UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Dexamethasone in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: addressing uncertainties

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/62f3w0zd

Journal The Lancet Respiratory Medicine, 8(12)

ISSN 2213-2600

Authors Matthay, Michael A Thompson, B Taylor

Publication Date 2020-12-01

DOI 10.1016/s2213-2600(20)30503-8

Peer reviewed



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. acute inhalation lung injury, along with the presence of vacuolisation of macrophages and pneumocytes, and most importantly the detection of vitamin E acetate in BAL fluid, provide important diagnostic clues for this condition.

We declare no competing interests.

*Mikhail Kazachkov, Melodi Pirzada Mikhail.kazachkov@nyulangone.org

Department of Pediatrics, NYU Langone Health, New York, NY 10016, USA (MK); and Department of Pediatrics, NYU Winthrop Hospital, Mineola, NY, USA (MP)

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Outbreak of lung injury associated with the use of e-cigarette, or vaping products. Feb 25, 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/basic_information/e-cigarettes/severelung-disease.html (accessed Sept 29, 2020).
- Layden JE, Ghinai I, Pray I, et al. Pulmonary illness related to e-cigarette use 2 in Illinois and Wisconsin-final report. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 903-16.
- Foust AM, Winant AJ, Chu WC, Das KM, Phillips GS, Lee EY. Pediatric SARS, 3 H1N1, MERS, EVALI, and now coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pneumonia: what radiologists need to know. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2020; 215: 736-44.

The impressive results of the RECOVERY trial established

- Blagev DP, Harris D, Dunn AC, Guidry DW, Grissom CK, Lanspa MJ. Clinical presentation, treatment, and short-term outcomes of lung injury associated with e-cigarettes or vaping: a prospective observational cohort study. Lancet 2019; 394: 2073-83.
- Shields PG, Song MA, Freudenheim JL, et al. Lipid laden macrophages and electronic cigarettes in healthy adults. EBioMedicine 2020; 60: 102982.
- Blount BC, Karwowski MP, Shields PG, et al. Vitamin E acetate in 6 bronchoalveolar-lavage fluid associated with EVALI. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 697-705
- Butt YM, Smith ML, Tazelaar HD, et al. Pathology of vaping-associated 7 lung injury. N Engl J Med 2019; 381: 1780-81.
- Gaiha SM, Cheng J, Halpern-Felsher B. Association between youth 8 smoking, electronic cigarette use, and COVID-19. J Adolesc Health 2020; 67: 519-23.
- Callahan SJ, Harris D, Collingridge DS, et al. Diagnosing EVALI in the time Q of COVID-19. Chest 2020; published online June 26. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.06.029.
- Ahmed M, Advani S, Moreira A, et al. Multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children: a systematic review. EClinicalMedicine 2020; published online Sept 4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2020.100527.



Dexamethasone in hospitalised patients with COVID-19: addressing uncertainties



Published Online October 29, 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/ \$2213-2600(20)30503-8

that a moderate dose of dexamethasone (6 mg daily for 10 days) reduced mortality in hospitalised patients with COVID-19 and respiratory failure who required therapy with supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation.¹ The data also indicated that dexamethasone might increase mortality in hospitalised patients who were not receiving oxygen. This landmark trial and the subsequent practice guidelines from several academic and health organisations recommending dexamethasone use in patients with severe COVID-19 have changed clinical practice for hospitalised patients on supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation.² These favourable findings are supported by three other trials³⁻⁵ of glucocorticoids for COVID-19, which stopped enrolment in early June, 2020, when the RECOVERY trial results were released. Each of these trials showed some evidence of benefit, although none had completed enrolment. A prospective meta-analysis of these and other trials, totalling 1703 participants (1007 [59%] from the RECOVERY trial), confirmed a reduction in 28-day mortality (summary odds ratio [OR] 0.66, 95% CI 0.53-0.82; p<0.001), with minimal heterogeneity across studies.6 While confirming beneficial effects of corticosteroids for critically ill hospitalised patients with COVID-19, some unanswered questions and issues remain that deserve discussion and should be addressed in future research.

Because the design of the largest trial, RECOVERY, was pragmatic, data were scarce in some domains. For example, physicians were able to exclude patients from the trial whom they determined should not be a candidate for treatment with dexamethasone, but reasons for exclusion were not recorded. Thus, we do not know why 1707 patients were unsuitable for randomisation. Patients might have been excluded because of perceived contraindications, including uncontrolled diabetes, acute delirium, underlying malignancy, immunosuppression, or other conditions in which corticosteroids might have harmful effects. Therefore, the benefit-risk profile of corticosteroids across the full spectrum of patients with critical COVID-19 and a range of comorbidities remains uncertain.

