# UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

## Title

Greengenes2 unifies microbial data in a single reference tree

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6696v768

**Journal** Nature Biotechnology, 42(5)

**ISSN** 1087-0156

# Authors

McDonald, Daniel Jiang, Yueyu Balaban, Metin <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-05-01

# DOI

10.1038/s41587-023-01845-1

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

# nature biotechnology

**Brief Communication** 

# Greengenes2 unifies microbial data in a single reference tree

Received: 16 December 2022

Accepted: 25 May 2023

Published online: 27 July 2023

Check for updates

Daniel McDonald<sup>1</sup>, Yueyu Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Metin Balaban<sup>3</sup>, Kalen Cantrell<sup>4</sup>, Qiyun Zhu <sup>5,6</sup>, Antonio Gonzalez<sup>1</sup>, James T. Morton<sup>7</sup>, Giorgia Nicolaou<sup>8</sup>, Donovan H. Parks <sup>9</sup>, Søren M. Karst<sup>10</sup>, Mads Albertsen <sup>11</sup>, Philip Hugenholtz <sup>9</sup>, Todd DeSantis<sup>12</sup>, Se Jin Song<sup>13</sup>, Andrew Bartko <sup>13</sup>, Aki S. Havulinna <sup>14,15</sup>, Pekka Jousilahti<sup>14</sup>, Susan Cheng<sup>16,17</sup>, Michael Inouye<sup>18,19</sup>, Teemu Niiranen<sup>14,20</sup>, Mohit Jain<sup>21</sup>, Veikko Salomaa <sup>14</sup>, Leo Lahti<sup>22</sup>, Siavash Mirarab <sup>2</sup> & Rob Knight <sup>14,13,23</sup>

Studies using 16S rRNA and shotgun metagenomics typically yield different results, usually attributed to PCR amplification biases. We introduce Greengenes2, a reference tree that unifies genomic and 16S rRNA databases in a consistent, integrated resource. By inserting sequences into a whole-genome phylogeny, we show that 16S rRNA and shotgun metagenomic data generated from the same samples agree in principal coordinates space, taxonomy and phenotype effect size when analyzed with the same tree.

Shotgun metagenomics and 16S rRNA gene amplicon (16S) studies are widely used in microbiome research, but investigators using these different methods typically find their results hard to reconcile. This lack of standardization across methods limits the utility of the microbiome for reproducible biomarker discovery.

A key problem is that whole-genome resources and rRNA resources depend on different taxonomies and phylogenies. For example, Web of Life (WoL)<sup>1</sup> and the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB)<sup>2</sup> provide whole-genome trees that cover only a small fraction of known bacteria and archaea, while SILVA<sup>3</sup> and Greengenes<sup>4</sup> are more comprehensive but are most often not linked to genome records. We reasoned that an iterative approach could yield a single massive reference tree that unifies these different data layers (for example, genome and 16S rRNA records), which we call Greengenes2. We began with a whole-genome catalog of 15,953 bacterial and archaeal genomes that were evenly sampled from NCBI, and we reconstructed an accurate phylogenomic tree by summarizing evolutionary trajectories of 380 global marker genes using the new workflow uDance<sup>5</sup>. This work, namely WoL version 2 (WoL2), represents a substantial upgrade from the previously released WoL1 (10,575 genomes)<sup>1.6</sup>. We then added 18,356 full-length 16S rRNA sequences from the Living Tree Project (LTP) January 2022 release<sup>7</sup>, 1,725,274 near-complete 16S rRNA genes from

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>3</sup>Bioinformatics and Systems Biology Program, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>5</sup>School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA. <sup>6</sup>Biodesign Center for Fundamental and Applied Microbiomics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA. <sup>7</sup>Biostatistics & Bioinformatics Branch, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA. <sup>8</sup>Halicioglu Data Science Institute, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>9</sup>Australian Centre for Ecogenomics, School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland, Australia. <sup>10</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA. <sup>11</sup>Department of Chemistry and Bioscience, Aalborg University, Aalborg, Denmark. <sup>12</sup>Department of Informatics, Second Genome, Brisbane, CA, USA. <sup>13</sup>Center for Microbiome Innovation, Jacobs School of Engineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. <sup>14</sup>Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland. <sup>15</sup>Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland, FIMM-HiLIFE, Helsinki, Finland. <sup>16</sup>Division of Cardiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. <sup>17</sup>Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA. <sup>18</sup>Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. <sup>19</sup>Cambridge Baker Systems Genomics Initiative, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK. <sup>20</sup>Division of Medicine, Turku University Hospital and University of Turku, Turku, Finland. <sup>21</sup>Sapient Bioanalytics, LLC, San Diego, CA, USA. <sup>22</sup>Department



