UC Riverside

UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Physical activity and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs in pregnancy: a longitudinal study in a multiracial/multiethnic cohort in the United States.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6276250n

Journal American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 116(6)

Authors Liu, Xinyue Chen, Liwei Fei, Zhe <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-12-19

DOI

10.1093/ajcn/nqac250

Peer reviewed



Physical activity and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs in pregnancy: a longitudinal study in a multiracial/multiethnic cohort in the United States

Xinyue Liu,¹ Liwei Chen,¹ Zhe Fei,² Sifang K Zhao,³ Yeyi Zhu,⁴ Tong Xia,¹ Jin Dai,¹ Mohammad L Rahman,⁵ Jing Wu,³ Natalie L Weir,⁶ Michael Y Tsai,⁶ and Cuilin Zhang^{3,7,8}

¹Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ²Department of Biostatistics, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA; ³Epidemiology Branch, Division of Population Health Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁴Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Oakland, CA, USA; ⁵Division of Cancer Epidemiology & Genetics, National Cancer Institute, NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA; ⁶Department of Laboratory Medicine & Pathology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA; ⁷Global Center for Asian Women's Health, Bia-Echo Asia Centre for Reproductive Longevity & Equality (ACRLE), Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore; and ⁸Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore

ABSTRACT

Background: Circulating individual SFAs in pregnant females are critical for maternal and fetal health. However, research on identifying their modifiable factors is limited.

Objectives: We aimed to examine the associations of total physical activity (PA) and types of PA with circulating individual SFAs during pregnancy in a multiracial/multiethnic cohort of pregnant females in the United States.

Methods: The study included participants in a nested case–control study (n = 321) from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort. Sampling weights were applied, so the results represented the entire Fetal Growth Cohort. Plasma phospholipid SFAs were measured at 4 visits [10–14 (visit 1), 15–26 (visit 2), 23–31 (visit 3), and 33–39 (visit 4) weeks of gestation] throughout pregnancy. PA of the previous year at visit 1 and since the previous visit at the subsequent visits was assessed using the validated Pregnancy PA Questionnaire. Time-specific and longitudinal associations were examined using multivariable linear and generalized estimating equation models.

Results: Total PA (metabolic equivalent of task-h/wk) was positively associated with circulating heptadecanoic acid (17:0) at visit 1 ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.07; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.11) and pentadecanoic acid (15:0) at visit 3 ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.09; 95% CI: 0.03, 0.14) independent of sociodemographic, reproductive, pregnancy, and dietary factors. Across the 4 visits, the positive associations with total PA were consistent for pentadecanoic acid ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.06; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.10) and heptadecanoic acid ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.10; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.10) and heptadecanoic acid ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.10; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.14). Out of the 4 PA types (i.e., sports/exercise, household/caregiving, transportation, and occupational PA) considered, the magnitude of positive associations was the largest for sports/exercise PA.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that maternal PA is positively associated with circulating pentadecanoic and heptadecanoic acids.

The findings warrant confirmation by future studies. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT00912132. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2022;116:1729–1737.

Keywords: saturated fatty acids, SFAs, physical activity, PA, pregnant females, maternal exercise, pregnancy health, prospective cohort

Introduction

Circulating SFAs have been recognized to play essential roles in human health for >20 y (1–4). Emerging evidence suggests that individual SFAs may have distinct functions and health effects in the general population (5–10) and pregnant females (11–14). For example, maternal circulating even-chain SFAs [e.g., myristic acid (14:0) and palmitic acid (16:0)] have been associated with increased risks of pregnancy-induced hypertension (11), dyslipidemia, and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (12). In contrast, odd-chain [e.g., pentadecanoic acid (15:0) and heptadecanoic acid (17:0)] or very-long-chain SFAs [e.g., arachidic acid (20:0), behenic acid (22:0), and lignoceric acid (24:0)] have been associated with decreased risks and a favorable cardiometabolic biomarker risk profile (12).

The new findings for distinct health effects of circulating individual SFAs on health indicate an urgent need for research to identify the modifiable determinants of circulating individual SFAs instead of total SFAs. This is particularly critical for pregnant females because the fetus cannot synthesize fatty acids efficiently (15). In addition, the importance of circulating individual SFAs may vary by gestational age (16, 17). However, research on modifiable determinants of circulating individual SFAs for pregnant females is sparse.

Am J Clin Nutr 2022;116:1729–1737. Printed in USA. © The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society for Nutrition. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com 1729

Physical activity (PA), either acute or regular, plays an essential role in lipid metabolism and is well known for its influence on circulating concentrations of HDL, LDL, TG, and total FFAs in both nonpregnant (18-21) and pregnant females (22-24). For individual SFAs, PA has been associated with circulating or skeletal muscle palmitic acid and stearic acid (18:0) in a small observational study (25) and an interventional study (26) in males. In pregnant females, a few studies, including 1 of our works, have reported the associations of PA with total FFAs and individual unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic acid (18:2n-6) and γ -linolenic acid (18:3n-6) (24, 27-29). However, the associations between PA and circulating individual SFAs in pregnant females have not yet been investigated. Because pregnancy is a period with dynamic changes in lipid metabolism, including accumulation of maternal fat depots and hyperlipidemia (30), the objective of the current study was to examine the time-specific and longitudinal associations of total and types of PA (i.e., sports/exercise, household/caregiving, transportation, and occupational PA) with the total and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs during pregnancy.

Methods

Study population and design

The study participants were selected from the *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort (NCT00912132), a multicenter, multiracial/multiethnic, prospective cohort for low-risk, singleton pregnant females (31). Between 2009 and 2013, 2802 racially/ethnically diverse pregnant females aged 18–40 y without hypertension, diabetes, renal/autoimmune disease, psychiatric disorder, cancer, or HIV/AIDS were enrolled during early pregnancy from 12 clinical sites across the United States.

The current study utilized data from 321 pregnant females who had individual plasma phospholipid SFAs quantified in a nested GDM case–control study (GDM cases: n = 104, controls:

Supplemental Figure 1 and Supplemental Tables 1–5 are available from the "Supplementary data" link in the online posting of the article and from the same link in the online table of contents at https://academic.oup.com/ajcn/. Address correspondence to CZ (e-mail: obgzc@nus.edu.sg). n = 214). This study was approved by the institutional review boards of all participating sites, with all participants submitting a written informed consent.

PA

PA was scheduled to be assessed at 8–13, 16–22, 24–29, and 34–37 weeks of gestation, but the actual time windows were 10–14 (visit 1), 15–26 (visit 2), 23–31 (visit 3), and 33–39 (visit 4) weeks of gestation. PA was assessed using the validated Pregnancy PA Questionnaire (PPAQ) (32), which was also validated in females with obesity and GDM (33, 34). PA of the previous year was assessed at visit 1, and PA since the previous visit was assessed at the subsequent visits. Time spent in each activity (h/wk) was multiplied by the associated intensity [in metabolic equivalent of tasks (METs)] to derive the weekly energy expenditure. Activities of light intensity and above (METs \geq 1.5) were summed to calculate total PA (MET-h/wk) (32, 35). PA by types (i.e., sports/exercise, household/caregiving, transportation, and occupational PA) was also calculated using an established method (32).

