 20->24 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | X | $\begin{aligned} & 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | COSY 26->25 |
| 26 | 52.5 | 3.80 (m, 1H) | key |
| 27 | 169.3 |  |  |
| 28 |  | 6.26 (d, J = 7.5, 1H) | COSY 29->28 |
| 29 | 50.2 | 4.55 (m, 1H) |  |
| 30 | 36.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.5,3.0 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) 1 \mathrm{H} \\ & 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=13.5,5.1 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY 29->30 } \\ & \text { HMBC 49->30 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 31 | 168.9 |  |  |
| 32 | 45.9 | 3.50 (m, 2H) overlap | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { NOSY } 33->32 \\ \text { COSY } 33->32 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 33 | 67.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.48-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \text { overlap } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 34 | 66.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.48-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \text { overlap } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 35 | 42.7 | 3.40 (m, 2H) overlap | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { NOSY } 34->35 \\ \text { COSY 34->35 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 36 | 28.6 | 0.76 (m, 2H) overlap | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { HMBC 26->36 } \\ \text { COSY 26->36 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 37 | 25.0 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 0.88-0.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ \text { overlap } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC 26->37 } \\ & \text { COSY 26->37 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | 37.1 | 2.76-2.90 (m, 2H) | COSY 37\&36->38 <br> NOSY 37\&36->38 |
| 39 |  | 7.28 (t, J = 6.0, 1H) | COSY 38-> 39 |
| 40 | 171.4 |  | HMBC 41->40 |
| 41 | 37.9 | 2.35 (m, 2H) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { HMBC 42->41 } \\ \text { COSY 42->41 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 42 | 32.2 | 2.81-2.75 (m, 2H) | HMBC 44\&45->42 |
| 43 | 141.2 |  | HMBC 41->43 |
| 44 | 127.9 | 7.00 (s, 1H) | HMBC 1->44 |
| 45 | 126.1 | 7.05 (s, 1H) | HMBC 1->43 |
| 46 | 136.1 |  | HMBC 1->46 |
| 47 | 132.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | HMBC 1->47 |
| 48 | 128.0 | $6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ overlap | COSY 47->48 |
| 49 | 37.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.32-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \text { overlap } \end{aligned}$ | HMBC 47->49 <br> COSY 47->49 <br> HMBC 30->49 |
|  |  |  |  |



HSQC spectrum of macrcocycle 3.14 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


3.14254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow | Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \|-------------------------------- |  |  |  |
| 0.00 | 30.0 | 10.000 |  |
| 2.00 | 30.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 12.00 | 75.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 14.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |  |







|  | 13C | 1H | Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 119.3 | 7.77 (s, 1H) |  |
| 2 | 138.1 |  | HMBC 4-> 2 |
| 3 | 133.0 | 6.35 (d, J = 15.5Hz, 1H) |  |
| 4 | 130.7 | 6.39 (m, J = 15.5Hz, 1H) |  |
| 5 | 32.0 | 3.37 (m 2H) |  |
| 6 | 126.2 |  | HMBC 4-> 6 |
| 7 | 153.4 |  |  |
| 8 |  | 9.08 (s, 1H) |  |
| 9 | 115.2 | 6.67 (d, J = 8.0Hz, 1H) |  |
| 10 | 127.1 | $6.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H},$ overlap) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cosy } 9->10 \\ & \text { HMBC } 9->10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 130.3 |  | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { HMBC } 13->11 \\ \text { HMBC } 14->11 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 12 | 119.3 | 7.80 (s, 1H) |  |
| 13 | 34.3 | 2.58 (m, 2H) | COSY 14->13 |
| 14 | 41.6 | 3.25, 3.36 (m, 2H) | COSY 15->14 |
| 15 |  | 7.76 (s, 1H) |  |
| 16 | 170.0 |  |  |
| 17 | 52.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.60 \text { (ddd J = 3.5,7.1, } \\ & 10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 18 | 41.7 | 3.13, 2.89 (m, 2H) |  |
| 19 |  | 8.10 (d, J = 8.0 Hz) |  |
| 20 | 173.4 |  | HMBC 22-> 20 |
| 21 | 55.8 | 4.23 (m, 1H) |  |
| 22 | 61.8 | 3.59, 3.52 (m, 2H) | COSY 21->22 |
| 23 | X | 5.18 (s, 1H) |  |
| 24 | x | 7.93 (d, J = 7.0Hz, 1H) |  |
| 25 | 171.3 |  |  |
| 26 | 35.3 | 2.22-2.14, (ddd, $J=6.4,62-1.97$ $2 H$ ) |  |


| 27 | 26.6 | 1.54, 1.38 (m, 2H) | HMBC 26->27 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | 25.7 | 0.95 (m, 2H overlap) | HMBC 26->27 |
| 29 | 28.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.30-120 (overlap, m, } \\ & 2 \mathrm{H}) \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 30 | 29.0 | 1.20-1.10 (overlap m, 2H) |  |
| 31 | 29.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.10-1.00 (overlap m, } \\ & 2 \mathrm{H} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 32 | 29.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.10-1.00 (overlap m, } \\ & 2 \mathrm{H} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 33 | 29.7 | 1.10-1.00 (overlap m, |  |
| 34 | 30.8 |  | COSY 34->35\&37 HMBC 34->32 |
| 35 | 32.0 | 2.84-2.72 (m, 2H) |  |
| 36 | X | 7.65 (t, 5.7Hz 1H) | HMBC 36->34 |
| 37 | 171.4 | X | HMBC 39\&38-> 37 |
| 38 | 36.5 | 2.41-2.25 (m, 2H) |  |
| 39 | 30.1 | 2.81-273 (m, 2H) |  |
| 40 | 136.3 | X | HMBC 39\&38-> 37 |
| 41 | 126.2 | 6.85 (s, 1H) |  |
| 42 | 127.0 | 6.95 (S, 1H) |  |
| 43 | 141.1 | X | HMBC 45-> 43 |
| 44 | 130.5 | 6.34 (d, J = 16.0Hz, 1H) |  |
| 45 | 126.2 | 6.50-6.42 (m, 1H) |  |
| 46 | 42.6 | 3.54, 3.39 (m, 2H) |  |






NOESY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.16 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )

3.16254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow Pressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 40.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 2.00 | 40.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 8.00 | 65.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 14.00 | 40.0 | 15.000 | 400 |




${ }^{13}$ C NMR of macrcocycle 3.18 (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz )




|  | 13C | 1H | Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 124.6 | 7.42 (s, 1H) | Key |
| 2 | 137.1 |  |  |
| 3 overlap w/37 | 132.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.54-6.45(\mathrm{~d}, 15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | HMBC 39->37 HMBC 41->37 HMBC $1->37$ |
| 4 overlap w/36 | 125.6 | 6.22-6.32 (m, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC 3->4 } \\ & \text { COSY } 3->4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 overlap w/35 | 42.3 | 3.53 (m, 2H) | HMBC 4->5 HMBC 3->5 COSY 4->5 |
| 6 | 37.6 | 3.01, 2.68 (m, 2H) | COSY/NOESY 7->6 |
| 7 | 48.0 | 4.85 (m, 2H) | NOESY 11->7 |
| 8 | 167.3 |  |  |
| 9 | 66.5 | 3.57 (m, 2H) |  |
| 10 | 42.4 | 3.48 (m, 2H) |  |
| 11 | 66.6 | 3.52 (m,2H) |  |
| 12 | 42.6 | 3.50 (m, 2H) |  |
| 13 | na | 8.19 (m, 1H) | NOESY/COSY 7->13 |
| 14 | 171.8 |  |  |
| 15 | 51.9 | 4.04 (m, 1H) | Key |
| 16 | 18.5 | 1.33 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & 15->16 \mathrm{COSY} \\ & 15->16 \mathrm{HMBC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 17.2 | 1.14-1.00 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HMBC } 15->17 \\ & \text { COSY 16->17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 18 | 27.8 | 1.20-1.30 (m, 2H) |  |
| 19 | 45.9 | 3.10, 2.91 (m, 2H) |  |
| 20 |  | 7.20 (m, 1H) | NOESY 19->20 |
| 21 | 170.9 |  |  |
| 22 | 54.0 | 4.10 (m, 1H) |  |


| 23 | 61.5 | 3.56 (m, 2H obscured) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { COSY 22->23 } \\ & \text { HMBC 22->23 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 |  |  |  |
| 25 | na | 7.83 (m, 1H) | COSY/NOSY 22->25 |
| 26 | 169.6 |  |  |
| 27 | 56.3 | 4.35 (m, 1H) | NOESY 22->27 |
| 27alpha | 37.6 | 3.20, 2.98 (m, 2H) | COSY/NOESY 27->27a |
| 28 | na | 8.26 (m, 1H) | NOESY/COSY 27-28 |
| 29 | 172.2 | x |  |
| 30 | 41.7 | 3.53 (m, 2H) | HMBC 32->30 |
| 31 | 136.3 | na | HMBC 32/33->31 |
| 32 | 127.1 | 7.29 (m, 2H) |  |
| 33 | 129.6 | 7.25 (m, 2H) |  |
| 34 | 128.6 | 7.22 (m, 1H) |  |
| 35 overlap w/5 | 43.9 | 3.63 (m, 2H) | HMBC 36->35 HMBC 37->35 |
| 36 overlap w/4 | 126.8 | 6.22-6.32 (m, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC 37->36 } \\ & \text { COSY 37-> } 36 \end{aligned}$ |
| 37 overlap w/3 | 133.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.54-6.45 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC } 39->37 \\ & \text { HMBC } 41->37 \\ & \text { HMBC } 1->37 \end{aligned}$ |
| 38 | 136.9 |  |  |
| 39 | 124.7 | 7.13 (s, 1H) | HMBC 1->39 |
| 40 | 141.8 |  |  |
| 41 | 126.4 | 6.99 (s, 1H) | HMBC 1->41 |
| 42 | 31.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.93-2.85,2.82-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, \\ & 2 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | HMBC 39/41->42 |
| 43 | 37.3 | $\begin{array}{lll} 2.63, & 2.48 \\ \text { obscured }) \end{array} \quad(\mathrm{m}, \quad 2 \mathrm{H}$ | NOESY/COSY 43->44 |
| 44 | 170.8 |  |  |
| 45 |  | 7.37 (m, 1H) | NOESY 14->45 |

COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.18 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


3.18254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Control Column Flow : $\quad 12.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ Stoptime : $\quad 13.00 \mathrm{~min}$ Posttime : 0.50 min

Solvents
Solvent A : 50.0 \% (Water)
Solvent B : $\quad: \quad 0.0 \%$ (Organic)

Auxiliary

| Flow Ramp | $:$ | $800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Compressibility | $:$ | $75 * 10^{\wedge}-6 / \mathrm{bar}$ |

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| \|------------ | Pressure |  |
| 0.00 | 50.0 | 12.000 |
| 0.50 | 50.0 | 12.000 |
| 11.00 | 90.0 | 15.000 |
| 11.50 | 100.0 | 15.000 |
| 12.50 | 100.0 | 15.000 |
| 13.00 | 30.0 | 15.000 |




