

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Effect of short-term research training programs on medical students' attitudes toward aging

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5wk4p6s3>

Authors

Jeste, Dilip V
Avanzino, Julie
Depp, Colin A
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2020-05-21

DOI

10.4324/9780429330407-9

Peer reviewed



Effect of short-term research training programs on medical students' attitudes toward aging

Dilip V. Jeste, Julie Avanzino, Colin A. Depp, Maja Gawronska, Xin Tu, Daniel D. Sewell & Steven F. Huege

To cite this article: Dilip V. Jeste, Julie Avanzino, Colin A. Depp, Maja Gawronska, Xin Tu, Daniel D. Sewell & Steven F. Huege (2018) Effect of short-term research training programs on medical students' attitudes toward aging, *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*, 39:2, 214-222, DOI: [10.1080/02701960.2017.1340884](https://doi.org/10.1080/02701960.2017.1340884)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701960.2017.1340884>



Published online: 18 Jul 2017.



[Submit your article to this journal](#)



Article views: 404



[View related articles](#)



[View Crossmark data](#)



Citing articles: 6 [View citing articles](#)



Effect of short-term research training programs on medical students' attitudes toward aging

Dilip V. Jeste^{a,b}, Julie Avanzino^{a,b}, Colin A. Depp^{a,b,c}, Maja Gawronska^{a,b}, Xin Tu^{b,d}, Daniel D. Sewell^a and Steven F. Huege^a

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, California USA; ^bSam and Rose Stein Institute for Research on Aging, University of California, San Diego, California USA; ^cVA San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California USA; ^dDepartment of Family Medicine and Public Health, University of California, San Diego, California USA

ABSTRACT



Strategies to build a larger workforce of physicians dedicated to research on aging are needed. One method to address this shortage of physician scientists in geriatrics is short-term training in aging research for early-stage medical students. The authors examined the effects of two summer research training programs, funded by the National Institutes of Health, on medical students' attitudes toward aging, using the Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCOA). The programs combined mentored research, didactics, and some clinical exposure. In a sample of 134 participants, COCOA scores improved significantly after completion of the research training program. There was a significant interaction of gender, such that female students had higher baseline scores than males, but this gender difference in COCOA scores was attenuated following the program. Four of the six COCOA subscales showed significant improvement from baseline: early interest in geriatrics, empathy/compassion, attitudes toward geriatrics careers, and ageism.

KEYWORDS

Ageism; attitudes; medical students; older adults; research training

Introduction

Although the number of older Americans will increase from 15% in 2014 to 21% in 2030 (Federal Interagency Forum on Aging-Related Statistics, 2016), the gap between demand for and supply of physicians with geriatric expertise will widen (Committee on the Future Health Care Workforce for Older Americans Board on Health Care Services, Institute of Medicine, 2008). By 2030, there will be fewer than three geriatricians and less than one geriatric psychiatrist per 10,000 adults older than age 75 (American Geriatrics Society 2011; Committee on the Future Health Care Workforce for Older Americans Board on Health Care Services, Institute of Medicine, 2008; Warshaw & Bragg, 2008). By comparison, there is estimated to be one radiation oncologist per 100 adults older than age 65 needing radiation therapy in 2020 (Smith et al., 2010). Limited clinical experience in geriatrics in medical school coupled with concerns about relying on Medicare and inadequate reimbursement for geriatric services are important factors in disincentivizing a career in geriatrics; negative attitudes toward older adults may also

CONTACT Dilip V. Jeste, MD  djeste@ucsd.edu  Sam and Rose Stein Institute for Research on Aging, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive #0664, La Jolla, CA 92023-0664, USA.

contribute. Clinicians have pervasive negative views about seniors with medical conditions (Kearney, Miller, Paul, & Smith, 2000; Meisner, 2012). Residents and medical students are reported to provide potentially age-biased recommendations for procedures such as breast conservation or reconstruction after modified radical mastectomy (Madan, Aliabadi-Wahle, & Beech, 2001). First-year medical students endorse negative attitudes toward older adults and report low interest in geriatric medicine (Fitzgerald, Wray, Halter, Williams, & Supiano, 2003; Perrotta, Perkins, Schimpfhauser, & Calkins, 1981; Reuben, Fullerton, Tschann, & Croughan-Minihane, 1995), and only 3% to 4% of these students express a strong interest in geriatrics (Fitzgerald et al., 2003; Perrotta et al., 1981; Voogt, Mickus, Santiago, & Herman, 2008). Attitudes toward aging remain unchanged during the medical school training (Thorson & Powell, 1991). In one study of fourth-year medical students, interest in geriatrics was the third lowest among 14 specialties listed (Duthie, Donnelly, & Kirsling, 1987). Even when medical students report a moderately positive perception of older adults, 90% show an implicit preference for younger over older people (Ruiz et al., 2015).

