UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

The Management of Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury - A Scoping Review.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6527r7c7

Journal Global Spine Journal, 14(3_suppl)

2192-5682

ISSN

Authors

Hejrati, Nader Srikandarajah, Nisaharan Alvi, Mohammed <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-03-01

DOI

10.1177/21925682231196505

Peer reviewed



The Management of Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury – A Scoping Review

Global Spine Journal 2024, Vol. 14(3S) 150S-165S © The Author(s) 2023 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/21925682231196505 journals.sagepub.com/home/gsj **S Sage**

Nader Hejrati, MD^{1,2}, Nisaharan Srikandarajah, MD¹, Mohammed Ali Alvi, MD³, Ayesha Quddusi, MD³, Lindsay A. Tetreault, MD, PhD⁴, James D. Guest, MD, PhD⁵, Rex A.W. Marco, MD⁶, Steven Kirshblum, MD⁷, Allan R. Martin, MD, PhD⁸, Samuel Strantzas, MSc, DABNM⁹, Paul M. Arnold, MD¹⁰, Saumyajit Basu, MD¹¹, Nathan Evaniew, MD, PhD¹², Brian K. Kwon, MD, PhD^{13,14}, Andrea C. Skelly, PhD, MPH¹⁵, and Michael G. Fehlings, MD, PhD^{1,3,16}

Abstract

Study Design: Scoping Review.

Objective: To review the literature and summarize information on checklists and algorithms for responding to intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) alerts and management of intraoperative spinal cord injuries (ISCIs).

Methods: MEDLINE® was searched from inception through January 26, 2022 as were sources of grey literature. We attempted to obtain guidelines and/or consensus statements from the following sources: American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), American Clinical Neurophysiology Society, NASS (North American Spine Society), and other spine surgery organizations.

Results: Of 16 studies reporting on management strategies for ISCIs, two were publications of consensus meetings which were conducted according to the Delphi method and eight were retrospective cohort studies. The remaining six studies were

- ¹ Division of Neurosurgery, Krembil Neuroscience Centre, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ² Department of Neurosurgery & Spine Center of Eastern Switzerland, Cantonal Hospital St.Gallen, St.Gallen, Switzerland
- ³ Institute of Medical Science, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ⁴ Department of Neurology, NYU Langone Medical Center, New York, NY, USA
- ⁵ Department of Neurosurgery and The Miami Project to Cure Paralysis, The Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA
- ⁶ Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Houston Methodist Hospital, Houston, TX, USA
- ⁷ Kessler Institute for Rehabilitation, Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Newark, NJ, USA
- ⁸ Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California Davis, Davis, CA, USA
- ⁹ Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, The Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- ¹⁰ Department of Neurosurgery, University of Illinois Champaign-Urbana, Urbana, IL, USA
- ¹¹ Kothari Medical Centre, Kolkata, India
- ¹² McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health, Department of Surgery, Orthopaedic Surgery, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, AB, Canada
- ¹³ Department of Orthopaedics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ¹⁴ International Collaboration on Repair Discoveries (ICORD), University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ¹⁵ Aggregate Analytics, Inc., Fircrest, WA, USA
- ¹⁶ Division of Neurosurgery and Spine Program, Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Michael G. Fehlings, MD, PhD, FRCSC, FACS, Toronto Western Hospital, 399 Bathurst Street, Suite 4WW-449, Toronto, ON M5T 2S8, Canada. Email: Michael.Fehlings@uhn.ca



Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/ narrative reviews that proposed intraoperative checklists and management strategies for IONM alerts. Of note, 56% of included studies focused only on patients undergoing spinal deformity surgery. Intraoperative considerations and measures taken in the event of an ISCI are divided and reported in three categories of *i*) Anesthesiologic, *ii*) Neurophysiological/Technical, and *iii*) Surgical management strategies.

Conclusion: There is a paucity of literature on comparative effectiveness and harms of management strategies in response to an IONM alert and possible ISCI. There is a pressing need to develop a standardized checklist and care pathway to avoid and minimize the risk of postoperative neurologic sequelae.

Keywords

intraoperative spinal cord injury, checklists, care pathways, guidelines

Introduction

Though rare, intraoperative spinal cord injury (ISCI) is one of the most feared and serious complications of any spine surgery.^{1,2} ISCI can occur due to a variety of factors, including direct spinal cord trauma, ischemia, and traction during manipulation of the spine, for instance during deformity correction.³ The Stagnara wake-up test, which requires the reversal of general anesthesia and assessment of voluntary lower limb movements, and the ankle clonus test were previously the only methods of detecting ISCIs.^{4,5} Intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) is now widely used to provide continuous monitoring of spinal cord function during spine surgery. Individual monitoring setups have given way to multimodal IONM techniques that combine somatosensory-evoked potentials (SSEPs) and motor-evoked potentials (MEPs), as well as electromyography (EMG).^{4,6,7} This combined approach has the potential to improve the sensitivity and specificity of detecting neurological damage during spine surgery (although the precise combinations of modalities are variably reported in the literature).

The presence of significant deformity, cardiopulmonary comorbidities, extrinsic spinal cord compression and labile intraoperative mean arterial pressures are among the risk factors for IONM signal changes.^{3,8} The ability to detect and respond to these changes intraoperatively has resulted in a decrease in the rate of new or worsening neurologic deficits in this population, as the use of neuromonitoring may allow for rapid action to be taken to reverse the course of neurologic dysfunction.^{9,10} Although the ideal goal is to eliminate surgical complications, a more realistic goal is to consistently optimize responses to neuromonitoring changes so that permanent deficits occur as infrequently as possible¹¹ While several algorithms for responding to changes in neuromonitoring have been developed, none have been widely accepted or consistently used in general practice for a variety of reasons^{10,12} Nonetheless, there is evidence that checklists improve care in other areas of surgical intervention. Evidence suggests that surgeon performance suffers under stress and time constraints, and that checklists are useful tools in these situations^{13,14}

According to previous studies, using a cognitive aid, such as a checklist, correlates with better management of operating room crises. A recent study evaluating the use of checklists in crisis situations in the operating room found that using a checklist resulted in a six-fold reduction in failure to adhere to critical management steps¹⁵ A checklist may systematically order parameters that could reverse the detected warning signal.

As discussed, there are a number of checklists that have been published in the past. In the current manuscript, we have summarized the management strategies that have been previously proposed in response to an IONM alert.

Materials and Methods

This manuscript intends to summarize information on checklists and algorithms for responding to IONM alerts and is part of conceptual questions from a proposed systematic review on ISCI management and risk factors for ISCI (registered protocol: PROSPERO CRD42022298841). The intended contextual and key questions, as well as PICOTS scope, from this protocol are published separately within this focus issue. Addressing the contextual questions from the original protocol was based on the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force methods¹⁶ for contextual questions and based on citations identified via the scoping search of peer reviewed and gray literature done based on the original registered systematic review protocol.

Literature Search Strategies

Literature Databases: MEDLINE® was searched from inception through January 26, 2022, as were sources of gray literature, based on the original protocol and limited targeted searches for guidelines were done. Citations suggested by the authors and guideline development group were considered. We attempted to obtain guidelines and/or consensus statements from the following sources: American Association of Neuromuscular & Electrodiagnostic Medicine (AANEM), American Academy of Neurology (AAN), American Clinical Neurophysiology Society, NASS (North American Spine Society), and other spine surgery organizations.

