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## Census Snapshot: 2010

Same-sex couples

| Husband/wife | $\mathbf{3 , 6 0 7}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Unmarried partner | 19,442 |

Same-sex couples per 1,000 households

Same-sex "husband/wife" couples per 1,000 "husband/wife" couples

Same-sex "unmarried partner" couples per 1,000 "unmarried partner" couples

Same-sex couples per 1,000 households by Census tract (adjusted)



Same-sex couples who identify as spouses


Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners


Same-sex female couples per 1,000 households by county (adjusted)


Same-sex male couples per 1,000 households
by county (adjusted)


## Percent of same-sex couples raising "own" children* by county (adjusted)


*"Own" children are never-married children under 18 who are sons or daughters of one partner or spouse (Person 1) by birth, marriage (stepchild), or adoption.


Same-sex couples who identify as unmarried partners


## Data and methodology

Data are compiled using the US Census Bureau's state-level preferred estimates for same-sex couples found here. Same-sex couples are identified in households where Person 1 describes his or her relationship with another adult of the same sex as either a "husband/wife" or "unmarried partner". The Census Bureau preferred estimates adjust original Census tabulations reported in the Census 2010 SF-1, PCT15 to account for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

## Adjusted data

The Census Bureau only released preferred estimates for states. County, city, and tract data used in this report are adjusted by the authors and do not represent official Census Bureau tabulations. Like the Census Bureau preferred estimates, the adjustment procedure accounts for the likelihood that a small portion of different-sex couples miscode the sex of a spouse or partner and are incorrectly counted as a same-sex couple.

## Undercount

The adjusted figures do not take into account the possibility that some same-sex couples may not be counted in Census tabulations due to concerns about confidentiality or because neither partner was Person 1 in the household.
Go here for a complete description of the adjustment procedure.

## Counties with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

| State | US rank <br> among 1,142 <br> counties with <br> rot same-sex <br> couples | County | Same-sex couples <br> (adjusted) | Same-sex couples <br> per 1,000 <br> households <br> (adjusted) | Same-sex <br> male <br> couples <br> (adjusted) | Same-sex <br> female <br> couples <br> (adjusted) | \% Raising "own" <br> children among <br> same-sex couples <br> (adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 115 | Cook | 14047 | 7.14 | 9599 | 4448 | $16 \%$ |
| 2 | 190 | Jackson | 156 | 6.10 | 69 | 87 | $13 \%$ |

Counties with <50 same-sex couples


|  | De Witt | 23 | 3.42 | 0 | 23 | 43\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Douglas | 4 | 0.50 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  | Edgar | 23 | 2.88 | 13 | 10 | 27\% |
|  | Edwards | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Effingham | 12 | 0.92 | 0 | 12 | 0\% |
|  | Fayette | 26 | 3.16 | 2 | 25 | 27\% |
|  | Ford | 11 | 1.91 | 0 | 11 | 52\% |
|  | Franklin | 43 | 2.58 | 0 | 43 | 26\% |
|  | Fulton | 24 | 1.64 | 0 | 24 | 56\% |
|  | Gallatin | 6 | 2.45 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
|  | Greene | 2 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  | Grundy | 41 | 2.20 | 0 | 41 | 23\% |
|  | Hamilton | 9 | 2.71 | 0 | 9 | 74\% |
|  | Hancock | 18 | 2.21 | 0 | 18 | 18\% |
|  | Hardin | 5 | 2.68 | 4 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | Henderson | 2 | 0.74 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  | Henry | 40 | 1.96 | 0 | 40 | 0\% |
|  | Iroquois | 26 | 2.17 | 0 | 26 | 0\% |
|  | Jasper | 11 | 2.82 | 0 | 11 | 49\% |
|  | Jersey | 2 | 0.17 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  | Jo Daviess | 22 | 2.25 | 5 | 17 | 0\% |
|  | Johnson | 2 | 0.49 | 0 | 2 | 100\% |
|  | Knox | 32 | 1.51 | 0 | 32 | 2\% |
|  | Lawrence | 9 | 1.44 | 0 | 9 | 0\% |
|  | Lee | 36 | 2.59 | 0 | 36 | 5\% |
|  | Logan | 33 | 2.95 | 0 | 33 | 18\% |
|  | Macoupin | 45 | 2.30 | 0 | 45 | 9\% |
|  | Marion | 29 | 1.79 | 0 | 29 | 0\% |
|  | Marshall | 2 | 0.36 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  | Mason | 10 | 1.56 | 0 | 10 | 0\% |
|  | Massac | 16 | 2.56 | 2 | 14 | 40\% |
|  | McDonough | 40 | 3.03 | 0 | 40 | 0\% |
|  | Menard | 19 | 3.66 | 0 | 19 | 32\% |
|  | Mercer | 12 | 1.84 | 0 | 12 | 0\% |
|  | Monroe | 16 | 1.27 | 0 | 16 | 0\% |
|  | Montgomery | 31 | 2.62 | 0 | 31 | 0\% |
|  | Moultrie | 13 | 2.29 | 2 | 12 | 10\% |
|  | Ogle | 23 | 1.10 | 0 | 23 | 30\% |
|  | Perry | 17 | 1.98 | 0 | 17 | 34\% |
|  | Piatt | 23 | 3.42 | 0 | 23 | 0\% |
|  | Pike | 8 | 1.21 | 0 | 8 | 10\% |
|  | Pope | 1 | 0.81 | 0 | 1 | 32\% |
|  | Pulaski | 3 | 1.24 | 0 | 3 | 0\% |
|  | Putnam | 2 | 0.79 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  | Randolph | 16 | 1.32 | 0 | 16 | 21\% |
|  | Richland | 8 | 1.18 | 0 | 8 | 0\% |
|  | Saline | 11 | 1.02 | 0 | 11 | 0\% |
|  | Schuyler | 5 | 1.69 | 0 | 5 | 0\% |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Scott | 3 | 1.39 | 0 | 3 | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shelby | 10 | 1.13 | 0 | 10 | 11\% |
| Stark | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Stephenson | 30 | 1.49 | 0 | 30 | 6\% |
| Union | 25 | 3.42 | 0 | 25 | 50\% |
| Wabash | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Warren | 17 | 2.46 | 0 | 17 | 34\% |
| Washington | 11 | 1.94 | 0 | 11 | 74\% |
| Wayne | 12 | 1.75 | 0 | 12 | 0\% |
| White | 17 | 2.62 | 0 | 17 | 0\% |
| Whiteside | 44 | 1.83 | 0 | 44 | 41\% |
| Woodford | 20 | 1.43 | 0 | 20 | 0\% |