**Fig. 1** | **Greengenes2 overview and harmonization of 16S rRNA ASVs with shotgun metagenomic data. a**, The Greengenes2 phylogeny rendered using Empress<sup>23</sup>, with ASV multifurcations collapsed; tip color indicates representation in the American Gut Project (AGP), the EMP, both or neither, with the top 20 represented phyla depicted in the outer bar. b, The same collapsed phylogeny colored by the presence or absence of the best BLAST<sup>24</sup> hit from SILVA 138. The bar depicts the same coloring as the tips. **c**, EMP samples and the amount of

novel branch length (normalized by the total backbone branch length) added to the tree through ASV fragment placement. Note that sample counts are not even across EMPO3 categories. **d**, Bray–Curtis applied to paired 16S V4 rRNA ASVs and whole-genome shotgun samples from THDMI subset of The Microsetta Initiative; PC, principal coordinate. **e**, Same data as **d** but computing Bray–Curtis on collapsed genus data. **f**, Same data as **d** and **e** but using weighted UniFrac at the ASV and genome identifier levels.

Karst et al.<sup>8</sup> and the Earth Microbiome Project 500 (EMP500)<sup>9</sup> and all full-length 16S rRNA sequences from GTDB r207 to the genome-based backbone with uDance v1.1.0, producing a genome-supported phylogeny with 16S rRNA explicitly represented. Finally, we inserted 23,113,447 short V4 16S rRNA Deblur v1.1.0 (ref. 10) amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) from Qiita (retrieved 14 December 2021)<sup>11</sup> and mitochondria and chloroplast 16S rRNA from SILVA v138 using deep-learning-enabled phylogenetic placement (DEPP) v0.3 (ref. 12). This final step represents ASVs from over 300,000 public and private samples in Qiita, including the entirety of the EMP<sup>13</sup> and American Gut Project/Microsetta<sup>14</sup> (Fig. 1a). Our use of uDance ensured that the genome-based relationships are kept fixed, and relationships between full-length 16S rRNA sequences are inferred. For short fragments, we kept genome and full-length relationships fixed and inserted fragments independently from each other. Following deduplication and quality control on fragment placement, this yielded a tree covering 21,074,442 sequences from 31 different EMP Ontology 3 (EMPO3) environments, of which 46.5% of species-level leaves were covered by a complete genome. Taxonomic labels were decorated onto the phylogeny using tax2tree v1.1 (ref. 4). The input taxonomy for decoration used GTDB r207, combined

0.25

Population

0.20

White wine

0 15

Population



Fig. 2 | Taxonomic and effect size consistency between 16S rRNA ASVs and shotgun metagenomic data. a-c, Per-sample taxonomy comparisons between 16S and whole-genome shotgun profiles from THDMI. The solid bar depicts the 50th percentile, and the dashed lines are 25th and 75th percentiles. a, Assessment of 16S taxonomy with SILVA 138 using the default q2-feature-classifier naive Bayes model (note, SILVA does not annotate at the species level); GG2, Greengenes2. b, Assessment of 16S taxonomy with Greengenes 13 8 (GG13 8) using the default q2-feature-classifier naive Bayes model. c, Assessment of 16S taxonomy performed by reading the lineages directly from the phylogeny or through naive

with the LTP January 2022 release. Taxonomy was harmonized prioritizing GTDB, including preserving the polyphyletic labels of GTDB (see also Methods). The taxonomy will be updated every 6 months using the latest versions of GTDB and LTP.

Greengenes2 is much larger than past resources in its phylogenetic coverage, as compared to SILVA (Fig. 1b), Greengenes (Supplementary Fig. 1a) and GTDB (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Moreover, because our amplicon library is linked to environments labeled with EMPO categories, we can easily identify the environments that contain samples that can fill out the tree. Because metagenome assembled genome (MAG) assembly efforts can only cover abundant taxa, for each EMPO category, we plotted the amount of new branch length added to the tree by taxa whose minimum abundance is 1% in each sample (Fig. 1c). The results show, on average, which environment types will best yield new MAGs and which environments harbor individual samples that will have a large impact when sequenced.



Bray-Curtis distances (d) and weighted normalized UniFrac (e) are shown.

