UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

State of the Amphibia 2020: A Review of Five Years of Amphibian Research and Existing Resources

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/62h5m6kc

Journal Ichthyology & Herpetology, 110(4)

ISSN 2766-1512

Authors

Womack, Molly C Steigerwald, Emma Blackburn, David C <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-11-03

DOI

10.1643/h2022005

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

1 ABSTRACT

2

3 Amphibians are a clade of over 8,400 species that provide unique research opportunities and challenges. With amphibians undergoing severe global declines, we 4 posit that assessing our current understanding of amphibians is imperative. Focusing on 5 6 the past five years (2016–2020), we examine trends in amphibian research, data, and 7 systematics. New species of amphibians continue to be described at a pace of ~150 per year. Phylogenomic studies are increasing, fueling a growing consensus in the 8 9 amphibian tree of life. Over 3,000 species of amphibians are now represented by 10 expert-curated accounts or data in AmphibiaWeb, AmphibiaChina, BioWeb, or the Amphibian Disease Portal. Nevertheless, many species lack basic natural history data 11 12 (e.g., diet records, morphological measurements, call recordings) and major gaps exist 13 for entire amphibian clades. Genomic resources appear on the cusp of a rapid 14 expansion, but large, repetitive amphibian genomes still pose significant challenges. 15 Conservation continues to be a major focus for amphibian research and threats 16 cataloged on AmphibiaWeb for 1,261 species highlight the need to address land use change and disease using adaptive management strategies. To further promote 17 18 amphibian research and conservation, we underscore the importance of database 19 integration and suggest that other understudied or imperiled clades would benefit from 20 similar assessments of existing data.

21

22 INTRODUCTION

23

24 Amphibians are an ancient, diverse lineage of vertebrates that have been studied in 25 research fields from evolution and ecology to engineering and medicine. Although amphibians are often considered to be sensitive to perturbation, they have survived the 26 27 last four global mass extinction events and have a nearly worldwide distribution (Wake 28 and Vredenburg, 2008; Kerby et al., 2010; Barnosky et al., 2011; Alroy, 2015). Most 29 have biphasic lifestyles, serving as a vital link for energy and nutrient flows between terrestrial and aquatic systems (Finlay and Vredenburg, 2007). Many species have 30 31 large populations and fast growth rates, occupying key roles in food webs as abundant

food sources whilst simultaneously shaping the functional diversity of their own prey
communities (Colón-Gaud et al., 2009; Zipkin et al., 2020). Despite the ecological
importance of amphibians and their diverse evolutionary histories, we lack basic natural
history and geographic distribution data for a large proportion of species, with 16.4%
(1,185 species) classified as Data Deficient by the IUCN – the highest proportion of data
deficiency for any vertebrate class (IUCN, 2021).

38

Amphibian research has grown rapidly over the last few decades, expanding from an 39 40 early focus on several model species to an exploration and description of the evolution, 41 ecology, and diversity of amphibians found globally. Researchers have developed 42 amphibian model systems that are associated with sophisticated molecular and 43 genomic tools based on decades of concentrated research into the molecular biology of three species – Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis, and Ambystoma mexicanum 44 (Getwan and Lienkamp, 2017). Although these three model species scarcely capture 45 46 the diversity of Amphibia (over 8,400 species; AmphibiaWeb 2021), they have served 47 important roles during the last half century, providing insight into tetrapod evolution (Edholm et al., 2013; Rozenblit and Gollisch, 2020), developmental biology, molecular 48 49 biology, neurobiology (Dascal, 1987; Yakushiji et al., 2009; Harland and Grainger, 2011), and tissue regeneration (Nye et al., 2003; Freitas et al., 2019). Research on 50 51 many other amphibian genera has made notable historical contributions to biology: e.g., *Plethodon cinereus* in behavioral ecology and development (Dent 1942; Heatwole 52 53 1962; Kleeberger and Werner 1982; Wyman and Hawksley-Lescault 1987; Kerney 2011; Kerney et al. 2012); Engystomops in sexual selection (Ryan et al., 1990); Rana in 54 55 cloning (Briggs and King, 1952); Rana and (Lefcort et al., 1998; Hopkins et al., 2000; Bridges, 2000; Pollet and Bendell-Young, 2000) Acris (Fleming et al., 1982; Clark et al., 56 57 1998; Reeder et al., 1998) in community ecology and toxicology. New tools have since promoted the emergence of more model systems from classically "non-model" species 58 59 and systems, such as dendrobatid poison frogs for the neurobiology of parental care 60 (Roland and O'Connell, 2015; O'Connell, 2020) and the molecular evolution of chemical defense (Saporito et al., 2012; Tarvin et al., 2017; Caty et al., 2019; Alvarez-Buylla et 61 62 al., 2022), toxic salamanders and resistant garter snakes for co-evolution (Geffeney et

al., 2005; Bucciarelli et al., 2022), *Spea* for phenotypic plasticity and life-history
evolution (Levis et al., 2015, 2020), and *Nanorana parkeri* for adaptation to high
elevation (Sun et al., 2015, 2018; Wang et al., 2018). As we will highlight here, the
growing availability of amphibian genomes and other molecular resources poises
amphibian researchers to further develop other amphibians as new "model" species.

New genetic tools and increasing availability of amphibian sequence data are also reshaping and expanding our knowledge of amphibian phylogeny and evolution (Blackburn et al., 2019). Until recently, many deeper phylogenetic relationships remained unresolved, resulting in frequent taxonomic changes. Moreover, new species continue to be described, two or three each week on average. Since 2000, there has been an average of 150 new species described every year, with no sign of slowing down (AmphibiaWeb, 2021).

76

77 Nevertheless, understanding current amphibian biodiversity is imperative, as 78 amphibians are undergoing severe global population declines. The modern amphibian 79 lineage is ancient (~300 million years old; Wake and Koo, 2018) and is now the most 80 endangered vertebrate class in the ongoing Holocene Extinction (Stuart et al. 2004; Wake and Vredenburg 2008; Barnosky et al. 2011). Roughly 3% of anuran and 81 82 caudatan diversity are believed to have declined to the point of extinction since the 1970s (Alroy, 2015), and an estimated 40% of extant species are threatened with 83 84 extinction (IUCN, 2021). Continued research on amphibian biology, as well as efforts to share the fruits of this research through public databases, can guide efforts to mitigate 85 86 the threats of habitat loss, climate change, and infectious diseases-particularly in light 87 of opportunities presented by broad global initiatives to protect biodiversity, such as the 88 proposal to protect 30% of the earth's surface by 2030 (Kubiak, 2020; HAC, 2021). 89 90 Given the ever-increasing accumulation of biological information, the expanding scale at

91 which research is undertaken, and the urgent need for knowledge to combat amphibian

92 declines, we aim to summarize the state of amphibian research to both improve

93 awareness of existing resources and highlight gaps in knowledge. Focusing on the five

- 94 years from 2016 to2020, we assess the following: 1) trends in publishing, 2) advances
- 95 in amphibian conservation research, 3) trends and updates in systematics and
- amphibian diversity, and 4) a review of existing community resources.
- 97

98 MATERIALS AND METHODS

99

100 Trends in publishing.-

101 To assess changes in the focal topics of amphibian research from 2016 to 2020, we 102 performed a standardized literature search in Web of Science (© Copyright Clarivate 2022). First, we quantified the total number of amphibian scholarly articles published 103 104 from 2016 to 2020, as well as the total number within each individual year, that had the following search term in their abstract: "amphibian" OR "amphibians" OR "Caudata" OR 105 "salamander" OR "salamanders" OR "newt" OR "newts" OR "Anura" OR "anuran" OR 106 "frog" OR "frogs" OR "toad" OR "toads" OR "Gymnophiona" OR "caecilian" OR 107 "caecilians". Next, we quantified the total number of amphibian scholarly articles 108 109 published in those years (and within each year) in specific subfields of biology by adding 110 additional search terms to the one above. The full list of added subfield search terms was: "behavior", "development", "diet", "cell" AND "molecular", "climate", "conservation", 111 "ecology", "eDNA", "fossil" OR "fossils", "genetic" OR "genetics", "genomic" OR 112 113 "genomics", "genetic" OR "genetics" OR "genomic" OR "genomics", "phylogenetic" OR "phylogenetics", "phylogenomic" OR "phylogenomics", "microbiome", "morphology", 114 115 "physiology" and "trait" OR "traits". In addition to reporting the absolute numbers of 116 amphibian publications from 2016 to 2020, we report the percent change in amphibian 117 publications (overall and within each subfield) over that five-year period (number of 118 articles published in 2020/number of articles published in 2016). We searched the total 119 number of scholarly articles published (all publications, no search terms) in 2016 and in 120 2020 to determine the background percent change in publication rate to serve as a 121 reference point for the percent change in amphibian publications from 2016 to 2020. We 122 documented which journals published the amphibian papers recovered in our 2016-123 2020 literature search and how many languages were represented in these 124 publications. We also review name changes of herpetology-focused journals. In an

125 effort to examine how many publications focused on model amphibian species, we

126 determined how many amphibian publications in each research field also mentioned

- 127 Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis, OR Ambystoma mexicanum in their abstracts.
- 128

129 Advances in amphibian conservation research.-

130 To understand the major contributors to amphibian declines, for each species we 131 obtained IUCN Red List status (or provisional IUCN Red List statuses from the 132 AmphibiaWeb database) and associated drivers of decline from AmphibiaWeb species 133 accounts (see supplemental data files; AmphibiaWeb and IUCN data were downloaded 134 as of September 2021). The twenty-five AmphibiaWeb drivers of decline were collapsed 135 into ten broad categories which, owing to the nature of these threats, do not form 136 entirely discrete, non-overlapping units. For instance, the following categories all 137 ultimately relate to habitat modification or loss, but were divided as follows to allow for 138 more granular insight into important amphibian threats: a 'habitat alteration and loss' 139 category includes general habitat alteration and loss, secondary succession, subtle 140 changes to necessary specialized habitat, and habitat fragmentation; a 'resource 141 exploitation' category includes habitat modification from deforestation or logging related 142 activities, mining, and intensified agriculture or grazing; a 'roads and urban 143 development' category includes urbanization, disturbance or death from vehicular traffic, 144 barriers to movement, and accidental traps; and an 'intentional changes to hydrology' 145 category includes drainage of habitat and dams changing river flow and/or covering 146 habitat. The remaining threat categories were as follows: a 'pollutants' category includes 147 local and long-distance pesticides, toxins, fertilizers, and pollutants; a 'climatic factors' 148 category includes climate change, prolonged drought, floods, increased UVB, or 149 increased sensitivity to it; a 'disease and immune functioning' category includes 150 disease, parasitism, and weakened immune capacity; a 'predators and competitors' category includes changing dynamics with both introduced and native species; an 151 152 'intentional mortality' category includes over-harvesting, the pet trade, and collecting; 153 and a 'genetic degradation' category includes loss of genetic diversity from small population phenomena and loss of distinctiveness through hybridization. We visualized 154 155 these data by order and IUCN status for each decline category and discussed in the

156 context of major new findings on habitat loss and disease as drivers of amphibian157 declines between 2016 and 2020.

158

159 Trends and updates in amphibian diversity and systematics.-

160 To visualize the accumulation of new species over time, we used the complete 161 AmphibiaWeb database of new species between 2007 and 2020 (see supplemental 162 data files) to provide a baseline comparison to identify any trend changes during 2016-163 2020. We joined the type localities of these new species to country and biogeographic 164 realm using Quantum GIS (vers. 3.2, QGIS Development Team, 2021). We made a 165 heatmap of the type localities of the new species (2016–2020) using the heatmap option 166 in QGIS and calculated the number of new species per region using the QGIS point 167 cluster option with radius distance set to 800 km for both the heatmap and clusters. We 168 summarized the cumulative number of new species graphically in R (R Core Team, 169 2021) using ggplot2 v3.3.5 (Wickham, 2016), cowplot v1.1.1 (Wilke, 2020), lubridate v1.80 (Grolemund and Wickham, 2011), rentrez v1.2.3 (Winter, 2017), tidyverse v1.3.1 170 171 (Wickham et al., 2019), XML v3.99-0.8 (Lang, 2021), and included data in the phylogenetic matrix as described in the section below ("A review of community 172 173 resources"). We also review higher level taxonomic changes in modern amphibians,

- 174 largely based on recent phylogenomic studies.
- 175

176 A review of community resources.-

177 We compiled a list of published amphibian genomes by searching the NCBI Genome 178 database with the "Organism" field set to "Amphibia" and reviewing Google Scholar 179 results for "amphibian genome". We obtained a list of all available amphibian genetic 180 sequences in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA; on 21 June 2021) by setting the 181 "Organism" search field to "Amphibia" and downloading metadata files from Run 182 Selector. To obtain metadata on the available sequences in the NCBI GenBank 183 Nucleotide database, we used the Entrez retrieval tool (Clark et al., 2016; NCBI 184 Resource Coordinators, 2016). GenBank data were downloaded on 27 June 2021 in 1-185 month batches from January 1982 to December 2020 using esearch (-db nuccore query "amphibia [ORGN]" -mindate "\$yr/\$month" -maxdate "\$yr/\$month"), extracting the 186

187 following elements from the document summary: Caption, Title, CreateDate, Taxld. We 188 used the CreateDate information as the deposit date for each sequence. Using regular 189 expressions and lists of keywords in R, we categorized each sequence as mitochondrial 190 DNA (title containing one or more of the words "cytochrome oxidase", "COX", "tRNA", 191 "ND", "ribosomal RNA", "rRNA", "NADH dehydro", "mitochondri", "cyt[.]b", "cytochrome 192 b", "ATP8", "ATP6", "control region", "d-loop", or "cytb"), as mRNA (title containing one 193 or more of the words "mRNA", "transcript, or "TSA:"), or genomic DNA (all other 194 sequences). To assign a taxonomic order to each sequence in the SRA and GenBank 195 datasets, we merged sequence metadata with the NCBI taxonomy database (https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pub/taxonomy/new_taxdump/) using tools from the R 196 197 package 'dplyr' v1.0.6 (Wickham et al., 2021). See supplemental data files for raw data.

198

199 To count the cumulative number of species represented in the SRA database over time, 200 we summed the number of unique species names in SRA metadata across years. We 201 note, however, that these numbers are artificially inflated because of unspecified (e.g., " 202 Hyloxalus sp. 1 WG-2019"), subspecies (e.g., "Cryptobranchus alleganiensis bishopi"), 203 and hybrid (e.g., "Hyla intermedia x Hyla sp. n. DJ-2018") samples that are included in the data. To more accurately count the number of species added to NCBI databases 204 205 each year, we used the *entrez* search function from the R package 'rentrez' v1.2.3 206 (Winter, 2017) to query the ncbi taxonomy database by year and by Order, with 207 additional search terms to filter out ambiguous or unspecified sequences (query = 208 "<Order> and [SubTree] AND <vear> AND species[Rank] NOT uncultured[prop] NOT 209 unspecified[prop]").

210

To quantify the representation of amphibian species in other public databases, we collated metadata from several databases that contain information about amphibians. We identified all major bioacoustic repositories by surveying relevant literature and asked leading experts in bioacoustics. For each repository, we used website searches to obtain the number of available recordings and the number of species represented (see supplemental data files). If those data were not available, we contacted the person in charge of the website to obtain the database of the recordings. For microCT data, we

- 218 identified all amphibian scans available on MorphoSource
- 219 (https://www.morphosource.org, Boyer et al., 2016), DigiMorph (<u>http://digimorph.org</u>),
- and Phenome10K (https://www.phenome10k.org; see supplemental data files for
- 221 MorphoSource and Phenome10K results). We downloaded Amphibian Disease Portal
- 222 data on *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* (Bd) swabs taken and swabs testing positive
- for Bd, and summarized by amphibian family, by year, and by geography in R v4.1.0 (R
- 224 Core Team, 2021) and plotted geographic representation of the database over time
- 225 (see supplemental data files). Finally, in addition to these trait- or data type-specific
- 226 databases, we also review existing large databases that provide information on
- amphibian morphological, ecological, and life-history traits.
- 228
- 229 We summarized the phenotypic, genetic, and disease data available for each anuran
- family in a phylogenetic matrix plot using a trimmed version of the phylogeny from Hime
- et al., 2021. We added four families to match the AmphibiaWeb taxonomy,
- Allophrynidae, Micrixalidae, Ranixalidae, and Chikilidae, that were not in the original
- tree by either using a species within a sister family to represent the missing family (in
- the cases of Allophrynidae and Chikilidae) or artificially adding branches to the tree
- using the bind.tree function (in the cases of Micrixalidae and Ranixalidae).
- 236

237 Data analysis and visualization.-

- Plots and data formatting were performed in R v4.1.0 (R Core Team, 2021) with the
- following packages: cowplot v1.1.1 (Wilke, 2020), dplyr v1.0.7 (Wickham et al. 2021),
- 240 ggplot2 v3.3.5 (Wickham, 2016), reshape2 v1.4.4 (Wickham, 2007), stringr v1.4.0
- 241 (Wickham, 2010), tidyr v1.1.3 (Wickham, 2017), and tidyverse v1.3.1.9 (Wickham et al.,
- 242 2019). For phylogenetic data formatting, analysis, and plotting we used R v4.1.0 (R
- 243 Core Team, 2021) with the following packages: ape v5.5 (Paradis and Schliep, 2019),
- geiger v2.0.7 (Pennell et al., 2014), phytools v0.7.8, (Revell, 2012), and tidyverse
- 245 v1.3.1.9, (Wickham et al., 2019).
- 246
- 247 **RESULTS**
- 248 Trends in publishing

249

250 Research topics.-

251 We identified 13,208 articles published from 2016 through 2020 that included at least 252 one of our amphibian-specific search terms in their abstracts. Overall, amphibian 253 publications increased by 15% from 2016 to 2020, which equals the 15% increase in 254 total publications (no search filters) during that time period (Fig. 1a; Table S1). The 255 majority of amphibian publications were in five subfields: development (1,865), 256 conservation (1,757), genetics OR genomics (1,506), behavior (1,023), and 257 phylogenetics (999). Relatively few amphibian publications mentioned phylogenomics (32), microbiome (83), or eDNA (86; Fig. 1b; Table S1). Almost all subject areas 258 259 increased in amphibian publications, with the exception of fossil publications (30 in 2016 and 29 in 2020), cell and molecular publications (41 in 2016 and 40 in 2020), and 260 261 behavior publications (205 in 2016 and 203 in 2020). The fastest growing subject areas, 262 microbiome, phylogenomics, eDNA, morphology, and diet all showed a 50% or greater increase in amphibian publications from 2016 through 2020 (Fig. 1a; Table S1). 263 264

Of the 13,208 total amphibian publications from 2016 through 2020, 629 (5%)

266 mentioned Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis, OR Ambystoma mexicanum in their

abstracts. These model amphibian publications were not evenly distributed among

research areas, making up a substantial proportion of cell AND molecular (23%),

development (13%), genomic(s) (10%), and physiology (9%) publications, but only 5%

270 or less of all other research areas (Fig. S1).

271

272 Journals.-

273 The peer-reviewed journals with the largest number of amphibian publications from

274 2016 through 2020 were PLOS One (317), Scientific Reports (247), Zootaxa (186),

275 PeerJ (174), and Ecology and Evolution (172; Table S2). Although our literature search

- recovered amphibian publications in 23 languages, the vast majority (98%) of
- amphibian publications recognized in our search were written in English (Table S3).
- 278

In the last five years, two herpetological journals acquired new names: *Revista Latinoamericana de Herpetología* in 2017 (formerly *Revista Mexicana de Herpetología*),
and *Ichthyology and Herpetology* (formerly *Copeia*) in 2021. The latter is published by
the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, which acknowledged the
racist views of eponymous herpetologist Edward Drinker Cope and whose Board of
Governors approved the name change in July 2020. Thus, the title change reflects the
wider movement towards making the discipline more inclusive (Cahan, 2020).

286

287 Advances in amphibian conservation research

288

289 Factors driving decline in the largest number of amphibian species.-

Based on our review of AmphibiaWeb data, the principal driver of amphibian declines is
habitat alteration and loss (Fig. 2). The drivers subsumed in our habitat alteration and
loss category threaten at least 46.6% of amphibian species with accounts on
AmphibiaWeb. Furthermore, pooling the habitat alteration and loss category with
resource exploitation, roads and urban development, and intentional changes to
hydrology categories—which all encompass the repercussions of physical changes to
amphibian habitat—the percentage of affected species increases to 51.2%.

