UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Characterizing Long Transients in Consumer-Resource Systems With Group Defense and Discrete Reproductive Pulses

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6272n895

Journal

Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 84(9)

ISSN

0092-8240

Authors

Arroyo-Esquivel, Jorge Hastings, Alan Baskett, Marissa L

Publication Date

2022-09-01

DOI

10.1007/s11538-022-01059-7

Peer reviewed

eScholarship.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Check for updates

Characterizing Long Transients in Consumer–Resource Systems With Group Defense and Discrete Reproductive Pulses

Jorge Arroyo-Esquivel¹ · Alan Hastings² · Marissa L. Baskett²

Received: 31 January 2022 / Accepted: 25 July 2022 / Published online: 14 August 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

During recent years, the study of long transients has been expanded in ecological theory to account for shifts in long-term behavior of ecological systems. These long transients may lead to regime shifts between alternative states that resemble the dynamics of alternative stable states for a prolonged period of time. One dynamic that potentially leads to long transients is the group defense of a resource in a consumer-resource interaction. Furthermore, time lags in the population caused by discrete reproductive pulses have the potential to produce long transients, either independently or in conjunction to the transients caused by the group defense. In this work, we analyze the potential for long transients in a model for a consumer-resource system in which the resource exhibits group defense and reproduces in discrete reproductive pulses. This system exhibits crawl-by transients near the extinction and carrying capacity states of resource, and a transcritical bifurcation, under which a ghost limit cycle appears. We estimate the transient time of our system from these transients using perturbation theory. This work advances an understanding of how systems shift between alternate states and their duration of staying in a given regime and what ecological dynamics may lead to long transients.

Keywords Long transients · Consumer-resource

1 Introduction

One of the goals of mathematical modeling of ecological systems is to understand the fate or long-term dynamics of such system. The main method to study such fate has been through the analysis of the attractors in a model (Ives and Carpenter 2007). Recent

Jorge Arroyo-Esquivel jarroyoe@ucdavis.edu

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

² Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

years have seen an increase in the interest of understanding non-attractor dynamics (hereafter transients) of the models, especially those that resemble an attractor for a long period of time (hereafter long transients) (Hastings et al. 2018). Long transients have gained recognition as a theoretical tool to better describe population dynamics by allowing the study of dynamics that occur in a more biologically relevant timeframe (Morozov et al. 2020). In addition, an understanding of long transients can inform conservation and natural resource management goals. For example, identifying that a positively valued long-term behavior observed in nature is actually a long transient and what causes it can guide management to prolong it (Francis et al. 2021).

Long transients often appear in the presence of a "small" (close to zero) parameter in the model (Morozov et al. 2020). One of the main challenges of identifying long transients is identifying such a small parameter, which may be a function of the biologically reasonable parameters, and thus may not be easily interpretable. For example, in ghost attractors, this small parameter is the difference between a bifurcation parameter and its bifurcation value (Morozov et al. 2020). While varying the parameter past such bifurcation leads to the destruction of an attractor, small differences the transient dynamics will resemble the attractor. In crawl-by attractors, the small parameter is determined by the degree to which the trajectory of the system is parallel to the stable manifold of a saddle node equilibrium at a given time (Morozov et al. 2020). In this case the system will behave similarly to such a stable manifold for a prolonged period of time before the unstable part of the trajectory leads to a change in the system behavior.

One behavior that has been demonstrated to lead to long transients in consumerresource systems is group defense (Venturino and Petrovskii 2013). Group defense is a behavior where a resource population reduces the risk of individuals being predated by protecting each other. This behavior occurs in diverse animal taxa, which produce early-warning signals to detect predators, as is the case of colonial spiders (Uetz et al. 2002), birds, (Robinson 1985), and mammals (Ebensperger and Wallem 2002). This behavior also occurs in producers such as kelp, where high densities of kelp lead to an increase in predators of kelp grazers, which induces cryptic behavior on such grazers and thus reduces grazing intensity (Karatayev et al. 2021).

Group defense transients might also depend on lags in population growth caused by discrete reproductive pulses. In some taxa that exhibit group defense, adult stages of the population may reproduce in discrete, seasonal pulses, such as is the case of kelp (Karatayev et al. 2021) or bees (Kastberger et al. 2008). This can provide individuals to a population decades after stressful events which cause population declines, such as competitive exclusion of pioneer species in tropical rain forests (Dalling and Brown 2009), or extreme weather events in phytoplankton (Ellegaard and Ribeiro 2018).

In this paper we characterize the long transients in a consumer–resource with both group defense and reproductive pulses. We first construct the model that describes a consumer–resource interaction where the resource exhibits group defense and has discrete reproductive pulses. Then, to illustrate the long transients present in this model, we identify a small parameter that describes each of the transients (crawl-by and ghost attractor), and we use this parameter to calculate the time the system remains in this long transient (hereafter transient time). Finding approximations for these parameters and transient times provides biological insight into how these long transients may arise in natural systems with the modeled dynamics. We conclude this paper with a discussion of these results and their biological implications.