Past efforts to reconcile 16S and shotgun datasets have led to non-overlapping distributions, and only techniques such as Procrustes analysis can show relationships between the results<sup>15</sup>. In two large human stool cohorts<sup>14,16</sup> where both 16S and shotgun data were generated on the same samples, we find that Bray-Curtis<sup>17</sup> (non-phylogenetic) ordination fails to reconcile at the feature level (Fig. 1d) and is poor at the genus level (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 1c). However, UniFrac<sup>18</sup>, a phylogenetic method, used with our Greengenes2 tree provides better concordance (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. 1d). To examine applicability of Greengenes2 to non-human environments, we next computed both Bray-Curtis and weighted UniFrac at the feature level on the 16S and shotgun data from the EMP<sup>9</sup>. As with the human data, we observe better concordance with the use of the Greengenes2 phylogeny (Supplementary Fig. 2) despite limited representation of whole genomes from non-human sources, as these environments are not as well characterized in general.

#### **Brief Communication**

We also find that the per-sample shotgun and 16S taxonomy relative abundance profiles are concordant even to the species level. We first computed taxonomy profiles for shotgun data using the Woltka pipeline<sup>19</sup>. Using a naive Bayes classifier from q2-feature-classifier v2022.2 (ref. 20) to compare GTDB r207 taxonomy results at each level down to the genus level against SILVA v138 (Fig. 2a) or down to the species level against Greengenes v13\_8 (Fig. 2b), no species-level reconciliation was possible. By contrast, Greengenes2 provided excellent concordance at the genus level (Pearson r = 0.85) and good concordance at the species level (Pearson r = 0.65; Fig. 2c). Interestingly, the tree is now sufficiently complete such that exact matching of 16S ASVs followed by reading the taxonomy off the tree performs even better than the naive Bayes classifier (naive Bayes, Pearson r = 0.54 at the species level and r = 0.84 at the genus level).

Finally, a critical reason to assign taxonomy is downstream use of biomarkers and indicator taxa. Microbiome science has been described as having a reproducibility crisis<sup>21</sup>, but much of this problem stems from incompatible methods<sup>22</sup>. We initially used the The Human Diet Microbiome Initiative (THDMI) dataset, which is a multipopulation expansion of The Microsetta Initiative<sup>14</sup> that contains samples with paired 16S and shotgun preparations, to test whether a harmonized resource would provide concordant rankings for the variables that affect the human microbiome similarly. Using Greengenes2, the concordance was good with Bray–Curtis (Fig. 2d; Pearson  $r^2 = 0.57$ ), better using UniFrac with different phylogenies (SILVA 138 and Greengenes2; Supplementary Fig. 1e; Pearson  $r^2 = 0.77$ ) and excellent with UniFrac on the same phylogeny (Fig. 2e; Pearson  $r^2 = 0.86$ ). We confirmed these results with an additional cohort<sup>16</sup> (Supplementary Fig. 1f,g). Intriguingly, the ranked effect sizes across different cohorts were concordant.

Taken together, these results show that use of a consistent, integrated taxonomic resource dramatically improves the reproducibility of microbiome studies using different data types and allows variables of large versus small effect to be reliably recovered in different populations.

#### **Online content**

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01845-1.

#### References

- Zhu, Q. et al. Phylogenomics of 10,575 genomes reveals evolutionary proximity between domains Bacteria and Archaea. Nat. Commun. 10, 5477 (2019).
- 2. Parks, D. H. et al. GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, D785–D794 (2022).
- Quast, C. et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596 (2013).
- 4. McDonald, D. et al. An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of Bacteria and Archaea. *ISME J.* **6**, 610–618 (2012).
- Balaban, M. et al. Generation of accurate, expandable phylogenomic trees with uDANCE. *Nat. Biotechnol.* https://doi. org/10.1038/s41587-023-01868-8 (2023).
- Hugenholtz, P., Chuvochina, M., Oren, A., Parks, D. H. & Soo, R. M. Prokaryotic taxonomy and nomenclature in the age of big sequence data. *ISME J.* 15, 1879–1892 (2021).
- Ludwig, W. et al. Release LTP\_12\_2020, featuring a new ARB alignment and improved 16S rRNA tree for prokaryotic type strains. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 44, 126218 (2021).

