# **UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Functional Divergence of the miRNA Transcriptome at the Onset of Drosophila Metamorphosis

**Permalink** <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6636z57b>

**Journal** Molecular Biology and Evolution, 31(10)

**ISSN** 0737-4038

# **Authors**

Yeh, Shu-Dan von Grotthuss, Marcin Gandasetiawan, Kania A [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6636z57b#author)

**Publication Date**

2014-10-01

# **DOI**

10.1093/molbev/msu195

Peer reviewed



### **ABSTRACT**

24MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are endogenous RNA molecules that regulate gene expression 25 post-transcriptionally. To date, the emergence of miRNAs and their patterns of 26 sequence evolution have been analyzed in great detail. However, the extent to 27which miRNA expression levels have evolved over time, the role different 28evolutionary forces play in shaping these changes, and whether this variation in 29 miRNA expression can reveal the interplay between miRNAs and mRNAs remain 30 poorly understood. This is especially true for miRNA expressed during key 31 developmental transitions. Here, we assayed miRNA expression levels immediately  $32$ before ( $\geq 18$ BPF) and after (PF) the increase in the hormone ecdysone responsible 33 for triggering metamorphosis. We did so in four strains of Drosophila melanogaster 34 and two closely related species. In contrast to their sequence conservation,  $\sim$ 25% 35of miRNAs analyzed showed significant within-species variation in male expression 36 levels at ≥18BPF and/or PF. Additionally,  $\sim$ 33% showed modifications in their 37 pattern of expression bias between developmental timepoints. A separate analysis 38of the  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF stages revealed that changes in miRNA abundance  $39$  accumulate linearly over evolutionary time at PF but not at  $\geq 18$ BPF. Importantly, ≥18BPF-enriched miRNAs showed the greatest variation in expression levels both 40 41 within and between species, so are the less likely to evolve under stabilizing 42 selection. Functional attributes, such as expression ubiquity, appeared more tightly 43associated with lower levels of miRNA expression polymorphism at PF than at ≥18BPF. Furthermore, ≥18BPF- and PF-enriched miRNAs showed opposite patterns 44 45of covariation in expression with mRNAs, which denoted the type of regulatory 46 relationship between miRNAs and mRNAs. Collectively, our results show contrasting

23

2

47 patterns of functional divergence associated with miRNA expression levels during 48Drosophila ontogeny.

### **INTRODUCTION**

51Precise regulation of gene expression is instrumental for proper execution of the 52 majority of biological processes including cell differentiation and homeostasis. A 53key parameter of this regulation is mRNA abundance, which is influenced post-54transcriptionally by microRNAs (miRNAs). MiRNAs are small ( $\sim$ 22 nt) non-coding 55RNA trans-acting factors that induce mRNA decay or translation inhibition by base 56 pairing with complementary regions on the mRNA molecule. This complementarity 57 involves Watson-Crick pairing with particular motifs at the 3' untranslated region 58(UTR) of the mRNA, although it can also occur at the 5' UTR or coding region. 59Importantly, miRNAs found in distantly related taxa tend to exhibit a remarkable 60 degree of sequence conservation, especially in the so-called "seed" motif, which is 61close to the 5' end of the miRNA and is critical for the interaction with the targeted 62mRNAs.

63MiRNAs are thought to ameliorate expression noise in expression networks and, 64 consequently, increase the robustness of developmental systems contributing to 65phenotypic stability . It is therefore of special interest to determine the limits to 66which changes in miRNA expression attributes such as the expression level can be 67 accommodated over evolutionary time. Changes in miRNA abundance can 68 contribute to inter-individual variation in expression of miRNA-regulated targets 69ultimately impacting on protein levels and distribution. Some changes in miRNA 70 abundance have been shown to account for variability in platelet reactivity and drug 71 sensitivity in humans, elicit common disorders such as cancer, or underlie the 72 intraspecific variation of morphological characters. Among closely related species, 73 divergence in miRNA abundance has also been linked to important functional and 74 phenotypic consequences. In the natural occurring hybrid of two sister Arabidopsis

75 species, miRNA expression diversity from the parental species results in novel 76phenotypes that contribute to adaptation. In primates, it has been proposed that 77 miRNA-mediated differences in mRNA abundance underlie partly the evolution of 78human cognitive functions . To date, the malleability in miRNA expression levels 79 during key developmental transitions requiring precise regulation of gene 80 expression remains largely unexplored both at the intra- and interspecific levels.

81Metamorphosis is an intricate biological process in which large-scale tissue 82remodeling and organogenesis are orchestrated . In Drosophila, fluctuation of 20-83hydroxyEcdysone (20E) level induces the transition from larva to immobile pupa. 84This transition is accompanied by multiple changes in mRNA abundance, with some 85 occurring in a sex-dependent fashion. Evolutionary changes in mRNA abundance 86have been reported both within and between closely related species of the 87Drosophila melanogaster species subgroup at the onset of metamorphosis. MiRNAs 88play critical roles during insect metamorphosis and in fact their expression profiles 89have also been characterized at the onset of *D. melanogaster* metamorphosis. 90However, neither the extent to which miRNAs can accommodate intra- and inter-91 specific changes in abundance nor the interplay of these evolved changes with 92fluctuation in target mRNA abundance have been elucidated. This is especially 93 relevant when considering the contrast between the two stages that define this 94transition: late third instar larva stage, mostly characterized by the transition into a 95 post-feeding stage, wandering, and finding a place to glue; and puparium formation, 96 mostly characterized by an effective deployment of part of the developmental 97blueprint that leads to the formation of an adult individual. Here, we address these 98issues by examining miRNA expression profiles and their evolutionary patterns 99 within the *D. melanogaster* species group. We generate a portrait of how miRNA

100 expression levels have been shaped by different evolutionary mechanisms at larva 101and pupa stages and how they have been impacted by different factors during this 102key organismal transition.

- 103
- 104

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **MiRNA expression profiles at the onset of metamorphosis** 105

106Expressed miRNAs at the onset of metamorphosis. We surveyed miRNA 107 expression at late third instar larvae (18 hours before puparium formation;  $\geq$ 18BPF) 108 and white prepuparium (at puparium formation; PF) in both sexes of  $D$ . 109melanogaster Oregon-R and in males of its close relatives D. simulans and D. 110yakuba using Illumina RNA-seq (Material and Methods; supplementary table S1 and 111dataset S1, Supplementary Material online). These two species shared ancestor 112with *D. melanogaster*  $\sim$ 5.4 and  $\sim$ 12.8 million years ago. We found reads 113 corresponding to sequences of  $\sim$ 76% (130 out of 171) of the miRNAs registered in 114 miRBase release 15 for *D. melanogaster*, which is comparable to other surveys 115(supplementary figure S1A, Supplementary Material online). Of the miRNAs with 116evidence of expression,  $\sim$ 73% (94 out of 130) were supported by sequence reads 117both in our Illumina dataset and in previously generated sequence reads by 454 118and J.M. Ranz and M. Ashburner, unpublished results; supplementary table S2 and 119alignments, Supplementary Material online). Interestingly,  $\sim$ 38% (41 out of 107) 120 and  $\sim$  29% (35 out of 120) of the miRNA genes with sequence reads in the  $\geq$  18BPF 121and PF Illumina datasets, respectively, do so in one sex only, suggesting some 122 potential sex-biased expression (supplementary figure S1B, Supplementary Material 123online).

124In the case of D. simulans and D. yakuba, we documented the expression of 81 and 12595 orthologous miRNAs, respectively (supplementary dataset S1, Supplementary 126Material online). Among these orthologs, 9 in *D. simulans* and 33 in *D. yakuba* were 127 either not listed in miRBase release 15 or listed with an associated hairpin sequence 128for which we found no support due to nucleotide differences relative to the 129 reference genomes sequence of these species (e.g. miR-277-3p and miR-305-5p in 130D. simulans; supplementary figure S2, Supplementary Material online).

131As a preamble to our analyses on polymorphism and divergence (see below), we 132assayed levels of miRNA expression at  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF in males using a microarray 133 platform that included: i) reporters for miRNAs registered in miRBase release 15 134 across insect species; ii) 100 additional reporters based on previous deep-135 sequencing results from several Drosophila species; and iii) several controls 136(Material and Methods; supplementary figure S3 and table S3, Supplementary 137Material online). We examined four strains of *D. melanogaster*, including one 138African strain to better reflect the recent demographic history of the species, and 139one strain of each of its relatives D. simulans and D. yakuba (Material and Methods; 140supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online). A total of 280 reporters 141 representing 132 and 148 sequences of the 5' and 3' arms, respectively, of different 142miRNA genes relevant to the six strains of the *D. melanogaster* species subgroup 143were subject to downstream analyses. The potential impact of nucleotide 144 mismatches on expression estimates across D. melanogaster strains was found to 145be limited to two reporters (supplementary text, table S4, and figure S4, 146Supplementary Material online). Within the subset of reporters considered, 120 147 were identical in sequence for the three species while the rest provided reliable 148information for two or one of the species only. Per species, we found similar counts

7

149of expressed miRNA reporters: 77 in D. melanogaster; 73 in D. simulans; and 70 in 150D. yakuba. Among the 67 miRNA reporters that are conserved in sequence across 151the three species and could be assayed in our arrays, 55 (83%) were confirmed to 152be expressed at either  $\geq$ 18BPF, PF, or both in all four *D. melanogaster* strains 153(supplementary dataset S1, Supplementary Material online). The reliability of our 154 expression measures using an array platform was supported by the high positive 155 correlations between biological replicates (supplementary figure S3, Supplementary 156Material online), by replicating some experiments with qRT-PCR (supplementary 157text, figures S5-S6, and table S5, Supplementary Material online), and by the good 158 agreement between the expression estimates obtained between microarrays and 159 deep-sequencing experiments (Spearman's  $p = 0.6171$ ,  $P < 0.0001$ ; supplementary 160 figure S7, Supplementary Material online).

161MIRNA expression variability during male development. Microarray profiling 162 across males from six Drosophila strains revealed the miRNA reporters differentially 163 expressed between  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF (*i.e.*  $\geq$ 18BPF- and PF-enriched; figure 1A). 164 Concordant with early single-strain studies, known ecdysone-induced miRNAs let-7-1655p, miR-125-5p, and miR-100-5p, were PF-enriched across D. melanogaster strains, 166as they were in *D. simulans* and *D. yakuba.* Conversely, miR-34-5p, which is down-167 regulated by the transcription factor Broad in high ecdysone titer conditions, was 168 found to be  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched across strains, a pattern also displayed by miR-8-5p. 169Nevertheless, the precise fraction of developmentally regulated miRNA reporters 170 varied from strain to strain ranging from 32% in D. yakuba to 62% in the D. 171 melanogaster strain Zimbabwe-109, being the median 42% (table 1; supplementary 172 figure S8, Supplementary Material online).

