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Diagnostic Value of Right Pulmonary Artery Distensibility Index in Dogs with Pulmonary Hypertension: Comparison with Doppler Echocardiographic Estimates of Pulmonary Arterial Pressure

L.C. Visser, M.K. Im, L.R. Johnson, and J.A. Stern

Background: Noninvasive diagnosis of pulmonary hypertension (PH) primarily relies upon Doppler echocardiography of tricuspid regurgitation (TR). However, TR might be absent or difficult to measure.

Hypothesis/Objectives: To determine the diagnostic value of right pulmonary artery distensibility (RPAD) index for prediction of Doppler-derived estimates of pulmonary artery (PA) pressure compared to other indices of PH in dogs.

Methods: Prospective observational study. Dogs were allocated to groups according to TR pressure gradient (TRPG): TRPG <36 mmHg (control, n = 22), TRPG 36–50 (n = 16), TRPG 50–75 (n = 14) and TRPG >75 mmHg (n = 17). Right pulmonary artery distensibility index, acceleration time to peak PA flow (AT), AT: ejection time of PA flow (AT:ET) and main PA size: aorta size (MPA:Ao) were calculated in each dog.

Results: Right pulmonary artery distensibility index demonstrated the strongest correlation (r = -0.90; P < .0001) to TRPG followed by MPA:Ao (r = 0.78; P < .0001), AT (r = -0.69; P < .0001) and AT:ET (r = -0.68; P < .0001). RPAD index possessed the most accurate cutoff (<-29.5%; Sensitivity [Sn] 0.84, Specificity [Sp] 0.95) to predict TRPG >50 mmHg compared to AT (<53.9 ms; Sn 0.74, Sp 0.87), AT:ET (<0.30; Sn 0.61, Sp 0.97) and MPA:Ao (>1.04; Sn 0.94, Sp 0.74). All intra- and interobserver measurement variabilities exhibited coefficients of variation ≤13%.

Conclusions and Clinical Importance: Right pulmonary artery distensibility index is an accurate predictor of TRPG and should be particularly useful if TR is absent or difficult to measure.
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The clinical importance of pulmonary hypertension (PH) in dogs is becoming more apparent. Pulmonary hypertension is commonly encountered in dogs affected with myxomatous mitral valve disease (MMVD), thromboembolic disease, parasitic disease (e.g., dirofilariasis, angiostrongyliasis), congenital cardiac shunts and various respiratory diseases. It adversely affects the quality of life and exercise tolerance and can cause syncope, frequently influences diagnostic and therapeutic decisions, and is associated with a worse outcome in dogs with MMVD. Thus, screening for PH is an important part of the diagnostic evaluation in dogs exhibiting clinical signs of PH such as syncope, or affected with diseases commonly associated with PH.

Despite its flaws, clinical diagnosis of PH in dogs typically relies on Doppler echocardiographic estimates of pulmonary arterial pressure derived from tricuspid or pulmonary regurgitant jets. However, these regurgitant jets can be absent or difficult to quantify. Clinicians might then be obligated to rely on clinical and indirect echocardiographic findings to help determine if PH is present.

Several quantitative indirect echocardiographic indices of PH have been evaluated in dogs for predictive/diagnostic value, including main pulmonary artery (MPA) size indexed to the size of the ascending aorta (MPA:Ao), pulsed-wave Doppler-derived acceleration time to peak PA flow velocity (AT) and AT indexed to the ejection time of PA flow (AT:ET). Diagnostic value of indices of right ventricular (RV) function (tissue Doppler imaging [TDI] velocities of the RV free wall and RV myocardial performance [Tei])

Abbreviations:
2D: two-dimensional
Ao: aorta
AT: acceleration time to peak pulmonary artery flow
AUC: area under the ROC curve
CHF: congestive heart failure
ET: ejection time of pulmonary artery flow
LA: left atrial
MMVD: myxomatous mitral valve disease
MPA: main pulmonary artery
PA: pulmonary artery
PH: pulmonary hypertension
ROC: receiver operating characteristic
RPAD: right pulmonary artery distensibility
RV: right ventricular
TRPG: peak tricuspid regurgitation systolic pressure gradient
TR: tricuspid regurgitation
index) has also been evaluated in dogs with PH.\textsuperscript{10} However, these indices are hindered by relatively low sensitivity and specificity values (MPA/Ao), and technical and alignment limitations (TDI velocities, Tei index, AT, and AT:ET).