2 Model

In this section we construct a consumer–resource model with group defense and discrete reproductive pulses. We previously explored a spatial, non-smooth version of this model to understand spread of kelp being grazed by urchins (Arroyo-Esquivel et al. 2021). We consider the dynamics of adult consumer *P* and adult resource *N* densities through time. Adults of population i = P, *N* experience a natural mortality at a rate d_i . In addition, consider that consumers consume resource following a unimodal Type IV Holling functional response that represents group defense with a decline in consumption at high resource densities (Andrews 1968). We let γ_N be the attack rate of the consumer, and the maximum per-capita resource consumption occurs when $N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_N}}$.

Reproduction and recruitment of juvenile stages occur at discrete points in time. We model this recruitment as an impulsive differential equation. Let t = m be the periods at which the offspring recruit to the population. The number of consumer recruits is proportional to the amount of resource consumed at time t = m with proportionality constant γ_P . Resource produce a per-capita number R of recruits. We assume that $R > 1 - \exp(-d_N)$ in order to have a self-replenishing resource in the absence of consumers. For predation, a fraction of those offspring survive consumption with a probability following an exponential distribution with mean $\frac{1}{\gamma_S}$. Resource offspring also survive intracompetition from adults with carrying capacity proportional to $\frac{1}{R}$.

Then, given P_{m+1}^- as the density of consumers before the pulse and P_{m+1}^+ its density after the pulse (with analogous notation for resource, N_{m+1}^- and N_{m+1}^+), the dynamics of the adult consumer and resource populations satisfy the following system of impulsive differential equations:

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = -d_P P,
\frac{dN}{dt} = -\frac{\gamma_N P N}{1 + \sigma_N N^2} - d_N N,
P_{m+1}^+ = P_{m+1}^- + \gamma_P \frac{P_{m+1}^- N_{m+1}^-}{1 + \sigma_N N_{m+1}^{-2}},
N_{m+1}^+ = N_{m+1}^- + R \frac{\exp\left(-\gamma_S P_{m+1}^-\right)}{1 + \beta N_{m+1}^-} N_{m+1}^-.$$
(1)

We next transform Model 1 into a discrete-time model. We can rewrite the continuous part of the Model 1 as

$$\frac{1}{P}\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}t} = -d_P,$$

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}t} = -\frac{\gamma_N P}{1 + \sigma_N N^2} - d_N.$$
(2)

Following the derivation of Cui et al. (2016), we discretize System 2 as

. . .

$$P_{m+1} = P_m \exp(-d_P),$$

$$N_{m+1} = N_m \exp(-d_N) \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_N \exp(-d_P) P_m}{1 + \sigma_N N_m^2}\right).$$
(3)

By taking $\delta_P = \exp(-d_P)$, $\delta_N = \exp(-d_N)$ and using $P_{m+1}^- = P_m$ and $N_{m+1}^- = N_m$ as described in System 1, we arrive the following discrete-time model:

$$P_{m+1} = \delta_P P_m + \gamma_P \frac{P_m N_m}{1 + \sigma_N N_m^2},$$

$$N_{m+1} = \delta_N N_m \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_N \delta_P P_m}{1 + \sigma_N N_m^2}\right)$$

$$+ R \frac{\exp\left(-\gamma_S P_m\right)}{1 + \beta N_m} N_m.$$
(4)

To simplify our analysis, we will study a nondimensional version of the model. For each *m*, let $p_m = \gamma_S P_m$, $n_m = \beta N_m$. Then, if $\gamma_p = \gamma_P / \beta$, $\gamma_n = \gamma_N \delta_P / \gamma_S$, $\sigma = \sigma_N / \beta^2$, our nondimensional version of the model is

$$p_{m+1} = \delta_p p_m + \gamma_p \frac{p_m n_m}{1 + \sigma n_m^2},$$

$$n_{m+1} = \delta_n n_m \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p_m}{1 + \sigma n_m^2}\right) + R n_m \frac{\exp\left(-p_m\right)}{1 + n_m}.$$
(5)

Note that we have also changed the indices of δ_i and k_i in order to preserve clarity.

3 Analysis and Results

In this section we characterize the dynamics of Model 5 and its potential for long transient dynamics. We identify two different classes of long transients, a crawl-by transient around the extinction of resource and another around the carrying capacity of the resource, and a ghost consumer–resource cycle. To illustrate the transients identified and test the accuracy of our analytical approximations, we also characterize all transients numerically by iterating the logarithm of Model 5 in Julia, where the used, fixed parameters and initial conditions are specified as relevant to each analysis below. Based on preliminary numerical simulations in double-precision floating-point numbers, we find that iterating the logarithm of Model 5 instead of the original model prevents numerical instabilities potentially occurring at long-transients near zero by reducing the range of the derivative near zero. The source code for these simulations can be found in https://github.com/jarroyoe/characterizing-transients.

We analytically derive approximations for the transient time of the crawl-by transients using perturbation theory, while we numerically analyze the ghost attractor transient time by regressing the transient time using a power law, which we describe further in Section 3.b.