Medical students with positive attitudes toward seniors and those who have cared for seniors prior to medical school have a greater interest in geriatrics, suggesting that interventions that reduce ageist attitudes and offer clinical geriatric experience to medical students may increase the number of physicians entering geriatrics (Fitzgerald et al., 2003). Educational programs targeted to change medical students' attitudes toward older adults lead to improved positive attitudes and reduced negative age stereotypes (Atkinson et al., 2013; Corwin et al., 2006; Laks et al., 2016; Varkey, Chutka, & Lesnick, 2006; Wilkinson, Gower, & Sainsbury, 2002; Wilson & Hafferty, 1980). Many of these interventions were designed with the goal of changing student attitudes toward aging, and not for offering aging-focused research training. There is a need for larger workforces of geriatric clinicians and researchers. Short-term research training programs, notwithstanding their limitations, are a pragmatic method for increasing the potential pipeline of physician scientists interested in aging (Jeste, Halpain, Trinidad, Reichstadt, & Lebowitz, 2007). Due to the limited time commitment required and because they can be offered early in the medical school training, these programs can involve sizable proportions of first-year medical students in research.

We evaluated the impact of two National Institutes of Health (NIH)-funded, national-level, short-term research training programs, Medical Student Training in Aging Research (MSTAR) and Medical Students' Sustained Training and Research Experience in Aging and Mental Health (M-STREAM) (Black et al., 2013; Dumbauld et al., 2014; Jeste et al., 2007), on medical students' attitudes toward aging. These programs have previously been reported to improve research self-efficacy among medical students (Black et al., 2013). A recent study by Barron, Bragg, Cayea, Durso, and Fedarko (2015) suggested highly promising longer-term results of the MSTAR program, as 7.8% of the medical students who participated in the Johns Hopkins MSTAR program between 1994 and 2010 went on to become geriatricians or were completing training to become geriatricians. This is a much higher percentage of geriatricians entering the workforce than the 0.5% of active physicians who are practicing geriatrics nationally (The Center for Workforce Studies at the Association of American Medical Colleges, 2012).

We hypothesized that participating students' attitudes toward older adults would become more positive after completing the MSTAR and M-STREAM programs. We also examined whether variation in student characteristics (e.g., gender) was associated with change in attitudes.

Method

Program description

The MSTAR and M-STREAM programs have been described previously (Black et al., 2013; Jeste et al., 2007). Briefly, MSTAR is a multisite program supported by the National Institute on Aging (NIA), American Federation for Aging Research (AFAR), and the John A. Hartford Foundation. It provides funding to several selected sites, for up to 18 first-year medical students from across the United States per site annually, to participate in an aging-focused summer research training program (8 to 12 consecutive weeks of full-time training, with stipends). M-STREAM was a single-site program, funded by the National Institute of Mental Health. M-STREAM was similar to MSTAR except that it focused on geriatric psychiatry or neuroscience research. Student selection criteria for both programs included past academic performance, interest in geriatrics or aging-related research, and potential for academic career advancement. Each selected student was paired with a research mentor in basic, clinical, or translational research, based on the student's interest, and conducted during the summer following the first year of medical school, a research project under the mentor's guidance. Students participated in didactic sessions covering topics of bioethics, effective publication strategies, and successful aging and received some clinical geriatrics exposure in other settings, primarily through a visit to a specialized geropsychiatric inpatient unit.

Study participants

University's Human Research Protections Program approved the study protocol, and informed consent was obtained from all participants. There were a total of 178 first-year medical students who completed the MSTAR and M-STREAM programs from 2011 to 2016, and 149 completed the preprogram Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCOA) (83.7%). Data were available on demographic characteristics of all 178, and there were no differences between the 149 who completed the preprogram COCOA and the remaining 29 students in terms of gender, race/ethnicity, program, enrollment in a top-20 medical school, or project type. There were six cohorts of MSTAR students and four cohorts of M-STREAM students. Students were asked to complete several rating scales immediately prior to beginning the program and immediately following its completion. Of the 149 who completed the preprogram COCOA, 134 students completed both the pre- and postprogram COCOA. Students who only completed the preprogram COCOA were more likely to be female, $\chi^2(1) = 4.0$, $p = .046$, and a participant of the MSTAR program, $\chi^2(1) = 10.5$, $p = .001$, than those who completed both the pre- and postprogram COCOA.