173Among D. melanogaster males, we confirmed several types of variation associated 174 with miRNA expression levels.  $\sim$  25% (15 out of 60) of the expressed miRNAs 175 suitable for comparison across the four *D. melanogaster* strains showed significant 176 differences in expression levels at  $\geq$ 18BPF (6), at PF (4), or at both (5) ( $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.01; 177 Material and Methods). Further inspection revealed that only  $~66\%$  (42 out of 64) 178of the miRNA reporters with detectable level of expression in at least three strains 179showed consistency in the type of developmental expression pattern, e.g. PF 180enrichment across all the strains (supplementary table S6, Supplementary Material 181online). The remaining 33% of miRNAs (22 out of 64) harbored differences in their 182 developmental expression pattern among strains. This is the case of  $miR-956-3p$ , 183which is ≥18BPF-enriched in all strains of *D. melanogaster* but in Zimbabwe-109 Only the reporter corresponding to  $miR-289-5p$  showed opposite 185 developmental regulation, *i.e.*  $\geq$ 18BPF or PF enrichment depending on the strain. 186No difference in the ratio of developmentally to non-developmentally regulated 187 miRNAs (21:21) was observed among reporters categorized as consistent.  $184$ (figure 1B).

188Among the strains assayed, Zimbabwe-109 showed the largest proportion of PF-189enriched miRNAs among those expressed (supplementary figure S8, Supplementary 190Material online), a difference that is statistically significant (randomization test of 191goodness-of-fit,  $P_{\text{adj}}=2.9\times10^{-2}$  when Zimbabwe-109 is included and  $P_{\text{adj}}=3.1\times10^{-1}$ 192when excluded;  $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.05 when any other strain is omitted). To determine whether 193the lineage leading to Zimbabwe-109 or that leading to the other three strains of  $D$ . 194melanogaster accumulated the most alterations in developmental expression 195 pattern, we included the strains of *D. simulans* and *D. yakuba* to phylogenetically 196 polarize the differences recorded (supplementary figure S9, Supplementary Material 197 online). Assuming maximum parsimony, the branch associated with Zimbabwe-109

9

198appears to have accumulated more differences in developmental expression 199 pattern than that leading to the other three D. melanogaster strains.

200Consistency in the developmental expression pattern across strains does not 201preclude more subtle changes in miRNA abundance. For example, miR-1012-5p 202was categorized as non-developmentally enriched across all strains, however it 203entailed statistically significant differences at PF among some of them (ANOVA,  $204P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.01; figure 1B). To evaluate the extent of these more subtle changes in 205 expression, we focused on those miRNAs showing consistent patterns of expression 206not only within D. melanogaster but also across D. simulans and D. yakuba. Thirty 207 such miRNA reporters were documented (8 ≥18BPF-enriched; 5 PF-enriched; 18 208non-developmentally enriched) of which 17% (2 ≥18BPF-enriched, 2 PF-enriched,  $209$  and 1 non-developmentally enriched) showed significant differences at  $\geq$ 18BPF, PF, 210or both  $(P_{\text{adj}} < 0.01;$  supplementary dataset S2, Supplementary Material online). 211Taken together, all these forms of variation pointed towards a malleable miRNA 212transcriptome at the onset of metamorphosis.

213Gender differences in miRNA expression. We assayed miRNA expression levels 214in females from two strains of D. melanogaster and one of D. simulans finding a 215 similar fraction of developmentally regulated miRNAs to that in males (table 1; 216supplementary figure S10, Supplementary Material online). 78% (54 out of 69) of 217 miRNAs with detectable level of expression in females of the two D. melanogaster 218strains showed a consistent expression pattern between developmental stages with 21924 of them (45%) exhibiting developmental regulation (11  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched and 13 220PF-enriched). Unlike in males, the proportion of miRNAs displaying different 221developmental expression patterns did not differ across strains (supplementary 222 figure S8, Supplementary Material online; randomization test of goodness-of-fit, 223 $P_{\text{adj}}$ =1.5×10<sup>-1</sup>; males -including the same three strains as in females-,  $P_{\text{adj}}$ =6.0×10<sup>-3</sup>). 224Sex-biased gene expression for the protein-coding fraction of the genome has been 225 examined at PF, but no equivalent analysis has been performed for miRNAs. 226Although limited, we did find evidence of miRNA sex-biased expression occurring as 227early as ≥18BPF (supplementary figure S11A, Supplementary Material online). Most 228miRNAs though, whether developmentally regulated or not, showed no evidence of 229sex-bias in expression (supplementary figure S12A-B, Supplementary Material 230 online). At  $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.01, 10 miRNA reporters showed significant sex-biased expression 231in at least one of the six strains by sex combinations assayed, a number that 232 increased up to 22 at  $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.05 (supplementary figure S11B and dataset S2, 233Supplementary Material online). Among miRNA reporters showing sex-bias in 234 expression, we found cases such as miR-964-5p, which displayed differences in 235 expression between the genders at a single developmental stage, and others like 236 $miR$ -312-3p, which did at both  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF (supplementary figure S12C-D, 237Supplementary Material online). No case involving reversal in the pattern of 238 developmental enrichment between the sexes, e.g. from  $\geq$ 18BPF enrichment in 239 males to PF enrichment in females, was detected.

240Among the miRNA reporters showing sex-biased gene expression, the cluster miR-241310 - miR-313 stood out. Each of the four constituent miRNA genes showed 242statistically significant male-biased expression in at least two of the six strains by 243developmental stage combinations assayed (supplementary figure S12D, 244Supplementary Material online). Although the sequence similarity of some of the 245miRNA genes in the cluster (miR-310-3p, miR-311-3p, and miR-312-3p) could give 246rise to some apparent co-expression, inspection of the deep-sequencing data for the

11

247strain Oregon-R (supplementary alignments, Supplementary Material online) and 248the inferred co-expression of the mir-310 cluster based on deep-sequencing data 249ruled out this possibility. Therefore, the observed sex-bias expression pattern 250strongly suggests a sex-dependent co-regulation of the mir-310 cluster at PF. This 251interpretation agrees well with the influence of this cluster on male fertility by 252 modulating the Wingless signaling pathway, which is required for cell differentiation 253 of the somatic and germline tissues in testis . Intriguingly, the expression of miR- $254313$ -5p could represent a case of sex-dependent arm-switching.

255

### **Evolution of miRNA expression profiles** 256

257Differentiation of expression levels at ≥18BPF and PF. The multiple 258developmental changes occurring during early pupation compared to late instar 259larvae parallel changes in mRNA abundance of many genes during this 260developmental transition . Expression profiles of regulatory genes at PF should be 261especially refractory to change due to potential detrimental effects. We tested 262whether this hypothesis was reflected in miRNA expression levels by examining the 263way significant differences have accumulated over evolutionary time and by 264 comparing the magnitude of expression differences between stages.

265We calculated expression distances among the males of the six strains surveyed at 266≥18BPF and PF separately as well as the divergence time for each strain pair 267(Material and Methods; supplementary text and table S7, Supplementary Material  $268$ online). At PF, unlike at  $\geq 18$ BPF, expression divergence in miRNA abundance did 269 correlate with divergence time (figure 2A and supplementary figure S13,

12

270Supplementary Material online). This result suggests that the way changes in 271 miRNA abundance accumulate over evolutionary time differs between the stages.

272We subsequently estimated the magnitude of miRNA expression differences across 273the six strains as the coefficient of variation (CV), *i.e.* the ratio of the standard 274 deviation to the mean. We compared the log10-transformed CV at  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF 275finding no significant difference (average CV: ≥18BPF, 0.761; PF, 0.729; one-way 276ANOVA, P=0.630). Nevertheless, absence of significant differences in the global 277 levels of variation does not rule out more subtle patterns of differentiation among 278 particular groups of miRNAs based on their expression attributes. According to this, 279we tested for differences in expression levels among miRNAs showing consistent 280developmental expression patterns in *D. melanogaster*. Both at ≥18BPF (one-way 281ANOVA,  $P=0.012$ ) and PF (one-way ANOVA,  $P=0.005$ ), we found the same rank of 282differentiation in miRNA expression levels:  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched > PF-enriched > non-283developmentally enriched miRNAs (figure 2B). Post hoc tests underscored the 284difference between ≥18BPF- and non-developmentally enriched miRNAs (Tukey-285Kramer HSD;  $\geq$ 18BPF, P=0.019; PF, P=0.003). Collectively, these results suggest 286that the two developmental stages sampled might be subject to different 287evolutionary dynamics, which affect the pace at which expression changes 288accumulate over evolutionary time and the global levels of differentiation of 289 particular groups of miRNAs.

290Evolutionary mode and developmental expression pattern. We determined 291the mode of evolution (i.e., stabilizing selection, genetic drift, or directional 292 selection) of miRNA expression levels at  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF. We used a two-step 293ANOVA-based approach in which differences in expression levels were tested first 294 within and then between species (supplementary text, Supplementary Material

295online).  $~\sim$ 75% (39 out of 48) and  $~\sim$ 80% (47 out of 55) miRNAs showed a mode of 296 evolution consistent with the action of stabilizing selection at  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF 297 respectively (figure 3A), a result reminiscent of previous observations for mRNA 298levels. Our results suggest that directional selection has acted on the expression 299level of a few miRNAs: miR-34-5p and miR-956-3p at ≥18BPF, and miR-34-5p, miR-300312-3p, and miR-995-3p at PF. The remaining miRNAs exhibited patterns of 301 variation within and between species compatible with genetic drift alone or with 302other evolutionary scenarios such as relaxation of constraints in a lineage-303dependent manner or combinations of genetic drift and some form lineage-304 dependent selection (figure 3B).