- 8. Karst, S. M. et al. High-accuracy long-read amplicon sequences using unique molecular identifiers with Nanopore or PacBio sequencing. *Nat. Methods* **18**, 165–169 (2021).
- 9. Shaffer, J. P. et al. Standardized multi-omics of Earth's microbiomes reveals microbial and metabolite diversity. *Nat. Microbiol.* **7**, 2128–2150 (2022).
- Amir, A. et al. Deblur rapidly resolves single-nucleotide community sequence patterns. *mSystems* 2, e00191-16 (2017).
- 11. Gonzalez, A. et al. Qiita: rapid, web-enabled microbiome meta-analysis. *Nat. Methods* **15**, 796–798 (2018).
- 12. Jiang, Y., McDonald, D., Knight, R. & Mirarab, S. Scaling deep phylogenetic embedding to ultra-large reference trees: a tree-aware ensemble approach. Preprint at *bioRxiv* https://doi. org/10.1101/2023.03.27.534201 (2023).
- Thompson, L. R. et al. A communal catalogue reveals Earth's multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* 551, 457–463 (2017).
- McDonald, D. et al. American Gut: an open platform for citizen science microbiome research. mSystems 3, e00031-18 (2018).
- 15. Human Microbiome Project Consortium. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. *Nature* **486**, 207–214 (2012).
- Salosensaari, A. et al. Taxonomic signatures of cause-specific mortality risk in human gut microbiome. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 2671 (2021).
- 17. Bray, J. R. & Curtis, J. T. An ordination of the upland forest communities of southern Wisconsin. *Ecol. Monogr.* **27**, 325–349 (1957).
- Sfiligoi, I., Armstrong, G., Gonzalez, A., McDonald, D. & Knight, R. Optimizing UniFrac with OpenACC yields greater than one thousand times speed increase. *mSystems* 7, e0002822 (2022).
- Zhu, Q. et al. Phylogeny-aware analysis of metagenome community ecology based on matched reference genomes while bypassing taxonomy. *mSystems* 7, e0016722 (2022).
- Bokulich, N. A. et al. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome* 6, 90 (2018).
- 21. Schloss, P. D. Identifying and overcoming threats to reproducibility, replicability, robustness, and generalizability in microbiome research. *mBio* **9**, e00525-18 (2018).
- 22. Sinha, R. et al. Assessment of variation in microbial community amplicon sequencing by the Microbiome Quality Control (MBQC) project consortium. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **35**, 1077–1086 (2017).
- 23. Cantrell, K. et al. EMPress enables tree-guided, interactive, and exploratory analyses of multi-omic data sets. *mSystems* **6**, e01216-20 (2021).
- 24. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023, corrected publication 2023

#### Methods

#### Human research protocols

THDMI participant informed consent was obtained under University of California, San Diego, institutional review board protocol 141853. FINRISK participant informed consent was obtained under the Coordinating Ethical Committee of the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District protocol reference number 558/E3/2001.

#### Phylogeny construction

WoL2 (ref. 1; a tree inferred using genome-wide data) was used as the starting backbone. Full-length 16S sequences from the LTP<sup>7</sup>, full-length mitochondria and chloroplast from SILVA 138 (ref. 3), full-length 16S from GTDB r207 (ref. 2), full-length 16S from Karst et al.<sup>8</sup> and full-length 16S from the EMP500 (ref. 9: samples selected and sequenced specifically for Greengenes2) were collected and deduplicated. Sequences were then aligned using UPP<sup>25</sup>, and gappy sequences with less than 1,000 base pairs were removed. The resulting set of 321,210 unique sequences was used with uDance v1.1.0 to update the WoL2 backbone. Briefly, uDance updates an existing tree with new sequences and (unlike placement methods) also infers the relationship of existing sequences. uDance has two modes, one that allows updates to the backbone and one that keeps the backbone fixed, where the former mode is intended for use with whole genomes. In our analyses, we kept the backbone tree (inferred using genomic data) fixed. To extend the genomic tree with 16S data, we identified 13,249 (of 15,953 total) genomes in the WoL2 backbone tree with at least one 16S copy and used them to train a DEPP model with the weighted average method detailed later to handle multiple copies. We then used DEPP to insert all 16S copies of all genomes into the backbone and measured the distance between the genome position and the 16S position. We removed copies that were placed much further than others, as identified using a two-means approach with centroids equal to at least 13 branches. We repeated this process in a second round. For every remaining genome, we selected as its representative the copy with the minimum placement error and computed the consensus with ties. At the end, we were left with 12,344 unique 16S sequences across all WoL2 genomes. For tree inference, uDance used IQ-TREE2 (ref. 26) in fast tree search with model GTR+  $\Gamma$  after removing duplicate sequences.