Pulmonary hypertension is associated with proximal PA distension and reduced distensibility.\textsuperscript{12} Indices of proximal PA distensibility or stiffness quantified by echocardiography,\textsuperscript{13,14} computed tomography angiography,\textsuperscript{15} and magnetic resonance imaging\textsuperscript{12,16-19} are attractive noninvasive indices of PH in humans. These indices correlate with “gold standard” invasive measurements of pulmonary vascular resistance and PA pressures,\textsuperscript{14,15,18} are reliable and early noninvasive indicators of PH (even when only exercise-induced PH is present),\textsuperscript{16,18} and predict mortality.\textsuperscript{12,17,18} The RPAD index compares to other indirect echocardiographic indices of PH in dogs.\textsuperscript{6} The purpose of this study was to determine the diagnostic value of RPAD index for prediction of Doppler- derived estimates of PA pressure (TRPG) in dogs with various degrees of PH (as estimated by TRPG) compared to other echocardiographic indices of PH. We hypothesized that the RPAD index could predict TRPG pressure in dogs and would be comparable in accuracy to other indirect echocardiographic indices of PH.

Materials and Methods

The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of California, Davis, approved all procedures in this study. Owner consent for participation in the study was obtained for each dog.

**Animals**

Study subjects consisted of privately owned dogs that underwent a complete echocardiographic study as part of a routine clinical work up at the University of California, Davis Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital, or were referred for the purposes of this study. Over a 14-month period, dogs were prospectively and consecutively enrolled if they possessed a clearly identifiable systolic TR jet and were measured during exercise.\textsuperscript{6} The RPAD index showed a strong correlation with invasive PA pressures, demonstrating its potential diagnostic value in dogs. However, diagnostic accuracy for predicting various degrees of PH was not systematically studied and it is unknown how the diagnostic accuracy of the RPAD index compares to other indirect echocardiographic indices of PH in dogs.

**Image Acquisition**

All echocardiographic studies\textsuperscript{a} were performed by a board-certified veterinary cardiologist (L.C.V. or J.A.S.) or a cardiologist resident under the direct supervision of a board-certified veterinary cardiologist and all raw data were captured digitally for offline analysis at a digital workstation.\textsuperscript{b} Dogs were manually restrained in right and left lateral recumbency. Use of sedation and supplemental oxygen was permitted if deemed necessary by the attending clinician. Conventional imaging planes\textsuperscript{c} were utilized with continuous ECG monitoring. Care was taken to align the TR jet as parallel as possible to the plane of the ultrasound interrogation cursor. Care was also taken to optimize visualization of the main and right pulmonary arteries via the 2D echocardiographic view from a right parasternal short axis basilar position. Quantification of a pulmonary valve insufficiency jet to estimate mean and diastolic pulmonary artery pressures was not performed for the purposes of this study.

**Echocardiographic Measurements**

All echocardiographic assessments, measurements and calculations were performed by the same individual (L.C.V.) and in the same order for each dog, with measurement of TR jet velocity obtained last. Therefore, the individual performing echocardiographic measurements was unaware of the TRPG when measuring all other echocardiographic indices. Peak systolic TR jet velocity obtained by 2D/color Doppler-guided continuous-wave Doppler was measured from the view that allowed the clearest jet profile and best alignment with direction of the jet. Tricuspid regurgitation jet velocity was only measured when a complete flow profile of the jet was present and peak velocity was clearly visualized. The value for each echocardiographic index consisted of an average of 3 representative but not necessarily consecutive measurements and was always measured while the dog was in sinus rhythm. Heart rate recorded represented the average heart rate of each of the 3 cardiac cycles used to determine each echocardiographic index value.

For determination of the RPAD index, the minimum diastolic (RPAD\textsubscript{E}) usually at the Q wave and maximum systolic (RPAS\textsubscript{S}) internal diameter of the right PA was quantified at the same location of the right PA. This was performed using a trailing edge to leading edge technique. Care was taken to clearly visualize the internal borders of right PA throughout the cardiac cycle, and internal diameters of the right PA were measured as perpendicular as possible to the internal borders of the right PA. The RPAD index represents the percent change in diameter of the right PA throughout a single cardiac cycle according to the following formula: RPAD index = ([RPAS\textsubscript{S} – RPAD\textsubscript{E}]/RPAS\textsubscript{S}) × 100 (Fig 1). For each individual dog's measurement, RPAD\textsubscript{D} and RPAS\textsubscript{S} were taking medications known to affect the cardiovascular or respiratory system and had to have normal RV systolic function based on recently published body weight-specific reference intervals for fractional area change (FAC).\textsuperscript{20} In dogs with a TRPG > 36 mmHg (suggestive of at least mild PH), a search for an underlying cause or additional diagnostic testing was performed at the discretion of the attending clinician. Dogs were excluded from the study if right ventricular outflow tract obstruction was detected by 2D and Doppler echocardiography (defined as any visible echocardiographic lesion in the RV, PA or branch PAs possessing a peak systolic velocity great than 2 m/s) or if sildenafil was currently being administered. Cardiogenic ascites was used as an indicator of right-sided congestive heart failure and was deemed present if ascites was discovered in addition to jugular venous distension, ultrasonographic evidence of right-sided cardiac disease, hepatomegaly, a subjectively dilated caudal vena cava, or some combination thereof.