305If miRNAs with different developmental expression patterns differ in their degree of 306variation in expression levels (fig. 2B), they should also show a different propensity 307to evolve under stabilizing selection. We confirmed this non-random association 308especially at PF (supplementary figure S14, Supplementary Material online). At this 309developmental stage, non-developmentally enriched and PF-enriched miRNAs 310largely evolve under stabilizing selection ( $\sim$ 83% in both cases) while only 50% of  $311$ the  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched miRNAs follow this mode of evolution. The difference in the 312proportion of miRNAs evolving under stabilizing selection is statistically significant 313between ≥18BPF- and non-developmentally enriched miRNAs (two-tailed Fisher's 314 exact test, FET;  $\geq$ 18BPF, P=0.040; PF, P=0.011). Why the expression level of 315≥18BPF-enriched miRNAs is more variable overall than that of non-developmentally 316 enriched miRNAs is unclear at this time. Nevertheless, this pattern reinforces the 317notion that miRNAs with different developmental expression patterns are exposed 318to different evolutionary pressures.

14

319Evolutionary mode and miRNA evolutionary age. We examined whether the 320evolutionary mode in miRNA expression is related to evolutionary age, *i.e.* the 321 moment at which we can parsimoniously date the emergence of a miRNA. 322Presumably, more ancient miRNAs should be more stably integrated into regulatory 323networks than younger miRNAs and thus should more likely evolve under stabilizing 324 selection [\(Chen and Rajewsky 2007](#page-40-0)). We dated the emergence of miRNAs 325 assuming a maximum parsimony framework (supplementary text and dataset S3, 326Supplementary Material online) distinguishing between two main age classes. The 327first class included miRNAs inferred to have emerged during the evolution of the 328 subgenus Sophophora after the split with the subgenus Drosophila -and therefore 329less likely to evolve under stabilizing selection-, and the second class included 330 miRNAs inferred to have been present in the common ancestor of both subgenera -331and therefore more likely to be already stably integrated into the regulatory 332network-. Subsequently, we examined whether these two age classes differ in the 333 extent to which they evolve under stabilizing selection versus other evolutionary 334 modes. MiRNAs inferred to have emerged during the evolution of the subgenus 335Sophophora (6 expressed at ≥18BPF and 8 at PF) do evolve less often under 336stabilizing selection than more ancient miRNAs (46 expressed at  $\geq$ 18BPF and 51 at 337PF), which is confirmed at PF but not at ≥18BPF (FET; ≥18BPF, P=0.157; PF,  $338P = 0.046$ ). Although this result should be taken cautiously due to the limited count 339 of the Sophophora specific miRNAs present in the analysis, it suggests that the 340expression levels of more recently evolved miRNAs have not had enough time to be 341 shaped by natural selection to the extent the levels of expression of more ancient 342miRNAs have been.

**Determinants of miRNA expression polymorphism in D. melanogaster** 343 344 males. The regulatory role of recently emerged miRNAs is more likely to be still 345 evolving compared to that of miRNAs found in many phyla. Due to the potentially 346detrimental effects on organismal fitness of recently evolved miRNAs if expressed to 347a high level or across multiple tissues/organs, these miRNAs should exhibit lower 348expression levels and narrower spatiotemporal expression profiles than ancient 349miRNAs. Consistent with this notion, recently evolved miRNAs have been shown to 350be expressed at a low level in primates and Drosophila species. Further, the 351 introduction of the relatively young mir-310 family from D. pseudoobscura into the 352D. melanogaster genome resulted in misexpression of numerous genes and in lower 353organismal fitness. Based on these premises, miRNAs that are either present 354 across most metazoans, exhibit a detrimental phenotype if misexpressed, or are 355 more ubiquitously expressed across tissues and/or developmental stages should 356 possess lower expression polymorphism.

357We tested for a negative relationship between the intraspecific  $log_{10}CV$  in 358 expression of 62 miRNAs expressed at least in one of two studied developmental 359stages in *D. melanogaster* and evolutionary age, having associated a gain-of-360function phenotype, and expression breadth across developmental stages and 361tissues. We did so for each developmental stage separately. For the evolutionary 362age, we distinguished broadly between Drosophila evolved miRNAs versus miRNAs 363inferred to have been present in the ancestor to the Drosophila genus and 364Anopheles gambiae (age classes young and ancient in figure 4A), finding evidence 365of a significant negative association with the level of expression polymorphism  $366$ (one-way ANOVA;  $\geq 18$ BPF, P=0.086; PF, P=0.008). When the number of age 367 classes considered was further divided into four based on additional phylogenetic

16

368 partitions (supplementary text, Supplementary Material online), the trend was 369 similar (one-way ANOVA;  $\geq$ 18BPF, P=0.069; PF, P=0.034). In this case, pairwise 370post hoc tests revealed, at least for PF, that the diametrically opposed relationship 371between the expression polymorphism of miRNAs originated during the evolution of 372the subgenus Sophophora versus that of the most ancient miRNAs is the main 373 factor contributing to the pattern found (supplementary figure S15A and table S8, 374Supplementary Material online). Considering age as a continuous variable did not 375 alter this observation (supplementary figure S15B, Supplementary Material online).

376Phenotypic effects upon inducing miRNA misexpression or being ubiquitously 377 expressed were associated similarly with miRNA expression polymorphism in  $D$ . 378melanogaster. MiRNAs displaying gain-of-function phenotypes were found to harbor 379 significantly lower CVs in expression than miRNAs with no phenotype especially at 380PF (one-way ANOVA;  $\geq$ 18BPF, P=0.089; PF, P=0.017) (figure 4B). Furthermore, for 381the expression breadth, we found a significant negative correlation between 382 expression ubiquity and log<sub>10</sub>CV, a pattern confined to the PF stage ( $r^2$ =0.228,  $383P=0.004$ ;  $\geq 18$ BPF,  $r^2=0.021$ ,  $P=0.426$ ) (figure 4C). These results confirm that 384 miRNAs that are more necessary for obtaining a wild type phenotype and miRNAs 385 with more ubiquitous expression profiles, which are likely to be exposed to 386 conflicting functional requirements across tissues, are more constrained in their 387 capability to accommodate segregating expression variance during population 388 differentiation. Importantly, these functional constraints are more apparent at PF 389than at  $\geq$ 18BPF.

390The negative correlations observed between miRNA expression polymorphism and 391evolutionary age, gain-of-function phenotypes, and expression ubiquity are unlikely 392to be independent from one another. This would be the case if more recently

17

393originated miRNAs occupy less relevant positions in the regulatory network and 394have narrower spatiotemporal expression profiles, which can result in better 395 accommodating higher levels of expression polymorphism. We did find statistical 396evidence of the association among these variables pointing to this parsimonious 397 view (supplementary text, Supplementary Material online), which is consistent with 398a higher association of ancient miRNAs with disease phenotypes and with broader 399expression profiles across tissues, compared to recently originated miRNAs, 400 reported in humans and Diptera respectively.

401

# **Using intraspecific variation in expression levels to uncover the landscape** 402 **of the miRNA-mRNA regulatory network** 403

404To uncover the interface between miRNAs and mRNAs at the expression level at the 405onset of metamorphosis, we leveraged the intraspecific variation in expression 406levels for both molecules. We identified developmentally regulated miRNAs upon 407 pooling the miRNA expression data from the males of the four strains of  $D$ .  $408$ melanogaster ( $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.05; supplementary text, Supplementary Material online). 409Then, we assayed levels of mRNA abundance from the same biological samples and 410used a mixed-effects linear model to estimate the expression association between 411developmentally regulated miRNAs and the transcripts for which the microarray 412 platform had distinctive probesets, i.e. the so-called mRNA exemplars (Material and 413Methods supplementary; figure S3 and text, Supplementary Material online). An 414mRNA exemplar might correspond to one or more transcripts. Permutation tests 415helped determine whether the observed miRNA-mRNA association values were 416higher than expected by chance alone  $(P_{\text{adj}}< 0.05;$  supplementary text, 417Supplementary Material online).

18

418We identified 617 mRNA exemplars, representing 545 genes, as significantly 419associated with 43 developmentally regulated miRNAs (supplementary datasets S4-The number of significant associations with mRNA exemplars varied 421 remarkably among miRNAs (average  $\pm$  SD / median;  $\sim$ 217  $\pm$   $\sim$ 133 / 212; 422 supplementary figure S16), with  $m/R - 34-3p$  displaying the highest number  $-612$ . 423These statistically significant associations between expression levels of miRNAs and 424mRNAs may reflect concurrent co-regulation by a common upstream factor in the 425transcriptional hierarchy or a bona fide causal regulatory relationship, which can 426 result from either direct or indirect targeting, the latter as it might occur between a 427miRNA regulating a transcription factor and the battery of genes under the 428transcription factor's control. We investigated the interplay between expression 429associations and their potential causal regulatory nature by considering the sign of 430the association, *i.e.* positive or negative, the link with miRNA developmental 431 expression patterns, and the associated biological coherent patterns. 420S5).

432We distinguished between positive and negative associations in expression for each 433miRNA-mRNA exemplar pair. Importantly, when a miRNA covaried in expression 434with an mRNA exemplar, it was more likely to show a negative than a positive 435 association (supplementary figure S16, Supplementary Material online). As 436 reported in other organisms such as primates, positive expression associations 437were also abundant, which highlights the ambiguous nature of the interplay 438between expression levels of miRNAs and mRNAs. Intriguingly, developmentally 439 regulated miRNAs showed a bimodal distribution for the proportion of negative 440 significant associations over the total exhibited by each miRNA (figure 5A). We 441investigated whether this bimodal distribution was related to miRNA developmental 442expression patterns in a non-random manner. Specifically, we calculated the

19

443 correlation between the proportion of negative significant associations and the 444 propensity of a miRNA in showing a particular type of developmental expression 445 pattern across the four strains assayed (figure 5B). We found that the higher is the 446number of strains showing miRNA expression enrichment at PF, the higher is the 447 proportion of negative miRNA-mRNA expression associations (Spearman's Accordingly,  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched miRNAs across D. 449melanogaster strains were more likely to exhibit positive expression associations 450with mRNAs while PF-enriched miRNAs were more likely to exhibit negative 451associations. 448Rho=0.687, P<0.0001).