298 Though habitat loss and degradation are undebatable drivers of amphibian declines, it 299 is important that we interpret these data (Fig. 2) with the caveat that certain drivers are 300 easier to measure than others, and that some drivers have only recently been studied. 301 For example, habitat loss can be described based on observational data alone, while 302 the low percentage of amphibian species for which genetic degradation is a 303 documented driver of decline (50 species) can partly be attributed to the requirement of 304 molecular work and knowledge of the species' evolutionary history for its ascertainment, 305 which requires tools that have only recently been developed and democratized. 306 Likewise, we know that climate change and disease threaten a large proportion of 307 extant amphibian diversity (Warren et al., 2013; Olson et al., 2021), but these drivers 308 have only been focal points in amphibian conservation over the past two to three

309	decades. Meanwhile, pollutants are better documented as a driver of decline, but have
310	been a focus for at least sixty years (225 amphibian species being documented as
311	declining due to pollutants versus 170 species for climatic factors and 149 for disease
312	and immune functioning; Fig. 2).
313	
314	Factors largely associated with endangered or extinct amphibian species
315	
316	When prioritizing which drivers of amphibian decline should receive limited conservation
317	attention and resources, we should consider not only the raw count of amphibian
318	species affected by a driver, but also which threats drive the most severe declines or
319	tend to impact already vulnerable species. For example, while habitat alteration and
320	loss may be the most common threat, the threat categories most closely associated with
321	endangered or extinct species are disease and weakened immune functioning (59.11%
322	of EN, CR, EX/EW species) and genetic degradation (56%). Thus, these two types of
323	threats may tend to drive sudden and dramatic declines, particularly for endangered
324	species that are also facing other stressors (Knapp et al., 2016; Fisher and Garner,
325	2020). The overrepresentation of highly endangered or extinct species in certain threat
326	categories makes them clear strategic targets for conservation programs.
327	
328	Trends and updates in amphibian diversity and systematics
329	
330	Amphibian diversity.–
331	From 2016 to 2020, 780 new species of amphibians were described (Fig. 3), a higher
332	number than in the previous five years (732 species between 2011 and 2015). Most
333	(705) of the new species described in the last five years were from the largest
334	amphibian order, Anura; salamanders gained 66 species and caecilians gained 9. Six
335	new genera of frogs were described based entirely on newly discovered species:
336	Astrobatrachus (Vijayakumar et al., 2019, also representing the new subfamily
337	Astrobatrachinae); Blythophryne (Chandramouli et al., 2016); Mini (Scherz et al., 2019;
338	Fig. 3f); Siamophryne (Suwannapoom et al., 2018); Sigalegalephrynus (Smart et al.,

339 2017); and *Vietnamophryne* (Poyarkov et al., 2018).

340

341 At a regional level, most new species added from 2016 to 2020 are from Latin America 342 (40.8%), Asia (37.2%) and Africa (12.0%; Fig. 4a). Less than 10% of the new species 343 were from the other regions: Oceania-Australia, North America and Europe. All regions 344 had more described species between 2016–2020 than during 2011–2015, except for 345 Oceania-Australia (22 fewer species). The countries with the most new species added 346 from 2016 to 2020 were China (100 species), Brazil (95 species), Ecuador (67 species), 347 Madagascar (56 species), and Peru (56 species). Regions with a high density of new 348 species described are southern Andes of Ecuador, northern Madagascar, and southern 349 China (Fig. 4A). If the global trend continues as in the last decade (10–11% species 350 increase every five years), we can expect to reach 9,000 amphibian species by the end 351 of 2024.

352

353 Remarkably, the yearly rates of increase in the numbers of anurans, caudatans, and 354 gymnophionans are guite consistent (Fig. 4bc). The steeper increase in anuran species 355 indicates that we are still very much in the age of discovery for amphibian diversity. It remains unclear how much of this pattern is tied to the recognition of cryptic species 356 357 based on molecular studies. We suggest that much of the substantial cryptic diversity 358 remains unaccounted for taxonomically as many taxa are given informal identifiers such 359 as "Hyla species 1" in published papers and on GenBank, but many GenBank 360 accessions are not updated when the species is formally named. This phenomenon is 361 captured by Fig. 4d, which illustrates the growing number of GenBank sequences 362 representing unspecified amphibian species. New species were described in the 363 majority of amphibian families, and Strabomantidae and Microhylidae had the absolute 364 greatest number of new species (Fig. 5).

365

366 Amphibian systematics.-

In contrast with the first 15 years of the new millennium, during which dramatic changes
 occurred in both our understanding of the higher-level phylogeny of amphibians and in
 the corresponding taxonomy, the past five years have seen much less flux. This stability
 has been maintained despite the transition from Sanger-sequencing-based multilocus

371 phylogenetic approaches and mitogenomic analyses to phylogenomic analyses based 372 on new datasets of hundreds to thousands of sequenced loci (e.g., Lemmon and 373 Lemmon, 2012; Portik et al., 2016; Hutter et al., 2021). There is complete agreement 374 among recent phylogenomic studies regarding the family-level relationships of 375 salamanders (Shen et al., 2013; Hime et al., 2021) and caecilians; among the recent 376 major studies, only Hime et al. (2021) included caecilians, but their findings are in 377 agreement with prior understanding of caecilian relationships based on Sanger data, 378 such as Kamei et al. (2012). Even among the anurans, the various major studies have 379 found nearly complete agreement except within Hyloidea, where some weakly 380 supported arrangements are resolved differently across studies (e.g., Feng et al., 2017; 381 Streicher et al., 2018; Hime et al., 2021). Even the major relationships among the 19 382 families that comprise Ranoidea have been largely stable in recent treatments. There is 383 now remarkable agreement and taxonomic stability in terms of the higher level 384 phylogenetic relationships among and within all three amphibian orders.

385

386 The most significant changes in our understanding of the deep relationships of amphibians comes from phylogenomic analyses of neobatrachians. Within ranoid frogs, 387 388 phylogenomic analyses support a sister relationship between the Afrobatrachia (Arthroleptidae, Brevicipitidae, Hemisotidae, Hyperoliidae) and Natatanura (Feng et al., 389 390 2017; Hime et al., 2021) in contrast to previous analyses that found a sister relationship 391 between Microhylidae and Afrobatrachia. Within the Natatanura, these same analyses 392 resolve a clade of the six families endemic to continental Africa (Conrauidae, 393 Odontobatrachidae, Petropedetidae, Phrynobatrachidae, Ptychadenidae, 394 Pyxicephalidae). This is the sister-group of all other nataturans (Feng et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2018; Hime et al., 2021). Yuan et al. (2018) provided strong support for 395 396 Ranixalidae + Nyctibatrachidae; this clade is the sister-group of other natatanurans excluding the clade of six endemic African families. No phylogenomic analyses have 397 398 simultaneously included the Micrixalidae, Nyctibatrachidae, and Ranixalidae-all 399 endemic to India. However, other analyses that combine available loci with 400 phylogenomic datasets found a close relationship between Micrixalidae and Ranixalidae 401 (Feng et al., 2017), suggesting that these three families might together form a clade.

402

403 Phylogenomic analyses also are beginning to make sense of the diverse hyloid 404 radiation. Rhinodermatidae is strongly supported as the sister to all other South 405 American hyloids, followed by a clade of four families (Alsodidae, Batrachylidae, 406 Cycloramphidae, Hylodidae) referred to as the Neoaustrana (Feng et al., 2017; 407 Streicher et al., 2018; Hime et al., 2021). This is followed by the Telmatobiidae and then 408 by a strongly supported clade of three families (Ceratophryidae, Hemiphractidae, 409 Hylidae) referred to as the Amazorana (Feng et al., 2017; Streicher et al., 2018). All 410 remaining hyloids form a well supported clade, though recent studies differ in the 411 relationships among these taxa.

412

413 A review of community resources

414

415 Genomes.-

416 A total of 28 amphibian genomes with representatives from 14 different families have 417 been sequenced as of July 2021 (Figs. 5 and 6). Of these, 13 are assembled to 418 chromosome-level (scaffold N50 = 0.42 ± 0.29 Gb; mean \pm standard deviation), eight 419 are scaffolded (scaffold N50 = 0.24 ± 0.24 Mb), and six are contigs (contig N50 = $880 \pm$ 420 610 bp) (Table S4). The first genome sequenced was that of Xenopus tropicalis in 2010 421 (Hellsten et al., 2010), and it remained the sole amphibian genome until Nanorana 422 parkeri became available in 2015 (Sun et al., 2015). Since then, sequencing of 423 amphibian (mostly anuran) genomes has slowly but steadily increased (Fig. 6). The 424 largest amphibian genome sequenced to date is Ambystoma maculatum, with an 425 estimated diploid genome size of ~34 Gb (Nowoshilow et al., 2018). Not surprisingly, all 426 but one (Nanorana parkeri) of the chromosome-scale genomes used a combination of 427 sequencing technologies, while those that remain as scaffolds or contigs were built 428 using only the Illumina system short-read technologies (Table S4). 429

430 NCBI Sequence Read Archive.-

The amount of next-generation sequencing data deposited into the NCBI Sequence
Read Archive (SRA) since its origin in 2008 (Leinonen et al., 2011) has been steadily

433 increasing for both model and non-model amphibian species (Fig. 7a). In 2019, the

434 amount of data available for non-model anurans surpassed that of model anurans (i.e.,

435 Xenopus laevis, X. tropicalis). The cumulative number of SRA studies (Fig. 7b) and

436 cumulative number of species (Fig. 7c) increased in parallel over time. By the end of

437 2020, nearly 1000 species of amphibians (815 of which are anurans) had sequences

438 deposited in the SRA (Fig. 5; Fig. 7c; note that these numbers are inflated because they

439 include unspecified, subspecies, and hybrid samples; see Fig 4d).

440

441 NCBI GenBank Nucleotide database.-

442 Although the NCBI GenBank database was established in 1982 (Choudhuri, 2014), very 443 few amphibian species were represented in the database until 2000 (Fig. 8). Early 444 amphibian data were almost exclusively from *Xenopus* (Fig. 8, solid line, green circles). 445 Since then, the number of represented species in NCBI databases has increased 446 dramatically, to 6,203 (of a total of 8,268 known species) in 2020 (Fig. 8). However, 447 despite this linear increase in representation, non-model species were not represented 448 by large numbers of sequences until 2016; even today, most existing amphibian 449 sequences in GenBank are from Xenopus (Fig. 8).

450

451 While the number of mtDNA sequences for anurans has risen gradually over time, the 452 number for salamanders and caecilians has remained relatively flat (Fig. 8 mtDNA 453 panel). In contrast, the number of nDNA and mRNA sequences added to GenBank has 454 been stochastic and likely reflects specific large-scale sequencing projects (Fig. 8). For 455 example, in 2012, >100,000 nDNA sequences of Xenopus tropicalis and X. laevis were 456 deposited as part of new genome data that were beginning to be published at that time 457 (Hellsten et al., 2010); many of these sequences were generated from Bacterial Artificial 458 Chromosomes (BAC). Earlier BAC-generated sequences from X. tropicalis are 459 responsible for the peak in 2003 of nDNA sequences (Wells et al., 2011). The notable 460 increase in model-anuran mRNA from 2000 to 2007 is largely from the NCBI full-length 461 cDNA project, which added *Xenopus* to its list of focal species in 2002 (Klein et al., 462 2002; Gerhard et al., 2004). Additional notable contributors to increases in nDNA 463 include whole-genome shotgun sequences from X. laevis (Session et al., 2016) and

- Nanorana parkeri (Sun et al., 2015), as well as other large-scale sequencing projects 464
- 465 (e.g., Ultra Conserved Element sequences from Kaloula, Alexander et al., 2017; Fig. 8).
- 466 In addition, several notable increases in non-model mRNA and nDNA sequence
- deposition likely are related to other new genomes (e.g., Rana catesbeiana in 2017 467
- 468 [Hammond et al., 2017]; Microcaecilia unicolor in 2019 and Geotrypetes seraphini in
- 469 2020, both from the Vertebrate Genomes Project,
- 470 https://vertebrategenomesproject.org/; Koepfli et al. 2015) and transcriptomes (e.g.,
- 471 Bombina variegata variegata in 2016, R. catesbeiana in 2017; both in Transcriptome
- 472 Shotgun Assembly [TSA] formats, which are primarily built from short-read technology
- 473 [Suzuki et al., 2016; Nürnberger et al., 2016]).
- 474

475 Acoustic data.-

- 476 We identified eight major repositories that collectively contain 156,514 amphibian
- 477 recordings (Table 1): Macaulay Library, Fonozoo, La Sonothèque, AmphibiaWeb,
- Fonoteca Neotropical Jacques Vielliard, Anfibios del Ecuador BIOWEB, Australian 478
- 479 Museum FrogID Project, and Chinese National Specimen Resource Sharing Platform.
- 480 Collectively, these call repositories contain calls for 1,985 unique species from 45
- 481 anuran families and four salamander families. The anuran families Pipidae (41 species),
- 482 Mantellidae (232 species), Myobatrachidae (133 species), Scaphiopodidae (seven
- 483 species), and Rhinophrynidae (one species) each have calls recorded for more than
- 484 50% of the family's species (Fig. 5). Fonozoo contains the highest number of species
- 485 represented, although FrogID contains the greatest number of recordings (Table 1). Of
- 486 note among these databases are the Fonoteca Neotropical Jacques Vielliard (FNJV),
- 487 which is the largest public and institutional online audiovisual repository in Latin
- 488 America, and the Australian Museum FrogID Project, which is Australia's first national community-science frog identification initiative.
- 489
- 490

491 CT-scan data.-

- 492 Since 2016, CT-scan datasets for 1,947 amphibian specimens, including image stacks
- 493 and 3D mesh files, have been shared via MorphoSource (www.morphosource.org).
- 494 These include 1,530 anuran specimens representing 976 species (in 402 genera; 88%

495 of genera), 362 caudatan specimens representing 184 species (in 66 genera; 97%), and 496 55 gymnophionan specimens representing 40 species (in 32 genera; 97%; Fig. 5). 497 Many of these data were generated as part of the NSF openVertebrate (oVert) 498 Thematic Collections Network. In addition, there are a few CT-scans of extinct crown-499 group amphibians, such as 26 fossils of *Beelzebufo* deposited in 2015. MorphoSource also now houses most, if not all, of the CT-scan media from the Digital Morphology 500 501 project (DigiMorph; www.digimorph.org). DigiMorph began in the early 2000s and still 502 has CT-scan media available from 25 anuran species (in 21 genera), 28 caudate 503 species (in 16 genera; plus one extinct salamander), and seven caecilian species (in 504 seven genera).

505

Phenome10K also hosts 3D mesh files of amphibian skulls associated with several
recent publications (Marshall et al., 2019; Fabre et al., 2020; Bardua et al., 2021). As of
October 2021, the website (www.phenome10k.org) makes available 3D mesh files of
skulls for 105 anuran species (in 94 genera), 104 caudate species (in 51 genera), and
seven gymnophionan species (in seven genera). In many cases, the image stacks for
these specimens also are available on MorphoSource.

512

513 Other trait databases.-

514 From 2016 through 2020, two large amphibian trait databases were published: 515 AmphiBIO (Oliveira et al., 2017) and a Colombian anuran database (Mendoza-Henao et 516 al., 2019). AmphiBIO (Oliveira et al., 2017), the largest species-level amphibian trait 517 database that can be readily downloaded, contains 6,776 species and a broad range of 518 ecological and life-history traits (e.g., microhabitat, diet, activity time, clutch size), but 519 the matrix completeness is only 21%. Although the Colombian anuran database is much 520 more complete, it contains morphological data from fewer species (239), which represent 38% of Colombian anuran diversity. Of note, the Colombian anuran database 521 522 includes individual-level data for 4,623 museum specimens, with a range of 1 to 118 523 individuals (median = 8) measured per species. Three other existing databases were 524 identified: one includes conservation, ecological, and life-history traits of 86 European 525 species (Trochet et al., 2014); another, the Anuran Traits of the United States (ATraiU,

526 Moore et al., 2021), contains ecological, morphological, and life history data of 106 527 anuran species, representing 91% of frog species in the USA (AmphibiaWeb, 2021). 528 Finally, NSF-funded VertNet (http://vertnet.org/) aggregates individual-level amphibian 529 trait data from records published by museum collections (e.g., body length, mass) that 530 are tied to the location where individuals were collected and sometimes other traits 531 (e.g., lifestage, sex). At the conclusion of 2020, VertNet had 1,261 individual records of 532 amphibian body lengths (covering 897 species) and 455 individual records of amphibian 533 body mass (covering 337 species).

534

535 Online portals for amphibians: AmphibiaWeb.-

In 2020, AmphibiaWeb celebrated its 20th anniversary, marking its commitment to its
original vision—that "a healthy future for all life on Earth must include thriving

amphibians, and the means to conserving amphibians is to enable and facilitate better

- research and education with an accessible, consolidated, and curated information
- 540 system for all amphibian species" (AmphibiaWeb, 2021:
- 541 <u>https://amphibiaweb.org/about/index.html</u>). Many of the core activities of AmphibiaWeb
- remain the same in the last two decades—a web page for every amphibian species with
- 543 literature-based accounts and spatial data. Photos for species are provided through
- 544 CalPhotos, a service also administered by UC Berkeley and used by other natural
- 545 history projects (e.g., ReptileDatabase, etc). Much of AmphibiaWeb's data (e.g., species
- 546 accounts, type localities, range maps and traits) have been used in research studies
- 547 (reviewed in Uetz et al., 2021), including this article.
- 548

Usage of AmphibiaWeb (tracked by Google Analytics) continues to be strong, averaging
28,000 users per month (between June and November 2021) from 215 countries or
sovereignties. Of the over 35% of users who shared demographic data, users trended
female (54.5%) and young (33% 18–24 years old, 27% 25–34 years old). The site
averaged 1.74 million page views per year in the last five years, with a high of 2.5
million page views during the pandemic of 2020 (page views are a measure of the
number of times a page has been visited even in a single session of a single user).

557 Over the last five years, the AmphibiaWeb team has added 277 species accounts, a set 558 of family-richness maps, and improved methods to access data and materials including 559 a searchable public repository (<u>https://github.com/AmphibiaWeb/aw-assets</u>). It now hosts over 3,500 species accounts with over 42,200 photos embedded from CalPhotos, 560 561 representing 4,766 species. Newly added educational materials range from a primer on 562 why phylogeny, taxonomy, and nomenclature are useful in the study of amphibians to 563 Spanish and English educational materials aimed for K–12 students. Recent outreach 564 initiatives have been the art contest with original AmphibiaWeb designs 565 ("#ArtYourAmphibian") and a quarterly newsletter.

566

567 Online portals for amphibians: Anfibios del Ecuador-BIOWEB.-

568 With 656 amphibian species (as of November 2021), Ecuador is the third most species

rich country in the world. In 2018, the zoology museum of the Catholic University of

570 Ecuador (QCAZ) launched the website Anfibios del Ecuador

571 (<u>https://bioweb.bio/faunaweb/amphibiaweb</u>) to give access to comprehensive

information about all amphibian species of Ecuador, which represent nearly 8% of the

573 amphibian species worldwide. Anfibios del Ecuador was inspired by AmphibiaWeb and

has a similar scope but at a country level. Anfibios del Ecuador replaced the previous

575 portal "AmphibiaWebEcuador," which operated between 2010 and 2017. The website is

576 currently part of a larger Ecuadorian biodiversity database called BIOWEB.

577

578 Anfibios del Ecuador provides detailed species accounts, which include an extensive 579 photo gallery with 206,785 images. Species phenotypic variation is well documented 580 with an average number of photographs per species of 323 (maximum 8746 for 581 Pristimantis achatinus) and a median of 144. Species distribution is documented with a 582 database of over 50,000 geographic records from the literature and the specimen 583 database of the QCAZ museum. Those records are used to build species distribution 584 models under current and future environmental conditions using the MAXENT algorithm 585 (Phillips et al., 2017).

- 587 The website also presents overviews of the biogeography, species richness, and the
- 588 conservation status of the Ecuadorian amphibians. Overviews of the Natural Regions,
- 589 geography and climate of Ecuador are also provided. Anfibios del Ecuador gives access
- to: (1) the database of the amphibian QCAZ collection, the largest for Ecuadorian
- amphibians (76,500 specimens), and (2) the QCAZ sound collection with 1,297
- recordings for 222 species (Table 1).
- 593

Crucially, Anfibios del Ecuador is in Spanish and helps to provide scientific data in
languages other than English. For most species, Anfibios del Ecuador presents the only
comprehensive species accounts available online in Spanish. Since 2018, Anfibios del
Ecuador has been connected with AmphibiaWeb through a web API that allows
visualizing the Spanish-language species accounts and photographs from Anfibios del
Ecuador within AmphibiaWeb.

