UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Dental topographic proxies for ecological characteristics in carnivoran mammals

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/64f328k2

Journal Journal of Anatomy, 242(4)

ISSN 0021-8782

Authors

Waldman, Emily Gonzalez, Yoly Flynn, John J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2023-04-01

DOI

10.1111/joa.13806

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Dental topographic proxies for ecological characteristics in carnivoran mammals

Emily Waldman¹ | Yoly Gonzalez² | John J. Flynn³ | Z. Jack Tseng^{3,4}

¹University at Buffalo School of Dental Medicine, Buffalo, New York, USA

²Department of Oral Diagnostic Sciences, University at Buffalo, School of Dental Medicine, Buffalo, New York, USA

³Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, USA

⁴Department of Integrative Biology and Museum of Paleontology, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA

Correspondence

Emily Waldman, Department of Oral Diagnostic Sciences, University at Buffalo, School of Dental Medicine, Buffalo, NY, USA.

Email: ekwald321@gmail.com

Funding information

American Museum of Natural History; US National Science Foundation, Grant/ Award Number: DBI 2128146 and DEB1257572

Abstract

Form-function relationships in mammalian feeding systems are active topics of research in evolutionary biology. This is due principally to their fundamental importance for understanding dietary adaptations in extinct taxa and macro-evolutionary patterns of morphological transformations through changing environments. We hypothesize that three-dimensional dental topographic metrics represent stronger predictors for dietary and other ecological variables than do linear measurements. To test this hypothesis, we measured three dental topographic metrics: Relief Index (RFI), Dirichlet Normal Energy (DNE), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR) in 57 extant carnivoran species. Premolar and molar dental topographic indices were regressed against activity, diet breadth, habitat breadth, terrestriality, and trophic level variables within a phylogenetic framework. The results of this study showed significant correlations between RFI and the ecological variables diet breadth and trophic level. Weaker correlations are documented between OPCR and activity and between DNE and trophic level. Our results suggest that cusp height is strongly reflective of dietary ecology in carnivorans as a whole, and represents a proxy mainly for different degrees of hypercarnivory observed within this group of predatory mammals.

KEYWORDS

Carnivora, dental topography, diet breadth, Dirichlet Normal Energy, Orientation Patch Count Rotated, Relief Index, teeth, terrestriality, trophic level

1 | INTRODUCTION

Dietary habits and ecological traits are among some of the most commonly analyzed variables in comparative studies of mammalian diversity. Teeth are unique identifiers for these characteristics because the dentition is the only part of the skeleton directly exposed to and interacting with the external environment. Consequently, teeth are critical for understanding an individual's ecology and the direct interaction between organisms and their environment (Haeckel, 1866), particularly in determining feeding ecology. Additionally, teeth are ideal structures for determining rate and pattern of growth and development of mammals and other vertebrates, and the dentition also can be used to study both ontogenetic and phylogenetic patterns, as well as providing a rich source of shared-derived (synapomorphic) phylogenetic traits for assisting taxonomic classification (Schwartz & Dean, 2000; Winchester et al., 2014).

As a quantitative method for characterizing ecological or dietary groupings using teeth, dental topographic analysis (DTA) metrics were first utilized to study and infer diet in fossil hominins. DTA subsequently has been expanded to include diet studies, wear stages, and lifestyle dental effects in a variety of both extinct and extant

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes. © 2023 The Authors. Journal of Anatomy published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Anatomical Society.

mammals (Reed, 1997; Thiery et al., 2019; Ungar & Williamson, 2000; Zuccotti et al., 1998).

WILEY-ANATOMICAL

Hopkins et al. (2021) demonstrated that using linear and area measurements of dental morphology in carnivoran mammals to interpret dietary ecology is susceptible to large errors as a function of limited dataset size and confounding phylogenetic signals. They also showed that phylogenetic non-independence decreases the accuracy of diet predictions, and much of the information in dental morphology on dietary ecology cannot be decoupled from evolutionary constraints characteristic of particular clades due to ancestry. Thus, the utility of non-dental cranial traits and linear dental morphology metrics in predicting or reconstructing diet in living and extinct mammals may rest on the use of multiple variables for more confident dietary inferences.

In an analysis of family-level dental form-function, Pérez-Ramos et al. (2020) focused on Ursidae, and showed a phylogenetic signal associated with curvature and complexity in both living and extinct bears. Additionally, diets of ursids could be distinguished by DTA measurements. In an analysis of plant diets, Eronen et al. (2017) examined primates with specialized bamboo versus generalized fibrous plant diets and found that specialized fibrous (bamboo) diets correlated with extremely high complexity DTA value of molar surfaces.

Our study quantifies tooth morphology metrics of dental topography (Thiery et al., 2019; Ungar & Williamson, 2000; Zuccotti et al., 1998). Additionally, teeth are among the most commonly preserved body parts in the vertebrate fossil record, and thus are most useful for paleobiological studies, as soft tissue is rarely preserved and other hard tissues such as bones are not as frequently found in some environments or as precisely identifiable taxonomically as the more durable dental tissues (Shipman, 1981). Post-canine mammalian dental morphology often has been used to infer behavior, diet, and ecology in extinct mammals (e.g., Berthaume et al., 2019, 2020). These correlations are especially strong in mammals that masticate food before ingestion (Lucas, 2004; Ungar, 2010). Therefore, not only can we predict dental morphology to be under strong selective pressure and tight genetic control, but we can also measure quantitative three-dimensional dental topographic metrics to represent stronger predictors for dietary variables than linear measurements. We formulated and tested this form-function hypothesis based on well-defined dental topographic features (see next section).

1.1 | Topographic analysis of tooth morphology

As introduced above, DTA is an important tool for quantification of tooth morphology. DTA has been applied extensively to mammalian dentitions to identify anatomical features, characterize worn teeth, and infer an organism's dietary grouping (Berthaume et al., 2020; Bunn et al., 2011). There are three principal dental topographic metrics: Relief Index (RFI), Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR) (modified from Orientation Patch Count [OPC], see below), and Dirichlet Normal Energy (DNE). Relief Index is a metric for determining overall tooth shape (Ungar & Williamson, 2000), calculated as the three-dimensional dentition surface area divided by the two-dimensional outline, multiplied by 100. RFI is documented to separate diets most effectively among these three metrics, distinguishing ecological insectivores (insect-consumers) and folivores (leaf-consumers) from frugivores (fruit-consumers), in Euarchonta, a clade comprising extinct and extant primates, tree shrews (Scandentia), and colugos (Dermoptera) (Bunn et al., 2011).

Another common dental topographic metric is the OPC, which quantifies tooth surface complexity. If teeth are described as multifunctional tools, OPC is the number of tools on a tooth's surface (Evans et al., 2007). OPC was originally measured by stacking a 2.5D grid over the surface of a dentition, thereby assigning the same number of dental surfaces to an equal number of polygons (Berthaume et al., 2019, 2020). The normal vector for each polygon was assigned, and polygons were distributed into "bins" based on the direction in which the normal vector pointed (anteriorly, anterior-medially, medially, etc.). Polygons with three or more common edges were assigned to the same bin, and therefore formed a "patch", with more complex teeth having more patches (Berthaume et al., 2019).

Currently, OPC is calculated by locating the orientations of grid points on a tooth in eight compass directions (Bunn et al., 2011). This measurement has been assessed and replicated in herbivores and hypercarnivores of two different orders in which species in the same dietary category possess similar OPC values (Evans et al., 2007). To improve the sensitivity of this metric, OPCR was introduced (Evans & Jernvall, 2009). This modified metric was applied to individual teeth instead of entire tooth rows and averaged eight OPC values for eight tooth orientations to account for variations in scan orientations (Berthaume et al., 2019). However, OPCR was not able to distinguish different primate dietary groups in Bunn et al.'s (2011) study. Additionally, OPCR has been considered an informative measure to understand diet preferences in extant "prosimian" primates and the extinct primatomorph class, plesiadapiformes, even with non-trophic level diet categorizations (e.g., Boyer et al., 2010). In contrast, Berthaume et al. (2019) determined that OPCR does not predict diet in two primate groups analyzed: platyrrhines and prosimians.