**Echocardiography**

**Image Acquisition.** All echocardiographic studies\textsuperscript{a} were performed by a board-certified veterinary cardiologist (L.C.V. or J.A.S.) or a cardiologist resident under the direct supervision of a board-certified veterinary cardiologist and all raw data were captured digitally for offline analysis at a digital workstation.\textsuperscript{b} Dogs were manually restrained in right and left lateral recumbency. Use of sedation and supplemental oxygen was permitted if deemed necessary by the attending clinician. Conventional imaging planes\textsuperscript{c} were utilized with continuous ECG monitoring. Care was taken to align the TR jet as parallel as possible to the plane of the ultrasound interrogation cursor. Care was also taken to optimize visualization of the main and right pulmonary arteries via the 2D echocardiographic view from a right parasternal short axis basilar position. Quantification of a pulmonary valve insufficiency jet to estimate mean and diastolic pulmonary artery pressures was not performed for the purposes of this study.

**Echocardiographic Measurements.** All echocardiographic assessments, measurements and calculations were performed by the same individual (L.C.V.) and in the same order for each dog, with measurement of TR jet velocity obtained last. Therefore, the individual performing echocardiographic measurements was unaware of the TRPG when measuring all other echocardiographic indices. Peak systolic TR jet velocity obtained by 2D/color Doppler-guided continuous-wave Doppler was measured from the view that allowed the clearest jet profile and best alignment with direction of the jet. Tricuspid regurgitation jet velocity was only measured when a complete flow profile of the jet was present and peak velocity was clearly visualized. The value for each echocardiographic index consisted of an average of 3 representative but not necessarily consecutive measurements and was always measured while the dog was in sinus rhythm. Heart rate recorded represented the average heart rate of each of the 3 cardiac cycles used to determine each echocardiographic index value.

For determination of the RPAD index, the minimum diastolic (RPAD\textsubscript{E}) usually at the Q wave and maximum systolic (RPAS\textsubscript{S}) internal diameter of the right PA was quantified at the same location of the right PA. This was performed using a trailing edge to leading edge technique. Care was taken to clearly visualize the internal borders of right PA throughout the cardiac cycle, and internal diameters of the right PA were measured as perpendicular as possible to the internal borders of the right PA. The RPAD index represents the percent change in diameter of the right PA throughout a single cardiac cycle according to the following formula: RPAD index = ([RPAS\textsubscript{S} – RPAD\textsubscript{E}]/RPAS\textsubscript{S}) × 100 (Fig 1). For each individual dog's measurement, RPAD\textsubscript{D} and RPAS\textsubscript{S} were taking medications known to affect the cardiovascular or respiratory system and had to have normal RV systolic function based on recently published body weight-specific reference intervals for fractional area change (FAC).\textsuperscript{20} In dogs with a TRPG > 36 mmHg (suggestive of at least mild PH), a search for an underlying cause or additional diagnostic testing was performed at the discretion of the attending clinician. Dogs were excluded from the study if right ventricular outflow tract obstruction was detected by 2D and Doppler echocardiography (defined as any visible echocardiographic lesion in the RV, PA or branch PAs possessing a peak systolic velocity great than 2 m/s) or if sildenafil was currently being administered. Cardiogenic ascites was used as an indicator of right-sided congestive heart failure and was deemed present if ascites was discovered in addition to jugular venous distension, ultrasonographic evidence of right-sided cardiac disease, hepatomegaly, a subjectively dilated caudal vena cava, or some combination thereof.
measured at the same location along the right PA, although this location could have varied slightly from dog to dog. The RPAD index was also determined in 12 randomly selected studies (3 from each of the PH groups) from a short axis 2D imaging view of the right PA (viewed from a right parasternal long axis view optimized for the heart base/right PA in short axis).6