Before we characterize these long transients, we first analyze the equilibria of the model. This model has up to four biologically relevant fixed points: a resource-only carrying capacity equilibrium $(0, n^*)$, an unstable extinction equilibrium (0, 0), and two possible unstable coexistence saddle equilibria $(p^{\vee\wedge}, n^{\vee\wedge})$. We assume that the carrying capacity of resource is greater than the density at which consumption growth is its highest, i.e., $n^* > 1/\sqrt{\sigma}$, such that group defense is relevant to resource populations below carrying capacity. Under this condition, the equilibria $(0, n^*)$ and (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) go through a transcritical bifurcation at

$$\gamma_p^* = (1 - \delta_p) \frac{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}{n^*}.$$
(6)

In this case, the equilibria $(0, n^*)$ is stable for $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$ and unstable for $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, and the equilibrium (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) is unstable for $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$ and is not in the first quadrant (i.e., \mathbb{R}^2_+) for $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$. See Appendix A for the expressions of these equilibria and their stability. This analysis allows us to better understand the nature of the transients we have identified.

3.1 Crawl-by Transients

Although the coextinction equilibrium is a saddle in the *n*-direction (which implies that *n* will stay above 0), System 5 can resemble a system where the resource is extinct for a long period of time when consumer density is high (Fig. 1). This is an example of a long crawl-by transient. We determine how prevalent this behavior is in the following theorem, proven in Appendix B.

Theorem 1 Let $\varepsilon \ll 1$. If p_0 is of order ε^{-1} and n_0 of order 1, then System 5 goes through a crawl-by transient at the extinction of resource n = 0. Recovery of resource will begin after a time of approximately

$$M = O\left(\frac{\log(\varepsilon)}{\log(\delta_p)}\right).$$
(7)

In Fig. 2 we show that Eq. 7 is a reasonably close estimator of the observed point of recovery, especially for large values of the initial consumer density p_0 , where the consumer density is an order of magnitude higher than the initial resource density n_0 . We will show in the following theorem that the long-term dynamics shown in Fig. 1 did not depend on the initial conditions of the model.

Theorem 2 System 5 has a compact, connected global attractor in the first quadrant $M = \{(p, n) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p \ge 0, n \ge 0\}.$

See Appendix C for the proof of this theorem. Theorem 2 implies that, when $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$, System 5 will go towards carrying capacity of resource and extinction



Fig. 1 Time series in logarithmic scale of **a** consumers p_m and **b** resource n_m following System 5 for 200 time steps (*m*). In this figure, $p_0 = 10$, $n_0 = 1$, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\gamma_p = 1$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2.

of consumers. On the other hand, when $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, there are no stable fixed points in the first quadrant. Thus, Theorem 2 implies the existence of a nonlinear attractor, which we can describe based on numerical observations, as shown in Fig. 3.

When $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, the resource population is able to reach a maximum density of carrying capacity and stay there for a prolonged period of time (Fig. 3). However, after the consumer reaches a high enough density, the resource population collapses and passes through a transient extinction phase. This cycle repeats itself through time, but at each repetition, the amplitude of consumer density varies. We hypothetize that this variation in amplitude is caused by the system having a long periodicity. In addition, increasing γ_p increases the period between each oscillation. This is consistent with the implication from Theorem 1 that a higher consumer density causes the resource to stay around the extinction equilibrium for a longer period of time.



Fig. 2 Observed resource recovery time (circles) and estimated recovery time using Eq. 29 (solid line) in System 5 as a function of initial consumer density p_0 . In this figure, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\gamma_p = 1$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2.



Fig. 3 Time series of consumers p_m (**a** and **c**) and resource n_m **b** and **d** following System 5 for 5000 time steps (*m*). In this figure, $p_0 = 10$, $n_0 = 1$, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2, and the consumer conversion intensity $\gamma_p = 3$ (**a** and **b**) and $\gamma_p = 8$ (**c** and **d**).

Figure 3 also shows that the system can stay around the resource-only equilibrium for a prolonged time. We approximate this time in the following theorem, proven in Appendix D.

Theorem 3 Let $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, where γ_p^* is defined by Eq 6 and let



Fig. 4 Observed escape time of consumer extinction (circles) and estimated recovery time using Equ 45 (solid line) in System 5 as a function of initial consumer density p_0 . In this figure, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\gamma_p = 1$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2.

$$\lambda_1 = \delta_p + \gamma_p \frac{n^*}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}.\tag{8}$$

Then, if $(p_0, n_0) = (\varepsilon, n^* - \varepsilon)$ for $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, System 5 goes a crawl-by transient at the resource-only equilibrium $n = n^*$. resource will start decaying after a time of approximately

$$M = O\left(\frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\log(\lambda_1)}\right).$$
(9)

In Fig. 4 we show that this expression is a reasonably close approximation of the time it takes for the consumer to escape extinction across a wide range of orders of magnitude for the initial consumer density.