Plasma phospholipid SFAs

Blood samples were collected at each visit without withinparticipant overlaps. Biomarkers were measured among all GDM cases (n = 107) at all visits, all controls (n = 214) at visits 1 and 2, and only half of the controls (n = 107) at visits 3 and 4 to gain cost efficiency. To account for this study design, sampling weights were derived for pregnant females at visits 1 and 2 and visits 3 and 4 separately. For the nonfasting samples, the mean \pm SD hours since the last meal were 3.7 \pm 3.9 h at visit 1, 3.2 ± 4.0 h at visit 3, and 2.9 ± 3.7 h at visit 4. For the fasting sample at visit 2, the mean \pm SD hours since the last meal were 11.8 ± 3.5 h. Plasma phospholipid SFAs were measured via a Hewlett Packard 5890 GC system with flame ionization detection and a previously published extraction method (36). The plasma phospholipid fraction includes mainly phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine, and a small amount of sphingomyelin and lysolecithin (or lysophosphatidylcholine). Individual plasma phospholipid SFAs were measured as proportions of plasma total phospholipid fatty acids. With relative levels >0.05%, individual plasma phospholipid SFAs consist of even-chain myristic acid, palmitic acid, stearic acid, arachidic acid, behenic acid, and lignoceric acid, as well as odd-chain pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid. During pregnancy, palmitic acid and behenic acid had a positive trajectory, whereas pentadecanoic acid, heptadecanoic acid, stearic acid, and lignoceric acid had a negative trajectory (37). Myristic acid and arachidic acid stayed stable (37).

Covariates

Data on sociodemographic, anthropometric, reproductive, and lifestyle factors, pregnancy characteristics, and pregnancy complications were obtained from structured questionnaires or extracted from medical records. Covariates were preselected potential confounders, including age (y), race/ethnicity (i.e., Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, non-Hispanic white), education (i.e., high school or less, Associate, Bachelor's or higher), married/living with a partner (i.e., yes,

Supported by *Eunice Kennedy Shriver* NICHD intramural funding including American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funding via contract numbers HHSN275200800013C, HHSN275200800002I, HHSN2752008000012C, HHSN275200800003IC, HHSN275200800014C, HHSN275200800012C, HHSN275200800028C, HHSN275201000009C, and HHSN275201000001Z (to CZ); NICHD grant R01HD082311 (to LC); NIDDK grant K01DK120807 (to YZ); and the Pilot Project Research Training Program of the Southern California National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Education and Research Center (SCERC), via CDC grant T42 OH008412 (to XL). The contents of this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of the CDC.

Author disclosures: The authors report no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations used: AHEI, Alternative Healthy Eating Index; ASA24, Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour dietary recall; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; GEE, generalized estimating equation; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; PA, physical activity; PPAQ, Pregnancy Physical Activity Questionnaire.

Received March 16, 2022. Accepted for publication September 7, 2022.

First published online November 14, 2022; doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqac250.

TABLE 1 Weighted characteristics of pregnant females in the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies-Singleton Cohort¹

