UC Riverside UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

Synthesis of Linear (Z)- α , β -Unsaturated Esters by Catalytic Cross-Metathesis. The Influence of Acetonitrile

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6570n5z3

Journal Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 55(42)

ISSN 1433-7851

Authors

Yu, Elsie C Johnson, Brett M Townsend, Erik M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016-10-10

DOI

10.1002/anie.201608087

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 October 10.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2016 October 10; 55(42): 13210-13214. doi:10.1002/anie.201608087.

Synthesis of Linear (*Z*)- α , β -Unsaturated Esters by Catalytic Cross-Metathesis. The Influence of Acetonitrile

Elsie C. Yu

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 (USA)

Brett M. Johnson

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 (USA)

Erik M. Townsend

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139 (USA)

prof Richard R. Schrock

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139 (USA)

prof Amir H. Hoveyda^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 (USA)

Abstract

Kinetically-controlled catalytic cross-metathesis reactions that generate (Z)- α , β -unsaturated esters selectively are disclosed. A key finding is that the presence of acetonitrile obviates the need for using excess amounts of a more valuable terminal alkene substrates. On the basis of X-ray structure and spectroscopic investigations a rationale for the positive impact of acetonitrile is provided. Transformations leading to various E,Z-dienoates are highly Z-selective as well. Utility is highlighted by application to stereoselective synthesis of the C1–C12 fragment of biologically active natural product (–)-laulimalide.

Keywords

alkenes; catalysis; enoates; cross-metathesis; dienoates; synthesis; molybdenum

Conspicuously absent from the list of available kinetically-controlled stereoselective olefin metathesis reactions^[1,2] are cross-metathesis (CM) processes that can deliver linear (*Z*)- α , β -unsaturated esters.^[3,4,5] Such transformations would offer a valuable disconnection that may be complementary to Wittig-type^[6] or alkyne partial hydrogenation reactions.^[7] A case in point is a possible stereoselective route to the C1–C12 segment of (–)-laulimalide, a naturally occurring microtubule stabilizing agent (Scheme 1a).^[8] *Z*-Enoate **i** could accordingly be synthesized through two *Z*-selective CM steps (**ii**→**i** and **v**→**iv**). Small-ring

^{*} amir.hoveyda@bc.edu.

lactones are accessible through ring-closing metathesis (RCM), but because of carbonyl coordination to the metal center, these processes are at times inefficient and demand elevated temperatures and relatively high catalyst loadings (e.g., 20 mol %).^[9] In such instances a Lewis acidic additive can enhance efficiency^[10] but not always.^[11]

Development of a *Z*-selective enoate CM requires that the following problems are resolved (Scheme 1b): 1) The carbonyl unit of a carboxylic ester-substituted alkylidene might coordinate to the metal center to reduce reaction rates. 2) High efficiency might demand larger amounts of a structurally complex alkenyl compound. For example, excess of the more valuable α -alkenes **ii** or **v** might be required for high efficiency (Scheme 1a). 3) Electronic factors do not favor formation of productive metallacyclobutanes. Unlike macrocyclic RCM reactions,^[12] where geometric constraints oppose the mismatched electronic factors (see **I**, Scheme 1b), in CM formation of electronically (and sterically) favored intermediates **II–III** would lead to nonproductive metallacyclobutanes (vs. **IV–V**, Scheme 1b). The active complex must therefore be sufficiently long living for productive CM that occurs subsequent to nonproductive cycles.

We began by probing the ability of a number of complexes to promote CM between 1decene (3.0 equiv.) and acrylate **1a** (Table 1). With **Ru-1**^[13] formation of **Z-2a** was fully *Z*selective but inefficient (Table 1, entry 1) and with **Ru-2**^[5e] none of the expected product was formed (entry 2). Reaction with bis-alkoxide **Mo-1** proceeded only to 35% conversion after 4 hours and was mildly *E*-selective (31:69 *Z*:*E*). There was 90% conversion to the 1,2disubstituted enoate with monoaryloxide pyrrolide (MAP) complex **Mo-2a**^[14] but the *E* isomer was again formed preferentially (6:94 *Z*:*E*, entry 4) probably due to facile postmetathesis isomerization. The latter scenario is consistent with the findings in entries 5 and 6: for CM with **Mo-2b**, which carries a more sizeable aryloxide group, conversion was lower (62% vs. 90% with **Mo-2a**) but **2a** was obtained with 92:8 *Z*:*E* selectivity. With the more active pentafluorophenylimido **Mo-3**, there was 96% conversion after four hours but a nearly equal mixture of *Z* and *E*-**2a** was generated (58:42).

