

# UC Berkeley

## IGS Poll

### Title

Tabulations from a July 2023 Poll of California Registered Voters about Changes to Election Laws

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6195n2b9>

### Author

Institute of Governmental Studies

### Publication Date

2023-08-17



University of California, Berkeley  
Institute of Governmental Studies  
109 Moses Hall, #2370  
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370  
Tel: 510-642-1473  
Fax: 510-642-3020  
Email: [igs@berkeley.edu](mailto:igs@berkeley.edu)

**Tabulations from a July 2023 Poll of  
California Registered Voters about  
Changes to Election Laws**

*by the*  
***Berkeley IGS Poll***  
**Institute of Governmental Studies**  
**University of California, Berkeley**

**July 20-25, 2023**

## **Introduction**

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish July 20-25, 2023, among 6,164 California registered voters. The survey was conducted by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley on behalf of the Evelyn and Walter Haas, Jr. Fund.

The poll was conducted by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further email invitations.

Samples of California registered voters with email addresses were derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls and provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists.

To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. In addition, after the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters to population characteristics of the registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/-2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

## **Regional definitions referenced in this report**

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz and San Benito counties)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

## **Frequency of voting in recent state elections**

Regular voters: those who had voted in five or more of the last seven state elections

Intermittent voters: those who voted in 3 or 4 of the last seven state elections

Infrequent voters: those who voted in only 1 or 2 of the last seven state elections

Non-voters: those who did not vote in any of the last seven state elections.

## **About the Institute of Governmental Studies**

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

Table 97: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?  
Corporations having too much influence on elections (5) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region									Urbanicity			Party Registration				Voting frequency					
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
A major concern	0.79	0.77	0.81	0.76	0.78	0.79	0.79	0.83	0.75	0.81	0.87	0.79	0.79	0.80	0.82	0.72	0.79	0.86	0.77	0.82	0.84	0.76	0.71
A minor concern	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.15
Not a concern	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.00	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.09

Table 98: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?  
Corporations having too much influence on elections (5) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	English dominant / bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer Indian/Native Amer	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
A major concern	0.79	0.77	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.84	0.79	0.77	0.80	0.72	0.83	0.74	0.56	0.83	0.79	0.73	0.90	0.76	0.97	0.81	0.71	0.85	0.78
A minor concern	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.13	0.24	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.18	0.03	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.14
Not a concern	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.07	0.00	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.13	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.04

Table 99: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?  
Corporations having too much influence on elections (5) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	1944.00	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
A major concern	0.79	0.73	0.69	0.75	0.85	0.91	0.60	0.73	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.82	0.85	0.74	0.78	0.80
A minor concern	0.13	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.12	0.06	0.16	0.17	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.14	0.12
Not a concern	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.03
No opinion / refused	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.16	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.05

Table 100: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California?  
Corporations having too much influence on elections (5) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Parent of school age child				Party identification									
		Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	No	Yes (to- tal)	Yes - pub- lic	Yes - pri- vate / home- schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent/ other (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure Inde- pend- ent/ other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
A major concern	0.79	0.77	0.82	0.79	0.81	0.80	0.76	0.78	0.71	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.79	0.88	0.78	0.73
A minor concern	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.13
Not a concern	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.06
No opinion / refused	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.08

Table 101: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? The amount of money needed to run for public office (6) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency				
	Total	Los An-ge-les County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Em-pire	Central Coast	Central Val-ley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Val-ley	San Joaquin Val-ley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Reg-ular	Inter-mittent	Infre-quent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
A major concern	0.65	0.64	0.68	0.54	0.62	0.69	0.61	0.62	0.60	0.72	0.74	0.64	0.65	0.66	0.67	0.58	0.67	0.69	0.66	0.71	0.70	0.57	0.55
A minor concern	0.20	0.22	0.18	0.30	0.22	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.23	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.23	0.23
Not a concern	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.09
No opinion / refused	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.13