Next, we collected 16S V4 ASVs from Qiita<sup>11</sup> using redbiom<sup>27</sup> (query performed 14 December 2021) from contexts 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-90nt-dd6875', 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-100nt-50b3a2', 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-125nt-92f954', 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-150nt-ac8c0b'. 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-200nt-0b8b48' and 'Deblur 2021.09-Illumina-16S-V4-250nt-8b2bff' and aligned them to the existing 16S alignment of sequences in WoL2 using UPP, setting the maximum alignment subset size to 200 (to help with scalability). The collected 16S V4 ASVs are aligned to the V4 region of the existing 'backbone' alignments. A DEPP model was then trained on the full-length 16S sequences from the backbone. DEPP constructs a neural network model that embeds sequences in high-dimensional spaces such that embedded points resemble the phylogeny in their distances. Such a model then allows insertion of new sequences into a tree using the distance-based phylogenetic insertion method APPLES-2 (ref. 28). The ASVs from redbiom were then inserted into the backbone using the trained DEPP model. To enable analyses of large datasets, we used a clustering approach with DEPP. We trained an ensemble of DEPP models corresponding to different parts of the tree and used a classifier to detect the correct subtree. During training, for species with multiple 16S, all the copies are mapped to the same leaf in the backbone tree. To train the DEPP models with multiple sequences mapped to a leaf, each site in each sequence is encoded as a probability vector of four nucleotides across all the copies.

#### Integrating the GTDB and LTP taxonomies

GTDB and LTP are not directly compatible due to differences in their curation. As a result, it is not always possible to map a species from

one resource to the other because parts of a species lineage are not present, are described using different names or have an ambiguous association due to polyphyletic taxa in GTDB (for example, Firmicutes A, Firmicutes B and so on; https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/fag#why-dosome-family-and-higher-rank-names-end-with-an-alphabetic-suffix). We integrated taxonomic data from LTP into GTDB as LTP includes species that are not yet represented in GTDB. Additionally, GTDB is actively curated, while LTP generally uses the NCBI taxonomy. To account for these differences, we first mapped any species that had a perfect species name association and revised its ancestral lineage to match GTDB. Next, we generated lineage rewrite rules using the GTDB record metadata. Specifically, we limited the metadata to records that are GTDB representatives and NCBI-type material and defined a lineage renaming from the recorded NCBI taxonomy to the GTDB taxonomy. These rewrite rules were applied from most- to least-specific taxa, and through this mechanism, we could revise much of the higher ranks of LTP. We then identified incertae sedis records in LTP that we could not map, removed their lineage strings and did not attempt to provide taxonomy for them, instead opting to rely on downstream taxonomy decoration to resolve their lineages. Next, any record that was ambiguous to map was split into a secondary taxonomy for use in backfilling in the downstream taxonomy decoration. Finally, we instrumented numerous consistency checks in the taxonomy through the process to capture inconsistent parents in the taxonomic hierarchy and consistent numbers of ranks in a lineage and to ensure that the resulting taxonomy was a strict hierarchy.

#### **Taxonomy decoration**

The original tax2tree algorithm was not well suited for a large volume of species-level records in the backbone, as the algorithm requires an internal node to place a name. If two species are siblings, the tree would lack a node to contain the species label for both taxa. To account for this, we updated the algorithm to insert 'placeholder' nodes with zero branch length as the parents of backbone records, which could accept these species labels. We further updated tax2tree to operate directly on .jplace data<sup>29</sup>, preserving edge numbering of the original edges before adding 'placeholder' nodes. To support LTP records that could not be integrated into GTDB, we instrumented a secondary taxonomy mode for tax2tree. Specifically, following the standard decoration, backfilling and name promotion procedures, we determine on a per-record basis for the secondary taxonomy what portion of the lineage is missing and place the missing labels on the placeholder node. We then issue a second round of name promotion using the existing tax2tree methods.

The actual taxonomy decoration occurs on the backbone tree, which contains only full-length 16S records and does not contain ASVs. This is done as ASV placements are independent, do not modify the backbone and would substantially increase the computational resources required. After the backbone is decorated, fragment placements from DEPP are resolved using a multifurcation strategy using the balanced-parentheses library<sup>30</sup>.

#### Phylogenetic collapse for visualization

We are unaware of phylogenetic visualization software that can display a tree with over 20,000,000 tips. To produce the visualizations in Fig. 1, we reduced the dimension of the tree by collapsing fragment multifurcations to single nodes, dropping the tree to 522,849 tips.

#### **MAG target environments**

A feature table for the 27,015 16S rRNA V4 90-nucleotide EMP samples was obtained from redbiom. The ASVs were filtered to the overlap of ASVs present in Greengenes2. Any feature with <1% relative abundance within a sample was removed. The feature table was then rarefied to 1,000 sequences per sample. The amount of novel branch length was then computed per sample by summing the branch length of each ASV's placement edge. The per-sample branch length was then normalized by the total tree branch length (excluding length contributed by ASVs).