Our goal then became to find conditions that would deliver high efficiency and Z selectivity simultaneously. What made the task particularly challenging was that enhanced efficiency would have to be achieved without excess amounts of the (non-enoate) terminal alkene. In light of the possibility of Z-to-*E* interconversion and because **Mo-3** was similarly efficient but delivered a more favorable *Z*:*E* ratio than **Mo-2a** (cf. entries 4 and 6, Table 1) we reasoned that the CM process with the former complex might be substantially improved under a different set of conditions. Accordingly, the studies summarized in Table 2 were carried out. Under mild vacuum (100 torr) and with the same initial 1-decene:acrylate ratio as before (3:1), there was 79% conversion to **2a** after five minutes and stereoselectivity improved to 79:21 *Z*:*E* (62% yield; entry 1). However, the *Z* selectivity was diminished within 30 minutes (53:47 *Z*:*E*; entry 2), further supporting the post-metathesis isomerization scenario. Selectivity was considerably higher (>98%) with lesser amounts of 1-decene but efficiency was poor (30% conv., entries 3–4) and extended times did not improvement matters.

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 October 10.

To gain more information as to whether internal carbonyl chelation hampers reactivity, spectroscopic analysis of the reaction between **Mo-2b** with **1b** was performed (Scheme 2). An alkylidene proton resonance appeared in one hour at δ 11.47 ppm, which probably belongs to the *anti* isomer **Mo-4** ($J_{CH} = 160 \text{ Hz}$).^[15] We were also able to secure the X-ray structure of a closely related *anti*-alkylidene **Mo-5**; the Mo–O bond length (2.33 Å) suggests Mo-carbonyl association. The alkylidene C–H resonance for **Mo-5** appears at δ 10.46 ppm ($J_{CH} = 182 \text{ Hz}$), suggesting the major isomer in the initial process to be *anti*.

We surmised that internal chelation might be weakened or entirely inhibited if an external Lewis base, which would likely be more readily displaced by an alkene substrate, were to be coordinated to the Mo center. Turnover frequency could be diminished, but turnover number could increase if olefin binding and displacement of the external Lewis base were to become more competitive. Further, the Lewis base-chelated 16-electron methylidene species would be less prone to decomposition and less able to promote post-metathesis isomerization.^[16] Diminished reactivity could then translate to superior chemoselectivity. As pointed out previously regarding CM involving **Mo-1**,^[17] an alkyl-substituted alkylidene might react faster with an electron deficient acrylate and vice versa. Nonetheless, the challenge here was to identify a Lewis basic additive that would coordinate readily with a Mo MAP complex and yet could be displaced by an olefin.

Mindful of observations regarding increased enantioselectivity of reactions with chiral Mo diolates in tetrahydrofuran (vs. benzene),^[18] we investigated the CM of 1-decene and **1a** in the same solvent. Efficiency and Z selectivity was notably higher (**2a** in 70% yield and 90:10 Z:E; entry 1, Table 3) and more so in a less concentrated solution (93:7; entry 2). Still, after 30 minutes olefin isomerization became problematic (69:31 Z:E; entry 3).

