Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title THE CHEMISTRY OF HEXACHLORODIGERMANE

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5x7071nv

Author Kopp, Richard Wilhelm.

Publication Date 1962-09-01

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

THE CHEMISTRY OF HEXACHLORODIGERMANE

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California. Research and Development

UCRL-10437 UC-4-Chemistry TID-4500 (18th Ed.)

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

THE CHEMISTRY OF HEXACHLORODIGERMANE

Richard Wilhelm Kopp Master's Thesis

September 1962

Reproduced by the Technical Information Division directly from author's copy

医外周上的 医外侧的 计选择相互接触

and a second and a s A second a se

大学的"我们的",我们就是这些人,我们就是我们的是是

 $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \in G_n$

Printed in USA. Price \$1.50. Available from the Office of Technical Services U. S. Department of Commerce Washington 25, D.C.

THE CHEMISTRY OF HEXACHLORODIGERMANE

Contents

.

· I.	Introduction	ļ
II.	Preparation	ו
.•	A. Preparation Using a Solenoid Discharge	l
	1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	l
	2. Results and Evaluation	4
	B. Preparation Using a Bronze Wool Discharge Tube	6
	1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	6
	2. Results and Evaluation	8
	C. Preparation Using a Microwave Discharge	10
,	1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	10
	2. Results and Evaluation	12
III.	Physical Properties	13
IV.	Chemical Properties	16
	A. Reaction with $NaBH_{l_{\downarrow}}$	16
,	1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	16
	2. Results and Discussion	20
	B. Reaction with H ₂ O	23
	1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	. 23
	2. Results and Discussion	24
	C. Reaction with NH3	26
	1. Solid-Vapor Reaction	26
	2. Solid-Liquid Reaction	27

THE CHEMISTRY OF HEXACHLORODIGERMANE - Contents

,

.

D. Reaction with HCl	28	
1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure	28	
2. Results and Discussion	28	
V. Review of Compounds Containing the Ge-Ge Bond	31	
A. Inorganic Compounds	31	
B. Organic Compounds	31	
C. Comparison to Compounds Containing the Si-Si Bond	43	
D. Comparison to Compounds Containing the Sn-Sn Bond	48	
Acknowledgements		
References	51.	

I. INTRODUCTION

Only two references to hexachlorodigermane, Ge₂Cl₆, appear in the literature. Both pertain primarily to its preparation.

In 1954 Schwarz and Baronetsky¹ reported the synthesis of a white, crystalline, air-sensitive compound which contained Ge and Cl in a ratio of 1 to 3. Their method, which gave yields of 10 to 20 mg in 4 to 5 weeks, consisted of distilling GeCl₄ slowly over germanium metal at elevated temperatures in a closed, evacuated system. Using these very small samples, molecular weight determinations led to the values 258 and 261. The theoretical molecular weight of Ge₂Cl₆ is 358.2.

Shriver and Jolly² succeeded in 1958 in obtaining yields of up to 250 mg per hour according to the reaction:

$$2\text{GeCl}_{4} = \text{Ge}_{2}\text{Cl}_{6} + \text{Cl}_{2}$$

This was accomplished by passing GeCl_{4} vapor through a microwave discharge. They confirmed the ratio of Ge to Cl as 1 to 3 and further determined that the oxidation number for Ge was +3.

The present work was begun as an extension of the latter investigation, to improve the yields and to study the properties of this new compound.

II. PREPARATION

A. Preparation Using a Solenoid Discharge

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

The solenoid discharge³ consists of a copper coil around a cylindrical discharge tube. It is an electrodeless discharge in the sense that no electrodes extend into the discharge zone. The radio-frequency current through

the solenoid produces an alternating magnetic field parallel to the axis of the solenoid. The magnetic field, in turn, produces an electric field around it. Those induced electric forces form closed curves.

The energy acquired by the electrons within the reaction zone is proportional to the square of the distance from the center of the tube. Therefore the diameter of the reaction tube was made as large as was practical.

In all the runs mentioned below, the discharge was run at just below the "quenching" level. That is, the pressure of the gas passing through the discharge was slowly raised to just below the point where the discharge would go out.

The discharge source was a 50-Mc radio-frequency oscillator with a 2.5-kv 500-ma power supply.

Early runs were made using the apparatus as described by $Jolly^2$ in which $GeCl_4$ was passed through the reaction zone, and Ge_2Cl_6 , unreacted $GeCl_4$, and Cl_2 were collected in U-tubes surrounded by cold traps at -20°C (ice-HCl), -78°C (Dry Ice-acetone), and -196°C (liquid nitrogen), respectively. However, no quantitative work was done with this set-up.

Since only a small amount of the $GeCl_{l_i}$ vapor which passes through the discharge zone reacts, an apparatus was designed and built to permit recycling of GeCl_l, vapors, Fig. 1. The diameter of the reaction tube was 30 mm.

 GeCl_4 was distilled into U-tube A from weighing bulb (a). After a -78° cold bath was put around B and a -196° bath around C, the discharge was turned on, and the stopcocks were turned to permit a flow as indicated by the arrows. The flow rate through the discharge tube was regulated by adjusting Stopcock I. $\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6$ and unreacted GeCl_4 were collected in trap B, and Cl_2 was collected in trap C. After all the GeCl_4 vapor had passed through, a -20° bath was set

-2-



FIG. 1. APPARATUS USED WITH SOLENOID DISCHARGE.

MU-27899

around B, to hold the Ge_2Cl_6 but permit the GeCl_4 to distil, and the -78° bath around A. The stopcocks were each turned by 180° and the GeCl_4 again was passed through the aischarge zone. If desired, the recycling process could be continued until all of the GeCl_4 had decomposed. Generally, since the primary concern was not the actual production of large quantities of Ge_2Cl_6 , but rather the effectiveness of the conditions used, the reaction was terminated after only a few cycles. The Cl_2 was pumped off, the GeCl_4 remaining was collected and weighed in (a) and the Ge_2Cl_6 collected and weighed in (b).

2. Results and Evaluation

Table I.	Synthesis	of Ge2C16
Using a	Solenoid	Discharge

No.	% GeCl _l decomposed	% yield [*] Ge ₂ Cl ₆	flow rate, mmole GeCl _L / min	yield, mmole Ge ₂ Cl ₆ /hr	duration of run, min.
l.	1.23	12.5	2.14	0.11	200
2.	0.79	24.3	2.80	0.16	119
3.	(a)	(a)	0.70	0.26	98
4.	6.18	50.0	0.51	0.45	100
5.	4.38	49.0	0.61	0.40	136

Based on the amount of $\operatorname{GeCl}_{l_1}$ decomposed according to the reaction:

$$2\text{GeCl}_{h} = \text{Ge}_{2}\text{Cl}_{6} + \text{Cl}_{2}$$

(a) GeCl, recovery tube broken

The greater yield of Ge_2Cl_6 and greater recovery of GeCl_4 in run number 2 over run number 1 in Table I above are attributable to a greater proficiency in handling the apparatus.

-4-

In run number 3, a plug of bronze wool was placed in the reaction tube about 12 cm past the discharge. It was hoped that the copper in the wool might react with the Cl₂ formed in the reaction and thus prevent any further reaction of the Cl₂ with the Ge_2Cl_6 formed. The bronze wool did not pick up the Cl₂ to any observable extent, however, although it did result in a higher pressure and a lower flow rate through the reaction tube.

The bronze wool was put about 1 cm past the coil in run number 4. This resulted in the discharge taking place around the bronze plug itself rather than within the coil. Some reaction did take place with the Cu, but a large amount of Cl₂ was still recovered in U-tube C.

Two bronze wool plugs were used in the last run, one within the coil and the other about 4 cm past the first. This time the discharge was again established primarily around the wool plug beyond the coil. Again, some reaction did take place with the Cu, but Cl₂ was still collected in C.

In all cases a small amount of yellow solid and colorless nonvolatile liquid were deposited on the walls of the reaction tube just past the dischargé during a run. The solid slowly darkened to brown, which appears characteristic of polymeric (GeCl)_x. The liquid solidified in several hours to a white solid which also darkened, first to yellow, then to brown. This fits exactly the description of the compound mistakenly reported as GeOCl₂ by Schwarz and Heinrich⁴ in 1930. Schumb and Smyth⁵ in 1955 found that this compound was actually a "new form" of GeCl₂ which spontaneously changes to the more usual white solid form of GeCl₂. This GeCl₂ itself decomposes to GeCl₄ and lower chlorides with time, darkening as it does so.

The results indicate that the highest yields are obtained with low flow rates and high pressures. Having the discharge occur at a bronze wool surface

-5-

seems to increase greatly the yield, as well as causing more of the decomposed GeCl₄ to end up as Ge C_b. This latter result may, however, be caused simply by the lower flow rate.

In the last two reactions in Table I, the copper acted as a reducing agent to form some CuCl. Whether it reacted directly with the GeCl_4 or only with the Cl_2 formed is not known.

The results above appear sufficient to show that in all probability this form of discharge would not produce yields which would seriously rival the microwave synthesis described by Shriver and Jolly.

