## UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Stressor interactions in freshwater habitats: Effects of cold water exposure and food limitation on early-life growth and upper thermal tolerance in white sturgeon, Acipenser transmontanus

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5xr8m751

**Journal** Freshwater Biology, 64(2)

### ISSN

0046-5070

### Authors

Rodgers, Essie M Todgham, Anne E Connon, Richard E <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019

## DOI

10.1111/fwb.13224

Peer reviewed

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



## Stressor interactions in freshwater habitats: Effects of cold water exposure and food limitation on early-life growth and upper thermal tolerance in white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*

Essie M. Rodgers<sup>1</sup> | Anne E. Todgham<sup>2</sup> | Richard E. Connon<sup>3</sup> | Nann A. Fangue<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Wildlife, Fish and Conservation Biology, University of California, Davis, California

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of California, Davis, California

<sup>3</sup>Anatomy, Physiology & Cell Biology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California, Davis, California

#### Correspondence

Nann A. Fangue, Department of Wildlife, Fish and Conservation Biology, University of California, Davis, CA. Email: nafangue@ucdavis.edu

#### **Funding information**

Delta Stewardship Council, Grant/Award Number: 1470 University of California, Davis Agricultural Experiment Station, Grant/Award Number: 2098-H and 2252-H;

#### Abstract

- 1. Limited food availability and altered thermal regimes (e.g. cold water releases from dams) are two common stressors threatening the persistence of fishes inhabiting anthropogenically disturbed freshwater systems. Yet, the combined effects of these stressors remain poorly characterised.
- 2. To remedy this, we examined the isolated and combined effects of low temperature exposure and food restriction on specific growth rate (SGR, % body mass/day) and upper thermal tolerance (critical thermal maxima, CTMax) in larval white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus* [Acipenseridae], 32 days post-hatch, body mass: 0.25 ± 0.03 g, mean ± standard deviation). A 2 × 2 factorial design was implemented with fish exposed to one of two ecologically-relevant acclimation temperatures (cold exposure: 11°C or a control temperature: 18°C) and one of two food restriction treatments designed to emulate observed declines in food availability (100% or 40% optimal feed rate) for 6 weeks (N: 3 replicate tanks/treatment, 50 fish/tank).
- 3. Specific growth rate was affected by both low temperature exposure and food restriction in isolation; low temperature exposure reduced SGR by 56.5% and food restriction reduced SGR by 30.6%. Simultaneous exposure to low temperature and food restriction resulted in a greater but less than additive reduction in SGR (80.6%), indicating that the stressors interacted antagonistically.
- 4. Critical thermal maxima were c. 2°C higher in 18°C-acclimated fish (CTMax = 30.7 ± 0.4°C, mean ± standard error) compared to 11°C-acclimated fish (CTMax = 28.6 ± 0.2°C, mean ± standard error); however, CTMax was independent of food restriction in both 11°C- and 18°C-acclimated fish.
- 5. These data highlight the unpredictability of stressor interactions and may guide holistic conservation strategies, which target co-occurring stressors in freshwater habitats.

#### KEYWORDS

critical thermal maxima, ectotherm, food deprivation, larvae, multiple stressors

#### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Aquatic organisms face an increasing number of concurrent stressors as human demands on freshwater ecosystems intensify (Christensen et al., 2006; Jackson, Loewen, Vinebrooke, & Chimimba, 2016). Critical freshwater habitats have undergone extensive change through the integration of dams and weirs (Liermann, Nilsson, Robertson, & Ng, 2012), addition of thermal and chemical effluent (Webb & Walling, 1993) and the introduction of exotic species (Gido & Brown, 1999; Strayer, 2010). These alterations have created a matrix of stressors for aquatic species to navigate (Fausch, Baxter, & Murakami, 2010; Wepener et al., 2011), but these stressors are often studied in isolation and it is largely unknown how co-occurring stressors combine to impact aquatic organisms (Darling & Côté, 2008; Mothersill, Mosse, & Seymour, 2007; Sala et al., 2000).

Low food availability is a pervasive stressor in developed waterways (Bunn, Thoms, Hamilton, & Capon, 2006; Fausch et al., 2010; Jassby, Cloern, & Cole, 2002). Diminished food resources can stem from exotic species modifying food-web structure (Vander Zanden, Casselman, & Rasmussen, 1999). For instance, a controlled introduction of western mosquitofish, Gambusia affinis (Poeciliidae), into a wetland ecosystem in California (U.S.A.) was associated with a 90% decrease in zooplankton abundance and a 50% decline in macroinvertebrate density (Preston et al., 2017). Scarcity of food places energy limits on fish and can decrease stress tolerance through divestment of energy towards protective molecular and cellular responses (Sokolova, 2013). Previous studies have shown food deprived fish to have lower upper thermal tolerance (i.e. critical thermal maxima, CTMax) and an inhibited capacity to overexpress heat shock proteins (Hsps; Deng, Wang, Lee, Bai, & Hung, 2009; Han, Huang, Wang, Deng, & Hung, 2012; Lee, Fangue, Verhille, & Todgham, 2016; Woiwode & Adelman, 1992). However, the most well-documented effect of food restriction is a reduction in energy stores directed towards somatic growth (Jobling, 1994). Growth rates and nutritional status systematically decline with decreasing food availability (Cui, Hung, & Zhu, 1996; Hung, 2017).

In addition to food limitation, fish inhabiting developed waterways are often exposed to *thermal pollution*, which is defined as any human-induced alteration to a habitat's natural thermal regime (Caissie, 2006). To date, research efforts assessing the impacts of altered thermal regimes on fish functional performance have focused on temperature increases simulating climate warming scenarios (Ficke, Myrick, & Hansen, 2007). However, fish inhabiting heavily managed waterways are also exposed to artificial temperature reductions (Caissie, 2006). Hypolimnetic releases from thermally stratified water reservoirs can rapidly lower water temperatures by 10–15°C, with thermal alterations persisting downstream for several hundred kilometres (Lowney, 2000; Preece, 2004). Temperature reductions are most pronounced during spring and summer, a critical period for spawning and larval development in many fishes (Lugg & Copeland, 2014; Todd, Ryan, Nicol, & Bearlin, 2005). Anthropogenic Freshwater Biology

shifts in environmental temperature are particularly threatening to ectotherms (almost all fish, reptiles, and amphibians) where body temperature is closely tied to the thermal environment (Frv. 1967). The functional capacity of ectotherms is optimised within a limited range of body temperatures resulting from the thermal sensitivity of molecular, cellular and metabolic processes (Hochachka, 1967; Hochachka & Somero, 1968). Fish exposed to rapid decreases in temperature outside this range typically exhibit metabolic depression (Ibarz et al., 2007), lowered swimming performance (Fangue, Mandic, Richards, & Schulte, 2007; Rodgers et al., 2014), reduced CTMax (Healy & Schulte, 2012; Schulte, Healy, & Fangue, 2011), and immunosuppression (Bly & Clem, 1992; Le Morvan, Troutaud, & Deschaux, 1998). Low temperatures also have pronounced, depressive effects on fish growth rates (Burel et al., 1996). For example, cold water releases from dams significantly curbed larvae growth of four teleost species in the Colorado River, U.S.A. (Robinson & Childs, 2001).