${ }^{13}$ C NMR of macrcocycle 3.24 (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz )



|  |  |  | COSY 22->21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 19.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 3 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | key |
| 23 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 24 | na | $\begin{aligned} & 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | COSY 20->24 |
| 25 | 171.0 |  |  |
| 26 | 52.4 | 4.04-3.97 (m, 1H) | key |
| 27 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 28 | 170.6 |  |  |
| 29 | 54.2 | 4.22 (m, 1H) | NOESY 5-> 29 |
| 30 |  | 8.33 (d, J = 6.5, 1H) | COSY 29->30 |
| 31 | 171.1 |  | HMBC 32->31 |
| 32 | 22.8 | 1.85 (s, 3H) | Key |
| 33 | 32.9 | 2.84,2.55 (m, 2H) | HMBC/HSQC 5->33 <br> COSY 29->33 <br> NOESY 5->33 |
| 34 | 29.2 | 1.27, 1.17 (m, 2H), | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOESY 26->34 } \\ & \text { COSY 26->34 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 35 | 25.7 | 1.30, 1.13 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NOESY 34->35 } \\ & \text { COSY 34->35 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 36 | 37.9 | 2.92, 2.77 (m, 2H) | NOESY 34/35->36 COEST 34/35->36 |
| 37 |  | 7.16 (m, 1H) | COSY 36->37 |
| 38 | 172.6 |  | HMBC 39-> 38 |
| 39 | 37.0 | 2.53-2.40 (m, 2H) |  |
| 40 | 31.5 | 2.85-2.75 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HMBC/HSQC 42/3- } \\ & >40 \end{aligned}$ |
| 41 | 36.9 | 2.49-2.36 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY/NOESY 40- } \\ & >41 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | 125.3 | 7.33 (s, 1H) | HMBC/HSQC 1->42 |
| 43 | 126.9 | 7.03 (s, 1H) | HMBC/HSQC 1->43 |

COSY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.24 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


HSQC spectrum of macrcocycle 3.24 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )



NOSEY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.24 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )

3.24254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5 um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Auxiliary
Flow Ramp : $800.000 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}^{\wedge} 2$
Compressibility : $\quad$ 75*10^-6/bar

Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow | Pressure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 18.0 | 12.000 |  |
| 0.50 | 18.0 | 12.000 |  |
| 11.00 | 40.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 11.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 12.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |  |
| 13.00 | 18.0 | 18.000 |  |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound 3.25 (MeOD-d4, 500 MHz )







|  | $13 C$ | $1 H$ | Corr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00 |  | 13.2 (br, 1H) (overlap w/16) |  |
| 1 | 120.6 | 7.41 (s, 1H) | key |
| 2 | 136.4 | na | 4->2 HMBC/HSQC |
| 3 | 133.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | 1->3 HMBC/HSQC |
| 4 | 125.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.20(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6,5.6 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | Cosy 3->4 |
| 5 | 31.7 | 3.44 (m, 2H overlap with 23) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cosy 4->5 } \\ & 3->5 \text { HMBC/HSQC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | 136.2 | na | 8->6 HMBC/HSQC |
| 7 | 135.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & 1 \mathrm{H} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1->7 HMBC/HSQC } \\ & \text { 48->7 HMBC/HSQC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 | 123.7 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.37(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7,6.6 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | Cosy 7->8 |
| 9 | 49.1 | 4.94-4.81 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cosy 8->9 } \\ & 7->9 \text { HMBC/HSQC } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 10 | 135.3 | 8.91 (br, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 9->10 HMBC/HSQC } \\ & \text { 9->10 Noesy } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 11 | 119.1 | 7.22 (s, 1H) | 9->11 HMBC/HSQC |
| 12 | 142.0 |  |  |
| 13 | 27.4 | 3.16, 2.76 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11->13 \text { NOESY } \\ & 14->13 \\ & \text { HMBC/HSQC } \\ & 14->13 \text { COSY } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 | 49.6 | 4.58 (m, 1H) | $\begin{aligned} & 15->14 \\ & \text { HMBC/HSQC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | 176.6 | na | Key |


| 16 |  | 13.2 (br, 1H) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17 | na | 7.99 (d, J = 7.8, 1H) | Cosy 14->17 |
| 18 | 171.7 | na | $\begin{aligned} & 20->18 \\ & \mathrm{HMBC} / \mathrm{HSQC} \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 | 31.3 | 2.09,1.86 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY 20->19 } \\ & \text { NOSEY 21->19 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20 | 26.0 | 1.45, 1.30 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY 21->20 } \\ & \text { NOSEY } 21->20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 21 | 50.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.31(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | NOSey 23/26->21 |
| 22 | 172.6 |  |  |
| 23 | 46.0 | 3.48 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY } 24->23 \\ & 24->23 \\ & \text { HMBC/HSQC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | 23.6 | 1.74 (m, 2H) | key |
| 25 | 25.6 | 1.79 (m, 2H) | key |
| 26 | 45.9 | 3.22 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY } 25->26 \\ & 25->26 \\ & \text { HMBC/HSQC } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27 | na | 6.81 (d, J = 8.3 Hz) | COSY 21->27 |
| 28 | 168.5 |  |  |
| 29 | 54.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.85(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 30 | na | $\begin{aligned} & 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=12.5 \\ & \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | COSY 29->30 |
| 31 | 170.8 |  |  |
| 32 | 50.8 | 4.72 (q, J = 7.6, 1H) |  |
| 33 | 32.5 | 2.68 (m, 2H) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cosy 32->33 } \\ & \text { NOESY 5->33 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 | na | 8.26 (d, J = 7.4, 1H) | COSY32->34 |
| 35 | 170.3 |  |  |
| 36 | 33.5 | 2.47 (m, 1H) |  |
| 37 | 19.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 3 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | key |
| 38 | 20.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 3 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ | Key |
| 39 | 27.3 | $1.49,1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ overlap w/ 20) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY 29->39 } \\ & \text { NOSEY 29->39 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 40 | 24.2 | 0.83,1.41 (m, 2H) | COSY 39->40 |
| 41 | 38.3 | 3.43-3.38 (m, 2H | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COSY } 40->41 \\ & \text { MHBC.HSQC } 39- \\ & >41 \end{aligned}$ |
| 42 | na | 7.70 (m, 1H) | COSY 41->43 |


| 43 | 172.1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | 37.2 | $2.41,2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ |  |
| 45 | 31.4 | $2.95,2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ | HMBC/HSQC 48/47- <br> $>45$ |
| 46 | 142.0 | $7.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ | Cosy 1->47 <br> HMBC/HSQC 3->47 |
| 47 | 127.4 | $7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ | Cosy 1->48 <br> HMBC/HSQC 47- <br> $>28$ |
| 48 | 126.0 |  |  |



HMBC spectrum of macrcocycle 3.26 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


HSQCspectrum of macrcocycle 3.26 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )


NOESY spectrum of macrcocycle 3.26 (DMSO-d6, 600 MHz )

3.26254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sressure |  |  |
| 0.00 | 20.0 | 12.000 |
| 0.50 | 20.0 | 12.000 |
| 11.00 | 45.0 | 18.000 |
| 11.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 12.50 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 13.00 | 30.0 | 18.000 |
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## Chapter 4 Experimental Procedures

## General Methods.

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under positive pressure of argon at room temperature. Solvents were dried on activated alumina solvent drying system. Nitromethane was dried by storing for 24 hours over neutral Brockmann I Alumina before being filtered onto to activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. DMF was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ onto activated 3 angstrom molecular sieves for extended storage. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on pre-coated plates Sorbent Technologies, silica gel 60 PF254 (0.25 $\mathrm{mm})$. TLC was visualized with UV light ( 254 nm ) and stained using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (240-400 mesh). 1D NMR spectra for peptidal substrates were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 500 MHz ) spectrometer using MeOH-d4 or DMSO-d6 as solvent and referenced relative to residual $\mathrm{MeOH}(\delta=3.31 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $\delta=7.26 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) or DMSO $(\delta=2.50 \mathrm{ppm})$. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm and coupling constants $(\mathcal{J})$ in Hertz. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on the same instruments ( 125 MHz ) with total proton decoupling referenced relative to residual $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d4}(\delta=49.00 \mathrm{ppm})$ or DMSO $(\delta=39.52 \mathrm{ppm})$. HSQC, HMBC, COSY and NOESY NMR experiments were used to aid assignment of NMR peaks when required. 2D NMR experiments were recorded on a Bruker Avance ( 600 MHz ). High-resolution mass spectra were recorded on Thermo Scientific Exactive® Mass Spectrometer with DART IDCUBE, Waters GST Premier, and Waters LCT Premier. All HPLC traces are shown at 254 nm and depict preparative purifcation of macrocycles on a SunFire ${ }^{8}$ C18 OBD 5 um $19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column using an Agilent 1100/1200 Series HPLC.

## General Procedure A - Peptide Synthesis:

All peptides were synthesized by either standard Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis using Rink Amide MBHA resin (polystyrene, $1 \%$ DVB, $0.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{g}$ ) or Boc/Cbz solution-phase peptide synthesis. ${ }^{1}$

## General Procedure B - Acylation of Organic-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template 3 as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with EtOAc , washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting compound was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent gradient.

## General Procedure C - Acylation of Water-Soluble Peptides with Templates:

Peptide TFA salts ( 1.0 equiv.) were dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.2 M solution before addition of a stir bar and Template $\mathbf{X}$ as NHS ester ( 1.1 equiv.). Addition of $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ ( 5.0 equiv.) was followed by stirring at room temperature for 2 hours. After this time the solvent was removed via roto evaporator and the residue dissolved in 2 ml of DMSO, passed through a 0.5 micron filter and purified via preparative HPLC. (procedure used to prepare sequences containing His and Glu residues)

## General Procedure D - Synthesis Trisulfide Linear Precursor:

A dimeric cystine containing peptide was synthesized and capped with template 3 as described above. This dimeric disulfide was dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.1 M solution before the addition of TCEP ( 2.2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{iPr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (8.8 equiv.). After 1 hour the reaction was diluted with EtOAc , washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and once with brine before drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resultant thiol was directly dissolved in DMF to afford a 0.05 M solution and tertbutyl-phthalimido disulfide ( $31,1.5$ equiv.) was added. The reaction was capped with a septum and backfilled thrice with argon. The reaction was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred under argon for 2 hours before dilution with EtOAc. The organic phase was washed thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and once with brine. The organic phase was then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting compound was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent.

## General Procedure E-Peptide Macrocyclization with Template 3:

A scintillation vial was charged with a stir bar and template capped peptide ( 1.0 equiv.) before being capped with a septum and backfilled thrice with argon. Nitromethane (as described in the materials section) was added to the substrate to afford a concentration of 5.26 mM before 5 volume \% TFA was added, bringing the final molarity to 5.00 mM . After the addition of TFA the reaction was stirred for 15 minutes before the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Crude product was purified via standard phase silica gel chromatography using a $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ : MeOH based eluent or preparative HPLC depending on the polarity of the resultant macrocycle.

## Linear Precursor 4.16:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $57 \%$ isolated yield over four steps from Boc protected dimer.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=16.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.31 (dt, J = 16.0, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.70 (dd, J = 9.3, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67$ (dd, J = 6.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 4.39 (m, 2H), $4.28(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0$, $9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.04$ (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H);
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126\right) \mathrm{MHz} \delta 174.4,173.6,171.8,170.6,153.6,141.1,138.1,136.5,133.7,128.4,128.1,127.9$, $127.1,126.8,126.3,124.3,122.9,81.5,67.0,66.9,59.0,52.8,49.9,42.7,39.4,37.0,31.1,28.8,26.6,18.5,16.1$.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 791.32$; found 791.2

## Macrocycle 4.18:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in 29\% yield from 4.16.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.13(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.10 (m, 1H), $4.02(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=9.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-$ $2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.9,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 172.3, 172.0, 170.2, 169.9, 141.8, 139.5, 136.9, 133.8, 128.6, 127.6, 127.3, 126.2, $124.4,121.9,66.6,59.2,52.1,42.5,32.7,32.2,30.4,29.0,20.9,20.3$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SH}$ 553.24847 ; found 553.24782.