B. Preparation Using a Bronze Wool Discharge Tube

Since the use of bronze wool gave significant improvement in the yields, it was decided to attempt to apply the discharge tube designed by Wartik, 6 for the preparation of $B_{2}Cl_{4}$ from BCl_{3} , to this reaction.

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

For these runs the apparatus shown in Fig. 1 was modified by replacing the tube and coil with the discharge tube shown in Fig. 2. In this tube a glow discharge is set up between the bronze wool plugs. In every case, six approximately spherical plugs, each weighing from 800 to 900 mg, were used. They were separated from one another by about 2 to 3 cm. The diameter of the tube was 30 mm and its overall length was 43 cm. The tungsten electrodes were connected to a high voltage transformer through a Variac to an ordinary 60 cycle a.c. outlet. Beginning with run number 9, a milliammeter was connected in series to the system and a Triplett model 630 voltmeter was used to measure the voltage accurately. Prior to this run a crude kilovolt meter gave the only indication of the strength of the discharge.

The actual experimental procedure used was the same as described above for the solenoid discharge.

-6-



FIG.2. BRONZE WOOL DISCHARGE TUBE.

MU-27900

2. Results and Evaluation

The results of these runs are presented in Table II. Although they clearly do not constitute an exhaustive survey, the results do show several trends. It appears that voltages over 2 kilovolts give consistently lower yields and greater decomposition. High flow rates, above about 0.5 gm $GeCl_{4}/min$, gave higher yields than low flow rates, with the exception of run 9. No explanation can be given for this inconsistent result. It is logical that, since there are five separate discharge regions, each of which is capable of not only forming Ge_2Cl_6 , but also of reducing it further, it would be beneficial to remove the Ge_2Cl_6 from the discharge zones as fast as possible. Thus a high flow rate should result in higher yields.

Another factor that may determine the yield under a particular set of conditions is the distance between the bronze plugs since the potential across the electrodes is determined both by the pressure of gas between the electrodes and by the distance between them. Perhaps the variations from tube to tube can account for the lack of a simple relationship between the results.

Most important of all, long reaction times give low yields of Ge_2Cl_6 . It appears that after the reaction tube has been used for about an hour, or perhaps less, its ability to produce Ge_2Cl_6 in good yield diminishes, quite sharply if the results of runs 13 and 14 can be considered as reliable indications. One may speculate that this might be due to the CuCl formed which coats the surface of the wool, preventing further reaction.

Considering the time necessary to put together the reaction tube and its short lifetime, this method also seems unsuitable for the preparation of Ge_2Cl_6 .

-8-

No.	% GeCl ₄ decomposed	% yield Ge ₂ C1 ₆	flow rate, mmole GeCl ₄ /min	yield, mmole Ge ₂ Cl ₆ /hr	duration of run, min.	conditions
1*	8.20	3.7	2.33	0.42	16	1 kv
2* ៉	19.0	12.0	1.9	1.34	20	2 kv
3	19.0	17.0	1.4	1.23	25	2 kv
4*	26.8	6.0	2.71	1.28	22	2 kv
5	6.38	29.8	2.67	1.51	13	1.5-2 kv
6*	6.35	. 11.3	2.67	0.56	17	2-2.5 kv
7	7.36	19.4	2.01	0.87	21	2-2.5 kv
8	8.16	13.6	2.62	0.87	. 15	2.5 kv
9*	4.79	16.2	2.29	0.53	20	1.8-2.0 kv
÷	· · ·		•			30-20 ma
10	4.14	43.7	0.79	0.42	87	1.7-1.8 kv
	•					20 ma.
11	5.39	14.5	2.29	0.53	28	2.1-2.5 kv
	-					20 ma.
12	2.98	25.7	0.89	0.28	48	1.95-2.0 kv
	-		-			18 ma
13	3.39	36.4	1.03	0.39	59	1.7 kv
						ll ma
14	3.40	20.8	1.03	0.22	58	1.7 kv
						9 ma.

TABLE II. Synthesis of Ge₂Cl₆ Using a Bronze Wool Discharge Tube

New reaction tube; 1. No water jacket; 4. Reason for high decomposition unknown; 10. 2 mm stopcock between discharge and first U-tube-restricted flow considerably.

-9-

C. Preparation Using a Microwave Discharge

Because of the disappointing results above, it was decided to return to the proven successful method of synthesis involving the use of a microwave discharge.

-10-

The microwave discharge is another form of electrodeless discharge. Standing electromagnetic waves may be set up in a cylindrical metal tube, called a resonance cavity. The electric field in the wave acts upon electrons and ions, giving them energy. These then cause the chemical reactions.

Another way of using microwaves is through the use of a director. This is a metal plate, which may be of any of several shapes, that reflects and directs the waves to produce a concentrated glow.

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

For this synthesis a modification of Jolly's original system was used, Fig. 3. With it the GeCl₄ to be weighed need not be distilled into the system before a run, nor out of it after a run. It may be weighed in the U-tube in which it is collected, and this same tube may be used as the source of GeCl₄ in the next run. A U-tube with 2 mm bore stopcocks was used for the first trap (-20°) in one run (not in Table) but this gave only a negligible yield of Ge₂Cl₆, presumably because it decreased the flow rate to a great extent (see later discussion).

The $\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6$ was collected for weighing and for temporary storage by replacing the tube containing the collected GeCl_4 by another tube with stopcocks, into which the $\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6$ was distilled. The stopcock to the vacuum between the first two traps provided a means for evacuating the small space between this new U-tube and the stopcock separating it from the first tube in the event that this collecting tube already contained some $\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6$, which must not be exposed to the air.



FIG. 3. APPARATUS FOR MICROWAVE DISCHARGE.

MU-27901

...*

A Raytheon QK60 magnetron tube, which is a source of 10 cm microwaves, was coupled to the resonance cavity. It was powered by a unit with a maximum capacity of 2.5 kv at 0.5 amps. In every case, it was run at 1140 volts and 110 ma.

The other unit was a Baird-Atomic Hg 198 Microwave Exciter. This operates at a wavelength of 12.2 cm in the 2400-2500 Mc band. The energy is carried in a flexible coaxial cable to a C-director which concentrates it at the reaction tube. It has a maximum power output of 125 watts and was run at 95-98% of maximum.

2. Results and Evaluation

					······	
No.	GeCl ₄ decomposed	% yield Ge ₂ Cl ₆	flow rate, mmole GeCl ₄ /min	yield, mmole Ge ₂ Cl ₆ /hr	length of run, min.	
1	(a)	-	ca. 2	0.28.	25	
2	(a)	-	ca. l	0.59	46	
3	3.11	21.4	5.84	1.14	8	
· 4	3.11	33.9	4.49	1.42	11	
5	2.31	50.6	3.41	1.18	100	
6	3.66	40.3	1.64	0.73	195	
7	2.54	18.6	2.10	0.31	145	

Table III Synthesis of Ge₂Cl₆ Using a Microwave Discharge

(a) Not recorded

1. Insufficient cooling of microwave cavity; 2. Baird unit; 5. Cu plug past discharge zone; 6. Cu plug past discharge zone.

.

From the data in Table III several tentative conclusions can be drawn for the best set of reaction conditions. High flow rates again seen to give higher yields, although 1.25 g GeCl₁/min may be too fast. Placing a bronze wool plug a short distance past the discharge zone does not seem to have much affect on the yields, but it does seem to insure that more of the GeCl₄ which decomposes ends up as Ge_2Cl_6 . It may be that the Cu picks up some of the Cl₂ which might otherwise react with the Ge_2Cl_6 already formed. The bronze wool does acquire a thin white coating during a run, although much Cl₂ is still observed in the liquid nitrogen trap.

III. PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The vapor pressure of Ge_2Cl_6 was determined over the range 24.7° to 59.7°. Below 24.7° the vapor pressure is so low that accurate measurements are impossible. Above 59.7°, decomposition is rapid.

The apparatus consisted of a manometer with a short side arm, into which the sample was distilled. A mercury float valve allowed one to adjust the level of the mercury in the manometer. The entire apparatus was immersed in a thermostatically controlled constant temperature bath.

Great pains were taken to insure that the Ge_2Cl_6 used was pure. Each sample was distilled twice, collecting it at -20° , to insure that it was free from impurities before it was distilled into the apparatus. It was then pumped on while being held at -20° . Before each reading the Ge_2Cl_6 in the side arm was again pumped on at -20° before the mercury was raised into the manometer. The constant temperature bath was set at the temperature desired before the apparatus was immersed into it.

The thermometer used was checked against an NBS calibrated thermometer.

-13-

· <u></u>				·	
Physical	• • • • •	P 1100			
State	 	. zdo	calc.	<u>ل</u>	
solid	24.7	0.8	0.7	-0.1	
solid	29.7	1.1	1.1	0	
solid	34.7	1.5	1.5	0	
solid	39.7	2.2	2.2	0	
liquid	44.7	3.0	3.0.	0	
liquid	- 49.7	4.1	4.2	+0.1	
liquid	54.7	5.8	5.6	-0.2	· .
liquid	59.7	6.9	7.6	+0.7	

Table IV Vapor Pressure of Ge2C16

As an example of how the data were obtained, the actual values obtained during the course of a typical measurement at 29.7° are shown in Table V.