A third parameter, DNE, was introduced as an orientation-free dental metric quantifying tooth shape (molar), independent of position, orientation, scale, or landmarks (Bunn et al., 2011). Further, DNE was interpreted as surface "energy" and quantifies the deviation from a stable minimal state of energy, or planar form (Bunn et al., 2011). DNE allowed differentiation among Euarchonta species with diverse diets, such as insectivores and folivores (Bunn et al., 2011). In that same study, DNE was strongly associated with RFI, and weakly associated with OPCR; a conclusion was drawn to represent DNE as a variable reflecting the shape of the tools on the molar occlusal plane. High and steeply sloped cusps, as well as sharp shearing crests, all have high DNE values, whereas flatter more bulbous cusps produce smaller DNE values (Bunn et al., 2011). Based on these findings, DNE appears to be an informative metric to distinguish among insectivores, folivores, omnivores, and frugivores. Insectivores were reported as having the highest DNE, followed sequentially by folivores, omnivores, and then frugivores in extinct and extant primates within Euarchonta (Berthaume et al., 2020; Bunn et al., 2011).

Considered together, DNE, RFI, and OPCR are the most common dental topographic analytical metrics in recent quantitative tooth crown analyses, in preference to more localized measures such as shearing quotient and shearing ratio (Bunn et al., 2011). These three metrics can be applied reliably to both worn and unworn molar teeth, and are essentially free of errors introduced by manual selection of landmarks (Bunn et al., 2011). However, it is important to note that not only does digital model smoothing affect DNE, RFI, and OPCR, but if different dental models possess both smoothed and unsmoothed surfaces, then DNE and OPCR should not be compared (Berthaume et al., 2019). Based on the rigorous analyses and previously documented reliability of distinguishing dietary categories in other mammal groups, DNE, RFI, and OPCR are the three dental measurements applied in this study. As dental morphology is under tight genetic control, and tooth form generally correlates with diet preference, it also may be possible to infer selective adaptations between tooth form and diet function (Lucas, 2004).

Based on the findings discussed above, we hypothesized that carnivoran dental topographic metrics of tooth surface energy or sharpness (DNE) and tooth complexity (OPCR) would be related to multiple ecological variables studied, but no ecological trait would be related to relative cusp height (RFI). Tooth form is expected to correlate closely with dietary preference, as seen in previous studies and because the dentition is among the first organs to contact food (Bunn et al., 2011; Eronen et al., 2017; Pérez-Ramos et al., 2020). Furthermore, DTA values are expected to correlate to the feeding ecology and life history traits of diet breadth, habitat breadth, and trophic level (based on dietary pressure placed specifically on the dentition), but not to locomotor traits that are less directly linked to feeding ecology and dental morphology (such as activity cycle or terrestriality).

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

We sampled one individual for each of 57 extant carnivoran species representing all 16 modern families of Carnivora (Table S1). For consistency among specimens, we focused our analyses on the left lower postcanine teeth. The 57 specimens were from the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) Mammalogy Collections, augmented with datasets from the literature, preferentially analyzing adult, wild-caught skulls. These specimens were scanned in the AMNH Microscopy and Imaging Facility (MIF) via high-resolution X-ray micro-computed tomography (μ CT) using a GE v|tome|x system, with voxel resolutions set between ~30 and 140 μ m, scaled to specimen size (larger specimens had image datasets with larger voxel sizes to yield resolution comparable to smaller specimens). The image data then were segmented using Avizo Lite (Version 9.0) (Thermo Fisher Scientific) to produce triangular mesh models that contained different quantities of mesh face number (MFN) that form the surface of a tooth model (Boyer, 2008). These models were uploaded into Autodesk Meshmixer, 2017 (Version 3.5.474; Autodesk, Inc.) to crop out all features except the lower left postcanine teeth and exported as *.ply files. Each *.ply file was then cropped, resampled, and smoothed (Berthaume et al., 2019) in Geomagic Wrap (Version 2017; 3D Systems). Figure 1 represents an illustrated workflow using the specimen example of *Panthera pardus*.

Two methods used by Berthaume et al. (2019) for cropping out all other tooth structure excluding the enamel are: basin cut-off (BCO) and entire enamel cap (EEC). BCO isolates the enamel cap above the lowest point on the central basin, whereas EEC includes the entire outer surface of the enamel cap (Berthaume et al., 2019). The EEC method, which produces values for the whole tooth shape, was reported to differ significantly in dental metrics from BCO, which includes significant portions of the root surfaces (Berthaume et al., 2018; Boyer, 2008; Winchester, 2016). In this study, we use only the EEC cropping method of Berthaume et al. (2019) to analyze each specimen consistently. Cropped files from Geomagic Wrap were opened in MeshLab version 2016.12 (Cignoni et al., 2008), inspected for any errors such as holes in the surface of the dentition or incompletely scanned areas, then uploaded into MorphoTester 1.0.1 software (Winchester, 2016) and analyzed to determine DNE, RFI, and OPCR (Boyer et al., 2014) metrics with methods described in Berthaume et al. (2019).

In addition to analyses of the tooth mesh models, generated using the method described above, we followed the Pampush et al. (2016, 2019) protocol to standardize MFN to adjust for mesh sensitivity differences among species. Models were loaded into Geomagic Wrap 2017 to standardize the mesh number. As in Berthaume et al. (2019), cropping followed by resampling was used to examine the effect of mesh density on dental topographic metrics. DNE and OPCR, for example, are sensitive to triangle count, whereas RFI is reportedly not as sensitive (Winchester, 2016). However, at high mesh resolutions DNE is independent of triangle count, due to the lack of sharp edges or bumpy surfaces being factored into the calculation (Berthaume et al., 2019). In addition, at high mesh resolutions RFI will not be sensitive to triangle count, due to small changes in crown occlusal surface area not being dependent on overall dentition height (Lazzari & Guy, 2014). OPCR is most sensitive to changes in mesh resolution, as determined by the number of triangles that point in different orientations (Berthaume et al., 2019). To combat variable counts of tooth mesh number in studies, Berthaume et al. (2019) reported that teeth are commonly resampled at a constant triangle count. During the computed tomography (CT) data collection stage, larger teeth may have higher voxel resolution, translating to higher mesh counts, as opposed to smaller teeth that typically are not scanned at as high relative resolution and thus might have fewer mesh counts making up a comparable feature (Skinner & Gunz, 2010). Models used in this study had markedly different mesh counts, with the highest mesh count at 21,278 in the spotted-necked otter (Lutra maculicollis) and the lowest mesh count at 683 in the aardwolf (Proteles cristatus;



5: MeshLab - convert file to be read for DTA analysis.

6: MorphoTester – Analyze DTA (OPCR pictured).

FIGURE 1 Illustrated research workflow using example specimen, Panthera pardus.

Table 1) (Werdelin et al., 2021). In previously reported DNE calculations, most teeth are standardized arbitrarily to 10.000 triangles (Bunn et al., 2011; Winchester et al., 2014), while other studies uniformly simplified the mesh counts to 22,000 and 55,000 triangles, respectively (Guy et al., 2013, 2015). The study by Berthaume et al. (2019) stated that the effects of cropping and analysis of triangle counts were most variable in low triangle counts (under 210), and the final mesh number count for a low triangle count did not reflect actual tooth surface area and size measurements; all triangle counts in this study lie above that threshold.

To assess the effect of different standardized MFNs, we generated two additional uniformly standardized datasets of all tooth mesh models at 700 and 10,000 mesh counts, respectively. We repeated 10 iterations of surface refinement (triangle subdivision) in Geomagic Wrap, then down-sampled specimens that originally were over 10,000 mesh count to the targets of 10,000 (Pampush et al., 2016, 2019) and 700 mesh counts. These computer-altered specimens were then saved in the same *.ply file format as the original meshes. We then noted the MFN under each model dataset/ sampling regime and repeated the associated DNE, RFI, and OPCR analyses for each of the two resampled model datasets (see Table 1 for results).