Table 102: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? The amount of money needed to run for public office (6) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender		Age							Race							Nativity		Union member in household				
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dom-i-nant (Latino)	English dom-i-nant/ (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer-ican/Na-tive Amer	Tribal af-fil-ia-tion	No tribal af-fil-ia-tion	US	Another coun-try	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
A major concern	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.53	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.72	0.73	0.71	0.72	0.55	0.47	0.58	0.64	0.59	0.52	0.51	0.53	0.66	0.61	0.69	0.64
A minor concern	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.18	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.21	0.25	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.21
Not a concern	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.22	0.28	0.19	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08
No opinion / refused	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.00	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08



Table 103: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? The amount of money needed to run for public office (6) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
A major concern	0.65	0.57	0.56	0.63	0.69	0.77	0.47	0.51	0.63	0.70	0.76	0.55	0.57	0.61	0.68	0.72	0.67	0.68	0.64
A minor concern	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.16	0.26	0.24	0.21	0.20	0.15	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.22
Not a concern	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06
No opinion / refused	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.17	0.15	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.08

Table 104: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? The amount of money needed to run for public office (6) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Parent of school age child				Party identification									
		Married	Not mar- ried/ live to- gether	Separated/ divorced/ wid- owed	Single/ never mar- ried	No	Yes (to- tal)	Yes - pub- lic	Yes - pri- vate / home- schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent/ other (net)	Lean Demo- crat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure Inde- pend- ent/ other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
A major concern	0.65	0.67	0.61	0.70	0.60	0.65	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.59	0.58	0.59	0.69	0.76	0.67	0.64
A minor concern	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.18	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.18	0.17	0.21	0.16
Not a concern	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.08
No opinion / refused	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.12

Table 105: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? Partisan gerrymandering of election districts to deliberately favor one party (7) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency				
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Reg-ular	Inter-mittent	Infre-quent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
A major concern	0.71	0.69	0.72	0.72	0.74	0.68	0.71	0.72	0.70	0.70	0.76	0.69	0.73	0.69	0.70	0.72	0.76	0.70	0.76	0.73	0.67	0.62	
A minor concern	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.18
Not a concern	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	
No opinion / refused	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.00	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.11	0.03	0.05	0.12	0.16

Table 106: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? Partisan gerrymandering of election districts to deliberately favor one party (7) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household			
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dom-i-nant (Latino)	English dom-i-nant/ (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer In-dian/Na-tive Amer	Tribal fili-a-tion	No tribal af-fil-i-tation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
A major concern	0.71	0.70	0.72	0.64	0.69	0.72	0.70	0.76	0.77	0.75	0.76	0.65	0.56	0.69	0.71	0.63	0.67	0.65	0.67	0.72	0.65	0.71	0.71
A minor concern	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.21	0.14	0.09	0.19	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.16
Not a concern	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.08

Table 107: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? Partisan gerrymandering of election districts to deliberately favor one party (7) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some col- lege/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
A major concern	0.71	0.76	0.70	0.67	0.73	0.74	0.55	0.62	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.59	0.69	0.70	0.71	0.77	0.71	0.74	0.69
A minor concern	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.23	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.22	0.17	0.16
Not a concern	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.15	0.17	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.17	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.10

Table 108: Q21 To what extent do the following things concern you as being potential threats to having a representative democracy in California? Partisan gerrymandering of election districts to deliberately favor one party (7) (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status					Parent of school age child				Party identification								
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	No	Yes (total)	Yes - public	Yes - private / home-schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
A major concern	0.71	0.73	0.67	0.74	0.67	0.71	0.69	0.70	0.67	0.72	0.70	0.74	0.71	0.76	0.68	0.72	0.81	0.73	0.64
A minor concern	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.19	0.14
Not a concern	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.05	0.16

Table 141: Q30 Some people think that the decisions made by state government on important issues like housing are dictated more by what big corporations want rather than by what is in the state’s best interests. Do you agree or disagree? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency				
	Total	Los An-ge-les County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Em-pire	Central Coast	Central Val-ley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Val-ley	San Joaquin Val-ley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Reg-ular	Inter-mittent	Infre-quent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
Agree (net)	0.77	0.76	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.78	0.85	0.78	0.76	0.76	0.82	0.69	0.75	0.80	0.74	0.76	0.82	0.76	0.72
Agree strongly	0.40	0.43	0.40	0.43	0.43	0.37	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.35	0.50	0.42	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.55	0.36	0.36	0.48	0.40	0.43
Agree somewhat	0.36	0.34	0.39	0.36	0.33	0.38	0.32	0.34	0.31	0.43	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.41	0.30	0.34	0.25	0.37	0.40	0.34	0.36	0.29
Disagree (net)	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.21	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.12	0.12	0.14
Disagree somewhat	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.09
Disagree strongly	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.06	0.00	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.14