<u>, </u>	Time after imm into bath, mir	nersion 1.	pressure, min.	
	2		1.1	4
	24	а ,	1.1	•
	5		1.0	
	7	ı	1.2	
	9		1.3	•
	11		1.5	
	15	Ŷ	1.7	

Table V Vapor Pressure of Ge₂Cl₆ at 29.7°

The rise in pressure, starting at about 9 minutes, is believed due to decomposition of the Ge_2Cl_6 to the more volatile GeCl_4 . The constant initial pressure was considered the true pressure. Several measurements, such as the above, were made at each temperature.

A plot of log P_{mm} vs. 1/T was made, as shown in Fig. 4. The data were not sufficiently accurate to permit separate lines to be drawn for the solid and liquid states. Thus one straight line was drawn through all the points. • The equation for this line is:

$$\log P_{mm} = -\frac{2801}{T} + 9.29$$

The ΔH^{O} of vaporization (either from the solid or liquid) as calculated from the slope of this line was 12.8 kcal/mole.

A typical sample in the vapor pressure apparatus was found to melt sharply at $41.5^{\circ} - 42.0^{\circ}$.

The infrared spectrum of Ge_2Cl_6 was taken in CCl_4 solution and in CS_2 solution. Both the NaCl and KBr regions were observed. See Figs. 5 and 6. A known amount of doubly distilled Ge_2Cl_6 was distilled into an ampule with a constricted neck. The solvent was then condensed onto it and the ampule warmed to room temperature. The Ge_2Cl_6 readily dissolved in both solvents. The ampule was then removed from the vacuum line and a small amount of the solution was transferred into a cavity cell with a hypodermic syringe.

Peaks were observed at 7.1 (w), 11.2 (m), 14.4 (m) and 22.1 (s) microns.





MU-27902





MU-27905





MU-27906

IV. CHEMICAL PROPERTIES

A. Reaction with NaBH,

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

A 250 ml three-neck round-bottom flask was equipped with a magnetic stirrer, a nitrogen inlet tube, a dropping funnel with a pressure equalizing side arm, and an outlet tube leading to a vacuum line. The line consisted of four U-tubes, each separated by a mercury float valve, eliminating contact between the contents of the tube and stopcock grease. This kind of line was found necessary, since, in early runs conducted in an ordinary vacuum line, contact of the solvent and the reaction products with the stopcock grease caused the system to leak, making quantitative work impossible. Silicone grease was used at those points where it could not be avoided, such as on the standard taper joints on the flask and on the ends of the vacuum line. No leaks were ever encountered there.

The solvent employed was diethylene glycol dimethyl ether (diglyme). It was dried by first refluxing with CaH_2 , then distilled, the fraction boiling between 161° and 162° being collected. Even with this procedure, some slight reaction of the solvent with the NaBH₁ dissolved in it was observed.

After $\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6$ had been distilled into the dry, evacuated flask, diglyme was added through the dropping funnel to dissolve the sample. Nitrogen was admitted to bring the system to atmospheric pressure so that the NaBH₁₄ solution could then be introduced into the dropping funnel. After the system had been reevacuated and an ice bath placed around the reaction flask, the borohydride solution was added dropwise, with vigorous stirring.

The reaction flask was open to the vacuum pump through the four U-tubes. The first tube was surrounded by a -78° trap, the other three by liquid N₂. Pumping and stirring were continued for at least one hour after the last of

-19-

borohydride solution had been added. The flask was then isolated from the line. The content of the -78° trap, which was found to be distilled solvent, was discarded, and the reaction products from the liquid nitrogen traps were consolidated. They were then distilled in vacuo through traps at -78° (Dry-Ice-acetone), -112° (carbon disulfide slush), and -196° (liquid nitrogen), respectively.

2. Results and Discussion

The -78° trap was found to contain mainly solvent that had passed through the original -78° trap during the run, plus some unidentified material that was probably a decomposition product of the solvent (see Fig. 7) and traces of trigermane as identified by the gas phase infrared spectrum of the material in the trap. The -112° trap contained primarily digermane, along with more of the unidentified material mentioned above. This latter material was separated from the digermane by passing the mixture through Ascarite several times. Only the digermane remained. The -196° trap contained a mixture of germane and diborane. The diborane was decomposed by Ascarite, leaving pure germane, the amount of which could then be ascertained.

At the end of each run, the reaction vessel always contained a light yellow precipitate which darkened to brown over a period of several days.

-20-





INFRARED SPECTRUM OF UNIDENTIFIED MATERIAL IN

BOROHYDRIDE REACTION (DIGERMANE SUBTRACTED).

MU-27904

conc. Ge ₂ Cl ₆ , moles/liter	conc. BH ₄ , moles/liter	BH ₁ Ge2 ^{C1} 6	%Ge as GeH ₄	%Ge as Ge2 ^H 6	%B re- covered as B ₂ H ₆	rate of addition, ml/min
0.10	0.63	6.5	15.5	trace	27.3	5
0.04	0.27	7.1	29.9	trace	5.6	5
0.13		6.6	41.1	8	3.3	0.5
0.19	0.10	7.5	41.6*	5	3.1	l

Table VI. Reaction of Ge₂Cl₆ With NaBH_h

^{*} Addition of 25 ml of LN HCl to the reaction flask after the completion of the reaction with borohydride resulted in the evolution of much hydrogen, a trace of digermane, and 43.2% more germane.

As shown in Table VI, germane was always obtained as the major product. The yields of digermane were always poor. Thus one may say that, under the conditions used, borohydride readily cleaves the Ge-Ge bond. It does appear that slow rates of addition and low concentrations of borohydride are more favorable for the formation of digermane, but even then only small amounts of this compound are obtained.

Addition of borohydride always resulted in yields of less than 50% germane. From this one might suggest the reaction:

 $\operatorname{Ge}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6}$ + 6 NaBH₄ \rightarrow GeH₄ + GeH₂ + 3 B₂H₆ + 6 NaCL

However, two observations tend to suggest that this equation does not describe the reaction completely. First, the addition of acid to the reaction vessel after the completion of the borohydride reaction resulted in the evolution of 43% more germane. Secondly, much loss than the expected diborane was recovered.

If digermane is formed in any significant amount, it might react further with the borohydride, possibly as follows:

$$\operatorname{Ge}_{2}^{\mathrm{H}_{6}} + \operatorname{BH}_{4}^{\overline{}} \rightarrow \operatorname{H}_{3}^{\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{GeH}_{3}} \rightarrow \operatorname{H}_{3}^{\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}} + \operatorname{GeH}_{4}$$

 $\operatorname{H}_{3}^{\mathrm{L}} + \operatorname{H}_{3}^{\mathrm{L}}$

Reaction of an acid solution with the $H_3 GeBH_3^-$ anion would result in the formation of germane.

$$H_{3}Ge-BH_{3} + 3 H_{2}O + H^{+} \rightarrow GeH_{4} + H_{3}BO_{3} + 3H_{2}$$

One might then write the reaction in diglyme solution as:

$$\operatorname{Ge}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6} + 7 \operatorname{NaBH}_{4} \rightarrow \operatorname{GeH}_{4} + 6 \operatorname{NaCl} + \operatorname{Na}^{+}(\operatorname{H}_{3}\operatorname{GeBH}_{3})^{-} + 3 \operatorname{B}_{2}\operatorname{H}_{6}$$

B. Rea tion with Water

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

The reaction vessel in each case was a 20 mm O.D. Pyrex tube, one end of which was rounded, the other provided with a long, fine, capillary tip. A constricted side arm with a ball joint at its end allowed the tube to be attached to a vacuum line. The water, or alkaline solutions, were previously introduced directly into the tube with a micropipette. The water was frozen with liquid nitrogen and the Ge_2Cl_6 distilled onto it. The constriction of the side arm was then closed under vacuum, and the tube allowed to warm to room temperature.

To open the sealed tube, the capillary tip was inserted through an open straight-bore stopcock attached to the vacuum line. The tube below. the stopcock was sealed to the shoulder of the reaction tube with Apiczon W wax, effecting an airtight seal. A liquid nitrogen bath was then put around the contents of the tube and the capillary broken by turning the stopcock. A manometer in the system was used to check for any noncondensible gas.

In each case the sealed tubes were left at room temperature for at least 24 hours to insure complete reaction.

2. Results and Discussion

mmole Ge ₂ Cl ₆	Solution added	· .	* Normality of final solution	Conditions
0.26	0.5 ml distilled	н ₂ 0	3N HC1	room temp. 24 hrs.
0.34	0.2 ml 0.1N NaOH	• •	lon HCl	room temp. 30 hrs.
0.17	2.0 ml 1N NaOH	· · · ·	0.5N NaOH	room temp. 48 hrs.
0.22	0.2 ml 0.1N NaOH		6.5N HCL	room temp. 24 hrs. 50°, 1 hr.
0.39	0.2 ml 0.lN NaOH		ll.6N HCL	room temp. 24 hrs. 70 ⁰ -100 ⁰ overn
*		- <u></u> .		

