

Global oncology 1



Cancer surveillance in northern Africa, and central and western Asia: challenges and strategies in support of developing cancer registries

Ariana Znaor, Sultan Eser, Hoda Anton-Culver, Ibtihal Fadhil, Anton Ryzhov, Barbara G Silverman, Karima Bendahou, Anna Demetriou, Omar Nimri, Cankut Yakut, Freddie Bray

The Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development partnership, led by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), was established in response to an overwhelming need for high-quality cancer incidence data from low-income and middle-income countries. The IARC Regional Hub for cancer registration in North Africa, Central and West Asia was founded in 2013 to support capacity building for cancer registration in each of the countries in this region. In this Series paper, we advocate the necessity for tailored approaches to cancer registration given the rapidly changing cancer landscape for this region, and the challenges faced at a national level in developing data systems to help support this process given present disparities in resources and health infrastructure. In addition, we provide an overview of the status of cancer surveillance and activities country-by-country, documenting tailored approaches that are informing local cancer-control policy, and potentially curbing the growing cancer burden across the region.

Introduction

The shift of the global cancer burden towards low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs), with more than half of the 14.1 million new cancer cases alongside a projected 60% increase in the cancer burden by 2030, has been increasingly recognised as a global health challenge.¹ The present targets of the WHO Global Monitoring Framework (ie, 25% reduction of premature mortality from cancer and other non-communicable diseases [NCDs] by 2025), alongside the UN Sustainable Development Goals (ie, a one-third mortality reduction by 2035), highlight the importance of prioritising support for NCD surveillance infrastructure through the development and enhancement of population-based cancer registries (PBCR), in parallel with complementary risk factor and mortality data systems.²

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has provided sustained support for cancer surveillance activities throughout its 50-year history, with a particular focus on the development of PBCR for local and global cancer-control planning and research.³ Although technological developments have led to increases in data quality over the past few decades in high-income countries, increasing inequities in health infrastructure, resources, and competing priorities have resulted in inadequate availability of high-quality cancer data in LMICs. An overwhelming need remains for registry implementation as a core component of disease surveillance and national health planning. To facilitate this goal, the Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development partnership, led by IARC, has developed six regional hubs that directly support country-led capacity building for cancer registration (figure 1). The main roles of the hubs include the delivery of structured

programmes in technical training, consultancies through site visits, mentorship arrangements, analysis to produce scientific and policy reports, formation of regional networks, and advocacy to increase cancer awareness and political commitments to cancer surveillance. In October, 2013, the IARC Regional Hub for cancer registration in North Africa, Central and West Asia was launched.⁴ The Hub, hosted by the Izmir Cancer Registry, Turkey, spans a geographically and culturally diverse set of 30 countries and territories, each at different stages of cancer registry and national cancer-control plans development (figure 2). The Hub Advisory Committee, with representatives of main regional partners, has been established to provide leadership and strategic directions for the planning, implementation, and review of the Hub's activities. The agreements with key partners, such as WHO Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office (WHO EMRO), WHO Regional Office for Europe (WHO EURO), and the US National Cancer Institute Center for Global Health, helped initiate a new set of actions in cancer surveillance development across the region. This Series paper provides an overview of the socioeconomic and health-care system context in northern Africa, and central and western Asia, and outlines the cancer burden and surveillance strategies for this region. To describe the scale and profile of cancer for this region, we used the estimates from GLOBOCAN,⁵ which are based on best data available. These estimates should nevertheless be interpreted with a degree of caution given the modest coverage by PBCR in this region. We identified the tailored approaches being adopted to ensure national progress in cancer-control planning through the availability of robust cancer data in three subregions of this area.

Lancet Oncol 2018; 19: e85–92

This is the first in a Series of four papers on global oncology

Section of Cancer Surveillance, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France (A Znaor MD, F Bray PhD); Izmir Cancer Registry, Izmir Provincial Public Health Directorate, Izmir, Turkey (S Eser MD, C Yakut MD); Department of Epidemiology, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA (Prof H Anton-Culver MD); Non-communicable Diseases Department, Ministry of Health and Prevention, United Arab Emirates (I Fadhil MD); National Cancer Registry of Ukraine, Ukrainian National Cancer Institute, Kiev, Ukraine (A Ryzhov PhD); Israel National Cancer Registry, Israel Center for Disease Control, Ministry of Health, Ramat Gan, Israel (B G Silverman MD); Casablanca Cancer Registry, Mohammed VI Center for Cancer Treatment, Ibn Rochd University Hospital, Casablanca, Morocco (K Bendahou MD); Cyprus Cancer Registry, Ministry of Health, Nicosia, Cyprus (A Demetriou MBA); and Jordan Cancer Registry, Ministry of Health, Amman, Jordan (O Nimri MD)

Correspondence to: Dr Ariana Znaor, Cancer Surveillance Section, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon 69372, France
znaora@iarc.fr




Figure 1: World map of IARC Regional Hubs
IARC=International Agency for Research on Cancer.