The combined effects of food restriction and cold water exposure on growth rates and stress tolerance remains largely uncharacterised in fishes. The combined effect of co-occurring stressors can be complex, and simply summing the separate effect of each isolated stressor can result in erroneous predictions (Crain, Kroeker, & Halpern, 2008; McBryan, Anttila, Healy, & Schulte, 2013; Todgham & Stillman, 2013). Combined effects are typically categorised as either additive (interaction is equal to the sum of the individual effects of each stressor in isolation), antagonistic (interactive effect of the two stressors is weaker than the sum of each stressor in isolation), or synergistic (the interactive effect of the two stressors is stronger than the sum of each stressor in isolation) (Piggott, Townsend, & Matthaei, 2015).

The present study aimed to assess the isolated and combined effects of food restriction and low temperature exposure on growth rates and stress tolerance (measured as CTMax) in larval white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus* (Acipenseridae). White sturgeon are highly valued, from ecological, economic, and recreational standpoints (Moyle, 2002), but several populations are listed as endangered by the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC, 2012) and as S2 status (contracting geographic range and low abundance) in the California Natural Diversity Database (2009). White sturgeon are native to the Pacific coast of North America and inhabit three major river systems: Fraser, Columbia, and Sacramento–San Joaquin (S-SJ) rivers (Moyle, 2002). As a semi-anadromous species, adults primarily reside in estuaries and migrate to freshwater habitats to spawn (Moyle, 2002).

Recruitment failure (i.e. larvae/juveniles not surviving to be added to a population) is cited as the primary driver of population declines in *A. transmontanus* and probably stems from early life-history stages being restricted to heavily degraded freshwater habitats prior to the development of osmoregulatory capacities (COSEWIC, 2012). The S-SJ catchment is a critical spawning and nursery ground for *A. transmontanus* but this habitat has been extensively modified since the mid-1800s when hydraulic gold mining operations were pervasive and dense human settlement followed WILEY - Freshwater Biology

(Dasmann, 1999). The ecosystem has been further altered by channelisation, inputs of effluent and contaminants, the installation of water reservoirs/diversions and the introduction of invasive species (Cloern & Jassby, 2012). White sturgeon probably experience unseasonably cold temperatures and prey scarcity in tandem as embryos and larvae-the most vulnerable life-history stages (Dettlaff, Ginsburg, & Schmalhausen, 1993). Water reservoir operations can result in unseasonably low temperatures of 10-11°C in spring-the peak spawning period for A. transmontanus (Moyle, 2002; Pike et al., 2013; Van Eenennaam, Linares-Casenave, Deng, & Doroshov, 2005). Larval A. transmontanus feed on zooplankton (predominantly gammarid amphipods, [Corophium spp.] and copepods [Cyclopoida]; Muir, McCabe, Parsley, & Hinton, 2000), but record declines in zooplankton biomass have been observed in the S-SJ catchment over the past four decades (Carlton, Thompson, Schemel, & Nichols, 1990; Cloern et al., 1983; Cloern, Foster, & Kleckner, 2014; Winder & Jassby, 2011). On average, major genera of zooplankton declined in biomass by 61% between 1972 and 2008 (Winder & Jassby, 2011).

Depressed early-life growth rates have important consequences because smaller body sizes often confer decreased competitiveness over resources (Johnsson, Nobbelin, & Bohlin, 1999) and lowered survival rates (Carlson, Olsen, & Vøllestad, 2008). The impact of food restriction on growth and stress tolerance may be exacerbated at low temperatures because feed conversion efficiency and the upregulation of protective mechanisms typically decrease with temperature (Jobling, 1994; Somero, 1995). Conversely, metabolic demands are reduced at low temperatures, potentially leaving more energy available to be directed towards growth and stress responses, so that the interaction between food restriction and low temperatures may be antagonistic. We therefore predicted that the two stressors would interact either synergistically or antagonistically to affect growth and CTMax. Fish were exposed to one of two food restriction levels designed to emulate observed declines in zooplankton biomass in the S-SJ catchment (i.e. unrestricted-100% optimal feed rate, OFR; restricted-60% decline), and one of two temperatures, with the low temperature reflecting a hypolimnetic water release during spring (i.e. control, 18°C; low temperature, 11°C). The isolated and combined effects of the two stressors were assessed to provide an ecologically-relevant index of how they interact.

#### 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 | Study animals

White sturgeon larvae were obtained from Sterling Caviar hatchery (Elverta, CA, U.S.A.; 38.735565, -121.491178). Broodstock were vernalised during winter at 10-12°C and transported to the hatchery in spring where spawning was artificially induced at 15°C. Eggs were incubated at 15  $\pm$  1°C and resulting larvae (1-day posthatch, dph) were transported to the Center for Aquatic Biology and Aquaculture (University of California, Davis, CA, U.S.A.) on

26 May 2017. Larvae were housed in an 815 L circular, fibreglass flow-through tank continuously supplied with non-chlorinated, degassed well water. Water temperatures were held at 18 ± 1°C and fish were exposed to a natural photoperiod. Exogenous feeding was initiated at 7 dph and fish were continuously supplied with commercial soft-moist, starter feed (Rangen Inc. Idaho, U.S.A.) using a belt-feeder (Zeigler Brothers Inc., Gardners, PA, U.S.A.). Proximate composition (%) of the feed was reported as ≥45% crude protein, ≥18% crude fat, ≤3% crude fibre, ≤12% ash and ≤2% added mineral ingredients. Feed size was increased at 32 dph for all fish to a mixture consisting of 50% starter (size < 0.6 mm) and 50% soft moist feed (size = 0.8 mm). A continuous supply of food was required to ensure optimal growth because A. transmontanus are nibble feeders (Cui, Hung, Dong-Fang, & Yunxia, 1997). Excess food and solid wastes were siphoned from the tank twice daily. Experimental and animal care procedures were approved by the University of California Davis Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (protocols #18767; #19928) and complied with the US National Research Council's Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, the US Public Health Service's Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

#### 2.2 | Experimental design

At 25 dph, 600 fish were distributed between 12 circular, flowthrough tanks (194.3 L volume, 94 cm inner diameter, 42 cm height, filled to 28 cm, flow rate: 5 L/min) held at 18 ± 0.5°C (N: 50/fish tank). Fish were allowed a 5-day adjustment period, after which tanks were randomly assigned to one of two acclimation temperatures (11  $\pm$  0.5°C or 18  $\pm$  0.5°C) using a random number generator. Water temperature in the cold treatment (i.e. 11°C) tanks was reduced at a rate of 2°C/day. Once the target water temperature was reached, tanks were randomly assigned to one of two food restriction treatments (i.e. 100% optimal feed rate, OFR or 40% OFR), resulting in three replicate tanks for each acclimation temperature × food restriction combination. Empirically validated OFR models were used to inform feeding rates, where OFR was defined as the feeding rate at which additional food provides no growth gains (Cui & Hung, 1995; Lee et al., 2014). Optimal feed rates are expressed as % body mass (BM)/day and calculated using Lee et al. (2014)'s model (Equation 1) calibrated for 0.05-764 g A. transmontanus maintained between 17.9 and 23.1°C.