Measurements

We used the COCOA (Hollar, Roberts, & Busby-Whitehead, 2011), a standardized and validated scale with strong interitem reliability (Cronbach's alpha = .811) for assessment of medical and health professional students' attitudes toward older adults. COCOA is a 42-item survey that contains six subscales: Early Interest in Geriatrics, Empathy/Compassion, Attitudes toward Geriatrics Careers, Ageism, Clinical and Social Services for Older Adults, and Social Value of Older Adults. Each item is scored on a 1 to 5 Likert-type agreement scale

Table 1. Sample questions from the Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCOA).

COCOA Subscale	Sample Questions
Early Interest in Geriatrics Empathy/Compassion	I have spent time caring for an older friend or family member. I always take the time to listen to what older adults have to say. I would stop what I was doing and immediately help an older patient.
Attitudes toward Geriatrics Careers	Working in geriatrics might limit my lifestyle and career goals more than working in other healthcare specialties.
Ageism	Most older adults are relatively inactive and stay close to home.
Clinical and Social Services for Older Adults	It is important that healthcare providers directly help older patients understand and make joint decisions on their healthcare options.
Social Value of Older Adults	Older adults are valuable contributors to our society.

from 1 to 5, yielding total scores from 42 to 210. Higher scores reflect more positive attitudes toward seniors. The COCOA has been used in several studies to date (Atkinson et al., 2013; Biese et al., 2011; Laks et al., 2016). Table 1 illustrates sample questions from the COCOA.

Students' gender, race/ethnicity, and current medical school were obtained from their program applications. Student race/ethnicity was categorized as White, African American, Hispanic/Latino, Asian, Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, Multi-Racial, or Other. Given the small cell size, these categories were then grouped as either White or Not White, and students who identified as Multi-Racial and Other were not included in the latter grouping. Top-20 medical schools were defined by the 2015 U.S. News Best Medical Schools for Research rankings (Best Graduate Schools 2015, 2014). The research project each student completed was categorized by the program staff as basic, clinical, or translational research. Seven of the projects could not be categorized due to being unclear or mixed.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were run to describe characteristics of the total sample. Linear regression and *t* tests were used to determine baseline differences in preprogram COCOA scores by student characteristics, whereas paired *t* test was employed to determine pre- and postprogram differences in COCOA total and subscale scores. Linear regression was also used to assess for significant interactions between changes in COCOA scores and student characteristics such as gender, with the difference between the post- and preprogram scores as dependent variable and student characteristics, preprogram scores, and their interactions as independent variables. We employed a backward elimination procedure to remove redundant variables to improve parsimony and then examined significant variables ($p < .05$) in the final model. Multicollinearity among covariates was assessed using the variance inflation factor (VIF). To ensure valid inference, distribution-free methods such as the asymptotic and permutation tests were used for outcomes that exhibited severe departures from the normal distribution (Effron & Tibshirani, 1993; Tang, He, & Tu, 2012). The α level was set at .05. All statistical analyses were two-tailed.

Results

A majority of the participating students were female, White, from top-20 medical schools, enrolled in MSTAR, and completed clinical research projects (Table 2). Higher preprogram COCOA scores were associated with being female, White, enrollment in MSTAR,

Table 2. Baseline sample characteristics and preprogram Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCO) scores ($N = 149$).

Characteristic (Number)	COCO Total Mean Score ^a (SD)	<i>t</i> - or <i>F</i> Score (df)	<i>p</i> Value	
Gender	Female (83)	156.7 (16.8)	4.24 (1, 147)	.041
	Male (66)	150.9 (17.6)		
Race/ethnicity	White (55)	158.1 (17.4)	4.68 (1, 147)	.032
	Non-White (94)	151.8 (17.0)		
Program	MSTAR (80)	159.9 (14.0)	21.29 (1, 147)	<.001
	M-STREAM (69)	147.5 (18.5)		
Medical school Enrollment	Top 20 (75)	149.2 (18.1)	13.35 (1, 147)	<.001
	Under top 20 (74)	159.2 (15.1)		
Project-type ^b	Basic (29)	156.1 (18.0)	3.18 (2, 139)	.044
	Clinical (92)	151.8 (17.6)		
	Translational (21)	161.9 (12.5)		

Note. MSTAR = Medical Student Training in Aging Research; M-STREAM = Medical Students' Sustained Training and Research Experience in Aging and Mental Health.