Table 142: Q30 Some people think that the decisions made by state government on important issues like housing are dictated more by what big corporations want rather than by what is in the state’s best interests. Do you agree or disagree? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age						Race								Nativity		Union member in household			
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dom- i- nant (Latino)	English dom- i- nant/ bilin- gual (Latino)	Black	Asian/ Pac Isle	Amer In- dian/ Na- tive Amer	Tribal af- fi- li- a- tion	No tribal af- fi- li- a- tion	US	Another coun- try	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
Agree (net)	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.74	0.75	0.77	0.72	0.77	0.75	0.71	0.76	0.81	0.75	0.79	0.96	0.71	0.77	0.75	0.81	0.76
Agree strongly	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.43	0.44	0.45	0.40	0.34	0.37	0.30	0.39	0.42	0.35	0.45	0.49	0.35	0.56	0.64	0.52	0.41	0.39	0.45	0.39
Agree somewhat	0.36	0.35	0.38	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.35	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.32	0.32	0.40	0.23	0.31	0.20	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
Disagree (net)	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.00	0.23	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.15
Disagree somewhat	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.00	0.22	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10
Disagree strongly	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.09

Table 143: Q30 Some people think that the decisions made by state government on important issues like housing are dictated more by what big corporations want rather than by what is in the state’s best interests. Do you agree or disagree? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Political ideology						Education					Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
Agree (net)	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.73	0.81	0.87	0.65	0.70	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.80	0.78	0.71	0.74	0.80
Agree strongly	0.40	0.49	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.47	0.29	0.41	0.47	0.38	0.31	0.43	0.43	0.46	0.44	0.40	0.26	0.34	0.48
Agree somewhat	0.36	0.24	0.33	0.36	0.44	0.40	0.36	0.30	0.32	0.40	0.47	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.36	0.38	0.45	0.40	0.32
Disagree (net)	0.14	0.17	0.22	0.15	0.12	0.07	0.18	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.11
Disagree somewhat	0.10	0.09	0.17	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.08
Disagree strongly	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.03
No opinion / refused	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.17	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.09

Table 144: Q30 Some people think that the decisions made by state government on important issues like housing are dictated more by what big corporations want rather than by what is in the state’s best interests. Do you agree or disagree? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Marital status					Parent of school age child				Party identification									
	Total	Married	Not married/ live together	Separated/ divorced/ widowed	Single/ never married	No	Yes (total)	Yes - public	Yes - private / home-schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
Agree (net)	0.77	0.73	0.78	0.81	0.81	0.78	0.72	0.74	0.68	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.71	0.69	0.71	0.77	0.85	0.75	0.70
Agree strongly	0.40	0.36	0.45	0.45	0.44	0.41	0.39	0.41	0.32	0.40	0.37	0.42	0.40	0.46	0.35	0.45	0.49	0.38	0.45
Agree somewhat	0.36	0.37	0.33	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.42	0.44	0.41	0.31	0.24	0.36	0.32	0.36	0.37	0.25
Disagree (net)	0.14	0.18	0.15	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.23	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.14	0.10	0.20	0.13
Disagree somewhat	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.20	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.08
Disagree strongly	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.01	0.08	0.05
No opinion / refused	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.17