100% OFR (18°C) =  $0.00344e^{-5.684 \ln(\sqrt{BM})} + 8.695e^{-0.549 \ln(\sqrt{BM})}$ 

(1)

Optimal feed rates were reduced by 60% to calculate the 40% OFR (Equation 2):

$$40\% \text{ OFR} (18^{\circ}\text{C}) = 100\% \text{ OFR} (18^{\circ}\text{C}) \times 0.4$$
 (2)

Optimal feed rates decrease with a reduction in water/body temperature in A. transmontanus (Cui & Hung, 1995). For example, OFR decreased

351

by c.71% between 18°C and 11°C (Cui & Hung, 1995). Therefore, OFRs were temperature adjusted using the following equations:

$$100\% OFR(11^{\circ}C) = 100\% OFR(18^{\circ}C) \times 0.29$$
 (3)

$$40\% \text{ OFR}(11^{\circ}\text{C}) = 40\% \text{ OFR}(18^{\circ}\text{C}) \times 0.29$$
 (4)

The experimental trial began at 32 dph and ran for 6 weeks. A subset of fish (30/tank) were weighed every 2 weeks and OFRs were updated accordingly.

#### 2.3 | Growth assessment

Wet BM (g) and total length (TL, cm) were measured in a subset of fish (BM *N*: 30/tank; 90/treatment; TL *N*: 10/tank, 30/treatment) at four time-points (time 0, week 2, week 4, and week 6). Fish were batch-weighed (10/batch) using an electronic balance (A-200DS, Denver Instrument Company, NY, U.S.A.) and immediately returned to a recovery tank. Specific growth rates (SGR, %BM/day) were calculated for the entire trial (i.e. 42 days) in terms of percentage increase in BM (Equation 5) per day for each tank (Hopkins, 1992):

$$SGR_{BM} = 100 (\ln (BM_f) - \ln (BM_i)/t)$$
 (5)

where  $BM_f$  and  $BM_i$  are mean final and initial BM (g) per fish, respectively and t is the growth period (days). Fish were fasted for 24 hr prior to all measurements.

#### 2.4 | Critical thermal maxima

Critical thermal maxima were assessed in fish from all four treatment groups at the end of the food restriction trial (N: 9-11/treatment; 77-80 dph). The CTMax test chamber was an insulated, glass aquarium (L: 50.5 cm, W: 26.0 cm, H: 30.0 cm, filled with 14 L), where water temperature was manipulated using a YSI 74 Proportional Temperature Controller (YSI, Yellow Springs, OH, U.S.A.). Water mixing was maintained using a submersible 5.3 W pump (Rio Plus, model: Rio<sup>+</sup> 50, TAAM, Camarillo, CA, U.S.A.). Following a 24-hr fasting period, fish were individually placed within one of five cylindrical, glass chambers (inner D: 4.5 cm, H: 11.0 cm), filled with 100 ml of water matching thermal acclimation temperature. Water within chambers was constantly aerated by running airlines attached to pipette tips (10 µl, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, U.S.A.) into each chamber. Fish were allowed a 1-hr adjustment period before water temperature was increased at a rate of 0.3°C/min (Fangue, Hofmeister, & Schulte, 2006; Sardella, Sanmarti, & Kültz, 2008; Verhille, Todgham, Hung, & Fangue, 2015). Chamber water temperatures were monitored and recorded using an alcohol thermometer calibrated against a certified standard mercury thermometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and a calibrated optic shuttle temperature logger (Onset Computer Corporation, Bourne, MA, U.S.A.) also recorded temperature every 15 s. Fish were observed throughout the trials and loss of

equilibrium (LOE), defined as an inability to maintain an upright position in the water column for 10 s, was used as an endpoint (Lee et al., 2016). Following LOE, fish were immediately placed in an aerated recovery tank matching acclimation temperature. Fish were kept in individual, flow-through chambers to track post-trial survival for 24 hr, after which fish were euthanised with an overdose of MS-222 and TL and BM measurements were recorded. Post CTMax trial survival was 100% for all treatments.

#### 2.5 | Data analyses

Data analyses were performed using R Studio (version 3.4.3; http://www.R-project.org/) and response variables were log10transformed where necessary. A linear mixed effects (lme) model was run to determine the effect of acclimation temperature (twolevel, fixed factor) and food restriction (two-level, fixed factor), and the interaction between these factors on SGR, using the nlme package (Linear and Non-linear Mixed Effects Models; https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme). Tank ID was included as a random effect in all mixed effects models. A generalised linear mixed-effects model (glmm) with a quasipoisson error term was run to examine the effect of acclimation temperature and food restriction, and the interaction between these factors on CTMax using the MASS package (Modern Applied Statistics with S; https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/MASS/MASS.pdf), and BM was included as a covariate. A follow-up analysis adjusted CTMax values for BM using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), and corrected means were compared using the general linear hypotheses post hoc test (glht). A separate lme model was run to compare mass and length changes between treatments at trial time-points (i.e. week 0-6). An autoregressive correlation structure (corAR1) was specified to account for temporal autocorrelation inherent to all timepoint comparisons. Tukey's post hoc tests were run to discern statistical differences among treatment groups and timepoints using the Ismeans (least-squares means; https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/lsmeans/index.html) and multcomp (simultaneous inference in general parametric models; https:// cran.rproject.org/web/packages/multcomp/index.html) packages. Statistical significance was accepted at  $p \le .05$ .

#### 3 | RESULTS

#### 3.1 | Specific growth rate

Time-point analyses showed the effect of low temperature exposure on BM was evident from week 2 onwards (p < .001, Ime; Figure 1a). The effect of food restriction on body mass emerged at week 4 and persisted to the end of the trial (p < .01, Ime, Figure 1a). Changes in TL were slower to emerge; the effect of acclimation temperature was evident from week 4 onwards (p < .001, Ime) and the effect of food restriction was apparent at week 6 (p < .05, Ime, Figure 1b).

Specific growth rate was affected by both low temperature exposure ( $F_{1.8}$  = 295.6, p < .001, lme) and food restriction



**FIGURE 1** Time-point assessment of the effects of food restriction and low temperature exposure on (a) wet body mass (BM, g) and (b) total length (TL, cm) of larval white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus* (Acipenseridae). Fish were exposed to one of two acclimation temperatures (cold exposure: 11°C or a control temperature: 18°C) and one of two food restriction treatments (100% optimal feed rate, OFR or 40% OFR) for 6 weeks. Measurements were recorded every 2 weeks (time 0, week 2, week 4, and week 6) in a subset of fish from each treatment (BM N: 30/treatment; TL N: 10/tank, 30/treatment). Mean BM and TL differed between all treatments by week 6, but changes in TL were slower to emerge. Values are shown as mean ± standard error and different lowercase letters indicate statistical differences ( $p \le .05$ ) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

( $F_{1,8}$  = 122.7, p < .001, lme) in isolation (Figure 2). The main effect of low temperature exposure was greater than the main effect of food restriction (Figure 3); cold temperature exposure reduced SGR by 56.5% and food restriction reduced SGR by 30.6%. Simultaneous exposure to low temperature and food restriction resulted in a greater reduction in SGR (80.6%) than exposure to a single stressor (Figure 3). Low temperature exposure and food restriction interacted antagonistically and the observed reduction in SGR was 6.5% less than would be predicted from the sum of the isolated effects of each stressor ( $F_{1.8}$  = 18.3, p < .05, Ime; Figure 3).