452These patterns could denote distinctive relationships with the covariating mRNAs 453(figure 5C). Down-regulation of a miRNA alone does not result directly in an 454 increase of its targets' abundance unless that miRNA is involved in a feedback loop 455to inhibit the transcription of its targets. Therefore, positive miRNA-mRNA 456 expression associations may often reflect the concurrent down-regulation of both 457 molecules at PF denoting no causal regulatory relationship (figure 5C, left panel). 458Conversely, the up-regulation of a miRNA at PF may be important to facilitate the 459degradation of truly regulated targets that are not necessary at this stage, resulting 460in a decreasing abundance (figure 5C, right panel). This second pattern is 461 reminiscent of the degradation of maternally deposited mRNAs by a set of 462zygotically expressed miRNAs in the Drosophila embryo. In consequence, negative 463associations of PF-enriched miRNAs and mRNAs should more likely represent bona 464fide causal regulations by miRNAs. To test this, we examined whether PF-enriched 465miRNAs showing negative expression associations with predicted targets among the  $466617$  mRNA exemplars were significantly overrepresented relative to  $\geq 18$ BPF-467enriched miRNAs displaying equivalent properties. Indeed, we found that there are

20

468 more predicted targets among mRNAs negatively associated with PF-enriched 469miRNAs than among those with ≥18BPF-enriched miRNAs, a pattern not shown in 470 positive expression associations (Randomization test of goodness-of-fit;  $P=0.013$  $471$ and  $P=0.246$  respectively; supplementary table S9, Supplementary Material online). 472This difference reinforces the possibility that positive expression associations are 473less likely than negative expression associations in denoting bona fide causal 474 miRNA regulation at the onset of metamorphosis.

475We further examined the biological properties of the miRNA-mRNA exemplar 476associations by searching for biological coherent patterns in genes grouped by their 477 patterns of expression association with the 43 developmentally regulated miRNAs. 478Briefly, ten clusters of mRNA exemplars were identified by hierarchical cluster 479analysis based on the sign of their expression associations (supplementary figure 480S17, Supplementary Material online). Subsequently, functional enrichment for 481functional rubrics in each cluster was tested with DAVID under several degrees of 482stringency (Materials and Methods). We found enrichment for biological processes 483and other functional rubrics unambiguously related to the onset of metamorphosis 484in nine of the ten clusters (supplementary table S10, Supplementary Material 485online). Nucleotide biosynthesis and energy production pathways, structural and 486 regulatory genes related to muscle formation, and genes involved in molting 487 formation were enriched among those down-regulated at PF in clusters  $1$ ,  $3$ ,  $4$ , and 4888-10. On the other hand, histolysis upon tissue apoptosis and innate immune 489 response pathway related genes were found to be overrepresented among those 490up-regulated at PF in clusters 5 and 7.

491A closer inspection of several clusters unveiled the complexity of the regulatory 492 interactions that occur during the transition from larva to pupa. For instance, 66

21

493mRNA exemplars in Cluster 8 were negatively associated with up to 27 miRNAs and 494 positively associated with up to 14 miRNAs. This cluster is enriched for genes 495involved in the functional rubric "molting cycle" and the cellular component rubric 496"muscle myosin complex" (supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material 497 online). Among the seven genes in Cluster 8 annotated as part of the functional 498rubrics relevant to muscle development ("muscle myosin complex" or "muscle 499protein"), five were predicted to harbor binding sites in their 3'UTRs according to 500TargetScan. Three of these genes showed negative associations in expression with 501 miRNAs while the other two showed positive associations. The remaining two genes 502in Cluster 8 (Tm2 and MIc2) did not have any predicted miRNA binding site. Similar 503 patterns were found for the constituent genes of Cluster  $10$ , which are annotated as 504part of the functional rubric "contractile fiber" (supplementary table S11, 505Supplementary Material online).

506An intriguing aspect is that none of the genes that are a part of functional rubrics 507 related to muscle development in Clusters  $8$  and  $10$  possess binding sites for let-7 508complex miRNAs in their 3'UTRs or ORFs. Nevertheless, the expression levels of 509these genes are negatively associated with those of the let-7 complex miRNAs. The 510/et-7 complex is required for the maturation of neuromuscular junction and 511deformation of abdominal neuromusculature, which at least in part is achieved by 512downregulating the BTB-zinc finger transcription factor Ab. Several non-mutually 513exclusive explanations may account for this observation. First, miRNAs other than 514/et-7 may contribute to the regulation of these genes during metamorphosis 515(supplementary table S11, Supplementary Material online). Second, the let-7 516complex may regulate these genes indirectly through the control of their upstream

517transcription factor(s) during metamorphosis. Third, the expression levels of these 518 genes are not regulated post-transcriptionally by miRNAs denoting false positives.

519The innate immune response of Drosophila at the onset of metamorphosis is well 520 represented in the significant expression associations found. This response is 521 regulated by ecdysone and juvenile hormone and mainly consists of two 522 components: localized melanization and antimicrobial peptides production . We 523 found that Cluster 7 is enriched for genes involved in these two components. The 524genes Dat, e, and ple were found to participate in the functional rubric "dopamine 525 metabolic process", which contributes to melanization among other biological 526 functions. Three other genes encode antimicrobial peptides: Drs, DrsI2, and DrsI5. 527The expression levels of these six genes are significantly higher at PF as expected 528 and this work). Interestingly, positive expression associations were mostly found 529between genes and miRNAs that are predicted to bind their ORFs while the only two 530negative associations involve genes (DrsI2 and ple) presumably bound at their 5313'UTRs by miRNAs (supplementary table S12, Supplementary Material online). 532Further, the putative miRNAs regulating upstream genes of the innate immune 533pathway have been studied in silico. Some of these miRNAs were present in our 534 miRNA-mRNA exemplars association list such as the miR-2 family, miR-9a (both 535arms), miR-125-5p, miR-279-3p, and miR-281-2-5p. Thus, the expression levels of 536these innate immune response genes may be miRNA-regulated directly or through 537their upstream regulators in those same immune pathways.

538Our characterization of the landscape of miRNA-mRNA exemplars associations is 539limited in two ways. First, it is dependent on the differential miRNA expression 540between the developmental stages compared. Second, some miRNAs are 541expressed in tissue- or cell-type-specific manners and therefore expression

23

542 associations may happen in multiple organs but in different directions resulting in a 543blurry association signal if any. It is remarkable therefore that we are still able to 544 capture significant expression associations from our whole-body assays, which in 545 some cases are suggestive of bona fide causal regulation.

546We have generated a portrait of the intra- and inter-specific differences in 547 expression levels of sequence conserved miRNAs at the onset of Drosophila In spite of the documented phylogenetic differentiation in 549expression levels, we find that the evolution of miRNA abundance is driven mainly 550by stabilizing selection. This agrees well with the stabilizing role that miRNAs play 551by repressing leaky expression or fine-tuning transcript levels. Notably, the type of 552developmental expression pattern of a miRNA appears to be an excellent predictor 553of the degree to which a miRNA can accommodate variation in expression level 554during the evolutionary process. The expression levels of some miRNAs are still 555 evolving, which in a few cases seems compatible with the optimization of their 556functional role by directional selection. Whether this functional optimization goes 557beyond canalizing expression levels during metamorphosis contributing as well to 558the phenotypic diversification in the genus Drosophila remains to be established. 559Overall, we find distinct patterns of differentiation among miRNA expression levels 560between late third instar larva and white prepupa, which is also evidenced in how 561these evolved changes are linked to relevant proxies for the integration of miRNAs 562into the regulatory network. In addition, miRNAs with different developmental 563 expression patterns exhibit marked differences in how they covariate with mRNAs in 564 expression, which might reflect the type of regulatory relationship between both 565kind of molecules. Taken together, the profound differences in the biological 548 metamorphosis.

24

566 requirements of these two developmental stages seem to dictate the properties in 567 miRNA expression levels at the onset of Drosophila metamorphosis.

568

## 569

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

570Fly stocks and husbandry. Six strains representing three species of the D. 571 melanogaster species group were used (supplementary table S1, Supplementary 572Material online). Flies were grown in standard corn meal medium, constant lighting 573 conditions, and at 25°C. Third instar larvae were identified as previously reported. 574Briefly, larvae were raised under non-crowded conditions in medium with 0.05% 575bromophenol blue. Wandering larvae exhibiting dark blue gut, which corresponds 576to  $\sim$ 18 hours before puparium formation, were collected, rinsed with water, and 577 separated by sex. For the 0-1 hr white prepuparia, wandering larvae with light blue 578to white gut were separated by sex, placed in a Petri dish on damped light-wipe 579tissue until appropriate moment for collection. The presence of visible male 580gonads was used for sex identification; trial collections were performed to assure 581 process accuracy. Samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80C 582until RNA isolation.

583RNA extractions. Two rounds of RNA collection were performed: the first, for 584small RNA-seq; and the second, for the remaining expression profiling approaches 585 used (see below). For each collection,  $\sim$ 120 mg (60-80 individuals depending on 586the strain) of frozen flies for each biological replicate were grinded using motorized 587 pestles and the total RNAs extracted and purified with miRVana miRNA isolation kit 588(Ambion Inc.), which allows the optional separation of mRNAs from small RNAs. In 589the first collection, the enriched small RNAs were isolated according to the

590 manufacturer indications for subsequent small RNA library preparation. In the 591 second collection, both total RNAs and small RNAs from four biological replicates for 592each strain/sex were isolated and used in all three expression profiling approaches. 593Concentration, quality, and integrity of the RNA samples were assessed using the 594NanoDrop 8000 Spectrophotometer and the RNA 6000 Nano and Small RNA kits 595(Agilent Technologies) in an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. RNA extractions for different 596strains were performed independently to avoid cross-contamination.

597Small RNA-seq. Adaptor-ligated cDNAs were prepared according to Illumina small 598RNA preparation protocol (Preparing Samples for Analysis of Small RNA Using the 599Oligo Only Kit, http://www.illumina.com). Briefly, 16-28nt small RNAs were size 600 selected from a denaturing polyacrylamide gels, 5' adaptors with four nucleotide 601indexes and 3' adaptors were ligated using T4 RNA ligase (Ambion 2140) and the 602 resulting molecules were purified by size selection from denaturing polyacrylamide 603gels after each ligation reaction. Four samples with different indexes were pooled 604for 3' adaptor ligation and further preparation steps. The ligated small RNAs were 605 reversed transcribed using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen 18064), 606 subsequently PCR amplified with a Phusion high fidelity PCR DNA polymerase 607(Finnzymes F-506) through 10 cycles, and purified from denaturing polyacrylamide 608gels. Library preparation for different strains was performed independently to avoid The quality of the cDNAs was evaluated with the High 610Sensitivity DNA kit (Agilent Technologies) using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer before 611high-throughput sequencing using a Genome Analyzer II (Illumina) at the Genome 612Center of the University of California, Davis. Twelve libraries, named as L5-L16 in 613 supplementary table S2 (Supplementary Material online), were sequenced in three 609cross-contamination.