## Macrocycle 4.19:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in $57 \%$ yield from 4.16.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- d , 500 MHz ) $\delta 8.58(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.6,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$ (dd, J = 15.2, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.38(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=15.3,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.11-4.01 (m, 2H), 3.75 (dd, J = 8.0, $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.29 (dd, $\mathrm{J}=13.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.15 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.05$2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~m}$, obscured, 1 H$) 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) 172.6, 172.4, 170.5, 169.6, 142.1, 139.5, 136.8, 134.6, 128.71, 128.65, 128.4, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 124.6, 123.6, 66.5, 59.2, 59.2, 52.9, 48.5, 42.6, 41.6, 41.4, 36.25, 36.3, 30.9, 20.5, 19.1.; HRMSESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 617.1926$; found 617.19192.

## Macrocycle 4.20:

Synthesized according to general procedure $\mathbf{E}$.
HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 681.1366756$; found 681.1355.

## Linear Precursor 4.21:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $58 \%$ isolated yield over three steps from template capped dimer (3 steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.35-7.05(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.28 (dt, J = 15.8, $5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.28$ (dd, $J=12.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.50-2.40 (m, 2H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 126 MHz ठ) ठ 175.0 (d), 174.0, 173.3, 170.0, 155.0. 138.4, 137.8, 135.1, 130.3, 129.8, 129.5, 129.1 128.2, 127.8, 127.7, 125.6, 124.2, 82.9, $68.4,67.8,67.7,61.5,55.7,50.3,50.1,47.5,43.9,41.3,39.0,38.5,38.1,32.6,30.3,28.1,18.4 . ;$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 817.33$; found 817.2.

## Linear Precursor 4.34:

Synthesized according to general procedure B, obtained in $74 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (MeOH-d4, 500 MHz$) \delta 7.26-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.8,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ (dd, $J=8.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 3.11 (dd, $J=14.0,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.95 (dd, $J=12.7,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84 (dd, $J=14.0,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.76 (dt, $J=8.8,6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.44 (td, $J=7.5,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.47 (s, 9H), $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) ס175.1, 174.0, $173.5,170.6,142.6,138.4,137.8,135.1,130.3,129.8,129.4,129.1,127.7,127.7,125.6,124.2,82.9,68.4,67.8,67.7$, $55.8,50.5,50.3,47.6,43.9,43.4,38.8,38.5,32.6,31.3,31.1,28.0,18.1 . ;$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): $[M+H]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SH} 753.39$; found 753.4

## Macrocycle S4.22:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in 75\% isolated yield from $4 \mathbf{4 4}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6$, $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{td}, J=9.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{td}, J=10.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.2$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.71-2.61 (m, 2H), $2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, 126 MHz ) $\delta 171.2,171.1,170.3,167.5,141.3,137.8,136.4,133.3,129.1,128.2,126.4,126.2,124.2,121.4,66.3$, $66.1,54.8,47.8,47.4,45.5,42.22,37.8,33.0,32.7,29.8,28.25,19.6 . ;$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SH} 579.26357$; found 579.26441.

## Macrocycle 4.22:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in 22\% yield from 4.16.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6$, $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{td}, J=9.8,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{td}, J=10.1,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.2$, $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.71-2.61 (m, 2H), $2.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz ) $\delta 171.2,171.1,170.3,167.5,141.3,137.8,136.4,133.3,129.1,128.2,126.4,126.2,124.2,121.4,66.3$, $66.1,54.8,47.8,47.4,45.5,42.22,37.8,33.0,32.7,29.8,28.25,19.6 . ;$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{SH} 579.2642$; found 579.2655.

## Macrocycle 4.23:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in $43 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.15$ (m, 6H), 7.06 (d, J = $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.62 (d, J = $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26$ (dt, J = 15.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (dd, J = 15.1, 7.1 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.44-4.38 (m, 1H), 4.37-4.31 (m, 1), $3.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.8,7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.0,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.62-3.47 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.02-2.93 (m, 1H), 2.77 (dd, J = 13.8, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 2.74-2.67 (m, 1H), 2.30-2.20 (m, 1H), $1.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $d_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 172.1,172.0,171.0,167.9,142.1,138.4,136.8,134.7,129.6,128.7,128.6,128.4,127.3$, 126.7, 124.7, 123.6, 66.5, 55.1, 48.9, 48.5 45.9, 42.7, 42.6, 41.1, 38.0, 36.2, 31.2, 30.5, 19.3.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 665.19020$; found 665.18756.

## Macrocycle 4.24:

Synthesized according to general procedure E.
HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{5} 706.144581$; found 706.14144

## Linear Precursor 4.25:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $37 \%$ isolated yield over four steps from Boc protected dimer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 7.37-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.9$, $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03-2.98 (m,2H), 2.93-2.80(m,3H), 2.60-2.49(m, 2H), 2.46(t, J=8.3 Hz, 2H), 2.30-2.18(m, 3H), 2.09-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.94-1.84 (m, 1H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (MeOH-d $\left.4,126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 176.1$, $175.6,174.2,173.0,172.8,172.5,153.6,141.2,136.5,136.1,133.7,131.1,129.9,129.0,128.5,128.1,127.82,127.77$, $126.9,126.4,124.3,123.0,81.5,67.0,66.0,56.6,54.2,53.3,38.1,37.0,36.8,31.0,30.3,28.9,26.7,26.6,26.1,24.3$, 24.1.; LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+Na] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Na} 940.36$; found 939.9.

## Macrocycle 4.26:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in $24 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.7,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 126 MHz ) $\delta 174.3,174.2,172.9,172.6,172.4,171.4,141.7,137.0$, 136.7, 133.0, 128.9, 128.4, 128.4, 127.3, 125.8, 123.3, 65.8, 56.5, 53.8, 52.3, 35.0, 33.3, 31.9, 30.6, 30.3, 28.3, 27.6, 25.8, 25.4.; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{SH} 680.3118$; found 680.3097.

## Macrocycle 4.27:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in $42 \%$ yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2,1 \mathrm{H}) 7.34$ (s, 1H) 7.32-7.25 (m, 5H) $7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.20-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{n}$ 6.23-6.14 (m, 1H) $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.16-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.86-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.53-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.06-$
$2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.82-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 2.15-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.99(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 1.97-1.91(m,1H) 1.79-1.63 (m, 2H) 1.35-1.29 (m, 1H)1.28 (d, J = $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ) 1.21-1.18 (m, 1H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 174.5$, 174.1, 172.9, 172.7, 171.6, 142.0, 136.8, 136.6, 134.1, 128.83, 128.78, 128.39,128.31, 128.26, 127.0, 125.2, 124.9, $65.9,56.6,54.7,52.8,41.0,38.4,36.4,31.7,30.6,30.5,27.2,27.0,25.7,25.5 . ;$ HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{H} 744.2559$; found 744.2584.

## Macrocycle 4.28:

Synthesized according to general procedure $\mathrm{E} .[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{5} \mathrm{H} 808.2057$; found 808.2029.

## Linear Precursor 4.29:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in 77\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at least two rotamers present (MeOH-d4, $500 \mathrm{MHz} \delta=7.36-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ (d, J=15.9, 1H), 6.30 (dt, J= $15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 3.43$ (dd, J=13.9, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.32-3.26 (m, 2H), 3.17 (dd, J=13.9, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (t, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (t, J=7.6 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.25-2.08 (m, 1H), 1.97-1.86 (m, 2H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta .173 .7,172.9$, $170.6,170.6,153.6,141.1,138.1,136.5,134.0,128.4,128.1,128.0,127.1,127.1,126.8,124.3,122.9,122.7,81.5$, $67.0,66.9,60.8,56.4,52.8,52.8,48.4,42.8,39.2,36.7,31.0,29.0,28.8,26.7,24.7,18.2$ LC-MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} 817.33$; found 817.5.

## Macrocycle 4.30:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in $44 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two rotamers present (DMSO-d $6,500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta$ 8.53-8.34 (m, 1H), 8.32-8.17 (m, 1H), 7.32-7.07 (m, 7H) 7.06$6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 4.34-4.14 (m, 3H), 3.98-3.63 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.70$ *m, $2 H), 1.70-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d, $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 172.5,171.8,170.7,168.7,142.3,139.7$, 137.5, 133.7, 128.7, 127.5, 127.4, 127.2, 126.0, 125.2, 123.6, 123.2, 67.0 60.8, 59.0, 55.8, 53.2,47.7, 42.5, 35.7, 34.8, 34.1, 30.5, 29.4, 25.7, 24.1, 19.8 HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}, 578.26$ : found 578.8

## Macrocycle 4.31:

Synthesized according to general procedure D, obtained in $27 \%$ isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR two rotamers present (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) $\delta 8.66-8.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-$ $7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.39-4.4.32 (m, 1H), 4.29-4.23 (m, 2H), 4.13-4.03 (m, 1H), 3.91-3.78 (m, 2H), 3.76-3.64 (m, 2H), 3.49-3.28 (m, 2H), 3.18-3.11 (m, 1H), 3.05-2.96 (m, 1H), 2.81-2.66 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.59 (m, 3 H ), $1.13-0.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}, 126 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 172.0, 170.3, 169.5, 169.0, 142.1, 139.4, 139.4, 137.1, 128.7, 128.7, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 126.3, 125.7, 125.3, 124.8, 117.3, 60.2, 55.8, 52.9, 47.5, 42.6, 42.0, 35.1, 31.9, 31.2, 30.0, 25.3, 22.2, 21.2, 19.8, 14.6 HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for calcd. for for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}, 643.21$; found 643.6

## Macrocycle 4.31:

HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{5} 707.152406$; found 707.1528

## Linear Precursor 4.33:

Synthesized according to general procedure E, obtained in 34\% isolated yield.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 7.92-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.03(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ), 7.02-6.92 (m, 1H), $6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=15.9,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=6.3$, $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.47(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 1.91 (sept, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{d}_{4}$ ) $\delta$ 173.9, 172.3, 172.1, 170.4, 153.6, 141.1, 136.6, 136.5, 133.8, 131.1, 128.4, 127.9, 127.3, 126.4, 124.3, 123.2, 122.8, $121.1,118.5,111.0,109.0,81.5,67.1,53.5,52.1,51.4,39.8,37.1,31.3,30.5,30.5,28.9,27.1,26.7,18.4,17.3 \mathrm{MS}-$ $\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{3} 844.13$; found 844.5