600

Anfibios del Ecuador has high visitor traffic (data from Google Analytics). Between June and November 2021, for example, it received approximately 16,000 monthly visitors from 106 countries or sovereignties. 51% of visitors shared demographic data, of which 72% were women and 28% men. Younger age groups are the most frequent visitors:

- 605 18–24 years old represented 37% of the visits, followed by 25–34 years (23%).
- 606

607 Online portals for amphibians: AmphibiaChina.-

608 In November of 2015, the Kunming Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences 609 launched the website AmphibiaChina (www.amphibiachina.org) as an online database 610 for Chinese amphibians (Che and Wang, 2016). China currently hosts 587 amphibian 611 species (as of December 12, 2021) and many new species are being described each 612 year. AmphibiaChina aims to provide a platform for sharing research progress and 613 promoting accessibility to people and institutions (e.g., scientists, governmental 614 agencies, and the public) who are interested in Chinese amphibians. Visitors to 615 AmphibiaChina from 2016–2020 come from over 100 countries each year.

617 During the past five years, AmphibiaChina has undergone some structural changes, 618 including a comprehensive update to version 2. AmphibiaChina has the following major 619 sections: Classification, Species Identifications, Chinese Amphibian Tree of Life, News, 620 and Photo Gallery. Users can navigate the Classification section using the hierarchical 621 system of formal taxonomy or by geographic region (province). An up-to-date phylogeny 622 of Chinese amphibians is provided. Comprehensive information on each species is 623 available, and multiple species can be compared in a single page. The photograph 624 gallery is extensive, with 9,042 images representing 433 species. AmphibiaChina also 625 offers two online species identification tools: an amphibian image recognition module 626 that uses deep learning and image processing techniques to automatically identify the 627 species in user images, and a search engine which compares user-uploaded mtDNA 628 sequences to a sequence database using BLAST. Since 2016, AmphibiaChina has 629 linked reciprocally to accounts on AmphibiaWeb for species occurring in China. 630

631 Online portals for amphibians: Amphibian Species of the World.-

An online resource launched around the same time as AmphibiaWeb in 2000 that extended work first presented by Frost (1985), the Amphibian Species of the World database provides a critical bibliography of all amphibian taxonomy and species with reciprocal links to several of the other listed online resources here (Frost, 2021).

637 Online portals for amphibians: IUCN Red List.-

638 The International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN; https://www.iucnredlist.org) 639 sets the most comprehensive global ranking and listing of conservation status for 640 animal, plant, and fungi species including amphibians based on expert assessments. 641 The Red List, as the compilation of conservation statuses is called, includes categories 642 from Extinct and Critically Endangered to Least Concern. Species which lack enough 643 information for a conservation status are listed as Data Deficient. Details on threats, 644 trends in population, conservation actions, basically any information that helped 645 determine the status are available on their website as are spatial range data. Many of 646 these data are linked or incorporated in other portals listed here.

648 Online portals for amphibians: Amphibian Ark, Conservation Needs, and 649 Conservation Evidence.–

- 650 The Amphibian Ark organization was borne from the need to implement the IUCN 651 Amphibian Conservation Action Plan (Gascon, 2007), specifically charged to focus on 652 ex situ conservation, hence Amphibian Ark maintains and provides valuable husbandry 653 and *ex situ* conservation publications on many amphibian species 654 (https://www.amphibianark.org/husbandry-documents). Amphibian Ark and their 655 partners also develop, manage and share Conservation Needs Assessments (CNA; 656 https://www.conservationneeds.org) which integrate both in situ and ex situ 657 conservation actions for species at either the regional or national level. Links to species-658 specific CNAs are incorporated into AmphibiaWeb species pages as part of the 659 Conservation Status table. 660 661 Finally, more than 500 papers on conservation efforts with amphibians are collated in a
- 662 Conservation Evidence database (<u>https://www.conservationevidence.com</u>), which has
- summary functions that allow users to review evidence for the most effective
- 664 approaches to amphibian conservation.
- 665

666 Online portals for amphibians: Amphibian Disease Portal.-

667 Following the discovery of the pathogen Bsal in Europe (Martel et al., 2013), biologists 668 in the USA, Mexico, and Canada formed the North American Bsal Task Force to 669 address the potential for Bsal-caused chytridiomycosis outbreak in North America. 670 AmphibiaWeb became the co-lead for the Data Management effort with the USDA 671 Forest Service and launched an effort to to establish a new open-access repository and 672 archive for both Bd and Bsal data (Koo et al., 2021) called the Amphibian Disease 673 Portal (https://amphibiandisease.org). The portal addresses two urgent needs: 1) to 674 create a sustainable, modernized repository to aggregate and rapidly share global data 675 on the fungal pathogens of amphibians Bd and Bsal; and 2) to upgrade and migrate the 676 discontinued Bd-Maps database (Olson et al., 2013) to a new repository. The 677 Amphibian Disease Portal reciprocally links with AmphibiaWeb species pages to display 678 species-specific Bd and Bsal data. Since its introduction in 2017, it now encompasses a

broad global and temporal coverage of Bd (Fig. 9) and Bsal data (for details, see Olson
et al., 2021; Koo et al., 2021). The amphibian disease portal contains Bd samples from
all but five amphibian families (missing are two frog, two caecilian, and one salamander
family) and positive Bd samples from all but sixteen amphibian families (Fig. 5).
Currently, the Disease Portal dashboard displays dynamic counts of sample data by

country, species and diseases tested and provides species-specific pie charts and linksto original, downloadable datasets.

686

687 Discussion

688

689 Current picture and future projections for amphibian biodiversity.-

The pace of amphibian species descriptions continues unabated, with ~150 new 690 691 species being described each year. However, our picture of amphibian diversity is 692 changing in some predictable ways. The majority of new species are being described in 693 Latin America (Vasconcelos et al., 2019) and Asia and specifically are concentrated in 694 diverse families such as the Strabomantidae and Megophryidae. For example, the number of new species listed on AmphibiaChina increased from just four new species in 695 696 2015 (Murphy, 2016), to 41 new species reported in 2020 (Chen et al., 2021). 697 Furthermore, our understanding of the relationships among amphibian families remains 698 largely stable, however there remain several diverse lineages, including both 699 subfamilies and genera, for which thorough and synoptic revisionary phylogenetic 700 studies are needed.

701

702 On the other end of the spectrum from the description of previously unknown amphibian 703 diversity, the study of amphibian declines has continued to be a central theme in 704 amphibian biology. However, though the role of habitat loss and modification as 705 principal drivers of amphibian declines is not a new finding (IUCN, 2021), relative to 706 their importance these pivotal drivers have been deemphasized in amphibian 707 conservation research (Green et al., 2020). Even still, over the last five years large-708 scale meta-analyses have confirmed several basic expectations of the repercussions of 709 habitat degradation for amphibians : (i) land use change decreases amphibian species

710 richness (Cordier et al., 2021), (ii) amphibian abundance declines towards the edge of 711 fragmented habitat (Schneider-Maunoury et al., 2016), and (iii) habitat conversion tends 712 to hurt specialist amphibians, driving the phylogenetic homogenization of communities 713 (Thompson et al., 2016; Nowakowski et al., 2018a). Lamentably, the most amphibian-714 rich communities undergo the most species loss and turnover after habitat modification, 715 and communities fail to recover completely over time (Thompson and Donnelly, 2018; 716 Goldspiel et al., 2019; Cordier et al., 2021). Models of the impact of habitat loss and a 717 'middle of the road' climate and development scenario predict that 10% of known 718 amphibians will be lost by 2070 (Powers and Jetz, 2019). We cannot prevent the loss of 719 amphibian species to climate change altogether, but protecting areas from further land 720 use change will be critical to mitigating further losses (Chen et al., 2017).

721 Among diseases driving amphibian declines, a primary concern over the past five years 722 has continued to be chytridiomycosis, the disease caused by the fungal pathogens 723 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) and B. salamandrivorans (Bsal). Some long-term 724 monitoring projects of Bd-exposed amphibian species or communities are reaching their 725 tenth or twentieth year, making large-scale meta-analyses possible. Bd has now been 726 detected in 55% of amphibian species and 69% of countries sampled (Olson et al., 727 2021), contributing to declines across many families (Scheele et al., 2019)—though 728 disentangling the extent of its impact is still challenging (Lambert et al., 2020). In some 729 sites, populations declined or were extirpated synchronously with Bd epizootics, and 730 have not recovered under the burden of Bd and additional stressors (Adams et al., 731 2017b; Bosch et al., 2021). Other populations may be recovering, despite the continued 732 presence of Bd (Knapp et al., 2016; Seimon et al., 2017; Voyles et al., 2018). The 733 broader impacts of amphibian declines on ecosystems are largely unknown, though 734 steep amphibian declines in Panama appear to have induced a trophic cascade, driving 735 declines in snake diversity and body condition (Zipkin et al., 2020).

Species recovering after Bd-associated declines appear to have altered their response
to Bd (Palomar et al., 2016; Knapp et al., 2016; Kosch et al., 2019), with instances of
positive directional selection documented in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC)
and other immunogenes (Savage and Zamudio, 2016; Kosch et al., 2016; Voyles et al.,

2018), while species continuing to decline in the wild remain susceptible to Bd despite
prolonged exposure (Catenazzi et al., 2017). Such continued declines might be
particularly common in systems with additional stressors like climate change, pollution,
and habitat fragmentation, which synergistically impair amphibians' capacity to respond
to any individual stressor (Nowakowski et al., 2016; Scheele et al., 2016; Rollins-Smith,
2017; Cohen et al., 2017, 2019; Adams et al., 2017a; Greenspan et al., 2017; McCoy
and Peralta, 2018).

747 Unlike Bd, which is no longer causing mass amphibian die-offs in most regions, Bsal 748 continues to drive steep local declines and extirpations (Schmeller et al., 2020; Thein et 749 al., 2020; Vences and Lötters, 2020). It has spread across Northern Europe, even in 750 areas with low host densities, causing collapses in susceptible species (Spitzen-van der 751 Sluijs et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2017; Stegen et al., 2017). Alarmingly, we do not yet 752 have evidence for acquired immunity to Bsal, and Bsal pathogenicity has not attenuated 753 over time (Stegen et al., 2017). Herpetologists continue to monitor for Bsal elsewhere in 754 the world, as it could drive devastating biodiversity decline in places like North America. 755 which contains half of global salamander diversity (Richgels et al., 2016; Iwanowicz et 756 al., 2017; Parrott et al., 2017; Yap et al., 2017; Waddle et al., 2020). Continued 757 widespread surveillance for Bd and Bsal, and platforms promoting the accessibility of 758 these data (see Community resources section), will help us improve our capacity to 759 mitigate the impacts of these pathogens through monitoring, policy, and management. 760

761 Challenges and opportunities in amphibian research.-

762 Large amphibian genome sizes remain one of the greatest challenges in amphibian 763 research. The size and repetitive content of amphibian genomes has hindered whole-764 genome sequencing efforts (Sun et al., 2020). For comparison, >500 fish genomes 765 (Randhawa and Pawar, 2021; average size of 808 Mb) and >300 bird genomes have 766 been sequenced (Feng et al., 2020; average size of 1.13 Gb, (Randhawa and Pawar, 767 2021), yet only 28 amphibian genomes are available. The average sizes of sequenced 768 amphibian genomes (excluding contig-level assemblies (4.03 Gb for Anura [N = 18], 769 33.99 Gb for Caudata [N = 1], 4.75 Gb for Gymnophiona [N = 3]) are below the average 770 amphibian genome sizes (4.28 Gb for Anura, 35.95 Gb for Caudata, 6.44 Gb for

Gymnophiona, based on values reported in Liedtke et al., 2018. Nevertheless, the
number of species represented in NCBI sequence databases continues to increase, as
does the use of high-throughput technologies for non-model species. A concerted effort
to review and update the taxonomic identities of GenBank sequences will become more
and more necessary as the database continues to grow.

776

777 We expect to see exponential growth in genomic data for amphibians in the coming 778 years, especially as more reference genomes are made available. Moreover, we expect 779 growth in the development of new tools for other emerging amphibian model species, 780 such as medical applications arising from research on regeneration in *Notophthalamus* 781 viridescens (Joven et al., 2019), freezing tolerance in Rana sylvatica (Joanisse and 782 Storey, 1996; Gerber et al., 2016; Costanzo, 2019), and chytrid disease in Atelopus 783 zeteki (McCaffery et al., 2015; Cohen et al., 2017; Byrne et al., 2021), along with even 784 more innovative advances in existing model systems like the self-replicating biological 785 robots created from Xenopus tissue ("xenobots"; Kriegman et al., 2021). These 786 advances in genetic tools paired with more accessible and inexpensive sequencing 787 technologies will accelerate research in areas currently underrepresented in amphibian 788 publications, such as research focused on phylogenomics, microbiomes, and eDNA. 789

790 Although there is a wealth of accessible amphibian data online (Fig. 5), we still lack 791 basic natural history and phenotypic data for a large portion of amphibian genera and 792 families. For example, although most anuran families have calls recorded, certain 793 families that contain species thought or known to call (Ceuthomantidae, Ranixalidae, 794 Odontobatrachidae, Conrauidae, Petropedetidae, Brevicipitidae, and Heleophrynidae) 795 have no call records in the databases examined here and many other families 796 (Arthroleptidae, Craugastoridae, Megophryidae, Micrixalidae, Phrynobatrachidae, 797 Pyxicephalidae, and Telmatobiidae) have calls recorded for fewer than 10% of species. 798 Interviews conducted at two herpetology conferences found that nearly 80% of 799 herpetologists did not catalog collected sound data because they did not have the time 800 or expertise, or felt that the efforts were unnecessary (Dena et al., 2020). Additionally, 801 although all amphibian families (except Chikilidae) have publicly available CT-scans for 802 at least one species, most families (41 of 74 total) have CT-scans available for fewer 803 than one guarter of their family's species. Ecological and natural history databases often 804 lack information for many genera and families (e.g., ~80% missing data in AmphiBIO; 805 Oliveira et al., 2017), and Paluh et al. (2021) recently found that 161 of 456 total frog 806 genera lacked any dietary records from the wild. Furthermore, while data exist for Bd 807 and Bsal for many amphibian families, much of those data are not yet in a centralized 808 database like the Amphibian Disease Portal. These data deficits and the lack of 809 integration among databases make comparative work and synthetic studies difficult, 810 putting amphibian research at a disadvantage compared to other tetrapod clades with 811 more complete databases (e.g., EltonTraits for birds and mammals; Wilman et al., 812 2014). Increasing natural history studies, and storing natural history data in publicly 813 accessible, easy-to-download databases are necessary aids to amphibian research and 814 conservation. In addition, it is crucially important to link data and specimens across 815 repositories so that researchers and policy-makers can integrate and track different data 816 types (e.g., DNA sequences, CT-scans, audio recordings, pathogen data) for the same 817 individual or population.

818

819 Publication biases highlight obstacles to research progress.-

820 Inequality in the demography of amphibian research can sideline diverse and locally 821 relevant viewpoints that would otherwise aid in tackling amphibian research challenges. 822 Numerous studies published from 2016 to 2020 have shown that, as in other STEM 823 (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) disciplines (Huang et al., 2020; 824 Urbina-Blanco et al., 2020; Maas et al., 2021), female authors are still under-825 represented in peer-reviewed publications of amphibian biology (Rock et al., 2021). 826 Nevertheless, the proportion of female authors in herpetological research has increased 827 over time, from 8% in 1973–1982, 15% in 1983–1993 (Wilson, 1998) to 31% in 2010– 828 2015 and 33% in 2016–2019 (Rock et al., 2021), at a remarkably constant rate 829 (although we note that these two studies considered different datasets and journals). 830 831 Several factors likely underlie these patterns of underrepresentation of female authors.

832 Within herpetology, papers with male first or last authors are less likely to have female

833 co-authors than papers with female first or last authors (Salerno et al., 2019; Rock et al., 834 2021; Grosso et al., 2021). The trend of increasing numbers of women leaving 835 academia as their career progresses (the "leaky pipeline") might partially explain the 836 discrepancy in the relatively faster growing proportion of female first versus last authors 837 found by Rock and colleagues (2021). A low proportion of senior female academics 838 affects many aspects of publishing in herpetology through gender inequity of editorial 839 boards, leadership positions, and committees of professional societies (Liévano-Latorre 840 et al., 2020; Chuliver et al., 2021; Grosso et al., 2021). Although we lack a concrete 841 understanding of the primary barriers to authorship inequality in amphibian research 842 specifically, many are likely consistent with barriers and bias found in other STEM 843 disciplines, such as male homophily (Helmer et al., 2017; Salerno et al., 2019; Rock et 844 al., 2021; Grosso et al., 2021), attrition of women and underrepresented groups 845 (Chuliver et al., 2021; Rock et al., 2021), bias in peer review (Silbiger and Stubler, 2019), and the culture of the geographic location of the authors (Fox et al., 2018; Huang 846 847 et al., 2020; Maas et al., 2021). In addition, region-specific gaps in amphibian data (e.g., 848 trait data) indicate geographic bias in amphibian research, further accentuating the 849 importance of increased support for amphibian research and equitable collaborations worldwide. 850

851

Double-blind reviews are a potential solution to minimize bias during the reviewing
process (Tomkins et al., 2017) and some herpetological journals are currently
transitioning to double-blind reviews: e.g., *Revista Latinoamericana de Herpetología*and *Herpetological Conservation and Biology, The Herpetological Journal (UK), Ichthyology & Herpetology.* Amphibian research would benefit from further analyses of
amphibian-specific publishing inequalities for identities beyond gender and

assessments of whether changing publishing practices can affect change.

Using centralized portals for amphibian biology to translate research into policy and management.–

861 Amphibian conservation biology is a crisis discipline: the urgency of amphibian declines 862 means that difficult decisions must be made even in cases with deep uncertainty. We no 863 longer speculate on the prospect of a sixth mass extinction but rather document its 864 progress (Wake and Vredenburg, 2008; Ceballos et al., 2015). One of the motivations 865 for this review is to help consolidate major findings, trends, and public databases that 866 can have immediate impact on policy and management. We urge for increased adoption 867 of adaptive management practices by relevant agencies, non-governmental 868 organizations (NGOs), and research units, where decisions are made under best 869 current information, closely monitored, and then actions are updated accordingly 870 (Meredith et al., 2016; Berger et al., 2016; Grant et al., 2016; Adams et al., 2018). Here, 871 we highlight public databases for molecular (NCBI), acoustic (Table 1), phenotypic and 872 natural history data (Trochet et al., 2014; Oliveira et al., 2017; Mendoza-Henao et al., 873 2019; Moore et al., 2021), as well as expert-curated reference databases 874 (AmphibiaWeb, AmphibiaWebEcuador, AmphibiaChina, IUCN Red List, and 875 Conservation Evidence), that can be vital tools in designing and updating adaptive 876 management strategies by centralizing and collating information relevant to 877 conservation-oriented policy and management from a diffuse literature.

878 An example of an exciting new opportunity to deploy these public databases in the 879 interests of more effective, scientifically-informed conservation is provided by global 880 30x30 initiatives—commitments by governments to protect 30% of the Earth's land and 881 oceans by 2030 (Kubiak, 2020; HAC, 2021). If new 30x30 protected areas are to serve 882 amphibian conservation needs, sites with coverage of amphibian functional. 883 phylogenetic, and taxonomic diversity should be selected, a process greatly facilitated 884 by centralized databases. Additionally, sites that alleviate cataloged decline drivers 885 (e.g., selecting sites that create climate corridors to protect species threatened by 886 climate change) could be a focus (Gonçalves et al., 2016; Subba et al., 2018; Zellmer et 887 al., 2020). In cases where Bd has been detected, in situ mitigation has had such limited 888 success that the most robust strategy for improving amphibian outcomes may be to 889 address other synchronous stressors (Knapp et al., 2016; Fisher and Garner, 2020). For 890 example, restoring or creating water features, promoting the development of 891 microhabitat to buffer amphibians against climate change, removing invasive species, 892 managing pollution from activities like mining, and addressing barriers to amphibian 893 movement are all methods that can promote amphibian population health (Reeves et

al., 2016; Nowakowski et al., 2016, 2018b; Hamer, 2016; Laufer and Gobel, 2017;
Arntzen et al., 2017; Magnus and Rannap, 2019; Goldspiel et al., 2019; Mayani-Parás
et al., 2019; Simpkins et al., 2021).