To account for data non-independence and different degrees of evolutionary relatedness between species, a molecular phylogeny was generated based on data from Upham et al. (2019). DTA measurements were quantitatively compared to the ecological characteristics of species in this study using pairwise phylogenetically informed regression analyses. All possible pairings of DTA (n = 3

variables) to ecological (n = 5 variables) traits were regressed in order to assess the degree to which each DTA variable correlates with ecological ones; no interactions among multiple DTA and/or ecological variables were tested. The five ecological variables were activity cycle, diet breadth, habitat breadth, terrestriality/arboreality, and trophic level, with ecological data from the PanTHERIA database (Jones et al., 2009) (Table 2). Diet breadth is defined as the number of dietary groupings, from among these classifications of food sources: vertebrate, invertebrate, fruit, flower/nectar/pollen, leaves/branches/bark, seeds, grass, and roots/tubers. Habitat breadth is the number of habitat layers a species populates, and is characterized as scansorial, aquatic, fossorial (under-ground dwelling), or ground-dwelling. Trophic level for each species is defined as herbivore, omnivore, or carnivore. Activity is categorized as nocturnal only, nocturnal/crepuscular (twilight; also includes cathemeral or equally distributed in the 24-h daily cycle), or crepuscular or diurnal/crepuscular. Degrees of terrestriality are determined from the PanTHERIA database, defined as a qualitative or quantitative time measure of the dominant percentage of time spent by a species in a specific habitat, and further subdivided into either as fossorial (or ground-dwelling), scansorial (both above-ground and ground-dwelling), semi-aquatic, or fully aquatic (Jones et al., 2009). Scansorial was defined as ground-dwelling species who have the additional lifestyle ability to climb and live in an arboreal setting (Nakagawa et al., 2007). In this study, we also use this term to define a group of mammals which are ground-dwelling, but spend a significant amount of time above-ground in trees, but are not exclusively an arboreal-dwelling taxa. For the variables analyzed, only

											The second	Jou	rna	of		Id	U		yaq			11		ŠÔ	ĈÌ	ĔŤ	Ϋ́	٧V	IL	EY-
Missing teeth	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Molar # (total)	1	ო	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	ę	2	1	1	2	2	Ļ	0	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2
Premolar # (total)	2	с	4	ო	ო	т	ю	4	4	4	4	e	ო	4	2	e	5	4	2	4	S	4	4	4	ო	5	e	e	e	ę
ooth # (all ostcanine)																														
μē	e	9	9	С	5	5	5	5	5	7	9	4	4	9	4	4	5	9	С	9	5	9	9	9	5	5	5	5	5	5
OPCR 700	44.75	54.875	46.125	62	72	53.25	77.625	48.625	62.625	65.75	47.75	48.375	58.375	68.5	46.875	56.5	46.25	84	54.75	77.25	66.5	73.625	68.625	79.75	66.125	82.5	72.75	59.25	71	66.125
RFI 700	7.626	7.717	4.42	2.233	7.3	9.154	3.438	9.022	2.758	7.957	4.558	6.379	4.416	3.178	2.911	2.597	2.982	2.725	4.131	3.173	7.971	3.359	5.12	5.214	7.486	3.238	2.956	6.459	6.906	7.024
DNE 700	321.662	216.84	156.569	186.015	254.235	163.039	302.172	187.814	150.662	272.544	148.853	171.888	204.977	377.092	122.855	133.173	107.169	307.119	184.879	318.72	269.046	315.658	218.873	373.223	272.381	352.076	257.217	240.146	280.348	239.916
MFN 700	669	698	700	698	698	698	698	669	698	669	698	698	698	669	700	669	697	698	698	698	696	698	698	698	669	669	698	669	669	669
OPCR 10k	169.125	71.25	64.125	154.125	59.125	56.125	164.875	38.125	65.625	89.625	42.125	67	82.125	138.125	82.5	111.375	74.75	190.5	62.625	163.25	84.25	173.25	171.875	147.5	114	165.125	165.25	103	119.5	88.375
RFI 10k	7.706	7.519	4.336	2.2	7.148	9.158	3.41	8.899	2.684	7.774	4.471	6.286	4.299	3.087	2.865	2.555	2.922	2.654	4.059	3.054	7.788	3.231	5.069	5.082	7.214	3.155	2.841	6.304	6.725	6.936
DNE 10k	912.012	478.686	300.266	369.401	461.541	271.044	586.433	365.483	245.685	481.171	243.672	334.153	359.447	794.515	354.739	201.457	183.71	539.481	295.737	615.905	528.274	530.568	642.8	702.737	542.674	772.541	512.178	459.788	650.266	468.35
MFN 10k	9998	6666	8666	9998	8666	6666	6666	6666	9666	10,000	6666	6666	9998	6666	10,000	6666	6666	9666	10,000	8666	8666	6666	8666	9666	10,000	10,002	9666	10,000	6666	6666
Clade	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia
Species	Acinonyx jubatus	Ailuropoda melanoleuca	Ailurus fulgens	Aonyx capensis	Aonyx cinerea	Arctonyx collaris	Atilax paludinosus	Bassariscus astutus	Callorhinus ursinus	Canis lupus	Civettictis civetta	Crocuta crocuta	Cryptoprocta ferox	Cynogale bennettii	Eira barbara	Enhydra lutris	Erignathus barbatus	Eupleres goudotii	Felis silvestris	Fossa fossana	Galidictis fasciata	Genetta johnstoni	Gulo gulo	Herpestes javanicus	Lutra maculicollis	Hydrurga leptonyx	Lontra canadensis	Lontra felina	Lontra longicaudis	Lutra lutra

TABLE 1 Species and dental topographic measurement dataset.

631

(Continues)

(Continued)	
~	4
ц	i
α	2
⊲⊢	5

632	⊥w	ILE	Y−-'	AN	JA	ТÇ)M 500		A] ET	L_ Y	State of	Jou	rnal of	A	na	tc	m	y	A AN									WAL	DMAN ET AL
	Missing teeth	0	1	0	0	0	0	ო	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	na	0	0	0	1	б	0	0	0	1	ounts. Tooth
	Molar # (total)	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	0	1	7	1	0	2	1	2	1	7	2	2	1	2	e	2	e	0	.0,000 MFN c sis.
	Premolar # (total)	S	4	ო	с	т	т	1	4	1	С	2	ę	З	e	2	4	4	2	с	e	3	3	2	с	4	4	4	t 700 MFN and 1 the overall analys
	Tooth # (all postcanine)	5	6	4	5	5	5	ო	6	2	e	ო	5	4	т	4	5	6	e	'n	5	5	4	4	6	6	7	4	d (OPCR) each at not included in t
	OPCR 700	73.875	57.125	40.125	63	65.75	63.5	66	62.25	39.875	43.5	43.875	66	49.25	45.875	27.375	64.5	59.375	23	74.375	78.5	63.375	66.25	43.75	68.375	68.625	83.5	53.875	Count Rotate and therefore
	RFI 700	5.518	7.107	2.901	3.935	2.964	2.897	2.601	5.479	4.283	2.478	5.327	2.643	2.444	2.525	3.427	6.274	3.598	4.538	7.293	3.371	3.458	2.567	2.501	2.49	3.964	2.669	2.987	tation Patch 's mandible,
	DNE 700	273.206	264.737	101.389	221.932	178.482	220.935	202.628	172.846	126.006	211.413	153.304	463.81	118.426	123.133	89.102	280.909	186.486	62.37	257.744	326.143	180.386	184.045	110.657	202.01	194.221	350.194	159.604	RFI), and Orien yzed specimen
	MFN 700	698	698	698	669	669	669	698	697	700	669	697	700	697	669	698	696	697	669	669	698	669	669	700	669	697	669	697	lief Index (I om the anal
	OPCR 10k	139	114	103.625	107.125	134.875	138.75	179	74.875	86.25	66.375	77.375	112.375	75	78.5	26.375	115.625	84.625	91.375	96.75	161.5	95.375	105	104.75	136.75	94.25	267.375	73.25	rgy (DNE), Re are missing fro
	RFI 10k	5.418	7.013	2.882	3.882	2.861	2.837	2.559	5.365	4.249	2.429	5.224	2.555	2.417	2.486	3.392	6.108	3.565	4.529	7.145	3.22	3.415	2.537	2.471	2.42	3.885	2.64	2.912	Normal Ene / teeth that a
	DNE 10k	552.091	481.114	316.738	367.923	313.556	469.33	522.531	268.888	226.012	307.126	330.939	914.83	231.389	261.887	144.464	500.401	354.128	152.268	538.663	684.021	284.636	291.772	257.361	390.217	378.789	699.159	275.467	FN), Dirichlet teeth lists any
	MFN 10k	6666	6666	9998	10,000	6666	10,001	9998	10,000	10,001	10,000	6666	6666	6666	6666	6666	6666	6666	7997	6666	8666	9666	10,001	10,000	6666	666	10,000	6666	ace number (MI ch, and missing t
(pa	Clade	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	s include mesh f of analyzed tee [.]
TABLE 1 (Continue	Species	Lutrogale perspicillata	Lycaon pictus	Mellivora capensis	Mephitis mephitis	Mungos mungo	Mustela frenata	Mydaus javanensis	Nandinia binotata	Neofelis nebulosa	Odobenus rosmarus	Panthera pardus	Paradoxurus hermaphroditus	Hyaena brunnea	Phoca vitulina	Potos flavus	Prionodon linsang	Procyon lotor	Proteles cristatus	Pteronura brasiliensis	Spilogale putorius	Suricata suricatta	Taxidea taxus	Tremarctos ornatus	Ursus arctos	Viverra tangalunga	Vulpes vulpes	Zalophus californianus	<i>Note</i> : Values of column # presents the number