We then examined the impact of acetonitrile,^[19] envisioning that this mildly Lewis basic^[20] but less sterically demanding (vs. thf) additive might coordinate to the Mo complex, disrupting internal chelation. This would allow the acetonitrile-bound *syn* alkylidene, the precursor to a productive metallacyclobutane (cf. **IV**, Scheme 1b), to be formed (alkene substrate may displace the MeCN). With acetonitrile as the solvent the model CM remained highly *Z*-selective (>98% *Z*) but efficiency decreased substantially (14% conv., 60 min; Table 3, entry 4). In a more concentrated solution (entry 5) **2a** was formed in 67% yield and 91% *Z* selectivity; there was no significant change in efficiency or stereochemical purity with an equal mixture of the two substrates (entry 6). With a 1:2 ratio of 1-decene:**1a** (entry 7, Table 3), *Z*-**2a** was isolated in 71% yield and 94:6 *Z*:*E* selectivity (22 °C, 1 h).

The method has appreciable range (Scheme 3). With a 1:2 ratio of the α -olefin:**1a**, Z-enoates **2b-h** were isolated in up to 71% yield and >98% Z selectivity. Reactions with aryl olefins were hampered by stilbene formation, but those of sterically hindered vinylcyclohexane afforded **2g** in 64% yield and 94:6 Z:E ratio. Transformations with 1,3-dienes were less efficient but highly stereoselective (cf. Z,E-**2h**).^[21]

Spectroscopic studies support acetonitrile–Mo coordination.^[22] With more MeCN the alkylidene proton singlet moves downfield (Figure 1), consistent with previous observations.^[23] The broader signal, and the absence of separate resonances for the free and

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 October 10.

acetonitrile-containing species at different temperatures, implies rapidly reversible complex formation (Figure 1; in neat acetonitrile; cf. Table 3). An additional resonance was detected at $\sim \delta 10.7$ ppm when the ¹H NMR spectrum of the sample containing 0.5 equivalents of Me¹³CN was recorded at -60 to -80 °C; we attribute this to the acetonitrile-free complex, which was not simultaneously present when 5.0 equivalents of the additive was present.^[23]

E,*Z*-Dienoates may be prepared through catalytic CM as well (Scheme 4), allowing access to either stereoisomer of an α , β , γ , δ -unsaturated ester. Either a *t*-butyl or a phenyl ester may be accessed, as indicated by synthesis of *E*,*Z*-**4a** and *E*,*Z*-**5a**. Although products were obtained in similar yield and *Z* selectivity in this case, use of *t*-butyl dienoate often led to better outcomes.^[21] Here, adamantylimido **Mo-6** is optimal. For example, **5a** was generated with 87:13 *Z*:*E* selectivity with **Mo-3**. There was <2% conversion to the desired product with **Ru-1,2** and **Mo-1** (cf. Table 1). Two additional points are noteworthy: (1) Because the dienoate carbonyl group can no longer associate intramolecularly with the Mo center, addition of thf or MeCN only led to lower reaction rates. (2) Excess amounts of the terminal alkene are needed as otherwise formation of the less reactive^[24] alkenyl-substituted Mo alkylidene species leads to lower efficiency.

To complete the study, we examined the feasibility of the aforementioned application regarding synthesis of laulimalide (Scheme 5). CM between alkene $6^{[9b]}$ and 1a (2.0 equiv.) performed in a 10:1 MeCN:PhCN^[25] mixture afforded **7** with 91:9 *Z*:*E* selectivity. Ester hydrolysis/silyl ether removal and lactone formation occurred upon treatment with 10 mol % *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, affording **8** in 74% overall yield. Conversion to homoallyl ether **9**^[10b] was followed by a second CM, delivering diene **10**, formerly utilized in a former synthesis of laulimalide,^[9b] in 63% yield and 94:6 *Z*:*E* selectivity.

Further mechanistic investigations and applications to the development of other kinetically controlled stereoselective olefin metathesis reactions are in progress.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the NIH (GM-59426). We are grateful to Dr. S. Torker, M. J. Koh, T. T. Nguyen, T. J. Mann and Dr. E. T. Kiesewetter for helpful discussions.