A second limitation of the RECOVERY trial is that no data are available on the level of oxygen support. In retrospect, this was an important omission from the database. Such data might have revealed differential benefit or harm with dexamethasone treatment according to level of oxygen supplementation.

Moreover, the need for higher levels of oxygen might have been a good indicator that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection had caused some degree of lung injury and that these patients were likely to benefit from dexamethasone therapy.

A third limitation is that the vast majority of patients in these trials were not receiving remdesivir. Although monotherapy with remdesivir does not reduce mortality,⁷⁸ it is conceivable that the beneficial effects of dexamethasone in patients on supplemental oxygen or mechanical ventilation might be attenuated by the administration of an effective antiviral agent. There might also be an additive benefit of both remdesivir and dexamethasone if remdesivir enhances viral clearance and dexamethasone further decreases the injurious effects of the inflammatory responses to COVID-19.

A fourth limitation is the lack of any data on viral clearance, which is likely to be an important factor in determining harm versus benefit of dexamethasone.9 The normal response to viral infection requires transcriptional upregulation of interferons and recruitment of host inflammatory cells, but corticosteroids in the earlier phase of viral infection can suppress host antiviral responses, potentially leading to more severe lung injury. However, if corticosteroids are administered when viral control has been achieved, they can have a beneficial effect by reducing inflammation and the severity of acute lung injury. Since most of the RECOVERY trial patients treated with oxygen support or mechanical ventilation received dexamethasone more than 7 days since sympton onset, it is possible that viral replication had declined in most patients, particularly since some evidence suggests that SARS-CoV-2 infection, unlike influenza, might be largely cleared in most patients within 7 days.¹⁰ Nevertheless, this is an important and unmeasured variable in the **RECOVERY** trial.

What steps need to be taken to learn more about the effects of dexamethasone in hospitalised patients with COVID-19? More studies on viral clearance and endogenous immune responses,¹¹ both before and after dexamethasone administration, could provide insights into potential beneficial and harmful effects. COVID-19 mortality has been found to be related to impaired interferon responses and dysregulated endogenous proinflammatory responses,¹² variables that could be measured in circulating blood samples both before and after dexamethasone treatment. More detailed studies of dexamethasone in hospitalised patients receiving different levels of oxygen support (from lowflow oxygen to high-flow nasal oxygen), along with detailed respiratory and microbiological data, could help to determine whether the benefit of dexamethasone is dependent on the level of oxygen support and other respiratory variables. This information is needed because it is not entirely clear what level of illness should prompt treatment with dexamethasone in non-mechanically ventilated patients with COVID-19. All of these future studies are likely to include remdesivir, which could be an important cofactor that was not part of the RECOVERY trial. Finally, beneficial new therapeutics for COVID-19 are being tested, including monoclonal antibodies, anticoagulation strategies, and additional treatments to block specific arms of the inflammatory cascade, as well as additional antiviral agents. Thus, an ongoing assessment of the interaction of dexamethasone with other therapeutics will be needed as new findings emerge.

There is one more issue to be considered. Should the favourable results of the RECOVERY trial prompt a reconsideration of dexamethasone treatment for acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) due to other causes? This is a key question, as recent work suggests that both classical ARDS and its major risk factor, sepsis, have identifiable subtypes with differential responses to therapy. For example, in one sepsis subtype, randomisation to corticosteroids was associated with increased mortality (OR 7.9, 95% CI 1.6-39.9; p_{interaction}=0.02).¹³ A Spanish open-label, randomised, multicentre trial in 277 patients with moderate-to-severe non-COVID-19-related ARDS found a 15% absolute reduction in 60-day mortality (36% to 21%; p=0.0047) in dexamethasone-treated patients.14 This study had important limitations, including the open-label design and the modest overall number of participants (the trial was stopped for low enrolment after 5 years, 2013-18). Nevertheless, we believe that it is reasonable to consider a prospective double-blind, randomised trial design for classical ARDS that will include a sufficient number of patients to be adequately powered for 90-day mortality. Because COVID-19 and classical ARDS have considerable heterogeneity, future trials should incorporate plans for both understanding heterogeneity and prospectively identifying treatment-responsive subgroups of patients.15

MAM reports grants from the US National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the US National Institutes of Health, the US Department of Defense, the California Institute of Regenerative Medicine, and Roche-Genentech; and consultancy fees from Citius Pharmaceuticals and Novartis, outside of the submitted work. BTT reports consulting fees for Bayer, Thetis, and Novartis, outside of the submitted work.