	N ET AL.											Joι	urna	al of	A	na	tc	m	Ŋ	and a state	_A	N	٩T	ÕĮ	٨I	C/		-W	/11	LE	Y–	633
	Family	Felidae	Ursidae	Ailuridae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	Herpestidae	Procyonidae	Otariidae	Canidae	Viverridae	Hyaenidae	Eupleridae	Viverridae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	Phocidae	Eupleridae	Felidae	Eupleridae	Eupleridae	Viverridae	Mustelidae	Herpestidae	Mustelidae	Phocidae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	Mustelidae	(Continues)
Trophic level (1: Herbivore:	2: Omnivore; 3:Carnivore)	e	1	1	2	С	7	2	2	2	б	2	e	З	2	7	2	na	2	ო	С	З	З	С	2	3	2	3	ю	3	ი	
Terrestriality (1: Fossorial: 2:	Scansorial; 3: Semi-aquatic; 4: Fully aquatic)	1	1	1	3	3	1	3	1	4	1	1	1	2	3	2	4	4	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	3	4	3	С	3	С	
	Habitat breadth (from 1 [narrowest] to 3 [broadest])	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	2	
Diet breadth (from	1 [narrowest] to 6 [broadest])	1	в	3	6	2	6	6	6	6	1	6	1	2	6	2	6	2	6	1	6	2	1	1	6	1	6	1	2	2	1	
Activity (1: Nocturnal: 2:	Nocturnal/crepuscular; 3: Diurnal/crepuscular;	S	2	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	S	na	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	2	2	3	2	1	
	Clade	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Feliformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	Caniformia	
	Species	Acinonyx jubatus	Ailuropoda melanoleuca	Ailurus fulgens	Aonyx capensis	Aonyx cinerea	Arctonyx collaris	Atilax paludinosus	Bassariscus astutus	Callorhinus ursinus	Canis lupus	Civettictis civetta	Crocuta crocuta	Cryptoprocta ferox	Cynogale bennettii	Eira barbara	Enhydra lutris	Erignathus barbatus	Eupleres goudotii	Felis silvestris	Fossa fossana	Galidictis fasciata	Genetta johnstoni	Gulo gulo	Herpestes javanicus	Lutra maculicollis	Hydrurga leptonyx	Lontra canadensis	Lontra felina	Lontra longicaudis	Lutra lutra	

	Clade	Activity (1: Nocturnal; 2: Nocturnal/crepuscular; 3: Diurnal/crepuscular)	Diet breadth (from 1 [narrowest] to 6 [broadest])	Habitat breadth (from 1 [narrowest] to 3 [broadest])	Terrestriality (1: Fossorial; 2: Scansorial; 3: Semi-aquatic; 4: Fully aquatic)	(1: Herbivore; 2: Omnivore; 3:Carnivore)	Family
cillata	Caniformia	na	2	2	3	3	Mustelidae
	Caniformia	ю	1	1	1	ю	Canidae
sis	Caniformia	2	6	1	1	2	Mustelidae
tis	Caniformia	1	6	1	1	2	Mephitidae
	Caniformia	3	1	1	1	З	Herpestidae
	Caniformia	2	1	2	2	c	Mustelidae
nsis	Caniformia	1	6	1	1	2	Mephitidae
ata	Feliformia	1	2	2	2	1	Nandiniidae
bsa	Feliformia	2	1	2	2	т	Felidae
narus	Caniformia	2	6	2	4	2	Odobenidae
ns	Feliformia	2	1	1	1	б	Felidae
ermaphroditus	Feliformia	1	6	1	1	2	Viverridae
ва	Feliformia	1	6	2	1	2	Hyaenidae
	Caniformia	2	1	2	4	3	Phocidae
	Caniformia	1	4	2	2	2	Procyonidae
gut	Feliformia	1	6	1	1	2	Prionodontidae
	Caniformia	2	6	2	2	2	Procyonidae
sn	Feliformia	1	1	1	1	3	Hyaenidae
siliensis	Caniformia	3	1	2	3	3	Mustelidae
rius	Caniformia	Ţ	6	1	Ţ	2	Mephitidae
itta	Feliformia	3	1	1	1	3	Herpestidae
	Caniformia	1	1	1	1	3	Mustelidae
natus	Caniformia	2	5	1	1	2	Ursidae
	Caniformia	2	6	1	1	2	Ursidae
nga	Feliformia	1	6	1	1	2	Viverridae
	Caniformia	1	1	1	1	3	Canidae
ornianus	Caniformia	2	6	0	4	6	Otariidae

TABLE 2 (Continued)

635

the bearded seal (*Erignathus barbatus*) and smooth-coated otter (*Lutrogale perspicillata*) did not have complete life history information for activity cycle in the PanTHERIA database, and therefore these two species were excluded from the analysis for that variable.

Statistical analyses were performed using R v4.0.4 and RStudio v1.3.959 (R Core Team, 2020) with the following packages: ape (Paradis & Schliep, 2019), nlme (Pinheiro et al., 2022), geiger (Pennell et al., 2014), caper (David et al., 2018; Orme et al., 2013), and picante (Kembel et al., 2010). Phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) analysis was used in the analysis of correlations between dental topographic metrics and the five life history traits examined, to account for the effect of covariance with phylogeny, as data from evolutionarily more closely related species need to be controlled for their sharing more similar traits due solely to shared ancestry (Mundry, 2014). A phylogenetic signal test using the Kmult statistic was used to determine the influence of phylogenetic relatedness on the three DTA metrics and ecological variables (Münkemüller et al., 2012).

3 | RESULTS

The first set of analyzed data for DNE, RFI, OPCR, and MFN was compared to the five life history traits (activity, diet breadth, habitat breadth, terrestriality, and trophic level), with phylogenetic

TABLE 3 Tooth allometry compared to volume of post-canine teeth using high and low MFN (mesh face number) with statistical significances.

Index	Mesh face number (MFN)	p-Values
DNE	10,000 Triangles	0.35
	700 Triangles	0.54
OPCR	10,000 Triangles	0.38
	700 Triangles	0.05
RFI	10,000 Triangles	0.81
	700 Triangles	0.72

Abbreviations: DNE, Dirichlet Normal Energy; OPCR, Orientation Patch Count Rotated; RFI, Relief Index. relationships taken into account using PGLS. The first data set used original, unadjusted MFN proportional to CT scan resolution (Table A1), before adjusting to standardized resolutions of 700 and 10,000 mesh counts, as described above.

We examined tooth size allometry using Autodesk Meshmixer to fill sample teeth, and subsequently rendered and analyzed volume of the dentition with regard to DNE, RFI, and OPCR. Using tooth volume as a measure of size, no DTA variables examined correlated to the measured analyses (Table 3).

The giant panda (Ailuropoda melanoleuca) is classified as a grounddwelling herbivore according to PanTHERIA, and is a clear outlier for the ground-dwelling taxa with its high RFI values. Our analyses suggest that giant panda postcanine teeth possess a very high RFI value (RFI = 7.52), exceeding those of all other ground-dwelling carnivores (RFI ≤ 6.5). Pérez-Ramos et al. (2020) found similar DTA measurements, and concluded that the giant panda shows the highest values of tooth metric RFI indices due to their extremely specialized diet of consuming highly fibrous, strictly bamboo-based, vegetation (requiring shearing that would more closely resemble carnivores than omnivores or other herbivores) (Eronen et al., 2017; Figueirido et al., 2014). All other relatively high RFI values are observed in ground-dwelling ecological carnivores (broad-striped Malagasy mongoose [Galidictis fasciata], cheetah [Acinonyx jubatus], African wild dog [Lycaon pictus], wolf [Canis lupus], ringtail [Bassariscus astutus], hog badger [Arctonyx collaris]), as well as the semi-aquatic species: spotted-necked otter (Lutra maculicollis), Asian small-clawed otter (Aonyx cinerea), and giant otter (Pteronura brasiliensis), and include representatives from both Caniformia and Feliformia clades. The highest RFI value is 9.158 at 10,000 MFN in Ar. collaris, which is classified as a ground-dwelling omnivore. Both Ar. collaris and Ai. melanoleuca have the highest RFI values despite being partially or completely herbivorous, compared to high RFI values otherwise being limited only to taxa classified as ground-dwelling carnivores in the PanTHERIA dataset. The lowest RFI value observed is 2.2 at 10,000 MFN in the African clawless otter (Ao. capensis), classified as a semi-aquatic omnivore in the PanTHERIA database. While both extremes in the range of RFI values occur in individual grounddwelling omnivore species, higher value RFI is seen in specifically ground-dwelling mammals Ar. collaris and Ai. melanoleuca, while the

TABLE 4 PGLS analysis with pinnipeds included, *p*-values calculated with standardized triangle counts (both at 10,000 and 700 MFN) as compared to ecological or life history variables.