## Scheme 4.7: Experimental procedures

### 4.38:

To a round bottom flask equipped with stir bar was added, 4.37 ( $6.56 \mathrm{~g}, 27.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.60 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 eq.), and 410 ml of dry MeOH , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ohira-Bestman reagent ( $6.34,33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise and the reaction was warmed to room temperature overnight. After 16deoxygenated the reaction was diluted with water ( 500 ml ) and the mixture was washed with hexanes thrice ( 300 ml ). The organic layes were combined, washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Product was purified bycolumn chromatography ( $100 \% \mathrm{Hex}->90 \% \mathrm{Hex}: 10 \% \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to furnish 5.65 g of 4.38 diyne product as a clear oil in $88 \%$ yield. The reaction could be scaled to 73 mmol scale with a slightly diminished yield ( $\sim 75 \%$ ). Product on this scale could be adequately purified by a short silica plug and pure
hexane eluent. $R f=0.65$, Hexane $4.38{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 2.50-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},: \delta 106.6,82.7,81.6,69.2,20.0,19.1,18.6,11.2$, ; HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{Si}$ 234.18038; found 234.17983
4.38
4.38 was synthesized according to our published procedure. ${ }^{6}$
4.39
4.39 was synthesized according to our published procedure. ${ }^{7}$

### 4.40:

In a dram vial equipped with stir bar 4.38 ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ eq.) and $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(0.7 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were dissolved 0.4 ml of DCM. A Solution of ethyl diazopyruvate ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in DCM was added over of 30 minutes. After that time the reaction was filtered through a pad of celite and the pad washed with DCM. The collected solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was purified bycolumn chromatography (95:5->70:10 Hex:EtOAc). 16 mg of 4.40 was isolated pure as a light yellow oil, for a $29 \%$ yield $4.40 \mathrm{Rf}=0.24$, Hexane:EtOAc $9: 1^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 500 MHz ): $\delta 6.39$ ( $q, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.27 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34 (t, J= $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}^{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},: ~ \delta 198.5,163.4,113.7,106.3,94.2, ~ 81.8, ~ 61.8, ~\right.}$ 26.7, 25.3, 18.6, 17.8, 14.1, 11.2; HRMS (m/z): [M+H] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$, 349.21935 ; found 349.22900

## 4:41:

A Flame dried 100 ml flask equipped with stir bar was charged with 4.38 product ( $4.66 \mathrm{~g}, 19.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(8.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, followed by 19.2 ml of DCM. Ethyl diazoacetate ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 7.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 29.5 ml of DCM and this solution was added bysyringe pump to 4.38 over 8 hours. After this time the reaction passed through a pad of celite and the collected solvent was removed in vacuo. This residue was resolved in 153 ml of EtOH and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(290 \mathrm{mg}, 7.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added portion-wise over 10 minutes followed by additional stirring for 10 minutes. After completion by TLC, the reaction was poured into 500 ml of cold EtOAc and washed with cold $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(150 \mathrm{ml})$ thrice, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ once ( 150 ml ). Aqueous layer was back extracted twice with 100 ml of EtOAc and the combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 300 ml ). The organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent removed in vacuo. This residue was purified by column chromatography (9:1->7:1$>5: 1->4: 1$ ) to furnish 1.2 g of 4.41 as a light yellow oil in $45 \%$ yield. $4.41 R f=0.32$, Hexane:EtOAc $4: 1^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}$, 500 MHz ,): $\delta$ 6.69-6.59 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.30-4.20 (m, 2H), 3.98-3.89 (m, 1H) $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.56$2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 1.81-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.08-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},:$ ठ 175.1, 175.0, 122.4, 121.9, 107.5, 107.4, 102. 1, 101.6, 81.2, 81.1, 74.4, 74.1, 61.3, 61.3, 26.1, 25.9, 22.7, 22.6, 18.6, 18.1, 18.0, 14.3, 14.3, 11.2.; HRMS (m/z): [M+] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}, 351.23500$ found 351.23501 .

### 4.42:

To a flame dried flask with activated 3A molecular sieves, stir bar, and reflux condenser was added 10 ml of dry DCM, followed by 4.41 ( $701 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{iPr} 2 \mathrm{NEt}(1.08 \mathrm{ml}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added dropwise, followed by $\mathrm{MOMBr}\left(0.325 \mathrm{ml}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}\right.$.). The reaction was warmed to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h or until complete by TLC. 0.5 ml of cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the reaction was stirred a further 20 minutes. After this time the reaction was poured into a separatory funnel with 50 ml of EtOAc and extract thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NHCl}_{4}$, once with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and twice with brine. The organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo. This residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $15: 1->6: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc) to afford 562 mg of 4.42 as an light yellow oil for $71 \%$ yield. $R f=0.50$, Hexane:EtOAc $7: 14.42{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}{ }_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$,): $\delta$ 6.71 ;6.64(s, 1H), 4.75-4.63 (m, 2H) 4.23-4.15 (m, 2H), 3.89:3.82 (d, J= 4.7, 1H), 3.40-3.35 (m, 3H), 2.84 (d, J=1.4, $1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.83-2.65 (m, 2H), 2.56-2.51 (m, 2H), 2.57-2.49 (m, 1H) 1.88-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.34-1.26 (m, 3H) 1.10-0.95 (m, 21H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$,: $\delta 172.1,172.1,122.3,121.9,107.4,107.4,102.3,101.7,95.7,95.7,81.1,80.2,60.6$, $60.6,55.8,55.7,26.1,25.9,20.8,18.6,18.0,18.0,14.3,14.3,11.2$. HRMS ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ): [M+] calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$, 347.27647 ; found 347.25452.

### 4.43

4.41 product ( $317 \mathrm{mg}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 15 ml of dry DCM. This volume was added to a two-neck flask with stir bar, reflux condenser and activated 3A molecular sieve powder. The flask was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dry iPr2NEt ( $0.234 \mathrm{ml}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added by syringe, followed the dropwise addition of SEMCI ( $0.223 \mathrm{ml}, 1.26$ mmol, 1.4 eq.). The reaction was warmed to $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h or until complete by TLC. 3 ml of cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added and the reaction was stirred a further 30 minutes. After this time the reaction was poured into a separatory funnel with 50 ml of EtOAc and extract thrice with saturated $\mathrm{NHCl}_{4}$, once with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and twice with brine. The organic layers were then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed in vacuo. This residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $15: 1->8: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc) to afford 184 mg of 4.43 as an light yellow oil for $85 \%$ yield $R f=$
0.36, Hexane: EtOAc 9:1 $4.43{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$,): $\delta 6.75$ (s, 0.5H) 6.68 (s, 0.5H) 4.84-4.78 (m, 1H), 4.74-4.70 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7,0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4,0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-$ $2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ б 172.2, 122.3, 121.8, 107.4, 107.4, 102.9, 101.7, 93.8, 93.8, 81.0, 81.0, 80.7, 79.9, 65.6, 65.5, 60.6, 60.5, 34.7, 31.6, 26.1, 26.0, 26.0, 20.9, 18.6, 18.1, 18.0, 14.3, 14.3, 11.2, -1.4. MS-ESI (m/z): [M+ ] calcdC ${ }_{26} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}, 480.31$; found 480.6.

### 4.44:

4.41 ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and DMAP ( $71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.2$ eq.) were added to a flame dried flask equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 32.2 ml of DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dry iPr2NEt ( $2.6 \mathrm{ml}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0$ eq.) and $\mathrm{AcCl}(0.413$ $\mathrm{ml}, 5.8,2.0$ eq.) were then added. After 40 minutes the solvent was removed in vacuo and residue was by silica gel column chromatography ( $10: 1->5: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc) to afford 626 mg of 4.44 as a yellow oil for $55 \%$ yield $R f=0.61$, Hexane: EtOAc, 6:1 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) : $\delta 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.30-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-0.99(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 170.6,170.5,169.9,121.9,121.1,107.2$, 107.1, $102.1,101.3,81.2,81.1,78.6,77.8,61.0,61.0,25.9,25.7,20.8,19.3,19.1,18.6,18.0,17.9,14.2,11.2 \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}, 393.24$ found 393.4

## Scheme 4.8: Experimental procedures

### 4.45

A flame dried flask with stir bar was charged with solid Cul ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) and backfilled with argon thrice. The flask was charged with 34 ml of dry THF, followed by freshly distilled TMEDA ( $1.45 \mathrm{ml}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ eq.) before stirring at room temperature for 30 minutes. After this time the reaction was cooled to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(12.7 \mathrm{ml}$ of 0.7 M in THF, $8.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added. The reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes after Grignard addition, followed by the addition of 4.42 product ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in 11.3 ml of dry DCM. After addition of substrate the reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Allyl bromide was then added ( $0.78 \mathrm{ml}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) and the reaction was warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes. The reaction was then quenched with $2: 1 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, diluted with 150 ml of EtOAc, washed thrice with water ( 120 ml ), once with brine ( 120 ml ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography (10:1-> 7:1->5:1-.3:1 Hexane: EtOAc ) to afford 1.2 g of 4.45 product was a light yellow oil in $66 \%$ yield. $R f=0.45$, Hexane: EtOAc, $5: 14.45{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$,): $\delta 6.00-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (dd, J=10.2, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.24$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.16$2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},: \delta 175.3,174.9,137.8,137.8,144.9,114.7,109.2,109.1,80.1,80.0,71.4,70.4,61.6,36.3,35.5$, $35.5,33.1,33.0,29.3,28.8,27.7,27.6,24.9,24.2,18.6,18.5,17.5,17.3,14.2,14.2,11.3 \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 407.29760$ found 407.29744 .

### 4.46

4.45 product ( $407 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), acetanisole ( $14.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ eq.) and 2,2-dimethoxy-2phemylacetophenone ( $25.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ eq.) were placed in a vial equipped with a stir bar, These products were dissolved in 5 ml of EtOAc and the resulting solution was freezed-pumped-thawed thrice. Thioacetic acid ( $0.22 \mathrm{ml}, 3.24$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq.) was added and the reaction was placed in a Rayonet photoreactor and irradiated with 350 nm UV light over 1 hour. After this time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $8: 1->7: 1->6: 1->4.1->3: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc ) to furnish 362 mg of 4.45 as a foul smelling yellow gel in $75 \%$ yield. . $R f=0.63$, Hexane: EtOAc, 4:1 4.46 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $) \delta 4.33-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.6 H ), $3.72(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.34$2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-0.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.57-0.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right),: \delta 196.1,195.8,175.3$, 174.9, 109.2, 109.1, 80.2,80.0, 71.5, 70.5, 61.7, 61.6, 36.2, 36.2, 35.8, 35.4, 30.7, 30.6, 29.8, 29.7, 29.0, 28.8, 28.1, 28.0, 28.0, 27.9.24.7, 24.1, 18.7, 18.5, 18.5, 17.5, 17.4, 14.3, 14.2, 11.3, 11.3 MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SSi} 483.29$; found 483.6.

### 4.47

A flame dried flask with stir bar was charged with solid Cul ( $299 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) and backfilled with argon thrice. The flask was charged with 6 ml of dry THF, followed by freshly distilled TMEDA ( $0.26 \mathrm{ml}, 1.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{eq}$.) before stirring at room temperature for 30 minutes. After this time the reaction was cooled to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeMgBr}(2.24$
ml of 0.7 M in THF, $1.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added. The reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes after Grignard addition, followed by the addition of 4.42 product ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) in 2 ml of dry DCM. After addition of substrate the reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Allyl bromide was then added ( $0.24 \mathrm{ml}, 2.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction was warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes. The reaction was then quenched with $2: 1 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, diluted with 40 ml of EtOAc, washed thrice with water ( 20 ml ), once with brine ( 20 ml ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography (15:1-> 10:1->7:1$.5: 1$ Hexane: EtOAc) to afford 278 mg of 4.47 product was a clear oil in $79 \%$ yield. $R f=0.66$, Hexane: EtOAc, $5: 1$ 4.47 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta 5.94 ;-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ 4.30-4.09 (m, 2H), 3.81$3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.49-2.36 (m, 1H), 2.35-2.21 (m, 1H), 2.19-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.72 (m, 1H), 1.54-1.42 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.33-1.26 (m,3H), 1.11-0.96 (m, 24), 0.92-0.69 (m, 2H) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}^{\mathrm{N}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},: \delta 172.4,137.8,137.6\right.$, $114.9,114.8,108.9,95.9,95.6,60.9,56.3,55.9,36.4,35.5,33.9,33.3,33.0,28.6,27.3,24.7,24.5,18.6,14.2,14.1$, 11.3. HRMS (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}] \mathrm{C} 26 \mathrm{H} 46 \mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{Si}$ calcd. for 451.32382; found 451.32352.

