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Abstract

We report a 6-year-old girl showing epidermolytic ichthyosis/epidermolytic hyperkeratosis (EI/EH). Targeted Next Generation Sequencing revealed a de novo, previously unidentified KRT1 mutation. The findings of this study expands the clinical and mutational spectrum and genotype-phenotype correlation associated with EI/EH.
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Introduction

The term ichthyoses encompasses a heterogeneous group of genetic skin diseases whose skin manifestations include cutaneous desquamation. Epidermolytic hyperkeratosis (autosomal dominant transmission) is a rare form of congenital ichthyosis with blisters or bullae related to acantholysis. It has a prevalence of 1: 200,000 [1] with 50% of cases occurring as spontaneous mutations [2]. The disorder is characterized by changes in keratinization caused by a failure in the keratin 1 and/or 10 filament network with fragile keratinocytes, especially of the upper epidermis. Severe forms of ichthyosis are resistant to topical treatment but oral retinoids in several cases have been shown to be a useful mode of treatment. Defects in genes for keratin 1 (KRT1) and 10 (KRT10) are the cause of epidermolytic hyperkeratosis [3].

Case Synopsis

A 6-year-old girl presenting with epidermolytic ichthyosis/epidermolytic hyperkeratosis (also referred as congenital bullous ichthyosiform erythroderma) was admitted to our tertiary regional center for ichthyoses and genodermatoses. On physical examination, she appeared malnourished and in poor health. The skin of soles, knees, and both sides of the neck was coated by thick scales with a slipper-like appearance of the palmoplantar skin (Figure 1A, B), which prevented the child from walking. Epidermolytic areas were present and evident upon the trunk.

Histopathological examination of the lesional skin was performed elsewhere during the child’s first year. Results showed orthokeratosis and epidermal acanthosis with cytolysis of the cells of granular and Malpighian layers, which caused a detachment of the upper layers of the epidermis. Ultrastructural examination also showed extensive “clumping” linked to the abnormal aggregation of the tonofilaments of granular and Malpighian layers. Such findings led to the diagnosis of epidermolytic ichthyosis.

Informed consent was obtained from patient’s parents. Genomic DNA was isolated from peripheral blood leucocytes according to standard protocols. Mutation analysis (all coding exons and splice sites) of the genes KRT1 and KRT10 were amplified using a Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) panel.
Reference sequences NM_006121.3 for \textit{KRT1} and NM_000421.3 for \textit{KRT10} were used. NGS was performed using the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Amplicon library, emulsion PCR, sequencing runs, data analysis of runs, and Sanger confirmation were performed as described in Cali et al. [4]. We identified (confirmed with Sanger sequencing, Figure 1C) a heterozygous “de novo” variant c.563A>T (p.Asn188Ile) in the \textit{KRT1} gene (NM_006121.3), (Figure 1D) to our knowledge not described before. The missense variant changes an asparagine (polar) at position 188 to an isoleucine (non-polar). The mutation detected has not been referenced as a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and has not been found in the HGMD Professional Database (www.hgmd.cf.ac.uk), 1000 Genomes Database, or Exome Aggregation Consortium. The variant is highly conserved across species and the tested “in silico predictive tool” suggested it was possibly damaging. The impact of the mutation on the structure of the protein was predicted to be “strong” according to ACMG Standard Guidelines (both maternal and paternal “de novo” mutations were confirmed in a patient with the disease and no family history).

Case Discussion

Next-generation sequencing represents a breakthrough innovation in molecular alteration screening, being a cost-effective approach to high/middle-throughput genotyping. The traditional sequencing methodology, indeed, is still too expensive for the analysis of large genes or the whole genome.

Based on clinical findings, we performed NGS for \textit{KRT1} and \textit{KRT10} genes. Next-generation sequencing analysis detected in the patient a heterozygous “de novo” variant c.563A>T (p.Asn188Ile) in the \textit{KRT1} gene (Figure 1D) never described before. This variant was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Figure 1C). Based on several criteria (not described previously in controls, in silico predictive tool, ACMG Standard Guidelines, see method), c.563A>T
(p.Asn188Ile) mutation has been indicated as implicated in the disease.

More than 40 mutations in the KRT1 gene have been found in patients with epidermic hyperkeratosis, most of which are missense mutations [5]. Genotype/phenotype correlations suggest that the most severe disease is caused by mutations in the 1A helix initiation motif of keratin 1 [6, 7], as is the residue at position 188 (p.Asn188Ile) found in our patient. The residual asparagine (Asn188) is located in the region that is involved in the lateral alignment between heterodimers and could result in impairment in intermediate filament assembly thus compromising the maintenance of both cellular and tissue integrity [8]. Other mutations have been shown to affect the p.Asn188 residue (p.Asn188His, p.Asn188Lys, p.Asn188Ser, p.Asn188Thr), which has been previously described with variable phenotype (with or without epidermolysis) in families with bullous congenital ichthyosiform erythroderma, severe neonatal blistering and palmoplantar keratodermas, and extensive epidermolytic hyperkeratosis [8-11]. It could be possible to suggest that the effects on the phenotype of p.Asn188 mutations are specifically related to the nature of each substitution. The reason why the alteration of the same residue leads to different phenotypes is still unclear. However, it is remarkable to note that the same mutation in KRT1 patients can cause a different phenotype, likely because of other factors that may influence the clinical phenotype [12].

**Conclusion**

Our patient and the finding of this novel mutation in the “target-gene” allows us to increase the number of EI/EH-related mutations and to extend the clinical features associated with variants in the KRT1 gene.
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