Figure 2: Countries in IARC Regional Hub for cancer registration in North Africa, Central and West Asia by levels of cancer registry development

High-quality PBCR—national or, in most cases, subnational PBCR data published in Cancer Incidence in Five Countries (CI5) Volume XI;¹¹ PBCR—national or subnational PBCR data available, but not published in CI5 Volume XI; Registration activities—plans to establish PBCR or PBCR established, but data not yet available. PBCR=population-based cancer registries. IARC=International Agency for Research on Cancer.

Northern Africa

Northern Africa has had major emigration over the past half century, with 1.3–1.5 million migrants from former French colonies emigrating to France annually from the mid-1970s to the mid-1980s, and further emigration to other European countries, North America, and Gulf countries.⁶ After the Arab Spring in 2011, parts of northern Africa were left in political unrest—ie, the separation of South Sudan and continuous armed conflicts in Libya—taking a toll on health-care systems already in need of greater government accountability.⁷ According to the UN Development Programme, most northern African countries have a medium or high Human Development

Index (HDI), except for Sudan and South Sudan, which both have a low HDI (table).⁸

The first PBCR of high quality was in Setif, Algeria, which published incidence data from 1988–92 in Cancer Incidence in Five Continents (CI5) volume VI.⁹ Several registries were established in north Africa;¹⁰ registries from Tunisia (north), Libya (Benghazi), and Egypt (Gharbiah) comprising data from 2003–07 were considered of sufficient quality to be included (in addition to Setif) in CI5 volume X, but not in the subsequent volume XI.^{9,11} Registry developments and collaborative studies involving registries in high-resource settings (eg, the Benghazi registry, Libya, with the Modena registry, Italy) have been facilitated by technical support from IARC and WHO EMRO.¹² Other initiatives have contributed financial support for cancer registration, both regionally and nationally; the Gharbiah registry was initially supported by the Middle East Cancer Consortium via funding from the US National Cancer Institute, and the Lalla Salma Association in Morocco supported the establishment of Grand Casablanca regional registry during the mid-2000s.¹⁰

Given the scarce resources and limited technical capacity in countries in northern Africa, exacerbated in some cases by state failure, conflict recurrence, and ongoing migration, the challenges for cancer registration in this subregion apply equally to the processes of acquiring information on patients with cancer and to obtaining accurate population data.¹³ An additional problem noted across the EMRO region is the low quality and accessibility of mortality data, which is now being addressed by the Regional Strategy for the Improvement of Civil Registration and Vital Statistics Systems. Mortality is a crucial indicator of progress in cancer control alongside incidence and survival, as well as being an essential data source for cancer registration both in terms of estimating cancer incidence and survival, and evaluating registry data quality.^{14–16}

According to GLOBOCAN 2012 estimates, over 220 000 new cancer cases and over 143 000 cancer deaths occur in northern Africa annually.⁵ The liver, lung, bladder, and colorectum represent the most common cancer sites in men, whereas the breast, liver, colorectum, and cervix are the most frequent sites in women. The increased incidence of liver cancer in the region is largely driven by its incidence in Egypt, which is linked to a high historical prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection.^{17,18} Although the overall incidence of cancer in northern Africa is between a third and a half of that observed in Europe,^{5,10,19} reports from the established registries in Algeria and Tunisia indicate that incidence of most common cancers is increasing, except the incidence of cervical cancer, which is decreasing in both countries.^{20,21}

Morocco was the first northern African country with an operational cancer plan that incorporates cancer surveillance (National Cancer Control Plan 2010–19). The progress achieved in cancer control in the country since the plan's introduction, which was facilitated by the Lalla Salma Foundation, provides further evidence of the need

to include non-governmental bodies in the creation of a framework for cancer surveillance. Algeria and Tunisia introduced cancer plans for 2015–19 that include action items to improve the quality of both cancer registration

and death certification. The National Cancer Strategy for Sudan 2012–16 mentions the role of the cancer registry and its status, but does not include a development plan involving its integration into cancer-control planning.²²