### 4.48

4.47 product ( $362 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), acetanisole ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) and 2,2-dimethoxy-2phemylacetophenone ( $20.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) were placed in a vial equipped with a stir bar. These products were dissolved in 5 ml of EtOAc and the resulting solution was freezed-pumped-thawed thrice. Thioacetic acid (0.22 $\mathrm{ml}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq.) was added and the reaction was placed in a Rayonet photoreactor and irradiated with 350 nm UV light over 1 hour. After this time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $10->8: 1->7: 1->6: 1->5.1->4: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc ) to furnish 335 mg of 4.48 as a foul smelling yellow oil in $79 \%$ yield. $R f=0.29$, Hexane: EtOAc, 5:1
4.48 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$,): $\delta 4.70-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.4 H ), $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-$ $\left.1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$, $: ~$ б 195.9, 195.8, 172.4, 172.4, 172.3, 108.9, 108.9, 95.9, 95.7, 80.2, 80. 2, 76.5, 75.8, 60.9, 60.9, 56.3, 56.0, 36.3, 35.4, $33.7,30.6,30.6,29.7,29.7,28.9,28.9,28.9,28.1,27.9,27.6,24.5,24.5,18.6,18.6,18.5,18.4,17.6,17.6,14.3,14.1$, 11.3, 11.3. MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Ssi}$, 527.31 ; found 527.5 .
4.49 product (small scale)
4.48 product ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 1.4 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 0.25 M stock solution of LiOH is $\mathrm{EtOH}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ eq.) was added. After 25 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and 0.15 M stock solution of AcOH was added $(0.14 \mathrm{ml}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) Tert-butyl phthalimido disulfide ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.175 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature over 30 minutes before the solvent was removed in vacuo. This mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (15->10:1->9:1->7.5:1-$>6.1->5: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc) to furnish 21.6 mg of 4.49 as a yellow oil in $51 \%$ yield.
4.49 product (large scale)
4.48 product ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 0.435 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 8.8 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium Methanethiolate ( $91 \mathrm{mg}, 1.305 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ eq.) was added. After 10 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and AcOH was added ( $75 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.305 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was palce on a vacuum pump for an hour. After this time the residue was dissolved in 8.8 ml of MeOH, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Tert-butyl phthalimido disulfide ( $233 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added. The reaction was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes before the solvent was removed in vacuo. This mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $15->10: 1->9: 1->7.5: 1->6.1->5: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc) to furnish 110.5 mg of 4.49 as a yellow oil in $42 \%$ yield. $R f=0.45$, Hexane: EtOAc, 6:1
4.49 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $)$ : 4.73-4.63 (m, 2H), 4.27-4.12 (m, 2H), $3.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 0.6 H ) , $3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.55-1.45 (m, 1H), $1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.3,125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$,: $\delta 172.4,172.3,109.0,108.9,95.9,95.7,80.2,80.2,76.5,75.8,61.0,60.9,56.3,56.0,48.9,48.9,38.8,38.8,36.4,35.5$, 34.2, 33.8, 29.9, 29.1, 29.0, 28.9, 27.8, 27.7, 27.6, 24.7, 24.5, 18.7, 18.6, 18.6, 17.6, 17.6, 14.3.14.2, 11.3, 11.3 MSESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Si}, 605.31$; found 605.4.

## Side Product 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $) \delta 7.54$ (dd, J=15.2, $\left.11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19$ ( $q, J=7.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.47-2.40 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.31 (m, 2H), $1.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07-0.99$ $(\mathrm{m}, 21 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ) $\delta 167.6,147.3,140.6,124.4,119.6,107.4,81.3,60.1,39.2,18.6,18.6,17.0$, 14.3, 11.2. MS-ESI (m/z): [M+H] calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}, 349.25$; found 349.5

Table 4.4: Successful experimental procedures.

Table 4.4: Entry 1 (4.50)
4.46 product ( $0.335 \mathrm{mg}, 0.694 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 14 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium methanethiolate ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. After 10 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and AcOH was added ( $119 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was placed on a vacuum pump for an hour. After this time the residue was dissolved in 14 ml of MeOH , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Tert-butyl phthalimido disulfide ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added the reaction allowed to warm to room temperature over 30 minutes before the solvent was removed in vacuo. This mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $2 \%->2.5 \%->3.3 \%->\% 5$ acetone in toluene) to furnish 223 mg of 4.50 as a yellow gel in $62 \%$ yield. $R f=0.45$, Hexane: EtOAc, 6:1
$4.50{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $) ~ \delta 4.33-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}) 3.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.0,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34-1.29 (m, 3H), 1.11-1.00 (m, 24H), 0.85-0.74 (m, 1H), 0.61-0.49 (m, 1H), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$,: $\delta 175.3$, $175.0,109.2,109.1,80.1,80.0,71.5,70.5,61.8,61.7,48.9,48.9,38.9,38.7,36.3,35.8,35.5,29.9,28.9,28.2,28.1$, $27.5,24.7,24.2,18.7,18.5,17.5,17.4,14.4,14.2,11.3,11.3$. MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Si}, 560.28$; Found 560.5.

## Table 4.4: Entry 4 (4.52)

4.46 product ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.548 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 11 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium Methanethiolate ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) was added. After 10 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and AcOH was added ( $94 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was placed on a vacuum pump for an hour. After this time the residue was dissolved in 11 ml of MeOH, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and paramethoxybenzylphthalimidodisulfide ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 0.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. The reaction allowed to warm to room temperature over 30 minutes before the solvent was removed in vacuo. This mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $2 \%->2.5 \%->3.3 \%->\% 5$ acetone in toluene) to furnish 198 mg of 4.52 as a yellow gel in $59 \%$ yield. $R f=0.42$, Hexane: EtOAc, 8:1
$4.52{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, ) $\delta 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.06-4.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.709 \mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34-1.22 (m, 3H), 1.19-0.94 (m, 24H), 0.82-0.73 (m, 1H), 0.57-0.43 (m, 1H). NMR (CDCl $3,125 \mathrm{MHz}),: ~ \delta$ $175.3,175.0,130.7,130.6,130.6,130.4,128.6,128.6,114.0,114.0,109.1,109.1,80.2,80.1,61.8,61.7,55.3,42.5$, $38.5,38.3,36.3,36.2,35.8,35.5,31.6,28.9,28.1,28.0,27.7,27.6,18.7,18.7,18.6,17.5,17.4,14.3,11.3,11.3 . \mathrm{MS}-$ $\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}, 593.3$; found 593.4.

## Table 4.4: Entry 5A (4.55)

4.46 product ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 4.8 ml of deoxygenated EtOH (freeze-pump-thaw thrice). The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium methanethiolate ( $50.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. After 10 minutes AcOH was added ( $41.5 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was quickly purified by silica gel column chromatography (9:1->5:1 Hexane: EtOAc) to furnish 66 mg of 4.55 as light-yellow gel. $R f=0.39$, Hexane: EtOAc, 5:1
$4.55{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, ) $\delta 4.33-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~J}=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H})$ 2.62-2.49 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.48-2.2.25 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.73 (m, 2H), 1.73-1.61 (m, 2H), 1.59-1.35 (m, 4H), 1.35-1.26 (m, 3H), 1.18-0.95 $(\mathrm{m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.82-.0 .72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.55-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}^{\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right),: ~ \delta 175.3,175.0,109.1,109.1, ~ 80.2,80.1 \text {, }}$ $71.5,70.5,61.7,36.3,36.2,35.8,35.5,34.2,34.1,28.0,28.0,27.6,27.5,24.7,24.5,24.3,24.1,18.7,18.6,18.6,18.5$, $17.5,17.4,14.3,14.2,11.3,11.3$. HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{SSi} 482.28806$ found 483.29502.

Table 4.4: Entry 5B (4.53)
4.55 product ( $66.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.75 ml of dry THF and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( 42 $\mu \mathrm{l}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added, followed by trityl sulfenyl chloride ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.225 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over 10 minutes. After that time the reaction was quenched with water ( 1 ml ), diluted with EtOAc ( 10 ml ) and washed once with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and the solvent was remove in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (9:1->6:1 Hexane: EtOAc) to furnish 100 mg of 4.53 as a light yellow gel. $R f=0.47$, Hexane: EtOAc, $7: 14.53{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ) $\delta 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 7.337.19 (m, 10H), 4.30-4.14 (m, 2H), 3.77 (d, J= $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.67 (m, J= $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}) 2.82-270(\mathrm{br}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.53$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{m}$ 1.89-1.75 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.60 (m,3H), 1.52-1.33 (m, 3H), 1.31-1.24 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.66-0,56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.46-0.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right),: ~ \delta 175.3,174.9,143.9$, $143.9,130.2,130.2,127.8,128.7,126.9,126.9,109.2,109.1,80.1,80.0,71.5,70.9,70.9,70,4,61.7,61.7,54.4,36.5$, $36.4,36.3,36.1,35.8,35.5,28.9,28.9,27.9,27.5,27.5,24.7,24.1,18.7,18.6,18.5,18.4,17.5,17.4,11.3,11.3 \mathrm{MS}-$ ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si} 441.28532$ found 441.28429 .

## Scheme 4.9: Experimental procedure.

### 4.60

4.45 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.369 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 4 ml of dry DCM and cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NEt 3 was added ( $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) followed by the dropwise addition of $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(60 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq})$. The reaction was stirred for 55 minutes before the solvent was removed in vacuo and the product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (20:1->15:1->10:1 Hexane: EtOAc ) to furnish 99 mg of 4.60 as a clear oil in $68 \%$ yield. $R f=0.55$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1
4.60¹H NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ) $\delta 6.98-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40-5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.04-2.85 (m,2H), 2.48-2.19 (m,4H), 1.65-1.57 (m, 1.3H), $1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.09-0.97 (m, $21 \mathrm{H})^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ : $\delta$ 166.6, 166.5, 150.2, 150.1, 147.1, 136.6, 135.8, 122.2, 121.4, 121.3, 116.7, 116.4, $112.0,108.0,106.8,80.9,80.1,60.3,60.3,50.7,48.2,37.0,36.2,33.9,18.6,18.5,14.3,11.3 . \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}, 389.28$; found 389.5.