Criteria for Inclusion/Exclusion of Studies

General inclusion and exclusion criteria regarding patient populations and interventions for the original contextual and key questions were used to select citations describing IONM checklists, pathways for addressing alerts, and clinical guidance for managing ISCI.

Results

Study Selection

We searched the literature and identified 16 checklists or treatment algorithms that provided recommendations for the management of, and response to, an IONM warning/ alert during spinal surgery. Only publications that provided a formal checklist or treatment algorithms were included. Most checklists and algorithms were developed by professional societies or for hospital-specific protocols. The checklists and algorithms identified have been summarized.

Characteristics of Included Studies

Of the 16 studies reporting on management strategies for ISCIs, two were publications of consensus meetings which were conducted according to the Delphi method, ^{17,18} and eight were retrospective cohort studies.^{3,19-25} The remaining six studies were narrative reviews that propose intraoperative checklists and management strategies for IONM alerts.^{11,26-30} Of note, 56% of included studies had a sole focus on patients undergoing spinal deformity surgery.^{3,11,17-21,23,25}

Intraoperative considerations and measures taken in the event of an ISCI are herein reported and divided into three categories of *i)* Anesthesiologic, *ii)* Neurophysiological/Technical, and *iii)* Surgical management strategies (Tables 1–3).

Anesthesiologic Considerations

Anesthetics. A majority of included studies (14/16) acknowledged that the modalities of the anesthetic regimen might confound the interpretation of neuromonitoring changes and therefore warrant critical review. As such, suggested actions to be considered ranged from adjusting the anesthetic depth (eg as indicated by the blood pressure, respiratory rate, heart rate, or bispectral index monitoring),^{21,27,30} to specific pharmacological recommendations, such as using different/ adjuvant anesthetic agents^{26,30} and making sure that muscle relaxants or inhalational anesthetics are metabolized or stopped.^{19,24,29}

Blood Pressure. While all included studies uniformly suggested optimization of blood pressures if intraoperative loss of monitoring signals were suspected to be related to impaired spinal cord perfusion, there was some degree of

heterogeneity with regard to the blood pressure targets. While the majority of studies suggested MAP values above 80 mmHg, $^{11,19,21,22,24-27,29}$ two studies propose MAP targets of \geq 70 mmHg. 20,23 In fact, out of the nine studies that recommended MAP targets of at least 80 mmHg, two studies proposed targets of >85 mmHg, 22,26 and two studies suggested MAP targets of 90-100 mmHg and >100 mmHg if no improvements with lower thresholds were observed. 21,27 Five out of the 16 included studies suggested optimizing blood pressures without further specifying pressure targets. 3,17,18,28,30

Body Temperature. Most studies suggested checking for core temperature and increasing the body temperature if hypothermia is noticed.^{11,17-19,21,24-30} Four studies proposed a core temperature of at least 36.5° in order to exclude any thermic effects on changes of intraoperative evoked potential signals.^{11,19,24,30} Some of the described measures to increase core temperature included an increase in room temperature, the use of warming blankets, or the application of warm wound irrigation.²⁶

Blood Volume, Hematocrit, Blood Gases and Other Management Strategies. Anemia and, as a consequence, impaired tissue oxygenation was recognized as an important contributor to loss of IONM signals and as such its correction was recommended by the majority of included studies.^{11,17,18,21,25-30} Hemoglobin values of >8 g/L^{26,29} or 9-10 g/L²⁷ and a hematocrit of >30%³⁰ were some of the targets proposed in order to address anemia-related loss of signals.

It is understood that neuronal tissues have higher levels of metabolic demands and therefore require adequate oxygenation for appropriate functioning. Therefore, optimizing blood pH and achieving normocapnia (eg through management of ventilation)^{17,18,28-30} as well as optimizing oxygenation (eg by fraction of inspired O2, FiO2)^{11,20,21,23,28-30} were recommended to achieve this goal.

Other systemic factors that potentially contribute to intraoperative signal changes include blood glucose levels and electrolyte derangements, and hence normalization of these parameters was suggested by Buhl et al and Pahys et al as part of the systemic management of ISCI.^{11,28}

Wake up Test. If anesthetic, neurophysiologic/technical and surgical measures have not improved intraoperative signal loss, a wake-up test was considered as a last resort option by most of the included studies, when feasible.^{3,11,17,18,21,22,24-30}

Neurophysiological & Technical Considerations

Electrode Set-Up. Among the most common issues related to technical failures in the process of IONM is the disconnection of either stimulating or recording electrodes. Reconnecting or repositioning loosened electrodes was therefore suggested as an initial step in the management of

		Σ	IANAGEMENT STR/	TEGIES			
Author (Year)	Anesthetic systemic man	agement					
design, field of spine surgery	Blood pressure	Anesthetics	Body temperature	Blood volume and hematocrit	Blood gases	Wake up test	Other strategies
Vitale, (2014) Delphi consensus meeting, deformity	- Optimize MAP	 Revisit anesthetic considerations and confirm that they are optimized 	- Establish normothermia	- Optimize hematocrit	- Optimize blood pH and pCO ₂	- Perform wake-up test	AN -
Ziewacz, (2012) Narrative review,	- Increase MAP to 90- 100 mmHg, if no	 Check if neuromuscular blockade (muscle relaxant) given 	- Check temperature	- Check hemoglobin/	- NA	- Consider wake-up	- NA
general	changes consider increase to >100	- Assess anesthetic depth (BP, RR, HR, BIS monitor)		hematocrit and aim for		test	
	mmHg	 Lighten depth of anesthesia (reduce MAC, temporarily eliminate inhaled agents, reduce intravenous anesthetics, add adjuvant agents, eg ketamine) 		hemoglobin >9- 10 g/L			
Buhl, (2021)	- Increase perfusion	- Eliminate volatile anesthetics	- Establish	- Consider blood	- Establish	- Consider	- Establish
Analytical Narrative review, general	pressure	 Decrease anesthetic depth Consider ketamine to enhance IONM signals 	normothermia	transfusion	normocarbia - Increase FiO ₂	wake-up test	normoglycemia - Correct electrolyte abnormalities
Bible, (2015) Instructional	- Establish MAP >85 mmHg	- Different anesthesia agent or bolus	- Temperature >36.5°C	- Consider transfusion with	- NA	- Consider wake-up	- NA
course Lecture, narrative review, cervical)			hemoglobin goal of >8 g/L		test	
Pahys, (2009)	- Aim for MAP >80	- NA	- Check	- Check hemoglobin	- Optimize	- Perform	- Check glucose
idiopathic scoliosis	8LIIII		eniperature >36.5°C	Ievel	oxygeriation	wake-up test	levels
Jain, (2015) Mini review, general	- Elevate MAP to 80-90 mmHg	- Align anesthesia with surgical plans (eg anesthetic gas, nitrous oxide)	- Reversal of hypothermia	. Reverse any anemia <8 g/L	 Reverse hypocapnic alkalosis Increase 	- Consider Stagnara wake-up test	۲ ۲
					patient oxygenation		
Tsirikos, (2019)	- Elevate MAP >80	- Reverse muscle relaxation	- Raise	- NA	- NA	- NA	- NA
reu ospecuve cohort, deformity	2 1	- Change aresureuc agent	emperature >36.5°C				

Table 1. Management Strategies for Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury – Anesthetic Systemic Considerations.