	Human Development Index	History of cancer registration	Cancer incidence ^a (age-standardised rate [world standard]* per 100 000) (men:women)	National cancer-control plan ²²	Main challenges	Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development actions (2012–16)
Northern Africa	Medium to high	From 1980s, starting in hospitals	92–158:91–148	..	Inadequate follow-up systems and scarcity of survival data due to inaccessibility of mortality data or methods in place to ascertain vital status via other means; inadequate referral systems; inadequate funding or sustainability; insufficient technical capacity	Advocacy; technical support; training
Morocco	Medium	From mid-2000s, supported by Lalla Salma Foundation	123:114	Yes, 2010–19	Low quality of mortality data; inadequate funding; problems with software	Site visit and recommendations, 2014
Algeria	High	Regional Cancer Registries in CI5 volumes VI–XI	116:133	Yes, 2015–19	Lack of mortality data; inadequate funding; problems with software	No country-specific activities
Tunisia	High	Regional Cancer Registries in CI5 volumes IX and X	127:96	Yes, 2015–19	Lack of mortality data; inadequate funding; problems with software	Site visit and recommendations, 2014
Libya	High	Benghazi Cancer Registry in CI5 volume X, registry stopped working (no longer exists as PBCR)	136:113	No	Armed conflicts	WHO EMRO cancer registry assessment workshop, 2016
Egypt	Medium	Cancer registry in Gharbiah in CI5 volumes IX and X, National Cancer Registry Program established in 2009	158:148	No	Scarcity of mortality data	Site visit and recommendations, 2014; WHO EMRO basic cancer registration course, Cairo, Egypt, 2014
South Sudan	Low	No information	123:143	No	No information	No activities
Sudan	Low	Hospital-based cancer registry aiming to expand to a PBCR, in particular Gezira and Khartoum	92:91	No	Mostly hospital-based cancer registries	Cancer registration workshop, IAEA, 2014
Central Asia and former Soviet Union	Medium to high	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	97–306:103–226	..	Non-adherence to international standards; low visibility	Technical support; training; resources in Russian
Armenia	High	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	306:226	NCD plan, 2016–20	Non-adherence to international standards; low visibility; no software	Site visit with IAEA and recommendations, 2012
Azerbaijan	High	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	166:124	No	Mostly hospital-based cancer registries	On-site training of the registry team at Izmir Cancer Registry, 2016
Georgia	High	CanReg5 translated into Georgian; project on cancer registration started in 2012	208:164	No	Mostly hospital-based cancer registries; inadequate funding	Site visit with IAEA and recommendations, 2014
Kazakhstan	High	Cancer registry database since 2002; electronic registry of cancer patients since 2015	282:217	Yes, 2012–16	Non-adherence to international standards	Site visits (Global Initiative for Cancer Registration and IAEA) and recommendations, 2016; basic cancer registration course, Astana, 2014
Kyrgyzstan	Medium	Data from 1986–87 in CI5 volume VI; Cancer Registry Project by the Ministry of Health started in 2015	152:129	NCD plan, 2013–20	Lack of commitment to cancer registration; unclear location; inadequate funding	Site visits and recommendations, 2015 and 2016; technical support setting up CanReg5 system
Tajikistan	Medium	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	129:112	Yes, 2010–15	Poorly developed infrastructure; no funding for cancer registration	Site visits and recommendations, 2012; IAEA Programme of Action for Cancer Therapy
Turkmenistan	Medium	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	159:133	NCD plan, 2014–20	No information	No country-specific activities
Uzbekistan	Medium	Mandatory notification across the former Soviet Union	97:103	No	Mostly hospital-based cancer registries	Site visit and recommendations, 2013

(Table continues on next page)