Table 145: Q31 Would you support or oppose an option for the candidates running for Governor, and other state elected positions, including those running for the state legislature, to receive public funding for their campaigns if they agree to abide by certain campaign contribution limits? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency			
	Total	Los An-ge-les County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Reg-ular	Inter-mittent	Infre-quent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
Support (net)	0.53	0.55	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.53	0.45	0.48	0.43	0.63	0.58	0.57	0.49	0.51	0.62	0.35	0.53	0.49	0.54	0.55	0.52	0.52	0.52
Support	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.28	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.27	0.11	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.21	0.16	0.21
strongly Support	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.26	0.28	0.25	0.35	0.38	0.35	0.29	0.32	0.36	0.24	0.32	0.27	0.34	0.30	0.31	0.36	0.31
somewhat	0.33	0.30	0.40	0.38	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.37	0.34	0.26	0.32	0.29	0.36	0.35	0.24	0.54	0.30	0.38	0.27	0.35	0.34	0.31	0.28
Oppose (net)	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.10	0.12	0.12
Oppose	0.22	0.19	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.15	0.20	0.19	0.24	0.26	0.13	0.43	0.19	0.30	0.16	0.26	0.20	0.21	0.16
somewhat	0.14	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.23	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.19	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.20
strongly																							
No opinion / refused																							

Table 146: Q31 Would you support or oppose an option for the candidates running for Governor, and other state elected positions, including those running for the state legislature, to receive public funding for their campaigns if they agree to abide by certain campaign contribution limits? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household		
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant/ (Latino) bilinguant/ (Latino)	English dominant/ (Latino) bilinguant/ (Latino)	Black	Asian/ Pac Isle	Amer Indian/ Native Amer	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No	
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
Support (net)	0.53	0.57	0.50	0.54	0.52	0.48	0.52	0.57	0.55	0.61	0.57	0.46	0.39	0.49	0.56	0.54	0.43	0.43	0.54	0.50	0.55	0.55	0.53
Support	0.21	0.25	0.17	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.16	0.11	0.17	0.24	0.19	0.21	0.03	0.29	0.22	0.18	0.22	0.21
strongly Support	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.36	0.30	0.29	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.32	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.32	0.36	0.23	0.40	0.14	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.32
somewhat Support																							
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.25	0.28	0.37	0.39	0.34	0.35	0.31	0.32	0.36	0.47	0.32	0.25	0.31	0.38	0.23	0.44	0.31	0.39	0.30	0.33
Oppose	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.20	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.11
somewhat Oppose	0.22	0.24	0.21	0.12	0.17	0.28	0.28	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.27	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.35	0.19	0.42	0.21	0.25	0.22	0.22
strongly No opinion / refused	0.14	0.10	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.15	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.33	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.14

Table 147: Q31 Would you support or oppose an option for the candidates running for Governor, and other state elected positions, including those running for the state legislature, to receive public funding for their campaigns if they agree to abide by certain campaign contribution limits? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology						Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
Support (net)	0.53	0.31	0.42	0.49	0.67	0.71	0.42	0.47	0.49	0.58	0.64	0.46	0.52	0.50	0.57	0.55	0.58	0.53	0.54
Support	0.21	0.13	0.11	0.17	0.26	0.39	0.07	0.15	0.19	0.23	0.31	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.21
strongly Support	0.32	0.18	0.31	0.32	0.42	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.28	0.34	0.32	0.34	0.31	0.34	0.31	0.33
somewhat Support																			
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.59	0.48	0.34	0.19	0.15	0.47	0.34	0.35	0.30	0.27	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.37	0.30
Oppose	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.11
somewhat Oppose	0.22	0.47	0.36	0.23	0.09	0.06	0.34	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.21	0.26	0.19
strongly No opinion / refused	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.19	0.15	0.12	0.09	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.16

Table 148: Q31 Would you support or oppose an option for the candidates running for Governor, and other state elected positions, including those running for the state legislature, to receive public funding for their campaigns if they agree to abide by certain campaign contribution limits? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Parent of school age child				Party identification									
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	No	Yes (total)	Yes - public	Yes - private/home-schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
Support (net)	0.53	0.53	0.55	0.51	0.54	0.55	0.48	0.49	0.42	0.65	0.69	0.62	0.38	0.31	0.43	0.50	0.72	0.43	0.38
Support strongly	0.21	0.21	0.26	0.24	0.18	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.15	0.28	0.33	0.24	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.19	0.31	0.16	0.12
Support somewhat	0.32	0.31	0.29	0.28	0.37	0.33	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.37	0.36	0.38	0.26	0.23	0.29	0.31	0.41	0.26	0.26
Oppose (net)	0.33	0.35	0.31	0.36	0.27	0.32	0.36	0.34	0.46	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.52	0.57	0.48	0.34	0.16	0.48	0.39
Oppose somewhat	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.13
Oppose strongly	0.22	0.26	0.19	0.26	0.15	0.21	0.28	0.25	0.39	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.40	0.46	0.36	0.24	0.09	0.41	0.26
No opinion / refused	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.16	0.12	0.09	0.24