#### Per-sample taxonomy correlations

All comparisons used THDMI<sup>14</sup> 16S and Woltka processed shotgun data. These data were accessed from Qiita study 10317 and filtered the set of features that overlap with Greengenes2 using the QIIME 2 (ref. 31) g2-greengenes2 plugin. The 16S taxonomy was assessed using either a traditional naive Bayes classifier with q2-feature-classifier and default references from QIIME 2 2022.2 or by reading the lineage directly from the phylogeny. To help improve correlations between SILVA and Greengenes2 and between Greengenes and Greengenes2, we stripped polyphyletic labelings from those data; we did not strip polyphyletic labels from the phylogenetic taxonomy comparison or the Greengenes2 16S versus Greengenes2 whole-genome shotgun (WGS) naive Bayes comparison. Shotgun taxonomy was determined by the specific observed genome records. Once the 16S taxonomy was assigned, those tables and the WGS Woltka WoL2 table were collapsed at the species, genus, family, order and class levels. We then computed a minimum relative abundance per sample in the dataset from THDMI. In each sample, we removed any feature, either 16S or WGS, below the per-sample minimum (that is, max(min(16S), min(WGS))), forming a common minimal basis for taxonomy comparison. Following filtering, Pearson correlation was computed per sample using SciPy<sup>32</sup>. These correlations were aggregated per 16S taxonomy assignment method and by each taxonomic rank. The 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles were then plotted with Matplotlib<sup>33</sup>.

#### **Principal coordinates**

THDMI Deblur 16S and Woltka processed shotgun sequencing data, against WoL2, were obtained from Qiita study 10317. Both feature tables were filtered against Greengenes2 2022.10, removing any feature not present in the tree. For the genus collapsed plot (Fig. 1e), both the 16S and WGS data features were collapsed using the same taxonomy. For all three figures, the 16S data were subsampled, with replacement, to 10,000 sequences per sample. The WGS data were subsampled, with replacement, to 1,000,000 sequences per sample. Bray–Curtis, weighted UniFrac and principal coordinates analysis were computed using q2-diversity 2022.2. The resulting coordinates were visualized with q2-emperor<sup>34</sup>.

The EMP 'EMP500' 16S and Woltka processed shotgun sequencing data, against WoL2, were obtained from Qiita study 13114. Both feature tables were filtered against Greengenes2 2022.10. The 16S data were subsampled, with replacement, to 1,000 sequences per sample. The WGS data were subsampled, with replacement, to 50,000 sequences per sample. The sequencing depth for WGS data was selected based on Supplementary Fig. 6 of Shaffer et al.<sup>9</sup>, which noted low levels of read recruitment to publicly available whole genomes. Bray–Curtis, weighted UniFrac and principal coordinates analysis were computed using q2-diversity 2022.2. The resulting coordinates were visualized with q2-emperor.

#### **Effect size calculations**

Similar to principal coordinates, data from THDMI were rarefied to 9,000 and 2,000,000 sequences per sample for 16S and WGS, respectively. Bray–Curtis and weighted normalized UniFrac were computed on both sets of data. The variables for THDMI were subset to those with at least two category values having more than 50 samples. For UniFrac with SILVA (Supplementary Fig. 1e), we performed fragment insertion using q2-fragment-insertion<sup>35</sup> into the standard QIIME 2 SILVA reference, followed by rarefaction to 9,000 sequences per sample, and then computed weighted normalized UniFrac.

For FINRISK, the data were rarefied to 1,000 and 500,000 sequences per sample for 16S and WGS, respectively. A different depth was used to account for the overall lower amount of sequencing data for FINRISK. As with THDMI, the variables selected were reduced to those with at least two category values having more than 50 samples. Support for computing paired effect sizes is part of the QIIME2 Greengenes2 plugin q2-greengenes2, which performs effect size calculations using Evident<sup>36</sup>.

#### **Reporting summary**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### **Data availability**

The official location of the Greengenes2 releases is http://ftp.microbio. me/greengenes\_release/. The data are released under a BSD-3 clause license. Data from THDMI are part of Qiita study 10317 and European Bioinformatics Institute accession number PRJEB11419. The FINRISK data and including the data presented in Supplementary Fig. 1c-g are protected; details on data access are available in the European Genome-Phenome Archive under accession number EGAD00001007035. The data presented in Supplementary Fig. 1a,b are not compatible with Excel. The EMP data are part of Qiita study 13114 and European Bioinformatics Institute accession number ERP125879. Source data are provided with this paper.

#### **Code availability**

A QIIME 2 plugin is available to facilitate use with the resource that can be obtained from ref. 37 (version 2023.3; https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.7758134). Taxonomy construction, decoration and release processing is part of ref. 38 (version 2023.3; https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.7758138). uDance is available at GitHub<sup>39</sup> (version v1.1.0; https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7758289). Phylogeny insertion using DEPP is available at ref. 40 (version 0.3; https://doi.org/10.5281/ zenodo.7768798). The trained model can be accessed via Zenodo at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7416684. Code used for the figures in this manuscript is available in ref. 41. Finally, an interactive website to explore the Greengenes2 data is available at https://greengenes2. ucsd.edu.