From the same view used to quantify the RPAD index, diastolic measurements of the internal dimensions of the main pulmonary artery (MPA) in long axis and the ascending aorta (Ao) in short axis were obtained, and the MPA:Ao ratio was calculated.10 The MPA measurement was obtained approximately midway between the pulmonary valve and origin of the right and left PA.22

Pulmonary artery flow was measured and recorded with pulsed-wave Doppler imaging from the standard right parasternal short axis view only, using color Doppler imaging to guide placement of the sample volume (1–3 mm) centrally between the opened pulmonary valve leaflets. AT was measured from the onset of the pulsed-wave Doppler flow to peak flow velocity. ET was measured from the onset to the end of the pulsed-wave Doppler PA flow signal, and an AT:ET ratio was calculated.11 The presence of systolic “notching” during deceleration of the PA flow profile was noted.4

Right ventricular (RV) size and function was assessed from a standard left apical four-chamber view. Right ventricular function was quantified by FAC, where measurements of RV area were obtained by tracing the RV endocardial border at end-diastole (RVA_D) and end-systole (RVA_S). Right ventricular percent FAC was calculated using the formula: FAC = (RVA_D – RVA_S) / RVA_S × 100. Fractional area change values were compared to body weight-specific reference intervals.20 Right ventricular size was assessed from the same view as FAC and, for the purposes of this study, the presence of (severe) RV enlargement was deemed present if the RV chamber and/or wall thickness was subjectively greater than that of the left ventricle.4

The presence of interventricular septal flattening was subjectively assessed throughout the cardiac cycle from standard short- and long-axis views.

Left atrial (LA) size was assessed from a standard right parasternal long axis four-chamber view and was determined by indexing the maximum systolic dimension23 to the aortic valve annulus, which was measured at the hinge points of opened leaflets in an early systolic frame from a separate long axis view optimized for the aortic valve annulus. A ratio greater than 2.6 indicated enlargement.24

Echocardiographic Indices Measurement Variability
Intraobserver measurement variability was determined by comparison of echocardiographic indices of PH (RPAD index, AT, AT:ET, and MPA:Ao) on these studies by a masked investigator (L.C.V.) on 3 separate occasions. The same studies and echocardiographic indices of PH were analyzed by once by a 2nd trained and masked investigator (M.K.I.) to determine interobserver measurement variability.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using a commercial software package.9 Descriptive statistics were generated and normality testing with the D’Agostino–Pearson test was performed for all continuous data. Data are reported as median and range (minimum-maximum) unless otherwise stated. A value of \( P < .05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Differences in continuous data among groups was determined by one-way analysis of variance with subsequent pair-wise comparisons determined using Tukey’s multiple comparisons test (for normally distributed data) or by Kruskal–Wallis test with subsequent pair-wise comparisons determined using the Dunn test (for non-normally distributed data). Proportions were compared using the Chi-square test with subsequent pair-wise comparisons determined using multiple \( z \)-tests for comparing 2 proportions, while adjusting the \( P \)-value based on the Holm–Bonferroni (sequentially rejective) method for the number of comparisons.
The Pearson product-moment correlation was used to determine the strength of association between TRPG and quantitative echocardiographic indices of PH (RPAD index, AT, AT:ET, and MPA:Ao). Simple linear regression analyses were used to predict TRPG from the same indices of PH. Standard assumptions for linear regression (linearity, homoscedasticity, and normality and independence of the residuals) was examined and verified before all analyses. The presence of outliers and influential values were assessed with standardized residual plots and Cook’s distances.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses were performed to determine optimal cutoff values for RPAD index, AT, AT:ET, and MPA:Ao in the prediction of TRPG >36 mmHg (suggestive of at least mild PH) and TRPG >50 mmHg (suggestive of at least moderate PH) and to evaluate the relationships among various sensitivity and specificity values. A value of TRPG >50 mmHg was chosen as an additional cutoff because moderate PH has implications for therapeutic intervention, suggesting the presence of irreversible PH, and has been demonstrated to be associated with a worse outcome in dogs with myxomatous mitral valve disease (MMVD). Optimal cutoff values were chosen for each indirect echocardiographic PH index from all combinations of sensitivity and specificity based on the highest Youden index [Youden index = (sensitivity–specificity) + 1]. Cutoff values with the highest Youden index (optimal combination of sensitivity and specificity) represent a clinically relevant cutoff, with the least amount of overlap between groups. The area under the ROC curve was used to represent diagnostic accuracy and to quantify the predictive value of the indirect echocardiographic indices of PH.