		Σ	ANAGEMENT STR	ATEGIES			
Author (Year)	Anesthetic systemic man:	agement					
design, field of spine surgery	Blood pressure	Anesthetics	Body temperature	Blood volume and hematocrit	Blood gases	Wake up test	Other strategies
Jarvis, (2013) retrospective cohort, deformity	- Elevate MAP >70 mmHg	- Rule out anesthesia-related neurophysiological changes	AN -	- NA	- Optimize oxygenation	AN -	- NA
Acharya, (2017) retrospective cohort, deformity	 Increase MAP >90 mmHg, if no improvements consider increase to >100 mmHg 	 Check if bolus of anesthesia drug or muscle relaxants given Lighten depth of anesthesia (stop inhalational agents, reduce IV anesthetics, add adjuvant eg ketamine) 	- Increase core temperature	- Check hemoglobin	- Check oxygenation	- Perform a Stagnara wake-up test	AN -
Sahinovic, (2021) narrative review, general	- Optimize blood pressures	 Optimize anesthetic depth Ensure no neuromuscular blocking agent given Consider hypnotic drug rotation Consider adding adjuvant drugs (eg Ketamine) 	- Ensure normothermia	 Optimize hematocrit Optimize blood volume 	 Optimize oxygenation Optimize ventilation 	- Perform a wake-up test	A A
Lenke, (2022) Delphi consensus meeting, deformity	- Elevate MAP	- Review possible anesthetic changes	- Normalize temperature	- Reverse anemia	- Normalize blood pH and pCO ₂	- Consider wake-up test	- NA

Note. BIS: bispectral index; BP: blood pressure; HR: heart rate; IONM: intraoperative neuromonitoring, MAP: mean arterial pressure; RR: respiratory rate.

Table I. (continued)

))	-	•				
			Σ	anagement Strategies			
Author (Year).	Neurophysiological &	technical manag	ement		Other	management strateg	jes
design, field of spine surgery	Electrode set-up	Stimulation parameters	Patient positioning	Repeat testing of evoked potentials	Corticosteroids	Peer consultation	Other pharmacotherapies
Vitale, (2014) Delphi consensus meeting, deformity	- Check electrodes and connections	AN -	 Check neck and limb positioning; check limb position on table especially if unilateral loss 	AN -	 Consider IV steroids: MPS 30 mg/kg in first hour, then 5.4 mg/kg/ hour for the following 23 hours 	- Consider consulting with a colleague	AA -
Ziewacz, (2012) Narrative review, general spine	 Check leads and rule out pull-out Consider adding leads in proximal muscle groups if possible 	AN -	- Check extremity position in case of plexus palsy	- Repeat trials of MEPs and SSEPs to rule out potential false positive	- Consider steroid administration	AN -	- Consider topical or IV calcium channel blocker
Buhl, (2021) Analytical, Narrative Review, general spine	 Tighten loose electrode connections Reposition/replace electrodes Check electrode 	 Increase stimulation intensity Change 	 Look for external compression from inadvertent tourniquets or malpositioning Refrain from leaning on 	AN -	AA -	AN -	AA -
	impedances	priming pulses	patient				
Bible, (2015) Instructional Course Lecture, Narrative review, cervical	- Reconnect/ Reposition electrodes	- NA	 Check neck position for hyperextension and reposition if necessary Untape shoulders, check elbows 	- NA	- Consider IV steroids	AN -	NA -
Pahys, (2009) Narrative review, idiopathic scoliosis	- NA	AN -	- NA	- NA	 Consider IV steroids: MPS bolus of 30 mg/ kg over 15 min, then 5.4 mg/kg/hour as a 23-hour infusion 	AA -	AA -
Jain, (2015) Mini review, general spine	- Check for electrode dislodgement	AN -	- NA	- NA	- NA	AN -	AN -
Tsirikos, (2019) Retrospective cohort, deformity	 Exclude dislodgement of electrodes 	 Adjust stimulation parameters 	- Change patient positioning	- Repeat MEPs at 5- min intervals for 30 minutes	- NA	- NA	- NA
Jarvis, (2013) Retrospective cohort, deformity	AN -	- NA	- NA	- NA	- Consider steroid administration: IV dexamethasone	- NA	NA -

Table 2. Management Strategies for Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury – Neurophysiological/Technical and Other Considerations.

			Σ	anagement Strategies			
Author (Year)	Neurophysiological &	technical manag	ement		Other	° management strates	gies
design, field of spine surgery	Electrode set-up	Stimulation parameters	Patient positioning	Repeat testing of evoked potentials	Corticosteroids	Peer consultation	Other pharmacotherapies
Acharya, (2017) Retrospective cohort, deformitv	 Check electrodes Reduce electrical interference 	- Increase stimulus strength	- Check limb position	- Repeat trials of MEP	- Consider steroid administration	- NA	AN -
Sahinovic, (2021) Narrative review, general	 Check electrodes and connections Check for electrical interference 	- Consider increasing stimulation intensity	AN -	- Repeat MEP measurements	- NA	- Consider consulting with a colleague	- Consider topical or IV calcium channel blocker
Lenke, (2022) Delphi consensus meeting, deformity	- NA	YA -	 Check and adjust patient positioning (ensure appropriate bolster placement; consider spinal cord impingement due to prone positioning or spinal instability) 	AA -	- Consider IV steroids	- Always consider consultation with a colleague prior to proceeding	AA -
Lee, (2006) Retrospective cohort	- NA	- NA	- Reverse neck extension	- NA	AA -	- NA	- NA
Lewis, (2011) Retrospective cohort	 Rule out equipment and technical causes 	AN -	- NA	- Perform frequent MEP's	AN -	AA -	۲۷ -
Vitale, (2010) Retrospective cohort	 Check technical problem and correct, eg leads positioning Check for interference 	YV -	۲A ۲	AN -	AA -	AN -	AA -
Rajappa, (2021) Retrospective cohort	 Rule out technical glitches with the recording system or owing to dislodgement of electrodes 	YV -	۲۷ ۲	- Repeat potentials after few minutes	AA -	۲N ۲	AA -
Ferguson, (2014) Retrospective cohort	- Check all connections	AN -	- Check all limbs	- NA	- Consider steroid therapy	- NA	AN -

Note. IV: intravenous; MEPs: motor evoked potentials; MPS: methylprednisolone; SSEPs: somatosensory evoked potentials.

Table 2. (continued)

Table 3. Management Strategies for Intraoperative Spinal Cord Injury - Surgical Considerations.