Sociodemographic, anthropometric, reproductive, and lifestyle factors at visit 1 28.2 ± 0.3 Age, y 28.2 ± 0.3 Race/ethnicity 78 (18.5%) Mispanic 123 (27.2%) Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Norn-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Norn-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Norn-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m ²) 25.7 ± 0.3 Normal (~25.0) 90 (31.1%) Overweight (25.0~29.9) 90 (33.1%) Desc (~30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Associate 90 (43.1%) Backelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 123 (39.8%) Medicaid, other 184 (45.5%) Normed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) <th>Weighted characteristics</th> <th>n = 321</th>	Weighted characteristics	n = 321
Age, y 28.2 ± 0.3 Race/ethnicity 78 (18.5%) Asian/Pacific Islander 78 (18.5%) Hispanic 123 (27.2%) Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Non-Hispanic white 75 (31.0%) Prepregnancy BMI, Kg/m ² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI, Kg/m ² 156 (51.7%) Overweight (25.0-29.9) 99 (33.1%) Obes (>30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 182 (68.5%) Education 148 (45.5%) Associate 30 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 211 (64.6%) Medicaid, other 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 211 (64.6%) Multiparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Orsumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 41.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 21.2 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery </td <td>Sociodemographic, anthropometric, reproductive, and lifestyle factors at visit 1</td> <td></td>	Sociodemographic, anthropometric, reproductive, and lifestyle factors at visit 1	
Racelethnicity 78 (18.5%) Asian/Pacific Islander 78 (18.5%) Hispanic 123 (27.2%) Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Non-Hispanic black 75 (31.0%) Non-Hispanic black 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m²) 156 (51.7%) Normal (<25.0)	Age, y	28.2 ± 0.3
Asian/Pacific Islander 78 (18.5%) Hispanic 123 (27.2%) Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Non-Hispanic black 75 (31.0%) Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m ²) 156 (51.7%) Overweight (25.0-29.9) 99 (33.1%) Obesc (-30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Martied/living with a partner 259 (72.9%) Nolliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 198 (63.7%) Dietary intakes ² (an = 191) 1 Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 7.00 AH:1 ± 0.7 2 Pregnancy dharacteristics and pregnancy complications 1 Gestational weight gain category at delivery 100 (28.6%) Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 100 (28.6%) Excessive 25 (40.3%)	Race/ethnicity	
Hispanic 123 (27.2%) Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Non-Hispanic white 75 (31.0%) Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m ²) 156 (51.7%) Overweight (25.0-29.9) 99 (33.1%) Obes (>30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 182 (68.5%) Education 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Medicaid, other 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 108 (35.4%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Snoked 6 mo prepregnancy 259 (72.9%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Snoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 198 (63.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 120 (21.5%) Total energy, kcal/d 21.3 ± 17.8 Gestational weight gain category at delivery 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 12.2 ± (1.3%) Adequate 66 (31.1%) 40 (28.6%) <td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td> <td>78 (18.5%)</td>	Asian/Pacific Islander	78 (18.5%)
Non-Hispanic black 45 (23.3%) Non-Hispanic white 75 (31.0%) Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m ²) 156 (51.7%) Normal (<25.0)	Hispanic	123 (27.2%)
Non-Hispanic white 75 (31.0%) Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m²) 25.7 ± 0.3 Normal (<25.0)	Non-Hispanic black	45 (23.3%)
Prepregnancy BMI kg/m² 25.7 ± 0.3 Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m²) 156 (51.7%) Normal (<25.0)	Non-Hispanic white	75 (31.0%)
Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m²) 156 (51.7%) Normal (<25.0)	Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ²	25.7 ± 0.3
Normal (<25.0)	Prepregnancy BMI status (kg/m ²)	
Overweight (25.0–29.9) 99 (33.1%) Obese (~30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 118 (45.6%) Mariced/living with a partner 211 (64.6%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 50 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 198 (63.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 117 (26.0 ± 70.0	Normal (<25.0)	156 (51.7%)
Obese (>30.0) 66 (15.2%) Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 108 (35.4%) Nulliparity 108 (35.4%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 259 (72.9%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 198 (63.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 144 (51.1%) Total energy, kcal/d 217.6.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 11 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 96 (31.1%) Adequate 96 (31.1%) Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Gestational hypertension 7 (1.3%) Pre-cclampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Overweight (25.0–29.9)	99 (33.1%)
Born in the United States 182 (68.5%) Education 148 (45.5%) High school or less 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Medicaid, other 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 211 (64.6%) Married/living with a partner 259 (72.9%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 198 (63.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 2176.0 ± 70.0 Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 213 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 96 (31.1%) Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Gestational hypertension 7 (1.3%) Pre-celampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational kibetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Obese (>30.0)	66 (15.2%)
Education 148 (45.5%) High school or less 148 (45.5%) Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 211 (64.6%) Married/living with a partner 259 (72.9%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 11 Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 96 (31.1%) Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Gestational hypertension 7 (1.3%) Pre-calampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Born in the United States	182 (68.5%)
High school or less148 (45.5%)Associate50 (14.7%)Bachelor's or higher123 (39.8%)Insurance108 (35.4%)Private or managed care211 (64.6%)Married/living with a partner259 (72.9%)Nulliparity144 (51.1%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes ² (n = 191)1Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 ± 70.0AHEI44.1 ± 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications1Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg12.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Adequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-cclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Education	
Associate 50 (14.7%) Bachelor's or higher 123 (39.8%) Insurance 108 (35.4%) Medicaid, other 108 (35.4%) Private or managed care 211 (64.6%) Married/living with a partner 259 (72.9%) Nulliparity 144 (51.1%) Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy 5 (0.7%) Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 198 (63.7%) Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery 96 (31.1%) Adequate 96 (31.1%) Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Pre-cclampsia 7 (1.3%) Pre-cclampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	High school or less	148 (45.5%)
Bachelor's or higher123 (39.8%)Insurance108 (35.4%)Medicaid, other108 (35.4%)Private or managed care211 (64.6%)Married/living with a partner259 (72.9%)Nulliparity144 (51.1%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Dietary intakes ² ($n = 191$)198 (63.7%)Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 ± 70.0AHEI44.1 ± 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications12.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery100 (28.6%)Inadequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Associate	50 (14.7%)
Insurance108 (35.4%)Medicaid, other108 (35.4%)Private or managed care211 (64.6%)Married/living with a partner259 (72.9%)Mulliparity144 (51.1%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes ² ($n = 191$)70al energy, kcal/dTotal energy, kcal/d2176.0 \pm 70.0AHEI44.1 \pm 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications12.3 \pm 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Adequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Bachelor's or higher	123 (39.8%)
Medicaid, other108 (35.4%)Private or managed care211 (64.6%)Married/living with a partner259 (72.9%)Nulliparity144 (51.1%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes² (n = 191)198 (63.7%)Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 \pm 70.0AHEI44.1 \pm 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications12.3 \pm 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Adequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Insurance	
Private or managed care $211 (64.6\%)$ Married/living with a partner $259 (72.9\%)$ Nulliparity $144 (51.1\%)$ Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy $5 (0.7\%)$ Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy $198 (63.7\%)$ Dietary intakes ² (n = 191) 2176.0 ± 70.0 Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 41 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery $96 (31.1\%)$ Inadequate $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $100 (28.6\%)$ Excessive $125 (40.3\%)$ Gestational hypertension $7 (1.3\%)$ Pre-celampsia $11 (2.2\%)$ Gestational diabetes mellitus $107 (3.9\%)$	Medicaid, other	108 (35.4%)
Married/living with a partner $259 (72.9\%)$ Nulliparity144 (51.1\%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes ² (n = 191)198 (63.7%)Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 ± 70.0AHEI41.1 ± 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications12.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Inadequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Private or managed care	211 (64.6%)
Nulliparity144 (51.1%)Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy5 (0.7%)Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes² $(n = 191)$ 2176.0 ± 70.0Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 ± 70.0AHEI44.1 ± 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications212.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Adequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-celampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Married/living with a partner	259 (72.9%)
Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy $5 (0.7\%)$ Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy $198 (63.7\%)$ Dietary intakes² ($n = 191$) 2176.0 ± 70.0 Total energy, kcal/d 2176.0 ± 70.0 AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $96 (31.1\%)$ Excessive $125 (40.3\%)$ Gestational hypertension $7 (1.3\%)$ Pre-celampsia $11 (2.2\%)$ Gestational diabetes mellitus $107 (3.9\%)$	Nulliparity	144 (51.1%)
Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy198 (63.7%)Dietary intakes² $(n = 191)$ 2176.0 ± 70.0Total energy, kcal/d2176.0 ± 70.0AHEI44.1 ± 0.7Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications212.3 ± 17.8Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg12.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Adequate96 (31.1%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-celampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Smoked 6 mo prepregnancy	5 (0.7%)
Dietary intakes² $(n = 191)$ 2176.0 ± 70.0 Total energy, kcal/d 44.1 ± 0.7 AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $96 (31.1\%)$ Excessive $125 (40.3\%)$ Gestational hypertension $7 (1.3\%)$ Pre-celampsia $11 (2.2\%)$ Gestational diabetes mellitus $107 (3.9\%)$	Consumed alcoholic beverages 3 mo prepregnancy	198 (63.7%)
Total energy, kcal/d AHEI 2176.0 ± 70.0 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 44.1 ± 0.7 Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $100 (28.6\%)$ Excessive $125 (40.3\%)$ Gestational hypertension $7 (1.3\%)$ Pre-celampsia $11 (2.2\%)$ Gestational diabetes mellitus $107 (3.9\%)$	Dietary intakes ² ($n = 191$)	
AHEI 44.1 ± 0.7 Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications 12.3 ± 17.8 Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery $96 (31.1\%)$ Inadequate $96 (31.1\%)$ Adequate $100 (28.6\%)$ Excessive $125 (40.3\%)$ Gestational hypertension $7 (1.3\%)$ Pre-celampsia $11 (2.2\%)$ Gestational diabetes mellitus $107 (3.9\%)$	Total energy, kcal/d	2176.0 ± 70.0
Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications12.3 ± 17.8Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg12.3 ± 17.8Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Inadequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	AHEI	44.1 ± 0.7
Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg 12.3 ± 17.8 Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Inadequate96 (31.1%)Adequate100 (28.6%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Pregnancy characteristics and pregnancy complications	
Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery96 (31.1%)Inadequate100 (28.6%)Adequate125 (40.3%)Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Gestational weight gain at delivery, kg	12.3 ± 17.8
Inadequate 96 (31.1%) Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Gestational hypertension 7 (1.3%) Pre-eclampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Institute of Medicine gestational weight gain category at delivery	
Adequate 100 (28.6%) Excessive 125 (40.3%) Gestational hypertension 7 (1.3%) Pre-eclampsia 11 (2.2%) Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Inadequate	96 (31.1%)
Excessive125 (40.3%)Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Adequate	100 (28.6%)
Gestational hypertension7 (1.3%)Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Excessive	125 (40.3%)
Pre-eclampsia11 (2.2%)Gestational diabetes mellitus107 (3.9%)	Gestational hypertension	7 (1.3%)
Gestational diabetes mellitus 107 (3.9%)	Pre-eclampsia	11 (2.2%)
	Gestational diabetes mellitus	107 (3.9%)

¹Values are *n* (weighted percentage) for categoric variables and weighted mean \pm weighted SE for continuous variables. Sampling weights were applied to represent the entire NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort. AHEI, Alternative Healthy Eating Index.