Right pulmonary artery distensibility index measurements with the right PA in long versus short axis were evaluated for bias and of the indirect echocardiographic indices of PH. Representative diagnostic accuracy and to quantify the predictive value of the indirect echocardiographic indices of PH.

**Clinical and Echocardiographic Data**

A summary of clinical and echocardiographic data for all dogs is presented in Table 1. The study sample consisted of 69 dogs designated into control (TRPG <36 mmHg: n = 22), TRPG 36–50 mmHg (n = 16), TRPG 50–75 mmHg (n = 14) and TRPG >75 mmHg (n = 17) groups and was comprised of primarily aged, small breed dogs. Nine each were mixed breeds and Chihuahuas, 7 were shih tzu, 4 each were Cavalier King Charles Spaniels and miniature poodles, 3 were Yorkshire terriers, 2 each were papillons, miniature schnauzers, miniature dachshunds, Pomeranians, pugs, West Highland white terriers, boxers, and pit bull terriers. The other breeds (Bichon Frise, Boston terrier, Jack Russell terrier, Shetland sheepdog, Affenpinscher, Siberian husky, Pekingese, Toy fox terrier, Havanese, Maltese, Whippet, English setter, beagle, border collie, Australian shepherd, Labrador retriever, and Schipperke) were each represented once. Median body weight of dogs in the control group (8.9, range 3.7–39.0) was slightly but significantly (P < 0.05) more than dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group (5.6, range 1.4–39.0). Heart rate of all dogs with TRPG >36 mmHg was significantly (all P < 0.05) more than dogs in the control group. No statistically significant (P > 0.05) differences in age or sex were encountered among groups. Significantly (P < 0.05) more dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group (n = 6 [35%]) experienced right-sided congestive heart failure compared to control dogs (n = 0 [0%]).

**Table 1. Clinical and echocardiographic characteristics of all study dogs (n = 69).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical and Echocardiographic Data</th>
<th>Control (n = 22)</th>
<th>TRPG 36–50 mmHg (n = 16)</th>
<th>TRPG 50–75 mmHg (n = 14)</th>
<th>TRPG &gt;75 mmHg (n = 17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>8.9 (3.7–39.0)</td>
<td>7.4 (3.4–15.1)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.3–12.9)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.4–39.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (bpm)</td>
<td>103 (72–158)</td>
<td>133 (77–186)</td>
<td>133 (90–162)</td>
<td>130 (60–190)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>9.5 (3.0–14.0)</td>
<td>10.5 (6.0–15.0)</td>
<td>13.0 (4.0–15.0)</td>
<td>9.0 (2.0–15.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female: number (%)</td>
<td>10 (45%)</td>
<td>8 (50%)</td>
<td>8 (57%)</td>
<td>9 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-sided CHF: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>2 (14%)</td>
<td>6 (35%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV FAC (%)</td>
<td>46.0 (33.6–63.8)</td>
<td>50.0 (23.3–65.0)</td>
<td>42.3 (34.0–55.6)</td>
<td>37.7 (19.9–56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV FAC below RI: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (6.0%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>11 (65%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRPG (mmHg)</td>
<td>25.2 (13.7–29.8)</td>
<td>39.2 (36.5–46.8)</td>
<td>59.0 (53.0–70.9)</td>
<td>93.7 (77.8–141.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAD index (%)</td>
<td>40.5 (29.1–46.8)</td>
<td>37.2 (22.6–43.8)</td>
<td>27.2 (16.9–36.0)</td>
<td>9.4 (4.0–20.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT (ms)</td>
<td>79.2 (51.0–119.7)</td>
<td>63.4 (40.6–101.2)</td>
<td>52.7 (39.5–71.2)</td>
<td>37.8 (20.5–67.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT:ET</td>
<td>0.39 (0.31–0.52)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.28–0.59)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22–0.44)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.14–0.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA:Ao</td>
<td>0.93 (0.80–1.08)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.86–1.33)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.00–1.27)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.96–1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA:Ao long axis</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0–2.6)</td>
<td>2.8 (2.0–5.1)</td>
<td>2.4 (2.1–4.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.7–2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA enlargement: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>7 (44%)</td>
<td>5 (36%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notched PA flow: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>13 (76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septal flattening: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>4 (29%)</td>
<td>16 (94%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV enlargement: number (%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (21%)</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent median (min-max) unless otherwise stated. CHF, congestive heart failure; RV, right ventricle; FAC, fractional area change; RI, reference interval; TRPG, peak tricuspid regurgitation systolic pressure gradient; RPAD, right pulmonary artery distensibility; AT, acceleration time to peak pulmonary artery flow; ET, ejection time of pulmonary artery flow; MPA, main pulmonary artery; Ao, aorta; PA, pulmonary artery; LA, left atrial.