		Managen	nent Strategies		
	Surgical management				
Author (Year), design, field of spine surgery	Traction and deformity correction	Hardware malposition and compressive forces	Interruption or staging of procedure	Team communication	Local irrigation
Vitale, (2014) Delphi consensus	- Remove traction	- Remove screws and probe for breach	- Intraoperative pause: Stop case and announce to	- Discuss events and actions just prior to signal loss	- NA
deformity	distraction or other corrective forces	- Evaluate for spinal cord compression, examine osteotomy and laminotomy sites	the room	 Eliminate extraheous stimuli (eg music, conversations, etc.) 	
	- Remove rods	 Intraoperative and/or perioperative imaging (eg O-arm, fluoroscopy, x- ray) to evaluate implant placement 	- Consider continuing surgical procedure vs staging procedure	- Summon attending anesthesiologist, senior neurologist or neurophysiologist, and experienced nurse	
Ziewacz, (2012) Narrative review, general spine	 Consider reversing correction of a spinal deformity 	- Assess field for structural cord compression (misplaced hardware or bone graft, osteophytes, or hematoma) Perform further	- Stop current manipulation	- Communicate key findings and relevant actions to each other	- NA
		decompression if stenosis is present	aborting surgery		
Buhl, (2021) Analytical, narrative review, general spine	- Release distraction rods	 Eliminate pressure points in the surgical field (adjust retractors) Inspect hardware (adjust position of hardware and 	- Consider aborting the procedure	- Communication between surgeon, neuromonitorist, and anesthesiologist	- NA
Bible, (2015) Instructional course Lecture, narrative review, cervical	- Lessen distraction/ correction	screws) - Remove compressive element - Radiographically check placement of instrumentation, cage, graft and remove if necessary - Emergent MRI to rule out compression	- Consider aborting the procedure	- NA	- NA
Pahys, (2009) Narrative review, idiopathic scoliosis	 Remove correction Consider modest correction or in situ fusion 	- Remove implants (unless spine is unstable)	- NA	- NA	- NA
Jain, (2015) Mini review, general spine	- Reverse distraction	- Widen decompression	- NA	- Communicate with the anesthesia team to ensure anesthesia and surgical plans are well aligned	- NA

Management Strategies Surgical management Author (Year), Interruption or Hardware malposition and design, field of Traction and deformity staging of Local correction compressive forces procedure Team communication irrigation spine surgery Tsirikos, (2019) - Remove - Remove instrumentation - NA - Halt surgery - Close cooperation between the surgical, Retrospective instrumentation and and reverse surgical - Consider reverse surgical manoeuvres back to last abandoning anesthetic, and cohort, deformity manoeuvres back to normal MEPs procedure neurophysiology team last normal MEPs - Consider completion of surgery in a staged manner Jarvis, (2013) - Remove traction - Reopening of osteotomy Cessation of any - NA - NA -Retrospective - Reduce traction weights and ensure no bone is further surgical cohort, - Insert stabilizing rod pressing on the spinal intervention deformity - Close osteotomy cord, manipulation and cage adjustment, and reclosure of the osteotomy Acharya, (2017) - Release traction - Check dura for - Stop current - Reduce noise - Perform Retrospective - Reverse corrective compression manipulation warm maneuver & rod cohort, saline deformity removal wound - Confirm stability of - Consider - Look for implant wash spine malposition aborting surgery Sahinovic, (2021) - Remove screw - Remove rods - Consider staging/ -Clearly communicate - Irrigate the problem to the Narrative - Stop traction - Check dura for abandoning the spinal review, general compression surgical whole team cord with procedure saline Lenke, (2022) - Remove/reduce traction - Assess for dural - Consider - Minimize distraction and - NA Delphi unless necessary for compression aborting surgery gain attention of the consensus spinal stability circumferentially, and return at a operating room meeting, intraoperative imaging (xlater date deformity ray, fluoroscopy, CT, US) - Evaluate screws (palpation, x-ray, intraoperative CT) and remove as indicated - Stabilize with temporary -Assess for dural rod(s) fixation compression/tension (eg possible pedicle fracture impinging on neural elements) and decompress as indicated (eg laminectomy, apical pediculectomy) Lee, (2006) - Reverse any antecedent - NA - NA - NA - NA Retrospective surgical event, eg cohort distraction Lewis, (2011) - Remove traction and - Rule out misplaced - Terminate - NA - NA Retrospective remove rods implants surgery and cohort - Conduct appropriate awaken patient cross-sectional image of spine if no improvement

Table 3. (continued)

Table 3. (continued)

		Manager	nent Strategies		
	Surgical management				
Author (Year), design, field of spine surgery	Traction and deformity correction	Hardware malposition and compressive forces	Interruption or staging of procedure	Team communication	Local irrigation
Vitale, (2010) Retrospective cohort	- Check mechanical event and take action, eg relax curve correction	- Check mechanical event and take action	- NA	- NA	- NA
Rajappa, (2021), Retrospective cohort	 Reverse surgical procedure to last normal evoked potentials and consider moderate correction or modification of the plan 	- Remove any instrumentation placed	- Surgeon alerted and halt on operation	- Surgeon to be alerted	- Perform warm saline irrigation of surgical site
Ferguson, (2014), Retrospective cohort	- Reverse most recent action, release correction/rod	 Investigate for breach, neural compression Remove most recent instrumentation, explore screw tract, palpate osteotomy/exposed areas for bone, hematoma, instrument, hemostatic agent compressing cord Obtain MRI/CT if motor function impaired after wake-up test 	- Consider aborting procedure	- NA	- NA

Note. CT: computed tomography; MEPs: motor evoked potentials; SSEPs: somatosensory evoked potentials; VCR: vertebral column resection; US: ultrasound.

potential technical failure.^{3,17,19,21,23-30} Technical optimization was further suggested through reduction of electrical interference from digital equipment such as warming blankets, electrocautery equipment, or magnetic devices.^{3,21,29,30} An example of how electrical interference can be ruled out was proposed in the use of free-running EMG's.³⁰

Stimulation Parameters. Adjustment of stimulation parameters may be needed, for example, if the use of inhalational anesthetics dampens the SSEP and/or transcranial MEP amplitudes.^{19,21,28,30} In such a scenario, increasing the stimulation intensity,^{21,28,30} using multipulse stimulations,³⁰ or adapting other aspects of stimulation parameters (eg pulse width, interstimulus intervals, etc.)²⁸ were among the factors suggested to optimize the configuration of stimulation parameters.

Repeat Testing of Evoked Potentials. It is understood that the detection of ISCI is a time-sensitive matter. Therefore, several studies suggested repeating evoked potential measurements and shortening assessment intervals in order to capture possible improvements of IONM signals after implementation of

corrective measures and to rule out false positive signal changes in a timely manner.^{19,21,23,24,27,30} Some studies suggested time intervals of a few minutes²⁴ while others porposed 5-minute intervals for 30 minutes.¹⁹

Patient Positioning. Cervical hyperextension, external pressurerelated peripheral nerve injuries and traction of the brachial plexus are potential causes of patient positioning-related spinal cord or peripheral nerve injuries. Therefore, verifying proper patient positioning and correcting neck and limb position in the event of an ISCI was a key strategy by a great proportion of the included studies.^{17-19,21,22,25-28} The use of appropriate taping techniques (eg the shoulders in cervical spine surgery) and cushioning of extremities were some of the suggested measures to prevent positioning- and tractionrelated nerve damage.²⁶ Other specific measures included refraining from leaning on the patient as this also might harbor risk of pressure-related nerve injury.²⁸

Surgical Considerations

Traction and Deformity Correction. If the occurrence of an ISCI is associated with an intraoperative deformity correction

maneuver (ie during distraction), then there is uniform agreement that distractive forces on the spinal cord need to be reversed.^{3,11,17-30} Measures to reduce the traction on the spinal cord and thereby reduce spinal cord hypoperfusion included removal of the distraction rods or closing an osteotomy site.