Table VII . Reaction of Ge2C16 with H20

based on 6 moles HCl forming from each mole Ge₂Cl₆.

2016

In no case was hydrogen evolved, even in the basic solution. This differs sharply from the analogous reaction of Si_2Cl_6 (see Sect. V-C). Visual observations, however, do indicate differences in behavior among the samples.

In the very acidic solutions the reaction product remained pure white, even after heating. Only after the tube was opened and the water distilled off did traces of a yellow color appear. Heating of the dry solid caused it to become bright yellow-orange.

Reaction with pure water, which upon completion of the reaction gave a somewhat acidic solution, left a residue which was mostly white with some yellow. Removal of water from the sample again caused yellowing of the white material.

An excess of LN NaOH resulted in the immediate formation of a bright yellow solid which darkened to orange overnight. No white material was observed.

These results may be explained on the following basis: GeO is yellow to brown and is insoluble in water. GeO₂ is white and is quite soluble in both acid and alkaline solutions. If one assumes that the lack of yellow color indicates that no GeO has been formed, then, since no hydrogen was evolved, the Ge-Ge bond must have been retained. One might then suggest that in very acidic solutions the hitherto unknown "germanooxalic acid" is formed according to the reaction:

 $\operatorname{Ge}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6} + \operatorname{H}_{2} \circ \rightarrow (\operatorname{CeOOH})_{2} + \operatorname{GHCl}$

As one approaches a basic solution, or upon removal of water from the above compound, disproportionation results.

$$\operatorname{Ge}_2\operatorname{Cl}_6 + \operatorname{3H}_2\operatorname{O} \rightarrow \operatorname{GeO}_2 + \operatorname{GeO} + \operatorname{6HCl}$$

-26-

01.

$$(GeOOH)_2 \rightarrow GeO + GeO_2 + H_2O$$

C. Reaction with NH.,

1. Solid-Vapor Reaction

a. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

Two different methods were used to study the reaction of Ge_2Cl_6 with ammonia. In the first, a known amount of sodium-dried vapor was allowed to come in contact with a known amount of Ge_2Cl_6 . The total volume of the system was known and the pressure of the ammonia in the system could be observed directly by means of a manometer. It was hoped that in this way the course of the reaction could be followed and the completion of the reaction would be marked by no further change in the pressure.

b. Results and Discussion

Table VIII. Reaction of Ge₂Cl₆ with NH₃

	1.	2.	•
mnole Ge ₂ Cl ₆ :	0.197	0.228	•
mmole NH3 added:	3.05	3.31	
mmole NH3 recovered:	1.81	1.73	
mmole NH3 reacted:	1.24	1.58	· .
NH3/Ge2CIC:	6.3	6.9	
reaction time, hrs.:	30	9	
temperature:	0° to 25°	-63 ⁰	

Reaction of $\text{Si}_2^{\text{Cl}_6}$ with ammonia proceeds by the following equation: (see Section V-C).

$$\operatorname{Si}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6} + 9 \operatorname{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \operatorname{Si}_{2}(\operatorname{NH})_{3} + 6 \operatorname{NH}_{4}\operatorname{Cl}$$

If this is in fact the reaction which Ge_2Cl_6 undergoes (see below), the above results indicate that reaction is incomplete under these conditions.

2. Solid-Liquid Reaction

a. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

The above method led to results contrary to what one might expect in this reaction. Thus, a sealed tube reaction was performed. The tube was the same as described for the reaction with H_2^0 except that it had a diameter of 10 mm. About 2 ml of sodium-dried ammonia was condensed onto a known quantity of Ge_2Cl_6 in a tube cooled with liquid nitrogen. The tube was sealed and allowed to warm to 0° . After 2^4 hours its contents were frozen with liquid nitrogen and the tube was opened under vacuum. It was then warmed to -63° whereupon the excess ammonia distilled off. Finally the tube was allowed to warm to room temperature while the pumping continued to insure removal of all unreacted ammonia.

Two ml of 6N HCl was then distilled into the tube to hydrolyze the product. The tube was opened and its contents quantitatively transferred into a 10 ml volumetric flask and diluted to volume. A nitrogen determination was run on this solution.

b. Results and Discussion

mmole Ge₂Cl₆: 0.102 mmole N in residue: 0.903 N/Ge: 8.85

* determined by micro-Kjeldahl method

-21-

This result indicates that a great excess of liquid ammonia is necessary to effect a complete reaction. The reaction may thus be written:

 $\operatorname{Ge}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6} + 9 \operatorname{MH}_{3} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ge}_{2}(\operatorname{NH})_{3} + 6 \operatorname{MH}_{4}\operatorname{Cl}$

D. Reaction with HCl

1. Apparatus and Experimental Procedure

The reaction tube and procedure were the same as described in the reaction with water except that a known excess of hydrogen chloride, previously dried by twice passing it through a -112° trap, was distilled into the reaction tube. After the tube had been opened, under vacuum with the contents frozen by liquid nitrogen, the tube was surrounded by a bath at -63° . The unreacted HCl was then volatilized and collected in an adjoining U-tube surrounded by a liquid nitrogen bath.

2. Results and Discussion

Table IX Reaction of Ge2Cl6 with HCl

		· · · ·	
mmole	^{Ge} 2 ^{Cl} 6:	0.168	
mmole	HCl added:	1.35	
mmole	HCl recovered:	1.19	
mmole	HCl reacted:	0.16	
HC1/Ge	e2 ^{C1} 6:	0.95	

The results above indicate that the following reaction took place.

 $\operatorname{Ge}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6}$ + HCl \rightarrow GeHCl₃ + GeCl₄

The infrared spectrum of the vapors above the colorless liquid remaining in the tube, after removal of the hydrogen chloride at -65° , confirmed the existence of GeHCl₃ and the possible existence of GeCl_h.⁷

-28-

(See Fig. 8). Upon slow distillation of this liquid, a small amount of white solid was left behind. This solid darkened to yellow in a matter of hours. Presumably this solid was GeCl₂ which resulted from the following reaction.⁸

 $GeHCl_{3} \rightarrow GeCl_{2} + HCl$





OF REACTION OF Gez CI6 WITH HCI.

MU-27903

A. Inorganic Compounds

Prior to the synthesis of Ge_2Cl_6 , the polyhydrides of germanium were the only inorganic compounds known which contained two or more germanium atoms directly bonded. In 1924, Dennis, Corey and Moore⁹ first isolated digermane, Ge_2H_6 , and trigermane, Ge_3H_8 , along with monogermane, GeH_4 , by the hydrolysis of magnesium germanide with dilute hydrochloric acid. Mixtures of germanes have also been obtained¹⁰ from the reaction of ammonium bromide with magnesium germanide in liquid ammonia. Recently¹¹ the effect of a silent electric discharge on monogermane has been shown to produce not only Ge_2H_6 and Ge_2H_8 , but small amounts of higher germanes up to the octagermanes. Vapor phase chromatography was used to separate them.

 $(GeH_2)_{\chi}$ a polymer, may also contain Ge-Ge bonds. It may be formed as follows:¹²

 950° CaH₂ + Ge \rightarrow CaGe + H₂ CaGe + 2HCl aq. \rightarrow CaCl₂ + (GeH₂)_x

Reaction of $(GeH_2)_x$ with boiling aqueous HCl yields H_2 , Ge, GeH_4 , Ge_2H_6 , and Ge_3H_8 .¹³

B. Organic Compounds

The first organic derivative of a polygermane was hexaphenyldigermane, $(C_6H_5)_6Ge_2$, prepared in 1925 by Morgan and Drew.¹⁴ Since that time a considerable amount of progress has been made in the synthesis and study of this and other organodigermanes. In addition, several higher organogermanes have been reported. The following review contains all known reports of organic compounds known or thoughtto contain at least one Ge-Ge bond. References to preparations in which a compound such as $(C_6H_5)_6Ge_2$ was used to make a known reagent, such as $(C_6H_5)_3GeK$, for further study have been omitted when repetitive. References to work prior to January, 1950 are from Johnson.¹⁵

1. Synthesis

a. Coupling Reaction with an Alkali-Metal

The most commonly applied method of synthesis of these compounds is the reaction of a halotriorganogermane, R_3^{GeX} , with an alkali-metal, generally sodium, at elevated temperatures. The following have been reported:

 $2(C_6H_5)_3$ GeBr + 2Na $\rightarrow (C_6H_5)_6Ge_2$ + 2NaBr

This was the reaction of Morgan and Drew¹⁴ that was first run in 1925. The reaction took place in hot xylene with excess sodium, with a yield of somewhat over 46%.

Sodium in boiling xylene did not react with bromotriethylgermane, $(C_2H_5)_3$ GeBr, to form hexaethyldigermane, $(C_2H_5)_6$ Ge₂.¹⁶ A 30% yield of this compound was realized, however, by the direct action of sodium at elevated temperatures.