References & Footnotes

- For reviews regarding the development of kinetically Z-selective olefin metathesis and their applications in organic synthesis, see: Hoveyda AH, Malcolmson SJ, Meek SJ, Zhugralin AR. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49:34–44.; Fürstner A. Science. 2013; 341:1357–1364.; Shahane S, Bruneau C, Fischmeister C. ChemCatChem. 2013; 5:3436–3459.; Hoveyda AH. J. Org. Chem. 2014; 79:4763–4792. [PubMed: 24720633]
- [2]. For a recent review on stereoselective catalytic CM, see: Hoveyda AH, Khan RKM, Torker S, Malcolmson SJ. Grubbs RH, O'Leary DJ. Handbook of Metathesis. 2015; 2:503–562.Wiley– VCHWeinheim, Germany

- [3]. For studies regarding CM reactions promoted by Ru-based complexes that generate predominantly (*E*)-α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds, see: Chatterjee AK, Morgan JP, Scholl M, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000; 122:3783–3784.; Choi T-L, Lee CW, Chatterjee AK, Grubs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:10417–10418. [PubMed: 11604005] ; Forman GS, Tooze RP. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005; 690:5863–5866.
- [4]. For catalyst-controlled Z-selective reactions catalyzed by Mo or W complexes and applications to synthesis of biologically active molecules, see: Meek SJ, O'Brien RV, Llaveria J, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Nature. 2011; 471:461–466. [PubMed: 21430774] ; Kiesewetter ET, O'Brien RV, Yu EC, Meek SJ, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:6026–6029. [PubMed: 23586708] ; Mann TJ, Speed AWH, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013; 52:8395–8400.; Speed AWH, Mann TJ, O'Brien RV, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014; 136:16136–16139. [PubMed: 25379808] ; Koh MJ, Nguyen TT, Zhang H, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Nature. 2016; 531:459–465. [PubMed: 27008965] . For *E*-selective reactions, see: Nguyen TT, Koh MJ, Shen X, Romiti F, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Science. 2016; 352:569–575. [PubMed: 27126041]
- [5]. For catalyst-controlled Z-selective reactions catalyzed by Ru complexes, see: Rosebrugh LE, Herbert MB, Marx VM, Keitz BK, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:1276–1279.
 [PubMed: 23317178] ; Herbert MB, Marx VM, Pederson RL, Grubbs RH. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013; 52:310–314.; Miyazaki H, Herbert MB, Liu P, Dong X, Xu X, Keitz BK, Ung T, Mkrtumyan G, Houk KN, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013; 135:5848–5858. [PubMed: 23547887] ; Quigley BL, Grubbs RH. Chem. Sci. 2014; 5:501–506. [PubMed: 25722847] ; Koh MJ, Khan RKM, Torker S, Yu M, Mikus M, Hoveyda AH. Nature. 2015; 517:181–186. [PubMed: 25567284] . For *E*-selective reactions, see: Johns AM, Ahmed TS, Jackson BW, Grubbs RH, Peterson RL. Org. Lett. 2016; 18:772–775. [PubMed: 26840878]
- [6]. For representative applications in complex molecule synthesis, see: Forsyth CJ, Ahmed F, Cink RD, Lee CS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998; 120:5597–5598.; Smith AB III, Minbiole KP, Verhoest PR, Schelhaas M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:10942–10953. [PubMed: 11686698] ; Williams DR, Kiryanov AA, Emde U, Clark MP, Berliner MA, Reeves JT. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003; 42:1258–1262.; O'Neil GW, Phillips AJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:5340–5341. [PubMed: 16620095] ; Lucas BS, Gopalsamuthiram V, Burke SD. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007; 46:769–772.
- [7]. For example, see: Evans DA, Fitch DM, Smith TE, Cee VJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000; 122:10033–10046.; Wender PA, Hegde SG, Hubbard RD, Zhang L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:4956–4957. [PubMed: 11982349] ; Nelson SG, Cheung WS, Kassick AJ, Hilfiker MA. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:13654–13655. [PubMed: 12431077]
- [8]. For a review on different approaches to synthesis of laulimalide, see: Crimmins MT. Curr. Opinion Drug Dis. Dev. 2002; 5:944–959. See also Ref. [7b] and [7c].
- [9]. For a review regarding application of six-membered lactone synthesis to preparation of laulimalide, discussing certain difficult examples, see: Mulzer J, Öhler E, Enev VS, Hanbauer M. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2002; 344:573–584.. Also see: Ghosh AK, Wang Y, Kim JT. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 66:8973–8982. [PubMed: 11749630] ; Prasad KR, Anbarasan P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 2007; 18:2479–2483.; ElMarrouni A, Joolakanti SR, Colon A, Heras M, Arseniyades S. J. Cossy, Org. Lett. 2010; 12:4074–4077.
- [10]. Fürstner A, Langemann K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997; 119:9130–9136. For additional cases, see: Ghosh A, Wang Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000; 41:2319–2322.
- [11]. For example, see: Enders D, Dhulut S, Steinbusch D, Herrbach A. Chem. Eur. J. 2007; 13:3942– 3949. [PubMed: 17295364]
- [12]. Zhang H, Yu EC, Torker S, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014; 136:16493– 16496. [PubMed: 25402822]
- [13]. Endo K, Grubbs RH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:8525–8527. [PubMed: 21563826]
- [14]. a) Malcolmson SJ, Meek SJ, Sattely ES, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Nature. 2008; 456:933–937.
 [PubMed: 19011612] b) Flook MM, Jiang AJ, Schrock RR, Müller P, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:7962–7963. [PubMed: 19462947]