3.2 Ghost Attractors

Theorem 2 ensures that, when $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$, System 5 will converge to the stable equilibrium (0, n^*). However, when $\gamma_p^* - \gamma_p \ll 1$, this convergence can take a significantly longer time, as can be seen in Fig. 5. Before the system reaches the equilibrium, the dynamics resemble pseudo-oscillations similar to those observed in Fig. 3 when $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$.

Given limitations of available analytical tools for exact derivation of limit cycles in discrete-time models, we approximate the time spent in the ghost attractor τ by considering a power law for the time spent in a limit cycle (Medeiros et al. 2017):

$$\tau(\gamma_p) = A(\gamma_p^* - \gamma_p)^{-B}.$$
(10)

Whenever $n_M > n^{\wedge}$ and $p_M < p^{\wedge}$, System 5 shows that $n_{k+1} > n_k$ and $p_{k+1} < p_k$ for all k > M. Therefore, we identify the time the system escapes the ghost attractor



Fig. 5 Time series of **a** consumers p_m and **b** resource n_m following System 5 for 10000 time steps. In this figure we consider $p_0 = 10$, $n_0 = 1$, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\gamma_p = 0.9912\gamma_p^*$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2. Although we know that the system will converge to the equilibrium point, this convergence takes over 5000 time steps.

as $\tau = \min\{M : n_M > n^{\wedge}, p_M < p^{\wedge}\}$. Figure 6 shows that this approximation using the power law provides a reasonable approximation.

4 Discussion

In this work we have identified two types of long transients, crawl-by transients and ghost attractors, that can appear in a consumer–resource system with group defense with discrete reproductive pulses. Our long-term dynamics are qualitatively different from those found in Cui et al. (2016), where they identified a variety of bifurcations and chaotic dynamics. The key differences between the two models are that, while that of Cui et al. (2016) models reproduction as a continuous process and integrates the density-dependent growth for a case with overcompensation in discrete time, our model considers reproduction as a discrete event and has a saturating density-dependent function. The model in Cui et al. (2016) is a discrete-time model similar to the Ricker



Fig. 6 Approximation of transient time (τ) following Eq. 10, where $\varepsilon = \gamma_p^* - \gamma_p$. In this figure, $p_0 = 10, n_0 = 1, \delta_p = 0.9, \sigma = 2.67, \delta_n = 0.8, \gamma_n = 1, R = 2$.

model, where increased reproduction rates lead to unstable dynamics (Ricker 1954). When modeling reproduction as a discrete process with saturating (Beverton–Holt style) density dependence rather than overcompensation, our analysis did not suggest that increased reproduction numbers leads to instabilities in our model.

In addition, discrete reproduction events are one of the main reasons we see the long transients analyzed in this model. The crawl-by transient observed at high consumer densities (Theorem 1) is caused by a sudden crash in the adults of the resource population, which is followed by a slow crash of the consumer population due to its inability to find enough resource for self-replacement. Although the adult resource population is almost nonexistent, the few remaining individuals eventually lead to an increase the resource population when the consumer population becomes small enough.

The other reason long transients appear in this model is due to the self-replacement of consumers depending on the ability of resource to defend themselves. The Type IV Holling functional response produces a bifurcation on the proportionality constant γ_p at the value γ_p^* given by Eq. 6. This constant can be associated with the conversion capability of consumers, i.e., the amount of energy invested in reproduction activities. When the conversion capability of consumers is too small ($\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$), group defense of resource will prevent self-replacement of consumers at high resource densities, which will lead to collapse of consumers. When this conversion capability is high enough, self-replacement can be satisfied, and the consumer–resource cycles of Fig. 3 will occur. These cycles and their condition for existence resemble those found in other models where a mechanism of group defense of resource is considered (Ajraldi et al. 2011; Venturino 2011; Venturino and Petrovskii 2013).

In the case where the system presents consumer–resource cycles, the resourcedominated phase will include a crawl-by transient when the conversion capability is close to this bifurcation value (Theorem 3). This will follow by a crash of the resource population, where the consumer-dominated phase appears and presents the crawl-by transient previously described. This behavior presents an alternative perspective to the concept of alternate stable states (Beisner et al. 2003), where the different "alternative stable states" constitute long transients, which may resemble stable states during a long period of time, which then transition into the other phase and stay in a different long transient. In reality, stochasticity may render this juvenile survival when rare impossible or accelerate consumer death, which may lead the model to a stable state in a shorter period of time (Reimer et al. 2021).

When the conversion capability of consumers is smaller than the critical value γ_p^* but close to it, these quasiperiodic orbits do not disappear completely and stay as ghost attractors. This ghost attractor stays until the resource density surpasses a given threshold (the equilibrium value n^{\wedge}) and the system enters the basin of attraction of the resource-only equilibrium. The emergence of this ghost attractor is caused by group defense, because in its absence ($\sigma = 0$), the unstable equilibria that cause the quasiperiodic orbits ($p^{\vee\wedge}, n^{\vee\wedge}$) do not exist. In their absence, resource population density will consistently increase and the consumer density decrease.