Index	Mesh face number (MFN)	Activity	Diet breadth	Habitat breadth	Terrestriality	Trophic level
DNE	10,000 Triangles	0.93	0.94	0.19	0.62	0.50
	700 Triangles	0.90	0.87	0.34	0.90	0.47
OPCR	10,000 Triangles	0.20	0.27	0.79	0.54	0.54
	700 Triangles	0.24	0.55	0.15	0.73	0.74
RFI	10,000 Triangles	0.08	0.01	0.53	0.13	0.02
	700 Triangles	0.09	0.01	0.55	0.11	0.01

Note: Values of rows include mesh face number (MFN), Dirichlet Normal Energy (DNE), Relief Index (RFI), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR).

Abbreviation: PGLS, phylogenetic generalized least squares.

WILEY-ANATOMICA

Journal of Anatomy

TABLE 5 PGLS analysis with pinnipeds excluded, *p*-values calculated with standardized triangle counts (both at 10,000 and 700 MFN) as compared to ecological or life history variables.

Index	Mesh face number (MFN)	Activity	Diet breadth	Habitat breadth	Terrestriality	Trophic level
DNE	10,000 Triangles	0.56	0.59	0.30	0.66	0.49
	700 Triangles	0.51	0.55	0.49	0.94	0.40
OPCR	10,000 Triangles	0.11	0.44	0.90	0.45	0.49
	700 Triangles	0.11	0.99	0.20	0.67	0.72
RFI	10,000 Triangles	0.13	0.01	0.57	0.14	0.01
	700 Triangles	0.14	0.01	0.59	0.15	0.01

Note: Values of rows include mesh face number (MFN), Dirichlet Normal energy (DNE), Relief Index (RFI), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR).

Abbreviation: PGLS, phylogenetic generalized least squares.

TABLE 6 PGLS analysis using the Upham et al. (2019) phylogeny but including nodal calibration from Tseng and Flynn (2018) analysis with pinnipeds, *p*-values calculated with standardized triangle counts (both at 10,000 and 700 MFN) as compared to ecological or life history variables.

Mesh face number (MFN)	Activity	Diet breadth	Habitat breadth	Terrestriality	Trophic level
10,000 Triangles	0.37	0.19	0.16	0.48	0.50
700 Triangles	0.61	0.18	0.37	0.86	0.13
10,000 Triangles	0.04	0.53	0.71	0.50	0.55
700 Triangles	0.20	0.55	0.19	0.60	0.40
10,000 Triangles	0.06	0.01	0.61	0.07	0.001
700 Triangles	0.06	0.01	0.63	0.07	0.001

Note: Values of rows include mesh face number (MFN), Dirichlet Normal energy (DNE), Relief Index (RFI), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR).

Abbreviation: PGLS, phylogenetic generalized least squares.

TABLE 7 PGLS analysis using the Upham et al. (2019) phylogeny but including nodal calibration from Tseng and Flynn (2018) analysis with pinnipeds excluded, *p*-values calculated with standardized triangle counts (both at 10,000 and 700 MFN) as compared to ecological or life history variables.

Index	Mesh face number (MFN)	Activity	Diet breadth	Habitat breadth	Terrestriality	Trophic level
DNE	10,000 Triangles	0.23	0.14	0.20	0.47	0.04
	700 Triangles	0.41	0.11	0.44	0.83	0.13
OPCR	10,000 Triangles	0.03	0.47	0.71	0.37	0.37
	700 Triangles	0.18	0.41	0.21	0.58	0.17
RFI	10,000 Triangles	0.09	0.01	0.71	0.10	0.001
	700 Triangles	0.10	0.01	0.73	0.10	0.001

Note: Values of rows include mesh face number (MFN), Dirichlet Normal energy (DNE), Relief Index (RFI), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR).

Abbreviation: PGLS, phylogenetic generalized least squares.

lowest RFI value is observed in the semi-aquatic species Ao. capensis. DNE and OPCR are not significantly related to any of the life history traits analyzed in this study, and cannot be relied on to characterize activity, diet breadth, habitat breadth, terrestriality, or trophic level.

We also analyzed DNE, measuring surface curvature. The range of values at 10,000 MFN found the lowest DNE (144.5) in the kinkajou (*Potos flavus*) and the highest DNE (914.83) in the Asian palm civet (*Paradoxurus hermaphroditus*) (Table 1). The kinkajou (*Po. flavus*) has the smallest value of OPCR (measuring tooth surface complexity), at 26.38 with an MFN of 10,000. The largest reported OPCR is for the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), which has a considerably higher OPCR than any other reported value, at 267.38 with 10,000 MFN.

We statistically analyzed the effects of phylogenetic relatedness and ecological variables using the K phylogenetic signal test (Tables 4 and 5). We tested for phylogenetic signal with and without pinnipeds, due to the clear environmental homogeneity for these Journal of Anatomy

Consequently, when pinnipeds are included in both calibrated phylogenetic trees, our analyzes show that RFI is the only DTA variable that is significantly correlated with the ecological variables of diet breadth and trophic level. For *p*-values of RFI using the phylogeny of Upham et al. (2019), RFI is significantly related to diet breadth at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), as well as to trophic level at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.02). Evaluating further, applying the phylogeny of Upham et al. (2019), with nodes adjusted with calibrations of Tseng and Flynn (2018), provides highly similar *p*-values, with RFI significantly related to diet breadth at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), as well as to trophic level at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), as well as to be adjusted with calibrations of Tseng and Flynn (2018), provides highly similar *p*-values, with RFI significantly related to diet breadth at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), as well as to trophic level at 700 MFN (p = 0.001) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01).

Analyses excluding pinnipeds yield more significant results. Assessing against the Upham et al. (2019) phylogeny alone, RFI is significantly related to diet breadth at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), as well as to trophic level at 700 MFN (p = 0.01) and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01). However, the additional nodal analysis including the calibrations of Tseng and Flynn (2018) shows more significant variables when pinnipeds are excluded: in that case, DNE is correlated to trophic level at 10,000 MFN (p = 0.04), OPCR is significantly related to activity at 700 MFN (p = 0.03), and to RFI and diet breadth at 700 MFN and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01), and RFI is significantly correlated with trophic level at 700 MFN and 10,000 MFN (p = 0.01).

4 | DISCUSSION

Our dental topographic data analyses using digital tooth models of almost five dozen carnivoran species indicate that cusp height is closely related to dietary ecology (diet breadth and trophic level, as categorized in the PanTHERIA database). Relationships that became more weakly correlated when pinnipeds are excluded from the analyses include tooth complexity relative to activity and surface energy relative to trophic level. No other life history, dietary grouping, or ecological trait examined is significantly correlated with DNE, OPCR, or RFI values. The observation of RFI (cusp height) relating to dietary breadth and trophic level are intuitive, based on the fact that gripping and cutting actions require more sharply angled tools to efficiently chew and rip food in carnivores (Lucas, 2004).

Within our dataset, species with the highest RFI values are Ar. collaris (RFI = 9.158) classified with broadest diet breadth and omnivore trophic level, B. astutus (RFI = 8.899) which is classified as broad diet breadth and omnivore trophic level. Species with the lowest RFI values are Ao. capensis (RFI = 2.20) classified with broadest diet breadth and omnivore trophic level, Ursus arctos (RFI = 2.42) which is classified as broad diet breadth and omnivore trophic level, Ursus arctos (RFI = 2.42) which is classified as broad diet breadth and omnivore trophic level, Hyaena brunnea (RFI = 2.417) classified with broadest diet breadth and omnivore trophic level, and Odobenus rosmarus (RFI = 2.429) classified

with broadest diet breadth and omnivore trophic level. Therefore, species shown with the highest and lowest recorded RFI values are considered species with broad diets and dietary omnivores.

