

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

THE DEPROTONATION OF WEAK ACIDS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5z97m4tt>

Author

Jolly, William L.

Publication Date

1967

UCRL-17361

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

THE DEPROTONATION OF WEAK ACIDS

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Submitted to Inorganic Chemistry

UCRL-17361
Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract W-7405-eng-48

THE DEPROTONATION OF WEAK ACIDS

William L. Jolly

January, 1967

The Deprotonation of Weak Acids


Sir:

Alkali metal salts of very weak protonic acids commonly have been prepared by three methods that do not require a metal salt of the acid as a starting material: (1) reaction with strong bases, (2) direct reaction with alkali metals, and (3) cleavage of halo-derivatives with alkali metals. It is the purpose of this communication to show that the thermodynamic limitations of each of these methods may be defined in terms of acid pK values.

Reaction with Strong Bases. - In this method the weak acid is treated with an alkali metal salt of a still weaker protonic acid, sometimes using one of the protonic acids as a solvent. The pK of the acid whose salt is used as a reactant is an approximate upper limit to the pK values of the acids whose salts can be formed in this type reaction. Tables of weak acids and their aqueous pK values may be found in various references.¹⁻³ However these tables must be used with caution, because the pK values listed for hydroxylic acids (e.g. water, ethanol, and acetic acid) are valid only in water, where the anions of these acids are abnormally stabilized by hydrogen bonding. In non-hydroxylic solvents such as ammonia, ethers, and dimethylsulfoxide, the hydroxylic acids are relatively much weaker,⁴⁻⁶ For example, it has been estimated³ that if the hydroxide ion in water were not

stabilized by hydrogen bonding, the pK of water in water would be about 28. This latter pK value would be the appropriate one to use when comparing water with non-hydroxylic acids in a non-hydroxylic solvent.


Alkali metal hydroxides are very strong bases, but their base strengths are greatly reduced by dissolving them in hydroxylic solvents such as water to alcohols. The intrinsic basicity of hydroxides can be achieved by using them in the solid form in conjunction with non-hydroxylic solvents, in which they are essentially insoluble. In such cases the driving force for the deprotonation of an acid is markedly increased when the solid hydroxide is in excess, because the excess hydroxide can react with the water formed in the reaction to form a stable hydrate. Thus the net reaction in the case of potassium hydroxide is





By making the reasonable assumption that the free energies of transfer of $\text{K}^+ + \text{A}^-$ and HA from water to the non-hydroxylic solvent are negligible,⁷ we may calculate (using available thermodynamic data)⁸ that the equilibrium constant for the latter reaction is $10^{31 - \text{pK}}$, where pK refers to the aqueous pK of the acid HA. We see that anhydrous KOH^{10} is capable of deprotonating acids with pK values as high as 31. We have found several reactions of this type to have synthetic utility.¹¹ By simply stirring a suspension of powdered KOH in 1,2-dimethoxyethane with cyclopentadiene (pK \approx 16), indene (pK \approx 20), or germane (pK \approx 25), we have obtained essentially quantitative yields of the corresponding potassium salts.

By using dimethyl sulfoxide as the solvent, we have similarly prepared the potassium salt of triphenylmethane ($pK \approx 32$). These potassium salts have been used as intermediates for the preparation of various organometallic compounds such as ferrocene, bisindenyliron, ethylgermane, and methylphosphine. Undoubtedly many similar applications of KOH in non-hydroxylic solvents will be found.

Reaction with Alkali Metals. - In the second method the weak acid is treated with an alkali metal, usually suspended in a finely divided state in a polar solvent. For example the sodium salt of indene may be prepared by the reaction of indene with a dispersion of sodium in tetrahydrofuran:¹²




Reactions of this type may be broken into two parts, as follows.




If we make the approximation that the free energy of formation of the dissolved salt is the same as that for the salt dissolved in water,⁷ then we may readily calculate the pK value of the weakest acids which can react in this way by using the free energy of formation of the appropriate alkali metal ion.⁹ Thus we calculate limiting pK values of 51, 46, and 49 for lithium, sodium and potassium.

Metal-ammonia solutions are often used to deprotonate acids:






By applying the rule that the pK values of normal acids are 10 units higher in water than in ammonia,³ and by using available thermodynamic data,¹³ we calculate that acids with aqueous pK values less than 44 should be capable of being deprotonated by metal-ammonia solutions. However in practice, the limiting pK value is around 37, because anions of acids with aqueous pK values greater than 37 are ammonolyzed in liquid ammonia.