897 Conclusion.-

898 The wealth of amphibian data offers both opportunities and challenges in the coming 899 years. New species continue to accumulate at a steady rate and genomic data is 900 exponentially increasing. Conservation continues to be a major focus in amphibian 901 research and the most recent findings highlight both the role of adaptive management 902 and the importance of managing multiple stressors. To facilitate research and 903 conservation, we urge scientists to continue building and contributing to centralized 904 public databases capable of informing conservation decision-making. We aim to provide 905 a timely overview of research trends and major databases with the idea that the 906 resources and gaps highlighted here will spark and facilitate basic and applied 907 amphibian research. Finally, the overview of literature and data resources presented 908 herein provides a framework that can be adapted for other organism clades and 909 revisited over time to highlight major advances and identify opportunities for research 910 growth.

911 DATA ACCESSIBILITY

- 912 Data summarized within this manuscript along with the R scripts to generate all figures
- 913 (except Figs. 3 and 4) are available at a public repository:
- 914 <u>https://github.com/AmphibiaWeb/State-of-the-Amphibia</u>.
- 915 A Spanish-language translation of the manuscript and Chinese and French translations
- 916 of the abstract are available in our supplementary materials. The Spanish-language text
- 917 was first machine-translated with DeepL and then human-verified by ES, SR, and AC;
- 918 the Chinese translation was conducted by JC (车静) and Yunke Wu (吴耘珂); and the
- 919 French-language text was first machine-translated with DeepL and then human-verified

- 920 by AC. We hope that these translations make our work more accessible to a broad
- 921 audience and that our open-access, human-verified translation can be used in training
- 922 data sets to further improve machine translation technologies.

923 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

- 924
- 925 The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 926

927 LITERATURE CITED

- Adams, A. J., S. J. Kupferberg, M. Q. Wilber, A. P. Pessier, M. Grefsrud, S.
 Bobzien, V. T. Vredenburg, and C. J. Briggs. 2017a. Extreme drought, host
 density, sex, and bullfrogs influence fungal pathogen infection in a declining lotic
 amphibian. Ecosphere 8:e01740.
- Adams, A. J., A. P. Pessier, and C. J. Briggs. 2017b. Rapid extirpation of a North
 American frog coincides with an increase in fungal pathogen prevalence: Historical
 analysis and implications for reintroduction. Ecology and Evolution 7:10216–10232.
- 935 Adams, M. J., R. N. Harris, E. H. C. Grant, M. J. Gray, M. Camille Hopkins, S. A.
- Iverson, R. Likens, M. Mandica, D. H. Olson, A. Shepack, and H. Waddle. 2018.
 Prepublication Communication of Research Results. EcoHealth 15:478–481.
- Alexander, A. M., Y.-C. Su, C. H. Oliveros, K. V. Olson, S. L. Travers, and R. M.
 Brown. 2017. Genomic data reveals potential for hybridization, introgression, and
 incomplete lineage sorting to confound phylogenetic relationships in an adaptive
 radiation of narrow-mouth frogs. Evolution; International Journal of Organic
 Evolution 71:475–488.
- Alroy, J. 2015. Current extinction rates of reptiles and amphibians. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112:13003–13008.
- Alvarez-Buylla, A., C. Y. Payne, C. Vidoudez, S. A. Trauger, and L. A. O'Connell.
 2022. Molecular physiology of pumiliotoxin sequestration in a poison frog. PloS
 One 17:e0264540.
- 948 **AmphibiaWeb**. 2021. University of California, Berkeley, CA, USA.
- 949 https://amphibiaweb.org (accessed 1 December 2021).
- Arntzen, J. W., C. Abrahams, W. R. M. Meilink, R. Iosif, and A. Zuiderwijk. 2017.
 Amphibian decline, pond loss and reduced population connectivity under

- agricultural intensification over a 38 year period. Biodiversity and Conservation
 26:1411–1430.
- Bardua, C., A.-C. Fabre, J. Clavel, M. Bon, K. Das, E. L. Stanley, D. C. Blackburn,
 and A. Goswami. 2021. Size, microhabitat, and loss of larval feeding drive cranial
 diversification in frogs. Nature Communications 12:2503.
- Barnosky, A. D., N. Matzke, S. Tomiya, G. O. U. Wogan, B. Swartz, T. B. Quental,
 C. Marshall, J. L. McGuire, E. L. Lindsey, K. C. Maguire, B. Mersey, and E. A.
 Ferrer. 2011. Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature
 471:51–57.
- Berger, L., A. A. Roberts, J. Voyles, J. E. Longcore, K. A. Murray, and L. F.
 Skerratt. 2016. History and recent progress on chytridiomycosis in amphibians.
 Fungal Ecology 19:89–99.
- Bingham, R. E., T. J. Papenfuss, and L. Lindstrand. 2018. Phylogeography and
 species boundaries in the *Hydromantes shastae* complex, with description of two
 new species (Amphibia; Caudata; Plethodontidae). Bulletin of the Museum of
 Comparative Zoology at Harvard College.
- Blackburn, D. C., G. Giribet, D. E. Soltis, and E. L. Stanley. 2019. Predicting the
 Impact of Describing New Species on Phylogenetic Patterns. Integrative
 Organismal Biology 1:obz028.
- 971 Bosch, J., A. Mora-Cabello de Alba, S. Marquínez, S. J. Price, B. Thumsová, and J.
- **Bielby**. 2021. Long-term monitoring of amphibian populations of a National Park in
- 973 northern Spain reveals negative persisting effects of *Ranavirus*, but not
- 974 *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8:645491.
- Boyer, D. M., G. F. Gunnell, S. Kaufman, and T. M. McGeary. 2016. Morphosource:
 Archiving and sharing 3-D digital specimen data. The Paleontological Society
 Papers 22:157–181.
- Bridges, C. M. 2000. Long-term effects of pesticide exposure at various life stages of
 the southern leopard frog (*Rana sphenocephala*). Archives of Environmental
 Contamination and Toxicology 39:91–96.
- Briggs, R., and T. J. King. 1952. Transplantation of living nuclei from blastula cells into
 enucleated frogs' eggs. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 38:
 455-463.
- Bucciarelli, G. M., F. Alsalek, L. B. Kats, D. B. Green, and H. B. Shaffer. 2022. Toxic
 Relationships and Arms-Race Coevolution Revisited. Annual Review of Animal
 Biosciences 10:63–80.
- Byrne, A. Q., C. L. Richards-Zawacki, J. Voyles, K. Bi, R. Ibáñez, and E. B.
 Rosenblum. 2021. Whole exome sequencing identifies the potential for genetic

- rescue in iconic and critically endangered Panamanian harlequin frogs. Globalchange biology 27:50–70.
- Cahan, E. 2020. Amid protests against racism, scientists move to strip offensive names
 from journals, prizes, and more. Science 1:abd6441.
- Catenazzi, A., A. Swei, J. Finkle, E. Foreyt, L. Wyman, and V. T. Vredenburg. 2017.
 Epizootic to enzootic transition of a fungal disease in tropical Andean frogs: Are
 surviving species still susceptible? PloS One 12:e0186478.
- Caty, S. N., A. Alvarez-Buylla, G. D. Byrd, C. Vidoudez, A. B. Roland, E. E. Tapia,
 B. Budnik, S. A. Trauger, L. A. Coloma, and L. A. O'Connell. 2019. Molecular
 physiology of chemical defenses in a poison frog. The Journal of Experimental
 Biology 222. doi: 10.1242/jeb.204149
- Ceballos, G., P. R. Ehrlich, A. D. Barnosky, A. García, R. M. Pringle, and T. M.
 Palmer. 2015. Accelerated modern human–induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Science Advances. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.1400253
- 1003 Chandramouli, S. R., K. Vasudevan, S. Harikrishnan, S. K. Dutta, S. J. Janani, R.
 1004 Sharma, I. Das, and R. K. Aggarwal. 2016. A new genus and species of arboreal 1005 toad with phytotelmonous larvae, from the Andaman Islands, India (Lissamphibia, 1006 Anura, Bufonidae). ZooKeys 555:57–90.
- 1007 Che J. and K. Wang. 2016. AmphibiaChina: an online database of Chinese
 1008 Amphibians. Zoological Research 37:57–59.
- 1009 **Chen, J. M., Y. H. Wu, C. Q. Lu, S. B. Hou, and Z. T. Lyu**. 2021. The taxonomic 1010 changes of Amphibians and Reptiles in China in 2020.
- 1011 http://www.amphibiachina.org/news (accessed 1 December 2021).
- Chen, Y., J. Zhang, J. Jiang, S. E. Nielsen, and F. He. 2017. Assessing the
 effectiveness of China's protected areas to conserve current and future amphibian
 diversity. Diversity and Distributions 23:146–157.
- 1015 Choudhuri, S. 2014. Bioinformatics for Beginners: Genes, Genomes, Molecular
 1016 Evolution, Databases and Analytical Tools. Academic Press.
- 1017 Chuliver, M., J. Grosso, G. Fontanarrosa, J. Fratani, D. Paola Ferraro, A. S.
 1018 Duport-Bru, R. Gabriela Schneider, M. Dolores Casagranda, L. Pereyra, N.
 1019 Vicente, and José Salica, M. 2021. Gender inequities in herpetology: the case of
 1020 the Argentine community. Cuadernos de Herpetología 35.
- Clark, D. R., Jr, R. Cantu, D. F. Cowman, and D. J. Maxson. 1998. Chronic exposure
 to pentavalent arsenic of larval leopard frogs (*Rana pipiens*): bioaccumulation and
 reduced swimming performance. Ecotoxicology 7:61–67.
- 1024 Clark, K., I. Karsch-Mizrachi, D. J. Lipman, J. Ostell, and E. W. Sayers. 2016.

- 1025 GenBank. Nucleic Acids Research 44:D67–72.
- Cohen, J. M., T. A. McMahon, C. Ramsay, E. A. Roznik, E. L. Sauer, S. Bessler, D.
 J. Civitello, B. K. Delius, N. Halstead, S. A. Knutie, K. H. Nguyen, et al. 2019.
 Impacts of thermal mismatches on chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* prevalence are moderated by life stage, body size, elevation and latitude. Ecology
 Letters 22:817–825.
- 1031 Cohen, J. M., M. D. Venesky, E. L. Sauer, D. J. Civitello, T. A. McMahon, E. A.
 1032 Roznik, and J. R. Rohr. 2017. The thermal mismatch hypothesis explains host 1033 susceptibility to an emerging infectious disease. Ecology Letters 20:184–193.
- Colón-Gaud, C., M. R. Whiles, S. S. Kilham, K. R. Lips, C. M. Pringle, S. Connelly,
 and S. D. Peterson. 2009. Assessing ecological responses to catastrophic
 amphibian declines: Patterns of macroinvertebrate production and food web
 structure in upland Panamanian streams. Limnology and Oceanography 54:331–
 343.
- 1039 Cordier, J. M., R. Aguilar, J. N. Lescano, G. C. Leynaud, A. Bonino, D. Miloch, R.
 1040 Loyola, and J. Nori. 2021. A global assessment of amphibian and reptile
 1041 responses to land-use changes. Biological Conservation 253:108863.
- 1042 Costanzo, J. P. 2019. Overwintering adaptations and extreme freeze tolerance in a
 1043 subarctic population of the wood frog, *Rana sylvatica*. Journal of Comparative
 1044 Physiology B 189:1–15.
- 1045 Cusi, J. C., J. Moravec, E. Lehr, and V. Gvoždík. 2017. A new species of
 1046 semiarboreal toad of the *Rhinella festae* group (Anura, Bufonidae) from the
 1047 Cordillera Azul National Park, Peru. ZooKeys 673:21–47.
- Dascal, N. 1987. The Use of Xenopus Oocytes for the Study of Ion Channel. Critical
 Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 22:317–387.
- Dena, S., R. Rebouças, G. Augusto-Alves, C. Zornosa-Torres, M. R. Pontes, and L.
 F. Toledo. 2020. How much are we losing in not depositing anuran sound recordings in scientific collections? Bioacoustics 29:590–601.
- 1053 Dent, J. N. 1942. The embryonic development of *Plethodon cinereus* as correlated with
 1054 the differentiation and functioning of the thyroid gland. Journal of Morphology
 1055 71:577–601.
- Edholm, E.-S., L.-M. Albertorio Saez, A. L. Gill, S. R. Gill, L. Grayfer, N. Haynes, J.
 R. Myers, and J. Robert. 2013. Nonclassical MHC class I-dependent invariant T
 cells are evolutionarily conserved and prominent from early development in
 amphibians. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 of America 110:14342–14347.
- 1061 Fabre, A.-C., C. Bardua, M. Bon, J. Clavel, R. N. Felice, J. W. Streicher, J. Bonnel,

- E. L. Stanley, D. C. Blackburn, and A. Goswami. 2020. Metamorphosis shapes
 cranial diversity and rate of evolution in salamanders. Nature Ecology and
 Evolution 4:1129–1140.
- Feng, S., J. Stiller, Y. Deng, J. Armstrong, Q. Fang, A. H. Reeve, D. Xie, G. Chen,
 C. Guo, B. C. Faircloth, B. Petersen, et al. 2020. Dense sampling of bird diversity
 increases power of comparative genomics. Nature 587:252–257.
- Feng, Y.-J., D. C. Blackburn, D. Liang, D. M. Hillis, D. B. Wake, D. C. Cannatella,
 and P. Zhang. 2017. Phylogenomics reveals rapid, simultaneous diversification of
 three major clades of Gondwanan frogs at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
 114:E5864–E5870.
- Finlay, J. C., and V. T. Vredenburg. 2007. Introduced trout sever trophic connections
 in watersheds: consequences for a declining amphibian. Ecology 88:2187–2198.
 doi: 10.1890/06-0344.1
- Fisher, M. C., and T. W. J. Garner. 2020. Chytrid fungi and global amphibian declines.
 Nature reviews. Microbiology 18:332–343.
- Fleming, W. J., H. de Chacin, O. H. Pattee, and T. G. Lamont. 1982. Parathion
 accumulation in cricket frogs and its effect on American kestrels. Journal of
 Toxicology and Environmental Health 10:921–927.
- Fox, C. W., J. P. Ritchey, and C. E. T. Paine. 2018. Patterns of authorship in ecology
 and evolution: First, last, and corresponding authorship vary with gender and
 geography. Ecology and Evolution 8:11492–11507.
- Freitas, P. D., A. S. Yandulskaya, and J. R. Monaghan. 2019. Spinal Cord
 Regeneration in Amphibians: A Historical Perspective. Developmental
 Neurobiology 79:437–452.
- Frost, D. R. (1985). Amphibian species of the world (Vol. 1). Allen Press, Lawrence,
 KS.
- Frost, D. R. 2021. Amphibian Species of the World: an Online Reference.
 https://amphibiansoftheworld.amnh.org/index.php (accessed 1 December, 2021).
- 1091 Gascon, C. 2007. Amphibian conservation action plan : proceedings IUCN/SSC
 1092 Amphibian Conservation Summit 2005. IUCN.
- 1093 Geffeney, S. L., E. Fujimoto, E. D. Brodie 3rd, E. D. Brodie Jr, and P. C. Ruben.
 1094 2005. Evolutionary diversification of TTX-resistant sodium channels in a predator 1095 prey interaction. Nature 434:759–763.
- Gerber, V. E. M., S. Wijenayake, and K. B. Storey. 2016. Anti-apoptotic response
 during anoxia and recovery in a freeze-tolerant wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*). PeerJ
- 1098 4:e1834.
- Gerhard, D. S., L. Wagner, E. A. Feingold, C. M. Shenmen, L. H. Grouse, G.
 Schuler, S. L. Klein, S. Old, R. Rasooly, P. Good, M. Guyer, et al. 2004. The status, quality, and expansion of the NIH full-length cDNA project: the Mammalian Gene Collection (MGC). Genome Research 14:2121–2127.
- Getwan, M., and S. S. Lienkamp. 2017. Toolbox in a tadpole: *Xenopus* for kidney
 research. Cell and Tissue Research 369:143–157.
- Goldspiel, H. B., J. B. Cohen, G. G. McGee, and J. P. Gibbs. 2019. Forest land-use
 history affects outcomes of habitat augmentation for amphibian conservation.
 Global Ecology and Conservation 19:e00686.
- Gonçalves, J., J. P. Honrado, J. R. Vicente, and E. Civantos. 2016. A model-based
 framework for assessing the vulnerability of low dispersal vertebrates to landscape
 fragmentation under environmental change. Ecological Complexity 28:174–186.
- Grant, E. H. C., D. A. W. Miller, B. R. Schmidt, M. J. Adams, S. M. Amburgey, T.
 Chambert, S. S. Cruickshank, R. N. Fisher, D. M. Green, B. R. Hossack, P. T. J.
 Johnson, et al. 2016. Quantitative evidence for the effects of multiple drivers on
 continental-scale amphibian declines. Scientific Reports 6:25625.
- Green, D. M., M. J. Lannoo, D. Lesbarrères, and E. Muths. 2020. Amphibian
 Population Declines: 30 Years of Progress in Confronting a Complex Problem.
 Herpetologica 76:97–100.
- Greenspan, S. E., D. S. Bower, E. A. Roznik, D. A. Pike, G. Marantelli, R. A. Alford,
 L. Schwarzkopf, and B. R. Scheffers. 2017. Infection increases vulnerability to
 climate change via effects on host thermal tolerance. Scientific Reports 7:9349.
- Grolemund, G., and H. Wickham. 2011. Dates and times made easy with lubridate.
 Journal of statistical software 40:1–25.
- Grosso, J., J. Fratani, G. Fontanarrosa, M. Chuliver, A. S. Duport-Bru, R. G.
 Schneider, M. D. Casagranda, D. P. Ferraro, N. Vicente, M. J. Salica, L.
 Pereyra, et al. 2021. Male homophily in South American herpetology: one of the
 major processes underlying the gender gap in publications. Amphibia-reptilia:
 publication of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica -1:1–12.
- 1128 **HAC**. 2021. High Ambition Coalition for Nature and People.
- 1129 https://www.hacfornatureandpeople.org/hac-members (accessed 1 December1130 2021).
- Hamer, A. J. 2016. Accessible habitat delineated by a highway predicts landscape scale effects of habitat loss in an amphibian community. Landscape Ecology
 31:2259–2274.