#### 3.2 | Critical thermal maxima

Marked differences were observed in CTMax between thermal acclimation treatments (p < .0001,  $F_{1,8} = 42.71$ , glmm); CTMax was  $c.2^{\circ}$ C higher in 18°C-acclimated fish (CTMax = 30.7 ± 0.4°C; mean ± standard error) compared to 11°C-acclimated fish (CTMax = 28.6 ± 0.2°C; mean ± standard error) at 100% OFR. In contrast, CTMax was independent of food restriction treatment (p = .42,  $F_{1,8} = 0.001$ , glmm) in both 11°C- and 18°C-acclimated fish (Table 1). Chamber number had no effect on CTMax ( $F_{1,23} = 0.0006$ , p = .30, glmm) and was excluded from the final model. Mass-adjusted CTMax means were higher than raw means in 18°C-acclimated fish (+0.1 to 1.32°C) and lower than raw means in the 11°C-acclimated fish (-0.55 to 0.84°C) (ANCOVA, Table 1). *Post hoc* analyses on adjusted means showed that results did not change following mass corrections, as CTMax was affected by acclimation temperature (p < .0001, glht) but not food restriction (p = .42, glht).

#### 4 | DISCUSSION

The ecological relevance of multi-stressor studies is becoming increasingly recognised (Todgham & Stillman, 2013) but understanding



**FIGURE 2** Effect of food restriction and low temperature exposure on specific growth rate (SGR, % body mass/day; N: 3 tanks/treatment) in larval white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus* (Acipenseridae). Fish were exposed to one of two acclimation temperatures (cold exposure: 11°C or a control temperature: 18°C) and one of two food restriction treatments (100% optimal feed rate, OFR or 40% OFR) for 6 weeks. Values are shown as raw data points and black lines mark treatment means. Different letters indicate statistical differences ( $p \le .05$ ) [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

the combined effects of stressors is still regarded as one of the largest knowledge deficiencies in ecological conservation (Darling & Côté, 2008; Mothersill et al., 2007; Sala et al., 2000). To this end, we assessed the interactive effects of food restriction and low temperature exposure on SGR and CTMax in larval *A. transmontanus*. The two stressors interacted antagonistically to affect SGR, but CTMax was only affected by acclimation temperature. These data highlight the unpredictability of stressor interactions and may guide conservation efforts.

# 4.1 | Isolated and combined effects of stressors on SGR

The thermal sensitivity of growth rates in fishes and other ectotherms is well understood (Angilletta, Steury, & Sears, 2004; Ojanguren,





Reyes-Gavilán, & Florentino, 2001). Growth rates of A. *transmontanus* are optimised between 18 and 23°C, and declines are observed at temperatures above and below this range (Hung, Lutes, Shqueir, & Conte, 1993; Cui & Hung, 1995). In the present study, 11°C-acclimated fish grew at approximately half the rate of 18°C-acclimated fish. Similar observations of depressed growth rates at cold temperatures have been made for other sturgeon species. In juvenile shovelnose sturgeon, *Scaphirhynchus platorynchus* (Acipenseridae), feed efficiency was reduced at temperatures below 18°C and growth ceased at temperatures below 10°C (Kappenman, Fraser, Toner, Dean, & Webb, 2009). Similarly, growth rates in both lake sturgeon, *A. fulvescens* (Acipenseridae), and green sturgeon, *A. medirostris* (Acipenseridae), decreased precipitously with declining temperatures (Fortin, Dumont, & Guenette, 1996; Mayfield & Cech, 2004).

Food deprivation typically results in low long-term and shortterm energy substrates, and less energy devoted towards somatic growth (Verhille et al., 2015). In isolation, food restriction resulted in a 30.6% reduction in SGR at 18°C. This finding aligns with previously observed trends in food restriction trials, where growth rates decreased with declining feed rations (Deng et al., 2009). In the present study, an antagonistic interaction between low temperature exposure and food restriction was observed in fish simultaneously exposed to both stressors. Simple addition of the isolated effect of each stressor predicts an 87.1% reduction in SGR would be observed when stressors are combined; however, SGR only declined by 80.6% in fish simultaneously exposed to both stressors. Fish often employ similar mechanisms to respond to low temperature exposure and food deprivation. Behavioural and physiological changes that reduce energy turnover are at the forefront of adaptive responses to low food availability (Wang, Hung, & Randall, 2006). Food-restricted fish typically downregulate activity levels as an energy saving strategy, with some species reducing daily activity by 50% (Van Dijk, Staaks, & Hardewig, 2002). Similarly, activity levels also decrease at low temperatures in ectotherms (Fukuhara, 1990). Energetic demands can also be lowered by changes in standard metabolic rate whereby maintenance costs are reduced. Ectotherm metabolic demands lower with declines in body/environmental temperature ( $Q_{10}$  effect), and energy required to cover metabolism at 11°C would be much less than at 18°C. Indeed, the thermal sensitivity of SGR ( $Q_{10}$  = 3.3) aligned with typical  $Q_{10}$  values for fishes (2-3), suggesting that passive physico-chemical effects of low temperatures on metabolism were responsible (Speers-Roesch, Norin, & Driedzic, 2018).

**TABLE 1**Critical thermal maxima(CTMax) means  $(\bar{x})$  for each treatmentgroup, sample size (N), wet body mass andmass-adjusted CTMax means

	Measured CTMax (°C)		W(at mass	CTMax (°C)
Freatment group	N	π ± SE	$\bar{x} \pm SD$ (g)	mass
L8°C 100% OFR	10	30.69 ± 0.44	2.43 ± 0.83	32.01
L8°C 40% OFR	10	31.74 ± 0.26	1.33 ± 0.44	31.84
L1°C 100% OFR	9	28.60 ± 0.16	0.74 ± 0.10	28.05
L1°C 40% OFR	11	28.51 ± 0.31	0.48 ± 0.22	27.67

SD, standard deviation; SE, standard error; OFR, optimal feed rate.

WILEY- Freshwater Biology

Since food restriction and low temperature exposure can be countered by the same compensatory mechanisms, it can be speculated that compensatory responses induced by one stressor may have been associated with heightened tolerance to the other stressor. Instances where protective mechanisms against one stressor heightens resilience to another stressor are termed cross-tolerance (Kampinga, Brunsting, Stege, Burgman, & Konings, 1995). Several cases of cross-tolerance have been documented in aquatic ectotherms. Exposure to heat shock (+12°C) in tidepool sculpins, Oligocottus maculosus (Cottidae), increased survival when exposed to subsequent osmotic and hypoxic stressors (Todgham, Schulte, & Iwama, 2005). The induction of Hsps from the priming stressor (stressor experienced first) is thought to be a key mechanism underlying cross-tolerance, because Hsps play a critical role in aiding recovery from cellular stress (reviewed in Basu et al., 2002). Both cold shock and food deprivation can induce significant overexpression of Hsp70 levels in multiple tissues of A. transmontanus (Wang, Deng, De Riu, Moniello, & Hung, 2013), although some studies show food restriction to inhibit Hsp induction in response to thermal stress (25-30 dph; Deng et al., 2009; Han et al., 2012). Cross-tolerance between low temperature exposure and food restriction may underlie the antagonistic interaction observed here, but further experimental work is required, where the order of stressor exposure is manipulated, to confirm this. Cross-tolerance is generally observed between stressors which cooccur in natural habitats (Sinclair, Ferguson, Salehipour-Shirazi, & MacMillan, 2013). Seasonal declines in food availability are common in freshwater habitats and cross-tolerance between low temperatures and low food availability is likely to allow organisms to survive natural fluctuations in food supply. Indeed, food restricted fish typically demonstrate a behavioural preference for cooler temperatures, termed behavioural hypothermia (Sogard & Olla, 1996; Van Dijk et al., 2002) and examinations of how temperature preference changes with food ration in larval A. transmontanus would provide valuable insight.