Cleavage by Alkali Metals. - In the third method, the salt of a weak acid is formed by the reaction of an alkali metal with a halo derivative of the weak acid. This method is useful for preparing the salts of extremely weak acids (e.g., benzene) for which the above two methods are inapplicable.¹⁴ For example, sodium phenyl is readily formed by the reaction of finely divided sodium with chlorobenzene:¹⁵



Reactions of this general category (in which a metal M reacts with a halide AX) may be broken into three parts, as follows.



Obviously, for a given alkali metal and a given halogen, the over-all driving force depends not only on the pK of the acid, but also on the difference in the dissociation energies of the H-A and X-A bonds. Now, almost all the acids for which this third method is practical are hydrocarbons. Thus we are principally concerned with the differences in the dissociation energies of C-H bonds and the corresponding C-X bonds. To the precision with which we may assume that the latter differences are constant on going from one weak carbon acid to another,¹⁶ we may estimate the highest pK for which this type reaction is thermodynamically possible. By considering the alkali metals lithium, sodium, and potassium, and the halogens chlorine, bromine, and iodine, we find that the theoretical limiting pK value varies from 119 (for the cleavage of a chloro- compound by potassium) to 102 (for the cleavage of an iodo- compound by sodium).^{8,12} Obviously it is unlikely that any hydrocarbon will be found whose alkali metal salts cannot be made by this method because of thermodynamic limitations.¹⁸

Department of Chemistry of the
University of California, and the
Inorganic Materials Research Division of the
Lawrence Radiation Laboratory,
Berkeley, California 94720

William L. Jolly

References

- (1) A. Streitwieser, Jr. and J. H. Hammons, Prog. Phys. Org. Chem., 3, 41 (1965).
- (2) D. J. Cram, "Fundamentals of Carbanion Chemistry," Academic Press, N.Y., 1965.
- (3) W. L. Jolly, J. Chem. Education, 44, 000 (1967).
- (4) W. L. Jolly, J. Phys. Chem., 58, 250 (1954).
- (5) G. M. Sheldrick, Chem. Comm., 673 (1966).
- (6) E. C. Steiner and J. M. Gilbert, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 3054 (1963).
- (7) This is surely a good approximation for coordinating solvents such as ammonia, ethers, and dimethylsulfoxide.
- (8) U.S. National Bureau of Standards Circular 500, "Selected Values of Chemical Thermodynamic Properties," Washington, D.C. The entropies of KOH and KOH·H₂O were estimated using the methods outlined by Latimer.⁹
- (9) W. M. Latimer, "Oxidation Potentials," 2nd ed., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1952.
- (10) It may be similarly shown that potassium oxide is potentially capable of deprotonating acids with pK values as high as 59. However the relative unavailability and difficulty of handling K₂O makes it less attractive as a deprotonating agent.
- (11) Unpublished work by W. L. Jolly, T. Birchall, D. S. Rustad, and D. J. Chazan.
- (12) R. B. King, "Organometallic Syntheses," Vol. 1, J. J. Eisch and R. B. King, eds., Academic Press, N.Y., 1965, p. 74.

- (13) W. L. Jolly, Chapter 3 in "Solvated Electron," Advances in Chemistry Series, No. 50, American Chemical Soc., Washington, D.C., 1965, p. 27.
- (14) The pK of benzene is about 42 (private communication from A. Streitwieser, Jr.), and thus benzene should be thermodynamically capable of reacting with the alkali metals. However, no reaction takes place. Probably the deprotonation reaction has a high activation energy.
- (15) G. E. Coates, "Organo-Metallic Compounds," 2nd ed., John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y., 1960, p. 23.
- (16) The data in Table 2 of the paper by Benson¹⁷ show that the difference between C-H and C-X bond energies is generally constant to about ± 3 kcal./mole for a wide variety of organic radicals. For example $D(\text{CH}_3\text{-H}) = 104$, $D(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2\text{-H}) = 85$, $D(\text{CH}_3\text{-I}) = 56$, and $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CH}_2\text{-I}) = 40$ kcal./mole.
- (17) S. W. Benson, J. Chem. Education, 42, 502 (1965).
- (18) This research was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