- Hammond, S. A., R. L. Warren, B. P. Vandervalk, E. Kucuk, H. Khan, E. A. Gibb, P.
 Pandoh, H. Kirk, Y. Zhao, M. Jones, A. J. Mungall, et al. 2017. The North
 American bullfrog draft genome provides insight into hormonal regulation of long
 noncoding RNA. Nature Communications 8:1433.
- Harland, R. M., and R. M. Grainger. 2011. *Xenopus* research: metamorphosed by
 genetics and genomics. Trends in Genetics 27:507–515.
- Heatwole, H. 1962. Environmental factors influencing local distribution and activity of
 the salamander, *Plethodon cinereus*. Ecology 43:460–472.
- Hellsten, U., R. M. Harland, M. J. Gilchrist, D. Hendrix, J. Jurka, V. Kapitonov, I.
 Ovcharenko, N. H. Putnam, S. Shu, L. Taher, I. L. Blitz, B. Blumberg, D. S.
 Dichmann, I. Dubchak... D. S. Rokhsar. 2010. The genome of the Western
 clawed frog *Xenopus tropicalis*. Science 328:633–636.
- Helmer, M., M. Schottdorf, A. Neef, and D. Battaglia. 2017. Gender bias in scholarly
 peer review. eLife 6.
- Hime, P. M., A. R. Lemmon, E. C. M. Lemmon, E. Prendini, J. M. Brown, R. C.
 Thomson, J. D. Kratovil, B. P. Noonan, R. A. Pyron, P. L. V. Peloso, M. L.
 Kortyna, et al. 2021. Phylogenomics reveals ancient gene tree discordance in the
 amphibian tree of life. Systematic Biology 70:49–66.
- Hopkins, W. A., J. Congdon, and J. K. Ray. 2000. Incidence and impact of axial
 malformations in larval bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) developing in sites polluted by
 a coal-burning power plant. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 19:862–868.
- Huang, J., A. J. Gates, R. Sinatra, and A. L. Barabási. 2020. Historical comparison of
 gender inequality in scientific careers across countries and disciplines. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 117:4609–
 4616.
- Hutter, C. R., K. A. Cobb, D. M. Portik, S. L. Travers, P. L. Wood Jr, and R. M.
 Brown. 2021. FrogCap: A modular sequence capture probe-set for phylogenomics and population genetics for all frogs, assessed across multiple phylogenetic scales.
 Molecular ecology resources 22:100-1119.
- 1163 **IUCN.** 2021. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2021-2.
- 1164 https://www.iucnredlist.org (accessed 1 December 2021).
- Iwanowicz, D. D., W. B. Schill, D. H. Olson, M. J. Adams, C. Densmore, R. S.
 Cornman, C. Adams, J. Figiel, C. W. Anderson, A. R. Blaustein, and T.
- 1167 **Chestnut**. 2017. Potential concerns with analytical methods used for the detection
- 1168 of Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans from archived DNA of amphibian swab
- 1169 samples, Oregon, USA. Herpetological Review 48:352–355.
- 1170 Jiang, K., F. Yan, K. Wang, D.-H. Zou, C. Li, and J. Che. 2016. A new genus and

- 1171 species of treefrog from Medog, southeastern Tibet, China (Anura,
- 1172 Rhacophoridae). Zoological Research 37:15–20.
- Joanisse, D. R., and K. B. Storey. 1996. Oxidative damage and antioxidants in *Rana* sylvatica, the freeze-tolerant wood frog. The American Journal of Physiology
 271:R545–53.
- Joven, A., A. Elewa, and A. Simon. 2019. Model systems for regeneration:
 salamanders. Development 146.
- Kamei, R. G., D. San Mauro, D. J. Gower, I. Van Bocxlaer, E. Sherratt, A. Thomas,
 S. Babu, F. Bossuyt, M. Wilkinson, and S. D. Biju. 2012. Discovery of a new
 family of amphibians from northeast India with ancient links to Africa. Proceedings
 of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 279:2396–2401.
- 1182 Kerby, J. L., K. L. Richards-Hrdlicka, A. Storfer, and D. K. Skelly. 2010. An
 1183 examination of amphibian sensitivity to environmental contaminants: are
 1184 amphibians poor canaries? Ecology Letters 13:60–67.
- 1185 Kerney, R. 2011. Embryonic staging table for a direct-developing salamander,
 1186 Plethodon cinereus (Plethodontidae). Anatomical Record 294:1796–1808.
- Kerney, R. R., D. C. Blackburn, H. Müller, and J. Hanken. 2012. Do larval traits re evolve? Evidence from the embryogenesis of a direct-developing salamander,
 Plethodon cinereus. Evolution: International Journal of Organic Evolution 66:252–
 262.
- 1191 **Kleeberger, S. R., and J. K. Werner**. 1982. Home range and homing behavior of *Plethodon cinereus* in Northern Michigan. Copeia 1982:409.
- Klein, S. L., R. L. Strausberg, L. Wagner, J. Pontius, S. W. Clifton, and P.
 Richardson. 2002. Genetic and genomic tools for *Xenopus* research: The NIH
 Xenopus initiative. Developmental Dynamics: an official publication of the American
 Association of Anatomists 225:384–391.
- Knapp, R. A., G. M. Fellers, P. M. Kleeman, D. A. W. Miller, V. T. Vredenburg, E. B.
 Rosenblum, and C. J. Briggs. 2016. Large-scale recovery of an endangered amphibian despite ongoing exposure to multiple stressors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113:11889–11894.
- Koepfli, K. P., B. Paten, Genome 10K Community of Scientists, and S. J. O'Brien.
 2015. The Genome 10K Project: a way forward. Annual Review of Animal
 Biosciences 3:57-111.
- Koo, M. S., V. T. Vredenburg, J. B. Deck, D. H. Olson, K. L. Ronnenberg, and D. B.
 Wake. 2021. Tracking, Synthesizing, and Sharing Global Batrachochytrium Data at AmphibianDisease.org. Frontiers in veterinary science 8:728232.

Kosch, T. A., A. Bataille, C. Didinger, J. A. Eimes, S. Rodríguez-Brenes, M. J.
 Ryan, and B. Waldman. 2016. Major histocompatibility complex selection
 dynamics in pathogen-infected túngara frog (*Physalaemus pustulosus*) populations.
 Biology Letters 12.

Kosch, T. A., C. N. S. Silva, L. A. Brannelly, A. A. Roberts, Q. Lau, G. Marantelli, L.
 Berger, and L. F. Skerratt. 2019. Genetic potential for disease resistance in
 critically endangered amphibians decimated by chytridiomycosis. Animal
 Conservation 22:238–250.

- Kriegman, S., D. Blackiston, M. Levin, and J. Bongard. 2021. Kinematic self replication in reconfigurable organisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of
 Sciences of the United States of America 118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2112672118
- Kubiak, L. 2020. Why the world must commit to protecting 30 percent of the planet by
 2030 (30X30). National Resource Defense Council.
- Lalremsanga, H. T., J. Purkayastha, L. Biakzuala, M. Vabeiryureilai, L. Murasanga,
 and G. Z. Hmar. 2021. A new striped species of *lchthyophis fitzinger*, 1826
 (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: lchthyophiidae) from Mizoram, northeast India.
 Amphibian and Reptile Conservation 15:198–209.
- Lambert, M. R., M. C. Womack, and A. Q. Byrne, O. Hernández-Gómez, C. F. Noss,
 A. P. Rothstein, D. C. Blackburn, J. P. Collins, M. L. Crump, M. S. Koo and P.
 Nanjappa. 2020. Comment on "Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic
 and ongoing loss of biodiversity." Science 367:eaay1838.
- 1228 Lang, D. T. 2021. XML: Tools for Parsing and Generating XML Within R and S-Plus.
- Laufer, G., and N. Gobel. 2017. Habitat degradation and biological invasions as a
 cause of amphibian richness loss: a case report in Aceguá, Cerro Largo, Uruguay.
 Phyllomedusa: Journal of Neotropical Herpetology 16:289-293.
- Lefcort, H., R. A. Meguire, L. H. Wilson, and W. F. Ettinger. 1998. Heavy metals alter
 the survival, growth, metamorphosis, and antipredatory behavior of Columbia
 spotted frog (*Rana luteiventris*) tadpoles. Archives of Environmental Contamination
 and Toxicology 35:447–456.
- Leinonen, R., H. Sugawara, and M. Shumway. 2011. International nucleotide
 sequence database C. The sequence read archive. Nucleic Acids Research
 39:D19–D21.
- Lemmon, A. R., and E. M. Lemmon. 2012. High-throughput identification of
 informative nuclear loci for shallow-scale phylogenetics and phylogeography.
 Systematic Biology 61:745–761.
- Levis, N. A., E. M. X. Reed, D. W. Pfennig, and M. O. Burford Reiskind. 2020.
 Identification of candidate loci for adaptive phenotypic plasticity in natural

- 1244 populations of spadefoot toads. Ecology and Evolution 10:8976–8988.
- Levis, N. A., S. de la Serna Buzón, and D. W. Pfennig. 2015. An inducible offense:
 carnivore morph tadpoles induced by tadpole carnivory. Ecology and Evolution
 5:1405–1411.
- Liedtke, H. C., D. J. Gower, M. Wilkinson, and I. Gomez-Mestre. 2018.
 Macroevolutionary shift in the size of amphibian genomes and the role of life history and climate. Nature Ecology and Evolution 2:1792–1799.
- Liévano-Latorre, L. F., R. A. da Silva, R. R. S. Vieira, F. M. Resende, B. R. Ribeiro,
 F. J. A. Borges, L. Sales, and R. Loyola. 2020. Pervasive gender bias in editorial
 boards of biodiversity conservation journals. Biological Conservation 251:108767.
- Maas, B., R. J. Pakeman, L. Godet, L. Smith, V. Devictor, and R. Primack. 2021.
 Women and Global South strikingly underrepresented among top-publishing ecologists. Conservation Letters 14:e12797.
- Magnus, R., and R. Rannap. 2019. Pond construction for threatened amphibians is an
 important conservation tool, even in landscapes with extant natural water bodies.
 Wetlands Ecology and Management 27:323–341.
- Marshall, A. F., C. Bardua, D. J. Gower, M. Wilkinson, E. Sherratt, and A.
 Goswami. 2019. High-density three-dimensional morphometric analyses support conserved static (intraspecific) modularity in caecilian (Amphibia: Gymnophiona) crania. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 126:721–742.
- Martel, A., A. Spitzen-van der Sluijs, M. Blooi, W. Bert, R. Ducatelle, M. C. Fisher,
 A. Woeltjes, W. Bosman, K. Chiers, F. Bossuyt, and F. Pasmans. 2013.
 Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans sp. nov. causes lethal chytridiomycosis in
 amphibians. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 of America 110:15325–15329.
- Mayani-Parás, F., F. Botello, S. Castañeda, and V. Sánchez-Cordero. 2019. Impact
 of habitat loss and mining on the distribution of endemic species of amphibians and
 reptiles in Mexico. Diversity 11:210.
- McCaffery, R., C. L. Richards-Zawacki, and K. R. Lips. 2015. The demography of
 Atelopus decline: Harlequin frog survival and abundance in central Panama prior to
 and during a disease outbreak. Global Ecology and Conservation 4:232–242.
- McCoy, K. A., and A. L. Peralta. 2018. Pesticides Could Alter Amphibian Skin
 Microbiomes and the Effects of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. Frontiers in
 Microbiology 9:748.
- Mendoza-Henao, A. M., Á. M. Cortes-Gomez, M. A. Gonzalez, O. D. Hernandez Córdoba, A. R. Acosta-Galvis, F. Castro-Herrera, J. M. Daza, J. M. Hoyos, M.
 P. Ramirez-Pinilla, N. Urbina-Cardona, and B. Salgado-Negret. 2019. A

- 1281 morphological database for Colombian anuran species from conservation-priority 1282 ecosystems. Ecology 100:e02685.
- Meredith, H., C. Van Buren, and R. E. Antwis. 2016. Making amphibian conservation
 more effective. Conservation Evidence 13:1–6.
- Moore, C. E., J. S. Helmann, Y. Chen, S. M. St Amour, M. A. Hallmark, L. E.
 Hughes, N. Wax, and M. C. Mims. 2021. Anuran Traits of the United States (ATraiU): a database for anuran traits-based conservation, management, and research. Ecology 102:e03261.
- Murphy, R. W. 2016. Advances in herpetological research emanating from China.
 Zoological research 37:4–6.
- NCBI Resource Coordinators. 2016. Database resources of the National Center for
 Biotechnology Information. Nucleic Acids Research 44:D7–19.
- Nowakowski, A. J., L. O. Frishkoff, M. E. Thompson, T. M. Smith, and B. D. Todd.
 2018a. Phylogenetic homogenization of amphibian assemblages in human-altered
 habitats across the globe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
 United States of America 115:E3454–E3462.
- Nowakowski, A. J., J. I. Watling, M. E. Thompson, G. A. Brusch 4th, A. Catenazzi,
 S. M. Whitfield, D. J. Kurz, Á. Suárez-Mayorga, A. Aponte-Gutiérrez, M. A.
 Donnelly, and B. D. Todd. 2018b. Thermal biology mediates responses of
 amphibians and reptiles to habitat modification. Ecology Letters 21:345–355.
- Nowakowski, A. J., S. M. Whitfield, E. A. Eskew, M. E. Thompson, J. P. Rose, B. L.
 Caraballo, J. L. Kerby, M. A. Donnelly, and B. D. Todd. 2016. Infection risk
 decreases with increasing mismatch in host and pathogen environmental
 tolerances. Ecology Letters 19:1051–1061.
- Nowoshilow, S., S. Schloissnig, J.-F. Fei, A. Dahl, A. W. C. Pang, M. Pippel, S.
 Winkler, A. R. Hastie, G. Young, J. G. Roscito, F. Falcon, et al. 2018. The
 axolotl genome and the evolution of key tissue formation regulators. Nature
 554:50–55.
- Nürnberger, B., K. Lohse, A. Fijarczyk, J. M. Szymura, and M. L. Blaxter. 2016.
 Para-allopatry in hybridizing fire-bellied toads (*Bombina bombina* and *B. variegata*):
 Inference from transcriptome-wide coalescence analyses. Evolution 70:1803–1818.
- Nye, H. L. D., J. A. Cameron, E. A. G. Chernoff, and D. L. Stocum. 2003.
 Regeneration of the urodele limb: a review. Developmental dynamics: an official publication of the American Association of Anatomists 226:280–294.
- O'Connell, L. A. 2020. Frank Beach Award Winner: Lessons from poison frogs on
 ecological drivers of behavioral diversification. Hormones and Behavior
 126:104869.

- Oliveira, B. F., V. A. São-Pedro, G. Santos-Barrera, C. Penone, and G. C. Costa.
 2017. AmphiBIO, a global database for amphibian ecological traits. Scientific Data
 4:170123.
- Olson, D. H., D. M. Aanensen, K. L. Ronnenberg, C. I. Powell, S. F. Walker, J.
 Bielby, T. W. J. Garner, G. Weaver, Bd Mapping Group, and M. C. Fisher. 2013.
 Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, the amphibian
 chytrid fungus. PloS One 8:e56802.
- Olson, D. H., K. L. Ronnenberg, C. K. Glidden, K. R. Christiansen, and A. R.
 Blaustein. 2021. Global patterns of the fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* support conservation urgency. Frontiers in Veterinary Science 8:685877.
- Páez, N. B., and S. R. Ron. 2019. Systematics of *Huicundomantis*, a new subgenus of
 Pristimantis (Anura, Strabomantidae) with extraordinary cryptic diversity and eleven
 new species. ZooKeys 868:1–112.
- Palomar, G., J. Bosch, and J. M. Cano. 2016. Heritability of *Batrachochytrium* dendrobatidis burden and its genetic correlation with development time in a
 population of Common toad (*Bufo spinosus*). Evolution 70:2346–2356.
- Paluh, D. J., K. Riddell, C. M. Early, M. M. Hantak, G. F. Jongsma, R. M. Keeffe, F.
 Magalhães Silva, S. V. Nielsen, M. C. Vallejo-Pareja, E. L. Stanley, and D. C.
 Blackburn. 2021. Rampant tooth loss across 200 million years of frog evolution.
 eLife 10:e66926.
- Paradis, E., and K. Schliep. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics
 and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35:526–528.
- Parrott, J. C., A. Shepack, D. Burkart, B. LaBumbard, P. Scimè, E. Baruch, and A.
 Catenazzi. 2017. Survey of pathogenic chytrid fungi (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and *B. salamandrivorans*) in salamanders from three mountain
 ranges in Europe and the Americas. EcoHealth 14:296–302.
- Pennell, M. W., J. M. Eastman, G. J. Slater, J. W. Brown, J. C. Uyeda, R. G.
 FitzJohn, M. E. Alfaro, and L. J. Harmon. 2014. geiger v2.0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 30:2216–2218.
- Phillips, S. J., R. P. Anderson, M. Dudík, R. E. Schapire, and M. E. Blair. 2017.
 Opening the black box: an open-source release of Maxent. Ecography 40:887–893.
- Pollet, I., and L. I. Bendell-Young. 2000. Amphibians as indicators of wetland quality
 in wetlands formed from oil sands effluent. Environmental Toxicology and
 Chemistry 19:2589–2597.
- 1354 Portik, D. M., L. L. Smith, and K. Bi. 2016. An evaluation of transcriptome-based exon

- capture for frog phylogenomics across multiple scales of divergence (Class:
 Amphibia, Order: Anura). Molecular Ecology Resources 16:1069–1083.
- Powers, R. P., and W. Jetz. 2019. Global habitat loss and extinction risk of terrestrial
 vertebrates under future land-use-change scenarios. Nature Climate Change
 9:323–329.
- Poyarkov, N. A., Jr, C. Suwannapoom, P. Pawangkhanant, A. Aksornneam, T. Van
 Duong, D. V. Korost, and J. Che. 2018. A new genus and three new species of
 miniaturized microhylid frogs from Indochina (Amphibia: Anura: Microhylidae:
 Asterophryinae). Zoological Research 39:130–157.
- 1364 **QGIS Development Team**. 2021. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source
 1365 Geospatial Foundation Project.
- Randhawa, S. S., and R. Pawar. 2021. Fish genomes: Sequencing trends, taxonomy
 and influence of taxonomy on genome attributes. Zeitschrift fur angewandte
 Ichthyologie = Journal of applied ichthyology 37:553–562.
- **R Core Team**. 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Vienna,
 Austria. https://www.R-project.org/
- 1371 Reeder, A. L., G. L. Foley, D. K. Nichols, L. G. Hansen, B. Wikoff, S. Faeh, J.
 1372 Eisold, M. B. Wheeler, R. Warner, J. E. Murphy, and V. R. Beasley. 1998. Forms
 1373 and prevalence of intersexuality and effects of environmental contaminants on
 1374 sexuality in cricket frogs (*Acris crepitans*). Environmental health perspectives
 1375 106:261–266.
- Reeves, R. A., C. L. Pierce, K. L. Smalling, R. W. Klaver, M. W. Vandever, W. A.
 Battaglin, and E. Muths. 2016. Restored agricultural wetlands in central iowa: habitat quality and amphibian response. Wetlands 36:101–110.
- 1379 Revell, L. J. 2012. Bioinformatics-dendextend-an R package for visualizing, adjusting
 1380 and comapring trees of hierachical clutering. Methods in ecology and evolution /
 1381 British Ecological Society 3:217–223.
- 1382 Richgels, K. L. D., R. E. Russell, M. J. Adams, C. L. White, and E. H. C. Grant.
- 1383 2016. Spatial variation in risk and consequence of *Batrachochytrium*
- 1384 *salamandrivorans* introduction in the USA. Royal Society Open Science 3:150616.
- Rock, K. N., I. N. Barnes, M. S. Deyski, K. A. Glynn, B. N. Milstead, M. E.
 Rottenborn, N. S. Andre, A. Dekhtyar, O. Dekhtyar, and E. N. Taylor. 2021.
- 1387 Quantifying the gender gap in authorship in herpetology. Herpetologica 77:1–13.
- Roland, A. B., and L. A. O'Connell. 2015. Poison frogs as a model system for studying
 the neurobiology of parental care. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 6:76–81.
- 1390 **Rollins-Smith, L. A.** 2017. Amphibian immunity–stress, disease, and climate change.

- 1391 Developmental and Comparative Immunology 66:111–119.
- Rozenblit, F., and T. Gollisch. 2020. What the salamander eye has been telling the
 vision scientist's brain. Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology 106:61–71.
- Ryan, M. J., J. H. Fox, W. Wilczynski, and A. S. Rand. 1990. Sexual selection for sensory exploitation in the frog *Physalaemus pustulosus*. Nature 343:66–67.
- Salerno, P. E., Páez-Vacas, M., Guayasamin, J. M., & Stynoski, J. L. 2019. Male
 principal investigators (almost) don't publish with women in ecology and zoology.
 PloS One 14:e0218598.
- Saporito, R. A., M. A. Donnelly, T. F. Spande, and H. M. Garraffo. 2012. A review of
 chemical ecology in poison frogs. Chemoecology 22:159–168.
- Savage, A. E., and K. R. Zamudio. 2016. Adaptive tolerance to a pathogenic fungus
 drives major histocompatibility complex evolution in natural amphibian populations.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283:20153115.
- Scheele, B. C., D. A. Hunter, S. C. Banks, J. C. Pierson, L. F. Skerratt, R. Webb,
 and D. A. Driscoll. 2016. High adult mortality in disease-challenged frog
 populations increases vulnerability to drought. The Journal of Animal Ecology
 85:1453–1460.
- Scheele, B. C., F. Pasmans, L. F. Skerratt, L. Berger, A. Martel, W. Beukema, A. A.
 Acevedo, P. A. Burrowes, T. Carvalho, A. Catenazzi, I. De la Riva, et al. 2019.
 Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic and ongoing loss of biodiversity.
 Science 363:1459–1463.
- Scherz, M. D., C. R. Hutter, A. Rakotoarison, J. C. Riemann, M.-O. Rödel, S. H.
 Ndriantsoa, J. Glos, S. Hyde Roberts, A. Crottini, M. Vences, and F. Glaw.
 2019. Morphological and ecological convergence at the lower size limit for
 vertebrates highlighted by five new miniaturised microhylid frog species from three
 different Madagascan genera. PloS One 14:e0213314.
- Schmeller, D. S., R. Utzel, F. Pasmans, and A. Martel. 2020. Batrachochytrium
 salamandrivorans kills alpine newts (*lchthyosaura alpestris*) in southernmost
 Germany. Salamandra 56:230–232.
- Schmidt, B. R., C. Bozzuto, S. Lötters, and S. Steinfartz. 2017. Dynamics of host
 populations affected by the emerging fungal pathogen *Batrachochytrium* salamandrivorans. Royal Society Open Science 4:160801.
- Schneider-Maunoury, L., V. Lefebvre, R. M. Ewers, G. F. Medina-Rangel, C. A.
 Peres, E. Somarriba, N. Urbina-Cardona, and M. Pfeifer. 2016. Abundance
 signals of amphibians and reptiles indicate strong edge effects in Neotropical
 fragmented forest landscapes. Biological Conservation 200:207–215.