Reproducibility of sequencing results was evaluated at biological and 615technical levels. 614 lanes.

616Reads were sorted by distinctive indexes before their 5' and 3' adaptors sequences 617 were trimmed. Reads matching yeast rRNAs and D. melanogaster 2S rRNA were 618 discarded. The remaining reads were aligned against the stem-loop sequences of 619known miRNAs according to miRBase release 15 using Bowtie 0.12.5. Because of 620the potential errors in the reference genome of  $D$ . simulans and  $D$ . yakuba, we also  $621$ used the *D. melanogaster* genome sequence as a reference in the characterization 622 of the libraries of these two species. Alignments and read numbers were recorded. 623Four libraries sequenced by 454, named as L1-L4 in supplementary table S2 624(Supplementary Material online), were also included and J.M. Ranz and M. 625Ashburner, unpublished results). In-house Perl scripts were used for sequence 626processing.

**miRNA microarray profiling.** The miRNArthropoda\_15\_UC\_100610 array from LC 627 628Sciences based on the annotations of miRBase release 15 was used. In addition, 629100 custom probes were added to the array (supplementary table S3, 630Supplementary Material online). Custom probes include previously dubbed 631 passenger sequences of known *D. melanogaster* miRNAs, putative miRNAs found in 632 deep-sequencing experiments by us and others, and control reporters for the 2S 633rRNA gene harboring nucleotide differences in number and position to evaluate the 634 impact of mismatches on hybridization kinetics. Probes for annotated and predicted 635 miRNAs were present in triplicates. Internal quality controls included 43 spikes and 636six additional positive controls replicated either 4 or 16 times on the array.

637Four biological replicates per developmental stage per strain were used in 638 competitive hybridizations. Labeling, hybridization, and image acquisition were

27

639 performed by LC Sciences. For a given array, the Cy3 and Cy5 fluorescent intensity 640values of each array were first adjusted by subtracting local background and then 641 normalized according to a locally-weighted regression approach. The adjusted 642 values were further log2-transformed and normalized across arrays using the 643 quantile method implemented in JMP Genomics 5.0. The expression values for the 644280 reporters relevant to the six strains of interest were obtained by averaging over 645the three technical replicates spotted on separate blocks of the array. A mean 646intensity value lower than 32 was not considered to be reflective of expression 647above the background. A given miRNA was not considered to be expressed if it 648lacked evidence of expression across all sex by strain by developmental stage 649combinations assayed.

650A linear model was used to test for differences in expression levels between 651 developmental stages in any given strain by sex combination and for differences in 652 expression levels between the sexes in any given strain by developmental stage 653 combination. For this purpose, a linear mixed-effects gene model that takes into 654 account both array and dye-specific effects was considered -

 $655y_{ijklm} = \mu + A_i + T_j + S_k + D_l + Z_m + S_kD_l + S_kZ_m + D_lZ_m + S_kD_lZ_m + \varepsilon_{ijklm}$ 

656where  $y_{ijklm}$  denotes the miRNA expression for the *i*<sup>th</sup> array, *j*<sup>th</sup> dye, k<sup>th</sup> strain, *I*<sup>th</sup> 657 developmental stage and  $m<sup>th</sup>$  sex. Also,  $\mu$  is the baseline expression,  $A_i$  is the effect 658of the *i*<sup>th</sup> array, T<sub>i</sub> is the effect of the *j*<sup>th</sup> dye, S<sub>k</sub> is the effect of the k<sup>th</sup> strain, D<sub>I</sub> is the 659 effect of the  $I<sup>th</sup>$  developmental stage, and  $Z<sub>m</sub>$  is the effect of the  $m<sup>th</sup>$  sex. A was 660 implemented as a random effect while  $T$ , S, D, Z, and the interaction effects were 661 implemented as fixed effects in JMP Genomics 5.0. Next, the appropriate contrasts 662were made to obtain the differences of interest. The differences of interest were 663 considered to be statistically significant at a false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.01.

664For a given strain, to test the null hypothesis that the difference in magnitude of 665developmental change was the same in both sexes, a linear mixed-effects model 666 was developed as follows -

 $667y_{ijlm} = \mu + A_i + T_j + D_l + Z_m + D_lZ_m + \varepsilon_{ijlm}$ 

668where  $y_{ijlm}$  denotes the miRNA expression for the  $i<sup>th</sup>$  array,  $j<sup>th</sup>$  dye,  $l<sup>th</sup>$  developmental 669stage and  $m^{th}$  sex. Also,  $\mu$  is the baseline expression,  $A_i$  is the effect of the i<sup>th</sup> array, 670 $T_j$  is the effect of the  $j^{th}$  dye,  $D_i$  is the effect of the  $l^{th}$  developmental stage, and  $Z_m$  is 671 the effect of the  $m<sup>th</sup>$  sex. A was implemented as a random effect while T, D, Z, and 672the interaction effect were implemented as fixed effects. Since there were two 673developmental stages and two sexes the interaction term corresponded to the 674 difference of interest. The difference in magnitude of developmental change in 675 expression across sexes was considered to be statistically significant at a FDR of 0.05. 676

677qRT-PCR. Triplicate total RNA samples for each strain by developmental stage by 678sex analyzed were polyadenylated and reverse-transcribed using oligo-dT as a 679 primer following manufacturer conditions (Exiqon Universal cDNA Synthesis Kit, The qRT-PCR step was performed in a CFX-96 real-time instrument 681(BioRad) using Locked Nucleic Acid (LNA) primers (Exiqon; supplementary table S5, 682Supplementary Material online) and SYBR Green chemistry (Exiqon SYBR Green 683Master Mix, 203450). Expression levels of the miRNAs analyzed were estimated 684 relative to the reference genes  $m$   $R-1-3p$  and  $m$   $R-995-3p$ , which were chosen based 685on two criteria: i) expression uniformity in microarray experiments across all strain 686by developmental stage by sex combinations; and ii) because they cover two 687 differentiated levels of expression ( $miR-1-3p$  is substantially more expressed than  $688 miR-995-3p$  according to the small-RNA sequencing experiments performed in this 680203300).

689study). Estimates were calculated using the -2 $\Delta$ ∆Cq method implemented in the Bio-690Rad CFX manager software and statistically significant differences among samples 691 were interrogated in JMP Genomics 5.0 (SAS Institute Inc.).

692mRNA microarray profiling. We assayed levels of mRNA abundance for *D.* 693melanogaster males at ≥18BPF and PF (supplementary table S1, Supplementary 694Material online). Three out of four biological samples used for assaying miRNA  $695$ levels of expression were randomly chosen for this purpose. Per sample, 10  $\mu$ g of 696total RNA were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript<sup>®</sup> Double-697Stranded cDNA Labeling Kit (Invitrogen). The quality of the cDNAs was evaluated 698 with the DNA 12000 kit (Agilent Technologies) using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. 699Probe labeling, hybridization, array scanning, and data extraction were performed 700by Roche NimbleGen Service Group in Iceland. Single color hybridizations were 701 performed onto species-specific 12x135k NimbleGen oligonucleotide arrays 702 corresponding to the FlyBase release 5.7 for D. melanogaster.

703The raw mRNA expression data were pre-processed using NimbleGen's DEVA 704software suite, which includes background correction, quantile normalization, and 705 summarization of probeset expression using the robust multi-array average (RMA) 706 method. The random probes present on the NimbleGen arrays were removed prior 707to mRNA data analysis.

708A linear mixed-effects model was used to test for differences in expression levels 709 between developmental stages per strain as follows -

 $710y_{kl} = \mu + S_k + D_l + S_kD_l + \varepsilon_{kl}$ 

711where  $y_{kl}$  denotes the mRNA expression for the  $k^{th}$  strain and  $l^{th}$  developmental 712stage. Also,  $\mu$  is the baseline expression,  $S_k$  is the effect of the  $k^{th}$  strain, and  $D_l$  is

713the effect of the  $l<sup>th</sup>$  developmental stage. S, D, and interaction terms were 714implemented as fixed effects in JMP Genomics 5.0. The difference in expression 715 levels was considered to be statistically significant at a FDR of 0.05.

716Genomic datasets. Putative target genes with conserved miRNA binding sites 717 were retrieved from TargetScan 6.2. . Association with gain-of-function phenotypes 718following miRNA overexpression was determined based on positive results in at 719 least one of three screens (eye, wing, and ubiquitous activation) performed in  $D$ . 720melanogaster . MiRNA expression ubiquity values, τ, were taken from . These 721 values were calculated as reported based on expression values derived from 28 722libraries of small RNAs representing different developmental stages and tissues of 723D. melanogaster. 1-τ values were used here, which range from 0 to 1; high 1-τ 724 values correspond to more ubiquitously expressed miRNAs.

725Functional enrichment analysis. Enrichment for Gene Ontology terms 726(biological process, molecular function, and cellular localization), and KEGG 727 pathways in sets of protein-coding genes was evaluated with DAVID 6.7. The false 728 discovery rate adjustment was used to account for multiple testing at  $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.05; the 729stringency was set to "Highest". As a background list, all the D. melanogaster 730 genes on the NimbleGen array were used. To increase the stringency of the 731 analysis, the list of 543 genes that turned out to show significant associations in 732 expression with miRNAs was also used as background list in a second analysis.

733Expression distance among strains. Statistically significant differences in 734 miRNA abundance were recorded across strains in a pairwise fashion (one-way 735ANOVA, P<0.01). One hundred and twenty miRNA reporters conserved in sequence 736 across D. simulans, D. yakuba, and D. melanogaster (including the strain 737Zimbabwe-109) were deemed suitable for the analysis.

31

738Accession numbers. Small RNA sequencing output, LC Sciences expression data, 739and NimbleGen expression data have been deposited in the NCBI GEO database 740under accession numbers GSE57438, GSE55562, and GSE55398, respectively. 741 Sequence data for protein-coding and miRNA loci have been deposited in NCBI 742GenBank under accession numbers KJ767237-KJ67254 and KJ774561-KJ774633.

743

744

## **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

745Supplementary text, supplementary alignments, supplementary figures S1-S17, 746 supplementary tables S1-S12, and supplementary datasets S1-S5 are available 747 online.

748

749

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

750We thank Vera Chan for technical help; Kevin Thornton for providing the Zimbabwe-751109 strain; Yang Shen for providing the expression breadth values; and Bryan 752Clifton, Anthony Long, Hsiu-Ching Ma, Antonio Marco, Andrei Tatarenkov, John True, 753and Zhaoxia Yu for discussions on different aspects of the manuscript. This work 754 was supported by the National Science Foundation grants (DEB-0949365 and MCB-7551157876 to J.R.) and the Foundation for Polish Science (to M.G.).