However, if spinal instability, in particular following threecolumn osteotomies (eg vertebral column resection), is suspected to be the underlying cause of intraoperative signal changes, then the insertion of a temporary, stabilizing rod was suggested.^{18,20,21}

Hardware Malposition and Compressive Forces. Mechanical compression of neural structures was recognized as a potential cause of intraoperative signal loss by most of the included studies.^{11,17-21,26-30} As such, ruling out hardware malposition and other compressive forces on the spinal cord and/or nerve roots was widely recommended. Measures to reverse or reduce compression on neural elements included adjusting or repositioning implants (such as interbody cages, grafts and screws),^{11,17-21,26-28,30} opening up the osteotomy site,²⁹ widening the decompression and looking for compressive osteophytes, hematoma, or hemostatic agents.^{25-27,29} Finally, if no structural cause of intraoperative signal changes can be identified after surgical exploration, intra- and postoperative advanced imaging was suggested to assess for hardware malposition and cord compression.^{11,18,23,25,26}

Interruption or Staging of the Procedure. If an intraoperative loss of signals is noticed, a temporary pause with the immediate cessation of any surgical manipulations along with a clear announcement to the operating room was suggested.^{17,19-21,24,27,30}

If an underlying cause for IONM signal losses cannot be identified or the signal changes have not recovered after all the appropriate measures have been considered, then either aborting the intervention^{18,19,21,23,25-28,30} or a staged procedure were suggested.^{17-19,30}

Team Communication and Peer Consultation. In the event of an IONM event, the importance of communication was emphasized between members of the team including the surgeon, neuromonitoring technician, anesthesiologist and nursing staff.^{17-19,21,24,27-30} Specific communication points included discussing events prior to signal loss and considering reversing actions^{17,18} as well as ensuring that anesthetic and surgical plans were aligned.²⁹ Additional measures suggested to retain or gain control of the operating room in the critical setting of an IONM signal loss included elimination of extraneous stimuli (eg music, conversations, etc.) and reduction of noise.^{17,18,21}

Considering a consultation with a colleague following an IONM alert was suggested by three studies.^{17,18,30} This would be preferably performed with a colleague experienced in the type of surgery in which a critical IONM event occurs and may be applicable at multiple stages perioperatively.¹⁸

Local Irrigation and Pharmacological Therapies. The three studies by Acharya et al, Rajappa et al and Sahinovic et al. suggested considering washing the wound with warm saline^{21,24} and irrigating the spinal cord with saline, respectively, in the context of an ISCI.³⁰

Two studies (Ziewacz et al and Acharya et al) proposed considering topical or IV administration of calcium channel blockers.^{21,27} A number of studies (n = 8) considered the administration of steroids if earlier attempts of reversing intraoperative signal loss failed.^{11,17,18,20,21,25-27} The therapeutic regimens described included the administration of intravenous (IV) methylprednisolone 30 mg/kg for the first hour followed by 5.4 mg/kg for the following 23 hours, in keeping with the NASCIS II protocol for acute traumatic SCI.^{11,17,18}

Discussion

Based on the current evidence and summary of the available literature, management strategies after detection of intra-operative signal loss can be broadly categorized into three key areas; (i) Anesthetic/Systemic considerations, (ii) Neurophysiologic and Technical considerations, and (iii) Surgical strategies.

When first aware of an intraoperative signal loss, a general initial step in the management of a potential ISCI is to pause the surgery and communicate the possible adverse event to all team members present in the operating room. Stressful events may adversely affect human cognition, which is why checklists are required for care pathways that may be considered common sense during routine events.³¹ Checklists have been routinely used in high stress and time critical sectors such as the aviation industry. Various checklists which have been modeled on the basis of aviation checklists, such as the WHO surgical safety checklist, or the SURPASS (SURgical Patient Safety System) checklist have successfully resulted in a decrease in both complications and mortality rates.^{32,33} Similarly, the use of pre-defined and standardized treatment/assessment algorithms such as the basic life support (BLS) and the advanced cardiovascular life support (ACLS) guidelines allow healthcare providers to perform critical and effective actions in a timely manner, which in turn improves patient outcomes.³⁴ Unequivocal communication within the patient care team, which generally consists of the anesthesiologist, neurophysiologist, nursing staff and the surgeon, is pivotal in order to maintain a high level of patient safety, especially in the setting of high risk procedures and events.³

An ISCI can occur during any phase perioperatively, even prior to skin incision. In such a scenario, one potential cause includes hyperextension of the cervical spine during intubation or patient positioning. An observation from the American Society of Anesthesiologists Closed Claims database showed that 57% of ISCI to the cervical spinal cord were found in patients with cervical spondylosis and/or disc herniations, as compared to 24% of ISCI that occurred in patients with cervical instability.^{26,36} Consequently, fiberoptic intubation instead of laryngoscopic intubation should be strongly considered in patients with preexisting degenerative changes of the cervical spine. Communication between the surgeon and the anesthesiologist prior to surgery is imperative in order to take appropriate precautions (eg avoidance of hyperextension during patient positioning) and to avoid iatrogenic SCI. Peripheral nerve and brachial plexus injury are other feared complications associated with improper patient positioning, which can have tremendous consequences for patients due to the loss of upper extremity function. Ulnar neuropathy, for example, is the commonest type of perioperative peripheral nerve injury encountered during cervical spine surgery with estimates of 28% of all claims for anesthesiarelated nerve injury.³⁷ Brachial plexus injuries, on the other hand, result from nerve stretching or compression with resulting ischemia of the vasa nervorum and commonly occur due to taping of shoulders during cervical spine procedures. The addition of neuromuscular blocking agents (NBA) further adds to the laxity of the shoulder, thereby allowing inadvertent excessive tractive forces on the brachial plexus. Adequate wrapping of the arms (eg with foam or gel pads), correct taping of shoulders and if a peripheral nerve injury is suspected intraoperatively, repositioning of extremities is strongly recommended. Finally, femoral artery compression during prone positioning can also lead to IONM loss. Palpation of pedal pulses and great toe pulse oximetry can detect this potential cause of IONM loss when no other technical issues are identified.^{38,39}

Once an ISCI has occurred and potential technical sources of false-positive alarms have been ruled out (such as disconnection of electrodes or interference with technical devices), it is important to rule out anesthesiologic contributors to false-positive signal changes. As an example, while Propofol is an ideal hypnotic drug for the maintenance of anesthesia during spinal surgery with IONM, its hyperpolarizing effect mediated through gammaaminobutyric acid synapses produces synaptic inhibition and may therefore dampen amplitudes of MEPs and SSEPs, as well as increase the latency of SSEPs. However, when administered in clinically relevant doses, its interference with IONM is negligible.⁴⁰ As a consequence, it is important to optimize doses of administered hypnotic drugs while monitoring the anesthetic depth (eg with the use of target-controlled infusions and EEG-based monitors of anesthetic depth) and avoiding boluses, particularly during critical surgical maneuvers. Additionally, intraoperative administration of opioids synergistically enhances the hypnotic drug effect, thereby allowing for a dose reduction of hypnotic drugs and mitigating the influence of hypnotic drugs on IONM signals. Furthermore, opioids dampen adrenergic responses to surgical stimuli, which aids in maintaining hemodynamic stability and thus spinal cord perfusion.³⁰ Inhalational anesthetics on the other hand have significant dose-dependent amplitude-lowering effects on MEPs and SSEPs, and are therefore not suitable during surgeries where IONM is used.⁴¹ Adjustment of stimulation parameters, such as increasing stimulation intensities or employing multipulse stimulations, can partially overcome the suppressive effects of volatile anesthetics, but these effects are limited with higher mean alveolar concentrations.^{30,41} NBA's play an important role during spinal surgery, particularly during the initial phases of surgical exposure. However, they directly reduce MEP amplitudes in a dose-dependent manner and hence their administration is not recommended where MEP monitoring is employed. It is therefore important to align the timing of administration of NBA's with the phases of surgical exposure).