Table 153: Q33 Currently in most local elections, a candidate who receives a majority of the votes in the primary election wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election, when far more voters are likely to be participating. Do you support or oppose changing this so that the top two candidates receiving the most votes in local elections must compete against each other in the general election even if one received a majority of the votes in the primary? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region											Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency			
	Total	Los An-ge-les County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Reg-ular	Inter-mittent	Infre-quent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
Support (net)	0.66	0.64	0.66	0.66	0.65	0.68	0.68	0.70	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.66	0.63	0.67	0.73	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.66	0.66
Support	0.35	0.32	0.38	0.33	0.36	0.37	0.40	0.46	0.36	0.31	0.40	0.35	0.33	0.38	0.33	0.37	0.35	0.40	0.33	0.33	0.36	0.35	0.37
strongly Support	0.31	0.33	0.28	0.33	0.29	0.31	0.27	0.25	0.30	0.34	0.25	0.30	0.33	0.27	0.33	0.25	0.33	0.33	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.29
somewhat	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.27	0.17	0.13	0.18	0.24	0.18	0.17	0.17
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.05	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	
Oppose	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.08
somewhat	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.17	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.08
strongly	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.17
No opinion / refused	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.15	0.17	0.17

Table 154: Q33 Currently in most local elections, a candidate who receives a majority of the votes in the primary election wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election, when far more voters are likely to be participating. Do you support or oppose changing this so that the top two candidates receiving the most votes in local elections must compete against each other in the general election even if one received a majority of the votes in the primary? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender		Age							Race							Nativity		Union member in household				
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dom-i-nant (Latino)	English dom-i-nant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer In-dian/Na-tive Amer	Tribal af-fil-iation	No tribal af-fil-iation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
Support (net)	0.66	0.67	0.64	0.69	0.62	0.65	0.67	0.65	0.66	0.64	0.68	0.63	0.60	0.65	0.55	0.64	0.70	0.65	0.72	0.66	0.64	0.67	0.66
Support strongly	0.35	0.39	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.37	0.39	0.33	0.36	0.34	0.29	0.37	0.30	0.33	0.28	0.32	0.25	0.35	0.34	0.38	0.34
Support somewhat	0.31	0.28	0.34	0.34	0.29	0.33	0.32	0.29	0.27	0.32	0.33	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.25	0.31	0.42	0.33	0.46	0.31	0.30	0.29	0.32
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.17	0.31	0.18	0.25	0.28	0.23	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.20
Oppose somewhat	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.24	0.05	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.11
Oppose strongly	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.06	0.14	0.04	0.18	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09
No opinion / refused	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14

Table 155: Q33 Currently in most local elections, a candidate who receives a majority of the votes in the primary election wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election, when far more voters are likely to be participating. Do you support or oppose changing this so that the top two candidates receiving the most votes in local elections must compete against each other in the general election even if one received a majority of the votes in the primary? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Political ideology						Education					Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school graduate	High school graduate	Some col- lege/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
Support (net)	0.66	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.68	0.67	0.55	0.58	0.69	0.68	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.65	0.66	0.66
Support	0.35	0.44	0.32	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.31	0.32	0.39	0.33	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.32	0.33	0.37
strongly Support	0.31	0.21	0.32	0.30	0.38	0.32	0.24	0.26	0.30	0.35	0.32	0.31	0.25	0.30	0.33	0.32	0.33	0.32	0.30
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.20	0.18	0.16	0.26	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.24	0.18	0.23	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.24	0.18
Oppose	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11
somewhat Oppose	0.09	0.18	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.07
strongly No opinion / refused	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.16