#### References

- Nguyen, N.-P. D., Mirarab, S., Kumar, K. & Warnow, T. Ultra-large alignments using phylogeny-aware profiles. *Genome Biol.* 16, 124 (2015).
- Minh, B. Q. et al. IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37, 1530–1534 (2020).
- 27. McDonald, D. et al. redbiom: a rapid sample discovery and feature characterization system. *mSystems* **4**, e00215-19 (2019).
- Balaban, M., Jiang, Y., Roush, D., Zhu, Q. & Mirarab, S. Fast and accurate distance-based phylogenetic placement using divide and conquer. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 22, 1213–1227 (2022).
- 29. Matsen, F. A., Hoffman, N. G., Gallagher, A. & Stamatakis, A. A format for phylogenetic placements. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e31009 (2012).
- 30. McDonald, D. Improved-octo-waddle. *GitHub* https://github.com/ biocore/improved-octo-waddle/ (2023).
- Bolyen, E. et al. Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857 (2019).
- 32. Virtanen, P. et al. SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).
- 33. Hunter, J. D. Matplotlib: a 2D graphics environment. *Comput. Sci. Eng.* **9**, 90–95 (2007).
- 34. Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Pirrung, M., Gonzalez, A. & Knight, R. EMPeror: a tool for visualizing high-throughput microbial community data. *Gigascience* **2**, 16 (2013).
- Janssen, S. et al. Phylogenetic placement of exact amplicon sequences improves associations with clinical information. *mSystems* 3, e00021-18 (2018).

- 36. Rahman, G. et al. Determination of effect sizes for power analysis of microbiome studies using large mircrobiome datasets. *Genes* https://doi.org/10.3390/genes14061239 (2023).
- McDonald, D. q2-greengenes2. GitHub https://github.com/ biocore/q2-greengenes2/ (2023).
- McDonald, D. greengenes2. GitHub https://github.com/biocore/ greengenes2 (2023).
- Balaban, M. uDance. GitHub https://github.com/balabanmetin/ uDance (2023).
- 40. Jiang, Y. DEPP. GitHub https://github.com/yueyujiang/DEPP (2023).
- McDonald, D. Greengenes2 analyses. *GitHub* https://github.com/ knightlab-analyses/greengenes2 (2023).

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported, in part, by NSF XSEDE BIO210103 (Q.Z.), NSF RAPID 20385.09 (R.K.), NIH 1R35GM14272 (S.M.), NIH U19AG063744 (R.K.), NIH U24DK131617 (R.K.), NIH DP1-AT010885 (R.K.) and Emerald Foundation 3022 (R.K.). J.T.M. was funded by the intramural research program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The dataset from THDMI was generated through support from Danone Nutricia Research and the Center for Microbiome Innovation. This work used Expanse at the San Diego Supercomputing Center through allocation ASC150046 from the Advanced Cyberinfrastructure Coordination Ecosystem: Services & Support (ACCESS) program, which is supported by NSF grants 2138259, 2138286, 2138307, 2137603 and 2138296.

#### **Author contributions**

D.M. and R.K. conceived, initiated and coordinated the project and performed analyses. D.M. and A.G. wrote infrastructure and analysis code. Y.J., M.B., Q.Z. and S.M. coordinated phylogenetic placements and reconstruction. K.C. wrote visualization code. G.N. and J.T.M. performed analyses. S.M.K. and M.A. generated 16S rRNA operons. D.H.P., P.H. and T.D. provided guidance on the genome taxonomy. S.J.S., A.B., A.S.H., P.J., S.C., M.I., T.N., M.J., V.S. and L.L. provided data used for analysis. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

D.M. is a consultant for BiomeSense, Inc., has equity and receives income. The terms of these arrangements have been reviewed and approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance with its conflict of interest policies. R.K. is a scientific advisory board member, and consultant for BiomeSense, Inc., has equity and receives income. He is a scientific advisory board member and has equity in GenCirq. He is a consultant and scientific advisory board member for DayTwo, and receives income. He has equity in and acts as a consultant for Cybele. He is a co-founder of Biota, Inc., and has equity. He is a cofounder of Micronoma, and has equity and is a scientific advisory board member. The terms of this arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of California, San Diego in accordance with its conflict of interest policies. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

### **Additional information**

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01845-1.