The estimation of the transient time of the ghost attractor shows one of the limitations of our analysis, as the theory to study limit cycles in discrete-time systems is not developed enough to precisely analyze the transient time of this ghost attractor. Given the seasonality of the reproduction and recruitment for many organisms (Arreguin-Sanchez 1992; Cameron 1986; Russell et al. 1977; Wallace 1985), a continuous-time model may not properly reflect the biological dynamics we are interested in. Despite this challenge, the expression for the transient time found for transient limit cycles in continuous-time systems in Medeiros et al. (2017) is a reasonably accurate fit in our model. The transient times of the ghost attractor found in our work are similar to those found in the predator–prey model with group defense of Venturino and Petrovskii (2013). However, our biological mechanisms differ, as their transients could be attributed to search time of prey from the predators through space, a feature not explicitly modeled in our work. In contrast, the length of the ghost attractor in our model can be attributed to the length of the crawl-by transients that are part of the cycle itself, which are periods of low population growth for either the consumer or the resource.

In conclusion, we show how long transients can appear in predator-prey systems with group defense and discrete recruitment pulses. A possible extension of this model is to explicitly consider the dynamics of the juvenile stages through a continuous-time model, which could give a more accurate approximation of the transient times found in this paper. A multi-stage model would also allow exploration of the effect of relative adult versus juvenile vulnerability to consumption on the transient dynamics.

Acknowledgements This research is supported under California Sea Grant #R/HCE-15: "A multi-pronged approach to kelp recovery along California's north coast". We thank an anonymous reviewer for their insightful feedback on an earlier version of this manuscript. Arroyo-Esquivel thanks the University of Costa Rica for their support through the development of this paper.

Data availability This research does not include any associated data.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted

by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Appendix: A Fixed points of System 5 and their stability

The fixed points of System 5 (p, n) satisfy the equations

$$p = \delta_p p + \gamma_p \frac{pn}{1 + \sigma n^2}$$

$$n = \delta_n n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1+\sigma n^2}\right) + Rn \frac{\exp(-p)}{1+n}$$

If p = 0, then the second equation gives us two solutions for n, n = 0 and

$$n^* = \frac{R}{1 - \delta_n} - 1.$$
(11)

If $p \neq 0$, then the first equation has two solutions for *n* given by

$$n^{\vee \wedge} = \frac{\gamma_p}{2(1-\delta_p)\sigma} \left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\sigma(1-\delta_p)^2}{\gamma_p^2}}\right)$$
(12)

where n^{\vee} corresponds to the solution with a - sign and n^{\wedge} to the solution with a + sign. These solutions are positive whenever $\gamma_p \ge 2\sqrt{\sigma}(1-\delta_p)$. In such case, plugging $n^{\vee\wedge}$ into the second equation provides us with the following expression:

$$\delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1+\sigma n^{\pm 2}}\right) + \frac{R}{1+n^{\vee \wedge}} \exp(-p) = 1.$$

Then there is an unique value $p^{\vee \wedge}$ that solves the trascendental equation

$$p^{\vee \wedge} = \log\left(\frac{R}{\left(1 + n^{\vee \wedge}\right)\left(1 - \delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p^{\vee \wedge}}{1 + \sigma n^{\pm 2}}\right)\right)}\right).$$
 (13)

This equation in *p* has an unique solution as the function

$$f(p) = p - \log\left(\frac{R}{(1 + n^{\vee \wedge})\left(1 - \delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1 + \sigma n^{\pm 2}}\right)\right)}\right)$$
(14)

is monotonic for p and satisfies $\lim_{p\to\infty} f(p) < 0$ and $\lim_{p\to\infty} f(p) > 0$. For it to be biologically relevant, we also require $\lim_{p\to0} f(p) < 0$, which will occur when

$$R > (1 - \delta_n)(1 + n^{\vee \wedge}) \tag{15}$$

or, after reorganizing the terms, $n^{\vee \wedge} < n^*$.

The Jacobian of the system J is the following:

$$J(p,n) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p + \gamma_p \frac{n}{1+\sigma n^2} & \gamma_p p \frac{1-\sigma n^2}{(1+\sigma n^2)^2} \\ -\frac{\delta_n \gamma_n n}{1+\sigma n^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1+\sigma n^2}\right) - \frac{Rn \exp(-p)}{1+n} & \delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1+\sigma n^2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\sigma \gamma_n p n^2}{(1+\sigma n^2)^2}\right) + \frac{R \exp(-p)}{(1+n)^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(16)

From here, the extinction equilibrium satisfies

$$J(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_p & 0\\ 0 & \delta_n + R \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

which has eigenvalues $\delta_p < 1$ and $\delta_n + R > 1$. Therefore the extinction equilibrium is a saddle. For the resource-only equilibrium, the upper right term of the Jacobian matrix equals 0 whenever p = 0. Therefore $J(0, n^*)$ is a triangular matrix, with the eigenvalues being the diagonal terms

$$\lambda_1 = \delta_p + \gamma_p \frac{n^*}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}},\tag{18}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \delta_n + \frac{(1 - \delta_n)^2}{R}.$$
(19)

Because $R > 1 - \delta_n$, $0 < \lambda_2 < 1$. λ_1 , on the other hand, λ_1 will produce a change in stability when

$$\gamma_p = \gamma_p^* = (1 - \delta_p) \frac{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}{n^*}.$$
 (20)

In this case, the equilibrium is stable whenever $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$ and a saddle when $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$. Because $n^* > 1/\sqrt{2\sigma}$, plugging $\gamma_p = \gamma_p^*$ in Eq. 12, we have that $n^* = n^{\wedge}$. Based on the conditions for (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) to be biologically reasonable, this implies that at $\gamma_p = \gamma_p^*$, the system goes through a transcritical bifurcation, where the carrying capacity $(0, n^*)$ changes stability.