Table 156: Q33 Currently in most local elections, a candidate who receives a majority of the votes in the primary election wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election, when far more voters are likely to be participating. Do you support or oppose changing this so that the top two candidates receiving the most votes in local elections must compete against each other in the general election even if one received a majority of the votes in the primary? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Marital status					Parent of school age child				Party identification									
	Total	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	No	Yes (total)	Yes - public	Yes - private / home-schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
Support (net)	0.66	0.64	0.66	0.69	0.66	0.67	0.62	0.64	0.55	0.67	0.67	0.68	0.67	0.66	0.68	0.65	0.70	0.71	0.56
Support	0.35	0.35	0.38	0.36	0.33	0.35	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34	0.38	0.42	0.35	0.36	0.37	0.39	0.32
strongly Support	0.31	0.30	0.28	0.33	0.33	0.31	0.29	0.32	0.20	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.29	0.24	0.33	0.29	0.33	0.32	0.24
somewhat Oppose (net)	0.20	0.22	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.33	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.24	0.26	0.22	0.20	0.16	0.21	0.21
Oppose	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.12
somewhat Oppose	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.09
strongly No opinion / refused	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.23

Table 157: Q33x Currently cities in California have the option to establish independent redistricting commissions, where people from the local community meet to decide how district boundary lines are to be drawn when holding local elections such as for city council. Some have proposed requiring that counties and cities with populations of 300,000 or more, and school and community college districts with over 500,000 residents, to also establish independent redistricting commissions. Do you support or oppose this proposal? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration					Voting frequency				
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Other	NPP	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter
unweighted n	6164.00	1393.00	734.00	432.00	631.00	401.00	940.00	549.00	391.00	1475.00	158.00	2662.00	2608.00	894.00	3205.00	1480.00	1479.00	347.00	1132.00	3810.00	1075.00	963.00	316.00
weighted n	6164.00	1571.00	543.00	508.00	715.00	378.00	1086.00	505.00	581.00	1233.00	130.00	2733.00	2570.00	860.00	2890.00	1475.00	1799.00	420.00	1379.00	2410.00	1139.00	1703.00	912.00
Support (net)	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.44	0.43	0.52	0.46	0.46	0.46	0.53	0.41	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.54	0.38	0.44	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.48	0.45	0.45
Support	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.12	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.21	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.20	0.12	0.15	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.17
strongly Support	0.30	0.31	0.27	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.34	0.20	0.32	0.30	0.28	0.33	0.26	0.29	0.24	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.30	0.29
somewhat	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.19	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.14	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.33	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.18
Oppose (net)	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.08
somewhat	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.19	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.10
Oppose	0.10	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.06	0.19	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.10
strongly	0.33	0.34	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.29	0.33	0.36	0.29	0.33	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.36	0.31	0.29	0.39	0.37	0.39	0.28	0.33	0.37	0.37
No opinion / refused																							

Table 158: Q33x Currently cities in California have the option to establish independent redistricting commissions, where people from the local community meet to decide how district boundary lines are to be drawn when holding local elections such as for city council. Some have proposed requiring that counties and cities with populations of 300,000 or more, and school and community college districts with over 500,000 residents, to also establish independent redistricting commissions. Do you support or oppose this proposal? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender		Age							Race								Nativity		Union member in household			
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish-dominant (Latino)	English-dominant/bilingual (Latino)	Black	Asian/Pac Isle	Amer Indian/Native Amer	Tribal affiliation	No tribal affiliation	US	Another country	Yes	No
unweighted n	6164.00	2951.00	3212.00	928.00	1116.00	1014.00	1542.00	1564.00	932.00	632.00	3358.00	1546.00	513.00	1005.00	391.00	695.00	130.00	45.00	85.00	4986.00	1162.00	1271.00	4855.00
weighted n	6164.00	2977.00	3187.00	1120.00	1119.00	954.00	1451.00	1520.00	947.00	573.00	3175.00	1696.00	501.00	1153.00	363.00	844.00	141.00	45.00	95.00	4981.00	1179.00	1091.00	5056.00
Support (net)	0.47	0.51	0.44	0.44	0.48	0.47	0.51	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.44	0.52	0.41	0.40	0.47	0.45	0.52	0.42	0.47	0.47	0.50	0.47
Support	0.17	0.20	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.05	0.13	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.17
strongly Support	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.28	0.28	0.32	0.35	0.29	0.29	0.28	0.30	0.29	0.35	0.27	0.26	0.33	0.35	0.48	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.30
somewhat																							
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.21	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.19	0.31	0.13	0.39	0.27	0.45	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.20
Oppose	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.18	0.08	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.10
somewhat																							
Oppose	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.19	0.08	0.24	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.10
strongly																							
No opinion / refused	0.33	0.28	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.31	0.40	0.29	0.40	0.16	0.21	0.13	0.33	0.32	0.29	0.34