## Scheme 4.10: Experimental procedure.

4.61:
4.45 product ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.738 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.75 ml of THF and added to a stirring suspension of NaH ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.811 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes before the addition of $\mathrm{CS} 2(0.14$ $\mathrm{ml}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). This mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes before the addition of $\mathrm{Mel}(0.274 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.4 \mathrm{mmol}$, 6.0 eq.) and further stirring for 1 hour at this temperature. The reaction was then quenched with ice and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{ml})$. With mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 15 ml ) and the organic layer was washed with brine ( 15 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ before the solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (20:1->15:1$>10: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc) to furnish 297 mg of 4.61 as a yellow gel in $81 \%$ yield. $R f=0.41$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1.
$4.61{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $\delta^{5} 5.92-5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-4.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.58 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-$ $1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.1,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \quad$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $125 \mathrm{MHz})$,: $\delta 215.8,215.4,169.1,169.0,137.3,137.2,115.1,108.7,108.7,81.6,81.1,61.5,61.5,36.4,35.4,32.9$, $32.8,32.5,31.5,28.8,28.7,26.0,25.0,19.4,19.1,18.6,18.5,18.1,17.4,17.4,14.1,14.1,11.3,11.3 . \mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{ESI}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$, 497.25; found 497.6.

### 4.62

4.61 product ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2415 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.), acetanisole ( $3.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ eq.) and 2,2 -dimethoxy-2-
phemylacetophenone ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.024 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1$ eq.) were placed in a vial equipped with a stir bar, these products were dissolved in 1.5 ml of EtOAc and the resulting solution was freezed-pumped-thawed thrice. Thioacetic acid ( 0.065 ml , $0.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ eq.) was added and the reaction was placed in a Rayonet photoreactor and irradiated with 350 nm UV light over 1 hour. After this time the solvent was removed and the product was purified by silica gel column
chromatography ( $10->8: 1->7: 1->6: 1->5.1->4: 1$, Hexane: EtOAc ) to furnish 124 mg of 4.62 as a foul smelling yellow oil in $90 \%$ yield. $R f=0.32$, Hexane: EtOAc, 15:1.
$4.62{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ) $\delta 5.43$ (d, J= $\left.10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.14$ (d, J=10.5, Hz, 0.6H), 4.30-4.14 (m, 2H), 2.96$2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-$ $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right),: ~ \delta 216.1,215.4$, $195.8,195.7,169.1,168.9,108.7,108.6,81.7,81.1,80.5,61.5,48.3,36.4,32.7,31.7,31.7,30.7,30.6,30.4,30.3$, 29.6, 29.5, 29.3, 29.1, 28.9, 28.8, 27.8, 27.8, 24.9, 19.4, 19.3, 18.7, 18.4, 17.4, 17.4, 14.2, 14.0, 11.3, 11.3 MS-ESI $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}):[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Si} 573.25$; found 573.4.

## Scheme 4.11: Experimental procedure.

### 4.64 \& 4.65:

A flame dried flask with stir bar was charged with solid Cul ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) and backfilled with argon thrice. The flask was charged with 2.9 ml of dry THF, followed by freshly distilled TMEDA ( $0.125 \mathrm{ml}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ eq.) before stirring at room temperature for 30 minutes. After this time the reaction was cooled to $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and MeMgBr ( 1.0 ml of 0.75 M in THF, $0.75 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added. The reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes after Grignard addition, followed by the addition of 4.42 product ( $310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1 ml of dry DCM. After addition of substrate the reaction was stirred at $-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. Crotyl bromide was then added $(0.14 \mathrm{ml}, 0.376 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.5$ eq.) and the reaction wa warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 30 minutes. The reaction was then quenched with $2: 1 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, diluted with 20 ml of EtOAc, washed thrice with water ( 10 ml ), once with brine ( 10 ml ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue purified by silica gel column chromatography (15:1-> 10:1->7:1-.5:1 Hexane: EtOAc) to afford 278 mg of 4.64 and 4.65 product was a light tan oil in $65 \%$ yield. $R f=0.71$, Hexane: EtOAc , 5:1.
4.64 \& 4.65${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$,) $\quad$ 6.00-5.62 (m, 1H), 5.48-5.33 (m, 1H), 5.06-4.81 (m, 1H), 4.73-4.61 (m, 2H), 4.31-4.14 (m, 2H), 3.77 (t, J=10.0 Hz, 0.6H), 3.66 (dd, J=10.0, 7.0 Hz, 0.4H), 3.43-3.30 (m, 3H), 2.50-2.16 (m, 3H), 2.08-1.96 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.81 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.67-1.61 (m, 1H), 1.60-1.53 (m, 1H), 1.34-1.26 (m, 3H), 1.16$0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.59-0.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz},\right)^{2} 172.4,172.4,172.3,172.3,143.1$,
$143.0,130.3,130.0,125.4,125.3,112.3,112.2,96.0,95.8,95.8,95.7,95.5,95.5,80.3,80.2,80.1,80.0,76.4,75.8$, $75.5,75.3,60.9,60.9,60.9,60.8,56.3,56.0,56.0,55.8,37.8,37.4,37.2,36.7,36.5,36.4,35.8,35.6,35.5,35.5,34.3$, $34.0,33.9,33.5,32.0,31.8,29.3,25.4,25.4,24.8,24.7,24.4,19.8,19.7,19.7,19.4,18.6,18.6,18.4,18.0,17.9,17.8$, 17.7, 17.6, 17.6, 14.2, 14.1, 11.3, 11.3. MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si} 465.33$; found 465.4 .

Table 4.1: Experimental procedure.
General Procedure for table 4.1 Entries 1-5
A solution of ethyl diazopyruvate ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 1.25 ml of DCM was added to a stirring solution of 4.38 ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$. ) and catalyst ( $0.001 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DCM ( 0.4 ml ) over 2 hours by syringe pump. After this time the reactions were diluted with 5 ml of DCM, passed through a plug of celite and the solvent was removed in vacuo. TLC was taken with product and starting material as standard and cospots. If product was detected, the crude residues were purified by small scale silica gel column chromatography as described for 4.40 synthesis procedure above.

## Table 4.2: Experimental procedures.

All reaction are carried out in dram or scintillation vials epuipped with stir bars.
Table 4.2: Entry 1 See 4.48 preparative scale procedure above.
Table 4.2: Entry 2
4.40 ( $289 \mathrm{mg}, 0.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 8.3 ml of dry THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .1 .7 \mathrm{ml}$ of 1 M L -selectride solution ( $1.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.05$ eq.) was added dropwise and the reaction was stirred 10 minutes before quenching with 1.0 ml of cold acetone. The reaction was diluted with 50 ml of EtOAc. The combined organics were washed once with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, twice with brine followed by drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. This residue was purified by column chromatography (9:1$>7: 1->5: 1->4: 1$ ) to furnish 120 mg of 4.41 as a light yellow oil in $44 \%$ yield. NMR comparison with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ derived alcohol revealed a D.R. of 1.5:1 opposed to 1:1.2 obtained byNaBH4.

Table 4.2: Entry 3
$4.40(52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in 1.5 ml of dry THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIBAL solution ( $0.14 \mathrm{ml}, 0.14$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, 0.93 eq ) was added dropwise. After 5 minutes 2 ml of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the mixture was extracted twice with EtOAc ( 5 ml ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine thrice $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. This residue was purified by column chromatography (9:1$>7: 1->5: 1->4: 1$ ) to furnish 25 mg of 4.41 as a light yellow oil in $39 \%$ yield. NMR comparison with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ derived alcohol revealed a D.R. of 2:1 opposed to 1:1.2 obtained byNaBH 4 .

Table 4.2: Entry 4.
4.40 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1.5 ml of $\mathrm{EtOH} . \mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ was added ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.). After stirring at room temperature for 3 hours no product was detected by TLC.

Table 4.2: Entry 5
4.40 ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1.5 ml of dry THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{LiAl}(\mathrm{Ot}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}(42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$.) in 0.3 ml of dry THF was added dropwise. The reaction was monitored byTLC and warmed to room temperature. After reacting at ambient temperature for 12 hours not product was detected on TLC.

Table 4.3: Experimental procedures.
All reaction are carried out in dram or scintillation vials epuipped with stir bars.
Table 4.3: Entry 1
4.49 product ( $4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 7.3 \mu \mathrm{mols}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 1.15 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .29 \mathrm{ml}$ of TFA (20 vol\%) was added and the reaction was monitored for starting material consumption. After 10 minutes the solvent was removed in vacuo. Degradation was apparent.

Table 4.3: Entry 2
4.49 product ( $4.3 \mathrm{mg}, 7.1 \mu \mathrm{mols}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 0.7 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ (21.3 $\mu \mathrm{mols},, 3.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.7 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and added to the substrate. After 5 minutes the reaction was quenched with $E t N_{3}$. After the solvent was removed in vacuo degradation was apparent.

Table 4.3: Entry 3
4.49 product ( $6.1 \mathrm{mg}, 10.1 \mu \mathrm{mols}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of $\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .6 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ( 30.2 $\mu \mathrm{mols}, 3.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and added to the substrate. After 15 minutes the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{ml})$. After the solvent was removed in vacuo degradation was apparent.

Table 4.3: Entry 4
4.49 product ( $5.5 \mathrm{mg}, 8.8 \mu \mathrm{mols}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 1.57 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .175 \mathrm{ml}$ of TFA (10 vol\%) was added and the reaction was monitored for starting material consumption. After 10 minutes the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{ml})$, TLC showed loss of MOM group.

Table 4.3: Entry 5
4.49 product ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6.6 \mu \mathrm{mols}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 1 ml of $\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A stock solution of $\mathrm{MeSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ in $\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}(25: 75 \mathrm{vol} \%)$ was made and 0.25 ml was added to the substrate. After 5 minutes the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{ml})$. After the solvent was removed in vacuo degradation was apparent.

Table 4.3: Entry 6
4.49 product ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 0.346 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 5 ml of EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A 3 M solution of HCl in EtOH was made with AcCl , and 4 ml was added to the substrate before warming to room temperature. After 1.5 hours the reaction was poured into a separator funnel containing 50 ml of cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Extract the aqueous layer twice with 100 ml of EtOAc. Combined organics were extracted with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{ml})$ and twice with brine ( 100 ml ) before drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. This was followed by filtration, removal of solvent in vacuo and purification by silica gel column chromatography to furnish 128 mg of 4.50 in $66 \%$ yield. See 4.50 entry for characterization data.

Table 4.4: Experimental procedures.
All reaction are carried out in dram or scintillation vials epuipped with stir bars.
Table 4.4: Entry 1
See 4.50 entry for characterization data and reaction details.
Table 4.4: Entry 2
4.46 product ( $334 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 14 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium Methanethiolate ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.) was added. After 10 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and AcOH was added ( $82 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ eq.). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was placed on a vacuum pump for an hour. The residue was then was dissolved in 14 ml of MeOH , Trityl phthalimido disulfide ( $454 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and this solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . After this time the solvent was removed in vacuo and the mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $2 \%->2.5 \%$ $>3.3 \%->\% 5$ acetone in toluene) to furnish 4.55, but no detectable trityl trisulfide.

Table 4.4: Entry 3
4.46 product ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 4 ml of deoxygenated EtOH and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium Methanethiolate ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0$ eq.) was added. After 10 minutes TLC indicated consumption of starting material and AcOH was added ( $34 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was placed on a vacuum pump for an hour. Trityl phthalimido disulfide ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 4 ml of DMF and this solution was used to dissolve the substrate mixture. The reaction was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after 45 minutes the solvent was removed in vacuo. This mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $2 \%->2.5 \%->3.3 \%-$ $>\% 5$ acetone in toluene) to furnish 4.55, but no detectable trityl trisulfide.
See 4.52 entry for characterization data and reaction details
Table 4.4: Entry 5
See 4.52 entry for characterization data and reaction details.
Table 4.4: Entry 6
See 4.53 entry for characterization data and reaction details.