Seimon, T. A., A. Seimon, K. Yager, K. Reider, A. Delgado, P. Sowell, A.
 Tupayachi, B. Konecky, D. McAloose, and S. Halloy. 2017. Long-term
 monitoring of tropical alpine habitat change, Andean anurans, and chytrid fungus in
 the Cordillera Vilcanota, Peru: Results from a decade of study. Ecology and
 Evolution 7:1527–1540.

Session, A. M., Y. Uno, T. Kwon, J. A. Chapman, A. Toyoda, S. Takahashi, A.
 Fukui, A. Hikosaka, A. Suzuki, M. Kondo, S. J. van Heeringen, et al. 2016.
 Genome evolution in the allotetraploid frog *Xenopus laevis*. Nature 538:336–343.

- Shen, X. X., D. Liang, Y. J. Feng, M. Y. Chen, and P. Zhang. 2013. A versatile and
 highly efficient toolkit including 102 nuclear markers for vertebrate phylogenomics,
 tested by resolving the higher level relationships of the caudata. Molecular Biology
 and Evolution 30:2235–2248.
- Silbiger, N. J., and A. D. Stubler. 2019. Unprofessional peer reviews
 disproportionately harm underrepresented groups in STEM. PeerJ 7:e8247.
- Simpkins, C. A., J. G. Castley, J. D. Shuker, C. Morrison, and J.-M. Hero. 2021.
 Battling habitat loss: suitability of anthropogenic waterbodies for amphibians
 associated with naturally acidic, oligotrophic environments. Pacific Conservation
 Biology: a journal devoted to conservation and land management in the Pacific
 region.
- Smart, U., G. C. Sarker, U. Arifin, M. B. Harvey, I. Sidik, A. Hamidy, N. Kurniawan,
 and E. N. Smith. 2017. A new genus and two new species of arboreal toads from
 the highlands of Sumatra with a phylogeny of sundaland toad genera.
 Herpetologica 73:63–75.
- Spitzen-van der Sluijs, A., A. Martel, J. Asselberghs, E. K. Bales, W. Beukema, M.
 C. Bletz, L. Dalbeck, E. Goverse, A. Kerres, T. Kinet, K. Kirst, et al. 2016.
 Expanding distribution of lethal amphibian fungus *Batrachochytrium* salamandrivorans in Europe. Emerging Infectious Diseases 22:1286–1288.
- Stegen, G., F. Pasmans, B. R. Schmidt, L. O. Rouffaer, S. Van Praet, M. Schaub, S.
 Canessa, A. Laudelout, T. Kinet, C. Adriaensen, F. Haesebrouck, et al. 2017.
 Drivers of salamander extirpation mediated by *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans*.
 Nature 544:353–356.
- Streicher, J. W., E. C. Miller, P. C. Guerrero, C. Correa, J. C. Ortiz, A. J. Crawford,
 M. R. Pie, and J. J. Wiens. 2018. Evaluating methods for phylogenomic analyses,
 and a new phylogeny for a major frog clade (Hyloidea) based on 2214 loci.
 Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 119:128–143.
- Stuart, S. N., J. S. Chanson, N. A. Cox, B. E. Young, A. S. Rodrigues, D. L.
 Fischman, and R. W. Waller. 2004. Status and trends of amphibian declines and extinctions worldwide. Science 306:1783-1786.

Subba, B., S. Sen, G. Ravikanth, and M. P. Nobis. 2018. Direct modelling of limited
 migration improves projected distributions of Himalayan amphibians under climate
 change. Biological Conservation 227:352–360.

 Sun, Y.-B., T.-T. Fu, J.-Q. Jin, R. W. Murphy, D. M. Hillis, Y.-P. Zhang, and J. Che.
 2018. Species groups distributed across elevational gradients reveal convergent and continuous genetic adaptation to high elevations. Proceedings of the National

- 1471 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 115:E10634–E10641.
- Sun, Y.-B., Z.-J. Xiong, X.-Y. Xiang, S.-P. Liu, W.-W. Zhou, X.-L. Tu, L. Zhong, L.
 Wang, D.-D. Wu, B.-L. Zhang, C.-L. Zhu, et al. 2015. Whole-genome sequence of the Tibetan frog Nanorana parkeri and the comparative evolution of tetrapod genomes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112:E1257–62.
- Sun, Y.-B., Y. Zhang, and K. Wang. 2020. Perspectives on studying molecular
 adaptations of amphibians in the genomic era. Zoological Research 41:351–364.
- Suwannapoom, C., M. Sumontha, J. Tunprasert, T. Ruangsuwan, P.
 Pawangkhanant, D. V. Korost, and N. A. Poyarkov. 2018. A striking new genus and species of cave-dwelling frog (Amphibia: Anura: Microhylidae: Asterophryinae) from Thailand. PeerJ 6:e4422.
- Suzuki, S., K. Awai, A. Ishihara, and K. Yamauchi. 2016. Cold temperature blocks
 thyroid hormone-induced changes in lipid and energy metabolism in the liver of
 Lithobates catesbeianus tadpoles. Cell and Bioscience 6:19.
- Tarvin, R. D., C. M. Borghese, W. Sachs, J. C. Santos, Y. Lu, L. A. O'Connell, D. C.
 Cannatella, R. A. Harris, and H. H. Zakon. 2017. Interacting amino acid
 replacements allow poison frogs to evolve epibatidine resistance. Science
 357:1261–1266.
- Thein, J., U. Reck, C. Dittrich, A. Martel, V. Schulz, and G. Hansbauer. 2020.
 Preliminary report on the occurrence of *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* in the Steigerwald, Bavaria, Germany. Salamandra 56:227–229.
- Thompson, M. E., and M. A. Donnelly. 2018. Effects of secondary forest succession
 on amphibians and reptiles: A review and meta-analysis. Copeia 106:10–19.
- Thompson, M. E., A. J. Nowakowski, and M. A. Donnelly. 2016. The importance of
 defining focal assemblages when evaluating amphibian and reptile responses to
 land use. Conservation Biology: the journal of the Society for Conservation Biology
 30:249–258.
- Tomkins, A., M. Zhang, and W. D. Heavlin. 2017. Reviewer bias in single- versus
 double-blind peer review. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
 United States of America 114:12708–12713.

- Trochet, A., S. Moulherat, O. Calvez, V. M. Stevens, J. Clobert, and D. S.
 Schmeller. 2014. A database of life-history traits of European amphibians.
 Biodiversity data journal 2:e4123.
- Uetz, P., M. S. Koo, R. Aguilar, E. Brings, A. Catenazzi, A. T. Chang, and D. B.
 Wake. 2021. A quarter century of reptile and amphibian databases. Herpetological review 52:246–255.
- Vasconcelos, T. S., F. R. da Silva, T. G. dos Santos, V. H. M. Prado, and D. B.
 Provete. 2019. South American Anurans: Species Diversity and Description Trends
 Through Time and Space. *In*: Biogeographic Patterns of South American Anurans.
 T. S. Vasconcelos, F. R. da Silva, T. G. dos Santos, V. H. M. Prado and D. B.
 Provete (eds.). Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 9–84.
- Vences, M., and S. Lötters. 2020. The salamander plague in Europe-a German
 perspective. Salamandra 56:169-171.
- Vijayakumar, S. P., R. A. Pyron, K. P. Dinesh, V. R. Torsekar, A. N. Srikanthan, P.
 Swamy, E. L. Stanley, D. C. Blackburn, and K. Shanker. 2019. A new ancient
 lineage of frog (Anura: Nyctibatrachidae: Astrobatrachinae subfam. nov.) endemic
 to the Western Ghats of Peninsular India. PeerJ 7:e6457.
- Voyles, J., D. C. Woodhams, V. Saenz, A. Q. Byrne, R. Perez, G. Rios-Sotelo, M. J.
 Ryan, M. C. Bletz, F. A. Sobell, S. McLetchie, L. Reinert, et al. 2018. Shifts in
 disease dynamics in a tropical amphibian assemblage are not due to pathogen
 attenuation. Science 359:1517–1519.
- Waddle, J. H., D. A. Grear, B. A. Mosher, E. H. C. Grant, M. J. Adams, A. R.
 Backlin, W. J. Barichivich, A. B. Brand, G. M. Bucciarelli, D. L. Calhoun, T.
 Chestnut, et al. 2020. *Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans* (Bsal) not detected in an intensive survey of wild North American amphibians. Scientific Reports 10:13012.
- 1528 Wake, D. B., and M. S. Koo. 2018. Amphibians. Current biology: CB 28:R1237–R1241.
- Wake, D. B., and V. T. Vredenburg. 2008. Are we in the midst of the sixth mass
 extinction? A view from the world of amphibians. Proceedings of the National
 Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:11466-11473.
- Wang, G.-D., B.-L. Zhang, W.-W. Zhou, Y.-X. Li, J.-Q. Jin, Y. Shao, H.-C. Yang, Y.-H.
 Liu, F. Yan, H.-M. Chen, L. Jin, et al. 2018. Selection and environmental adaptation along a path to speciation in the Tibetan frog *Nanorana parkeri*.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 1536 115:E5056–E5065.
- Warren, R., J. VanDerWal, J. Price, J. A. Welbergen, I. Atkinson, J. Ramirez Villegas, T. J. Osborn, A. Jarvis, L. P. Shoo, S. E. Williams, and J. Lowe. 2013.
 Quantifying the benefit of early climate change mitigation in avoiding biodiversity

- 1540 loss. Nature Climate Change 3:678–682.
- Wells, D. E., L. Gutierrez, Z. Xu, V. Krylov, J. Macha, K. P. Blankenburg, M.
 Hitchens, L. J. Bellot, M. Spivey, D. L. Stemple, A. Kowis, et al. 2011. A genetic map of *Xenopus tropicalis*. Developmental Biology 354:1–8.
- 1544 **Wickham, H.** 2007. Reshaping data with the reshape package. Journal of Statistical software 21:1–20.
- 1546 Wickham, H. 2010. Stringr: Modern, consistent string processing. The R Journal 2:38.
- Wickham, H. 2016. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. data. Springer-VerlagNew York.
- 1549 Wickham, H. 2017. tidyr: Easily Tidy Data with spread and gather Functions.
- Wickham, H., R. François, L. Henry, and K. Müller. 2021. dplyr: a grammar of data
 manipulation.
- Wickham, H., M. Averick, J. Bryan, W. Chang, L. McGowan, R. François, G.
 Grolemund, A. Hayes, L. Henry, J. Hester, M. Kuhn, et al. 2019. Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software 4:1686.
- 1555 **Wilke, C. O.** 2020. cowplot: streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for "ggplot2". R 1556 package version 0.9. 2; 2017. URL https://CRAN. R-project. org/package= cowplot.
- Wilman, H., J. Belmaker, J. Simpson, C. de la Rosa, M. M. Rivadeneira, and W.
 Jetz. 2014. EltonTraits 1.0: Species-level foraging attributes of the world's birds and mammals. Ecology 95:2027–2027.
- 1560 Winter, D. 2017. rentrez: An R package for the NCBI eUtils API. The R Journal 9:520.
- Wyman, R. L., and D. S. Hawksley-Lescault. 1987. Soil acidity affects distribution,
 behavior, and physiology of the salamander *Plethodon cinereus*. Ecology 68:1819–
 1827.
- Yakushiji, N., H. Yokoyama, and K. Tamura. 2009. Repatterning in amphibian limb
 regeneration: A model for study of genetic and epigenetic control of organ
 regeneration. Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology 20:565–574.
- Yap, T. A., N. T. Nguyen, M. Serr, A. Shepack, and V. T. Vredenburg. 2017.
 Batrachochytrium salamandrivorans and the risk of a second amphibian pandemic.
 EcoHealth 14:851–864.
- Yuan, Z.-Y., B.-L. Zhang, C. J. Raxworthy, D. W. Weisrock, P. M. Hime, J.-Q. Jin, E.
 M. Lemmon, A. R. Lemmon, S. D. Holland, M. L. Kortyna, and Others. 2018.
 Natatanuran frogs used the Indian Plate to step-stone disperse and radiate across
 the Indian Ocean. National Science Review 6:10–14.

- 1574 Zellmer, A. J., P. Slezak, and T. S. Katz. 2020. Clearing up the crystal ball:
- Understanding uncertainty in future climate suitability projections for amphibians.Herpetologica 76:108–120.
- 1577 Zipkin, E. F., G. V. DiRenzo, J. M. Ray, S. Rossman, and K. R. Lips. 2020. Tropical
 1578 snake diversity collapses after widespread amphibian loss. Science 367:814–816.

49

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Trends in amphibian research from 2016 through 2020 by (a) proportion change in publication number and (b) absolute number of publications in each biological subfield. The additional categories of "amphibian" and "background" in panel A refer to the proportional change of all amphibian publications and all publications (not amphibian-specific) from 2016 to 2020.

Figure 2. The number of species in each Order threatened by different drivers of amphibian decline, where each species can be represented by multiple drivers. IUCN conservation status categories are: extinct or extinct in the wild (EX/EW), critically endangered (CR), endangered (EN), vulnerable (VU), near threatened (NT), least concern (LC), and data deficient (DD). Of species with accounts on AmphibiaWeb, 53.3% (1261 of 2,364 species) have data on at least one factor driving their decline

Figure 3. Images of several new species described in the last five years. (a) *Ichthyophis benjii* from Mizoram, India (Lalremsanga et al., 2021), photo by Hmar Tlawmte Lalremsanga; (b) *Hydromantes samweli* (Bingham et al., 2018), holotype from Shasta County, CA, USA, photo by Robert Hansen; (c) *Nasutixalus medogensis (Jiang et al., 2016)*, holotype from Medog, Tibet, China, photo by Ke Jiang ; (d) *Rhinella lilyrodriguezae* (Cusi et al., 2017), holotype from Cordillera Azul National Park, Perú, photo by Anton Sorokin; (e) *Pristimantis verrucolatus* (Páez and Ron, 2019), holotype from Azuay Province, Ecuador, photo by Santiago Ron; (f) *Mini mum* (Scherz et al., 2019), holotype from Manombo Special Reserve, Madagascar, photo by Mark Scherz.

Figure 4. Additions of amphibian species over time. (a) Geographic heat map and point cluster of new species described between 2016 and 2020. The countries with the highest numbers of new species in this time period are China (100 species), Brazil (95 species), Ecuador (67 species), Madagascar (56 species), and Peru (56 species). Inset graphs indicate the cumulative number of new species described by region between 2007 and 2020; years 2016–2020 are highlighted with the blue rectangle; y-axis scale indicated for Latin America is the

same for all insets. Total cumulative number of (b) species and (c) genera in AmphibiaWeb taxonomy database, split by order. (d) Cumulative numbers of formal and unspecified (informal) species names in the GenBank Taxonomy database. Examples of unspecified names are "*Hyla* cf *arenicolor*" or "*Hyla* sp. B". See supplemental data files for data used to generate this figure.

Figure 5. Phylogenetic heat map showing the number and proportion of species within each family that were described in 2016–2020 and the proportion of species within each family that have accessible phenotypic, genetic, and disease data. Lighter to darker colored matrix cells represent lower to higher species-level representation for each family and white cells indicate that no species from the corresponding family have those data types available. From left to right in the matrix: 1) the proportion of new species added in 2016–2020, 2) the proportion of species with call data available in one of the seven databases listed in Table 1, 3) the proportion of species with microCT data available on morphosource.org or Phenome10K, 4) the proportion of species with sequences in NCBI GenBank 6) the proportion of species in the Amphibian Disease Portal that have been tested for Bd, 8) the proportion of species in that have positive tests for Bd documented in the Amphibian Disease Portal. Data used to generate this figure can be found in Table S5.

Figure 6. (a) Cumulative number and (b) size of sequenced amphibian genomes by year. The blue box highlights the years 2016–2020.

Figure 7. The (a) cumulative amount of data and (b) number of studies in the Sequence Read Archive, separated by model (*Ambystoma mexicanum, Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis*) and non-model (all other) species (c) total number of species represented in the Sequence Read Archive. Years missing data points indicate that no data were submitted that year for that order.

Figure 8. The cumulative number of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), nuclear DNA (nDNA), and messenger RNA (mRNA) sequences, as well as species, added to the GenBank Nucleotide database from 1982 to 2020, highlighting the last five years, 2016–2020, in blue. Some of the projects that contributed substantially to increase sequence numbers are highlighted on the figure; see text for references. Years missing data points indicate that no data were submitted that year for that order.

Figure 9. *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* samples in the Amphibian Disease Portal. (a) A log-scale histogram of Bd swab counts, binned by the five-year time span in which the amphibian swabbed was captured. (b) A stacked histogram showing the proportional representation of swabs taken from different continents, binned by the same five-year blocks. Bsal data archived in the portal only includes sample data in the US (Waddle et al., 2020) and from the Bsal Consortium Germany (Vences and Lötters, 2020).

Supplementary File information

Figure S1. Number and proportion of amphibian publications within each research area that mention model organisms (*Xenopus laevis, Xenopus tropicalis,* OR *Ambystoma mexicanum*) in their abstract.

- Table S1. Literature search results
- Table S2. Number of publications by journal
- Table S3. Number of amphibian publications by language

Table S4. Amphibian-Genomes - *metadata for the 28 amphibian species with genomes published when this manuscript was prepared, including the 7 released as of July 2021* Table S5. Family-level_PhylogeneticHeatMapData - *data used to generate figure 5*

Supplementary Data files uploaded "not for review"

Data files

1. ncbi_nuccore-data.csv - metadata for all sequences deposited into the NCBI

Nucleotide Core between 1982 and 2020, downloaded on 27 June 2021

- ncbi_taxonomy-database.csv NCBI taxonomy database, downloaded on 21 June 2021
- 3. sra_metadata-20210621.txt metadata for all sequences deposited into the NCBI SRA between 2008 and 2020, downloaded on 21 June 2021
- GenBank-Amphib.tsv raw and cumulative counts of specified and unspecified amphibian taxa represented by sequences in GenBank, downloaded on 28 October 2021
- AWeb-taxonomy-archive-master_2012-2020.zip monthly lists of amphibian species represented in AmphibiaWeb, spanning November 2012 until December 2020, downloaded on 14 May 2021
- 6. AmphibiaWeb_newspecies.csv list of new species described by year of description, obtained from AmphibiaWeb
- 7. orders_accounts.csv data on AmphibiaWeb species accounts available
- 8. Aweb-threats_2021-09-21.csv data on factors driving amphibian declines as logged in AmphibiaWeb species accounts, downloaded on 21 September 2021
- 9. Amphibian_disease_data_processed.csv *data from the Amphibian Disease Portal*
- 10. DiseaseData_ForPhylogeneticHeatMap.csv count species sampled is the number of species sampled within each family, disease tested is always just "Bd", Bd tested is the number of Bd swabs taken for members of that family, Bd positive is the number of those tested Bd swabs that were Bd+ for that family
- 11. SpeciesLevel_CallData.csv number of calls for each amphibian species contained in each of the call databases in Table 1
- 12.Morphosource_TaxonomyCTscans_2021126.csv all Anura, Caudata, and Gymnophiona CT scans on morphosource.org
- 13.Phenome10k_CTscans.csv all Anura, Caudata, and Gymnophiona CT scans on Phenome10k.org

R scripts

- 1. Figs1andS1_LiteratureSearchSummary.R R script to generate Figures 1 and S1
- 2. Fig2_ConservationScripts.R Scripts in R to create figures for conservation and disease segments of manuscript
- 3. Fig5_PhylogeneticHeatMapData.R R script to generate Figure 5
- 4. Figs6-8_genetic-data.R R script to generate Figures 6-8

≛

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to current and past UC Berkeley AmphibiaWeb undergraduate apprentices who regularly compile amphibian species spatial data such as type localities and range maps for AmphibiaWeb and this study, especially Julia Chen, Julianne Oshiro, Alexandra Perkins, Elizabeth Pyle, Rigel Sison, and lead GIS assistant Zoe Yoo. We also thank AmphibiaWeb team members that read and provided comments on the manuscript, specifically Ann Chang. Rafael Márguez and Laura González Ortiz provided access to the database of recordings from Fonozoo; Mike Webster and Jay McGowan provided access to the database of the Macaulay Library. We thank Connor Tumelty for initial work compiling data on amphibian genomes. We thank NSF for funding several large-scale research initiatives that contributed much of the data cited herein, namely: openVertebrate (oVert) Thematic Collections Network (NSF DBI-1701714), MorphoSource (supported by NSF DBI-1902242), AmphibiaTree project (NSF DEB-0334952). We thank the USDA Forest Service for initial seed funding for the Amphibian Disease portal (Cooperative Agreement #15-CR-11261953-098 to MSK, UC Berkeley). MCW was supported by the Utah Agricultural Experiment Station (UTA01574). ES was supported by an NSF GRFP and the Charles W. Woodworth Fellowship through the Rausser College of Natural Resources, UC Berkeley. RDT was supported by start-up funds from UC Berkeley. Finally we dedicate this paper to David Wake, late founder of AmphibiaWeb and evolutionary biologist and herpetologist; discussions with David Wake often were as far-ranging, in-depth, and current on the state of the amphibia as one could want.