Comparative data on the interactive effects of low temperature exposure and food restriction are scant in ectothermic vertebrates. However, the combined effects of high temperature exposure and food restriction have been examined in juvenile A. *transmontanus*. Hung et al. (1993) found growth rate and feed efficiency to decrease with food restriction and high temperature exposure (i.e.  $26^{\circ}$ C), but the two stressors did not interact (i.e. additive effect). Combined with our results, these findings suggest that coupling food restriction with elevated temperatures (i.e. > growth thermal optima  $T_{opt}$ -growth) is more detrimental to A. *transmontanus* growth compared to coupling with low temperatures (i.e.  $<T_{opt}$ -growth). It can be speculated that this difference may stem from a lack of cross-tolerance between elevated temperatures and food restriction, as ectotherm metabolic demands and activity levels rise exponentially with rising temperature, potentially surpassing sustainable limits.

# 4.2 | Isolated and combined effects of stressors on CTMax

Food restriction can disrupt energy homeostasis and decrease stress tolerance due to less energy being available for protective responses

(Sokolova, 2013; Sokolova, Frederich, Bagwe, Lannig, & Sukhotin, 2012). Countering our prediction, food restriction had no effect on CTMax in A. transmontanus, suggesting that energy was preferentially directed towards maintaining heat tolerance. Food limited organisms may divest energy from all physiological processes equally, or preferentially direct energy towards some processes at the cost of less energy directed towards others. For example, food-restricted Dungeness crabs (Metacarcinus magister [Cancridae]) maintained CTMax at the cost of depressed growth rates (McLean & Todgham, 2015). Trade-offs in energy allocation between growth and stress tolerance may explain our observed results, but further experimental work is required to determine how energy in food restricted A. transmontanus was distributed between basal maintenance (e.g. ion regulation and circulation), aerobic scope functions (e.g. growth and activity), and protective stress responses (e.g. Hsp induction and antioxidant defence mechanisms).

Previous studies have reported lower CTMax values in food restricted fish (Lee et al., 2016; Woiwode & Adelman, 1992). Although statistically significant, these CTMax declines in food deprived fish were very small (0.35–0.38°C) and the biological relevance of the declines is arguable. The magnitude of food restriction used in studies is also likely to mediate the observed effect on CTMax. Woiwode and Adelman (1992), for example, starved treatment fish for 5–7 weeks prior to measuring CTMax. When fish are food restricted but not starved, energy may still be directed towards maintaining thermal tolerance, and our findings suggest that maintaining thermal tolerance is an energetic priority in larval A. *transmontanus*. Similar findings have been observed in juvenile A. *transmontanus* (Lee et al., 2016), where CTMax was independent of food availability, even when food rations were very low (12.5% OFR). The effect of starvation on CTMax in A. *transmontanus*, however, remains untested.

Upper and lower thermal tolerance limits typically increase with rising acclimation temperature (Beitinger & Bennett, 2000), and as such CTMax of 11°C-acclimated fish was c.2°C lower than 18°C-acclimated fish. Higher CTMax values (1°C higher) have been reported in *A. transmontanus* despite the use of identical acclimation temperature (18°C), heating rate (0.3°C/min) and end point (LOE) (Lee et al., 2016). However, fish in the previous study were older and larger (205 g; 197 dph) and differences in CTMax probably reflect ontogenetic or size dependent changes in thermal tolerance (Komoroske et al., 2014). Together, our findings suggest that food restricted *A. transmontanus* are resilient to acute thermal extremes, but unseasonably cold temperatures may increase their susceptibility to heat waves within the S-SJ, which are predicted to increase in frequency over the next century (Cloern et al., 2011).

#### 4.3 | Ecological implications

Nutritional deficits during critical developmental stages can be profound and permanently affect life-history trajectories (Metcalfe & Monaghan, 2001). Despite this, feed restriction-growth assessments in A. *transmontanus* have focused on juvenile life-stages and less is known about larvae (Cui & Hung, 1995; Cui et al., 1997; Lee

-WILEY

et al., 2016). For example, Hung et al. (1993) used 30 g juveniles to examine the effect of food restriction and thermal stress on growth rates, whereas 0.2 g larvae (25 dph) were used in the present study, representing a 150-fold size difference. Larvae are likely to be more vulnerable to the threat of starvation than juveniles, due to their comparatively small endogenous energy reserves (Rainuzzo, Reitan, & Olsen, 1997). Moreover, the digestive tract of sturgeon develops during the larval stage and is sensitive to changes in food availability (Gisbert & Doroshov, 2003). Food deprivation in green sturgeon larvae results in deterioration of the digestive system, including tissue degeneration and shrinkage of digestive epithelia (Gisbert & Doroshov, 2003).

Although an antagonistic interaction was observed between food restriction and low temperature, the presence of two stressors was more detrimental to growth rates than the presence of a single stressor. Conservation efforts should therefore aim to minimise the presence of both stressors. Mitigating hypolimnetic water releases within the S-SJ catchment during peak reproductive periods (i.e. Spring) for A. transmontanus may buffer larval growth rates from the depressive effects of cold temperatures. Water reservoirs can be retrofitted to ensure that water releases have minimal impacts on downstream thermal regimes (Olden & Naiman, 2010). Retrofit options include installing multi-level intake devices to allow for selective withdrawals from the water column or thermal destratification by increasing water mixing using aeration systems, surface pumps, or draft tubes (Sherman, 2000; Olden & Naiman, 2010). Several water reservoirs within the S-SJ catchment have already been retrofitted with temperature control devices (e.g. Shasta Dam); however, hypolimnetic water releases still occur frequently (Pike et al., 2013). Dam operations within the S-SJ catchment are currently geared toward conserving endangered Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Salmonidae), without considering the thermal requirements of other fishes in the system (National Marine Fisheries Service, 2009). Compliance points govern water operations so that downstream water temperatures are maintained below 13.3°C during late spring - late fall (National Marine Fisheries Service, 2009). Maintaining temperatures below this threshold is likely to depress growth rates in larval A. transmontanus, as demonstrated here, and may lead to lower recruitment rates. Our findings call for a re-evaluation of this temperature compliance point and suggest that revisions consider the thermal requirements of A. transmontanus larvae and other fishes in the system. Temperature guidelines may benefit from greater flexibility so that natural thermal regimes can be mimicked throughout the year and correspond with peak spawning periods of various fishes.