²Dietary intakes were available among 198 females who completed the FFQs at visit 1; 7 females with implausible total energy intake (i.e., <600 or >6000 kcal/d) were excluded.

no), nulliparity (i.e., yes, no), prepregnancy BMI (in kg/m²), and the Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI). Race/ethnicity groups were self-identified at visit 1. The prepregnancy BMI was calculated using height measured and self-reported prepregnancy weight at visit 1. Habitual dietary intakes for the last 3 mo were measured via a validated FFQ at visit 1 and via the validated Automated Self-Administered 24-Hour dietary recall (ASA24) at the subsequent visits (38–40). The AHEI excluding alcohol was derived based on a validated method (41). Higher AHEI was associated with lower risks of major chronic diseases such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancers (41). The AHEI was used as an indicator for overall dietary quality and as a potential confounder in the analyses.

Statistical analyses

Because females with GDM were overrepresented in the nested case-control study, sampling weights were derived and

applied to all analyses to ensure the study results represented the entire NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort (42). In the weighted sample, 4% of pregnant females had GDM, whereas in the unweighted sample, 33% of them had GDM. At each visit, multiple comparisons were addressed using the conservative Bonferroni correction method to avoid falsepositive findings. Overall statistical significance was defined as *P* value < 0.05. After the Bonferroni correction, statistical significance was defined as *P* value < 0.006 [0.05/9 (total SFA and 8 individual SFAs)]. All regression models were adjusted for the preselected potential confounders, including age (y), race/ethnicity, education, marital status, nulliparity, prepregnancy BMI, and the AHEI. All analyses were conducted using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute).

Unweighted *n* (weighted percentage) for categoric variables and weighted mean \pm SE for continuous variables were described for sociodemographic, anthropometric, reproductive,

 TABLE 2
 PA and plasma phospholipid SFAs at visit 1 of pregnant females in the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies—Singleton Cohort¹

	n = 321
Total PA, MET-h/wk	325.5 ± 170.9
Household/caregiving PA	125.1 ± 80.7
Occupational PA	117.6 ± 111.0
Transportation PA	33.5 ± 31.7
Sports/exercise PA	14.1 ± 14.3
Other PA	35.4 ± 22.5
Total SFAs, % of plasma total phospholipid	$42.7\% \pm 1.9\%$
fatty acids	
Odd-chain SFAs	
Pentadecanoic acid (15:0)	$0.2\% \pm 0.1\%$
Heptadecanoic acid (17:0)	$0.4\% \pm 0.1\%$
Even-chain SFAs	
Myristic acid (14:0)	$0.3\% \pm 0.1\%$
Palmitic acid (16:0)	$27.2\% \pm 1.6\%$
Stearic acid (18:0)	$12.8\% \pm 1.2\%$
Arachidic acid (20:0)	$0.3\% \pm 0.1\%$
Behenic acid (22:0)	$0.8\% \pm 0.3\%$
Lignoceric acid (24:0)	$0.6\% \pm 0.2\%$

¹Values are weighted means \pm SEs. Sampling weights were applied to represent the entire NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort. Visit 1 measured PA of the previous year. MET, metabolic equivalent of task; PA, physical activity.

and lifestyle factors at visit 1. Pregnancy characteristics and complications, including gestational weight gain, gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, and GDM, were described. Unweighted n (%) for categoric variables and unweighted mean \pm SD for continuous variables were described and compared between females with and without GDM. PA and plasma phospholipid SFA profiles at visit 1 were also described.

For the primary analyses, time-specific associations of total PA with total and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs were examined separately at each visit using weighted multivariable linear models with robust variance estimation to illustrate the associations at different time points during pregnancy (i.e., PA of the previous year with SFAs at visit 1, PA since the previous visit with SFAs at the subsequent visits). When the direction of associations at different visits was the same, weighted generalized estimating equation (GEE) models with unstructured correlation structures and robust variance estimation were performed to improve statistical power. Associations of types of PA (i.e., sports/exercise, household/caregiving, transportation, and occupational PA) with total and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs were also examined.

Several sensitivity analyses were performed to examine the robustness of the study results. First, gestational weight gains were in addition adjusted in the models. Second, hours since the last meal for blood collections were in addition adjusted in the models. Third, carbohydrate and SFA intakes were in addition adjusted in the models. Fourth, total fatty acid intakes were in addition adjusted in the models. Fifth, missing values for the AHEI (30%) were imputed using the multiple imputation method (means at each visit were used in the primary analyses). In addition, associations between maternal complications and PA were examined. Finally, models were performed among females without GDM at visit 3 and visit 4.

Results

Participants' characteristics

The study participants (Supplemental Figure 1) had a mean \pm SE age of 28.2 \pm 0.3 y at visit 1 and were racially/ethnically diverse: 18.5% Asian or Pacific Islander, 27.2% Hispanic, 23.3% non-Hispanic black, and 31.0% non-Hispanic white. Among all participants, 48.3% were overweight or obese (prepregnancy BMI ≥ 25.0) (Table 1). For females with GDM, the mean \pm SD age was 30.5 \pm 5.7 y at visit 1, and 66.4% were overweight or obese prepregnancy. Compared with females without GDM, females with GDM were more likely to be overweight or obese prepregnancy, have smoked in the 6 mo prepregnancy, have lower AHEI scores, and have pre-eclampsia, but they were less likely to have Bachelor's or higher degrees. Among females with GDM, 61.2% received lifestyle consulting, 11.2% received insulin, 16.8% received oral medications (i.e., Metformin or Glyburide) as treatment, and 26.2% received unknown treatment (Supplemental Table 1).