Hexamethyldigermane, $(CH_3)_6Ge_2$, was first synthesized by refluxing bromotrimethylgermane, $(CH_3)_3GeBr$, with an excess of potassium under nitrogen.¹⁷ The potassium bromide formed hindered the reaction to such an extent that it was necessary to remove the volatile materials from it and condense them on fresh potassium several times. With this procedure, 74% of the germanium was converted to $(CH_3)_6Ge_2$.

Hexabenzyldigermane, $(C_6H_5CH_2)_6Ge_2$, has been obtained, in unreported yield, from the reaction of bromotribenzylgermane, $(C_6H_5CH_2)_3GeBr$, with sodium in refluxing xylene.¹⁸

An 85% yield of hexacyclohexyldigermane, $(C_{6}H_{11})_{8}Ge_{2}$, was realized when the appropriate bromo compound was refluxed with an excess of sodium in toluene.¹⁹

A very interesting reaction, worthy of further investigation, has been reported by Kraus and Brown.²⁰ Dichlorodiphenylgermane, $(C_6H_5)_2$ GeCl₂, with sodium in boiling xylene formed a compound with the empirical formula $(C_6H_5)_2$ Ge.

$$(C_{6}H_{5})_{2}GeCl_{2} + 2Na \rightarrow (C_{6}H_{5})_{2}Ge + 2NaCl$$

Only about 10-20% of the theoretical amount of $(C_{6}H_{5})_{2}$ Ge was obtained in the form of crystals. However, a considerable amount of resinous material approximating in composition $(C_{6}H_{5})_{2}$ Ge, was recovered. It could not be crystallized and was considered to be an impure polymer. The dichloro compound with lithium in ethylamine also gave this same resinous, uncrystallizable material.

Molecular weight determinations on the crystals gave a mean value of 903. This would indicate that the compound is a tetramer $([C_6H_5)_2Ge]_4$, molecular weight 907). It has been suggested¹⁵ that this might consist of a four-membered germanium ring.

 $(c_{6}H_{5})_{2}Ge - Ge(c_{6}H_{5})_{2}$ $(c_{6}H_{5})_{2}Ge - Ge(c_{6}H_{5})_{2}$

An even more fascinating compound has been prepared in boiling xylene by the reaction of either sodium²¹ (refluxed 8 hrs.) or potassium²² (refluxed 12 hrs.) with phenyltrichlorogermane.

 $6 C_6 H_5 GeCl_3 + 18 Na \rightarrow (C_6 H_5 Ge)_6 + 18 NaCl.$

It was suggested by the authors that this was an open chain molecule rather than a benzene-type ring.

Several reasons to support this belief were given. Upon dissolving this white solid, an intense yellow solution resulted, thus indicating to the authors that the substance was a polymeric species which dissociated into six-membered chains upon dissolution, resulting in the color change. More substantial evidence was the fact that each hexameric molecule reacted with 8 atoms of bromine, the halogen, presumably adding across each double bond and, in addition, eliminating the "free valences" at each end of the chain. The same reaction occurred with iodine, leading the authors to believe that no ring opening had occurred. Iodine, they said, being a less vigorous reactant, could not break a ring.

Germanium tetrachloride, p-tolyl bromide, and sodium in refluxing ether react to form an unreported amount of hexa-p-tolyldigermane, $(p-CH_3C_6H_9)_6Ge_2$.¹⁸

b. Grignard Reactions

Morgan and Drew¹⁴ detected no hexphyldigermane among the products of the reaction between germanium tetrabromide and phenylmagnesium bromide,

 $C_{6}H_{5}MgBr$. Their solvent for the reaction was ether, or ether plus a small amount of chloroform, which was refluxed for $1 \frac{1}{2}$ to 2 hours.

By varying the conditions somewhat, namely using a mixed ether-benzene solvent and increasing the refluxing time to six hours, and by using GeCl_4 , Bauer and Burschkies¹⁸ were able to isolate some hexaphenyldigermane, al-though their main product was still tetraphenylgermane, $(C_6H_5)_4$ Ge. Reaction

with GeBr₄ gave lower, but still significant amounts of the digermane. In addition, under the same conditions, hexa-p-tolyldigermane was obtained from GeCl₄ and p-tolylmagnesium bromide. Neither yields nor balanced reactions are reported for these reactions.

This mixed solvent method was developed by Johnson and Harris,²³ for the phenyl derivative, to give hexaphenyldigermane as the major product. Using a ten-to-one mole ratio of Grignard reagent to GeCl_{4} , and refluxing for 3 hours, in an ether-toluene solvent, almost 60% of the germanium was converted to hexaphenyldigermane. It is of interest that if the ether is removed before the reflux period, tetraphenylgermane is the major product.

The reaction for the formation of the organodigermane was written by the authors as:

 $4 \text{GeCl}_4 + 14 \text{C}_6 \text{H}_5 \text{MgBr} \rightarrow 2(\text{C}_6 \text{H}_5)_6 \text{Ge}_2 + 2 \text{C}_6 \text{H}_5 \text{Cl} + 7 \text{MgBr}_2 + 7 \text{MgCl}_2$

No evidence to support the formation of chlorobenzene is given.

Hexavinyldigermane, $(CH_2=CH)_6Ge_2$, was prepared in 1957 by Seyferth²⁴ in yields up to 26% with the vinylmagnesium bromide in tetrahydrofuran. Thirty-five percent of the germanium was recovered as tetravinylgermane, $(CH_{\overline{2}}CH)_4Ge$. Twenty hours of refluxing was found necessary. The reaction was reported as:

 $2\text{GeCl}_4 + 8\text{CH}_2\text{CHMgBr} \rightarrow (\text{CH}_{\overline{2}}\text{CH})_6\text{Ge}_2 + (\text{CH}_{\overline{2}}\text{CH})_2 + 4\text{MgBr}_2 + 4\text{MgCl}_2$

No evidence for the formation of butadiene was given, but a mechanism was proposed to support this reaction. It was suggested that the first step was the reduction of GeCl_4 to GeCl_2 by the Grignard reagent, producing the butadiene. GeCl_2 was then vinylated and the Grignard added to it. Finally, reaction of this latter compound with partially vinylated GeCl_h would give

-35-1

the desired product:

 $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{GeCl}_{4} &+ 2 \operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CHMgBr}} \to \operatorname{GeCl}_{2} &+ \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{2} &+ \operatorname{MgCl}_{2} &+ \operatorname{MgBr}_{2} \\ \operatorname{GeCl}_{2} &+ 2 \operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CHMgBr}} \to \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{2} \operatorname{Ge} &+ \operatorname{MgCl}_{2} &+ \operatorname{MgBr}_{2} \\ \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{2}^{\operatorname{Ge}} &+ \operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CHMgBr}} \to \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{3}^{\operatorname{GeMgBr}} \\ \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{3}^{\operatorname{GeMgBr}} &+ \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{3}^{\operatorname{GeCl}} \to \left(\operatorname{CH}_{\overline{2}}^{\operatorname{CH}}\right)_{6}^{\operatorname{Ge}}_{2} &+ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MgCl}_{2} &+ \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MgBr}_{2} \end{aligned}$

Several analogies are presented to support this mechanism, but its acceptance must await experimental verification.

In support of the reduction to the germanium (II) compound it should be mentioned that the +2 state becomes more stable as one proceeds down Group IVA of the Periodic Table. Tetrahalosilanes show no tendency to couple when reacted with a Grignard, whereas tetrahalotins are known to do this (see below).

In 1959 it was found²⁵ that up to 8% hexaethyldigermane could be obtained in the preparation of tetraethylgermane by the reaction of ethylmagnesiumbromide with $GeCl_{4}$ or $GeBr_{4}$ in ether. Kraus and Flood,¹⁶ who first prepared tetraethylgermane by this method, did not report the digermane among their products. Thus one is led to believe that the Grignard method might yield a small amount of organodigermanes in more cases then have thus far been reported.

c. Direct Coupling

The reaction of an alkali metal salt of germanium, R_3 GeM, with a halotriorganogermane, R_3 GeX, may cause a coupling of the germanium atoms to occur. Kraus and Sherman²⁶ showed this with the following reaction.

 $(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeNa + (C_{2}H_{5})_{3}GeBr \rightarrow (C_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeGe(C_{2}H_{5})_{3} + NaBr$

The coupling was accomplished by boiling the reagents in benzene. No yield was given.

Reaction of an alkali metal germanium salt with a dihalide of germanium resulted in the formation of an organotrigermane.²⁰

 $2(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}CeNa + (C_{6}H_{5})_{2}CeCl_{2} \rightarrow (C_{6}H_{5})_{8}Ce_{3} + 2NaCl_{2}$

The solvent and experimental procedure were essentially the same as in the previous reaction. Once again, no yield was mentioned.

This method of preparing organodigermanes, both symmetrical and unsymmetrical, appears to be a good one. However, it does not seem to have been investigated since the above reactions were reported by Kraus and coworkers in the early 1930's.