Intraoperative spinal cord injuries are a time-sensitive matter and it is therefore crucial to immediately ensure adequate spinal cord homeostasis once an injury is suspected. Neuronal tissues have high metabolic demands, thus adequate spinal cord perfusion in conjunction with optimal blood oxygenation are the main pillars ensuring uninterrupted tissue oxygenation. An interplay between the surgeon and the anesthesiologist is warranted to optimize spinal cord oxygenation (eg through parameters of ventilation, inspiratory O2 fraction, hemoglobin and blood pressure). While specific target values remain elusive in patients with a suspected ISCI, normovolemia, normoxaemia^{11,20,21,23,28-30} and normobcarbia^{17,18,28,29} are among the factors that need to be maintained while any anemia should be ideally reversed.^{11,17,18,21,25-30} In analogy to the management of cerebral perfusion pressures in the context of traumatic brain injury, the MAP constitutes the driving force behind spinal cord perfusion. While the realm of ISCI research has not provided evidence to support specific MAP targets, a number of studies suggest MAP targets that are analogous to those seen in the field of traumatic SCI.42 A MAP target of >80 mmHg followed by a stepwise increase of up to 100 mmHg,^{11,19,21,22,24-27,29} if earlier thresholds have not reversed intraoperative signal changes, are among the most commonly chosen strategies. Clearly, prospective studies are warranted to strengthen the evidence and provide stronger recommendations with regard to optimal MAP targets.

Body core temperature directly influences signal changes of evoked potentials. Preclinical studies have demonstrated decreased central conduction velocity, and as a result increased latency and decreased amplitude of MEPs and SSEPs, under hypothermic conditions.^{43,44} As a consequence, animal studies have shown increased rates of false-negative SSEPs under hypothermia, rendering them a less reliable tool in predicting an adverse outcome.⁴³ A clinical study of 90 patients, where latencies and amplitudes of SSEPS during different stages of scoliosis surgery were compared found that in 12 patients a 50% drop in SSEP amplitude was noted after exposure of the spine and before instrumentation and deformity correction.⁴⁵ In two patients, amplitudes dropped beyond 50% but reversed following

irrigation of the spine with warm saline, suggesting an association between neurophysiological changes and a decrease of local temperature. In order to minimize the rates of false-positive events, the authors propose the use of SSEP baselines obtained after spinal exposure instead of baselines obtained prior to skin incision.⁴⁵ Increasing core temperature to normal ranges has converse effects and reduces EP latency in all modalities thereby reducing the rate of false alarms.^{30,44}

While the great majority of included studies recommend reversing any potential hypothermia and establishing normothermia, it is pivotal to avoid an overshoot in order to prevent an increase in metabolic demands of the spinal cord. As such, on the other end of temperature-related management strategies, systemic cooling or local cooling has been proposed as a measure to minimize oxygen consumption from the spinal cord, thereby reducing potential ischemic damage following ISCI.⁴⁶ While local cooling has not been examined in the context of elective spine surgery, studies in the field of thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm repair have demonstrated safety and potential neuroprotective effects of cooling the epidural space.^{47,48} Defining specific temperature values for local cooling remains elusive, but the use of 4° iced saline to cool the epidural space and the CSF to approximately 27° has shown promising results in minimizing postoperative neurological complications in the setting of thoracoabdominal vascular surgery.47

If possible, any surgeon-related action that may be the underlying cause of an ISCI should be reversed (eg removal/repositioning of misplaced hardware such as screws, interbody cages or bone graft), and any compression on the spinal cord or the nerve roots should be removed (eg osteophytes, hematoma, hemostatic agents). If readily available imaging modalities, such as intraoperative x ray, fluoroscopy or intraoperative ultrasound, do not provide necessary information about potential hardware misplacement or ongoing compression to the spinal cord, appropriate emergent cross-sectional imaging should be considered (such as computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging). Correction of a deformity is associated with tractive forces on the spinal cord macro- and microvasculature and might therefore result in ischemia-related IONM signal loss.¹ If such a signal loss is temporally associated with a deformity correction maneuver, correction should be reversed and if signals are not recovered, a moderate correction or a staged procedure may need to be considered. Eventually, if IONM signals do not recover despite the aforementioned management strategies, a Stagnara wake-up test to assess motor function can be performed.

Our results showed that the administration of steroids should be considered in the therapeutic management of an ISCI.^{11,17,18,20,21,25-27} Even though not thoroughly studied in the context of ISCI, the common use of methylprednisolone as an anti-inflammatory/immunomodulatory pharmacotherapeutic likely arises from its extensive study in the field of traumatic SCI.⁴⁹⁻⁵¹ The North American Spinal Cord Injury Study (NASCIS) II therapeutic protocol, whereby a 30 mg/kg bolus of methylprednisolone is given over 15 minutes, followed by a 23 hour infusion of 5.4 mg/kg/hour, was among the most commonly chosen therapeutic schemes.^{11,17,18} The use of methylprednisolone as a neuroprotective drug in the setting of traumatic SCI has been the source of decades-long vibrant debates surrounding its safety and efficacy.49,50 And yet, our recent systematic review and meta-analysis, which was conducted as part of the 2017 AO Spine Guideline on the use of methylprednisolone in traumatic SCI, showed modest motor score improvements (3.21 points, [95% CI = .10 - 6.33;P = .04]) in patients who received treatment within 8 hours of injury according to the NASCIS II protocol. At the same time, pooled risk of death, wound infection, gastrointestinal hemorrhage, sepsis, pulmonary embolism, urinary tract infection, pneumonia, or decubiti did not show a statistically significant difference between groups.⁵² It should be noted that the NASCIS trials were conducted in patients with closed, nonpenetrating SCIs. Patients with ISCI were not studied in the NASCIS trials, and so while the practice of administering high-dose steroids in this circumstance is extrapolated from the situation of non-penetrating traumatic SCI, it should be noted that this is indeed a different clinical context.