^aCOCO Total Score range = 42 to 210; COCO Items 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, and 42 are reverse-scored.

^bSeven of the projects could not be categorized due to being unclear or mixed.

and completing translational (rather than clinical) research projects. Students from top-20 medical schools had lower COCOA scores than others.

Overall, there was a significant improvement in total COCOA scores from pre- to postprogram (Table 3). Four of the six COCOA subscales showed significant improvement from pre- to postprogram: Early Interest in Geriatrics, Empathy/Compassion, Attitudes toward Geriatrics Careers, and Ageism.

The only significant interaction found between change in COCOA scores and baseline student characteristics was in gender, $F(1,132) = 5.71$, $p = .018$, such that male students' COCOA scores improved following the program participation, thereby diminishing the gap between male and female students' postprogram scores (Table 3). Project type did not have a statistically significant moderating effect.

In all the analyses, no severe departure from normality was detected for any of the analyses (t scores from the t tests and regression models) as determined by Q-Q plots and formal statistical tests for univariate normal distribution. There was also no evidence of multicollinearity, as the VIF was less than 1.5 for all covariates in the regression model. To ensure valid inference, we performed asymptotic permutation tests in addition to the t scores from the t tests and regression models and found virtually identical p values. Thus, results from the original t scores and associated p values are reported for the t tests and regression models (Table 4).

Table 3. Pre- and Postprogram Carolina Opinions on Care of Older Adults (COCO) scores by subscale ($N = 134$).

COCO ^a Subscale (Range)	Preprogram Mean (SD)	Postprogram Mean (SD)	<i>t</i> - or <i>F</i> score (df)	<i>p</i> Value
Early Interest in Geriatrics (5–25)	14.1 (4.3)	15.6 (4.2)	−5.87 (150)	< .001
Empathy/Compassion (4–20)	16.2 (2.4)	16.8 (2.3)	−3.82 (152)	< .001
Attitudes toward Geriatrics Careers (8–40)	28.4 (4.9)	29.8 (5.6)	−3.96 (153)	< .001
Ageism (9–45)	32.3 (5.0)	33.2 (5.4)	−2.67 (147)	= .008
Clinical and Social Services for Older Adults (11–55)	43.8 (6.1)	44.2 (7.1)	−.87 (142)	= .384
Social Value of Older Adults (5–25)	19.9 (2.5)	20.2 (2.8)	−1.69 (150)	= .093
COCO Total (Range)				
Female COCO Total (42–210)	156.2 (17.2)	158.9 (21.1)	5.71 (1, 132)	= .018
Male COCO Total (42–210)	150.5 (17.9)	159.4 (17.9)		
COCO total (42–210)	153.6 (17.7)	159.1 (19.6)	−4.22 (133)	< .001

Note. ^aCOCO Total score range = 42 – 210; COCO items 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 18, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 40, and 42 are reverse-scored.

Table 4. Linear regression model coefficients.

	Estimate (beta weight)	Standard Error	t Value	p Value
	Reduced (Trimmed) Model with Backward Elimination			
Intercept	2.70	1.81	1.50	.137
Male	6.51	2.70	2.42	.017
	Full (Initial) Model			
Intercept	5.42	4.32	1.25	.212
Male	6.15	2.74	2.24	.026
White	-3.34	2.88	-1.16	.249
MSTAR Program	-0.78	2.80	-0.28	.782
Top-20 medical school enrollment	1.60	2.74	0.58	.560
Clinical project type	-1.55	3.78	-0.41	.682
Translational project type	-4.30	4.80	-0.90	.371

Note. MSTAR = Medical Student Training in Aging Research
The baseline category for project type is basic project type.

Discussion

Our findings suggest that short-term research training programs focused on aging had a positive impact on medical students' attitudes toward older adults, especially in early interest in geriatrics, attitudes toward geriatrics careers, empathy and compassion toward older adults, and a reduction in ageism. These gains were made through mentored research training rather than a regular clinical rotation or an intervention explicitly focused on changing attitudes toward aging. There was a time by gender interaction, such that male medical students started out with worse attitudes than female student, but had a greater improvement, thereby exhibiting similar attitudes as females by the program's end.