The mean \pm SE total PA was 325.5 \pm 170.9 MET-h/wk at visit 1. By PA types, the mean \pm SE household/caregiving PA was 125.1 \pm 80.7, occupational PA was 117.6 \pm 111.0, transportation PA was 33.5 \pm 31.7, and sports/exercise PA was 14.1 \pm 14.3 MET-h/wk. Total PA and types of PA decreased during pregnancy. Plasma phospholipid SFAs accounted for (mean \pm SE) 42.7% \pm 1.9% of the plasma total phospholipid fatty acids at visit 1. Among individual plasma phospholipid SFAs, even-chain palmitic acid was the most abundant, followed by even-chain stearic acid. The proportions of individual plasma phospholipids did not change much during pregnancy (**Table 2**).

Time-specific and longitudinal associations of PA with plasma phospholipid SFAs

Positive associations between total PA and plasma total phospholipid SFAs were found at visit 3 ($\beta \times 10^3$: 3.07; 95% CI: 1.53, 4.61; P < 0.001) and visit 4 ($\beta \times 10^3$: 2.29; 95% CI: 0.74, 3.84; P = 0.004), after adjusting for age, race/ethnicity, education, married/living with a partner, nulliparity, prepregnancy BMI, and the AHEI, and correction of multiple testing. When individual plasma phospholipid SFAs were examined, total PA was only positively associated with heptadecanoic acid at visit 1 ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.07; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.11; P = 0.005) and pentadecanoic acid at visit 3 ($\beta \times$ 10^3 : 0.09; 95% CI: 0.03, 0.14; P = 0.002) after adjusting for the preselected covariates and correction of multiple testing (Table 3). In the sensitivity analyses, most findings stayed robust (Supplemental Tables 2–5). The longitudinal associations of total PA with pentadecanoic acid ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.06; 95% CI: 0.02, 0.10; P < 0.001) and heptadecanoic acid ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.10; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.14; P < 0.001) estimated using GEE models were consistent with the time-specific positive associations after adjusting for the preselected covariates and correction of multiple testing.

When further examining PA by types (i.e., sports/exercise, transportation, caregiving/household, and occupational PA) using GEE models, the positive association of PA and pentadecanoic acid was observed only for household/caregiving PA ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.04, 0.22; P = 0.005). The positive association of PA and heptadecanoic acid was observed for sports/exercise

	Visit	1 (10–14 GW) ($n = 1$	321)	Visit 2	(15-26 GW) (n =)	321)	Visit	3 (23-31 GW) (n =	214)	Visit 4	4 (31 - 39 GW) (n =	214)
	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value
Total SFAs Odd-chain SFAs	0.34	(-0.97, 1.64)	0.61	0.92	(-0.43, 2.26)	0.18	3.07	(1.53, 4.61)	<0.001*	2.29	(0.74, 3.84)	0.004*
Pentade- canoic acid (15.0)	0.03	(-0.02, 0.07)	0.22	0.002	(-0.04, 0.04)	0.91	0.09	(0.03, 0.14)	0.002*	0.06	(0.01, 0.11)	0.03
Heptade- canoic acid (17:0)	0.07	(0.02, 0.11)	0.005*	0.05	(0.004, 0.10)	0.03	0.02	(-0.04, 0.08)	0.47	0.07	(0.02, 0.12)	0.01
Even-cuam SFAS Myristic acid (14·0)	-0.04	(-0.09, 0.02)	0.19	-0.03	(-0.08, 0.02)	0.30	0.01	(-0.06, 0.08)	0.76	0.03	(-0.04, 0.10)	0.38
Palmitic acid (16:0)	- 0.38	(-1.51, 0.76)	0.52	0.63	(-0.58, 1.84)	0.31	1.85	(0.46, 3.25)	0.01	0.94	(-0.39, 2.28)	0.16
Stearic acid (18:0)	0.64	(-0.13, 1.40)	0.10	0.18	(-0.47, 0.83)	0.59	0.93	(0.04, 1.82)	0.04	0.78	(-0.09, 1.64)	0.08
Arachidic acid (20:0)	-0.01	(-0.08, 0.05)	0.69	0.02	(-0.01, 0.05)	0.14	0.03	(-0.06, 0.11)	0.50	0.03	(-0.06, 0.13)	0.49
Behenic acid (22:0)	0.05	(-0.15, 0.26)	0.61	0.09	(0.02, 0.16)	0.01	0.12	(-0.18, 0.42)	0.42	0.36	(0.04, 0.67)	0.03
Lignoceric acid (24:0)	- 0.02	(-0.15, 0.11)	0.76	- 0.03	(-0.13, 0.06)	0.48	0.02	(-0.15, 0.19)	0.84	0.02	(-0.14, 0.18)	0.83
¹ Visit 1 meas Multivariate model	ured PA in the s with robust	previous year, and the variance estimation v	he subsequent were adjusted	visits measure for age (y), rac	d PA since the previ- e/ethnicity, educatio	ous visit. Plas: m, marital stat	ma phospholip us, nulliparity,	id SFAs were measu prepregnancy BMI	tred as percent (kg/m ²), and ti	age of plasma he AHEI. Miss	total phospholipid f sing values for the A	atty acids. HEI were

imputed by means at each visit. Sampling weights were applied to represent the entire NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort. AHEI, Alternative Healthy Eating Index; GW, gestational week; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; PA, physical activity. *P value is significant after Bonferroni correction at the overall α level of 0.05 at each visit (i.e., P value < 0.006).

PA ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.65; 95% CI: 0.19, 1.11; P = 0.005) and occupational PA ($\beta \times 10^3$: 0.13; 95% CI: 0.06, 0.20; P < 0.001). No associations were found for transportation PA after adjusting for the preselected covariates and correction of multiple testing (**Table 4**).

Discussion

In this prospective and longitudinal study with PA and plasma phospholipid SFAs measured at 4 visits across pregnancy, we observed positive associations of total PA (MET-h/wk) with the plasma phospholipids pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid, independent of sociodemographic, reproductive, pregnancy, and dietary factors. The positive associations of total PA with the plasma phospholipids pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid were likely related to sports/exercise PA. The findings are consistent with our hypothesis that PA, particularly sports/exercise PA, is a modifiable determinant of circulating individual SFAs. Given that odd-chain pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid have been linked with a lower risk of GDM and beneficial effects on insulin and lipid homeostasis (12), SFAs could play a role in explaining the potential beneficial effects of PA on cardiometabolic health in pregnant females.

The overall positive associations between total and types of PA and individual plasma phospholipid SFAs during pregnancy are biologically possible. PA has been well recognized for regulating lipid metabolism in both nonpregnant and pregnant females (24, 43-47). In human experiments using stable isotope tracer, plasma phospholipid fatty acid concentrations usually decrease at the beginning of exercise owing to enhanced clearance by skeletal muscle (48). Then, circulating fatty acids gradually increase owing to accelerated lipolysis (49). No previous studies have examined the associations of PA with circulating individual SFAs during pregnancy. In a randomized controlled trial of an aerobic exercise intervention (i.e., a maximum of 5 sessions of 40min aerobic exercise at 20-35 weeks of gestation) among 84 pregnant females in New Zealand, circulating total FFA at 35 weeks of gestation was lower in the intervention group than in the control group (28). However, this study did not measure the circulating individual fatty acids as relative proportions, and thus the results cannot be directly compared with the current study. The associations of PA with individual circulating fatty acids as relative proportions are likely different from the associations with total FFAs (50–53).