ANATOMICAL-WILEY

Focusing on DNE, species with highest DNE counts are Ac. jubatus (DNE = 912.01) and Pa. hermaphroditus (DNE = 914.83), which have a trophic level of carnivore and omnivore, respectively. Lowest values of DNE represented are Po. flavus (DNE = 144.464) and Pr. cristatus (DNE = 152.268) which are defined as an omnivore and carnivore, respectively. Looking at general trends, species have weak correlations of trophic level assocations with DNE values.

Finally, highest valued species of OPCR are species *Eupleres* goudotii (OPCR = 109.5) with an activity of nocturnal/crepuscular, and *V. vulpes* (OPCR = 267.375) with a nocturnal activity. Lowest values of OPCR are species: *B. astutus* (38.125) and *Civettictis civetta* (OPCR = 42.125) both defined as having nocturnal activity. Generally speaking, species with nocturnal activity levels are more likely to be correlated to OPCR.

Looking past dentition, many non-dental cranial features have been compared to ecological traits, such as orbit orientation and skull shape. Orbit orientation was compared to visual strategy, habitat, and substrate preference in carnivoran mammals by Casares-Hidalgo et al. (2019). Their results showed phylogenetic influence on the relationship between only orbital orientation and substrate preference. Casares-Hidalgo et al. (2019) looked further into the results of their study and removed pinnipeds due to environmental homogeneity. The association between orbit orientation and substrate preference was found to be no longer significant. Casares-Hidalgo et al. (2019) concluded that orbit orientation was phylogenetically correlated only to substrate preference, but only when pinnipeds were included in the sample: once pinnipeds were removed, no significant relationship was observed. In Tseng and Flynn (2018), cranial landmarks were used to capture cranial anatomical shape, and above-ground dwelling (terrestriality/arboreality) and monthly precipitation as well as temperature were shown to be significantly correlated to skull shape in species with different dietary breadths, and to vary across feeding categories; no diet-specific signals were detected from overall skull shape or biomechanical measures. In addition, Tseng and Flynn (2018) also determined that cranial shape is significantly correlated to dietary groupings especially distinguishing taxa with narrow versus generalist diets.

In Tseng and Flynn's (2015) analyses, diet and skull stiffness distinguished omnivores and invertebrate/vertebrate feeders in Carnivora. A majority of skulls demonstrated increasing efficiency and force output from anterior to posterior, however, hypercarnivores had differential force optimization of anterior teeth for killing (felids) and posterior teeth for crushing (canids). Overall, general cranial shape differentiation is closely linked to input load and strain energy gradients within feliforms and caniforms, and feeding behaviors cannot be determined based on mechanical efficiency and strain energy alone, so that multiple factors are needed to assess diet based on cranial form (Tseng & Flynn, 2015).

Previous analyses suggest that dietary ecological similarities may yield functional morphological convergence in carnivorans in select WILEY-ANATOMICAL

cases (e.g., *Ailuropoda melanoleuca* and *Ailurus fulgens*), but there is no broad support for such convergences across extant carnivores as a whole (Tamagnini et al., 2021). Tamagnini et al. (2021) found that convergent evolution was seen in cranial shapes only, and almost never in mandibular shapes of any dietary class. Further studies support this finding that mandibular shape is a conserved feature, and convergent functional morphological evolution in carnivoran skulls may not reflect dietary ecology alone (Meloro et al., 2015; Tseng & Flynn, 2018).

Based on our initial hypotheses, it was unexpected to find RFI primarily related to the life history traits studied, with DNE and OPCR being only weakly correlated to ecology when pinnipeds were excluded. Most previous studies showed correlations between diet and dentition, but few also analyzed mammalian trophic level. Pérez-Ramos et al. (2020) compared the DTA indices of extinct cave bears to all living bear species, demonstrating that RFI values did not distinguish between dietary groupings, feeding behavior, or phylogeny. However, Pérez-Ramos et al. (2020) also concluded that DNE and OPCR distinguished dietary groupings and feeding behavior, but also that these metrics were influenced by phylogeny, as were dental topographic curvature and complexity, each showing phylogenetic structure. Additionally, tooth curvature and complexity (DNE and OPCR) values were found by Pérez-Ramos et al. (2020) to be highest in giant pandas, which eat large amounts of tough, high-fiber vegetation: bamboo. Highest values of DNE and OPCR were observed among mainly herbivorous bears, with them having the second highest values among omnivores; the lowest values were found in faunivores and folivores-frugivores (Pérez-Ramos et al., 2020). Our findings indicate that although family-level data does suggest that DTA is useful in dietary inferences, it is difficult to comparably distinguish dietary categories using DTA when these same metrics are assessed across all Carnivora rather than only in ursids. This could be due to convergence of similar features different species of Carnivora, which may be expanded within a single family and lead to specialization of omnivore or carnivoran diet. However, for omnivoran diets, a similarly functioning dentition as a hypercarnivore may assist with acquiring food in diverse landscapes. Overall, stronger dietary and dental signals within a single family could improve with hunting/foraging in a specific niche instead of a stronger signal across multiple families of different niches.

Pineda-Munoz et al. (2017) sampled 134 extant mammal species (28 marsupial species from the order Diprotodontia, and 106 placental species from the orders Carnivora, Primates, and Rodentia) and determined that no single dental metric discriminates one individual diet category from the others in pairwise comparisons across that broad taxonomic sample, and that only OPCR had any significant correlation with any dietary category, differentiating dietarily carnivorous from non-carnivorous species.

Other ecological and paleontological studies documented a strong correlation between dietary grouping and tooth molar shape, particularly in Primates (Cuozzo & Sauther, 2006; M'Kirera & Ungar, 2003). Two lemur species, the white-footed sportive lemur (*Lepilemur leucopus*) and the ring-tailed lemur (*Lemur catta*), were observed at Beza Mahafaly Special Reserve, Madagascar for environmental effects on their dentitions. Removal of ground plants by modern anthropogenic activity has led to severe tooth wear and tooth loss in the opportunistically omnivorous ring-tailed lemurs, but not as severely in sympatric tamarind-fruit consuming, scansorial sportive lemurs (Cuozzo & Sauther, 2012). These observations support the description of dental ecology by Cuozzo and Sauther (2012) and provide evidence supporting environmental influences on the teeth of sympatric species on ecological timescales. By contrast, in our study, dietary signals in dental topographic features may not be as obvious within the carnivorans analyzed herein.

The lack of consistent and significant correlations between some of the indices we analyzed (OPCR, DNE) and ecological and environmental characteristics may be attributed to (1) the actual absence of correlations; (2) limited categorizations in the PanTHERIA database, which provides only generalized dietary categories that may be mismatched with the fine-scale correlations that DTA indices capture; or (3) a combination of both phenomena. At this time, no database provides detailed, comprehensive carnivoran diet and life history traits. Carnivoran tooth crowns have a wide range of cusp shapes and varieties, but such fine scale variability is perhaps more detailed than the broad and limited ecological categories currently available can reflect when analyzing much more precise dental metric traits.

In terms of potential limitations of this study, scan resolution may be considered to be a complication, as each scan was not made at the same resolution, given large variations in absolute size among taxa. Additionally, each specimen was not at the same age/ontogenetic stage, resulting in models scanned with variable amounts of heavy pre-existing dental wear or either traumatically or congenitally missing teeth. While trying to account for dissimilar dental topography by standardizing the mesh resolution of the MFN at 700 or 10,000, the scan surface was modified automatically by the software algorithm without regard for preferential preservation of anatomically important feature information during these resampling methods. Each of these factors may play a role in possibly increasing the noiseto-signal ratio of diet-informative DTA data.

Findings in our study are consistent with those using only linear and area measurements for dental topography (Hopkins et al., 2021), in terms of phylogenetic influence on dental morphological data. Our observations suggest that more work is needed to test the conventional interpretation that an extinct animal's teeth are a direct and reliable line of evidence for inferring their diet (Friscia et al., 2007; Pineda-Munoz et al., 2017; Van Valkenburgh, 1989). One source of form-function complexity may lie in regional variations in the development of the dentition. For example, specific signaling molecules determine molar size along a tooth row in Mammalia (Kavanagh et al., 2007), and canines generally vary more in length and width than other teeth (Dayan et al., 2002; Reuter et al., 2021; Szuma, 2000). Interactions among factors such as diet, genetics, and sexual dimorphism likely influence carnivoran intraspecific tooth-size variation (Reuter et al., 2021), to the degree that occlusion-driven functional demands may not constitute the principal covariation with DTA. It follows that more studies detailing a

Journal of Anatom

ANATOMICAL SOCIETY-WILEY-

broader range of dentition patterns and measurements, and standardizing scan resolution, wear/age stage, and other attributes, may be needed to further understand patterns and effects of anatomical variation and to improve form-function inferences from the fossil record (Reuter et al., 2021). Another challenge that remains in applying DTA within a broader phylogenetic framework is homology versus analogy of tooth surfaces used in different analyses across distantly related taxa, potentially limiting the utility of composite, meta-analyses that leverage the broad range of mammalian taxa already studied using DTA (Berthaume et al., 2019).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Results of our study of lower postcanine teeth in 57 species of modern Carnivora, representing all 16 extant families, show that the RFI dental topographic metric significantly correlates with diet breadth and trophic level, suggesting an association between steeper cusp height and distinct dietary ecology. Other dental topographic metrics such as OPCR and DNE correlate, but only weakly, to activity and trophic level in carnivoran data partitions which exclude the marine pinnipeds.

