UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Summary proceedings from the apnea-of-prematurity group

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/63x4m3q8

Journal

Pediatrics, 117(3)

ISSN

0031-4005

Authors

Finer, N N Higgins, R Kattwinkel, J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2006-03-01

Peer reviewed

PEDIATRRES®

Summary Proceedings From the Apnea-of-Prematurity Group Neil N. Finer, Rosemary Higgins, John Kattwinkel and Richard J. Martin *Pediatrics* 2006;117;47-51 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0620H

This information is current as of December 14, 2006

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/117/3/S1/S47

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2006 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.



Summary Proceedings From the Apnea-of-Prematurity Group

Neil N. Finer, MD^a, Rosemary Higgins, MD^b, John Kattwinkel, MD^c, Richard J. Martin, MD^d

^aDivision of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, California; ^bNeonatal Research Network, Pregnancy and Perinatology Branch, Center for Developmental Biology and Perinatal Medicine, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Bethesda, Maryland; ^cDivision of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia; ^dDivision of Neonatology, Rainbow Babies & Children's Hospital, Case Medical School, Cleveland, Ohio

The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

ABSTRACT -

Apnea of prematurity (AOP) is found in >50% of premature infants and is almost universal in infants who are <1000 g at birth. The literature clearly defines clinically significant apnea in infants (breathing pauses that last for >20 seconds or for >10 seconds if associated with bradycardia or oxygen desaturation), but there is no consensus about the duration of apnea, the degree of change in oxygen saturation, or severity of bradycardia that should be considered pathologic. Although caregivers are able to respond successfully to apnea events with drugs (as well as physical and mechanical interventions) in the NICU, it remains unproven whether such interventions have any long-term effects. One of the most effective drugs, caffeine citrate, is currently labeled for short-term use only and within a limited gestational-age population. Clinicians often use off-label drugs that have been approved for gastroesophageal reflux disease, which is common in premature infants, with the belief that such treatments also have an impact on AOP, although this link has never been demonstrated. Key treatment issues include (1) lack of standardization for definition, diagnosis, and treatment of AOP, (2) unproven benefit of intervention, (3) lack of real-time data documenting AOP events, (4) unevaluated sustained treatment improvement at 7 days or later, (5) failure to address confounding conditions, (6) unsubstantiated AOP-gastroesophageal reflux disease relationship, and (7) undetermined role of AOP affecting long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes. In addressing study-design issues, the pulmonary group identified (1) key questions about neonatal apnea, (2) methodologic requirements for study, (3) appropriate outcome measures, and (4) ethical considerations for future studies. This article describes a sample framework for the study of apnea in neonates and identifies future research needs. Plenary-session discussion points are also listed.

www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/ peds.2005-0620H

doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0620H

The views presented in this article do not necessarily reflect those of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This article reflects discussions of designing clinical trials in newborns and should not be construed as an agreement or guidance from the FDA. Drug development and clinical-trial design must be discussed with the relevant review division within the FDA.

Key Words

apnea of prematurity, gastroesophageal reflux disease, pulse oximetry, bradycardia, neurodevelopmental follow-up, xanthines, doxapram

Abbreviations

AOP—apnea of prematurity GERD—gastroesophageal reflux disease

Accepted for publication Oct 17, 2005

Address correspondence to Neil N. Finer, MD, Division of Neonatology, Department of Pediatrics, University of California, 200 W Arbor Dr, #8774, San Diego, CA 92103-8774. E-mail: nfiner@ucsd.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275); published in the public domain by the American Academy of Pediatrics PNEA OF PREMATURITY (AOP) is the most common and frequently recurring problem in very low birth weight infants. AOP is found in >50% of premature infants and is almost universal in infants who are <1000 g at birth.¹⁻³ The literature defines clinically significant apnea in infants as breathing pauses that last for >20 seconds or for >10 seconds if associated with bradycardia (eg, <80 beats per minute) or oxygen desaturation (eg, O₂ saturation of <80-85%).^{4.5} This definition may vary depending on geographic location or the infant's symptomatology. Moreover, there is no consensus about the duration of apnea that should be considered pathologic, and there is no agreement regarding the degree of change in oxygen saturation or severity of bradycardia that constitutes an important apnea event.