	Human Development Index	History of cancer registration	Cancer incidence ⁵ (age-standardised rate [world standard]* per 100 000) (men:women)	National cancer-control plan ²²	Main challenges	Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development actions (2012–16)
(Continued from previous page)						
Western Asia†
Gulf countries	High to very high	From the USA, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results based programme	79–113:81–135	..	Inadequate follow-up systems and a lack of survival data due to inaccessibility of mortality data or methods in place to ascertain vital status via other means; inadequate referral systems and under-reporting from data sources within the private sector; retention of staff and sustainability	Integration with the health-care system; technical support; training
Bahrain	Very high	National cancer registry, data on nationals in CI5 volumes IX–XI	113:122	Yes, 2010–20	Lack of mortality data; registration of non-nationals	No country-specific activities
Kuwait	Very high	National cancer registry data on both nationals and non-nationals in CI5 volumes V–XI	90:123	Yes, 2013–18	Low-quality mortality data	Basic cancer registration course for Persian Gulf countries, November, 2015
Oman	High	Cancer registry since 1996	79:92	No	Low quality of mortality data; inadequate funding; few staff	CanReg5 consultancy visit by GICR expert, 2016
Qatar	Very high	National cancer registry, data on nationals in CI5 volumes X and XI	103:135	Yes, 2011–16	Registration of non-nationals	No country-specific activities
Saudi Arabia	Very high	National cancer registry, data on nationals for Riyadh in CI5 volumes X and XI	86:103	Yes, 2014–25	Registration of non-nationals; registration outside the Riyadh region	Site visit and recommendations, 2013
United Arab Emirates	Very high	Hospital-based cancer registry in Tawam hospital, Abu Dhabi; plan to introduce PBCR	84:127	NCD plan, 2012–21	Hospital-based cancer registries; retention of staff	No country-specific activities
Yemen	Low	Aden Cancer Registry	81:81	No	Armed conflicts	Collaborative project on analysing time trends
Other western Asia†
Cyprus	High	National cancer registry since 1998, data in CI5 volumes IX–XI	218:198	Yes, 2009	Inadequate funding	Collaborative research agreement, 2016
West Bank and Gaza Strip	Medium	Former Middle East Cancer Consortium registry, since 1998	150:143	No	Scarce infrastructure; location unclear; few trained staff	Site visit and recommendations, 2015
Iraq	Medium	Cancer registry established in 1976, CanReg4 software	145:132	NCD plan, 2013–17	Armed conflicts; little training	Cancer registry assessment workshop and recommendations, 2016
Israel	Very high	National cancer registry, data in CI5 volumes II–XI	318:259	In preparation	Better use of hospital information systems; training new staff	No country-specific activities
Jordan	High	Former Middle East Cancer Consortium registry, since 1996, data in CI5 volume XI	153:158	No	Scarcity of mortality data; large proportion of refugee populations	Collaborative research agreement, 2016
Lebanon	High	National pathology-based registration	204:193	NCD plan, 2016–20	Pathology-based cancer registration	Site visit (IAEA Programme of Action for Cancer Therapy) and recommendations, 2013
Syria	Medium	Cancer registry using CanReg4 software	148:145	No	Armed conflicts	No country-specific activities
Turkey	High	Regional cancer registries in CI5 volumes IX–XI	258:162	Yes, 2013–18	Questionable quality of mortality data	Activities organised with Cancer Control Department, Ministry of Health: six basic cancer registration courses; five CanReg Courses; three advanced courses; two workshops; four site visits

CI5=Cancer Incidence in Five Countries; EMRO=Eastern Mediterranean Regional Office; PBCR=population-based cancer registry; IAEA=International Atomic Energy Agency; NCD=non-communicable diseases.
*Based on world standard population. †Summary indicators not presented as the region is very diverse.

Table: Cancer registration setting and development in countries within the North Africa, Central and West Asia Hub

IARC and the northern African registries have a long history of collaboration. After the establishment of the IARC Regional Hub, country assessments were done and recommendations provided to Algeria, Egypt, Libya, and Morocco. Morocco also hosted the Annual Scientific Conference of the International Association of Cancer

Registries in 2016 and included 47 registry participants across the northern Africa region. From 2014, action plans for cancer surveillance in the region have been developed in partnership with WHO EMRO.

Central Asia

The five central Asian countries that formed part of the former Soviet Union (Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan), until its breakdown in 1991, are distinct in their ethnic composition, languages, and religion. In the years that followed the dissolution of the Soviet Union, each nation initially showed declines in gross domestic product and public health spending, with Tajikistan faring the worst of the five countries as a result of a civil war that lasted 6 years.^{23,24} The different attitudes of countries toward market economies and information sharing have also reflected on the degree of optimal utilisation of available development funds. Hence, programmatic aid and direct budgetary assistance by donors were welcomed in Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan, but less in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan. Kazakhstan appears the most open to unrestricted competition for development funds and is currently the only country in the region with a high HDI (table).^{8,23,25} Health-care systems in central Asian countries stem from the Soviet Semashko model, which is characterised by a largely centralised state-controlled system with universal access to health care, often with many staff, while not being necessarily efficient in terms of health outcomes and quality of care provided.²⁶ One of the characteristics of this system is universal coverage by specialised care at the primary level, with oncologists in charge of cancer prevention and follow-up leading to high-quality surveillance.²⁷ The obligatory reporting of health statistics involves the compilation of predefined forms in the medical statistics office of a hospital, which are then processed by a medical statistician, a profile that is unique to the former Soviet Union countries. Cancer incidence data are then reported from regional to national oncology centres and subsequently to the Ministry of Health in what is often in an aggregated, commonly paper-based, format.