Habitual PA may stimulate the lipolysis of particular fatty acids at different time windows during pregnancy, which may be driven by specific requirements of the fetus. It is well documented that the fetus requires more fatty acids for its development during mid-to-late pregnancy (54–58). However, the exact biological mechanisms for the positive associations of total PA and types of PA with the plasma phospholipids pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid may be complicated. Some studies have suggested that circulating pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid are associated with lower risks of diabetes (59–62) and cardiovascular diseases (6, 63–65) in the general population. Among pregnant females, circulating pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid were inversely

		Sports/exercise PA		Hou	sehold/caregiving l	A		Transportation PA			Occupational PA	
	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value	$\beta \times 10^3$	95% CI	P value
Pentadecanoic	0.54	(-0.07, 1.16)	0.08	0.13	(0.04, 0.22)	0.005*	0.12	(-0.07, 0.30)	0.21	0.06	(0.004, 0.11)	0.03
feptadecanoic	0.65	(0.19, 1.11)	0.005*	0.14	(0.004, 0.28)	0.04	0.24	(-0.18, 0.67)	0.26	0.13	(0.06, 0.20)	0.0004*

TABLE 4 Longitudinal associations of types of PA (MET-h/wk) with plasma phospholipid SFAs in the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies—Singleton Cohort¹

prepregnancy BMI (kg/m²), and the AHEI. Missing values for the AHEI were imputed by means at each visit. Sampling weights were applied to represent the entire NICHD Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton latty acids. Generalized estimating equation models with unstructured correlation structures and robust variance estimation were adjusted for age (y), race/ethnicity, education, marital status, nulliparity, Cohort. AHEI, Alternative Healthy Eating Index; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; PA, physical activity.

* *P* value is significant after Bonferroni correction at the overall α level of 0.05 at each visit (i.e., *P* value < 0.006)

associated with GDM risk in the Fetal Growth Studies–Singleton Cohort (12). In addition, pentadecanoic acid was positively correlated with circulating HDL, whereas heptadecanoic acid was negatively correlated with circulating HOMA-IR, glucose, insulin, C-peptide, leptin, and triglycerides, and positively correlated with adiponectin and HDL (12). Thus, circulating pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid may have beneficial impacts on insulin and lipid homeostasis. It is unclear whether the observed positive associations of PA with circulating pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid could play a role in explaining the potential benefits of PA on cardiometabolic health.

The magnitude of the positive associations with plasma phospholipid SFAs was the most considerable for sports/exercise PA, although it only accounted for a small proportion of total PA. Compared with other PA types, sports/exercise PA had beneficial effects on lipid metabolism, probably due to its intensity and duration (45, 66–68). When designing potential interventions for PA, the influence of PA types may need to be considered.

The current study has several unique strengths. First, this prospective study was based on longitudinal data of 8 individual plasma phospholipid SFAs at 4 visits throughout pregnancy. It allowed the analysis of temporal associations between PA and plasma phospholipid individual SFAs at various time windows during pregnancy. Second, this study included geographically and racially/ethnically diverse pregnant females in the United States, increasing the generalizability of the findings. Finally, potential confounders, including detailed dietary intakes at multiple time points, were collected and adjusted for in the analyses.

A few potential limitations of this study are worth pointing out. First, this study was observational by design. Although we have carefully controlled for potential confounders, residual confounding cannot be completely ruled out. Second, PA was self-reported using the PPAQ. Regardless, the PPAQ had robust reproducibility and modest validity against accelerometer data among pregnant females (32), aligning with other standard PA questionnaires (69, 70). In addition, fasting blood samples were only available at visit 2. However, previous studies, including work from us, have shown that plasma phospholipid fatty acid concentrations are not sensitive to fasting status (27, 71). In the current study, additional adjustment for hours since the last meal at each visit did not change the main findings, as shown in the sensitivity analysis. Lastly, the semiquantitative dietary intake FFQ was used at visit 1, whereas the quantitative ASA24 was used at the subsequent visits to derive the AHEI. Nevertheless, the FFQ and ASA24 have been used to derive the AHEI in epidemiologic studies (40, 72).

In conclusion, in the current study in racially/ethnically diverse pregnant females, total PA was positively associated with the plasma phospholipids pentadecanoic acid and heptadecanoic acid. Such associations are likely related to sports/exercise PA. These findings suggest that PA, particularly sports/exercise PA, may be a lifestyle factor that could be modified to optimize the plasma phospholipid SFA profile during pregnancy.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—XL, LC, and CZ: designed the research and had primary responsibility for the final content; CZ:

supervised data collection and obtained funding; NLW and MYT: led the laboratory testing; SKZ, YZ, MLR, JW, NLW, and MYT: contributed to data interpretation; XL, LC, ZF, TX, and JD: analyzed data or performed statistical analysis; XL and LC: wrote the paper; and all authors: revised and edited the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability

The data, along with a set of guidelines for researchers applying for the data, will be posted to a data-sharing site, NICHD Data and Specimen Hub (DASH) [https://dash.nichd.nih.gov/].