Although scientists cannot yet say whether AOP causes a clinically important effect on outcome and is harmful, providing no treatment when an infant stops breathing in the NICU is not an option. The immediate and irresistible urge to respond to apnea is based partly on the uncertainty about exactly what causes the apneic episode and whether the unknown causative factor might also harm the brain or other systems and produce a long-term effect on neurodevelopment.6 Although caregivers are able to respond successfully to apnea events with drugs (as well as physical and mechanical interventions) in the NICU, it remains unproven whether such interventions have any long-term effects, good or bad. One of the most effective drugs, caffeine citrate, is currently labeled for short-term use only and within a limited gestational-age population. Moreover, most premature infants also suffer from gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), and many clinicians use off-label drugs that have been approved for GERD in the belief that such treatments also have an impact on AOP, although this link has never been demonstrated.7-9

TREATMENT ISSUES

The pulmonary group identified the following treatment issues.

- The definition, diagnosis, and treatment of the condition have not been standardized.
- The benefit of intervention, apart from a reduction in apnea itself, remains largely unproven.
- Most studies of apnea have not collected real-time data to document the actual event and the preceding baseline, including physiologic parameters such as oxygen saturation.
- Few studies have evaluated sustained treatment improvement at 7 days or later after the initiation of therapy, and the improvements noted 1 to 3 days after therapy usually are not sustained at 1 week.
- Most studies are small in number and thus are not stratified by birth weight, gestation, postconceptional

age, or disease processes that have occurred in individual infants.

- Previous studies have not addressed confounding conditions such as hypoxemia, the requirement for oxygen therapy, pharmacologic sedation, glucocorticoid therapy, acute or chronic lung disease, patent ductus arteriosus, intraventricular hemorrhage, sepsis, or other treatments such as dopamine.
- No good evidence exists to support the view that apnea and reflux are temporally or causally related or that the use of antireflux medications (eg, cisapride, metoclopramide) decreases the frequency of apnea.
- The most important issue to be determined is the role of apnea in affecting an infant's long-term neurode-velopmental outcomes.

STUDY-DESIGN ISSUES

The pulmonary group identified the following studydesign issues, which have been divided into 4 basic categories.

Important Questions About Neonatal Apnea

The pulmonary group agreed that the following key questions need to be addressed as a priority.

- Does neonatal apnea affect long-term neurodevelopmental outcome, or is it merely a marker of other complications of prematurity?
- Are xanthines (the primary drug group currently used to treat apnea) associated with improved outcome, both short- and long-term?
- Will future drug therapy for AOP be associated with improved outcome, both short- and long-term?
- Does esophageal reflux cause apnea? If so, are pharmacologic therapies directed at treating GERD likely to be effective for either the reflux or the apnea?

Secondary questions about apnea include the following.

- What is the effect of xanthines on GERD (eg, potentiation)?
- What is the most effective way to intervene for apnea (ie, pharmacologic versus mechanical intervention)?
- Does the etiology of apnea affect response to therapy?
- What are the responses and the associated risks as a function of gestational age and weight?
- What is the appropriate threshold for treatment?
- Is xanthine use outside the hospital setting for postneonatal infants safe and effective?
- Are other agents (eg, other adenosine inhibitors, progestins) effective and safe in treating AOP?

- What is the effect of baseline oxygenation on the incidence and severity of apnea?
- Are there legitimate uses of xanthines for apnea disorders other than AOP (eg, to counteract apnea associated with prostaglandin administration, for an apparent life-threatening event, for postanesthesia apnea)?
- Is there a relationship between body and head position and apnea?
- What is the appropriate dosing regimen for pharmacologic agents that are commonly used to treat AOP (eg, caffeine, doxapram)? What are the toxicities or adverse effects?
- Is prophylactic use of xanthines for AOP safe and effective?

Methodologic Requirements for Study

The pulmonary group identified the following important methodologic requirements for studies.

- Studies should include simultaneous assessment of multiple relevant variables. At a minimum, chest-wall movement, heart rate, and oximetry should be included.
- A portion of the study population or study time should include an assessment of nasal airflow to distinguish between central and obstructive apnea.
- AOP must be defined uniformly (eg, apnea duration of 20 seconds or 10–20 seconds if accompanied by bradycardia [<80 beats per minute] or desaturation [Spo₂ < 80%]). The pulmonary group was unable to resolve a concern about failing to account for apnea events <10 seconds in duration that are associated with significant bradycardia/desaturation. However, recording of multiple parameters as just noted would allow an evaluation of such events.
- Studies should examine treatment duration over the long-term (eg, several weeks) and over a wider range of gestational ages. The pulmonary group noted that current approved labeling for caffeine is for short-term use and for those of 28 to 32 weeks' gestational age.
- Studies must control for conditions that are believed to both cause apnea and independently influence outcome (eg, intraventricular hemorrhage, periventricular leukomalacia, respiratory distress syndrome, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, reflux).
- Studies must be randomized and blinded.
- It is appropriate to conduct studies by examining reflux treatment and its effect on apnea without necessarily including measurement of reflux. The pulmonary group acknowledged that no good evidence is available to support the relationship; nevertheless, clinicians continue to use antireflux medications to treat apnea. Although apnea and GERD occur in nearly all

premature infants, they may be unrelated. The pulmonary group agreed that it was important to bridge the investigation of this issue between the gastrointestinal community and neonatologists, because both groups are examining it independently.