Given these results, it is clear that cusp height strongly reflects mammalian diet. Analyzing a larger suite of carnivorans, as well as sampling more broadly across the mammal tree of life, should lead to even more conclusive studies on if or how dental topographic metrics relate to life history traits. Previous studies showed strong predilections of DNE and OPCR for distinguishing dietary groupings in primates, ursid carnivorans, and dietarily carnivorous versus non-carnivorous mammal species. Surface curvature as related to trophic level may have a secondary influence on the shape of teeth in addition to the cusp height, as found for carnivoran trophic levels. Similarly, surface complexity has been shown to relate strongly to highly fibrous diets, and has a relatively smaller influence in carnivoran mammals in our analyses. Whereas cusp height has been quantified as a primary descriptor for carnivoran dietary ecology in our study, previous studies supported the relationship that OPCR and DNE are influenced by ecological variables more strongly than what we observed in our analysis.

We show that quantitative 3D topographic metrics are subject to phylogenetic influence and form-function complexity, as linear metrics also are. Our analyses also paint a more nuanced picture of how dental morphology may have limited utility in dietary inferences of certain feeding ecologies, such as carnivory. Ecological and life history data currently available in large composite databases provide only crude dietary categorizations of Carnivora species, and more specific diet groupings would benefit the life history classification of extant species and reconstruction of diet in fossil taxa.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Concept and design: Emily Waldman, Z. Jack Tseng. Data acquisition: Emily Waldman, Z. Jack Tseng, John J. Flynn. Data analysis and interpretation: Emily Waldman, Z. Jack Tseng, John J. Flynn. *Drafting* of the manuscript: Emily Waldman, Z. Jack Tseng, John J. Flynn, Yoly Gonzalez. *Editing and approval of the article*: Emily Waldman, Z. Jack Tseng, John J. Flynn, Yoly Gonzalez.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support for this project was provided by US National Science Foundation DEB 1257572 (to JJF, ZJT), DBI 2128146 (to ZJT) and the Frick Fund (Division of Paleontology, American Museum of Natural History). We thank the AMNH Department of Mammalogy for loans of specimens and the AMNH MIF (Microscopy and Imaging Facility) for overseeing the CT scanning system used in this study, and we especially acknowledge the assistance of M. Hill Chase, C. Grohé, and E. Westwig.

OPEN RESEARCH BADGES

000

This article has earned Open Data, Open Materials and Preregistered Research Design badges. Data, materials and the preregistered design and analysis plan are available in this paper.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

This paper includes new data but no conflict is preventing from sharing this data.

ORCID

Emily Waldman ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6735-1070 Yoly Gonzalez ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5294-4287 John J. Flynn ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4705-3591 Z. Jack Tseng ^(D) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5335-4230

REFERENCES

- Berthaume, M.A., Delezene, L.K. & Kupczik, K. (2018) Dental topography and the diet of *Homo naledi*. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 118, 14–26.
- Berthaume, M.A., Lazzari, V. & Guy, F. (2020) The landscape of tooth shape: over 20 years of dental topography in primates. Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews, 29(5), 245-262.
- Berthaume, M.A., Winchester, J. & Kupczik, K. (2019) Effects of cropping, smoothing, triangle count, and mesh resolution on 6 dental topographic metrics. *PLoS One*, 14(5), e0216229.
- Boyer, D.M. (2008) Relief index of second mandibular molars is a correlate of diet among prosimian primates and other euarchontan mammals. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 55(6), 1118–1137.
- Boyer, D.M., Evans, A.R. & Jernvall, J. (2010) Evidence of dietary differentiation among late Paleocene-early Eocene plesiadapids (Mammalia, Primates). American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 142(2), 194–210.
- Boyer, D.M., Kaufman, S., Gunnell, G.F., Rosenberger, A.L. & Delson, E. (2014) Managing 3D digital data sets of morphology: MorphoSource is a new project-based data archiving and distribution tool. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 153, 84.
- Bunn, J.M., Boyer, D.M., Lipman, Y., St Clair, E.M., Jernvall, J. & Daubechies, I. (2011) Comparing Dirichlet normal surface energy

-WILEY-ANATOMI

of tooth crowns, a new technique of molar shape quantification for dietary inference, with previous methods in isolation and in combination. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 145(2), 247–261.

Journal of

- Casares-Hidalgo, C., Pérez-Ramos, A., Forner-Gumbau, M., Pastor, F.J. & Figueirido, B. (2019) Taking a look into the orbit of mammalian carnivorans. *Journal of Anatomy*, 234, 622–636.
- Cignoni, P., Callieri, M., Corsini, M., Dellepiane, M., Ganovelli, F. & Ranzuglia, G. (2008) *MeshLab: an open-source mesh processing tool*. Eurographics Italian Chapter Conference. Available at: https:// www.meshlab.net [Accessed 1st February 2020].
- Cuozzo, F.P. & Sauther, M.L. (2006) Severe wear and tooth loss in wild ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*): a function of feeding ecology, dental structure, and individual life history. *Journal of Human Evolution*, 51(5), 490–505.
- Cuozzo, F.P. & Sauther, M.L. (2012) What is dental ecology? American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 148(2), 163–170.
- David, O., Freckleton, R., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N. et al. (2018) Caper: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. Available at: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/caper/ index.html [Accessed 1st March 2021].
- Dayan, T., Woll, D. & Simberloff, D. (2002) Variation and covariation of skulls and teeth: modern carnivores and the interpretation of fossil mammals. *Paleobiology*, 28(4), 508–526.
- Eronen, J.T., Zohdy, S., Evans, A.R., Tecot, S.R., Wright, P.C. & Jernvall, J. (2017) Feeding ecology and morphology make a bamboo specialist vulnerable to climate change. *Current Biology*, 27(21), 3384–3389.e2.
- Evans, A.R. & Jernvall, J. (2009) Patterns and constraints in carnivoran and rodent dental complexity and tooth size. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 29, 24A.
- Evans, A.R., Wilson, G.P., Fortelius, M. & Jernvall, J. (2007) Highlevel similarity of dentitions in carnivorans and rodents. *Nature*, 445(7123), 78–81.
- Figueirido, B., Tseng, Z.J., Serrano-Alarcón, F.J., Martín-Serra, A. & Pastor, J.F. (2014) Three-dimensional computer simulations of feeding behavior in red and giant pandas relate skull biomechanics with dietary niche partitioning. *Biology Letters*, 10, 20140196.
- Friscia, A.R., Van Valkenburgh, B. & Biknevicius, A.R. (2007) An ecomorphological analysis of extant small carnivorans. *Journal of Zoology*, 272(1), 82–100.
- Guy, F., Gouvard, F., Boistel, R., Euriat, A. & Lazzari, V. (2013) Prospective in (primate) dental analysis through tooth 3D topographical quantification. *PLoS One*, 8(6), e66142.
- Guy, F., Lazzari, V., Gilissen, E. & Thiery, G. (2015) To what extent is primate second molar enamel occlusal morphology shaped by the enamel-dentine junction? *PLoS One*, 10(9), e0138802.
- Haeckel, E. (1866) Generelle Morphologie der Organismen. Allgemeine Grundzüge der organischen Formen-Wissenschaft, mechanisch begrü ndet durch die von Charles Darwin reformierte Deszendenz-Theorie. Band. I. Allgemeine Anatomie der Organismen. Berlin: Georg Reimer.
- Hopkins, S.S.B., Price, S.A. & Chiono, A.J. (2021) Influence of phylogeny on the estimation of diet from dental morphology in the Carnivora. *Paleobiology*, 2(892), 1–16.
- Jones, K.E., Bielby, J., Cardillo, M., Fritz, S.A., O'Dell, J., Orme, C.D.L. et al. (2009) PanTHERIA: a species-level database of life history, ecology, and geography of extant and recently extinct mammals. Ecological archives E090-184. *Ecology*, 90(9), 2648.
- Kavanagh, K.D., Evans, A.R. & Jernvall, J. (2007) Predicting evolutionary patterns of mammalian teeth from development. *Nature*, 449(7161), 427–432.
- Kembel, S., Cowan, P.D., Helmus, M.R., Cornwell, W.K., Morlon, H., Ackerly, D.D. et al. (2010) Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, 26, 1463–1464.
- Lazzari, V. & Guy, F. (2014) Quantitative three-dimensional topography in taxonomy applied to the dental morphology of catarrhines. BMSAP, 26(3), 140–146.