Cities with 50+ same-sex couples ranked by same-sex couples per 1,000 households

| State rank | US rank among 1,415 cities with 50+ same-sex couples | City | Same-sex couples (adjusted) | Same-sex couples <br> per 1,000 <br> households <br> (adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 71 | Oak Park | 356 | 15.70 |
| 2 | 100 | Forest Park | 95 | 13.26 |
| 3 | 154 | Evanston | 327 | 10.87 |
| 4 | 177 | Chicago | 10849 | 10.38 |
| 5 | 477 | Alton | 82 | 6.98 |
| 6 | 496 | Berwyn | 130 | 6.86 |
| 7 | 504 | Champaign | 218 | 6.78 |
| 8 | 532 | Collinsville | 73 | 6.65 |
| 9 | 567 | Springfield | 328 | 6.48 |
| 10 | 591 | Rock Island | 101 | 6.37 |
| 11 | 607 | Blue Island | 50 | 6.27 |
| 12 | 661 | Peoria | 284 | 6.03 |
| 13 | 700 | Urbana | 100 | 5.88 |
| 14 | 705 | Elgin | 206 | 5.86 |
| 15 | 738 | Bloomington | 181 | 5.72 |
| 16 | 840 | Aurora | 326 | 5.21 |
| 17 | 865 | Streamwood | 66 | 5.09 |
| 18 | 869 | DeKalb | 78 | 5.07 |
| 19 | 885 | Carbondale | 55 | 5.03 |
| 20 | 890 | Bolingbrook | 111 | 5.01 |
| 21 | 896 | Rockford | 299 | 4.99 |
| 22 | 944 | Waukegan | 136 | 4.84 |
| 23 | 954 | Normal | 86 | 4.78 |
| 24 | 960 | Highland Park | 54 | 4.76 |
| 25 | 1036 | Decatur | 145 | 4.47 |
| 26 | 1079 | Lombard | 75 | 4.32 |
| 27 | 1133 | Calumet | 58 | 4.16 |
| 28 | 1152 | Belleville | 77 | 4.09 |
| 29 | 1182 | Danville | 51 | 3.96 |
| 30 | 1188 | Skokie | 93 | 3.95 |
| 31 | 1225 | Elmhurst | 60 | 3.78 |
| 32 | 1229 | Quincy | 64 | 3.76 |
| 33 | 1262 | Cicero | 79 | 3.59 |
| 34 | 1267 | Joliet | 171 | 3.56 |
| 35 | 1304 | Moline | 63 | 3.40 |
| 36 | 1316 | Oak Lawn | 75 | 3.36 |
| 37 | 1325 | Des Plaines | 75 | 3.30 |
| 38 | 1337 | Schaumburg | 100 | 3.17 |
| 39 | 1350 | Mount Prospect | 64 | 3.10 |
| 40 | 1373 | Downers Grove | 56 | 2.91 |
| 41 | 1378 | Hoffman Estates | 52 | 2.88 |
| 42 | 1392 | Palatine | 74 | 2.77 |


| 43 | 1410 | Naperville | 104 | 2.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 44 | 1413 | Arlington Heights | 61 | 1.98 |
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