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Within island biogeography, it has traditionally been believed that whereas mainland to island colonization is key to stocking oceanic islands with species, reverse colonization events from islands to continental mainlands are so uncommon as to be without wider significance (Whittaker and Fernández-Palacios 2007). However, the completion in recent decades of sound phylogenies incorporating almost entire sets of insular and continental related taxa has revealed a surprising frequency of instances of continental populations or species that are intermingled within insular clades, or which are derived from insular ancestors (Figure 1).

Accepting these phylogenies as working hypotheses of the true evolutionary relationships allows the inference of reverse colonization events, which are also sometimes referred to as retro-colonization, back-colonization, or boomerang events (Caujapé-Castells 2004, 2011, Heaney 2007, Bellemain & Ricklefs 2008, Laenen et al, 2011). While it has also been hypothesized that islands have provided stepping stones for cross-ocean colonization of continental mainlands (as reviewed e.g., in Whittaker et al. 2017), the terms ‘back-colonization’ and ‘boomerang events’ specifically imply that species that have diversified in islands from continental ancestors disperse back to the original continent (e.g., Mort et al. 2002, Carine et al. 2004, Laenen et al. 2011).

While the available evidence tends to support progression-rule patterns of colonization from older to younger land-masses as being far more common, evidence of back colonization within archipelagos and between archipelagos and continents has gradually accumulated. Today we know about many boomerangs from Macaronesia to Africa and Europe, but also elsewhere, that have happened across multiple taxa (Mort et al. 2002, Bellemain & Ricklefs 2008, Caujapé-Castells 2011).

But when did the idea of island-to-continent retro-colonisations first emerge? By chance, inquiring in old texts we have found that the idea was already in use in the late 19th century for explaining the same unexpected pattern of the presence of a few species with Macaronesian characteristics in Moroccan territory. The British botanist and phytogeographer Joseph Dalton Hooker [born Halesworth 1817, died Sunningdale 1911] together with the Irish botanist John Ball [Dublin 1818 – London 1889] published in 1878 a book entitled *Journal of a tour in Morocco [sic] and the Great Atlas*. On p. 405 in appendix E of this book, written by Hooker, and entitled *On the Canarian Flora compared with that of Moroccan*, Hooker wrote:
“Amongst the exceptional cases to continental proximity being accompanied by close botanical relationship is the Flora of the Canarian Archipelago, which differs so greatly from that of the northern part of its neighbouring continent, namely from that of Marocco, that it demands notice in any work treating of the vegetation of the latter country.

This diversity between the Maroccan and the Canarian Flora has been pointed out in John Ball’s (1878) ‘Introductory observations to the Spicilegium Florae Maroccanae,’ where it appears that whilst Marocco, out of 1,627 species of flowering plants, contains 165 endemic plants, it has only 15 that are confined to it and to the Canaries or to it and Madeira. And Ball goes on to remark (p. 301), in respect of these few species in common to both Floras: 'I think it is safe to say that the facts rather tend to show the accidental diffusion of a few Macaronesian species on the adjacent coast of Africa, than to indicate the direct connection between the continent and those islands within a geological period at all recent.’” [our emphasis in bold].