Conclusion

Even though there is a paucity of literature on comparative effects and harms of any of the listed measures, management of intraoperative signal loss and possible SCI merits a standardized checklist and care pathway to avoid and minimize the risk of postoperative neurologic deficits. Such a checklist needs to use simplified language, standardized and nonredundant steps to decrease the risk of human error in a stressful situation.⁵³ Dry runs of checklists in high simulation settings will ensure procedural memory and identification of potential pitfalls.⁵⁴ A potential checklist can also be subjected to the "Plan, Do, Study, and Act (PDSA)" cycle to identify shortcomings and improve on them.⁵⁵

Acknowledgments

MGF is supported by the Robert Campeau Family Foundation/Dr C.H Tator Chair in Brain and Spinal Cord Research at UHN. BKK is the Canada Research Chair in Spinal Cord Injury and the Dvorak Chair in Spinal Trauma. NH acknowledges support by the Research Fund of the University of Basel for Excellent Junior Researchers. The authors wish to thank the following for contributions to this work: Erika D. Brodt (literature search), Shay Stabler-Morris (literature search, organization, data abstraction), Joseph R. Dettori (initial methods consultation). This Focus Issue was reviewed by the Joint Guidelines Review Committee of the American Association of Neurological Surgeons and Congress of Neurological Surgeons as well as the North American Spine Society. However, this review process does not constitute or imply endorsement of this work product by these organizations.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest concerning the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was financially supported by the AO Foundation, AO Spine and Praxis Spinal Cord Institute. This study was jointly organized and funded by AO Foundation through the AO Spine Knowledge Forum Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) (www.aospine.org/kf-sci), a focused group of international SCI experts, and the Praxis Spinal Cord Institute (https://praxisinstitute.org/) through funding from Western Economic Diversification Canada. The funding bodies did not control or influence the editorial content of the articles or the guidelines process. Methodologic and analytic support for this work was provided by Aggregate Analytics, Inc, with funding from the AO Foundation and Praxis Spinal Cord Institute.

ORCID iDs

Nader Hejrati [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8583-9849 Nisaharan Srikandarajah [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9578-508X Mohammed Ali Alvi [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7131-079X Rex A. W. Marco [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3393-1672 Paul M. Arnold [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4622-7695 Nathan Evaniew [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1974-5224 Michael G. Fehlings [®] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5722-6364

References

- Schwartz DM, Auerbach JD, Dormans JP, et al. Neurophysiological detection of impending spinal cord injury during scoliosis surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2007;89(11): 2440-2449. doi:10.2106/JBJS.F.01476
- Fu K-MG, Smith JS, Polly DW, et al. Morbidity and mortality associated with spinal surgery in children: a review of the Scoliosis Research Society morbidity and mortality database. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2011;7(1):37-41. doi:10.3171/2010.10.PEDS10212
- Vitale MG, Moore DW, Matsumoto H, et al. Risk factors for spinal cord injury during surgery for spinal deformity. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(1):64-71. doi:10.2106/JBJS.H.01839
- Pastorelli F, Di Silvestre M, Plasmati R, et al. The prevention of neural complications in the surgical treatment of scoliosis: the role of the neurophysiological intraoperative monitoring. *Eur Spine J.* 2011;20(Suppl 1):S105-114. doi:10.1007/s00586-011-1756-z
- Hoppenfeld S, Gross A, Andrews C, Lonner B. The ankle clonus test for assessment of the integrity of the spinal cord during operations for scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1997;79(2): 208-212. doi:10.2106/00004623-199702000-00007
- Vitale M. Safety in Spine Surgery: Transforming Patient Care and Optimizing Outcomes. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2019.
- 7. Pelosi L, Lamb J, Grevitt M, Mehdian SMH, Webb JK, Blumhardt LD. Combined monitoring of motor and

somatosensory evoked potentials in orthopaedic spinal surgery. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 2002;113(7):1082-1091. doi:10.1016/s1388-2457(02)00027-5

- Noonan KJ, Walker T, Feinberg JR, Nagel M, Didelot W, Lindseth R. Factors related to false- versus true-positive neuromonitoring changes in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis surgery. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27(8):825–830; doi: 10.1097/ 00007632-200204150-00009
- Winter RB. Neurologic safety in spinal deformity surgery. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1997;22(13):1527–1533; doi: 10.1097/ 00007632-199707010-00022
- Fehlings MG, Brodke DS, Norvell DC, Dettori JR. The evidence for intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery: does it make a difference? *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010; 35(9 Suppl):S37-46; doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181d8338e
- Pahys JM, Guille JT, D'Andrea LP, Samdani AF, Beck J, Betz RR. Neurologic injury in the surgical treatment of idiopathic scoliosis: guidelines for assessment and management. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009;17(7):426-434. doi:10.5435/ 00124635-200907000-00003
- Lall RR, Lall RR, Hauptman JS, et al. Intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgery: indications, efficacy, and role of the preoperative checklist. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2012; 33(5):E10. doi:10.3171/2012.9.FOCUS12235
- Weiser TG, Haynes AB, Dziekan G, et al. Effect of a 19-item surgical safety checklist during urgent operations in a global patient population. *Ann Surg.* 2010;251(5):976-980. doi:10. 1097/SLA.0b013e3181d970e3
- Ziewacz JE, Arriaga AF, Bader AM, et al. Crisis checklists for the operating room: development and pilot testing. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2011;213(2):212-217. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2011.04.031
- Harrison TK, Manser T, Howard SK, Gaba DM. Use of cognitive aids in a simulated anesthetic crisis. *Anesth Analg.* 2006; 103(3):551-556. doi:10.1213/01.ane.0000229718.02478.c4
- Anonymous. Procedure Manual | United States Preventive Services Taskforce. n.d. Available from: https://www. uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/about-uspstf/methodsand-processes/procedure-manual [Last accessed: 11/7/2022].
- Vitale MG, Skaggs DL, Pace GI, et al. Best practices in intraoperative neuromonitoring in spine deformity surgery: Development of an intraoperative checklist to optimize response. *Spine Deform.* 2014;2(5):333-339. doi:10.1016/j.jspd.2014.05. 003
- Lenke LG, Fano AN, Iyer RR, et al. Development of consensusbased best practice guidelines for response to intraoperative neuromonitoring events in high-risk spinal deformity surgery. *Spine Deform.* 2022;10(4):745-761. doi:10.1007/s43390-022-00485-w
- Tsirikos AI, Duckworth AD, Henderson LE, Michaelson C. Multimodal intraoperative spinal cord monitoring during spinal deformity surgery: Efficacy, diagnostic characteristics, and algorithm development. *Med Princ Pract*. 2020;29(1):6-17. doi: 10.1159/000501256
- 20. Jarvis JG, Strantzas S, Lipkus M, et al. Responding to neuromonitoring changes in 3-column posterior spinal osteotomies for

rigid pediatric spinal deformities. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2013; 38(8):E493-503; doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3182880378