*P < 0.05 as compared to Control group.

**P < 0.05 as compared to TRPG 36–50 mmHg group.

*P < 0.05 as compared to TRPG 50–75 mmHg group.
and dogs in the TRPG 36–50 mmHg group (n = 0 [0%]). None of the dogs included in this study had left-sided CHF. Median FAC was significantly (P < .05) lower in dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group (37.7%, range 19.9–56.0%) compared to dogs in the TRPG 36–50 mmHg group (50.0%, range 23.3–65.0%), and significantly (P < .05) more dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group (n = 11 [65%]) had a FAC value below the refer-
ence interval as compared to dogs in the TRPG 36–50 mmHg group (n = 1 [6.0%]). Characteristic qualita-
tive changes associated with severe and chronic PH (notched PA flow signal, interventricular septal flattening, and RV enlargement) were documented in signifi-
cantly (all P < .05) more dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group compared to the other groups (Table 1).

In the control group, 8 of 22 dogs (36%) were echocardiographically normal and the remaining 14 dogs (64%) were diagnosed with MMVD but without an elevated TRPG. All dogs in this study that had LA enlargement were diagnosed with MMVD at the primary cause. In dogs with normal or reduced LA size, a cause for PH was not definitively diagnosed in the vast majority of dogs (unless stated). Conversely, in the remainder of the dogs that were diagnosed with LA enlargement, the cause of the PH was presumed to be at least partially secondary to left-sided cardiac disease (ie, postcapillary PH). In the TRPG 36–50 mmHg group, all dogs had MMVD and 2 dogs were receiving enalapril. In the TRPG 50–75 mmHg group, 9 dogs had MMVD. Two dogs were receiving theophylline, 1 dog was receiving enalapril, and 1 dog was receiving furosemide. In the TRPG >75 mmHg group, there were significantly less dogs with LA enlargement (0 of 17 [0%]) compared to the other groups. In this group, 3 had MMVD, 3 had absent patent ductus arteriosus (with 2 demonstrating right-to-left shunting via agitated saline contrast echocardiography) and 2 dogs had severe heartworm disease. Dogs in the TRPG >75 mmHg group were receiving a variety of medications at the time of echocardiography, including furosemide (7 dogs), enalapril (4 dogs), and pimobendan (2 dogs), and 1 dog each was receiving theophylline, prednisone, or atenolol.

Correlation Analyses & Prediction of TRPG

Results of the correlation and simple linear regression analyses are shown in Figure 2 and Table 2. The RPAD index exhibited the strongest correlation (r = −0.90; P < .0001) to TRPG, followed by MPA:Ao (r = 0.78; P < .0001), AT (r = −0.69; P < .0001), and AT:ET (r = −0.68; P < .0001). Predictive equations for TRPG derived from each of the 4 echocardiographic indices of PH are presented in Table 2.

Diagnostic Accuracy and Optimal Cutoffs for Predicting Pulmonary Hypertension

The ROC curves for each echocardiographic index for the prediction of TRPG >50 mmHg (suggestive of at least moderate PH) is presented in Figure 3.

Three cutoff values were determined from the ROC analyses for each indirect echocardiographic PH index for predicting TRPG >36 mmHg (Table 3) and TRPG >50 mmHg (Table 4); 1 for optimal test efficiency (defined by the highest Youden index), 1 for maximum sensitivity (least number of false negatives), and 1 for maximal specificity (least number of false positives).

Bland–Altman Analysis and Echocardiographic Measurement Variability

Bland–Altman analysis of long versus short axis RPAD index showed minimal bias (0.25 ± 1.3%) with narrow limits of agreement (95% limits of agreement, −2.3 to 2.8%). Coefficients of variation for intraobserver measurement variability was 9.5% for RPAD index, 7.7% for AT, 9.4% for AT:ET, and 6.2% for MPA:Ao. Coefficients of variation for interobserver measurement variability was 13.0% for RPAD index, 6.4% for AT, 6.7% for AT:ET, and 8.4% for MPA:Ao.