	<b>Males</b>							<b>Females</b>			
<b>MiRNA</b>	<b>CS</b>	<b>ORR</b>	Sam	ZW	sim	yak	<b>ORR</b>	ZW	sim		
$let - 7 - 5p$	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		
$miR-2a-3p$	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		
miR-125-5p	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		
miR-279-3p	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		
	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18B		
$miR-8-5p$	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18B		
$miR-14-5p$	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F. $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	<b>PF</b> $\geq$ 18B		
$miR-34-5p$	$-F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18B		
miR-313-5p	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18B		
miR-958-3p	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf{F}$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf{F}$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18B		
miR-958-5p	$-F$	$\mathsf{F}$	F	$\mathsf F$	F	F	F	F	<b>PF</b>		
$miR-2c-3p$	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	ns	PF	PF	PF		
	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP		$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18BP	$\geq$ 18B		
miR-34-3p	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	ne	F. $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18B		
miR-281-2-5p	$\mathsf{F}$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf{F}$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F	PF $\geq$ 18B		
miR-284-5p	F $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F. $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	F. $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	PF		
miR-316-3p	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	ns		
miR-100-5p	ne	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF		
$miR-2b-3p$	PF	PF	PF	PF	ns	PF	PF	PF	ns		
$miR-2b-2-5p$	PF	ns	PF	PF	$\mathsf{PF}$	ns	PF	PF	PF		
$miR-92a-3p$	PF	PF	PF	PF	PF	ns	PF	PF	ns		
miR-276b-3p	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	ns	ns $\geq$ 18B		
miR-956-3p	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$	F $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	F $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	$\mathsf F$ $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	PF		
miR-1000-3p	F	ne	F	F	$\mathsf F$	$\mathsf F$	F	F	ne		
miR-92b-3p	PF	PF	PF $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	ns	PF	PF	ns $\geq$ 18B		
miR-289-5p	PF	ns	$\mathsf F$	$\mathsf F$	$\mathsf F$	ns	PF	ns	PF		
miR-306-5p	PF	ns	PF	PF	PF	ns	PF	PF	ns		
$miR-2a-1-5p$	PF	ne	PF	PF	PF	ns	ns	PF	ns		
$miR-7-5p$	PF	ns	PF	PF	ns	ns	ns	PF	PF		

**Table 1. List of miRNAs showing differential expression between ≥18BPF and PF stages**

	<b>Males</b>						<b>Females</b>			
<b>MIRNA</b>	<b>CS</b>	<b>ORR</b>	Sam	ZW	sim	yak	<b>ORR</b>	ZW	sim	
$miR-9a-5p$	PF	ns	PF	PF	ns	ns	PF	PF	ns	
miR-305-5p	ns	PF	PF	PF	ns	PF	PF	ns	ns	
miR-1012-3p	PF	ns	PF	PF	N/A	ne	PF	ns	N/A	
miR-276a-3p	ns	PF	PF	ns	ns	PF	PF	ns	ns	
miR-1000-5p	ns	PF	PF	PF	PF	ns	ns	ns	ns	
miR-1010-3p	ne	ne	ne	PF	N/A	PF	PF	PF	N/A	
miR-79-3p	PF	ne	ns	ne	PF	ns	ns	PF	ns	
$miR-9a-3p$	ns	ns	PF	PF $\geq$ 18BP	ns	ns	ns $\geq$ 18BP	PF $\geq$ 18BP	ns	
miR-964-5p	ns	ns	ns	$\mathsf F$	ns	ns	F	$\mathsf F$	ne	
miR-988-3p miR-314-5p-	PF $\geq$ 18BP	ne	ne	ne	ne	N/A	ns $\geq$ 18BP	PF	ns	
dme miR-2493-5p-	F $\geq$ 18BP	ne	ne	ns $\geq$ 18BP	N/A	N/A	F	ns	N/A	
dme	$\mathsf F$	ne	ns	$\mathsf F$	N/A	N/A $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	ns	N/A	
miR-277-3p	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	$\mathsf F$	F	ns	ns	
miR-998-3p miR-314-5p-	ns	ns	PF	PF	ns $\geq$ 18BP	ns $\geq$ 18BP	ns	ns	ns	
dsi	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	F	$\mathsf F$	N/A	N/A	ne	
$miR-2a-2-5p$	PF	ne	ne	ne	ne	ns	ns	ne	ne	
bantam-5p	ns	ns	ne	PF	ns $\geq$ 18BP	ns	ns	ne	ns	
$miR-10-3p$	ns	ns	ns	ns	F	ns	ns	ns	ns $\geq$ 18B	
$miR-10-5p$	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	PF	
$miR-11-3p$	ns	ns	ns	PF	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
$miR-31a-5p$	ns	ns	ns	PF	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
$miR-31b-5p$	$\sf{ns}$	ns	$\sf{ns}$	PF	$\sf{ns}$	$\sf{ns}$	$\sf{ns}$	ns	ns	
miR-252-5p	ns	ns	ns	PF	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
miR-275-3p	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	PF	ns	
miR-996-5p	ns	ns	ns	PF	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	
miR-998-5p	ns	ns	ns	PF	$ns$	ns	ne	ne	ns	
$miR-9b-5p$	ne	ne	ne	ne	N/A	ne	ne	PF	N/A	
miR-993-3p	ne	PF	ne	ne	ns	N/A	ne	ne	ns	

**Table 1. List of miRNAs showing differential expression between ≥18BPF and PF stages**

757CS, Canton-S; ORR, Oregon-R; Sam, Samarkand; ZW, Zimbabwe-109; sim, D. 758 simulans; yak, D. yakuba.

759Differences in expression between developmental stages at  $P_{\text{adj}}$ <0.01 (Materials and 760Methods). ne, non-expressed; ns, non-developmentally enriched; PF, enriched at 761PF;  $\geq$ 18BPF, enriched at  $\geq$ 18BPF; N/A, the analysis is not applicable to this miRNA 762 due to its absence or sequence differences.

764

### **FIGURE LEGENDS**

**Fig. 1.** Developmental changes in miRNA expression at the onset of 766 metamorphosis across six Drosophila males. (A) Differences in expression levels 767between  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF. x-axis, difference in normalized log<sub>2</sub>-transformed 768 expression levels between  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF; y-axis, significance of the difference as –  $769$ log<sub>10</sub>(P value). Statistically significant differences were determined using a one-way 770ANOVA. Red dotted line,  $P_{\text{adj}}=0.01$ . (B) Examples of variation in miRNA abundance 771in males. The average expression level and the standard error of the mean are 772shown. Left, interstrain difference affecting the developmental expression pattern. 773 $m$ iR-956-3p is ≥18BPF-enriched in all strains but in Zimbabwe-109. In this strain, 774there is no significant difference in expression level between stages due to an 775 increase in the level of expression at PF ( $P_{\text{adj}}$ =2x10<sup>-8</sup>). Right, interstrain difference 776 not associated with differences in developmental expression patterns.  $miR-1012-5p$ 777 is non-developmentally enriched in all strains but statistical significant differences in 778 expression level were confirmed at PF  $(P_{\text{adj}}=7.1 \times 10^{-3})$ . Canton-S, Oregon-R, 779Samarkand, and Zimbabwe-109 are strains of D. melanogaster. 765**Fig. 1.** 

780Fig. 2. Distinct patterns of functional divergence in miRNA expression levels at the 781onset of Drosophila metamorphosis. (A) Expression distance in miRNA abundance 782 and divergence time are not related in the same way at  $\geq$ 18BPF and PF. Branch 783lengths from Neighbor-Joining trees were used as a surrogate for divergence time 784among strains. Unlike at PF, expression changes do not accumulate in a linear 785 fashion over time at  $\geq$ 18BPF. This result holds when estimated divergence times 786among strains are used instead of branch lengths and when an alternative 787 statistical approach is used (supplementary text and figure S13, Supplementary 788Material online). (B) Comparison of the variation in expression levels among

36

789 miRNAs sets with different developmental expression patterns. Only miRNAs with 790 consistent developmental expression patterns across D. melanogaster strains and 791 identical in sequence across species were considered.

**Fig. 3.** Evolutionary modes of miRNA expression at the onset of male 793 metamorphosis in the *D. melanogaster* species subgroup. A) Counts of miRNAs with 794 patterns of intra- and inter-specific variation compatible with particular evolutionary 795 modes. B) MiRNAs illustrating four main evolutionary modes. Box plots are used to 796show the dispersion around the median level of expression for each strain by miRNA 797 combination. CS, Canton-S; ORR, Oregon-R; Sam, Samarkand; Zw, Zimbabwe-109; 798 and sim, D. simulans. Within and between species differences in expression levels 799 were tested respectively by one-way ANOVA. Whether evidence of significant intra-800 and inter-specific variation was found is indicated on the  $x$ - and  $y$ -axis respectively. 801See supplementary text (Supplementary Material online) for the rationale followed 802to categorize each miRNA under a particular evolutionary mode. MiRNAs showing 803non-significant and significant differences in expression between *D. melanogaster* 804 and D. simulans are shown on top and bottom, respectively. Depending on the 805 combination of significant intra- and inter-specific differences in expression levels, 806miRNAs are categorized as evolving under stabilizing selection (top left), directional 807 selection (bottom left), genetic drift (bottom right), or associated with complex  $808$ scenarios (top right).  $y$ -axis, log<sub>2</sub>-tranformed expression values in an arbitrary 809scale. Examples shown correspond to miRNAs expressed at the PF stage. 792**Fia. 3.** 

810Fig. 4. Relationship between the level of miRNA expression polymorphism in four 811males of *D. melanogaster* and evolutionary age, gain-of-function phenotype, and 812expression breadth. (A) Young miRNAs exhibit higher levels of polymorphism in 813 gene expression than ancient miRNAs in *D. melanogaster* males. Left,  $\geq$ 18BPF;

814right, PF. Average±SEM values of expression polymorphism are indicated on top of 815the chart. Ancient class, miRNAs inferred to have been already present in the 816ancestor to all currently existing Drosophila species and other insects and/or 817 metazoans. Young class, miRNAs inferred to have been present in the ancestor to 818all Drosophila species but not in that of other insects or metazoans, or to have 819evolved subsequently during the radiation of the genus Drosophila. (B) Gain-of-820 function phenotype upon miRNA overexpression. Showing a gain-of-function 821phenotype in at least one of the three screens performed did result in a 822 significantly lower level of expression polymorphism at both developmental stages 823being specially acute at PF. (C) Expression breadth. As miRNA expression ubiquity 824 increases, the level of expression polymorphism decreases. This pattern is 825 observed at PF but not at  $\geq$ 18BPF. The use of a non-parametric correlation statistic 826 made no difference (Spearman's ρ; PF, P=0.006; ≥18BPF, P=0.154). Expression  $827$ ubiquity values,  $1$  -  $\tau$ , are close to 1 if expression is ubiquitous and close to 0 if 828 expression is rather specific. τ values were taken from.