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 October 10.

- [15]. The value of J_{CH} coupling for a syn alkylidene proton is typically 120–130 Hz whereas those corresponding to the corresponding anti isomers are ~140 Hz. See: Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003; 42:4592–4633. and references therein.
- [16]. It is unlikely that the alkylidene derived from 1-decene reacts with the kinetically formed Zenoate to cause stereoisomerization; the corresponding all-syn trisubstituted metallacyclobutane intermediate would probably be energetically disfavored due to steric repulsion. For related discussions, see: Ref. [4f].
- [17]. Crowe WE, Goldberg DR. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995; 117:5162-5163.
- [18]. Teng X, Cefalo DR, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:10779–10784.
 [PubMed: 12207534]
- [19]. For investigations indicating that the presence of acetonitrile may impact the stereochemical outcome of ring-opening metathesis polymerization reactions catalyzed by tungsten-oxo alkylidene complexes, see: Forrest WP, Axtell JC, Schrock RR. Organometallics. 2014; 33:2313– 2325.
- [20]. a) Wadepohl H, Arnold U, Pritzkow H, Calhorda MJ, Veiros LF, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 1999; 587:233–243.b) Kuznetsov ML. Russian Chem. Rev. 2002; 41:265–282.
- [21]. The comparatively lower yield of *Z*-**2h** might be partly the result of CM at the internal *E*-alkene. However, the corresponding byproduct could not be isolated due to the formation of several other inseparable byproducts.
- [22]. See the Supporting Information for details.
- [23]. a) Schrock RR. Chem. Rev. 2002; 102:145–179. [PubMed: 11782131] b) Halbert S, Copeéret C, Raynaud C, Eisenstein O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016; 138:2261–2272. Ref. [15]. Syn and anti alkylidene resonances are known to shift downfield upon binding of a base to the metal to give a five-coordinate complex. For a detailed study and explanation of chemical shifts in NMR spectra of d⁰ alkylidene complexes, see: and references therein. [PubMed: 26787258]
- [24]. Townsend EM, Schrock RR, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:11334–11337. [PubMed: 22734508]
- [25]. Screening studies indicate that use of this solvent mixture (vs. pure MeCN) leads to higher yields of the desired products due to lower amounts of terminal alkene homocoupling byproducts formed; for example, in pure MeCN, Z-lactone 9 and enoate 10 were formed in 41% overall and 50% yield, respectively (vs. 74% overall and 63% yield). The reason for this effect is not clear at the present time. Use of benzonitrile as solvent is not practical due to its high boiling point (191 °C).



Figure 1.