References

- Santos S, Oliveira A, Lopes C. Systematic review of saturated fatty acids on inflammation and circulating levels of adipokines. Nutr Res 2013;33(9):687–95.
- 2 Fernandez-Real J-M, Vendrell J, Ricart W. Circulating adiponectin and plasma fatty acid profile. Clin Chem 2005;51(3):603–9.
- 3 Lam TKT, Pocai A, Gutierrez-Juarez R, Obici S, Bryan J, Aguilar-Bryan L, et al. Hypothalamic sensing of circulating fatty acids is required for glucose homeostasis. Nat Med 2005;11(3):320–7.
- 4 Quevedo-Coli S, Crespi C, Benito E, Palou A, Roca P. Alterations in circulating fatty acids and the compartmentation of selected metabolites in women with breast cancer. IUBMB Life 1997;41(1):1–10.
- 5 Forouhi NG, Koulman A, Sharp SJ, Imamura F, Kröger J, Schulze MB, et al. Differences in the prospective association between individual plasma phospholipid saturated fatty acids and incident type 2 diabetes: the EPIC-InterAct case-cohort study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2(10):810–8.
- 6 Khaw K-T, Friesen MD, Riboli E, Luben R, Wareham N. Plasma phospholipid fatty acid concentration and incident coronary heart disease in men and women: the EPIC-Norfolk prospective study. PLoS Med 2012;9(7):e1001255.
- 7 Matejcic M, Lesueur F, Biessy C, Renault A, Mebirouk N, Yammine S, et al. Circulating plasma phospholipid fatty acids and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large European cohort. Int J Cancer 2018;143(10): 2437–48.
- 8 Lemaitre RN, Fretts AM, Sitlani CM, Biggs ML, Mukamal K, King IB, et al. Plasma phospholipid very-long-chain saturated fatty acids and incident diabetes in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2015;101(5):1047–54.
- 9 Liu M, Zuo L-S-Y, Sun T-Y, Wu Y-Y, Liu Y-P, Zeng F-F, et al. Circulating very-long-chain saturated fatty acids were inversely associated with cardiovascular health: a prospective cohort study and meta-analysis. Nutrients 2020;12(9):2709.
- 10 Li D, Zheng J, Hatia R, Hassan M, Daniel CR. Dietary intake of fatty acids and risk of pancreatic cancer: a case-control study. J Nutr 2022;152(2):439–47.
- 11 Li X, Huang Y, Zhang W, Yang C, Su W, Wu Y, et al. Association of circulating saturated fatty acids with the risk of pregnancyinduced hypertension: a nested case–control study. Hypertens Res 2020;43(5):412–21.
- 12 Zhu Y, Tsai MY, Sun Q, Hinkle SN, Rawal S, Mendola P, et al. A prospective and longitudinal study of plasma phospholipid saturated fatty acid profile in relation to cardiometabolic biomarkers and the risk of gestational diabetes. Am J Clin Nutr 2018;107(6):1017–26.
- 13 Pan X-F, Huang Y, Li X, Wang Y, Ye Y, Chen H, et al. Circulating fatty acids and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: prospective analyses in China. Eur J Endocrinol 2021;185(1):87–97.
- 14 Kim K, Browne RW, Nobles CJ, Radin RG, Holland TL, Omosigho UR, et al. Associations between preconception plasma fatty acids and pregnancy outcomes. Epidemiology 2019;30(Suppl 2):S37–46.
- 15 Haggarty P. Fatty acid supply to the human fetus. Annu Rev Nutr 2010;30:237–55.
- 16 Gil-Sánchez A, Koletzko B, Larqué E. Current understanding of placental fatty acid transport. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care 2012;15(3):265–72.
- 17 Mitro SD, Wu J, Rahman M, Li M, Hinkle S, Bremer A, et al. Longitudinal metabolomic profile trajectories in healthy pregnancy and variation by BMI and fetal sex. Curr Dev Nutr 2020;4(Suppl 2):1041.

- 18 Berg A, Frey I, Baumstark MW, Halle M, Keul J. Physical activity and lipoprotein lipid disorders. Sports Med 1994;17(1):6–21.
- 19 O'Donovan G, Stensel D, Hamer M, Stamatakis E. The association between leisure-time physical activity, low HDL-cholesterol and mortality in a pooled analysis of nine population-based cohorts. Eur J Epidemiol 2017;32(7):559–66.
- 20 Fonong T, Toth MJ, Ades PA, Katzel LI, Calles-Escandon J, Poehlman ET. Relationship between physical activity and HDL-cholesterol in healthy older men and women: a cross-sectional and exercise intervention study. Atherosclerosis 1996;127(2):177–83.
- 21 Martin W 3rd, Dalsky G, Hurley B, Matthews D, Bier D, Hagberg J, et al. Effect of endurance training on plasma free fatty acid turnover and oxidation during exercise. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 1993;265(5):E708–14.
- 22 Acosta-Manzano P, Leopold-Posch B, Simmons D, Devlieger R, Galjaard S, Corcoy R, et al. The unexplored role of sedentary time and physical activity in glucose and lipid metabolism-related placental mRNAs in pregnant women who are obese: the DALI lifestyle randomised controlled trial. BJOG 2022;129(5):708–21.
- 23 Loprinzi PD, Fitzgerald EM, Woekel E, Cardinal BJ. Association of physical activity and sedentary behavior with biological markers among U.S. pregnant women. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2013;22(11):953–8.
- 24 Butler CL, Williams MA, Sorensen TK, Frederick IO, Leisenring WM. Relation between maternal recreational physical activity and plasma lipids in early pregnancy. Am J Epidemiol 2004;160(4):350–9.
- 25 Mougios V, Kotzamanidis C, Koutsari C, Atsopardis S. Exerciseinduced changes in the concentration of individual fatty acids and triacylglycerols of human plasma. Metabolism 1995;44(5):681–8.
- 26 Andersson A, Sjo¨din A, Olsson R, Vessby B. Effects of physical exercise on phospholipid fatty acid composition in skeletal muscle. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 1998;274(3):E432–8.
- 27 Chen L, Zhu Y, Fei Z, Hinkle SN, Xia T, Liu X, et al. Plasma phospholipid *n*-3/*n*-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids and desaturase activities in relation to moderate-to-vigorous physical activity through pregnancy: a longitudinal study within the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies. Nutrients 2020;12(11):3544.
- 28 Hopkins SA, Baldi JC, Cutfield WS, McCowan L, Hofman PL. Effects of exercise training on maternal hormonal changes in pregnancy. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2011;74(4):495–500.
- 29 McMurray RG, Hackney AC, Guion WK, Katz VL. Metabolic and hormonal responses to low-impact aerobic dance during pregnancy. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1996;28(1):41–6.
- 30 Herrera E, Ortega-Senovilla H. Lipid metabolism during pregnancy and its implications for fetal growth. Curr Pharm Biotechnol 2014;15(1):24– 31.
- 31 Louis GMB, Grewal J, Albert PS, Sciscione A, Wing DA, Grobman WA, et al. Racial/ethnic standards for fetal growth: the NICHD Fetal Growth Studies. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2015;213(4):449.e1–e41.
- 32 Chasan-Taber L, Schmidt MD, Roberts DE, Hosmer D, Markenson G, Freedson PS. Development and validation of a Pregnancy Physical Activity Questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2004;36(10):1750–60.
- 33 Adanaş Aydın G, Taşan HA, Tarhan N, Çakar E, Şenol Güler N, Ankaralı H, et al. Reliability and validity of Turkish version of pregnancy physical activity questionnaire (PPAQ) in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus. J Obstet Gynaecol 2020;40(2):176–81.
- 34 Chandonnet N, Saey D, Alméras N, Marc I. French Pregnancy Physical Activity Questionnaire compared with an accelerometer cut point to classify physical activity among pregnant obese women. PLoS One 2012;7(6):e38818.
- 35 Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Leon AS, Jacobs DR Jr, Montoye HJ, Sallis JF, et al. Compendium of physical activities: classification of energy costs of human physical activities. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1993;25(1):71–80.
- 36 Cao J, Schwichtenberg KA, Hanson NQ, Tsai MY. Incorporation and clearance of omega-3 fatty acids in erythrocyte membranes and plasma phospholipids. Clin Chem 2006;52(12):2265–72.
- 37 Mitro SD, Wu J, Rahman ML, Cao Y, Zhu Y, Chen Z, et al. Longitudinal plasma metabolomics profile in pregnancy—a study in an ethnically diverse US pregnancy cohort. Nutrients 2021;13(9):3080.
- 38 Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Schoeller DA, Bingham S, et al. Using intake biomarkers to evaluate the extent of dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study. Am J Epidemiol 2003;158(1):1–13.