Table 4.5: Experimental procedures.
All reaction are carried out in dram or scintillation vials epuipped with stir bars.
Table 4.5: Entry 1
4.50 product ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 eq .) was dissolved in 2.13 of dry DCM and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As stock solution was prepared of $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ etherate ( $47 \% \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ by weight) in $900 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $\mathrm{DCM} .400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of this stock solution ( 0.336 mmol , $\sim 16$ eq.) was added to the substrate and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. No conversion was immediately. After 15 hours. the reaction diluted with saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with EtOAc twice ( 3 ml ). Organic layers with washed once with brine ( 5 ml ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was loaded onto pTLC. pTLC purification in 5:1 hexane: EtOAC eluent yielded two spots. The lower spot
( $R f=0.46$, Hexane: EtOAc, $5: 1$ ) was determined to be recovered starting. The top spot ( $R f=0.71$, Hexane: EtOAc, $5: 1$ ) was determined to be olefin product 5.54 among other impurities. Mass recover was poor, less than 2 mg in both spots.

## Table 4.5: Entry 2

4.50 product ( $9.5 \mathrm{mg}, 26.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1.7 ml of dry nitropropane and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of TfOH ( $0.565 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 33 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to 1 ml of DCM to form a stock solution. $0.15 \mathrm{ml}(\sim 5 \mathrm{eq})$ of stock solution was added. After 10 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc (3 ml) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. TLC of the crude reaction mixture showed apparent decomposition of starting material.

## Table 4.5: Entry 3

4.50 product ( $7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 13.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 1.3 of dry DCM. $\ln (\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 13.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After 3 hours there was no consumption of starting material.

Table 4.5: Entry 4
4.50 product ( $9.8 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was dissolved in 1.75 of dry DCM. Cu(OTf)2 ( $6.3 \mathrm{mg}, 13.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC. A more polar ( $R f=0.56$, Hexane: EtOAc, $3: 1$ ) spot appeared relative to starting material ( $R f=0.88$, Hexane: EtOAc, 3:1). After 45 minutes 3.0 addition equivalents of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ were added. After a total of 3 hours, the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was preformed in 4:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ determination of the two major bands indicated a loss of $t$-butyl trisulfide but no formation of unsaturated ester.

## Table 4.5: Entry 5

4.50 product ( $14.5 \mathrm{mg}, 25.8 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar and activate 3A molecular sieves powder. A 0.15 M stock solution of 2,6 lutidine in DCM was prepared and the substrate was dissolved in it ( $0.26 \mathrm{ml} 38.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.5 eq .). The reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a 0.10 M stock solution of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was prepared. $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution was added ( $0.37 \mathrm{ml}, 36.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.40$ eq to the substrate dropwise over 5 minutes. After 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 32eq. of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ etherate was added ( $50 \mu$ l of stock solution prepared as describe in entry 1 ) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over 40 minutes before dilution with EtOAc ( 5 ml ), washing once with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (2.5 $\mathrm{ml})$, once with $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2.5 \mathrm{ml})$, and once with brine ( 2.5 ml ) before drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The reaction was filtered through a chem wipe and a short $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ plug to furnish 8 mg of product. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of crude material showed 5.54 product in $\sim 90 \%$ purity. See Entry 5.54 for characterization data

## Table 4.5: Entry 6

4.50 product ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 26.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar and activate 3A molecular sieves powder. 2,6-di-tert-butyl 4- methylpyridine ( $7.7 \mathrm{mg}, 35.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added, the solids were dissolved in 2.43 ml of DCM, and the reaction was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution was added dropwise over 5 minutes ( $0.364,0.0374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$.). 2.5 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ was prepared with 1.4 eq. of TFA. After 15 minutes this solution was added to the substrate and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes. TLC with standards confirmed only decomposition and product 4.54.

## Table 4.5: Entry 7

4.50 product ( $27.2 \mathrm{mg}, 48.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.5 ml of dry DCM in a flame dried dram vial equipt with stir bar and activated 3A molecular sieves powder. The reaction was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NEt3}(10.3 \mu \mathrm{l}, ~, 72.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.5 eq.) was added follow by addition of $50 \mu \mathrm{ml}$ of $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ stock solution ( $100 \mu \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}: 900 \mu \mathrm{ml}, 1.33 \mathrm{eq}$.) The reaction was monitored byTLC, after 40 minutes the reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 5 ml ), washed once with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2.5 \mathrm{ml})$, and once with brine ( 2.5 ml ) before drying over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The reaction was filtered and the solvent removed in vacuo. The residue was purified by small-scale $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ column chromatography (15:1->10:1->7:1) Hex;EtOAc in a peptide to afford 9.7 mg of 4.54 for $37 \%$ yield and 2.6 mg of related thiol product congener Side product 2. 5.54. ( $R f=0.58$, Hexane: EtOAc, 8:1). Side product 2. ( $R f=0.81$, Hexane: EtOAc, $8: 1$ )
4.54
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, ठ 6.91-6.77 (m, 1H), 5.82 (d, J=14.7, 1H), 5.79 (d, J= 14.7, 1H), 5.37 (3.57, J= 6.4 Hz , 0.6 H ), 4.96 (d, J= 22.4, 0.7H), 4.18 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.97 (d, J= $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40$ (t, J= 7.1 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.2 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.78-1.62 (m, 6H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.34-1.25 (m, 4H), 1.08-1.01 (m, 21H) NMR (CDCl 3,125 $\mathrm{MHz})$,: $\delta 166.6,166.5,150.5,150.5,147.3,136.7,122.3,121.4,121.1,111.9,108.0,106.7,80.9,80.1,60.3,60.3$, $50.6,48.9,48.9,48.2,39.0,33.7,31.3,30.3,29.9,29.9,26.5,26.5,26.3,19.0,18.5,14.3,13.5,11.3$. MS-ESI (m/z): $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ 697.35; found 697.6.
Side product 2
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\delta 6.91-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $0.25 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H})^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$, ) $\delta 166.6,166.5,150.4,150.4,147.2, ~$ $136.6,122.4,121.5,121.2,111.9,107.9,106.7,80.9,80.2,60.3,60.3,50.6,38.4,33.7,29.7,19.0,18.6,14.3,13.4$ MS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{SSi}$, 422.27; found 422.5.

Table 4.5: Entry 8
4.50 product ( $17.4 \mathrm{mg}, 31.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 2.5 ml of dry DCM in a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar and cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.325 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NSF}_{4}$ was added ( 1.33 eq .) and the reaction was warmed to room temperature over 30 minutes. The reaction was then diluted with EtOAc ( 5 ml ), washed once with water ( 5 ml ), brine ( 5 ml ) and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The reaction was filtered, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the residue. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ revealed formation of 4.54 along with aliphatic decomposition products.

Table 4.5: Entry 9
4.50 product ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 37.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 3.2 ml of dry DCM, and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( $580 \mu \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC over 1.5 hours. Consumption of starting material was slow, and upon warming to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a baseline decomposition product was visible.

Table 4.5: Entry 10
4.50 product ( $21.3 \mathrm{mg}, 37.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 3.2 ml of dry DCM , and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{TiCl} 4$ stock solution ( $580 \mu \mathrm{ml}, 1.5$ eq.) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC. Consumption of starting material near instantaneous and complete baseline decomposition product was visible.

## Table 4.5: Entry 11

4.50 product ( $17.1 \mathrm{mg}, 30.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 0.55 ml of toluene and cooled to $-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .47 \mathrm{ml}$ of 0.1 M KHMDS stock solution ( 1.5 eq ) was added to the substrate and the reaction was stirred at $-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes before cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .47 \mathrm{ml}$ of 0.1 M of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( 1.5 eq ) was added and the reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes before the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc (3 ml) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 5.8 mg of 4.54 product was characterized for a $37 \%$ yield.

Table 4.5: Entry 12
4.50 product ( $17.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of DCM at room temperature. 1.4 ml of a 34 mM solution of Martin's Sulfurane ( 1.55 eq.) in DCM was added. After 10 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in $8: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 2.0 mg of 4.54 product was characterized for a $12 \%$ yield.

Table 4.5: Entry 13
4.50 product ( $17.1 \mathrm{mg}, 30.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 1.4 ml of THF and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .66 .6 \mu \mathrm{ml}$ of 0.7 M deoxo-fluor ${ }^{\circledR}$ ( 1.5 eq.) was added to the diluted substrate. Full conversion was observed by TLC after 10 minutes. After 15 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \mathrm{ml})$, and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 2.4 mg of 4.54 product was characterized for a $15 \%$ yield.

## Table 4.6: Experimental procedures.

Table 4.6: Entry 1
4.53 product ( $23.8 \mathrm{mg}, 33.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 2.8 ml of dry DCM, and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( $510 \mu \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was monitored by TLC over 1.5 hours. Consumption of starting material was slow, and upon warming to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a baseline decomposition product was visible.

Table 4.6: Entry 2
4.53 product ( $23.8 \mathrm{mg}, 33.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 2.5 ml of dry DCM, in addition of $220 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.15 \mathrm{M} 2,6$ lutidine stock ( 1.0 eq.) solution and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. I of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $510 \mu, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ eq.) was added and the reaction was stirred for 5 minutes before warming to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 minutes. After 15 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml )
and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over MgSO 4 , filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in $8: 1$ Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 16.8 mg of 4.58 product was obtained as a clear film in $75 \%$ yield. $R f=0.40$, Hexane: EtOAc, 8:1.
$4.58{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, ) $\delta 7.44-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.76$ (dd, J=15.7, 7.5 Hz, 0.66H), 6.73 (dd, $J=15.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.33 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.73 (dd, J=15.7, 1.1 Hz, 0.33H), 5.71 (dd, J=15.7, 1.3 Hz, 0.66H), 5.26 (t, J=6.4 Hz, 0.7H), $4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.18-2.11 (m, 0.6H), 1.68-1.60 (m, 2H), $1.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, $)$ б 166.6, 166.5, 150.5, 150.5, 143.9, 143.8, 136.6, 130.2, 127.8, 126.9, 122.1, 121.1, 111.7, 106.8, $70.9,60.4,60.3,50.4,48.0,36.6,36.5,33.6,31.2,30.3,26.5,26.4,21.1,18.9,18.6,18.5,14.3,14.3,14.2,13.4,11.3$. MS-ESI (m/z): [M+] calcd. $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{Si}, 696.35$; found 696.2

Table 4.6: Entry 3
4.53 product ( $23.8 \mathrm{mg}, 33.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 eq .) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 330 $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of $0.15 \mathrm{M} 2,6$ lutidine stock ( 1.0 eq .) solution and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf} 2 \mathrm{O}(510 \mu \mathrm{l}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was stirred for five minutes. After this time 2 ml of $2.5 \mathrm{vol} \%$ TFA in n - $\mathrm{PrNO}_{2}$ was added and the reaction was stirred a further 10 minutes. After 15 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 10.9 mg of 4.58 product was characterized for a $49 \%$ yield.

Table 4.6: Entry 4
4.53 product ( $16.6 \mathrm{mg}, 23.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 0.42 ml of toluene and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .0 .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{KHMDS}$ stock solution ( $0.36 \mathrm{ml}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the substrate and the reaction was stirred at $-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes before cooling to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $.0 .1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( $0.36 \mathrm{ml}, 35 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes before the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc $(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent to afford 8.6 mg of 4.58 product in $53 \%$ yield and 3.6 mg ketone of 4.59 in $21 \%$ yield.