829Fig. 5. Relationship between the percentage of miRNA-mRNA negative expression 830associations and miRNA developmental expression pattern. (A) Distribution of the 831number of miRNAs based on the percentage of negative significant associations 832with mRNA exemplars relative to the total number of statistically significant 833associations. Thirty-eight miRNAs exhibited a proportion of negative over positive 834 associations deviating significantly from the 1:1 ratio while five miRNAs did not. (B) 835MiRNAs with higher percentage of negative expression associations to mRNAs are 836more likely to be PF-enriched than ≥18BPF-enriched (Spearman's Rho=0.6895, 837P<0.0001). x-axis, developmental expression index. This index is calculated by 838 counting the number of *D. melanogaster* strains that exhibit  $≥18BPF-$  or PF-

38

839 enrichment and adding  $-1$  or  $+1$ , respectively. For example, an index value of  $-3$ 840indicates that the miRNA was categorized as  $\geq$ 18BPF-enriched in three strains and 841non-developmentally enriched in one strain. y-axis, percentage of negative 842expression associations shown by miRNAs. (C) Ideogram representing separately 843the expression associations between miRNAs and mRNAs across the two 844developmental timepoints assayed. Left panel, the positive association between 845miRNA (blue) and mRNA (red) expression levels identified in miRNAs with lower 846 expression level at PF may often reflect the concurrently transcriptional down-847 regulation of both kind of molecules. Right panel, the up-regulation of a miRNA at 848PF may be important for dampening mRNAs from genes that are not to be 849expressed at this stage. This second pattern is more likely to reflect a causal 850 regulatory relationship between the miRNA and the mRNA, which can be exerted 851 directly through miRNA binding or indirectly throughout a third party.

852

#### **REFERENCES**

<span id="page-40-0"></span>855Ambros V 2004. The functions of animal microRNAs. Nature 431: 350-355.

856Ameres SL, Zamore PD 2013. Diversifying microRNA sequence and function. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 14: 475-488. doi: 10.1038/nrm3611 857

858Andres AJ, Thummel CS 1994. Chapter 29 Methods for quantitative analysis of 859transcription in larvae and prepupae. Methods in Cell Biology 44: 565-573.

860Arbeitman MN, Furlong EE, Imam F, Johnson E, Null BH, Baker BS, Krasnow MA, 861Scott MP, Davis RW, White KP 2002. Gene expression during the life cycle of 862Drosophila melanogaster. Science 297: 2270-2275.

863Arif S, Murat S, Almudi I, Nunes MD, Bortolamiol-Becet D, McGregor NS, Currie JM, 864Hughes H, Ronshaugen M, Sucena E, et al. 2013. Evolution of mir-92a underlies 865natural morphological variation in Drosophila melanogaster. Curr Biol 23: 523-528. 866doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.02.018

867Axtell MJ, Westholm JO, Lai EC 2011. Vive la difference: biogenesis and evolution of 868 microRNAs in plants and animals. Genome Biol 12: 221. doi: 10.1186/gb-2011-12-4-869221

870Baehrecke EH 1996. Ecdysone signaling cascade and regulation of Drosophila 871 metamorphosis. Arch Insect Biochem Physiol 33: 231-244.

872Baek D, Villen J, Shin C, Camargo FD, Gygi SP, Bartel DP 2008. The impact of 873 microRNAs on protein output. Nature 455: 64-71.

874Bainbridge SP, Bownes M 1981. Staging the metamorphosis of Drosophila 875 melanogaster. J Embryol Exp Morphol 66: 57-80.

876Bartel DP 2004. MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 877116: 281-297.

878Bartel DP 2009. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. Cell 136: 879215-233.

880Bashirullah A, Pasquinelli AE, Kiger AA, Perrimon N, Ruvkun G, Thummel CS 2003. 881 Coordinate regulation of small temporal RNAs at the onset of Drosophila 882 metamorphosis. Dev Biol 259: 1-8.

883Beckstead RB, Lam G, Thummel CS 2005. The genomic response to 20-884hydroxyecdysone at the onset of Drosophila metamorphosis. Genome Biol 6: R99.

885Berezikov E, Robine N, Samsonova A, Westholm JO, Naqvi A, Hung JH, Okamura K, 886Dai Q, Bortolamiol-Becet D, Martin R, et al. 2010. Deep annotation of Drosophila 887 melanogaster microRNAs yields insights into their processing, modification, and 888 emergence. Genome Res 21: 203-215.

889Berezikov E, Thuemmler F, van Laake LW, Kondova I, Bontrop R, Cuppen E, Plasterk 890RH 2006. Diversity of microRNAs in human and chimpanzee brain. Nat Genet 38: 8911375-1377.

892Bolstad BM, Irizarry RA, Astrand M, Speed TP 2003. A comparison of normalization 893 methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. 894Bioinformatics 19: 185-193.

895Borel C, Deutsch S, Letourneau A, Migliavacca E, Montgomery SB, Dimas AS, Vejnar 896CE, Attar H, Gagnebin M, Gehrig C, et al. 2011. Identification of cis- and trans-897 regulatory variation modulating microRNA expression levels in human fibroblasts. 898Genome Res 21: 68-73. doi: 10.1101/gr.109371.110

899Bushati N, Stark A, Brennecke J, Cohen SM 2008. Temporal reciprocity of miRNAs 900and their targets during the maternal-to-zygotic transition in Drosophila. Curr Biol 18: 501-506. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.02.081 901

902Carrington JC, Ambros V 2003. Role of microRNAs in plant and animal development. 903Science 301: 336-338.

904Caygill EE, Johnston LA 2008. Temporal regulation of metamorphic processes in 905Drosophila by the let-7 and miR-125 heterochronic microRNAs. Curr Biol 18: 943-906950.

907Chawla G, Sokol NS 2012. Hormonal activation of let-7-C microRNAs via EcR is 908 required for adult Drosophila melanogaster morphology and function. Development 139: 1788-1797. doi: 10.1242/dev.077743 909

910Chen K, Rajewsky N 2007. The evolution of gene regulation by transcription factors 911and microRNAs. Nat Rev Genet 8: 93-103.

912Chen Z, Chen LY, Dai HY, Wang P, Gao S, Wang K 2012. miR-301a promotes 913 pancreatic cancer cell proliferation by directly inhibiting Bim expression. J Cell Biochem 113: 3229-3235. doi: 10.1002/jcb.24200 914

915Duursma AM, Kedde M, Schrier M, le Sage C, Agami R 2008. miR-148 targets human 916DNMT3b protein coding region. RNA 14: 872-877.

917Ebert MS, Sharp PA 2012. Roles for microRNAs in conferring robustness to biological processes. Cell 149: 515-524. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.04.005 918

919Filipowicz W, Bhattacharyya SN, Sonenberg N 2008. Mechanisms of post-920transcriptional regulation by microRNAs: are the answers in sight? Nat Rev Genet 9: 921102-114.

922Flatt T, Heyland A, Rus F, Porpiglia E, Sherlock C, Yamamoto R, Garbuzov A, Palli SR, 923Tatar M, Silverman N 2008. Hormonal regulation of the humoral innate immune 924 response in Drosophila melanogaster. J Exp Biol 211: 2712-2724. doi: 92510.1242/jeb.014878

926Forman JJ, Legesse-Miller A, Coller HA 2008. A search for conserved sequences in 927 coding regions reveals that the let-7 microRNA targets Dicer within its coding 928 sequence. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105: 14879-14884.

929Fullaondo A, Lee SY 2012. Identification of putative miRNA involved in Drosophila 930 melanogaster immune response. Dev Comp Immunol 36: 267-273. doi: 10.1016/j.dci.2011.03.034 931

932Garbuzov A, Tatar M 2010. Hormonal regulation of Drosophila microRNA let-7 and 933 miR-125 that target innate immunity. Fly (Austin) 4: 306-311.

934Gomez-Orte E, Belles X 2009. MicroRNA-dependent metamorphosis in 935hemimetabolan insects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 21678-21682. doi: 93610.1073/pnas.0907391106

937Graveley BR, Brooks AN, Carlson JW, Duff MO, Landolin JM, Yang L, Artieri CG, van 938Baren MJ, Boley N, Booth BW, et al. 2011. The developmental transcriptome of 939Drosophila melanogaster. Nature 471: 473-479. doi: 10.1038/nature09715

940Ha M, Lu J, Tian L, Ramachandran V, Kasschau KD, Chapman EJ, Carrington JC, Chen 941X, Wang XJ, Chen ZJ 2009. Small RNAs serve as a genetic buffer against genomic 942shock in Arabidopsis interspecific hybrids and allopolyploids. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 943A 106: 17835-17840.

944Hornstein E, Shomron N 2006. Canalization of development by microRNAs. Nat 945Genet 38 Suppl: S20-24. doi: 10.1038/ng1803

946Hsouna A, Lawal HO, Izevbaye I, Hsu T, O'Donnell JM 2007. Drosophila dopamine 947 synthesis pathway genes regulate tracheal morphogenesis. Dev Biol 308: 30-43. 948doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2007.04.047

949Hu HY, Guo S, Xi J, Yan Z, Fu N, Zhang X, Menzel C, Liang H, Yang H, Zhao M, et al. 9502011. MicroRNA expression and regulation in human, chimpanzee, and macaque 951brains. PLoS Genet 7: e1002327. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002327

952Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA 2009. Systematic and integrative analysis of 953large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 4: 44-57.

954Huang RS, Gamazon ER, Ziliak D, Wen Y, Im HK, Zhang W, Wing C, Duan S, Bleibel 955WK, Cox NJ, et al. 2011. Population differences in microRNA expression and 956biological implications. RNA Biol 8: 692-701. doi: 10.4161/rna.8.4.16029

957Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP 9582003. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide 959 array probe level data. Biostatistics 4: 249-264.