- 39 Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, Murayi T, Clemens JC, Rumpler WV, et al. The US Department of Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;88(2):324–32.
- 40 Subar AF, Thompson FE, Kipnis V, Midthune D, Hurwitz P, McNutt S, et al. Comparative validation of the Block, Willett, and National Cancer Institute food frequency questionnaires: the Eating at America's Table Study. Am J Epidemiol 2001;154(12):1089–99.
- 41 Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, et al. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr 2012;142(6):1009–18.
- 42 Samuelsen SO. A psudolikelihood approach to analysis of nested casecontrol studies. Biometrika 1997;84(2):379–94.
- 43 Stefanick M, Wood P. Physical activity, lipid and lipoprotein metabolism, and lipid transport. In: Bouchard C, Shephard RJ, Stephens T, editors. Physical activity, fitness, and health: international proceedings and consensus statement. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1994. p. 417–31.
- 44 Stefanick ML. Physical activity and lipid metabolism. In: Leon AS, editor. Physical activity and cardiovascular health: a national consensus. Champaign (IL): Human Kinetics; 1997. p. 98–104.
- 45 Lacour J. Lipid metabolism and exercise. Rev Prat 2001;51(12 Suppl):S36-41.
- 46 Pařízková S. Body fat and physical fitness: body composition and lipid metabolism in different regimes of physical activity. Dordrecht (Netherlands): Springer Science & Business Media; 2012.
- 47 Chen H, Zhang CJP, Fang X, Tan Z, Yan N, Ming W-K, et al. Relationship of objectively measuring physical activity and sitting time on plasma lipid metabolism during pregnancy. 2021. https://doi.org/10 .1093/aje/kwh223.
- 48 Romijn J, Coyle E, Sidossis L, Gastaldelli A, Horowitz J, Endert E, et al. Regulation of endogenous fat and carbohydrate metabolism in relation to exercise intensity and duration. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 1993;265(3):E380–91.
- 49 Wolfe RR, Klein S, Carraro F, Weber JM. Role of triglyceride-fatty acid cycle in controlling fat metabolism in humans during and after exercise. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 1990;258(2):E382–9.
- 50 Havel RJ, Carlson LA, Ekelund L-G, Holmgren A. Turnover rate and oxidation of different free fatty acids in man during exercise. J Appl Physiol 1964;19(4):613–8.
- 51 Carlson LA, Ekelund L-G, Fröberg SO. Concentration of triglycerides, phospholipids and glycogen in skeletal muscle and of free fatty acids and β-hydroxybutyric acid in blood in man in response to exercise. Eur J Clin Invest 1971;1(4):248–54.
- 52 Børsheim E, Knardahl S, Høstmark AT. Short-term effects of exercise on plasma very low density lipoproteins (VLDL) and fatty acids. Med Sci Sports Exerc 1999;31(4):522–30.
- 53 Carlson LA, Mossfeldt F. Acute effects of prolonged, heavy exercise on the concentration of plasma lipids and lipoproteins in man. Acta Physiol Scand 1964;62(1–2):51–9.
- 54 Widdowson E. Growth and composition of the fetus and newborn. In Assali NS, editor. The fetus and neonate. San Diego (CA): Elsevier; 1968. p. 1–49.
- 55 Toro-Ramos T, Paley C, Pi-Sunyer FX, Gallagher D. Body composition during fetal development and infancy through the age of 5 years. Eur J Clin Nutr 2015;69(12):1279–89.
- 56 Dunlop M, Court JM. Lipogenesis in developing human adipose tissue. Early Hum Dev 1978;2(2):123–30.
- 57 Barbour LA, Hernandez TL. Maternal lipids and fetal overgrowth: making fat from fat. Clin Ther 2018;40(10):1638–47.
- 58 Chen X, Scholl TO, Leskiw M, Savaille J, Stein TP. Differences in maternal circulating fatty acid composition and dietary fat intake in women with gestational diabetes mellitus or mild gestational hyperglycemia. Diabetes Care 2010;33(9):2049–54.
- 59 Jenkins B, West JA, Koulman A. A review of odd-chain fatty acid metabolism and the role of pentadecanoic acid (C15:0) and heptadecanoic acid (C17:0) in health and disease. Molecules 2015;20(2):2425–44.
- 60 Santaren ID, Watkins SM, Liese AD, Wagenknecht LE, Rewers MJ, Haffner SM, et al. Serum pentadecanoic acid (15:0), a short-term marker of dairy food intake, is inversely associated with incident type 2 diabetes and its underlying disorders. Am J Clin Nutr 2014;100(6): 1532–40.

- 61 Krachler B, Norberg M, Eriksson JW, Hallmans G, Johansson I, Vessby B, et al. Fatty acid profile of the erythrocyte membrane preceding development of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2008;18(7):503–10.
- 62 Huang L, Lin J-s, Aris IM, Yang G, Chen W-Q, Li L-J. Circulating saturated fatty acids and incident type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients 2019;11(5):998.
- 63 de Oliveira Otto MC, Nettleton JA, Lemaitre RN, Steffen LM, Kromhout D, Rich SS, et al. Biomarkers of dairy fatty acids and risk of cardiovascular disease in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. J Am Heart Assoc 2013;2(4):e000092.
- 64 Trieu K, Bhat S, Dai Z, Leander K, Gigante B, Qian F, et al. Biomarkers of dairy fat intake, incident cardiovascular disease, and allcause mortality: a cohort study, systematic review, and meta-analysis. PLoS Med 2021;18(9):e1003763.
- 65 Chowdhury R, Warnakula S, Kunutsor S, Crowe F, Ward HA, Johnson L, et al. Association of dietary, circulating, and supplement fatty acids with coronary risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2014;160(6):398–406.

- 66 Noland RC. Exercise and regulation of lipid metabolism. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2015;135:39–74.
- 67 Ranallo RF, Rhodes EC. Lipid metabolism during exercise. Sports Med 1998;26(1):29–42.
- 68 Horowitz JF, Klein S. Lipid metabolism during endurance exercise. Am J Clin Nutr 2000;72(2):558S–63S.
- 69 Lee PH, Macfarlane DJ, Lam TH, Stewart SM. Validity of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire Short Form (IPAQ-SF): a systematic review. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2011;8: 115.
- 70 Schmidt MD, Freedson PS, Pekow P, Roberts D, Sternfeld B, Chasan-Taber L. Validation of the Kaiser Physical Activity Survey in pregnant women. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2006;38(1):42–50.
- 71 Arab L. Biomarkers of fat and fatty acid intake. J Nutr 2003;133(3):925S–32S.
- 72 Li M, Grewal J, Hinkle SN, Yisahak SF, Grobman WA, Newman RB, et al. Healthy dietary patterns and common pregnancy complications: a prospective and longitudinal study. Am J Clin Nutr 2021;114(3): 1229–37.