Discussion

Results obtained in this study confirmed our hypothesis that the RPAD index is a predictor of TRPG measured by Doppler echocardiography and is comparable in accuracy to other indices of PH for predicting TRPG >36 mmHg (suggestive of a least mild PH). Further, our results suggest the RPAD index might be more accurate than other studied indices for predicting TRPG >50 mmHg (suggestive of at least moderate PH), which is potentially more clinically relevant. We found that acquisition and measurement of the RPAD index in dogs with varying levels of TRPG (suggestive of varying levels of PH) was repeatable, with good agreement when measured from long or short axis. Our results support the use of the RPAD index when screening dogs for PH via echocardiography, particularly when TR is absent or difficult to measure.

Other investigators have recently evaluated the RPAD index in dogs affected with heartworm disease and PH. Despite differences in acquiring the RPAD index (M-mode imaging with the right PA in short axis compared to 2D imaging with the right PA in long axis performed in this study), the authors also found the index to be repeatable and documented a similar strong correlation (r = −0.88) to Doppler-derived estimates of systolic PA pressure. In contrast to a previous study, our study consisted of a more heterogeneous sample of dogs that were affected with a variety of diseases suspected to be contributing to precapillary, postcapillary, or mixed causes of PH. This is important to highlight because most clinicians are screening dogs for PH secondary to a variety of diseases, making this study more broadly applicable.

Although there are more advanced noninvasive methods of quantifying PA distensibility in humans, echocar-
diography is the most practical imaging modality for clinical use in dogs. Others have introduced quantifying PA distensibility echocardiographically in dogs using 2D imaging of the MPA and M-mode imaging of the
right PA in short and long axis. We felt quantifying PA distensibility using the relative diameter change in the right PA when viewed from a long axis plane using 2D echocardiography would optimize practicality and accuracy compared to other methods. We also felt measuring the diameter of the right PA from long axis plane would have less potential to falsely lengthen or foreshorten the right PA. We specifically chose quantifying right PA distensibility versus MPA distensibility because a study demonstrated that right PA distensibility (utilizing computed tomography angiography) yielded the best diagnostic value for PH in people. Further, the RPAD index described herein can be efficiently acquired using a digital caliper from a standard imaging plane routinely acquired by most veterinary echocardiographers that permits clear visualization of the internal borders of the right PA in most dogs. Ultimately, however, additional studies would be necessary to determine which method of quantifying PA distensibility is most accurate and reliable in dogs.

Table 2. Results of correlation and simple linear regression analyses for the prediction of TRPG from 4 echocardiographic indices of PH in 69 dogs where TRPG could be reliably quantified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>95% CI of r</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Regression Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RPAD index</td>
<td>-0.90</td>
<td>-0.94 to -0.85</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>TRPG = (-2.27 × RPAD index) + 121.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA:Ao</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.67-0.86</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>TRPG = (146.0 × MPA:Ao) - 101.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.80 to -0.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>TRPG = (-1.06 × AT) + 120.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT:ET</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.79 to -0.53</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>TRPG = (-246.5 × AT:ET) + 137.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$r$, correlation coefficient; CI, confidence interval; $R^2$, coefficient of determination; PH, pulmonary hypertension; See Table 1 for remainder of the key.

Fig 2. Scatter plots illustrating significant (all $P < .0001$) correlations ($r$) between peak tricuspid regurgitation systolic pressure gradient (TRPG) and the 4 indirect echocardiographic indices of pulmonary hypertension: right pulmonary artery distensibility (RPAD) index (upper left), acceleration time to peak pulmonary artery flow (AT; upper right), acceleration time to peak pulmonary artery flow to ejection time of pulmonary artery flow ratio (AT:ET; lower left), and main pulmonary artery to aorta internal diameter ratio (MPA:Ao; lower right). The solid line within each scatter plot represents the line of best fit.
Other investigators have evaluated the relationship between Doppler echocardiographic estimates of systolic PA pressure in dogs and AT, AT:ET, and MPA:Ao and very similar correlations were encountered despite slightly different methods of estimating systolic PA pressure in each study. We elected not to add estimates of right atrial pressure to the TRPG in this study, as consensus is lacking on how best to do so in dogs and adding right atrial pressure to TRPG only minimally improved accuracy of estimating invasive systolic PA pressure in a recent experimental study of acute PH in dogs. The RPAD index is an appealing indirect and complementary index of estimating PA pressures in dogs. It recently demonstrated strong correlation with invasive “gold standard” systolic PA pressures in a relatively large number of dogs and appears to be a repeatable and relatively easy/practical index to acquire via 2D imaging. Conversely, Doppler-derived echocardiographic indices of PH (AT, AT:ET, Tei index, and RV TDI velocities) can be hampered by technical and alignment limitations. Clinical experience indicates that meticulous placement of the Doppler sample volume is vital for accurate AT/AT:ET values and “clean” Doppler signals can be difficult to acquire in some dogs, particularly those with respiratory difficulty. This could explain why 2D-based indices in this study (RPAD index, MPA:Ao) were often more accurate in predictors of PH. Furthermore, predicting a TRPG
50 mmHg (suggestive of at least moderate PH) is an important and clinically relevant finding, as the presence of at least moderate PH often influences therapeutic decisions, provides important prognostic information, and suggests that PH could become refractory to therapy in dogs with MMVD.