This is, to our knowledge, the first study to indicate that aging-focused short-term research training can improve attitudes toward aging. There were multiple components within these programs that might have led to improved attitudes, including (1) exposure to aging-related research, and in some cases, research on successful aging (i.e., studies focusing on greater well-being among older adults); (2) participation in didactics on successful aging; (3) role modeling of mentors and program staff who had a strong interest in geriatrics, and exhibited optimism for improvement in health and well-being of older adults; (4) geriatric clinical experience, although limited, that offered some personal exposure to older adults; and (5) administration of the programs by a center with a focus on healthy aging. A recent review of interventions to elicit positive attitude change toward older adults among physicians and medical students found that interventions with an empathy-building component, such as mentoring, informal contact with older adults, or an aging simulation game appeared to be effective in changing attitudes (Samra, Griffiths, Cox, Conroy, & Knight, 2013). The MSTAR and M-STREAM programs incorporated mentoring and contact with older adults along with an emphasis on successful trajectories of aging. The COCOA subscales of early interest in geriatrics, empathy/compassion, attitudes toward geriatrics careers, and ageism showed improvement following the programs, but clinical and social services for older adults, and social value of older adults did not. The MSTAR and M-STREAM programs offered very limited clinical and community exposure, and this may explain why these two domains did not improve.

Our findings of gender differences in attitudes toward aging are consistent with other studies reporting that female medical students generally have more positive attitudes toward seniors than their male counterparts (Fitzgerald et al., 2003; Hollar et al., 2011; Holtzman, Beck, & Ettinger, 1981; Reuben et al., 1995; Ruiz et al., 2015). In this study, male students

demonstrated greater overall improvement in attitudes than female students, a finding that has also been reported in previous studies testing the effect of geriatric educational training and clinical exposure interventions on attitudes toward older adults (Hughes et al., 2008; Warren, Painter, & Rudisill, 1983). A likely explanation is that because females had high attitude scores preprogram, there was a ceiling effect for females, whereas male students had lower baseline scores, allowing room for improvement.

The finding of better attitudes toward aging among MSTAR compared to M-STREAM students at baseline might be due to self-selection bias. The MSTAR program focused on aging in a broad sense, whereas the M-STREAM focused on mental health and aging, perhaps drawing applicants with different views on aging in the context of health. It is not clear why students who undertook translational projects had higher COCOA scores than those undertaking clinical projects, or why students from top-20 medical schools demonstrated worse attitudes toward older adults. Possibly, more competitive medical schools need to pay greater attention to this area in their training curriculum.

There are several limitations to this study. It did not include a control group. The sample consisted of only first-year medical students from the United States, and therefore, the results may not generalize to other groups. Moreover, ours was a select group of medical students with expressed interest in aging, evidenced by their higher scores on COCOA compared to the scores reported among medical students in prior studies (Biese et al., 2011; Hollar et al., 2011), and therefore, these results may not represent all first-year medical students. It is not known whether gains in attitudes would persist at later time-points (e.g., at the end of medical school). Also, as our programs consisted of multiple components, we cannot be sure which particular components were responsible for changes in student attitudes. Finally, students who completed only the baseline COCOA assessment might have not exhibited the same level of improvement in attitudes as students who completed both sets of the measure.

Nonetheless, short-term aging-focused research training programs may be able to successfully foster positive attitudes toward seniors among medical students and, potentially, lead to larger numbers of physicians who decide to pursue a geriatrics (research) career. It is notable that the MSTAR and M-STREAM programs were associated with an increase in positive attitudes toward aging among the students who had already demonstrated an interest in geriatrics through their participation. Future directions for this work will include following-up with past trainees to track how many train for a career in geriatrics (Barron et al., 2015), incorporating clinical and community exposure into the programs, and including measures of implicit bias (Ruiz et al., 2015) to determine whether positive gains in self-report attitudes are reflected in the implicit attitudes of medical students toward seniors.

Acknowledgments

We want to thank Rebecca E. Daly for her invaluable help in data management.

Funding

Supported, in part, by: the National Institute on Aging T35 grant AG26757, the National Institutes of Health grant T35 AG026757/AG/NIA, the American Federation for Aging Research, the John A. Hartford Foundation, and the National Institutes of Health grant R25 MH071544/MH/NIMH, and by the Sam and Rose Stein Institute for Research on Aging at the University of California, San Diego.