Restoration of natural food-web dynamics may also alleviate the threat of food scarcity during early-life stages. Reversing disrupted food-web linkages in the S-SJ may be achieved by restoring natural water flow regimes and controlling invasive primary consumers, which disrupt the food-web from its base (Cloern et al., 1983; Strayer, 2010), but controlling invasive species is an ongoing challenge for biologists and managers (Strayer, 2010). Nonetheless, our findings show low temperature exposure and food restriction interact in a nonadditive manner to affect growth rate. The interactive effect of the stressors on growth rates highlights the need for holistic conservation strategies, which target multiple environmental stressors.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to B. Puentes and N. Aha for assisting with fish husbandry and body size measurements. We also wish to thank S.S.O Hung for advice on optimal feed rate models and J.P. Van Eenennaam for the transportation and care of fish larvae. This work was supported by a Delta Stewardship Council grant awarded to NAF, AET and REC (grant #1470) and the University of California, Davis Agricultural Experiment Station (grant #2098-H awarded to NAF and grant #2252-H awarded to AET).

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

#### ORCID

Essie M. Rodgers D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3514-3653

#### REFERENCES

- Angilletta, M. J., Steury, T. D., & Sears, M. W. (2004). Temperature, growth rate, and body size in ectotherms: Fitting pieces of a lifehistory puzzle. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 44, 498–509. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/44.6.498
- Basu, N., Todgham, A. E., Ackerman, P. A., Bibeau, M. R., Nakano, K., Schulte, P. M., & Iwama, G. K. (2002). Heat shock protein genes and their functional significance in fish. *Gene*, 295, 173–183. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0378-1119(02)00687-x
- Beitinger, T. L., & Bennett, W. A. (2000). Quantification of the role of acclimation temperature in temperature tolerance of fishes. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 58, 277-288. https://doi. org/10.1023/a:1007618927527
- Bly, J. E., & Clem, L. W. (1992). Temperature and teleost immune functions. Fish and Shellfish Immunology, 2, 159–171. https://doi. org/10.1016/s1050-4648(05)80056-7
- Bunn, S. E., Thoms, M. C., Hamilton, S. K., & Capon, S. J. (2006). Flow variability in dryland rivers: Boom, bust and the bits in between. *River Research* and Applications, 22, 179–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.904
- Burel, C., Person-Le Ruyet, J., Gaumet, F., Le Roux, A., Sévère, A., & Boeuf, G. (1996). Effects of temperature on growth and metabolism in juvenile turbot. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 49, 678–692. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1996.tb00064.x
- Caissie, D. (2006). The thermal regime of rivers: A review. Freshwater Biology, 51, 1389–1406. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2006.01597.x
- California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB). (2009). California Department of Fish and Game. Retrieved from http://www.dfg. ca.gov/whdab/html/cnddb.html.
- Carlson, S. M., Olsen, E. M., & Vøllestad, L. A. (2008). Seasonal mortality and the effect of body size: A review and an empirical test using individual data on brown trout. *Functional Ecology*, 22, 663–673. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01416.x
- Carlton, J. T., Thompson, J. K., Schemel, L. E., & Nichols, F. H. (1990). Remarkable invasion of San Francisco Bay (California, USA) by the

Asian clam, Potamocorbula amurensis. I. Introduction and dispersal. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 66, 81–94. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps066081

- Christensen, M. R., Graham, M. D., Vinebrooke, R. D., Findlay, D. L., Paterson, M. J., & Turner, M. A. (2006). Multiple anthropogenic stressors cause ecological surprises in boreal lakes. *Global Change Biology*, 12, 2316–2322. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01257.x
- Cloern, J. E., Alpine, A. E., Cole, B. E., Wong, R. L. J., Arthur, J. F., & Ball, M. D. (1983). River discharge controls phytoplankton dynamics in the Northern San Francisco Bay Estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 16*, 415–429. https://doi. org/10.1016/0272-7714(83)90103-8
- Cloern, J. E., Foster, S. Q., & Kleckner, A. E. (2014). Phytoplankton primary production in the world's estuarine-coastal ecosystems. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 2477–2501. https://doi.org/10.5194/ bg-11-2477-2014
- Cloern, J. E., & Jassby, A. D. (2012). Drivers of change in estuarinecoastal ecosystems: Discoveries from four decades of study in San Francisco Bay. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 50, RG4001. https://doi. org/10.1029/2012rg000397
- Cloern, J. E., Knowles, N., Brown, L. R., Cayan, D., Dettinger, M. D., Morgan, T. L., ... Jassby, A. D. (2011). Projected evolution of California's San Francisco Bay-Delta river system in a century of climate change. *PLoS ONE*, 6, e24465. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0024465
- Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). (2012). http://www.cosewic.gc.ca/default. asp?lang=en&n=D37AB818-1.
- Crain, C. M., Kroeker, K., & Halpern, B. S. (2008). Interactive and cumulative effects of multiple human stressors in marine systems. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1304–1315. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01253.x
- Cui, Y., & Hung, S. S. O. (1995). A prototype feeding-growth table for white sturgeon. Journal of Applied Aquaculture, 5, 25–34. https://doi. org/10.1300/j028v05n04\_03
- Cui, Y., Hung, S. S. O., Dong-Fang, D., & Yunxia, Y. (1997). Growth performance of juvenile white sturgeon as affected by feeding regimen. *The Progressive Fish-Culturist*, 59, 31–35. https://doi. org/10.1577/1548-8640
- Cui, Y., Hung, S. S. O., & Zhu, X. (1996). Effect of ration and body size on energy budget of juvenile white sturgeon. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 49, 863–876. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1996.tb00085.x
- Darling, E. S., & Côté, I. M. (2008). Quantifying the evidence for ecological synergies. *Ecology Letters*, 11, 1278–1286. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01243.x
- Dasmann, R. F. (1999). Environmental changes before and after the gold rush. In J. J. Rawls, & R. J. Orsi (Eds.), A golden state: Mining and economic development in gold rush California. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.
- Deng, D., Wang, C., Lee, S., Bai, S., & Hung, S. S. O. (2009). Feeding rates affect heat shock protein levels in liver of larval white sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus). Aquaculture, 287, 223–226. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2008.10.041
- Dettlaff, T. A., Ginsburg, A. S., & Schmalhausen, O. I. (1993). Sturgeon fishes: Developmental biology and aquaculture. New York, NY: Springer-Verlag. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-77057-9
- Fangue, N. A., Hofmeister, M., & Schulte, P. M. (2006). Intraspecific variation in thermal tolerance and heat shock protein gene expression in common killifish, Fundulus heteroclitus. Journal of Experimental Biology, 209, 2859–2872. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.02260
- Fangue, N. A., Mandic, M., Richards, J. G., & Schulte, P. M. (2007). Swimming performance and energetics as a function of temperature in killifish Fundulus heteroclitus. Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, 81, 389–401.