- Acharya S, Palukuri N, Gupta P, Kohli M. Transcranial motor Evoked potentials during spinal deformity corrections-safety, Efficacy, limitations, and the role of a checklist. *Front Surg.* 2017;4:8. doi:10.3389/fsurg.2017.00008
- Lee JY, Hilibrand AS, Lim MR, et al. Characterization of neurophysiologic alerts during anterior cervical spine surgery. *Spine*. 2006;31(17):1916-1922. doi:10.1097/01.brs. 0000228724.01795.a2
- Lewis SJ, Gray R, Holmes LM, et al. Neurophysiological changes in deformity correction of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis with intraoperative skull-femoral traction. *Spine* (*Phila Pa 1976*). 2011;36(20):1627-1638. doi: 10.1097/BRS. 0b013e318216124e
- Rajappa D, Khan MM, Masapu D, et al. Multimodal intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring in spine surgeries: The Experience at a spine centre through years. *Asian Spine J.* 2021; 15(6):728-738. doi:10.31616/asj.2020.0400
- Ferguson J, Hwang SW, Tataryn Z, Samdani AF. Neuromonitoring changes in pediatric spinal deformity surgery: A single-institution experience. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2014;13(3): 247-254. doi:10.3171/2013.12.PEDS13188
- Bible J, Rihn JA, Lim MR, Brodke DS, Lee JY. Avoiding and managing intraoperative complications during cervical spine surgery. *Instr Course Lect.* 2015;23:281.
- Ziewacz JE, Berven SH, Mummaneni VP, et al. The design, development, and implementation of a checklist for intraoperative neuromonitoring changes. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2012; 33(5):E11. doi:10.3171/2012.9.FOCUS12263
- Buhl LK, Bastos AB, Pollard RJ, et al. Neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring for spine surgery: A practical guide from past to present. *J Intensive Care Med.* 2020;36: 885066620962453. doi:10.1177/0885066620962453
- Jain A, Khanna AJ, Hassanzadeh H. Management of intraoperative neuromonitoring signal loss. *Semin Spine Surg.* 2015; 27(4):229-232. doi:10.1053/j.semss.2015.04.009
- Sahinovic MM, Gadella MC, Shils J, Dulfer SE, Drost G. Anesthesia and intraoperative neurophysiological spinal cord monitoring. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2021;34(5):590-596. doi: 10.1097/ACO.00000000001044
- Dismukes RK. Effects of Acute Stress on Aircrew Performance: Literature Review and Analysis of Operational Aspects. Moffett Field, CA, USA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration; n.d.:115.
- Haynes AB, Weiser TG, Berry WR, et al. A surgical safety checklist to reduce morbidity and mortality in a global population. *N Engl J Med.* 2009;360(5):491-499. doi:10.1056/ NEJMsa0810119
- de Vries EN, Prins HA, Crolla RMPH, et al. Effect of a comprehensive surgical safety system on patient outcomes. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;363(20):1928-1937. doi:10.1056/ NEJMsa0911535
- Anonymous. Part 3: Adult basic and advanced life support. n.d. Available from: https://cpr.heart.org/en/resuscitation-science/

cpr-and-ecc-guidelines/adult-basic-and-advanced-life-support [Last accessed: 11/4/2022].

- Alsabri M, Boudi Z, Lauque D, et al. Impact of teamwork and communication training interventions on safety culture and patient safety in Emergency departments: a systematic review. *J Patient Saf.* 2022;18(1):e351-e361. doi:10.1097/PTS.000000000000782
- Hindman BJ, Palecek JP, Posner KL, et al. Cervical spinal cord, root, and bony spine injuries: A closed claims analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;114(4):782-795. doi:10.1097/ALN. 0b013e3182104859
- Cheney FW, Domino KB, Caplan RA, Posner KL. Nerve injury associated with anesthesia : a closed claims analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 1999;90(4):1062-1069. doi:10.1097/00000542-199904000-00020
- Tseng MD, Cappetto L, Majid K, Sternberg D, Settecerri JJ. Bilateral femoral artery ischemia detected by multimodality neuromonitoring during posterior scoliosis surgery: a case report. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2010;35(16):E799-803; doi: 10. 1097/BRS.0b013e3181d5577d
- Vossler DG, Stonecipher T, Millen MD. Femoral artery ischemia during spinal scoliosis surgery detected by posterior tibial nerve somatosensory-evoked potential monitoring. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(11):1457–1459. doi: 10.1097/00007632-200006010-00021
- Sloan TB, Heyer EJ. Anesthesia for intraoperative neurophysiologic monitoring of the spinal cord. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2002; 19(5):430-443.
- Lotto ML, Banoub M, Schubert A. Effects of anesthetic agents and physiologic changes on intraoperative motor Evoked potentials. *J Neurosurg Anesthesiol*. 2004;16(1):32-42.
- Ryken TC, Hurlbert RJ, Hadley MN, et al. The acute cardiopulmonary management of patients with cervical spinal cord injuries. *Neurosurgery*. 2013;72(Suppl 2):84-92. doi:10.1227/ NEU.0b013e318276ee16
- Seyal M, Mull B. Mechanisms of signal change during intraoperative somatosensory evoked potential monitoring of the spinal cord. *J Clin Neurophysiol*. 2002;19(5):409-415.
- Oro J, Haghighi SS. Effects of altering core body temperature on somatosensory and motor evoked potentials in rats. *Spine* (*Phila Pa 1976*). 1992;17(5):498–503. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199205000-00005
- Luk KDK, Hu Y, Wong YW, Leong JC. Variability of somatosensory-Evoked potentials in different stages of scoliosis surgery. *Spine*. 1999;24(17):1799-1804.
- Ahn H, Fehlings MG. Prevention, identification, and treatment of perioperative spinal cord injury. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2008; 25(5):E15. doi:10.3171/FOC.2008.25.11.E15
- Davison JK, Cambria RP, Vierra DJ, Columbia MA, Koustas G. Epidural cooling for regional spinal cord hypothermia during thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg.* 1994;20(2): 304-310. doi:10.1016/0741-5214(94)90020-5
- Cambria RP, Davison JK, Zannetti S, et al. Clinical experience with epidural cooling for spinal cord protection during thoracic and thoracoabdominal aneurysm repair. *J Vasc Surg.* 1997; 25(2):234-241. doi:10.1016/S0741-5214(97)70365-3

- Hejrati N, Aarabi B, Neal CJ, et al. Trends in the use of corticosteroids in the management of acute spinal cord injury in North American clinical Trials networks (NACTN) sites. *J Neurotrauma*. 2023. doi:10.1089/neu.2022.0409
- Hejrati N, Rocos B, Fehlings MG. Controversies in cervical spine trauma: The role of timing of surgical decompression and the use of methylprednisolone sodium succinate in spinal cord injury. A narrative and updated systematic review. *Indian Spine* J. 2022;5(1):47.
- Hejrati N, Fehlings MG. A review of emerging neuroprotective and neuroregenerative therapies in traumatic spinal cord injury. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2021;60:331-340. doi:10.1016/j.coph. 2021.08.009
- 52. Fehlings MG, Wilson JR, Tetreault LA, et al. A clinical practice guideline for the management of patients with acute spinal cord

injury: recommendations on the use of methylprednisolone sodium succinate. *Global Spine J.* 2017;7(3 Suppl):211S-211S. doi:10.1177/2192568217703085

- Winters BD, Gurses AP, Lehmann H, Sexton JB, Rampersad CJ, Pronovost PJ. Clinical review: checklists - translating evidence into practice. *Crit Care*. 2009;13(6):210. doi:10. 1186/cc7792
- Harrington DW, Simon LV. Designing a simulation scenario. In: *StatPearls Publishing: Treasure*. Island (FL): StatPearls; 2022.
- 55. Taylor MJ, McNicholas C, Nicolay C, Darzi A, Bell D, Reed JE. Systematic review of the application of the plan-dostudy-act method to improve quality in healthcare. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2014;23(4):290-298. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001862