*Michael A Matthay, B Taylor Thompson michael.matthay@ucsf.edu

Cardiovascular Research Institute, Departments of Medicine and Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA (MAM); and Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA (BTT)

- 1 The Recovery Collaborative Group, Horby P, Lim WS, et al. Dexamethasone in hospitalized patients with Covid-19—preliminary report. N Engl J Med 2020; published online July 17. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2021436.
- 2 Lamontagne F, Agoritsas T, Macdonald H, et al. A living WHO guideline on drugs for covid-19. *BMJ* 2020; **370**: m3379.
- 3 Dequin PF, Heming N, Meziani F, et al. Effect of hydrocortisone on 21-day mortality or respiratory support among critically ill patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020; **324**: 1298–306.
- 4 Tomazini BM, Maia IS, Cavalcanti AB, et al. Effect of dexamethasone on days alive and ventilator-free in patients with moderate or severe acute respiratory distress syndrome and COVID-19: the CoDEX randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020; **324**: 1307–16.
- 5 Angus DC, Derde L, Al-Beidh F, et al. Effect of hydrocortisone on mortality and organ support in patients with severe COVID-19: the REMAP-CAP COVID-19 corticosteroid domain randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2020; 324: 1317–29.
- 6 The WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group. Association between administration of systemic corticosteroids and mortality among critically ill patients with COVID-19: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2020; **324**: 1330-41.

pandemic and beyond

- Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the treatment of Covid-19—final report. N Engl J Med 2020; published online Oct 8. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2007764.
- 3 WHO SOLIDARITY Trial Consortium, Pan H, Peto R, et al. Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19—interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results. *medRxiv* 2020; published online Oct 15. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.15.20209817 (preprint).
- 9 Matthay MA, Wick KD. Corticosteroids, COVID-19 pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Clin Invest 2020; published online Sept 25. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCl143331.
- 10 Lane HC, Fauci AS. Research in the context of a pandemic. N Engl J Med 2020; published online July 17. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe2024638.
- 11 Kuri-Cervantes L, Pampena MB, Meng W, et al. Comprehensive mapping of immune perturbations associated with severe COVID-19. Sci Immunol 2020; 5: eabd7114.
- 12 Hadjadj J, Yatim N, Barnabei L, et al. Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. *Science* 2020; 369: 718–24.
- 13 Antcliffe DB, Burnham KL, Al-Beidh F, et al. Transcriptomic signatures in sepsis and a differential response to steroids. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2019; 199: 980–86.
- 14 Villar J, Ferrando C, Martínez D, et al. Dexamethasone treatment for the acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2020; **8**: 267–76.
- 15 Harhay MO, Casey JD, Clement M, et al. Contemporary strategies to improve clinical trial design for critical care research: insights from the First Critical Care Clinical Trialists Workshop. Intensive Care Med 2020; 46: 930–42.

W i Nome monitoring for patients with ILD during the COVID-19



The current COVID-19 pandemic has challenged the continuity of health care and research. Health-care providers around the world are required to deal with social distancing and quarantine measures, while simultaneously ensuring quality of care for their patients. Consequently, eHealth applications, such as home monitoring, have gained increasing interest during the past months. For the vulnerable population of patients with interstitial lung diseases, home monitoring could be particularly relevant. We describe experiences with home monitoring in interstitial lung diseases, the effect of COVID-19 on its use, and opportunities for more hybrid forms of monitoring.

Interstitial lung diseases are a heterogeneous group of often progressive and deadly diseases. Treatment generally consists of immunosuppression or antifibrotic treatment, and supportive measures. Regular hospital visits are required for comprehensive patient support, including monitoring disease course and response to treatment. Lung function (ie, forced vital capacity) is the most used outcome measure to guide treatment decisions, and the accepted primary outcome for clinical trials in interstitial lung disease. Hospital visits can be challenging for patients because of dyspnoea, supplemental oxygen needs, and dependency on caregivers. Furthermore, travel distances can be considerable because in many countries, care for interstitial lung disease is centralised in specialist centres. Hence, monitoring physiological parameters and symptoms at home could have advantages for both clinical practice and research.¹

During the past 5 years, the feasibility and reliability of home monitoring and home spirometry in interstitial lung disease have been increasingly studied. Studies in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis showed that home spirometry yielded reliable results, predicted disease progression better than did hospital spirometry, and could possibly decrease sample sizes for future trials.^{2,3} A 24-week randomised controlled trial suggested that a home monitoring program tended to improve