- Fausch, K. D., Baxter, C. V., & Murakami, M. (2010). Multiple stressors in north temperature streams: Lessons from linked forest-stream ecosystems in northern Japan. *Freshwater Biology*, 55, 120–134. https:// doi.org/i:10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02378.x
- Ficke, A. D., Myrick, C. A., & Hansen, L. J. (2007). Potential impacts of global climate change on freshwater fisheries. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 17, 581–613. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11160-007-9059-5
- Fortin, R., Dumont, P., & Guenette, S. (1996). Determinants of growth and body condition of like sturgeon (*Acipenser fulvescens*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 53, 1150–1156. https://doi. org/10.1139/f96-043
- Fry, F. E. J. (1967). Responses of vertebrate poikilotherms to temperature. In A. H. Rose (Ed.), *Thermobiology* (pp. 375–409). London, New York: Academic Press.
- Fukuhara, O. (1990). Effects of temperature on yolk utilization, initial growth and behaviour of unfed marine fish-larvae. *Marine Biology*, 106, 169–174. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01314797
- Gido, K. B., & Brown, J. H. (1999). Invasion of North American drainages by alien fish species. *Freshwater Biology*, 42, 387–399. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1999.444490.x
- Gisbert, E., & Doroshov, S. I. (2003). Histology of the developing digestive system and the effect of food deprivation in larval green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*). *Aquatic Living Resources*, 16, 77–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0990-7440(03)00029-9
- Han, D., Huang, S. S. Y., Wang, W., Deng, D., & Hung, S. S. O. (2012). Starvation reduces the heat shock protein responses in white sturgeon larvae. *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 93, 333–342. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10641-011-9918-8
- Healy, T. M., & Schulte, P. M. (2012). Factors affecting plasticity in wholeorganism thermal tolerance in common killifish (*Fundulus heteroclitus*). Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 182, 49–62. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00360-011-0595-x
- Hochachka, P. W. (1967). Organization of metabolism during temperature compensation. In C. L. Prosser (Ed.), *Molecular mechanisms of temperature adaptation* (pp. 177-203). Washington, DC: American Association for the Advancement of Science.
- Hochachka, P. W., & Somero, G. N. (1968). Adaptation of enzymes to temperature. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B, 27, 659– 668. https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-406x(68)90605-1
- Hopkins, K. D. (1992). Reporting fish growth, a review of the basics. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society, 23, 173–179. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.1992.tb00766.x
- Hung, S. S. O. (2017). Recent advances in sturgeon nutrition. Animal Nutrition, 3, 191–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. aninu.2017.05.005
- Hung, S. S. O., Lutes, P. B., Shqueir, A. A., & Conte, F. S. (1993). Effect of feeding rate and water temperature on growth of juvenile white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*). *Aquaculture*, 115, 297–303. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0044-8486(93)90144-n
- Ibarz, A., Blasco, J., Sala-Rabanal, M., Gallardo, M. A., Redondo, A., & Fernández-Borràs, J. (2007). Metabolic rate and tissue reserves in gilthead sea bream (Sparus aurata) under thermal fluctuations and fasting, and their capacity for recovery. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 64, 1034–1042. https://doi.org/10.1139/ f07-079
- Jackson, M. C., Loewen, C. J. G., Vinebrooke, R. D., & Chimimba, C. T. (2016). Net effects of multiple stressors in freshwater ecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 22, 180–189. https://doi. org/10.1111/gcb.13028
- Jassby, A. D., Cloern, J. E., & Cole, B. E. (2002). Annual primary production: Patterns and mechanisms of change in a nutrient-rich tidal ecosystem. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 47, 698–712. https://doi. org/10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0698

Jobling, M. (1994). Fish bioenergetics. London, UK: Chapman & Hall.

356

WILEY-

- Johnsson, J., Nobbelin, F., & Bohlin, T. (1999). Territorial competition among wild brown trout fry: Effects of ownership and body size. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 54, 469-472. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb00846.x
- Kampinga, H. H., Brunsting, J. F., Stege, G. J., Burgman, P. W., & Konings, A. W. (1995). Thermal protein denaturation and protein aggregation in cells made thermotolerant by various chemicals: Role of heat shock proteins. *Experimental Cell Research*, 219, 536–546. https://doi. org/10.1006/excr.1995.1262
- Kappenman, K. M., Fraser, W. C., Toner, M., Dean, J., & Webb, M. A. H. (2009). Effect of temperature on growth, condition, and survival of juvenile shovelnose sturgeon. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 138, 927–937. https://doi.org/10.1577/t07-265.1
- Komoroske, L. M., Connon, R. E., Lindberg, J., Cheng, B. S., Castillo, G., Hasenbein, M., & Fangue, N. A. (2014). Ontogeny influences sensitivity to climate change stressors in an endangered fish. *Conservation Physiology*, 2, Cou008. https://doi.org/10.1093/ conphys/cou008
- Le Morvan, C., Troutaud, D., & Deschaux, P. (1998). Differential effects of temperature on specific and nonspecific immune defences in fish. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 201, 165–168.
- Lee, S., Fangue, N. A., Verhille, C., & Todgham, A. E. (2016). Effects of feed restriction on the upper temperature tolerance and heat shock response in juvenile green and white sturgeon. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A*, 198, 87–95. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.04.016
- Lee, S., Wang, Y., Hung, S. S. O., Strathe, A. B., Fangue, N. A., & Fadel, J. G. (2014). Development of optimum feeding rate model for white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*). *Aquaculture*, 433, 411-420. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2014.06.007
- Liermann, C. R., Nilsson, C., Robertson, J., & Ng, R. Y. (2012). Implications of dam obstruction for global freshwater fish diversity. *BioScience*, 62, 539–548. https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2012.62.6.5
- Lowney, C. L. (2000). Stream temperature variation in regulated rivers: Evidence for a spatial pattern in daily minimum and maximum magnitudes. Water Resources Research, 36, 2947–2955. https://doi. org/10.1029/2000wr900142
- Lugg, A., & Copeland, C. (2014). Review of cold water pollution in the Murray-Darling Basin and the impacts on fish communities. *Ecological Management and Restoration*, 15, 71–79. https://doi.org/10.1111/ emr.12074
- Mayfield, R. B., & Cech, J. J. (2004). Temperature effects on green sturgeon bioenergetics. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 133, 961–970. https://doi.org/10.1577/t02-144.1
- McBryan, T. L., Anttila, K., Healy, T. M., & Schulte, P. M. (2013). Responses to temperature and hypoxia as interacting stressors in fish: Implications for adaptation to environmental change. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 53, 648–659. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict066
- McLean, K. M., & Todgham, A. E. (2015). Effect of food availability on the growth and thermal physiology of juvenile Dungeness crabs (*Metacarcinus magister*). Conservation Physiology, 3, Cov013. https:// doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cov013
- Metcalfe, N. B., & Monaghan, P. (2001). Compensation for a bad start: Grow now, pay later? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 16, 254–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(01)02124-3
- Mothersill, C., Mosse, I., & Seymour, C. (2007). Multiple stressors: A challenge for the future. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6335-0
- Moyle, P. B. (2002). *Inland fishes of California*. Berkley, CA: University of California Press.
- Muir, W. D., McCabe, G. T. Jr, Parsley, M. J., & Hinton, S. A. (2000). Diet of first-feeding larval and young-of-the-year white sturgeon in the lower Columbia River. *Northwest Science*, 47, 25–33.
- National Marine Fisheries Service. (2009). Chinook salmon/sturgeon biological opinion. Southwest Region, June 4th.