**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to Rob Knight.

**Peer review information** *Nature Biotechnology* thanks Robin Rohwer, C. Titus Brown and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

# nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s): Rob Knight

Last updated by author(s): Apr 25, 2023

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

## Statistics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	firmed
		The exact sample size ( $n$ ) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
$\boxtimes$		A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
		The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	$\boxtimes$	A description of all covariates tested
	$\boxtimes$	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
$\boxtimes$		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> .
$\ge$		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	$\boxtimes$	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

# Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code Full length 16S operons were collected from Qiita (https://giita.ucsd.edu) Data collection THDMI, EMP and FINRISK data were collected from Qiita (https://qiita.ucsd.edu) Amplicon sequence variants were collected from redbiom (v0.3.7) GTDB r207 SSU sequences were obtained from their FTP SILVA 138 sequences were obtained from their FTP The LTP 01.2022 sequences and taxonomy were obtained from their FTP Web of Life 2 was obtained directly, these data are now available by FTP (http://ftp.microbio.me/pub/wol2/) Figure 1A used a multifurcation collapse, implemented in q2-greengenes2 (v2022.10; https://github.com/biocore/q2-greengenes2), and Data analysis Empress (v1.2.0; https://github.com/biocore/empress) for visualization. Figure 1B, S1A-B used the same multifurcation collapse in 1A, and also used BLAST 2.12.0 Figure 1C used custom code, available under (https://github.com/knightlab-analyses/greengenes2) Figures 1D-F, S1C-D, S2 used QIIME 2 2022.11 q2-diversity and q2-emperor. 1E, S1C-D also used q2-taxa Figure 2A-C used custom code, available under (https://github.com/knightlab-analyses/greengenes2) Figure 2D-E, S1E-G used custom code now part of q2-greengenes2 For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and

reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

April 2023

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

The official location of the Greengenes2 releases is http://ftp.microbio.me/greengenes\_release/. The data are released under a BSD-3 clause license. A QIIME 2 plugin is available to facilitate use with the resource that can be obtained from https://github.com/biocore/q2-greengenes2/ (version 2023.3; DOI: 10.5281/ zenodo.7758134). Taxonomy construction, decoration, and release processing is part of https://github.com/biocore/greengenes2 (version 2023.3; DOI: 10.5281/ zenodo.7758138). uDance is available at GitHub: https://github.com/balabanmetin/uDance (version v1.1.0; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7758289). Phylogeny insertion using DEPP is available at https://github.com/yueyujiang/DEPP (version 0.3; DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.7768798); the trained model accessioned with Zenodo at 10.5281/zenodo.7416684. The THDMI data are part of Qiita study 10317, and EBI accession PRJEB11419. The FINRISK data are available under EGAD00001007035. Finally, an interactive website to explore the Greengenes2 data is available at https://greengenes2.ucsd.edu.

## Research involving human participants, their data, or biological material

Policy information about studies with <u>human participants or human data</u>. See also policy information about <u>sex, gender (identity/presentation)</u>, <u>and sexual orientation</u> and <u>race, ethnicity and racism</u>.

Reporting on sex and gender	The examination of human data was for technical consistency between two different types of sequence preparations. The focus of analyses in this manuscript was not on specific data associated with human participants. Neither sex nor gender was considered in the effect size correlations of the THDMI data, the exclusion was unintentional. Sex was included in effect size correlations with the FINRISK data but not examined specifically.
Reporting on race, ethnicity, or other socially relevant groupings	We used a socially constructed variable, THDMI_cohort, to denote what country participants of THDMI took part from.
Population characteristics	n/a
Recruitment	Participants in THDMI were recruited primarily through social media. There is likely a self selection bias for those interested in their own diets. FINRISK recruitment is described at https://thl.fi/en/web/thlfi-en/research-and-development/research-and-projects/the-national-finrisk-study
Ethics oversight	Participants in THDMI are part of the American Gut Project covered by UC San Diego HRPP protocol 141853. Details on the FINRISK ethical oversight are outlined in https://academic.oup.com/ije/article/47/3/696/4641873

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	We used all available paired 16S and WGS samples from the THDMI, EMP500 and FINRISK datasets.
Data exclusions	n/a
Replication	We demonstrate an ability to integrate 16S and WGS datasets using two independent human sample sets, as well as with environmental samples.
Randomization	n/a
Blinding	n/a

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

#### Materials & experimental systems Methods Involved in the study Involved in the study n/a n/a $\mathbf{X}$ $\boxtimes$ Antibodies ChIP-seq $\boxtimes$ Eukaryotic cell lines $\boxtimes$ Flow cytometry Palaeontology and archaeology $\boxtimes$ $\boxtimes$ MRI-based neuroimaging $\boxtimes$ Animals and other organisms $\times$ Clinical data $\times$ Dual use research of concern $\boxtimes$ Plants