Table 159: Q33x Currently cities in California have the option to establish independent redistricting commissions, where people from the local community meet to decide how district boundary lines are to be drawn when holding local elections such as for city council. Some have proposed requiring that counties and cities with populations of 300,000 or more, and school and community college districts with over 500,000 residents, to also establish independent redistricting commissions. Do you support or oppose this proposal? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Political ideology						Education					Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Not a high school grad- uate	High school grad- uate	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent
unweighted n	6164.00	597.00	935.00	1790.00	1272.00	1523.00	111.00	516.00	1510.00	2062.00	1944.00	499.00	574.00	695.00	1100.00	1819.00	1241.00	3389.00	2212.00
weighted n	6164.00	679.00	1047.00	2131.00	1138.00	1141.00	177.00	891.00	2467.00	1660.00	960.00	750.00	757.00	850.00	1112.00	1656.00	872.00	2863.00	2583.00
Support (net)	0.47	0.37	0.38	0.45	0.55	0.58	0.43	0.41	0.45	0.50	0.57	0.41	0.46	0.45	0.49	0.51	0.51	0.49	0.47
Support	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.13	0.22	0.27	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.19	0.22	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.18
strongly Support	0.30	0.25	0.28	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.31	0.34	0.26	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.33	0.32	0.29
somewhat																			
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.34	0.29	0.19	0.14	0.10	0.24	0.20	0.22	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.17
Oppose	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.08
somewhat																			
Oppose	0.10	0.20	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.11	0.09
strongly																			
No opinion / refused	0.33	0.29	0.33	0.36	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.39	0.33	0.32	0.27	0.41	0.34	0.36	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.27	0.36

Table 160: Q33x Currently cities in California have the option to establish independent redistricting commissions, where people from the local community meet to decide how district boundary lines are to be drawn when holding local elections such as for city council. Some have proposed requiring that counties and cities with populations of 300,000 or more, and school and community college districts with over 500,000 residents, to also establish independent redistricting commissions. Do you support or oppose this proposal? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Marital status					Parent of school age child				Party identification									
	Total	Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	No	Yes (total)	Yes - public	Yes - private/home-schooled	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other
unweighted n	6164.00	3240.00	555.00	873.00	1460.00	4842.00	1297.00	1058.00	312.00	3750.00	2020.00	1723.00	1612.00	687.00	919.00	2101.00	817.00	520.00	755.00
weighted n	6164.00	2902.00	535.00	1003.00	1717.00	4828.00	1332.00	1114.00	285.00	3400.00	1684.00	1709.00	1886.00	768.00	1115.00	2257.00	756.00	631.00	867.00
Support (net)	0.47	0.49	0.48	0.46	0.46	0.48	0.45	0.45	0.47	0.56	0.57	0.54	0.37	0.35	0.39	0.45	0.60	0.36	0.37
Support strongly	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.16	0.26	0.09	0.12
Support somewhat	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.31	0.29	0.29	0.33	0.34	0.33	0.34	0.27	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.34	0.27	0.25
Oppose (net)	0.20	0.22	0.16	0.24	0.15	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.33	0.35	0.31	0.21	0.11	0.35	0.19
Oppose somewhat	0.10	0.11	0.04	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.08
Oppose strongly	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.05	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.12	0.04	0.23	0.11
No opinion / refused	0.33	0.30	0.37	0.30	0.39	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.33	0.31	0.29	0.33	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.35	0.29	0.29	0.44