The trascendental equation that describes $p^{\vee\wedge}$ renders it impossible to analyze them directly. However, a numerical exploration in Fig. A.6 shows that these equilibria are unstable for $\gamma_p < \gamma_p^*$ and (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) becomes stable for $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$. Combining this result with the condition for the equilibrium point (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) to be biologically relevant (Eq. 15), we find that when $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, there are no stable fixed points in the first quadrant (i.e., \mathbb{R}^2_+).

This transcritical bifurcation occurs with almost any combination of parameters in our region of interest. To show this, we perform a similar analysis as those in Khan et al. (2016); Murakami (2007). When $\gamma_p = \gamma_p^*$, we can rewrite our system in diagonal form and centered around the origin by making the change of variables:



Fig. 7 Numerical values of different equilibria for *n* and their stability as we vary γ_p in our region of interest. The red points correspond to unstable equilibria, whereas the blue points correspond to stable equilibria. In this figure, $\delta_p = 0.9$, $\sigma = 2.67$, $\delta_n = 0.8$, $\gamma_n = 1$, R = 2.

$$x_m = \frac{\lambda_2 - 1}{n^* \left(1 - \delta_n + \frac{\delta_n \gamma_n}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}\right)} p_m \tag{21}$$

$$y_m = p_m + n_m - n^* \tag{22}$$

provided that $\left(1 - \delta_n + \frac{\delta_n \gamma_n}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}\right) \neq 0$. Otherwise, we let $x_m = p_m$, $y_m = n_m - n^*$. In both cases, this lets use write our System 5 as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{m+1} \\ y_{m+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} + \text{h.o.t.}$$
(23)

We can expand this system to include the parameter as a dynamical factor with eigenvalue 1 as $\mu_m \equiv \gamma_p - \gamma_p^*$. The central limit theorem gives us that $y_m = h(x_m, \mu_m)$ for some function $h = O((x_m + \mu_m)^2)$. Because x_m is a multiple of p_m , its dynamics follow the same trend and can be approximated up to $O((x_m + \mu_m)^3)$ as:

$$x_{m+1} = f(x_m, \mu_m) = x_m + \frac{\gamma_p^* (1 - \sigma n^{*2})}{(1 + \sigma n^{*2})^2} x_m^2 + \frac{\sigma n^{*2} (n^* - \gamma_p^*)}{(1 + n^{*2})^2} x \mu_m + O((x_m + \mu_m)^3)$$
(24)

Equation A.14 satisfies that $f_x(0, 0) = 1$, $f_\mu(0, 0) = 0$, $f_{xx}(0, 0) \neq 0$ Because we assume that $n^* > 1/\sqrt{\sigma}$, and $f_{x\mu}(0, 0) \neq 0$ except when $n^* = \gamma_p^*$. Plugging this value Eq. 6, we get that the condition $n^* = \gamma_p^*$ holds only when $n^* = \sqrt{\frac{1-\delta_p}{\sigma\delta_p}}$. Therefore, whenever $n^* \neq \sqrt{\frac{1-\delta_p}{\sigma \delta_p}}$, the system goes through a transcritical bifurcation between $(0, n^*)$ and (p^{\wedge}, n^{\wedge}) as γ_p passes through γ_p^* .

Appendix: B Proof of Theorem 1

If $p_0 = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, plugging $O(\varepsilon^{-1})$ into the formula for n_1 gives us that $n_1 = o(\varepsilon)$. Plugging $o(\varepsilon)$ into the formula for p_2 gives us that:

$$p_2 = \delta_p p_1 + o(\varepsilon). \tag{25}$$

In addition, if $n_m = o(\varepsilon)$, the equation for n_{m+1} satisfies:

$$\frac{n_{m+1}}{n_m} = O\left(\delta_n \exp\left(-\gamma_n p_m\right) + R \exp(-p_m)\right).$$
(26)

While $p_m = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, this expression will satisfy $n_{m+1}/n_m < 1$. We can thus assume that the expression

$$p_{m+1} = \delta_p \, p_m + o(\varepsilon) \tag{27}$$

is satisfied until $p_{m+1} = O(1)$. Therefore, when $p_m = O(\varepsilon^{-1})$, the consumer population time evolution can be approximately solved as

$$p_m = \frac{\delta_p^m}{\varepsilon} + o(\varepsilon). \tag{28}$$

This expression stops working when $p_m = O(1)$, and thus resource will start a recovery afterwards. We can estimate the order of magnitude of such *m* by plugging $p_m = 1$ above. Solving for *m* gives us that

$$m = \frac{\log(\varepsilon)}{\log(\delta_p)} \tag{29}$$

which is the expression that proves the theorem.