References

- American Geriatrics Society. (2011). Projection on future number of geriatricians in the United States. Retrieved from http://www.americangeriatrics.org/files/documents/gwps/Table%201_4.pdf
- Atkinson, H. H., Lambros, A., Davis, B. R., Lawlor, J. S., Lovato, J., Sink, K. M., . . . Williamson, J. D. (2013). Teaching medical student geriatrics competencies in 1 week: An efficient model to teach and document selected competencies using clinical and community resources. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 61(7), 1182–1187. doi:10.1111/jgs.12314
- Barron, J. S., Bragg, E., Cayea, D., Durso, S. C., & Fedarko, N. S. (2015). The short-term and long-term impact of a brief aging research training program for medical students. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*, 36(1), 96–106. doi:10.1080/02701960.2014.942036
- Best Graduate Schools 2015. (2014). Washington, D.C.: U.S. News & World Report
- Biese, K. J., Roberts, E., LaMantia, M., Zamora, Z., Shofer, F. S., Snyder, G., . . . Busby-Whitehead, J. (2011). Effect of a geriatric curriculum on emergency medicine resident attitudes, knowledge, and decision-making. *Academic Emergency Medicine : Official Journal of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine*, 18(Suppl 2), S92–96. doi:10.1111/j.1553-2712.2011.01170.x
- Black, M. L., Curran, M. C., Golshan, S., Daly, R., Depp, C., Kelly, C., & Jeste, D. V. (2013). Summer research training for medical students: Impact on research self-efficacy. *Clinical and Translational Science*, 6(6), 487–489. doi:10.1111/cts.12062
- Center for Workforce Studies at the Association of American Medical Colleges. (2012). Physician specialty data book. Retrieved from <https://members.aamc.org/eweb/upload/2012%20Physician%20Specialty%20Data%20Book.pdf>
- Committee on the Future Health Care Workforce for Older Americans Board on Health Care Services, Institute of Medicine. (2008). Retooling for an aging America: Building the health care workforce. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press Retrieved from <http://www.iom.edu/Reports/2008/Retooling-for-an-Aging-America-Building-the-Health-Care-Workforce.aspx>
- Corwin, S. J., Frahm, K., Ochs, L. A., Rheume, C. E., Roberts, E., & Eleazer, G. P. (2006). Medical student and senior participants' perceptions of a mentoring program designed to enhance geriatric medical education. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*, 26(3), 47–65. doi:10.1300/J021v26n03_04
- Dumbauld, J., Black, M., Depp, C. A., Daly, R., Curran, M. A., Winegarden, B., & Jeste, D. V. (2014). Association of learning styles with research self-efficacy: Study of short-term research training program for medical students. *Clinical and Translational Science*, 7(6), 489–492. doi:10.1111/cts.12197
- Duthie, E. H., Donnelly, M. B., & Kirsling, R. A. (1987). Fourth-year students' preference for geriatrics as a career. *Journal of Medical Education*, 62(6), 511–514.
- Effron, B., & Tibshirani, R. J. (1993). *An introduction to the bootstrap*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall.
- Fitzgerald, J. T., Wray, L. A., Halter, J. B., Williams, B. C., & Supiano, M. A. (2003). Relating medical students' knowledge, attitudes, and experience to an interest in geriatric medicine. *Gerontologist*, 43(6), 849–855. doi:10.1093/geront/43.6.849
- Hollar, D., Roberts, E., & Busby-Whitehead, J. (2011). COCOA: A new validated instrument to assess medical students' attitudes towards older adults. *Educational Gerontology*, 37(3), 193–209. doi:10.1080/03601277.2010.532063
- Holtzman, J. M., Beck, J. D., & Ettinger, R. L. (1981). Cognitive knowledge and attitudes toward the aged of dental and medical students. *Educational Gerontology*, 6(2/3), 195–207. doi:10.1080/0380127810060210
- Hughes, N. J., Soiza, R. L., Chua, M., Hoyle, G. E., MacDonald, A., Primrose, W. R., & Gwyn Seymour, D. (2008). Medical student attitudes toward older people and willingness to consider a career in geriatric medicine. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 56(2), 334–338. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01552.x
- Jeste, D. V., Halpain, M. C., Trinidad, G. I., Reichstadt, J. L., & Lebowitz, B. D. (2007). UCSD's short-term research training programs for trainees at different levels of career development. *Academic Psychiatry*, 31(2), 160–167. doi:10.1176/appi.ap.31.2.160