Table 1. The most comprehensive amphibian call databases available online. For geographic regions, Af = African, Au = Australians, Ne = Nearctic, Nt=neotropical, Or = Oriental, Pa= Paleartic. Unless otherwise noted, all recordings are available for download online.

Database	Maintained by	No. specie s	No. calls	Regions represented (% of calls)	Accessibility for researchers
Fonozoo	Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales de Madrid, Spain	886	9,794	Nt (49%), Af (29%), Pa (16%), Or (4%), Ne (1%); 63 countries	1098 can be played online. Other recordings require an online form and in some cases author permission.
Macaulay Library	Cornell Lab of Ornithology	788	11,46 0	Nt (38%), Or (6%), Ne (52%); 73 countries	Recordings are available for download online through a request form.
Fonoteca Neotropical Jacques Vielliard	Audiovisual Collection,Museu de Diversidade Biológica	568	5,959	Nt (>99%), Pa (<1%), Af (<1%); 19 countries	89% can be downloaded after curator authorization; 11% are available with author permission.
AmphibiaWeb	Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, UC Berkeley	557	813	Af (34%), Nt (31%), Au (14%), Ne (11%), Or (8%), Pa (3%); 59 countries	Recordings are available for download online.
Anfibios del Ecuador BIOWEB	QCAZ Museum of Pontificia Universidad Católica del Ecuador	222	1297	Nt (100%); nearly all from Ecuador	Recordings are available for direct download online.
FrogID	Australian Museum	182	126,1 69	Au (100%); all from Australia	Recordings are available for direct download online.
La Sonothèque	Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle	61	953	Pa (75%), Nt (21%), Ne (2%), Af (1%); 14 countries	Recordings are available for direct download online.
Chinese National Specimen Resource Sharing Platform	Chinese National Specimen Information Infrastructure	35	69	Or (100%); all from China	Recordings are not available for download.





b Click here to access down to the Figure Fi



Figure 3





es 20



Click here to access/download;Figure;Flgure4_2021-12-11.pdf ±













[Totals Including		
							2016-	"Xenopus laevis" OR		
							2020_Tota	"Xenopus tropicalis" OR		
		20	20	20	20	20	I_ViaSearc	"Ambystoma	percent_	percent_
Search term	Category	16	17	18	19	20	_ h_	mexicanum"	change	model
"phylogenomic" OR	phylogen									
"phylogenomics"	omic(s)	4	7	5	7	9	32	0	225	0
"fossil" or "fossils"	fossil(s)	51	40	41	37	48	217	1	94.1176 4706	0.46082 9493
	 		11	10	11	13			142.268	0.53571
"ecology"	ecology	97	2	2	1	8	560	3	0412	4286
	microbio								357.142	1.20481
"microbiome"	me	7	17	8	26	25	83	1	8571	9277
"phylogenetic" OR	phylogen	17	18	19	21	23			140.588	1.70170
"phylogenetics"	etic(s)	0	5	3	2	9	999	17	2353	1702
		13	13	12	17	16			124.615	1.78082
"climate"	climate	0	2	8	8	2	730	13	3846	1918
	conserva	30	32	31	40	41			134.967	1.93511
"conservation"	tion	6	5	3	0	3	1757	34	3203	6676
										2.16216
"diet"	diet	64	71	58	81	96	370	8	150	2162
		14	17	14	19	17			118.120	2.26730
"trait" or "traits"	trait(s)	9	1	5	7	6	838	19	8054	3103
"behavior" OR		20	22	18	20	20			99.0243	2.93255
"behaviour"	behavior	5	6	6	3	3	1023	30	9024	132
									172.727	3.48837
"eDNA"	eDNA	11	17	16	23	19	86	3	2727	2093
"genetic" OR	genetic(s	23	24	25	29	28			120.600	4.72560
"genetics")	3	6	4	8	1	1312	62	8584	9756
	Amphibia	24	25	25	27	28			115.437	4.76226
Amphibian_Base	n_Base	68	98	92	01	49	13208	629	6013	5294
	morpholo	11	11	12	14	17			150.877	5.41353
"morphology"	gу	4	3	1	5	2	665	36	193	3835
"genetic" OR	genetic(s									
"genetics" OR) OR									
"genomic" OR	genomic(26	27	29	34	32			120.224	5.57768
"genomics"	s)	7	6	9	3	1	1506	84	7191	9243

TableS1 - Literature Search Results

"physiology"	physiolog y	34	39	42	46	46	207	18	135.294 1176	8.69565 2174
"genomic" OR "genomics"	genomic(s)	55	58	62	75	67	317	32	121.818 1818	10.0946 3722
"development"	develop ment	34 7	37 3	36 3	38 3	39 9	1865	238	114.985 5908	12.7613 941
"cell" AND "molecular"	cell AND molecula r	41	43	32	49	40	205	48	97.5609 7561	23.4146 3415
background pub rate	backgrou nd pub rate	27 12 54 8	28 25 89 9	28 95 83 5	31 30 32 1	31 22 76 5	14687368	1713	115.122 9398	0.01166 3084

2016		2020		2016-2020	
Journal	Number	Journal	Number	Journal	Number
PLOS ONE	84	SCIENTIFIC REPORTS	55	PLOS ONE	317
SCIENTIFIC REPORTS	47	ΖΟΟΤΑΧΑ	46	SCIENTIFIC REPORTS	247
MITOCHONDRIAL DNA PART A	40	PLOS ONE	45	ΖΟΟΤΑΧΑ	186
COPEIA	39	ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION	38	PEERJ	174
JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	34	PEERJ	32	ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION	172
ΖΟΟΤΑΧΑ	30	AMPHIBIAN REPTILE CONSERVATION	26	HERPETOLOGICAL CONSERVATION AND BIOLOGY	126
AMPHIBIA REPTILIA	23	HERPETOLOGICA	25	JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	117
ASIAN HERPETOLOGICAL RESEARCH	21	ZOOKEYS	25	COPEIA	105
ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION	21	ASIAN HERPETOLOGICAL RESEARCH	23	AMPHIBIA REPTILIA	104
PEERJ	21	JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY	23	JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY	98
HERPETOLOGICAL CONSERVATION AND BIOLOGY	18	JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	23	MOLECULAR ECOLOGY	92
AQUATIC TOXICOLOGY	17	MOLECULAR ECOLOGY	23	BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION	86
BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION	17	AMPHIBIA REPTILIA	21	HERPETOLOGICA	81
JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL BIOLOGY	17	BIOLOGICAL CONSERVATION	20	HERPETOLOGICAL JOURNAL	80
BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY AND	15	HERPETOLOGICAL CONSERVATION AND	20	ASIAN HERPETOLOGICAL	78

TableS2 - Amphibian Publications By Journal

SOCIOBIOLOGY		BIOLOGY		RESEARCH	
ECOSPHERE	15	COPEIA	19	ZOOKEYS	78
BIOLOGY LETTERS	14	GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND CONSERVATION	18	SALAMANDRA	73
HERPETOLOGICAL JOURNAL	14	SALAMANDRA	18	BIOLOGICAL JOURNAL OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY	72
MOLECULAR ECOLOGY	14	GENERAL AND COMPARATIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY	16	PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	72
ACTA HERPETOLOGICA	13	BIOLOGICAL JOURNAL OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY	15	GENERAL AND COMPARATIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY	71
ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR	13	EVOLUTION	15	MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION	68
BIOLOGICAL JOURNAL OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY	13	ANIMALS	14	PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	67
CONSERVATION BIOLOGY	13	DIVERSITY BASEL	14	DISEASES OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS	66
ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND POLLUTION RESEARCH	13	ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION	14	RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	65
GENERAL AND COMPARATIVE ENDOCRINOLOGY	13	HERPETOLOGICAL JOURNAL	14	JOURNAL OF BIOGEOGRAPHY	64
HERPETOLOGICA	13	JOURNAL OF ANIMAL ECOLOGY	14	ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY	61
RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	13	MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION	14	SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT	58
SALAMANDRA	13	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND	13	JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	57

		POLLUTION RESEARCH			
CHEMOSPHERE	12	JOURNAL OF NATURAL HISTORY	13	AMPHIBIAN REPTILE CONSERVATION	54
DISEASES OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS	12	RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	13	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND POLLUTION RESEARCH	54
JOURNAL OF BIOGEOGRAPHY	12	CHEMOSPHERE	12	ECOSPHERE	53
JOURNAL OF MORPHOLOGY	12	DEVELOPMENTAL DYNAMICS	12	EVOLUTION	53
JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	12	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	12	JOURNAL OF MORPHOLOGY	53
PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	12	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR SCIENCES	12	MITOCHONDRIAL DNA PART A	53
PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY B BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	12	JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL ZOOLOGY PART A ECOLOGICAL AND INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	12	MITOCHONDRIAL DNA PART B RESOURCES	53
CURRENT HERPETOLOGY	11	JOURNAL OF HELMINTHOLOGY	12	BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS	52
METHODS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	11	MITOCHONDRIAL DNA PART B RESOURCES	12	ECOTOXICOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY	50
MITOCHONDRIAL DNA PART B RESOURCES	11	ACTA ZOOLOGICA	11	FRONTIERS IN MICROBIOLOGY	50
ZOOKEYS	11	ANTIBIOTICS BASEL	11	AQUATIC TOXICOLOGY	49
SOUTH AMERICAN JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	10	CANADIAN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	11	BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY AND SOCIOBIOLOGY	49
ANATOMICAL RECORD ADVANCES					
--	---	--	----	------------------------------------	----
EVOLUTIONARY		CONSERVATION			
BIOLOGY	9	BIOLOGY	11	CHEMOSPHERE	48
BEHAVIORAL		FRESHWATER		JOURNAL OF	
ECOLOGY	9	BIOLOGY	11	NATURAL HISTORY	48
				SOUTH AMERICAN	
ECOHEALTH	9	AND BIOGEOGRAPHY	11	JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	48
ENVIRONMENTAL					
TOXICOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY	9	JOURNAL OF BIOGEOGRAPHY	11	ACTA HERPETOLOGICA	47
EVOLUTION	9	PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	11	DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY	46
MOLECULAR		PROCEEDINGS OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY			
PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION	9	B BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES	11	OECOLOGIA	46
OECOLOGIA	9	SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT	11	ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION	45
SCIENCE OF THE TOTAL ENVIRONMENT	9	BIOTROPICA	10	CANADIAN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	44
AMERICAN NATURALIST	8	BMC GENOMICS	10	CONSERVATION BIOLOGY	44
CANADIAN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	8	CONSERVATION SCIENCE AND PRACTICE	10	FRESHWATER BIOLOGY	44
ECOLOGY	8	FRONTIERS IN MICROBIOLOGY	10	CURRENT HERPETOLOGY	43
FOREST ECOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT	8	HERPETOZOA	10	METHODS IN MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	42
HYDROBIOLOGIA	8	JOURNAL OF MORPHOLOGY	10	GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND CONSERVATION	41

JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY	8		10	ΗΕΡΡΕΤΟΖΟΑ	39
JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL ZOOLOGY PART A ECOLOGICAL AND	0	SOUTH AMERICAN			
INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	8	JOURNAL OF HERPETOLOGY	10	HYDROBIOLOGIA	39
JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE DISEASES	8	ENVIRONMENTAL TOXICOLOGY AND CHEMISTRY	9	JOURNAL OF EXPERIMENTAL ZOOLOGY PART A ECOLOGICAL AND INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	39
MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES	8	INTEGRATIVE AND COMPARATIVE BIOLOGY	9	NATURE COMMUNICATIONS	39
WETLANDS	8	INTEGRATIVE ZOOLOGY	9	DEVELOPMENTAL AND COMPARATIVE IMMUNOLOGY	37
AGRICULTURE ECOSYSTEMS ENVIRONMENT	7	JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	9	JOURNAL OF THERMAL BIOLOGY	37
BIOLOGY OPEN	7	TOXINS	9	AUSTRAL ECOLOGY	36
BMC EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY	7	ACTA HERPETOLOGICA	8	BIOLOGY LETTERS	36
BMC GENOMICS	7	BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY AND SOCIOBIOLOGY	8	COMPARATIVE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY A MOLECULAR INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	36
COMPARATIVE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY A MOLECULAR INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	7	BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH COMMUNICATIONS	8	GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY	36
COMPARATIVE BIOCHEMISTRY AND	7	BIODIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION	8	JOURNAL OF ANIMAL ECOLOGY	36

PHYSIOLOGY B BIOCHEMISTRY MOLECULAR BIOLOGY					
ECOTOXICOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY	7	BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS	8	BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY	35
EVOLUTIONARY ECOLOGY	7	DISEASES OF AQUATIC ORGANISMS	8	BMC GENOMICS	35
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY	7	DIVERSITY AND DISTRIBUTIONS	8	DIVERSITY AND DISTRIBUTIONS	35
JOVE JOURNAL OF VISUALIZED EXPERIMENTS	7	FACETS	8	AMERICAN NATURALIST	34
NORTH WESTERN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	7	GLOBAL CHANGE BIOLOGY	8	ANIMAL BEHAVIOUR	34
PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE	7	JOURNAL FOR NATURE CONSERVATION	8	INTEGRATIVE AND COMPARATIVE BIOLOGY	34
REVISTA MEXICANA DE BIODIVERSIDAD	7	JOURNAL OF THERMAL BIOLOGY	8	PHYLLOMEDUSA	34
ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE	7	JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE DISEASES	8	BIODIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION	33
ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCE	7	PHYLLOMEDUSA	8	ACTA ZOOLOGICA	32
ACTA ZOOLOGICA	6	SEMINARS IN CELL DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY	8	ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS	32
AMERICAN MIDLAND NATURALIST	6	TOXICON	8	NORTH WESTERN JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY	32
AUSTRAL ECOLOGY	6	VERTEBRATE ZOOLOGY	8	SOUTHEASTERN NATURALIST	32
BIOCHEMICAL AND BIOPHYSICAL RESEARCH	6	BIOACOUSTICS THE INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ANIMAL	7	ZOOLOGICAL SCIENCE	32

COMMUNICATIONS		SOUND AND ITS RECORDING			
BIODIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION	6	BIOTA NEOTROPICA	7	BIOTROPICA	31
ECOTOXICOLOGY	6	CURRENT HERPETOLOGY	7	BMC EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY	31
ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION	6	ECOTOXICOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL SAFETY	7	ECOGRAPHY	31
ETHOLOGY	6	ELIFE	7	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MOLECULAR SCIENCES	31
JOURNAL OF COMPARATIVE NEUROLOGY	6	FOREST ECOLOGY	7	JOURNAL OF EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY	31
JOURNAL OF NATURAL HISTORY	6	HEREDITY	7	MOLECULES	31
JOURNAL OF THERMAL BIOLOGY	6	INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR PARASITOLOGY PARASITES AND WILDLIFE	7	ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE	31
JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT	6	MOLECULES	7	ZOOLOGISCHER ANZEIGER	31
JOURNAL OF ZOO AND WILDLIFE MEDICINE	6	NATURE COMMUNICATIONS	7	CONSERVATION GENETICS	30
LECTURE NOTES IN COMPUTER SCIENCE	6	PARASITOLOGY RESEARCH	7	ETHOLOGY	30
PALAEOBIODIVERSIT Y AND PALAEOENVIRONME NTS	6	SPECTROSCOPY AND SPECTRAL ANALYSIS	7	ANATOMICAL RECORD ADVANCES IN INTEGRATIVE ANATOMY AND EVOLUTIONARY BIOLOGY	29
SOUTHEASTERN NATURALIST	6	WETLANDS	7	DEVELOPMENTAL DYNAMICS	29

TOXICON	6	ZOOLOGICAL JOURNAL OF THE LINNEAN SOCIETY	7	ECOLOGY	29
ZOOLOGY	6	ACTA OECOLOGICA INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECOLOGY	6	FOREST ECOLOGY AND MANAGEMENT	29
ACTA ZOOLOGICA BULGARICA	5	AQUATIC CONSERVATION MARINE AND FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS	6	FRONTIERS IN ECOLOGY AND EVOLUTION	29
AIP CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS	5	ARCHIVES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION AND TOXICOLOGY	6 TOXICON		29
ANIMAL CONSERVATION	5	AUSTRAL ECOLOGY	6	CONSERVATION PHYSIOLOGY	28
AQUATIC CONSERVATION MARINE AND FRESHWATER ECOSYSTEMS	5	BEHAVIORAL ECOLOGY	6	DIVERSITY BASEL	28
BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS	5	BIOLOGY LETTERS	6	ECOHEALTH	28
BIOTA NEOTROPICA	5	BIOMOLECULES	6	JOURNAL OF WILDLIFE DISEASES	28
BIOTROPICA	5	CELLS	6	ANIMAL CONSERVATION	27
COMPARATIVE PARASITOLOGY	5	COMPARATIVE BIOCHEMISTRY AND PHYSIOLOGY A MOLECULAR INTEGRATIVE PHYSIOLOGY	6	ECOLOGICAL APPLICATIONS	27
CONSERVATION PHYSIOLOGY	5	CONSERVATION PHYSIOLOGY	6	MOLECULAR ECOLOGY RESOURCES	27

Language	Pubs in 2016	Language	Pubs in 2020	Language	Pubs 2016-2020
english	1,517	english	1,768	english	7,915
spanish	17	russian	8	spanish	63
russian	16	german	6	russian	57
chinese	5	portuguese	4	chinese	40
portuguese	3	spanish	4	german	22
french	2	chinese	2	portuguese	13
german	2	afrikaans	1	dutch/flemish	12
polish	2	armenian	1	czech	5
czech	1	dutch/flemish	1	turkish	5
hungarian	1	hebrew	1	ukrainian	5
slovenian	1	indonesian	1	hungarian	4
turkish	1	malay	1	persian	4
		persian	1	french	3
		thai	1	hebrew	2
				polish	2
				slovak	2
				slovenian	2
				thai	2
				afrikaans	1
				armenian	1

TableS3 - Amphibian Publications By Language

		indonesian	1
		italian	1
		malay	1
		multiple languages	1

TableS4-Amphibian-Genomes

Part 1

Order	Family	Species	Genome Status	Scaffold N50	Scaffold N50 unit	Average Genome Size (Gb)	Sequencing technologies
Anura	Bombinator idae	Bombina variegata	Contig	2005	bp	9.236	Illumina
Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo bufo	Chromoso mal	0.64	Gb	6.54	10x, Arima HiC, Bionano, PacBio
Anura	Bufonidae	Bufo gargarizans	Chromoso mal	0.54	Gb	5.614	Illumina, PacBio, BioNano, 10x Genomics, HiC
Anura	Ranidae	Glandirana rugosa	Scaffolded	0.747	Mb	7.971	Illumina
Anura	Hylidae	Dendropsophu s ebraccatus	Chromoso mal	0.609	Gb	2.52	10x, Arima HiC, Bionano, Illumina, PacBio
Anura	Megophryid ae	Leptobrachiu m ailaonicum	Chromoso mal	0.412	Gb	5.53	Illumina, PacBio, HiC
Anura	Megophryid ae	Leptobrachiu m leishanense	Chromoso mal	0.39	Gb	3.56	HiC, Illumina, PacBio
Anura	Myobatrach idae	Limnodynastes dumerilii	Scaffolded	0.286	Mb	3.179	Illumina
Anura	Ranidae	Rana catesbeiana	Scaffolded	0.069	Mb	6.966	Illumina, 10X Chromium
Anura	Dicroglossid ae	Nanorana parkeri	Chromoso mal	0.00105	Gb	2.3	Illumina
Anura	Dendrobati dae	Oophaga pumilio	Scaffolded	0.116	Mb	4.586	Illumina, RNAseq
Anura	Myobatrach idae	Platyplectrum ornatum	Scaffolded	0.027	Mb	0.929	Illumina, Oxford Nanopore
Anura	Pyxicephali dae	Pyxicephalus adspersus	Chromoso mal	0.158	Gb	1.369	Illumina, HiC, Chicago
Anura	Ranidae	Rana temporaria	Chromoso mal	0.481	Gb	4.169	PacBio, 10X Genomics Chromium, BioNano, and Arima Hi-C
Anura	Dendrobati dae	Ranitomeya imitator	Scaffolded	0.397	Mb	6.8	10X Chromium, Oxford Nanopore, PacBio
Anura	Bufonidae	Rhinella marina	Scaffolded	0.168	Mb	4.681	PacBio, Illumina