Possibly related to the above is the reaction of triphenylgermyl lithium with bromine in ethylene glycol dimethyl ether for form 78% hexaphenyldigermane.²⁷

$$2(C_6H_5)_3$$
 GeLi + Br₂ $\rightarrow (C_6H_5)_6$ Ge₂ + 2 LiBr

The fact that up to 12% (C_6H_5)₃GeBr was obtained as a byproduct leads one to believe that this might indeed also involve a coupling reaction of the type mentioned above.

d. Organolithium Reactions

In 1950 Johnson and Harris^{23a} found that by adding an excess of an ether solution of phenyllithium, C_6H_5Li , dropwise into a refluxing ether solution of triphenylgermane, $(C_6H_5)_3$ GeH, up to 60% of the germane was converted to hexaphyldigermane.

$$2(C_6H_5)_3^{\text{GeH}} + C_6H_5^{\text{Li}} \rightarrow (C_6H_5)_6^{\text{Ge}}_2 + C_6H_6 + \text{LiH}$$

Reverse addition of the reactants gave only tetraphenylgermane. To explain this difference the authors postulated that this might in fact involve a coupling reaction between the germane and its lithium salt, the formation

-37-

being possible only because of the excess of the triphenylgermane.

$$(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}$$
 GeH + $c_{6}H_{5}Li \rightarrow (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}$ GeLi + $c_{6}H_{6}$
 $(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}$ GeH + $(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}$ GeLi $\rightarrow (c_{6}H_{5})_{6}$ Ge₂ + LiH

Gilman and Gerow²⁸ confirmed that this coupling reaction does take place, but found that when equal amounts of the germane and its lithium salt were used, a maximum of only $12\% (C_6H_5)_6Ge_2$ could be obtained. Further, in repeating the reaction of Johnson and Harris in every detail except that an equivalent amount, rather than an excess, of phenyllithium was used and that the solution was not refluxed, no hexaphenyldigermane could be recovered. Thus they concluded, but could not explain, that the high yield may in some way be due to the excess phenyllithium - in spite of that fact that the triphenylgermane was actually in excess during most of the addition of the phenyllithium.

They also confirmed the first reaction in the proposed mechanism of Johnson and Harris, obtaining triphenylgermyl lithium in 83% yield. Actually the salt was not isolated as such, but was immediately carbonated to give triphenylgermane carboxylic acid, $(C_6H_5)_3$ GeCOOH, which was weighed.

 $C_6H_5Li + (C_6H_5)_3 \text{ GeH} \rightarrow C_6H_6 + (C_6H_5)_3 \text{ GeLi} \xrightarrow{1.CO}_{2.H_0} (C_6H_5)_3 \text{ GeCOOH}$

It is noteworthy that the reaction of methyllithium with triphenylgermane gave 10% hexaphenyldigermane when equivalent amounts of the reagents were stirred for three days at room temperature. The digermane probably was also formed from the reaction of triphenylgermane with the lithium salt of the germane initially produced.

-38-

It has also been found²⁵ that the reaction of ethyllithium with $GeBr_4$ in ether solution produced 8.6% hexaethyldigermane along with 12% tetraethylgermane and a large amount of polymeric material.

e. Miscellaneous Reactions

In addition to the reactions above, most of which were run for the expressed purpose of forming an organodigermane, several more unusual reactions have resulted, surprisingly, in the formation of hexaphenyldigermane in good yield.

In an attempt to prepare alcohols and ketones containing the triphenylgermyl group, triphenylgermyllithium, dissolved in ethyleneglycoldimethyl ether, was added to methyl triphenylgermanecarboxylate, $(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}$. GeCOOCH₃.²⁹ Instead of the expected bis(triphenylgermyl) ketone or tris (triphenylgermyl) carbinol, carbon monoxide was vigorously evolved and hexaphenyldigermane was obtained in 75% yield (based on triphenylgermanecarboxylate).

$$(C_6H_5)_3$$
GeLi + $(C_6H_5)_3$ GeCOOCH₃ \rightarrow $(C_6H_5)_6$ Ge₂ + CO + LiOCH₃

The authors suggested that the mechanism may involve attack of the triphenylgermyl anion on the germanium atom of the triphenylgermylcarboxylate ester. A pentacovalent intermediate is thus formed which leads to the final products.

$$(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}Ge^{-} + (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}Ge^{-} + (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}Ge^$$

To ascertain the origin of each germanium atom in the product, methyl triphenylgermanecarboxylate was reacted with triphenylsilyl lithium, $(C_{6}H_{5})_{3}$ SiLi. The only product isolated was (triphenylgermyl) triphenylsilane,

 $(C_6H_5)_3$ GeSi $(C_6H_5)_3$, indicating that in the formation of hexaphenyldigermane, one germanium atom came from the triphenylgermyl lithium, the other from the ester.

Reaction of triphenylgermyl lithium with diethylcarbonate, $(C_2H_5O)_2CO$, also resulted in the evolution of carbon monoxide and the isolation of hexaphenyldigermane as the only product. A yield of 88% was obtained when a deficiency of the carbonate was used and 47% with an excess of carbonate.

The mechanism for this reaction proposed by the authors involves the initial formation of ethyl triphenylgermanecarboxylate, which then reacts as above.

 $(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeLi + (c_{2}H_{5}O)_{2}CO \rightarrow (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeCOOC_{2}H_{5} + LiOC_{2}H_{5}$ $(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}Ge^{-} + (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeCOOC_{2}H_{5} \rightarrow (c_{6}H_{5})_{6}Ge_{2} + CO + OC_{2}H_{5}^{-}$

Smith and Kraus,³⁰ in the course of investigating the reactions of. triphenylgermyl sodium with organic polyhalides in ether, discovered that in addition to substitution of one or more of the halides by the triphenylgermyl group, the germyl groups which did not react with the organic compound combined to form hexaphenyldigermane. The amount of hexaphenyldigermane recovered, in fact, was the way in which it was determined how many halogen atoms were replaced. For example, in the reaction of triphenylgermyl sodium with carbon tetrachloride, 84-91% of the germanium was recovered as hexaphenyldigermane. Thus the authors concluded that, at best, not more than one chlorine atom had been replaced by a triphenylgermyl group. No substitution compound was isolated.

Reaction with chloroform yielded 62% hexphenyldigermane. Bis(triphenylgermyl)methane, $[(C_6H_5)_3Ge]_2CH_2$, was obtained in 9% yield, but the source of the second hydrogen was left unexplained.

-10-

Acetylene dichloride, ethylene dibromide, and β -butylene bromide gave 63%, 87%, and 91% hexaphenyldigermane, respectively. In no case was any substitution compound identified, only oils and small amounts of triphenylgermyl oxide. The oxide might indicate that compounds which might form decompose on handling. Trimethylene bromide gave 62% hexaphenyldigermane plus a small amount of bis(triphenylgermyl)propane, $(C_6H_5)_3Ge(CH_2)_3Ge(CH_2)_3Ge(C_6H_5)_3$.

Perhaps potentially the most interesting compound of all those containing a Ge-Ge bond is 1, 2-diiodotetrabutyldigermane, $(C_4H_9)_2I$ GeGe I $(C_4H_9)_2$. It is obtained³¹ in the reaction of germanium diiodide, GeI₂, with excess dibutylmercury, Bu₂Hg, in acetone. It is the only haloorganodigermane known.

 $2\text{Gel}_2+3(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_2\text{Hg} \rightarrow (\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_2\text{IGeGeI} (\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_2 + 2\text{C}_4\text{H}_9\text{HgI} + \text{Hg}$

2. Properties

No reactions of the polygermanes or their derivatives are known which retain the Ge-Ge bond. With the exception of hexaphenyldigermane, very little has been done with these compounds.

In every case attempted, reaction with bromine has resulted in cleavage of the metal-metal bond, forming the bromotriorganogermane. When a solution of bromine in ethylbromide is added to hexaethyldigermane, bromotriethylgermane is quantitatively produced.¹⁶

$$({^{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{2}}}^{\mathrm{H}}_{5})_{6} {^{\mathrm{Ge}}_{\mathrm{2}}} + {^{\mathrm{Br}}_{\mathrm{2}}} \rightarrow 2({^{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{2}}}^{\mathrm{H}}_{5})_{3} {^{\mathrm{GeBr}}}$$

A boiling carbon tetrachloride solution is needed to affect cleavage of hexaphenyldigermane by bromine.¹⁴ Octaphenyltrigermane reacts incompletely with bromine in a carbon tetrachloride solution at room temperature to give bromotriphenylgermane and dibromodiphenylgermane.²⁰

 $(c_{6}H_{5})_{8}Ge_{3} + 2Br_{2} \rightarrow 2(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}GeBr + (c_{6}H_{5})_{2}GeBr_{2}$

The cleavage of the metal-metal bond by halogens was used by Seyferth²⁴ in his identification of hexavinyldigermane. Both iodine (46% conversion) and bromine (23% conversion) reaction with this compound in chloroform solution to form the corresponding halides.

Reaction of an alkali metal with an organodigermane gives more variable results, depending on the compound, the metal and the conditions. Lithium in ethylamine readily converts hexaethyldigermane to triethylgermyl lithium.¹⁶

 $(C_2H_5)_6 Ge_2 + 2Li \rightarrow 2(C_2H_5)_3 GeLi$

Potassium in the same solvent gives an almost quanitative yield of triethylgermyl potassium. A solution of sodium in liquid ammonia gives no appreciable reaction with hexaethyldigermane, although this might, in part, be due to the insolubility of the digermane in this solvent.