Spectroscopic analysis indicating rapid and reversible formation of an acetonitrile complex derived from **Mo-3** (600 MHz ¹H NMR, 22 °C, C_6D_6 ; see the Supporting Information for details).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript



Scheme 1.

The principal objectives of the study and the challenges involved.





Preparation and structural analysis of two ester-substituted Mo alkylidene complexes.

Author Manuscript



Scheme 3.

(*Z*)- α , β -Unsaturated esters obtained through catalytic cross-metathesis. Reactions performed at 100 torr for 1 h (**2b–c**), at 100 torr for 4 h (**2d–f**) and at ambient pressure for 24 h (**2g–h**). See the Supporting Information for details.



Scheme 4.

(E,Z)-Dienoates obtained through catalytic Z-selective cross-metathesis. [a] Overall yield after removal of the silyl group. [b] 1.5 equiv. 1-decene used. [c] Reaction time = 24 h. See the Supporting Information for details.



Scheme 5.

Application to stereoselective synthesis of the C1–C12 segment of laulimalide.

Table 1

Examination of various complexes for CM of 1-decene and acrylate 1a.^[a]



Entry	Complex	Conv. [%] ^[b]	Yield [%] ^[c]	$Z:E^{[b]}$
1	Ru-1	27	ND	>98:2
2	Ru-2	<2	NA	NA
3	Mo-1	35	31	31:69
4	Mo-2a	90	90	6:94
5	Mo-2b	62	55	92:8
6	Mo-3	96	83	58:42

See the Supporting Information for details. Abbreviations: Mes, 2,4,6-(Me)3C6H2; ND, not determined; NA, not applicable.

[a] Performed at ambient pressure (entries 1–2) or under 100 torr vacuum (entries 3–6).

 $^{[b]}$ Determined by analysis of ¹H NMR spectra of unpurified mixtures; conv. (±2%) with dmf as the internal standard.

[c]_{Yields} of isolated and purified products (±5%).

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Influence of time and substrat	e ratios o	n tl	he CM lead	ding to Z -2a. ^[a]	
		+		5.0 mol % Mo-3	noctyl (
	nooty.		т Олый 1	C ₆ H ₆ , 100 torr, 22 °C	Z-1

`OtBu

Entry	<i>t</i> [min]	Decene:1a	Conv. [%] ^[b]	Yield [%] ^[c]	$Z:E^{[b]}$
1	5	3:1	79	62	79:21
2	30	3:1	82	56	53:47
3	5	1:1	30	ND	>98:2
4	5	1:3	20	ND	>98:2

See the Supporting Information for details. Abbreviation: ND, not determined.

[a] Performed under N₂ atm.

 ${}^{[b]}\!\!\!\!\!\!\!D$ betermined by analysis of 1H NMR spectra of unpurified mixtures (±2%).

[c]Yields of isolated and purified products (±5%).

Table 3

Effect of coordinating	solvents on	the CM le	eading to	<i>Z</i> -2a. ^[a]
U			0	

		5.0 mol % Mo-3	noctyl O	
noctyl ² <	+ ❤ ¹O/Bu	solvent,	∑ OfBu	
	1a	100 torr, 22 °C	<i>Z</i> - 2a	

Entry	Solvent	Mo-3 [M]	<i>t</i> [min]	Decene:1a	Conv. [%] ^[b]	Yield [%] ^[c]	$Z:E^{[b]}$
1	thf	0.1	5	3:1	79	70	90:10
2	thf	0.025	5	3:1	71	53	93:7
3	thf	0.025	30	3:1	90	64	69:31
4	MeCN	0.025	60	3:1	14	ND	>98:2
5	MeCN	0.1	60	2:1	88	67	91:9
6	MeCN	0.1	60	1:1	79	69	91:9
7	MeCN	0.1	60	1:2	75	71	94:6

See the Supporting Information for details. Abbreviation: ND, not determined.

[a] Performed under N₂ atm.

 ${}^{[b]}\!\!\!\!\!\!Determined$ by analysis of ${}^{1}\!\!\!H$ NMR spectra of unpurified mixtures (±2%).

[c]Yields of isolated and purified products (±5%).