Anura	Scaphiopodi dae	Scaphiopus couchii	Contig	362	bp	1.45	Illumina
Anura	Scaphiopodi dae	Scaphiopus holbrookii	Contig	514	bp	1.353	Illumina
Anura	Scaphiopodi dae	Spea bombifrons	Contig	522	bp	1.208	Illumina
Anura	Scaphiopodi dae	Spea multiplicata	Scaffolded	0.071	Mb	1.07	Illumina, PacBio, Oxford Nanopore
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus laevis	Chromoso mal	0.155	Gb	3.23	Illumina, PacBio (unclear if they were both used in latest assembly)
Anura	Pipidae	Xenopus tropicalis	Chromoso mal	0.154	Gb	1.685	PacBio, Illumina
Caudata	Ambystoma tidae	Ambystoma mexicanum	Chromoso mal	1.2	Gb	33.99	improvement of two previous scaffolds (the first generated with PacBio, Illumina, BioNano, the second improved with meiotic mapping and FISH) using HiC
Caudata	Salamandri dae	Pleurodeles waltl	Contig	1136	bp	21.89	Illumina
Gymnoph iona	Dermophiid ae	Geotrypetes seraphini	Chromoso mal	0.27	Gb	5.187	10x, Dovetail HiC, Bionano, PacBio
Gymnoph iona	lchthyophii dae	Ichthyophis bannanicus	Contig	740	bp	12.2	Illumina
Gymnoph iona	Siphonopid ae	Microcaecilia unicolor	Chromoso mal	0.376	Gb	4.69	10X, Arima HiC, BioNano, Dovetail Genomics, PacBio
Gymnoph iona	Rhinatrema tidae	Rhinatrema bivittatum	Chromoso mal	0.487	Gb	4.374	10X, PacBio, Arima HiC, BioNano

Part 2 (same table, additional columns)

Year of		Year of		
latest	Citation/link, latest	first		
version	version	version	Citation/link, first version(s)	Link to latest genome
			Cizkova, D. and Nurnberger, B. Institute of Vertebrate Biology, CAS, Kvetna 8, 603	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9053369
		2021	65 Brno, Czech Republic	75.1

		2021	VGP (https://vgp.github.io/genomeark/Bufo_ bufo/)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCF_90517176 5.1/
		2020	Lu et al. 2020 (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf /10.1111/1755-0998.13319)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/genome/8043
		2021	Katsura et al. 2021 (https://www.life-science-alliance.org/co ntent/4/5/e202000905)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0184029 05.1/
		2020	VGP (https://vgp.github.io/genomeark/Dendr opsophus_ebraccatus/)	https://vgp.github.io/genom eark/Dendropsophus_ebrac catus/
		2019	Li et al. 2019 (https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/ article/8/9/giz114/5572531)	http://gigadb.org/dataset/1 00624
		2019	Li et al 2019 (https://www.nature.com/articles/s4146 7-019-13531-5)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0096678 05.1/
		2020	Li et al. 2020 (https://gigabytejournal.com/articles/2)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0110386 15.1/
2017		2017	Hammond et al. 2017 (https://www.nature.com/articles/s4146 7-017-01316-7; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/ GCA_002284835.1/)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0022848 35.2/
		2015	Sun et al. 2015 (https://www.pnas.org/content/112/11/ E1257)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCF_00093562 5.1/
2021	Rodríguez et al. 2021 (https://bmcgenomics. biomedcentral.com/art icles/10.1186/s12864- 020-6719-5)	2018	Rogers et al. 2018 (https://academic.oup.com/mbe/article/ 35/12/2913/5106668)	https://zenodo.org/record/3 696842; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0098010 35.1/
		2021	Lamichhaney et al. 2021 (https://www.pnas.org/content/118/11/ e2011649118)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0166178 25.1/
		2018	Denton et al. bioRXiv (https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.110 1/329847v2)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0047862 55.1/

		2021	Darwin Tree of Life (https://portal.darwintreeoflife.org/data/ root/details/Rana%20temporaria)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9051717 75.1
		2021	Stuckert et al. 2021 (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1 111/mec.16024)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9053323 35.1/
		2018	Edwards et al. 2018 (https://academic.oup.com/gigascience/ article/7/9/giy095/5067871)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9003032 85.1/
		2019	Seidl et al. 2019 (https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/art icle/9/12/3909/6028079)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0093644 35.1/
		2019	Seidl et al. 2019 (https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/art icle/9/12/3909/6028079)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0093644 55.1
		2019	Seidl et al. 2019 (https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/art icle/9/12/3909/6028079)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0093644 75.1
		2019	Seidl et al. 2019 (https://academic.oup.com/g3journal/art icle/9/12/3909/6028079)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0093644 15.1/
2021	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/assembly/GCF _017654675.1/	2016	Session et al. 2016 (https://www.nature.com/articles/nature 19840; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/ GCF_001663975.1/)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCF_01765467 5.1/
2019	Mitros et al. 2019 (https://www.scienced irect.com/science/artic le/pii/S001216061830 3890?via%3Dihub)	2010	Hellsten et al. 2010 (https://science.sciencemag.org/content /328/5978/633)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCF_00000419 5.4
2021	Schloissnig et al. 2021 (https://www.pnas.org /content/118/15/e201 7176118)	2018	Nowoshilow et al 2018 (doi:10.1038/nature25458), Smith et al. 2019 (https://genome.cshlp.org/content/29/2/ 317.long)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_0029156 35.3
		2017	Elewa et al. 2017 (https://www.nature.com/articles/s4146 7-017-01964-9)	genome assembly available upon request
2021	VGP	2019	VGP	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g

	(https://vgp.github.io/ genomeark/Geotrypet es_seraphini/)		(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly /GCF_902459505.1)	ov/assembly/GCA_9024595 05.2
		2021	Wang et al. 2021 (https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S1672022921000528?via%3Di hub)	assembly unavailable but reads are on NCBI
2021	VGP (https://vgp.github.io/ genomeark/Microcaeci lia_unicolor/)	2019	VGP (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly /GCF_901765095.1/)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9017650 95.2
2021	VGP (https://vgp.github.io/ genomeark/Rhinatrem a_bivittatum/)	2019	VGP (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly /GCF_901001135.1/)	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/assembly/GCA_9010011 35.2

				new_	Prop	Prop	PropS	PropSp	PropS	Prop	PropSp_Di	PropSp_D
		Gen	Spe	speci	Sp_N	Sp_	p_CTs	_geno	p_ncb	Sp_sr	seaseTest	iseasePos
Family	Order	era	cies	es	ew	Calls	can	mes	i	а	ed	itive
					0.19		0.104		0.918	0.20		
Hynobiid	Caud				7674		6511		6046	9302	0.232558	0.034883
ae	ata	9	86	17	42	0	6	0	5	33	14	72
Cryptobr	Caud											
anchidae	ata	2	4	0	0	0	0.75	0	0.75	0.5	0.75	0.75
					0.08		0.275		0.874	0.40		
Salaman	Caud				6614		5905	0.0078	0157	9448	0.385826	0.165354
dridae	ata	21	127	11	17	0	5	7402	5	82	77	33
Dicampt												
odontida	Caud											
е	ata	1	4	0	0	0.25	0.5	0	1	0	0.5	0.25
Ambysto	Caud					0.03	0.312	0.0312		0.59		
matidae	ata	1	32	0	0	125	5	5	0.875	375	0.6875	0.5625
Proteida	Caud									0.12		
е	ata	2	8	2	0.25	0	0.5	0	0.75	5	0.5	0.5
Rhyacotr	Caud											
itonidae	ata	1	4	0	0	0	0.75	0	1	0	0	0
					0.07	0.00	0.217		0.839	0.10		
Plethodo	Caud				1138	406	4796		4308	9756	0.290650	0.134146
ntidae	ata	28	492	35	21	504	7	0	9	1	41	34
Amphiu	Caud											0.666666
midae	ata	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	67
Sirenida	Caud											
е	ata	2	5	1	0.2	0.2	1	0	1	0.2	0.8	0.6
Ascaphid												
ае	Anura	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
										0.33		
Leiopelm										3333		0.333333
atidae	Anura	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	33	1	33

TableS5 - Family-level Phylogenetic Heat Map Data

Bombina												
toridae	Anura	2	10	0	0	0.3	0.6	0	1	0.4	0.5	0.4
Alytidae	Anura	3	12	0	0	0.66 666 667	0.416 6666 7	0	1	0.41 6666 67	0.666666 67	0.5
Rhinophr ynidae	Anura	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
Pipidae	Anura	4	41	0	0	0.60 975 61	0.878 0487 8	0.0487 8049	0.853 6585 4	0.36 5853 66	0.585365 85	0.487804 88
Calyptoc ephalelli dae	Anura	2	5	0	0	0.2	0.4	0	0.6	0	0.4	0.4
Myobatr achidae	Anura	21	133	1	0.00 7518 8	0.77 443 609	0.165 4135 3	0	0.796 9924 8	0.16 5413 53	0.338345 86	0.187969 92
Rhinoder matidae	Anura	2	3	0	0	0.33 333 333	0.333 3333 3	0	0.666 6666 7	0.66 6666 67	0.666666 67	0.666666 67
Cyclora mphidae	Anura	3	37	0	0	0.54 054 054	0.081 0810 8	0	0.864 8648 6	0.05 4054 05	0.540540 54	0.324324 32
Hylodida e	Anura	4	47	1	0.02 1276 6	0.59 574 468	0.106 3829 8	0	0.638 2978 7	0.08 5106 38	0.617021 28	0.489361 7
Batrachy lidae	Anura	4	13	0	0	0.23 076 923	0.230 7692 3	0	0.615 3846 2	0.38 4615 38	0.615384 62	0.461538 46
Alsodida e	Anura	3	26	0	0	0.15 384 615	0.192 3076 9	0	0.923 0769 2	0.15 3846 15	0.576923 08	0.269230 77
Dendrob atidae	Anura	20	333	28	0.08 4084	0.24 924	0.084 0840	0.0030 03	0.627 6276	0.13 5135	0.210210 21	0.129129 13

					08	925	8		3	14		
Odontop hrvnidae	Anura	3	52	2	0.03 8461 54	0.44 230 769	0.076 9230 8	0	0.538 4615 4	0.01 9230 77	0.192307	0.134615
Bufonida e	Anura	52	629	45	0.07 1542 13	0.22 257 552	0.192 3688 4	0.0031 7965	0.640 6995 2	0.08 4260 73	0.313195 55	0.151033 39
Leptodac tylidae	Anura	13	225	19	0.08 4444 44	0.69 777 778	0.08	0	0.853 3333 3	0.04 4444 44	0.373333 33	0.204444 44
Allophry nidae	Anura	1	3	0	0	0.66 666 667	0.333 3333 3	0	1	0.33 3333 33	0.333333 33	0
Centrole nidae	Anura	12	159	10	0.06 2893 08	0.29 559 748	0.069 1823 9	0	0.679 2452 8	0.03 7735 85	0.213836 48	0.113207 55
Ceuthom antidae	Anura	2	6	0	0	0	0.333 3333 3	0	0.166 6666 7	0	0	0
Eleuther odactylid ae	Anura	4	232	17	0.07 3275 86	0.31 465 517	0.137 9310 3	0	0.788 7931	0.03 4482 76	0.284482 76	0.146551 72
Brachyce phalidae	Anura	2	76	12	0.15 7894 74	0.44 736 842	0.197 3684 2	0	0.75	0.17 1052 63	0.144736 84	0.105263 16
Craugast oridae	Anura	2	123	7	0.05 6910 57	0.08 130 081	0.113 8211 4	0	0.487 8048 8	0.04 0650 41	0.382113 82	0.276422 76
Strabom antidae	Anura	19	750	109	0.14 5333 33	0.16 8	0.026 6666 7	0	0.556	0.01 4666 67	0.181333 33	0.082666 67
Hemiphr actidae	Anura	6	120	12	0.1	0.24 166	0.291 6666	0	0.725	0.04 1666	0.2	0.166666 67

						667	7			67		
Ceratoph ryidae	Anura	3	12	0	0	0.58 333 333	0.666 6666 7	0	0.916 6666 7	0.25	0.583333 33	0.583333 33
Hylidae	Anura	51	103 1	68	0.06 5955 38	0.50 339 476	0.098 9330 7	0.0009 6993	0.645 0048 5	0.09 2143 55	0.338506 3	0.223084 38
Telmato biidae	Anura	1	63	1	0.01 5873 02	0.06 349 206	0.079 3650 8	0	0.380 9523 8	0.03 1746 03	0.396825 4	0.333333 33
Nasikaba trachida e	Anura	1	2	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	1	0	0	0
Soogloss idae	Anura	2	4	0	0	0.25	0.5	0	1	0	0.75	0
Microhyl idae	Anura	58	703	109	0.15 5049 79	0.20 625 889	0.126 6002 8	0	0.534 8506 4	0.06 9701 28	0.119487 91	0.035561 88
Dicroglos sidae	Anura	15	223	27	0.12 1076 23	0.11 210 762	0.098 6547 1	0.0044 843	0.690 5829 6	0.10 3139 01	0.192825 11	0.071748 88
Ranidae	Anura	24	431	47	0.10 9048 72	0.18 793 503	0.074 2459 4	0.0023 2019	0.761 0208 8	0.22 9698 38	0.375870 07	0.211136 89
Mantelli dae	Anura	12	232	20	0.08 6206 9	0.60 775 862	0.094 8275 9	0	0.900 8620 7	0.06 0344 83	0.280172 41	0
Rhacoph oridae	Anura	21	443	41	0.09 2550 79	0.11 286 682	0.047 4040 6	0	0.697 5169 3	0.06 0948 08	0.117381 49	0.042889 39
Nyctibat rachidae	Anura	3	39	10	0.25 6410 26	0.20 512 821	0.102 5641	0	0.948 7179 5	0.07 6923 08	0.051282 05	0.051282 05

					0.36		0.157		0.684	0.05		
Ranixalid					8421		8947		2105	2631	0.315789	0.105263
ае	Anura	2	19	7	05	0	4	0	3	58	47	16
						0.04	0.083		0.958	0.04		
Micrixali						166	3333		3333	1666	0.041666	
dae	Anura	1	24	0	0	667	3	0	3	67	67	0
Ceratoba					0.05	0.26	0.098		0.647	0.24		
trachida					8823	470	0392		0588	5098	0.137254	0.019607
е	Anura	4	102	6	53	588	2	0	2	04	9	84
Odontob												
atrachid												
ае	Anura	1	5	0	0	0	0.2	0	1	0.2	0.2	0
					0.09	0.11	0.111		0.571	0.07		
Ptychade					5238	111	1111		4285	9365	0.380952	0.142857
nidae	Anura	3	63	6	1	111	1	0	7	08	38	14
Phrynob					0.07	0.05	0.051		0.680	0.01		
atrachid					2164	154	5463		4123	0309	0.391752	0.185567
ае	Anura	1	97	7	95	639	9	0	7	28	58	01
					0.10	0.03	0.172			0.06		
Pyxiceph					3448	448	4137	0.0114	0.781	8965	0.298850	0.183908
alidae	Anura	12	87	9	28	276	9	9425	6092	52	57	05
							0.666		0.666	0.16		
Conrauid							6666		6666	6666	0.833333	0.333333
ae	Anura	1	6	0	0	0	7	0	7	67	33	33
							0.230		0.846			
Petroped							7692		1538		0.692307	0.384615
etidae	Anura	3	13	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	69	38
					0.08		0.194		0.888	0.05		
Brevicipi					3333		4444		8888	5555	0.166666	0.027777
tidae	Anura	5	36	3	33	0	4	0	9	56	67	78
						0.11	0.888		0.444	0.11		
Hemisoti						111	8888		4444	1111	0.333333	
dae	Anura	1	9	0	0	111	9	0	4	11	33	0

						0.07	0.163		0.679	0.12		
Arthrole						189	3986		7385	4183	0.581699	0.313725
ptidae	Anura	8	153	0	0	542	9	0	6	01	35	49
					0.01	0.20	0.142		0.702	0.48		
Hyperolii					2931	689	2413		5862	7068	0.431034	0.258620
dae	Anura	18	232	3	03	655	8	0	1	97	48	69
							0.333					
Heleoph							3333				0.833333	0.833333
rynidae	Anura	2	6	о	0	0	3	0	0.5	0	33	33
						0.85	0.285			0.71		
Scaphiop						714	7142			4285	1.142857	0.714285
odidae	Anura	2	7	0	0	286	9	0	1	71	14	71
Pelodyti												
dae	Anura	1	4	1	0.25	0.5	0.5	0	1	0.75	0.25	0
							0.666		0.833	0.83		
Pelobati							6666	0.6666	3333	3333	0.666666	
dae	Anura	1	6	0	0	0.5	7	6667	3	33	67	0.5
					0.27	0.07	0.061		0.755	0.06		
Megophr					3381	553	1510	0.0071	3956	8345	0.100719	0.010791
yidae	Anura	12	278	76	29	957	8	9424	8	32	42	37
	Gymn				0.14		0.142			0.07		
Rhinatre	ophio				2857		8571	0.0714		1428		
matidae	na	3	14	2	14	0	4	2857	0.5	57	0	0
	Gymn								0.280	0.01		
Ichthyop	ophio						0.087		7017	7543	0.070175	
hiidae	na	2	57	0	0	0	7193	0	5	86	44	0
Scoleco	Gymn								0.666			
morphid	ophio								6666			
ае	na	2	6	0	0	0	0.5	0	7	0	0.5	0.5
	Gymn				0.04		0.046			0.02		
Caeciliid	ophio				6511		5116		0.162	3255	0.116279	
ае	na	2	43	2	63	0	3	0	7907	81	07	0
Typhlone	Gymn	5	14	0	0	0	0.428	0	0.428	0.14	0.642857	0.285714

ctidae	ophio						5714		5714	2857	14	29
	na						3		3	14		
	Gymn				0.08		0.333		0.833	0.04		
Indotyph	ophio				3333		3333		3333	1666		0.041666
lidae	na	7	24	2	33	0	3	0	3	67	0.25	67
	Gymn				0.07		0.178		0.392	0.07		
Siphono	ophio				1428		5714	0.0357	8571	1428		0.107142
pidae	na	5	28	2	57	0	3	1429	4	57	0.25	86
	Gymn						0.266		0.466	0.13		
Dermop	ophio						6666	0.0666	6666	3333	0.333333	0.133333
hiidae	na	4	15	0	0	0	7	6667	7	33	33	33
	Gymn											
Chikilida	ophio											
e	na	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0.25	0	0	0
	Gymn											
Herpelid	ophio											
ае	na	2	10	1	0.1	0	0.2	0	0.7	0	0.2	0.2

Les amphibiens constituent un clade de plus de 8400 espèces qui offrent des possibilités et des défis de recherche uniques. Les amphibiens subissant un grave déclin au niveau mondial, nous postulons qu'il est impératif d'évaluer notre compréhension actuelle des amphibiens. En nous concentrant sur les années de 2016 à 2020, nous examinons les nouveaux acquis et les nouvelles perspectives de la recherche et de la systématique des amphibiens. De nouvelles espèces d'amphibiens continuent d'être décrites à un rythme de ~150 par an. Les études phylogénomiques sont en augmentation, alimentant un consensus croissant dans la phylogénie des amphibiens. Plus de 3000 espèces d'amphibiens sont désormais représentées par des descriptions ou par des données gérées par des experts au sein d'AmphibiaWeb, AmphibiaChina, BioWeb ou le portail sur les maladies des amphibiens. Néanmoins, de nombreuses espèces ne disposent pas de données de base sur leur histoire naturelle (concernant, par exemple, leur régime alimentaire, les mesures morphologiques et les vocalisations) et des lacunes importantes existent pour des clades entiers d'amphibiens. Les ressources génomiques semblent être à l'aube d'une expansion rapide, mais les grands génomes répétitifs des amphibiens posent encore des défis importants. La conservation reste un axe majeur de la recherche sur les amphibiens. Les menaces cataloguées sur AmphibiaWeb pour 1261 espèces soulignent la nécessité de faire face aux changements d'utilisation des terres et aux maladies en utilisant des stratégies de gestion adaptative. Afin de promouvoir davantage la recherche et la conservation des amphibiens, nous soulignons l'importance de l'intégration des bases de données et nous pensons que d'autres clades peu étudiés ou en danger pourraient bénéficier d'évaluations similaires des données existantes.



2016-2020 Publications Including ... "Xenopus tropicalis" OR