Appropriate Outcome Measures

Studies need to include and be powered for short-, intermediate-, and long-term outcomes (see Table 1 for details on the proposed clinical-trial framework).

Ethical Considerations for Future Studies

The following determinations about ethical considerations were made.

- It is ethical to perform randomized, placebo-controlled trials for apnea in preterm infants. The pulmonary group recognized that placebo does not mean that there is no treatment for apnea. The availability of rescue treatments for apnea such as continuous positive airway pressure and mechanical ventilation makes a placebo-controlled trial ethical. It is ethical to perform randomized, placebo-controlled trials for reflux (not involving apnea) in preterm infants.
- It is ethical to perform randomized, placebo-controlled trials for reflux and apnea, with apnea being the outcome, in preterm infants.

TABLE 1	Framework for a Study of Apnea in Neonates
---------	--

Hypothesis	There is no difference in neurodevelopmental outcome
<i>,</i> ,	between patients managed with drug X for apnea
	vs placebo (or active comparator if labeled for the
	indication); secondary hypotheses would include
	the following
	There is no difference in apnea (frequency and
	severity) at predetermined times sequentially
	measured between drug X and placebo (or active
	comparator if labeled for the indication)
	There is no correlation between apnea (frequency
	and severity) and neurodevelopmental outcome
Drug priorities	The following drugs should be used in studies of apnea
	(in order of priority)
	Caffeine (dose-ranging studies will need to be
	performed for a variety of gestational ages for
	which information is not currently available)
	GERD agents for treatment of apnea
	Drugs for future consideration include specific
	adenosine receptor subtype antagonists,
	doxapram, and progesterone
Primary outcome	The study should be powered for neurodevelopmental
C I I	outcome at 18 mo
Secondary outcomes	Proposed secondary outcomes include
	Length of hospitalization
	Number of days hospitalized for apnea only
	Frequency and severity of apnea events (measured
	2 d after initiation of therapy and weekly until
	discharge)
	Duration of assisted ventilation/continuous positive
	airway pressure

PROPOSED CLINICAL-TRIAL FRAMEWORK

A sample framework for the study of apnea in neonates was proposed (see Table 1), and the characteristics of the clinical study design were identified (see Table 2).

FUTURE RESEARCH NEEDS

The following future research needs were identified.

- A large prospective study is needed to distinguish the role of apnea from the many confounding conditions and other predictors of neurodevelopmental outcome, including gestational age, neuroanatomic abnormalities, exposure to mechanical ventilation, sepsis, postnatal steroid treatment, and occurrence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.
- Studies and their analyses should include rigorous control of potentially confounding variables.
- Ideally, randomized trials should have a primary hypothesis or coprimary hypotheses powered to assess long-term follow-up.

PLENARY DISCUSSION

During the plenary session, the pulmonary group and other workshop participants made the following points about the study of apnea in neonates.

- The issue of confounding therapies and morbidities when examining long-term outcomes is an important one that will need to be addressed, perhaps with statistical techniques. The group considered excluding the smallest infants, who were likely to have comorbidities, but the pulmonary group believed that the smallest infants were the ones most in need of intervention for apnea and were receiving prophylactic therapy. Multiple variables should fall out if the randomized clinical trial is large enough.
- Although maturation is more relevant than size to respiratory drive, the pulmonary group chose to categorize infants by birth weight because it is more precise than gestational age.
- The pulmonary group may need to analyze available pharmacokinetic data to address the issue of whether to adjust drug doses to maintain the same serum levels as the infant grows.
- Many monitoring systems that record retrievable data on heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation offer opportunities for documenting apnea and related physiologic events. Nurse observations have been shown clearly to be unreliable in documenting apnea episodes.