This study has several limitations. It utilized Doppler echocardiography-derived estimates of PA pressure (TRPG without adding estimated right atrial pressure) as a surrogate measure of PA pressure. It is well known that TRPG is an imperfect estimate of invasive PA pressure and relevant differences might exist between echocardiographically estimated and invasively measured PA pressure. Therefore, clinicians should be mindful of this limitation when diagnosing and classifying dogs with PH, and utilizing the regression equations and cutoffs values presented herein. However, a strong correlation of the RPAD index with invasive PA pressures was recently reported in a relatively large number of dogs, thus strengthening the results of our study. There is unfortunately a lack of consensus throughout the veterinary literature on the cutoff of PA pressure that constitutes PH. We elected to use a relatively conservative cutoff of 36 mmHg (equates to a peak TR jet velocity of 3 m/s), excluding adding estimates of right atrial pressure. We acknowledge that this cutoff could have misclassified or falsely excluded some dogs with a diagnosis of PH as estimated echocardiographically or, less likely, falsely diagnosed some dogs with PH (eg, dogs with heightened adrenergic tone and increased cardiac output). An additional limitation was that, despite being masked to the TRPG while recording other echocardiographic indices (TRPG measured last in each dog), this study lacked true blinding to the degree of PH during the time the indices of PH were measured, thus potentially biasing our results. However, we contend that true blinding would be very difficult, as typical morphologic changes associated with PH can be observed during measurement of indirect echocardiographic indices of PH. Also, we acknowledge potential confounding effects of the various medications that relatively few dogs were taking at the time of the echocardiographic indices of PH for the prediction of peak tricuspid regurgitation systolic pressure gradient (TRPG) >36 mmHg in 69 dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Youden Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPA:Ao</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.81–0.97</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&gt;0.85</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.98</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1.10</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;34.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;27.7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAD index (%)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.80–0.96</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;36.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;29.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;21.8</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1.04</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT (ms)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.80–0.97</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&gt;0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5.39</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;40.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.30</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Tables 1 and 3 for key. Bolded values represent a clinically relevant cutoff, with the least amount of overlap between groups (optimal combination of sensitivity and specificity and highest Youden index).

Table 4. Sensitivity, specificity, and Youden index of different cutoff points of 4 echocardiographic indices of PH for prediction of peak tricuspid regurgitation systolic pressure gradient (TRPG) >50 mmHg in 69 dogs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Youden Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MPAD (%)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.93–1.00</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&lt;36.2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;29.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;21.8</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;13.3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT (ms)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.82–0.96</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
<td>&gt;0.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;53.9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;40.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.47</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.30</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See Tables 1 and 3 for key. Bolded values represent a clinically relevant cutoff, with the least amount of overlap between groups (optimal combination of sensitivity and specificity and highest Youden index).
diographic examination. It would be difficult to standardize medications given the heterogeneous study sample; yet, many of these medications possess hemodynamic effects relevant to this study. Lastly, our study sample consisted of dogs with clinical and echocardiographic signs suggestive of chronic PH. Caution is advised when using these indices to predict PH suspected to be secondary to acute events (eg, acute pulmonary thromboemboli). Human studies have demonstrated that PA distensibility is still abnormal, albeit affected differently by diseases causing acute (versus chronic) PH.28

We conclude by advocating for the use of the RPAD index when utilizing echocardiography to screen dogs for PH. This index will likely provide beneficial complementary information in dogs with PH as estimated echocardiographically, especially when TR is absent or (more commonly) inconsistent. Further study is warranted to determine if there is additional clinical value of this index.

Footnotes

1. Philips IE33, Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA
2. Syngo® Dynamic Workplace, Version 10.0.01_HF04_Rev5 (Build 2884), Siemens Medical Solutions, USA, Inc., Malvern, PA
3. Prism 6 for Mac OS X, Version 6.0f, GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA
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