Consulting Ball’s work, cited in the above extract, it is evident that of the 15 species, all but one of them are Canarian species that are also found in the coastal region of South Morocco, the other being common to Madeira and West Morocco (Table 1).
**Table 1:** Species listed by Hooker (1878, p416) as putative back-colonizations from Macaronesia to Morocco.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hooker's (1878) name</th>
<th>Present name</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Helianthemum canariense</em></td>
<td><em>Same</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polycarpia (sic) nivea</em></td>
<td><em>Polycarpaea nivea</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td>The generic name was misspelled by Hooker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Zygophyllum fontanesii</em></td>
<td><em>Tetraena fontanesii</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td>The species present in the Canaries is not <em>Ch. albidus</em>, but <em>Ch. proliferus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cytisus albidus</em></td>
<td><em>Chamaecytisus albidus</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ononis angustissima</em></td>
<td><em>Same</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td>Today it is considered a Canarian endemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Astydamia canariensis</em></td>
<td><em>A. latifolia</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bowlesia oppositifolia</em></td>
<td><em>Drusa glandulosa</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Odontospermum odoratum</em></td>
<td><em>O. graveolens subsp. odorus</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lithospermum macrosporum</em></td>
<td><em>Mairetis microsperma</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Linaria sagittata</em></td>
<td><em>Kickxia sagittata</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chenolea canariensis</em></td>
<td><em>Chenoleoides tomentosa</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salix canariensis</em></td>
<td><em>Same</em></td>
<td>Canaries and Madeira</td>
<td>Hooker considered this rather uncertain, and we consider today that the species is absent from Morocco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Romulea grandiscapa</em></td>
<td><em>R. columnae</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Asparagus scoparius</em></td>
<td><em>Same</em></td>
<td>Canaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Astragalus solandri</em></td>
<td><em>Same</em></td>
<td>Madeira</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hooker went on to set out possible explanations for the common elements, including (i) extensionism (vicariance by the loss of a land-bridge connection), which he largely dismissed due to the depth of the ocean floor between the Canaries and west Africa, and (ii) the role of fishermen from the islands visiting points on the opposite coast. Of greater interest in the present context is the following extract, which in his cautious way, he presented as one of the possibilities: “We may believe in the trans-oceanic migration of some African species to the nearer islands, along with the transport of some Canarian species (those enumerated in p. 416, and others which may be hereafter found) to the neighbouring continent.” (p. 418).

This is to our knowledge the very first explicit account of an island-to-continent retro-colonization or back-colonization event, although this is not to rule out the possibility of an earlier mention that has yet to be rediscovered.
Demonstration of persuasive evidence for Macaronesian to North African retro-colonisation had to wait for more than a century, until the development of molecular tools enabled the construction of sound phylogenies that could confirm it. It was 124 years later when Mort et al. (2002) first described, for the Macaronesian genus *Aeonium* (Crassulaceae), derived from a *Sedum*-like ancestor, which colonized the Canaries ca. 15 Ma (Kim et al. 2008), the existence of two boomerangs in North Africa (*A. korneliuslemsii* in Morocco and *A. leucoblepharum* in Yemen, Ethiopia, Somalia, Kenya and Uganda; Figure 1). These findings in fact led to the loss of the status of *Aeonium* as an endemic Macaronesian genus!

We are now aware of many more Macaronesian genera that appear to have generated boomerangs. These occur both in plants, such as *Androcymbium, Andryala, Bupleurum, Chamaecytisus, Convolvulus, Dactylis, Euphorbia, Hedera, Limonium, Lotus, Matthiola, Olea, Phoenix, Plantago, Pulicaria, Reuchardia, Reseda, Spartocytisus, Teline, Tinguarra* and *Tolpis*, among others (Caujapé-Castells 2011), and in animals, such as in the passerine genera *Regulus, Erythacus* and *Fringilla* (Fernández-Palacios et al. 2013) and the weevil genus *Laparocerus* (Machado et al. 2017). Very likely, the future will provide us with a much larger species list involving additional taxonomic groups to those listed here.
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Figure 1. Boomerang events. a) Schematic representation of a retro-colonization or boomerang event. A continental ancestor (A) colonizes the island (colonization, depicted with a red arrow), where with sufficient time and isolation, it radiates, giving rise to four insular neoendemic species: B, C, D and E. One of them (D) jumps back to the continent from which the clade’s ancestor originated (boomerang event, depicted with a blue arrow). b) Aeonium phylogeny based on a cpDNA/ITS data set, which includes two African boomerangs within a Macaronesian clade: Aeonium leucoblepharum (above) and A. korneliuslemsii (below), identified with blue arrows. Part (b) was excerpted from Mort et al. (2002) (with kind permission of Systematic Botany), photos of A. leucoblepharum (above) and A. korneliuslemsii (below) from https://plantasdemitierra.blogspot.com/2007/12/aeonium-leucoblepharum.html and https://es.gardenmanage.com/statuses/1000153167.html, respectively.