However, as the countries changed in the post-Soviet era, cancer registration procedures diverged from common standards resulting in loss of comparability across the region and internationally. An additional challenge shared with formerly communist European countries is the perception that a cancer registry is a regime-affiliated administrative body. This perception might have partly led to the low status of cancer registry physicians, resulting in poor visibility of cancer registry data, and a lack of opportunities to sustain and to expand cancer surveillance activities as a consequence.²⁸ The absence of high-quality cancer data in central Asia is worth noting (table), except for the project-based data from Kyrgyzstan covering 1986–87, which are published in CI5 volume VI.⁹ Registration activities in Kyrgyzstan

only recommenced in 2015 as a project of the country's Ministry of Health, in collaboration with WHO EURO. Kazakhstan has the longest population-based incidence series in the region, dating back to 2004, and currently has the most developed cancer surveillance system in central Asia. By contrast, Tajikistan still has no infrastructure for efficient cancer registration, Uzbekistan's cancer registration is hospital-based, and Turkmenistan has only just introduced software to commence cancer registration.

Estimates of the cancer burden in central Asia are based on the mortality data available from the WHO mortality database for all five countries.²⁹ Although the estimated regional incidence in 2012 was comparatively low (168 per 100 000 men, 148 per 100 000 women), the estimated number of new cancer cases was over 80 000, which is projected to increase 60% by 2030 based on demographic changes.⁵ The observed cancer profiles align with those in many low to medium HDI countries, with a high residual burden of infection-related cancers, in particular stomach cancer in both sexes, and cervical cancer as the second most common cancer in women in central Asia.^{5,30} Cancer-control efforts are gathering momentum, with Kazakhstan introducing a cancer-control plan for 2012–16, and Kyrgyzstan adopting the National Program on Prevention and Control of NCDs.²² A common feature of these plans is to introduce hospital information systems that encompass all mandatory cancer statistics notifications, and make provision for cancer registry data. Although the value of hospital information systems is well established, maintaining access to other independent data sources, such as mortality data, is essential to ensure robust population-based data collection is feasible.³¹

The IARC Regional Hub's activities in the region have focused on establishing links with the cancer surveillance community, and provides training and materials in Russian. The first IARC cancer registration course in the Russian language was held in Astana in 2014, from which learning resources in Russian and recommendations for cancer registration in the former Soviet Union, the Astana Recommendations,³² were developed. A further cancer registration course with WHO EURO was held in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan, in 2016. At the national level, site visits were completed and recommendations provided to Uzbekistan, Kazakhstan, and Kyrgyzstan, which was the first country to implement the Russian version of the CanReg5 software developed by IARC.³³

Western Asia

Western Asia is a diverse region including countries in the Middle East, as well as countries of the Caucasus, previously in the former Soviet Union. Although the development of surveillance systems has been thwarted by conflict and population migration in many countries in this region, several cancer registries including the national registry in Israel and subnational registries in Turkey (including Izmir, the host of the Hub) have

managed to sustain high-quality data.⁹ 14 high-quality PBCRs from western Asia, mostly subnational, were included in the CI5 volume XI.¹¹ In the whole region, the projected cancer incidence is expected to increase by approximately 75% over two decades, with over 746 000 new cases predicted in 2030.⁵ Because of the marked difference in HDI and corresponding cancer profiles relative to the rest of the region, the Gulf region is described separately.^{5,30}

Gulf countries

Other than Yemen, each country has a high or very high HDI and is characterised by major immigration, particularly of men of working age. Despite cancer care being available in both public and private sectors, access to care is variable particularly for non-nationals who form a large proportion of the population, and for whom health-care indicators are frequently missing.^{34–36}