- Ojanguren, A. F., Reyes-Gavilán, F. G., & Florentino, B. (2001). Thermal sensitivity of growth, food intake and activity in brown trout. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 26, 165–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0306-4565(00)00038-3
- Olden, J. D., & Naiman, R. J. (2010). Incorporating thermal regimes into environmental flow assessments: Modifying dam operations to restore freshwater ecosystem integrity. *Freshwater Biology*, *55*, 86–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02179.x
- Piggott, J. J., Townsend, C. R., & Matthaei, C. D. (2015). Reconceptualizing synergism and antagonism among multiple stressors. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5, 1538–1547. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1465
- Pike, A., Danner, E., Boughton, D., Melton, F., Nemani, R., Rajagopalan, B., & Lindley, S. (2013). Forecasting river temperatures in real time using a stochastic dynamics approach. *Water Resources Research*, 49, 5168–5182. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrcr.20389
- Preece, R. M. (2004). Cold water pollution below dams in New South Wales: A desktop assessment. Sydney: New South Wales Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Natural Resources.
- Preston, D. L., Hedman, H. D., Esfahani, E. R., Pena, E. M., Boland, C. E., Lunde, K. B., & Johnson, P. T. J. (2017). Responses of a wetland ecosystem to the controlled introduction of invasive fish. *Freshwater Biology*, *62*, 767–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12900
- Rainuzzo, J. R., Reitan, K. I., & Olsen, Y. (1997). The significant of lipids at early stages of marine fish: A review. *Aquaculture*, 155, 103–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0044-8486(97)00121-x
- Robinson, A. T., & Childs, M. R. (2001). Juvenile growth of native fishes in the Little Colorado River and in a thermally modified portion of the Colorado River. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 21, 809–815. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2001) 021
- Rodgers, E. M., Cramp, R. L., Gordos, M., Weier, A., Fairfall, S., Riches, M., & Franklin, C. E. (2014). Facilitating upstream passage of smallbodied fishes: Linking the thermal dependence of swimming ability to culvert design. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 65, 710–719. https://doi.org/10.1071/mf13170
- Sala, O. E., Chapin, F. S., Armesto, J. J., Berlow, E., Bloomfield, J., Dirzo, R., ... Hall, D. H. (2000). Biodiversity- global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science*, 287, 1770–1774. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.287.5459.1770
- Sardella, B. A., Sanmarti, E., & Kültz, D. (2008). The acute temperature tolerance of green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*) and the effect of environmental salinity. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A*, 309, 477–483. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.477
- Schulte, P. M., Healy, T. M., & Fangue, N. A. (2011). Thermal performance curves, phenotypic plasticity, and the time scales of temperature exposure. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 51, 691–702. https://doi. org/10.1093/icb/icr097
- Sherman, B. (2000). Scoping options for mitigating cold water discharges from dams. Canberra, ACT: CSIRO Land and Water.
- Sinclair, B. J., Ferguson, L. V., Salehipour-Shirazi, G., & MacMillan, H. A. (2013). Cross-tolerance and cross-talk in the cold: Relating low temperatures to desiccation and immune stress in insects. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 53, 545–556. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict004
- Sogard, S. M., & Olla, B. L. (1996). Food deprivation affects vertical distribution and activity of a marine fish in a thermal gradient: Potential energy-conserving mechanisms. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 133, 43–55. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps133043
- Sokolova, I. M. (2013). Energy-limited tolerance to stress as a conceptual framework to integrate the effects of multiple stressors. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *53*, 597–608. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/ict028
- Sokolova, I. M., Frederich, M., Bagwe, R., Lannig, G., & Sukhotin, A. A. (2012). Energy homeostasis as an integrative tool for assessing limits of environmental stress tolerance in aquatic invertebrates. *Marine Environmental Research*, 79, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. marenvres.2012.04.003

-WILEY

- Somero, G. N. (1995). Proteins and temperature. Annual Review of Physiology, 57, 43–68. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ph.57.030195.000355
- Speers-Roesch, B., Norin, T., & Driedzic, W. R. (2018). The benefit of being still: Energy savings during winter dormancy in fish come from inactivity and the cold, not from metabolic rate depression. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 285, 20181593. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.1593
- Strayer, D. L. (2010). Alien species in fresh waters: Ecological effects, interactions with other stressors, and prospects for the future. *Freshwater Biology*, 55, 152–174. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02380.x
- Todd, C. R., Ryan, T., Nicol, S. J., & Bearlin, A. R. (2005). The impact of cold water releases on the critical period of post-spawning survival and its implications for murray cod (*Maccullochella peelii peelii*): A case study of the Mitta Mitta River, southeastern Australia. *River Research and Applications*, 21, 1035–1052. https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.873
- Todgham, A. E., Schulte, P. M., & Iwama, G. K. (2005). Crosstolerance in the tidepool sculpin: The role of heat shock proteins. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, 78, 133–144. https://doi. org/10.1086/425205
- Todgham, A. E., & Stillman, J. H. (2013). Physiological responses to shifts in multiple environmental stressors: Relevance in a changing world. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 53, 539–544. https://doi. org/10.1093/icb/ict086
- Van Dijk, P. L. M., Staaks, G., & Hardewig, I. (2002). The effect of fasting and refeeding on temperature preference, activity and growth of roach, *Rutilus rutilus*. *Oecologia*, 130, 496–504. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00442-001-0830-3
- Van Eenennaam, J. P., Linares-Casenave, J., Deng, X., & Doroshov, S. I. (2005). Effect of incubation temperature on green sturgeon embryos, Acipenser medirostris. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 72, 145– 154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-004-8758-1
- Vander Zanden, M. J., Casselman, J. M., & Rasmussen, J. B. (1999). Stable isotope evidence for the food web consequences of species invasions in lakes. *Nature*, 401, 464–467. https://doi.org/10.1038/46762
- Verhille, C., Todgham, A. E., Hung, S. S. O., & Fangue, N. A. (2015). Effects of nutritional deprivation on juvenile green sturgeon growth

and thermal tolerance. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 99, 145–159. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-015-0463-8

- Wang, W., Deng, D., De Riu, N., Moniello, G., & Hung, S. S. O. (2013). Heat shock protein 70 (HSP70) responses in tissues of white sturgeon and green sturgeon exposed to different stressors. North American Journal of Aquaculture, 75, 164–169. https://doi.org/10.10 80/15222055.2012.747457
- Wang, T., Hung, C. C. Y., & Randall, D. J. (2006). The comparative physiology of food deprivation: From feast to famine. *Annual Review* of *Physiology*, 68, 223–251. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. physiol.68.040104.105739
- Webb, B. W., & Walling, D. E. (1993). Temporal variability in the impact of river regulation on thermal regime and some biological implications. *Freshwater Biology*, 29, 167–182. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1993.tb00752.x
- Wepener, V., van Dyk, C., Bervoets, L., O'Brien, G., Covaci, A., & Cloete, Y. (2011). An assessment of the influence of multiple stressors on the Vaal River, South Africa. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, *36*, 949–962. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2011.07.075
- Winder, M., & Jassby, A. D. (2011). Shifts in zooplankton community structure: Implications for food web processes in the upper San Francisco Estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 34, 675–690. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12237-010-9342-x
- Woiwode, J. G., & Adelman, I. R. (1992). Effects of starvation, oscillating temperatures, and photoperiod on the critical thermal maximum of hybrid striped × white bass. *Journal of Thermal Biology*, 17, 271–275. https://doi.org/10.1016/0306-4565(92)90066-0

How to cite this article: Rodgers EM, Todgham AE, Connon RE, Fangue NA. Stressor interactions in freshwater habitats: Effects of cold water exposure and food limitation on early-life growth and upper thermal tolerance in white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*. *Freshw Biol*. 2019;64:348– 358. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13224

II FY-