- Lucas, P.W. (2004) Dental functional morphology: how teeth work. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Meloro, C., Clauss, M. & Raia, P. (2015) Ecomorphology of Carnivora challenges convergent evolution. Organisms Diversity and Evolution, 15, 711–720.
- M'Kirera, F. & Ungar, P.S. (2003) Occlusal relief changes with molar wear in Pan troglodytes and Gorilla. American Journal of Primatology, 60(2), 31–41.
- Mundry, R. (2014) Statistical issues and assumptions of phylogenetic generalized least squares. In: Garamszegi, L. (Ed.) Modern phylogenetic comparative methods and their application in evolutionary biology. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, pp. 131–153.
- Münkemüller, T., Lavergne, S., Bzeznik, B., Dray, S., Jombart, T., Schiffers, K. et al. (2012) How to measure and test phylogenetic signal. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 743–756.
- Myers, P. (2014) Lower_lateral.jpg. Animal diversity web. Available at: https://animaldiversity.org/collections/contributors/phil_myers/ ADW_mammals/specimens/Carnivora/Felidae/Panthera_pardus/ lower_lateral/ [Accessed 1st March 2021].
- Nakagawa, M., Miguchi, H., Sato, K. & Sakai, S. (2007) Population dynamics of arboreal and terrestrial small mammals in a tropical rainforest, Sarawak, Malaysia. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 55(2), 389–395.
- Orme, D., Freckleton, R.P., Thomas, G.H., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S.A. & Isaac, N. (2013) CAPER: comparative analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 3, 145–151.
- Pampush, J.D., Crowell, J., Karme, A., Macrae, S.A., Kay, R.F., Ungar, P. et al. (2019) Comparing dental topography software using platyrrhine molars. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 169(1), 179-185.
- Pampush, J.D., Winchester, J.M., Morse, P.E., Vining, A.Q., Boyer, D.M. & Kay, R.F. (2016) Introducing molaR: a new R package for quantitative topographic analysis of teeth (and other topographic surfaces). *Journal of Mammalian Evolution*, 23(4), 397–412.
- Paradis, E. & Schliep, K. (2019) Ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics*, 35(3), 526-528.
- Pennell, M.W., Eastman, J.M., Slater, G.J., Brown, J.W., Uyeda, J.C., FitzJohn, R.G. et al. (2014) Geiger v2. 0: an expanded suite of methods for fitting macroevolutionary models to phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics*, 30(15), 2216–2218.
- Pérez-Ramos, A., Romero, A., Rodriguez, E. & Figueirido, B. (2020) Three-dimensional dental topography and feeding ecology in the extinct cave bear. *Biology Letters*, 16(12), 20200792.
- Pineda-Munoz, S., Lazagabaster, I.A., Alroy, J. & Evans, A.R. (2017) Inferring diet from dental morphology in terrestrial mammals. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(4), 481–491.
- Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., & R Core Team. (2022). nlme: linear and nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-161. https://CRAN.Rproject.org/package=nlme
- R Core Team. (2020) *R: a language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: http://www.r-project.org/index.html
- Reed, D. (1997) Contour mapping as a new method for interpreting diet from tooth morphology. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 31, 517–535.
- Reuter, D.M., Hopkins, S.S.B. & Davis, E.B. (2021) Carnivoran intraspecific tooth-size variation shows heterogeneity along the tooth row and among species. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 102(1), 236–249.
- Schwartz, G.T. & Dean, C. (2000) Interpreting the hominid dentition: ontogenetic and phylogenetic aspects. In: O'Higgins, R. & Cohen, M. (Eds.) Development, growth and evolution: implications for the study of the hominid skeleton. London: Academic Press, pp. 207–233.
- Shipman, P. (1981) Life history of a fossil: an introduction to taphonomy and paleoecology. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.

Journal of Anatom

- Skinner, M.M. & Gunz, P. (2010) The presence of accessory cusps in chimpanzee lower molars is consistent with a patterning cascade model of development. *Journal of Anatomy*, 217(3), 245–253.
- Szuma, E. (2000) Variation and correlation patterns in the dentition of the red fox from Poland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici*, 37, 113–127.
- Tamagnini, D., Meloro, C., Raia, P. & Maiorano, L. (2021) Testing the occurrence of convergence in the cranio-mandibular shape evolution of living carnivorans. *Evolution*, 75(7), 1738–1752.
- Thiery, G., Guy, F. & Lazzari, V. (2019) A comparison of relief estimates used in three-dimensional dental topography. American Journal of Physical Anthropology, 170(2), 260–274.
- Tseng, Z.J. & Flynn, J.J. (2015) Are cranial biomechanical stimulation data linked to known diets in extant taxa? A method for applying dietbiomechanics linkage models to infer feeding capability of extinct species. PLoS One, 10(4), e0124020.
- Tseng, Z.J. & Flynn, J.J. (2018) Structure-function covariation with nonfeeding ecological variables influences evolution of feeding specialization in Carnivora. *Science Advances*, 4(2), eaao5441.
- Tseng, Z.J., Su, D.F., Wang, X., White, S.C. & Ji, X. (2017) Feeding capability in extinct giant *Siamogale melilutra* and comparative mandibular biomechanics of living Lutrinae. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 15225.
- Ungar, P. & Williamson, M. (2000) Exploring the effects of tooth wear on functional morphology: a preliminary study using dental topographic analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 3(1), 1–18.
- Ungar, P.S. (2010) Mammal teeth: origin, evolution, and diversity. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 92(5), 1138–1140.
- Upham, N.S., Esselstyn, J.A. & Jetz, W. (2019) Inferring the mammal tree: species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLoS Biology*, 17(12), e3000494.
- Van Valkenburgh, B. (1989) Carnivore dental adaptations and diet: a study of trophic diversity within guilds. In: Gittleman, J.L. (Ed.)

Carnivore Behavior, Ecology, and Evolution. Boston: Springer, pp. 410-436.

- Werdelin, L., Kitchener, A.C., Abramov, A., Vernon, G. & Linh San, E.D.O. (2021) The scientific name of the aardwolf is *Proteles cristatus*. *African Journal of Wildlife Research*, 51(1), 149–152. https://doi. org/10.3957/056.051.0149.
- Winchester, J.M. (2016) MorphoTester: an open source application for morphological topographic analysis. *PLoS One*, 11(2), e0147649.
- Winchester, J.M., Boyer, D.M., St Clair, E.M., Gosselin-Ildari, A.D., Cooke, S.B. & Ledogar, J.A. (2014) Dental topography of platyrrhines and prosimians: convergence and contrasts. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 153(1), 29–44.
- Zuccotti, L.F., Williamson, M.D., Limp, W.F. & Ungar, P.S. (1998) Modeling primate occlusal topography using geographic information systems technology. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 107(1), 137–142.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Waldman, E., Gonzalez, Y., Flynn, J.J. & Tseng, Z.J. (2023) Dental topographic proxies for ecological characteristics in carnivoran mammals. *Journal of Anatomy*, 242, 627–641. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/joa.13806

APPENDIX A

TABLE A1 *p*-Values using unedited triangle count mesh face numbers (MFN) from species with various CT-scanned triangle counts compared to ecological or life history variables.

Index	Dataset	Activity	Diet breadth	Habitat breadth	Terrestriality	Trophic level
DNE	Raw	0.99	0.55	0.12	0.18	0.99
OPCR	Raw	0.4	0.42	0.83	0.62	0.73
RFI	Raw	0.04	0.08	0.33	0.02	0.06

Note: Values of rows include mesh face number (MFN), Dirichlet Normal energy (DNE), Relief Index (RFI), and Orientation Patch Count Rotated (OPCR).

Abbreviation: CT, computed tomography.