Appendix: C Proof of Theorem 2

To show the existence of this theorem, we use Theorem 2.9 of Magal and Zhao (2005). To do this, we consider the first quadrant *M* as a metric subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 with metric $d(x, y) = ||x - y||_2$ induced by the Euclidean norm. Let $T : M \to M$ be given by

$$T\begin{pmatrix}p\\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}p\left(\delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p n}{1+\sigma n^2}\right)\\n\left(\delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1+\sigma n^2}\right) + \frac{R\exp(-p)}{1+n}\right)\end{pmatrix}.$$
(30)

We show that T is a point dissipative, compact map on M. Because M is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 , compactedness is trivial. A map is point dissipative if there is a bounded set

 $B_0 \subset M$ such that B_0 attracts each point in M. To show T is point dissipative, we find such bounded set B_0 .

Let

$$f_p(n) = \delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p n}{1 + \sigma n^2},$$

$$f_n(p, n) = \delta_n \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_n p}{1 + \sigma n^2}\right) + \frac{R \exp(-p)}{1 + n}.$$
(31)

Note that $f_n < 1$ whenever $n > n^*$, where n^* is given by Equation 11. This implies that *n* is attracted by the set $[0, n^*]$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $n \in [0, n^*]$. Suppose that $p > p^*$, where p^* is

$$p^* = \max\left(\frac{1+\sigma n^{*2}}{\gamma_n}, 1\right) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$
(32)

A similar argument to that of the proof for Theorem 1 shows that in this case, the consumer population will satisfy p = O(1) in time $\ln(\varepsilon)/\ln(\delta_p)$. In particular, $p < p^*$ after a period of time. In addition, if $p < p^*$ but $Tp > p^*$, f_p satisfies:

$$f_p(n) \le \delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2\sqrt{\sigma}} \tag{33}$$

for any *n*. This implies that $Tp \leq \left(\delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)p^*$. Let τ be the period of time such that $T^{\tau}\left(\delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)p^* < p^*$. Therefore *p* is attracted by the set $[0, (\delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2\sqrt{\sigma}})^{\tau}p^*]$. Then, the bounded rectangle

$$B_0 := \left[0, \left(\delta_p + \frac{\gamma_p}{2\sqrt{\sigma}}\right)^{\tau} p^*\right] \times [0, n^*]$$
(34)

is an attracting set in M. Therefore, T is a point dissipative map.

Therefore, Theorem 2.9 of Magal and Zhao (2005) implies that there is a compact global attractor in M. Finally, because M is locally connected, Theorem 4.5 of Gobbino and Sardella (1997) implies that the global attractor is connected, which completes the proof.

Appendix: D Proof of Theorem 3

Let $x_m = p_m$, $y_m = n^* - n_m$. Because $||(x_m, y_m)|| = O(\varepsilon)$, System 5 can be approximated by the linearized system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{m+1} \\ y_{m+1} \end{pmatrix} \sim J(0, n^*) \begin{pmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \end{pmatrix}, \tag{35}$$

where the Jacobian $J(0, n^*)$ is described by Eq. 16. The calculations of Appendix A show that the Jacobian $J(0, n^*)$ is a lower triangular matrix, with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = \delta_p + \gamma \frac{n^*}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}.$$
(36)

$$\lambda_2 = \delta_n + \frac{(1 - \delta_n)^2}{R} \tag{37}$$

and eigenvectors

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(38)

where

$$u = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{n^* \left(1 - \delta_n + \frac{\delta_n \gamma_n}{1 + \sigma n^{*2}}\right)}.$$
(39)

This system has for solution the expression

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} = a\lambda_1^m v_1 + b\lambda_2^m v_2, \tag{40}$$

where a, b are constants. If we let m = 0, then we can solve the linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

which has solutions

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{u} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (42)

In particular, this gives us that $a = \frac{\varepsilon}{u}$. Because $\gamma_p > \gamma_p^*$, then $\lambda_1 > 1$, and $\lambda_2 < 1$. Therefore, for big *m*, System 40 can be approximated as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_m \\ y_m \end{pmatrix} \sim a\lambda_1^m v_1 = \frac{\varepsilon \lambda_1^m}{u} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (43)

Thus, System 5 will stay near the resource-only equilibrium as long as $||(x_m, y_m)|| = O(\varepsilon)$. In particular, the System will escape the saddle point when $x_m = O(1)$. Plugging in $x_m = 1$ into the approximated solution lets us find *M* that solves the equation

$$1 = \varepsilon \lambda_1^M. \tag{44}$$

This has for solution

$$M = \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)}{\log(\lambda_1)} \tag{45}$$

which is the expression that proves the theorem.