Refluxing potassium does not react with hexamethyldigermane.¹⁷ Even with sodium-potassium alloy in ethylene glycol dimethyl ether, after three days, almost 50% of the hexamethyldigermane was recovered and no yellow color, characteristic of the potassium salt, was observed.

A suspension of hexaphenyldigermane in a sodium-liquid ammonia solution reacts only very slowly, but again this may partially be due to its insolubility in this solvent.³²

Gilman and Gerow³³ studied the cleavage of hexaphenyldigermane in various solvents in an attempt to prepare triphenylgermyl salts for further study. With sodium potassium alloy in ether no reaction took place. In benzene, 76% of the digermane could be recovered, along with 13% tetraphenylgermane. Apparent complete cleavage took place with this alloy in

-42-

refluxing di-n-butyl ether and in refluxing xylene, although no potassium salts could be recovered. Tetrahydrofuran, bromobenzene, and tetraphenylgermane act as initiators in ether solution for the alloy, resulting in a good percentage of the digermane being cleaved.

Using ethylene glycol dimethyl ether as a solvent, some cleavage of hexaphenyldigermane did occur, but again no derivative could be isolated. It is believed that any triphenylgermyl potassium which is formed is further attacked by the alloy.

To date the most effective method for cleaving hexaphenyldigermane to form the triphenylgermyl anion is to react it with lithium in a small amount of the glycol ether.

 $(C_6H_5)_6Ge_2 + Li \rightarrow 2(C_6H_5)_3GeLi$

It is believed 34 that in this case conversion is complete.

Diphenylgermanium tetramer, $[(C_6H_5)_2Ge]_4$, in liquid ammonia, adds two sodium atoms for each germanium atom, giving a red solution characteristic of $(C_6H_5)_2GeNa_2$.²⁰

Of all the organic compounds containing a Ge-Ge bond only hexavinyldimermane is reported to be air-sensitive.²⁴ Even for this compound, reaction is very slow.

C. Comparison to Compounds Containing the Si-Si Bond

A great deal more work has been done with silicon compounds than with their germanium analogs. In fact, many silicon compounds have, as yet, no counterparts in germanium chemistry. This is particularly true for the compounds with metal-metal bonds.

Many symmetric and unsymmetric disilances have been synthesized and studied. For the most part, only those compounds and reactions which relate to the preceeding section will be discussed here.

-13-

1. Inorganic Compounds

Stock first prepared and characterized the higher silanes up to tetrasilane, $\text{Si}_{4}\text{H}_{10}$.³⁵ In addition, he was able to isolate an inseparable mixture of several isomers of $\text{Si}_{5}\text{H}_{12}$ and $\text{Si}_{6}\text{H}_{14}$. These hydrides were formed by the reaction of hydrochloric acid with magnesium silicide.

Rec ntly, twenty-one individual silanes have been obtained, and separated by vapor phase chromatography, from the reaction of phosphoric acid with magnesium silicide.³⁶ The authors were able to identify $n-Si_4H_{10}$, another Si_4H_{10} of undetermined structure, $n-Si_5H_{12}$, iso- Si_5H_{12} , and tentatively to identify hexa-, hepta-, and octasilanes.

Disilane has also been obtained by reaction of lithium aluminum hydride with hexachlorodisilane. 37

Higher chlorides of silicon have been known for some time. Hexachlorodisilane, Si_2Cl_6 , has been prepared in a number of ways.³⁸ The passage of silicon tetrachloride over silicon at temperatures exceeding 1000° resulted in its formation, as did the reaction of chlorine or mercuric chloride with hexaiododisilane. The passage of silicon tetrachloride-hydrogen mixture through an electric discharge produced not only hexachlorodisilane, but higher chlorides as well. Chlorides up to hexasilane, $\text{Si}_6\text{Cl}_{14}$, have been synthesized by the reaction of chlorine on silicon-calcium alloy.

 ${\rm Si_{10}Cl_{22}}^{39}$ and ${\rm Si_{10}Cl_{20}H_2}^{40}$ have both been obtained by the reaction of silicon tetrachloride with hydrogen in a "hot-cold" tube. Among other higher chlorides reported are ${\rm Si_{10}Cl_{26}}$, ${\rm Si_{16}Cl_{26}}$, and ${\rm Si_{18}Cl_{30}}^{41}$.

-44-

Friedel and Landerburg prepared hexaiododisilane from silicon tetraiodide and silver metal.

$$2 \operatorname{SiI}_{h} + 2 \operatorname{Ag} \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{AgI} + \operatorname{Si}_{2} \operatorname{I}_{6}$$

They used this disilane to form not only hexachlorodisilane, but also hexabromodisilane by reaction with bromine.

$$\operatorname{Si}_{2}I_{6} + \operatorname{3}Br_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Si}_{2}Br_{6} + \operatorname{3}I_{2}$$

These reactions attest to the stability of the Si-Si bond in these compounds.

Hexafluorodisilane has been produced by the reaction of hexachlorodisilane with zinc fluoride. 43

Disilane does not react with pure water in quartz vessels.³⁵ In an alkaline solution, however, rapid hydrolysis occurs quantitatively to form silicic acid, H_2SiO_3 , plus hydrogen. The reaction is so sensitive to alkali that even a small piece of ordinary glass dropped into the quartz tube is sufficient to cause reaction. An acid solution will also cause hydrolysis to occur.

The hydrolysis of a hexahalodisilane was first studied by Friedel and Ladenburg in 1880.^{42,44} Hexaiododisilane reacted with ice water to give a compound probably analogous to oxalic acid.

$$\text{Si}_{2}\text{I}_{6} + 4 \text{H}_{2}\text{O} \rightarrow (\text{SiOOH})_{2} + 6 \text{HI}$$

Alkaline solution caused the Si-Si bond to break.

 $(\text{SiOOH})_2 + 4 \text{ KOH} \rightarrow 2 \text{ K}_2 \text{SiO}_3 + 2 \text{ H}_2 \text{O} + \text{H}_2$

Hexachlorodisilanc has also been found to form (SiOOH),

The evolution of hydrogen in the alkaline hydrolysis of hexachlorodisilane has been used to quantitatively determine the Si-Si bond. 46 The reaction of hexachlorodisilane with ammonia has recently been studied by Billy. $h\gamma$ At -79°, 27 molecules of ammonia were taken up for each molecule of hexachlorodisilane. At room temperature, however, 18 of the ammonia molecules are released. The reactions have been written as:

 $\operatorname{Si}_{2}\operatorname{Cl}_{6} + 27 \operatorname{MH}_{3}^{-79^{\circ}} \operatorname{Si}_{2}\operatorname{N}_{3}^{H}_{3} + 6\operatorname{MH}_{4}\operatorname{Cl} \cdot 3 \operatorname{MH}_{3}$ $\operatorname{MH}_{4} \cdot 3\operatorname{MH}_{3} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \overset{\mathbf{r}}{\cdot} \operatorname{MH}_{4}\operatorname{Cl} + 3\operatorname{MH}_{3}$

The author proposed that the compound is polymeric, corresponding to

rather than individual molecular units such as HN = Si - Si = NH, as NH

was previously proposed. ⁴⁸ $\text{Si}_2(\text{NH})_3$ is stable at room temperature under a vacuum but is sensitive to the slightest trace of water.

2. Organic Compounds

Organosilicon compounds, including those containing a Si-Si bond, have been the subject of a recent book by Eaborn.⁴⁹

As was true in the case of organogermanium compounds, the most popular route to the formation of a metal-metal bond is the reaction of a halotriorganosilane, R_3SiX , with an alkali metal. Reaction with sodium has produced $[(C_6H_5)_2Si]_4$ and $[(p-CH_3C_6H_4)_2Si]_4$ from dichlorodiphenylsilane, $(C_6H_5)_2SiCl_2$, and dichlorodi-p-tolylsilane, $(p-CH_3C_6H_4)_2SiCl_2$, respectively. These compounds are also believed to be cyclic. Dichlorodimethylsilane, $(C_3)_2SiCl_2$, gives primarily $[(CH_3)_2Si]_6$ along with other higher polymers.

Reaction of organometallic compounds, including Grignards, with tetrahalosilanes have never resulted in a coupling of the silicon atoms.

Direct coupling reactions of an organosilicon halide with an organosilicon alkali metal salt have also been used to synthesize organodisilanes. In addition to reactions such as

$$R_3 SIX + R_3 SIM = R_5 Si_2 + MX$$

which are analogous to those mentioned above for germanium, reactions such as the following under proper conditions, have proved successful.

$$(c_{6}H_{5})_{3}SiK + SiCl_{4} = (c_{6}H_{5})_{3}SiSiCl_{3} + KCl$$

Reaction of organometallic reagents with halodisilanes, yet to be attempted for hexachlorodigermane, has successfully produced organodigermanes.

$$Si_2X_6 + 6 RM = R_6Si_2 + 6 MX$$

Hexachlorodisilane has generally been the starting reagent, although both the bromo- and iodo- compounds have been used. Organolithium and organosodium, as well as Grignard reagents have produced symmetric organodisilanes, although some cleavage of the Si-Si bond usually occurs.