960Jin H, Kim VN, Hyun S 2012. Conserved microRNA miR-8 controls body size in 961 response to steroid signaling in Drosophila. Genes Dev 26: 1427-1432. doi: 10.1101/ gad.192872.112 962

963Jin W, Riley RM, Wolfinger RD, White KP, Passador-Gurgel G, Gibson G 2001. The 964 contributions of sex, genotype and age to transcriptional variance in Drosophila 965 melanogaster. Nat Genet 29: 389-395. doi: 10.1038/ng766

966Khaitovich P, Hellmann I, Enard W, Nowick K, Leinweber M, Franz H, Weiss G, 967Lachmann M, Paabo S 2005. Parallel patterns of evolution in the genomes and 968transcriptomes of humans and chimpanzees. Science 309: 1850-1854. doi: 10.1126/ 969science.1108296

970Kheradpour P, Stark A, Roy S, Kellis M 2007. Reliable prediction of regulator targets 971 using 12 Drosophila genomes. Genome Res 17: 1919-1931.

972Kozomara A, Griffiths-Jones S 2011. miRBase: integrating microRNA annotation and 973deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 39: D152-157. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq1027

974Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL 2009. Ultrafast and memory-efficient 975 alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10: R25. 976doi: 10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25

977Lappalainen T, Sammeth M, Friedlander MR, t Hoen PA, Monlong J, Rivas MA, 978Gonzalez-Porta M, Kurbatova N, Griebel T, Ferreira PG, et al. 2013. Transcriptome

979and genome sequencing uncovers functional variation in humans. Nature 501: 506-980511. doi: 10.1038/nature12531

981Lebo MS, Sanders LE, Sun F, Arbeitman MN 2009. Somatic, germline and sex 982hierarchy regulated gene expression during Drosophila metamorphosis. BMC 983Genomics 10: 80.

984Li H, Stephan W 2006. Inferring the demographic history and rate of adaptive 985substitution in Drosophila. PLoS Genet 2: e166. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020166

986Liang H, Li WH 2009. Lowly expressed human microRNA genes evolve rapidly. Mol Biol Evol 26: 1195-1198. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp053 987

988Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-989time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402-408. 990doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

991Lu J, Clark AG 2012. Impact of microRNA regulation on variation in human gene 992expression. Genome Res 22: 1243-1254. doi: 10.1101/gr.132514.111

993Lu J, Shen Y, Wu Q, Kumar S, He B, Shi S, Carthew RW, Wang SM, Wu CI 2008a. The 994birth and death of microRNA genes in Drosophila. Nat Genet 40: 351-355.

995Lu M, Zhang Q, Deng M, Miao J, Guo Y, Gao W, Cui Q 2008b. An analysis of human and disease associations. PLoS One 3: e3420. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003420 997 996microRNA

998Lyu Y, Shen Y, Li H, Chen Y, Guo L, Zhao Y, Hungate E, Shi S, Wu Cl, Tang T 2014. 999New MicroRNAs in Drosophila-Birth, Death and Cycles of Adaptive Evolution. PLoS 1000Genet 10: e1004096. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004096

1001Maroni G, Stamey SC 1983. Use of blue food to select synchronous late 3rd instar 1002larvae. Drosophila Information Service 59: 142-143.

1003Nagalla S, Shaw C, Kong X, Kondkar AA, Edelstein LC, Ma L, Chen J, McKnight GS, 1004Lopez JA, Yang L, et al. 2011. Platelet microRNA-mRNA coexpression profiles 1005 correlate with platelet reactivity. Blood 117: 5189-5197. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-09-299719 1006

1007Orom UA, Nielsen FC, Lund AH 2008. MicroRNA-10a binds the 5'UTR of ribosomal 1008 protein mRNAs and enhances their translation. Mol Cell 30: 460-471.

1009Pancratov R, Peng F, Smibert P, Yang S, Jr., Olson ER, Guha-Gilford C, Kapoor AJ, 1010Liang FX, Lai EC, Flaherty MS, et al. 2013. The miR-310/13 cluster antagonizes beta-1011 catenin function in the regulation of germ and somatic cell differentiation in the 1012Drosophila testis. Development 140: 2904-2916. doi: 10.1242/dev.092817

1013Parts L, Hedman AK, Keildson S, Knights AJ, Abreu-Goodger C, van de Bunt M, 1014Guerra-Assuncao JA, Bartonicek N, van Dongen S, Magi R, et al. 2012. Extent, 1015 causes, and consequences of small RNA expression variation in human adipose 1016tissue. PLoS Genet 8: e1002704. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002704

1017Rao Y, Lee Y, Jarjoura D, Ruppert AS, Liu CG, Hsu JC, Hagan JP 2008. A comparison 1018of normalization techniques for microRNA microarray data. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol 10197: Article22.

1020Rifkin SA, Houle D, Kim J, White KP 2005. A mutation accumulation assay reveals a 1021broad capacity for rapid evolution of gene expression. Nature 438: 220-223. doi: 102210.1038/nature04114

1023Rifkin SA, Kim J, White KP 2003. Evolution of gene expression in the Drosophila 1024 melanogaster subgroup. Nat Genet 33: 138-144.

1025Ruby JG, Stark A, Johnston WK, Kellis M, Bartel DP, Lai EC 2007. Evolution, 1026biogenesis, expression, and target predictions of a substantially expanded set of 1027Drosophila microRNAs. Genome Res 17: 1850-1864.

1028Ryazansky SS, Gvozdev VA, Berezikov E 2011. Evidence for post-transcriptional 1029 regulation of clustered microRNAs in Drosophila. BMC Genomics 12: 371. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-12-371 1030

1031Schertel C, Rutishauser T, Forstemann K, Basler K 2012. Functional characterization 1032of Drosophila microRNAs by a novel in vivo library. Genetics 192: 1543-1552. doi: 10.1534/genetics.112.145383 1033

1034Schnall-Levin M, Zhao Y, Perrimon N, Berger B 2010. Conserved microRNA targeting 1035in Drosophila is as widespread in coding regions as in 3'UTRs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 1036A 107: 15751-15756. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1006172107

1037Selbach M, Schwanhausser B, Thierfelder N, Fang Z, Khanin R, Rajewsky N 2008. 1038Widespread changes in protein synthesis induced by microRNAs. Nature 455: 58-63. 1039doi: 10.1038/nature07228

1040Sempere LF, Dubrovsky EB, Dubrovskaya VA, Berger EM, Ambros V 2002. The 1041expression of the let-7 small regulatory RNA is controlled by ecdysone during 1042 metamorphosis in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol 244: 170-179.

1043Sempere LF, Sokol NS, Dubrovsky EB, Berger EM, Ambros V 2003. Temporal 1044 regulation of microRNA expression in Drosophila melanogaster mediated by 1045hormonal signals and broad-Complex gene activity. Dev Biol 259: 9-18.

1046Shen Y, Lv Y, Huang L, Liu W, Wen M, Tang T, Zhang R, Hungate E, Shi S, Wu Cl 2011. Testing hypotheses on the rate of molecular evolution in relation to gene 1047 1048expression using microRNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 108: 15942-15947. doi: 104910.1073/pnas.1110098108

1050Sokol NS, Xu P, Jan YN, Ambros V 2008. Drosophila let-7 microRNA is required for 1051 remodeling of the neuromusculature during metamorphosis. Genes Dev 22: 1591-10521596.

1053Takahashi A 2013. Pigmentation and behavior: potential association through 1054 pleiotropic genes in Drosophila. Genes Genet Syst 88: 165-174.

1055Tamura K, Subramanian S, Kumar S 2004. Temporal patterns of fruit fly (Drosophila) 1056evolution revealed by mutation clocks. Mol Biol Evol 21: 36-44. doi: 105710.1093/molbev/msg236

1058Tang T, Kumar S, Shen Y, Lu J, Wu ML, Shi S, Li WH, Wu Cl 2010. Adverse 1059interactions between micro-RNAs and target genes from different species. Proc Natl 1060Acad Sci U S A 107: 12935-12940. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1007591107

1061Tay Y, Zhang J, Thomson AM, Lim B, Rigoutsos I 2008. MicroRNAs to Nanog, Oct4 1062and Sox2 coding regions modulate embryonic stem cell differentiation. Nature 455: 1124-1128. 1063

1064Thummel CS 1996. Flies on steroids--Drosophila metamorphosis and the 1065 mechanisms of steroid hormone action. Trends Genet 12: 306-310.

1066Thummel CS 2001. Molecular mechanisms of developmental timing in C. elegans 1067 and Drosophila. Dev Cell 1: 453-465.

1068Varghese J, Cohen SM 2007. microRNA miR-14 acts to modulate a positive 1069autoregulatory loop controlling steroid hormone signaling in Drosophila. Genes Dev 21: 2277-2282. 1070

1071Waddington CH 1959. Canalization of development and genetic assimilation of 1072 acquired characters. Nature 183: 1654-1655.

1073Wheeler BM, Heimberg AM, Moy VN, Sperling EA, Holstein TW, Heber S, Peterson KJ 10742009. The deep evolution of metazoan microRNAs. Evol Dev 11: 50-68.

1075White KP, Rifkin SA, Hurban P, Hogness DS 1999. Microarray analysis of Drosophila 1076 development during metamorphosis. Science 286: 2179-2184.

1077 Wittkopp PJ, Beldade P 2009. Development and evolution of insect pigmentation: 1078 genetic mechanisms and the potential consequences of pleiotropy. Semin Cell Dev Biol 20: 65-71. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.10.002 1079

1080Wolfinger RD, Gibson G, Wolfinger ED, Bennett L, Hamadeh H, Bushel P, Afshari C, 1081Paules RS 2001. Assessing gene significance from cDNA microarray expression data 1082via mixed models. J Comput Biol 8: 625-637. doi: 10.1089/106652701753307520

1083Wu CI, Shen Y, Tang T 2009. Evolution under canalization and the dual roles of 1084 microRNAs: a hypothesis. Genome Res 19: 734-743. doi: 10.1101/gr.084640.108

1085Yanai I, Benjamin H, Shmoish M, Chalifa-Caspi V, Shklar M, Ophir R, Bar-Even A, 1086Horn-Saban S, Safran M, Domany E, et al. 2005. Genome-wide midrange 1087transcription profiles reveal expression level relationships in human tissue 1088 specification. Bioinformatics 21: 650-659. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti042

1089

1090





- 
- 