References

- Ajraldi V, Pittavino M, Venturino E (2011) Modeling herd behavior in population systems. Nonlinear Anal Real World Appl 12(4):2319–2338
- Andrews JF (1968) A mathematical model for the continuous culture of microorganisms utilizing inhibitory substrates. Biotechnol Bioeng 10(6):707–723. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.260100602
- Arreguin-Sanchez F (1992) Growth and seasonal recruitment of Octopus maya on Campeche Bank, Mexico. Naga ICLARM Quart 15(2):31–34
- Arroyo-Esquivel, J., Baskett, M. L., McPherson, M., and Hastings, A. (2021). How far to build it before they come? Analyzing the impact of the Field of Dreams hypothesis in bull kelp restoration. Under review
- Beisner BE, Haydon DT, Cuddington K (2003) Alternative stable states in ecology. Front Ecol Environ 1(7):376–382. https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2003)001[0376
- Cameron RA (1986) Reproduction, larval occurrence and recruitment in Caribbean sea urchins. Bull Marine Sci 39(2):332–346
- Cui Q, Zhang Q, Qiu Z, Hu Z (2016) Complex dynamics of a discrete-time predator-prey system with Holling IV functional response. Chaos Solitons Fract 87:158–171
- Dalling J, Brown T (2009) Long-term persistence of pioneer species in tropical rain forest soil seed banks. Am Nat 173(4):531–535
- Ebensperger LA, Wallem PK (2002) Grouping increases the ability of the social rodent, Octodon degus, to detect predators when using exposed microhabitats. Oikos 98(3):491–497. https://doi.org/10.1034/j. 1600-0706.2002.980313.x
- Ellegaard M, Ribeiro S (2018) The long-term persistence of phytoplankton resting stages in aquatic'seed banks': persistence of phytoplankton resting stages. Biol Rev 93(1):166–183
- Francis TB, Abbott KC, Cuddington K, Gellner G, Hastings A, Lai Y-C, Morozov A, Petrovskii S, Zeeman ML (2021) Management implications of long transients in ecological systems. Nat Ecol Evol 5(3):285– 294
- Gobbino M, Sardella M (1997) On the connectedness of attractors for dynamical systems. J Differ Equ 133(1):1–14
- Hastings A, Abbott KC, Cuddington K, Francis T, Gellner G, Lai Y-C, Morozov A, Petrovskii S, Scranton K, Zeeman ML (2018) Transient phenomena in ecology. Science 361(6406):eaat6412
- Ives AR, Carpenter SR (2007) Stability and Diversity of Ecosystems. Science 317(5834):58-62
- Karatayev VA, Baskett ML, Kushner DJ, Shears NT, Caselle JE, Boettiger C (2021) Grazer behavior can regulate large-scale patterns of community states. Ecol Lett 24:1917–1929
- Kastberger G, Schmelzer E, Kranner I (2008) Social waves in giant honeybees repel hornets. PLOS ONE 3(9):e3141
- Khan AQ, Ma J, Xiao D (2016) Bifurcations of a two-dimensional discrete time plant-herbivore system. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul 39:185–198
- Magal P, Zhao X-Q (2005) Global attractors and steady states for uniformly persistent dynamical systems. SIAM J Math Anal 37(1):251–275
- Medeiros ES, Caldas IL, Baptista MS, Feudel U (2017) Trapping phenomenon attenuates the consequences of tipping points for limit cycles. Sci Rep 7(1):42351
- Morozov A, Abbott K, Cuddington K, Francis T, Gellner G, Hastings A, Lai Y-C, Petrovskii S, Scranton K, Zeeman ML (2020) Long transients in ecology: theory and applications. Phys Life Rev 32:1–40
- Murakami K (2007) Stability and bifurcation in a discrete-time predator-prey model. J Differ Equ Appl 13(10):911–925. https://doi.org/10.1080/10236190701365888
- Reimer JR, Arroyo-Esquivel J, Jiang J, Scharf HR, Wolkovich EM, Zhu K, Boettiger C (2021) Noise can create or erase long transient dynamics. Theor Ecol 14:685–695
- Ricker WE (1954) Stock and recruitment. J Fish Res Board Canada 11(5):559-623
- Robinson SK (1985) Coloniality in the yellow-rumped cacique as a defense against nest predators. Auk 102(3):506–519
- Russell BC, Anderson GRV, Talbot FH (1977) Seasonality and recruitment of coral reef fishes. Marine Freshwater Res 28(4):521–528
- Uetz GW, Boyle J, Hieber CS, Wilcox RS (2002) Antipredator benefits of group living in colonial webbuilding spiders: the 'early warning' effect. Animal Behav 63(3):445–452
- Venturino E (2011) A minimal model for ecoepidemics with group defense. J Biol Syst 19(04):763-785

Venturino E, Petrovskii S (2013) Spatiotemporal behavior of a prey-predator system with a group defense for prey. Ecol Complex 14:37–47

Wallace CC (1985) Seasonal peaks and annual fluctuations in recruitment of juvenile scleractinian corals. Marine Ecol Prog Series 21(3):289–298

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.