A reaction which has no counterpart in germanium chemistry is the hydrogenolysis of a tetraorganosilane.

$$2 \operatorname{RSiR}_{3} + H_{2} \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{RH} + \operatorname{R}_{6} \operatorname{Si}_{2}$$

High temperatures and pressures are essential. Hexamethyldisilane, hexaethyldisilane, and hexaphenyldisilane have been made in this way.

Enough work has been done with organodisilanes to permit one to make several generalizations concerning their behavior.

Hexaryldisilanes are quite resistant to the action of bromine. As with hexaphenyldigermane, hexaphenyldisilane is cleaved only by a boiling carbon tetrachloride solution of bromine. Hexaalkyldisilanes are much more susceptable to cleavage. This difference between aryl- and alkyldisilanes appears also to be true for the organodigermanes, but as yet, too few of the latter have been studied to permit such a generalization.

The opposite behavior is shown upon exposure of organodisilanes to alkali metals. Hexaalkyls are usaffected, whereas hexaryl- and aryl-alkyldisilanes are cleaved quite easily. This cleavage has been quite effectively produced by sodium-potassium alloy in other and by lithium in tetrahydrofuran.

Hexaaryldisilanes show no tendency to dissociate into free radicals. Even hexa-p-biphenyldisilane is unaffected by prolonged treatment with oxygen and iodine,⁵⁰ as in hexaphenyldisilane.⁵¹

D. Comparison to Compounds Containing Sn-Sn Bond

The chemistry of distannane compounds is at about the same stage as that of the digermane derivatives, although the number of organic compounds with Sn-Sn bonds is somewhat greater than for the germanes. The distannanes are generally more reactive than their germanium analogs.

1. Inorganic Compounds

The only inorganic compound known to contain an Sn-Sn bond is the recently prepared distannane.⁵² It is very unstable, decomposing completely to tin and hydrogen upon warming to room temperature.

2. Organic Compounds

Organostannare compounds have been the subject of a recent review by Ingham, Rosenberg, and Gilman.⁵³

As with germanium and silicon, the most commonly used method of preparing compounds of the type R_6Sn_2 has been the reaction of sodium with a halotriorganostannane.

 $2R_3SnX + 2Na \rightarrow R_6Sn_2 + 2NaX$

A great variety of other methods of synthesis have proven successful. For instance, tin alloy reactions with ethyliodide and with phenyl mercuric chloride form, among their products, hexaethyldistannane, $(C_2H_5)_6Sn_2$, and hexaphenyldistannane $(C_6H_5)_6Sn_2$, respectively.

Coupling of halotriphenylstannane with triphenylstannyl lithium has been shown to produce hexaphenyldistannane in good yield. Unsymmetrical organodistannane compounds have also been formed in this way.

Along with the expected tetracyclohexylstannane, some hexacyclohexyldistannane was formed in the reaction of tin tetrachloride with cyclohexylmagnesium bromide. Tin dichloride with a phenyl Grignard also forms hexphenyldistannane.

In addition, the main product of the reaction of tri-o-tolylstannyl lithium with o-iodotoluene is hexa-o-tolyldistannane.

Hexaalkyldistannane compounds are oxidizied slowly in air, although hexaaryl and alkylaryls are stable.

Halogens readily cleave all known distannane compounds, as do alkali metals. Particularly good for the latter reactions are sodium in liquid ammonia and sodium-potassium alloy in ether. Lithium metal in THF gave poor yields of triphenylstannyl lithium from hexaphenyldistannane.

Organolithium compounds, as well as several others, are also capable of this cleavage, thereby cutting down the number of reagents which might otherwise be used to form organodistannanes.

Dissociation of distannane compounds into free radicals has been a matter of some uncertainty.

-40-

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

-50-

The author wishes to thank Dr. W. L. Jolly for his guidance throughout the course of this work.

This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

• -51-
REFERENCES
1. R. Schwarz and E. Baronetsky, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 275, 1(1954).
2. D. Shriver and W.L. Jolly, J. Am. Chom. Soc., <u>80</u> , 6692(1958); W.L.
Jolly, "Synthetic Inorganic Chemistry", Prentice-Hall, Inc. (1960),
p. 113, 170-171.
3. J.J. Thomson and G.P. Thomson, "Conduction of Electricity Through
Gases, " Vol. II, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press (1933),
p. 431 ff.
4. R. Schwarz and F. Heinrich, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 209, 273(1932).
5. W.C. Schumb and D.M. Smyth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 3003(1955).
6. T. Wartig, Paper 55 presented at the 138th Meeting of the American
Chemical Society, New York, Sept. 1960.
7. L.P. Lindeman and M.K. Wilson, Spectrochim. Acta, 2, 47(1957).
8. C.W. Moulton and J.G. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>78</u> , 2702(1956).
9. L.M. Dennis, R.B. Corey, and R.W. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>46</u> , 657(1924).
10. C.A. Kraus and E.S. Carney, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>56</u> , 765(1934).
11. J.E. Drake and W.L. Jolly, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1961, 379.
12. P. Royen and R. Schwarz, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 211, 412(1933).
13. P. Royen and R. Schwarz, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 215, 288,295(1933).
14. G.T. Morgan and H.D.K. Drew, J. Chem, Soc., <u>127</u> , 1760(1925).
15. O.H. Johnson, Chem. Rev., <u>48</u> , 259(1951).
16. C.A. Kraus and E.A. Flood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>54</u> , 1635(1932).
17. M.P. Brown and G.W.A. Fowles, J. Chem. Soc., <u>1958</u> , 2811.
18. H. Bauer and K. Burschkies, Ber., <u>67</u> , 1041(1934).
19. O.H. Johnson and W.H. Nebergall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 70, 1706(1948).
20. C.A. Kraus and C.L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>52</u> , 4031(1930).

21. R. Schwarz and M. Levisohn, Ber., <u>64</u>, 2352(1931).

- 22. R. Schwarz and M. Schmeisser, Ber., <u>69</u>, 579(1936).
- 23. a) O.H. Johnson and D.M. Harris, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 72, 5566(1950);
 - b) O.H. Johnson and D.M. Harris, "Inorganic Synthesis", Vol. V,
 - McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York (1957), p. 72.
- 24. D. Seyferth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 2738(1957).
- 25. H. Gilman, M.B. Hughes, and C.W. Gerow, J. Org. Chem., 24, 252(1959).
- 26. C.A. Kraus and C.S. Sherman, J. Am. Chem. Soc.; 55, 4694(1933).
- 27. H. Gilman and C.W. Gerov, J. Org. Chem., 23, 1582(1958).
- 28. H. Gilman and C.W. Gerov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>78</u>, 5435(1956).
- 29. H. Gilman and C.W. Gerow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 4675(1955).
- 30. F.B. Smith and C.A. Kraus, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 74, 1418(1952).

31. G. Jacobs, Compt. rend., 238, 1825(1954).

- 32. C.A. Kraus and L.S. Foster, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 49,457(1927).
- 33. H. Gilman and C.W. Gerov, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 5509(1955).
- 34. H. Gilman and C.W. Gerow, J. Org. Chem., 23, 1582(1958).
- 35. A. Stock, "Hydrides of Boron and Silicon," Cornell University Press (1933).
- 36. K. Boren and C.S.G. Phillips, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1959, 189.
- 37. A.E. Finholt, A.C. Bond, Jr., K.E. Wilzbach and H.I. Schlesinger,
 J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>69</u>, 2692(1947).
- 38. W.C. Schumb and E.L. Gamble, "Inorganic Synthesis," Vol. I, McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York(1939), p. 42.
- 39. R. Schwarz and H. Meckbach, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., <u>232</u>, 241(1937).
- 40. R. Schwarz and R. Thiel, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., <u>235</u>, 247(1938).
- 41. R. Schwarz and A. Koster, Z. anorg allgem. Chem., 270, 2(1952).

42. C. Friedel and A. Landerburg, Ann., 203, 241(1880).

- 43. W.C. Schumb and E.L. Gamble, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 54, 583(1932).
- 44. C. Friedel and A. Landerburg, Ann. Chim. Phys. (5), 19, 390(1880).
- 45. L. Gettermann and K. Weinlig, Ber., 27, 1943(1894).
- 46. K.A. Andrianov, "Organic Silicon Compounds," State Scientific Tech. Pub. House for Chem. Lit. Moscov(1955), p. 135.
- 47. M. Billy, Bull. Soc. chim., 1961, 1550.
- 48. R. Schwarz and W. Sexhauer, Ber., <u>59</u>, 333(1926).
- 49. C. Eaborn, "Organosilicon Compounds," Academic Press Inc., New York (1960).
- 50. H. Gilman and G.E. Dunn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 5077(1951).
- 51. H. Gilman and T.C. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 3702(1953).
- 52. W.L. Jolly, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 335(1961).

53. R.K. Ingham, S.D. Rosenberg, and H. Gilman, Chem. Revs., <u>60</u>, 459(1960).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.



•