## Table 4.6: Entry 5

4.53 product ( $20.3 \mathrm{mg}, 28.4 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.0 eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of DCM at room temperature. A 34 mM solution of Martin's Sulfurane ( $1.4 \mathrm{ml}, 44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.55 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. After 10 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent and 3.0 mg of 4.58 product was isolated for a $15 \%$ yield.

## Table 4.6: Entry 6

4.52 product ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 26.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar, dissolved in 2 ml of dry DCM, in addition to 2,6 -lutidine ( $5.4 \mu \mathrm{l}$, $39 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1.5 eq.) solution and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf} 2 \mathrm{O}(510 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 39$ $\mu \mathrm{mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added and the reaction was stirred for 5 minutes before warming to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 10 minutes. After 15 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. Crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR showed 4.57 was present among impurities.

## Table 4.6: Entry 7

4.52 product ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 25.3 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) and MgO ( $1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ eq.) were added to a flame dried dram vial equipped with stir bar. 2.0 ml of dry DCM was added, the solution and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. of $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.58$ eq.) was added. Consumption of starting material was slow, and upon warming to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a baseline decomposition product was visible.

## Table 4.6: Entry 8

4.52 product ( $15.3 \mathrm{mg}, 25.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{NaH}(1.5 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$.) was suspended in 0.2 ml of toluene and stirred at room temperature for 30 minutes before being cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .1 .8 \mathrm{ml}$ of DCM was added followed by $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution ( $400 \mu \mathrm{l}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.58$ eq.). A complex miture was visible on TLC upon addition of $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Table 4.6: Entry 9
4.52 product ( $17.8 \mathrm{mg}, 28.2 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in 1 ml of DCM at room temperature. A 34 mM solution of Martin's Sulfurane ( $1.4 \mathrm{ml}, 44 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.55 \mathrm{eq}$.) was added. After 10 minutes the reaction was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. pTLC purification was
performed in 8:1 Hexane:EtOAc eluent. While 4.57 product was detected by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, it was contaminated with Martin's Sulfurane derived side products.

## Table 4.7: Experimental procedures.

## General procedure for entires 1-3

Cyclopropylcarbinol (4.45, 4.46 or $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ ) was dissolved in $0.15 \mathrm{M} 2,6$ lutidine stock solution in DCM to make a 0.1 M solution of substrate. This solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a volume of $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock solution in DCM was added (1.4 eq.). After 3 minutes of stirring a volume of $4: 1$ DCM:AcSH equal to the reaction volume was added. The reaction was warmed to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. over 27 minutes. The reaction was quenched by pouring into cold $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ and dilution with EtOAc ( 5 ml ). The organic was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ twice, once with brine, and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Decomposition was evident with 4.50 as substrate. pTLC purification 4.46 and 4.45 derived reactions yielded multiple bands of fouling smelling over-mass yellow oil. Initially the extra mass was thought to be residual AcSH, but rigorous co-evaporation with low boiling solvents in high vacuum failed to remove it. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of these bands revealed no detectable unsaturated ester signals.

Table 4.7: Entry 4
4.60 product ( $19.4 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and $\mathrm{InCl}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DCE: AcSH
( $5: 1$ ) stock solution ( $\sim 3$ eq.). The reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. After this time the reaction was diluted with 3 ml of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, extracted with $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{ml})$, water $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ and brine $(2 \mathrm{ml})$. TLC and NMR of isolated product confirmed no reaction occurred, only starting material 4.60 was recovered.

Table 4.7: Entry 5
4.58 product ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 14.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 . e q$.) was dissolved in 2 ml of $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{MeSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}(65 \mu \mathrm{l}, 75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 0.5 M ) was added. The reaction was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes before it was poured into a test tube of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(4$ $\mathrm{ml})$, extracted twice with EtOAc ( 3 ml ) and the combined organics were washed once with brine ( 3 ml ). The organic layers were dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent removed in vacuo. TLC of the crude reaction mixture showed apparent decomposition of starting material.

## Table 4.7: Entry 6

4.46 product ( $19.3 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of DCM. Trichloroacetic acid ( $9.8 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5$ eq.) was added. The reaction was monitored by TLC, extended reaction times ( 4 hrs .) did not lead to conversion.

## Table 4.8: Experimental procedures.

Table 4.8: Entry 1
4.61 product ( $19.9 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) was placed in a conical pressure vessel with stir bar. The reaction was placed in an oil bath at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 25 minutes. After this time the residue was purified by pTLC with $20: 1$ Hexane: EtOAc as eluent and two major spots were found. The least polar spot (. $R f=0.55$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be 4.60 and was isolated in $19 \%$ yield ( 2.9 mg ). The most polar spot (. $R f=0.39$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be intractable material.

Table 4.8: Entry 2
4.61 product ( $19.9 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of o-DCB and placed in a conical pressure vessel with stir bar. The reaction was placed in a $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath 5 minutes. After this time the residue was purified by pTLC with 20:1 Hexane: EtOAc as eluent and two major spots were found. The least polar spot (. $R f=0.55$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be 4.60 and was isolated in $40 \%$ yield $(6.1 \mathrm{mg})$. The most polar spot (. $R f=0.39$, Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be intractable material.

Table 4.8: Entry 3
4.62 product ( $17.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of o-DCB and placed in a conical pressure vessel with stir bar. The reaction was placed in a $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath 5 minutes. After this time the residue was purified by pTLC with 15:1 Hexane: EtOAc as eluent and two major spots were found. The least polar spot (. $R f=0.36$, Hexane: EtOAc, 15:1) was found to be trace amounts of 4.63 , contaminated with EtOAc. The most polar spot (. $R f=0.25$, Hexane: EtOAc, $15: 1$ ) was found to be intractable material.

Table 4.8: Entry 4
2.61 product ( $19.9 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) was dissolved in $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of o-DCB-d4 and placed in an NMR tube. The tube was heated for 10 minutes at 110 and $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then 7 minutes at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 5 minutes at 150,160 and $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1 H-NMR spectra were taken after each time period. The tube was heated at $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes before being loaded onto pTLC and purified with 20:1 Hexane: EtOAc as eluent. Two major spots were found. The least polar spot (. Rf=
0.55 , Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be 4.60 and was isolated in $17 \%$ yield ( 2.6 mg ). The most polar spot $(. R f=$ 0.39 , Hexane: EtOAc, 20:1) was found to be intractable material.

Table 4.8: General procedure for entries 5 \& 6
Product ( 4.61 or $4.62,1.0$ eq.), $\mathrm{S}_{8}(40 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and AIBN ( 1.0 eq ) were dissolved in DCE to make a 0.066 M solution in a sealed vessel equipped with stir bar. The reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. After this time the reaction was cooled to room temperature and 10 eq. of NaBH 4 in methanol was added. The reaction was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction was then poured into $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and extracted with DCM. Signifcate base line decomposition product and numerous spots were visible in both cases.

Table 4.8: Entry 7
4.62 ( $17.2 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and AIBN ( $1 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in 0.15 ml of toluene and heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Every hour 1 mg of AIBN was added. After 6 hours the solvent was removed in vacuo. Crude 1H-NMR and TLC with standard showed only starting material.

Table 4.8: Entry 8
4.62 (17.2 mg, $30.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0$ eq.) and lauroyl peroxide ( $2.4 \mathrm{mg} .6 .0 \mu \mathrm{~mol} 20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was dissolved in 0.15 ml of toluene dram vial equipped with stir bar. The reaction was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, every hour 2.4 mg of lauroyl peroxide was added. After 6 hours the solvent was removed in vacuo and residue was purified by pTLC with 15:1 Hexane: EtOAc as eluent. Two major spots were found. The Most polar spot (. $R f=0.36$, Hexane: EtOAc, 15:1) was found to be 4.63, contaminated with Starting material $6.62(1.9 \mathrm{mg})$. The least polar spot (. $R f=0.45$, Hexane: EtOAc, 15:1) was found to be intractable material.

Table 4.8: General procedure for entries 9 \& 10
Product ( 4.61 or $4.62,1.0$ eq.) and AIBN ( 1.25 eq.) was dissolved in toluene to make a 0.1 M solution in a dram vial equipped with stir bar. Hexamethyltin ( 1.25 eq ) was added and the reaction was heated to $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours. After this time the solvent was removed, and the residue was loaded onto pTLC for purification. Isolated bands contained starting material, albeit in low mass recovery.

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of compound 4.16 (MeOD-d4, 125 MHz )






254 nm HPLC Trace \& Conditions for Trisulfide 4.19 and $S_{\mathbf{2}}$ Products


Mono= 26.6\% Tri= 54.0\% Penta= $\mathbf{1 2 . 1} \%$ Unidentified= $\mathbf{7 . 3}$ \%
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## 254 nm HPLC Trace \& Conditions for Trisulfide 4.27 and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Products

DAD1 B Sg-254,16Refodf (LKELSS321700006D)


Mono= 23.2\% Tri= 48.6\% Penta= 10.9\% Unidentified= $\mathbf{1 7 . 3}$ \%


```
Control
    Column Flow
    Stoptime
    Posttime
Solvents
    Solvent A : 55.0 % (W
    Solvent B : 45.0 % (N
```

Timetable

| Time | Solv.E | Flow Fressure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 45.0 | 12.000 | 400 |
| 2.00 | 45.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 0.00 | 00.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 13.00 | 100.0 | 15.000 | 400 |
| 14.00 | 45.0 | 15.000 | 400 |







4.31254 nm hplc trace SunFire® C18 OBD 5um
$19 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ column


Timetable

| Time | Solv.B | Flow |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| \|------- | Pressure |  |
| 0.00 | 40.0 | 10.000 |
| 2.00 | 40.0 | 18.000 |
| 14.00 | 80.0 | 18.000 |
| 15.00 | 100.0 | 18.000 |
| 16.00 | 35.0 | 18.000 |
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound $4.45\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$








## APT spectrum of compound $5.50\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right)$













COSY spectrum of compound $5.54\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$














Relavant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR for table $4.1{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ Table 4.1 Entry 1


## Table 4.1. Entry $2{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



Table 4.1. Entry $3{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Relevant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR for table $4.5{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
Table 4.5 Entry 1


Table 4.5 Entry 4-Recovered S.M. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Table 4.5 Entry 4-DestertButyl Side Prod. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Table 4.5 Entry 75.54 product
See 5.54 spectra
Table 4.5 Entry 7 Side Product 2


Table 4.5 Entry 8 Crude NMR


Table 4.5 Entry 11 Crude NMR


Table 4.5 Entry 12


Table 4.5 Entry 13


Relevant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ for table 4.6
Table 4.6 Entry 2 See 5.58 spectra.
Table 4.6 Entry 3 Isolated 5.58


Table 4.6 Entry 4 Isolated 5.58


Table 4.6 Entry 5


Table 4.6 Entry 6


406

Table 4.6 Entry 9


Relevant ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR for table 4.7
table 4.7 entry 4


Relevant ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR for table 4.8
Table 4.8 entry 1


Table 4.8 entry 2


Table 4.8 entry $4-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Table 4.8 entry $4-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Table 4.8 entry 4- $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
 Table 4.8 entry 4- $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Table 4.8 entry 4-5 minutes $170{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Table 4.8 entry 4- 15 minutes $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


Table 4.8 entry Isolated 4.60 product


Table 4.8 entry isolated 4.60 product overlaid with scheme 4.9 derived 4.60 product
LJS-5-178-M 42 1 C:\NI
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