- Kearney, N., Miller, M., Paul, J., & Smith, K. (2000). Oncology healthcare professionals' attitudes toward elderly people. *Annals of Oncology : Official Journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO*, 11(5), 599–601. doi:10.1023/A:1008327129699
- Laks, J., Wilson, L. A., Khandelwal, C., Footman, E., Jamison, M., & Roberts, E. (2016). Service-Learning in Communities of Elders (SLICE): Development and evaluation of an introductory geriatrics course for medical students. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 28(2), 210–218. doi:10.1080/10401334.2016.1146602
- Madan, A. K., Aliabadi-Wahle, S., & Beech, D. J. (2001). Age bias: A cause of underutilization of breast conservation treatment. *Journal of Cancer Education : the Official Journal of the American Association for Cancer Education*, 16(1), 29–32. doi:10.1080/08858190109528720
- Meisner, B. A. (2012). Physicians' attitudes toward aging, the aged, and the provision of geriatric care: A systematic narrative review. *Critical Public Health*, 22(1), 61–72. doi:10.1080/09581596.2010.539592
- Federal Interagency Forum on Aging-Related Statistics. (2016). *Older Americans 2016: Key indicators of well-being*. Retrieved from <https://agingstats.gov/docs/LatestReport/Older-Americans-2016-Key-Indicators-of-WellBeing.pdf>
- Perrotta, P., Perkins, D., Schimpfhauser, F., & Calkins, E. (1981). Medical student attitudes toward geriatric medicine and patients. *Journal of Medical Education*, 56(6), 478–483.
- Reuben, D. B., Fullerton, J. T., Tschann, J. M., & Croughan-Minihane, M. (1995). Attitudes of beginning medical students toward older persons: A five-campus study. The university of california academic geriatric resource program student survey research group. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 43(12), 1430–1436. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1995.tb06626.x
- Ruiz, J. G., Andrade, A. D., Anam, R., Taldone, S., Karanam, C., Hogue, C., & Mintzer, M. J. (2015). Group-based differences in anti-aging bias among medical students. *Gerontology & Geriatrics Education*, 36(1), 58–78. doi:10.1080/02701960.2014.966904
- Samra, R., Griffiths, A., Cox, T., Conroy, S., & Knight, A. (2013). Changes in medical student and doctor attitudes toward older adults after an intervention: A systematic review. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 61(7), 1188–1196. doi:10.1111/jgs.12312
- Smith, B. D., Haffty, B. G., Wilson, L. D., Smith, G. L., Patel, A. N., & Buchholz, T. A. (2010). The future of radiation oncology in the United States from 2010 to 2020: Will supply keep pace with demand? *Journal of Clinical Oncology : Official Journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*, 28(35), 5160–5165. doi:10.1200/jco.2010.31.2520
- Tang, W., He, H., & Xin M., T. (2012). *Applied categorical and count data analysis*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.
- Thorson, J. A., & Powell, F. C. (1991). Medical students' attitudes towards ageing and death: A cross-sequential study. *Medical Education*, 25(1), 32–37. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.1991.tb00023.x
- Varkey, P., Chutka, D. S., & Lesnick, T. G. (2006). The Aging Game: Improving medical students' attitudes toward caring for the elderly. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 7(4), 224–229. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2005.07.009
- Voogt, S. J., Mickus, M., Santiago, O., & Herman, S. E. (2008). Attitudes, experiences, and interest in geriatrics of first-year allopathic and osteopathic medical students. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 56(2), 339–344. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01541.x
- Warren, D. L., Painter, A., & Rudisill, J. (1983). Effects of geriatric education on the attitudes of medical students. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 31(7), 435–438. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.1983.tb03720.x
- Warshaw, G., & Bragg, E. (2008). *Projection on future number of geriatric psychiatrists in the United States*. Retrieved from http://www.americangeriatrics.org/files/documents/gwps/Table%201_29.pdf
- Wilkinson, T. J., Gower, S., & Sainsbury, R. (2002). The earlier, the better: The effect of early community contact on the attitudes of medical students to older people. *Medical Education*, 36(6), 540–542. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2923.2002.01226.x
- Wilson, J. F., & Hafferty, F. W. (1980). Changes in attitudes toward the elderly one year after a seminar on aging and health. *Journal of Medical Education*, 55(12), 993–999.