Type of study	The study should be a randomized, blinded, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial with well defined criteria for rescue therapy
Stratification	Neonatal groups would be stratified by the following criteria
Stratification	
	<800 g 800 to <1200 g
	1200 to 1500 g
Sample size	The pulmonary group proposed a range of sample sizes based on a first-pass power
Sample size	analysis, given neurodevelopmental outcome vs control (80% power)
	3000 patients to discern a 5% difference in neurodevelopmental impairment (eg, 30% v 25%)
	500 patients to discern a 5-point difference in the Bayley score (SD: 15)
Entry criteria	Entry criteria would require consideration of the following issues
	Use of periextubation caffeine
	Use of prophylaxis, particularly for very immature infants to prevent intubation
	Use of a nonprophylaxis strategy that might require defining frequency and duration
Exclusion criteria	Infants with the following characteristics would be excluded from the study
	Apnea judged to be caused primarily by an alternative etiology (not AOP; eg, intraventricular hemorrhage, sepsis)
	Congenital anomalies
	Prior study-drug exposure
Assessment parameters	The pulmonary group identified the following assessment parameters for efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics
	Short-term parameters include
	Frequency, severity, and duration of apnea episodes at specific times throughout hospitalization, with direct measures of actual apnea and the associated heart rate and Spo ₂
	Pharmacokinetic information for various gestational ages and postconceptional ages
	Intermediate parameters include
	Various assessments of duration (eg, duration of hospitalization, assisted ventilation
	[both continuous positive airway pressure and intermittent positive pressure ventilation], O ₂)
	Morbidities (necrotizing enterocolitis, intraventricular hemorrhage, periventricular
	leukomalacia, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, retinopathy of prematurity)
	Long-term parameters include cognitive and psychomotor assessment

TABLE 2 Clinical Study Design

- One pulmonary group member is conducting studies to assess the role of xanthines and is not specifically addressing apnea. Although the pulmonary group's study would build on any results from this study, it would explore new territory by asking whether an association exists between AOP and impaired neurodevelopmental outcome and, if so, whether the association is causal. If apnea is related to or results in impaired neurodevelopmental outcome, treatment to reduce apnea would provide direct benefit to the patient.
- The pulmonary group did not discuss the issue of the potential confounding effect of xanthine therapy, which might affect growth and, thus, long-term outcome. The group did suggest that one approach to addressing the issue was to record growth-rate velocity.
- The framework will address differentiation between central and obstructive apnea by obtaining nasal air-flow measurements. This assessment would not be conducted for the entire study, because it is impractical to measure airflow on a continuing basis.
- The pulmonary group considered the issue of nonapnea desaturation and was unable to resolve concerns about defining AOP in a way that would miss apnea events <10 seconds in duration. The final design of the study will need to address whether to include all events, including 2- to 3-second apneas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge financial and administrative support from the National Institutes of Health and the Food and Drug Administration.

Our thanks go to Keith Barrington, MD, and Barbara Schmidt, MD, for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- Alden ER, Mandelkorn T, Woodrum DE, Wennberg RP, Parks CR, Hodson WA. Morbidity and mortality of infants weighing less than 1000 grams in an intensive care nursery. *Pediatrics*. 1972;50:40–49
- Daily WJR, Klaus M, Meyer HBP. Apnea in premature infants: monitoring, incidence, heart rate changes, and an effect of environmental temperature. *Pediatrics*. 1967;43:510–518
- 3. Barrington K, Finer N. The natural history of the appearance of apnea of prematurity. *Pediatr Res.* 1991;29:372–375
- 4. Aranda JV, Turmen T. Methylxanthines in apnea of prematurity. *Clin Perinatol.* 1979;6:87–108
- Michigan Association of Apnea Professionals. Consensus Statement on Infantile Apnea and Home Monitoring. 3rd ed. Lansing, MI: Michigan Association of Apnea Professionals; 1994
- National Institutes of Health Consensus Development Conference on Infantile Apnea and Home Monitoring, Sept 29 to Oct 1, 1986. *Pediatrics*. 1987;79:292–299
- Menon AP, Schefft GL, Thach BT. Apnea associated with regurgitation in infants. *J Pediatr*. 1985;106:625–629
- Kimball AL, Carlton DP. Gastroesophageal reflux medications in the treatment of apnea in premature infants. *J Pediatr.* 2001; 138:355–360
- 9. Peter CS, Sprodowski N, Bohnhorst B, Silny J, Poets CF. Gastroesophageal reflux and apnea of prematurity: no temporal relationship. *Pediatrics*. 2002;109:8–11

Summary Proceedings From the Apnea-of-Prematurity Group Neil N. Finer, Rosemary Higgins, John Kattwinkel and Richard J. Martin *Pediatrics* 2006;117;47-51 DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0620H

Updated Information & Services References	including high-resolution figures, can be found at: http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/117/3/S1/S47 This article cites 7 articles, 4 of which you can access for free at:
	http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/117/3/S1/S47#BIBL
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Premature & Newborn http://www.pediatrics.org/cgi/collection/premature_and_newbor n
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/Permissions.shtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://www.pediatrics.org/misc/reprints.shtml

This information is current as of December 14, 2006