The oldest cancer registry in the Gulf is the Kuwaiti Cancer Registry, with data registered from 1979–2012 compiled in CI5 volumes V to XI. Other registries in the region developed in the 1990s, with national data from Oman published in CI5 volume IX, and data for Bahraini, Qatari, and Saudi (Riyadh) populations included in volumes X and XI.^{9,11} In 2011, a report on 10-year cancer incidence among nationals of the Gulf Cooperation Council states (1998–2007) was published by the Gulf Centre for Cancer Control and Prevention.³⁷

The inadequate availability or low quality of mortality data, partly due to rapid burial tradition, is shared by most Arabic countries, yet a particular challenge in the region is the registration and follow-up of non-nationals as they frequently return to their home countries for additional work-up and treatment.⁷ Thus far, only Kuwait has been able to provide high-quality cancer data for nationals and non-nationals for their country.^{9,11} In this region, cancer registrars are commonly non-nationals, which leads to a large staff turnover. Still, the only countries that have not published population-based data are the United Arab Emirates and Yemen. Several countries—such as Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and United Arab Emirates—have initiated the use of new software systems within broader health information systems, but no systematic assessment of data quality has been made thus far.

GLOBOCAN 2012 has estimated just under 37 000 new cancer cases and 21 300 cancer deaths annually for this region of 70 million in the seven Gulf countries (Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, and Yemen). Despite the low number of new cancer cases, the cancer burden is expected to double over the next decades according to population projections,⁵ while an increased adoption of western lifestyles is likely to augment current incidence rates,^{35,38–40} with obesity prevalence and physical inactivity, notably among women, among the highest in the world for this region.³⁸

Cancer incidence patterns in the Gulf countries differ accordingly from those observed in the rest of western

Asia, with colorectal cancer the most common cancer in men and the third most common cancer in women, whereas haematological malignancies are the second most common cancer in both sexes.^{5,19,30} The Health Ministers' Council for the Gulf Cooperation Council states played a leading role in cancer-control initiatives in the Gulf countries, emphasising the importance of cancer-control planning at its 43rd conference, which was held in Geneva, 1997. The conference was followed by the development of a 10-year strategy (2010–20).⁴¹ At the national level, most Gulf countries have cancer plans that include cancer surveillance.²²

In addition to the action plan with the WHO EMRO, training activities and research collaborations are planned in the Western Asia region. The IARC Regional Hub for cancer registration in North Africa, Central and West Asia also has an active role in supporting the registries in advocating legislation for cancer registration and obtaining access to death certificates and data sources from within the private sector. Many other countries in western Asia have ongoing conflicts, with large numbers of refugees and internally displaced people (table). A registry assessment workshop in Iraq, convened by WHO EMRO in Erbil in 2016, with participation of cancer registry professionals from all regions, provided a good model to support building cancer registry infrastructure in the near future.

Conclusion

The opportunities for establishing a viable national cancer surveillance system are closely linked to a country's stage of development, with countries that have a very high or high HDI tending to have oncology care infrastructure already in place, along with readily accessible information on patients via electronic rather than paper-based medical records.³¹ Although several examples of high-quality surveillance systems from low-income countries are available,⁹ a specific problem in northern Africa, and in central and western Asia, remains the political instability and low government accountability, impeding access to universal health care and evidence-based cancer-control planning.³⁴ Armed conflicts and migrations are making cancer care and cancer surveillance even more challenging, and have resulted in either the cessation of operations (eg, Benghazi, Libya, and Aden, Yemen) or the inability of long-established cancer registration systems, such as that in Iraq, to produce high-quality data.^{9,42,43} The protracted conflicts and an unprecedented number of 65·6 million forcibly displaced people worldwide,⁴⁴ imposes a need to develop mechanisms to extend cancer care and surveillance to both displaced and host populations.⁴⁵

In view of the widespread adoption of western lifestyles, and the projected rise in cancer due to population ageing and growth, the cost of inaction is high. Steps should be taken now to build capacity for cancer registration across

Search strategy and selection criteria

We identified references for this Series paper through searches of PubMed using the search terms “cancer registry”, “cancer incidence”, “northern Africa”, “central Asia”, “western Asia”, and “Middle East”. We did not apply any date restrictions (last search was October, 2017). We only reviewed papers published in English. We generated the final reference list on the basis of originality and relevance to the broad scope of this Series paper.

the northern Africa, and central and western Asia region, to facilitate networking, and to sensitise governments and other stakeholders to the indispensability of cancer surveillance for cancer control. Linking with WHO and UN targets and frameworks for monitoring NCDs at a national level, providing concerted efforts to accelerate the availability of regional and national PBCR through the Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development partnership,⁴ is translating into a global effort that can enable cancer data to inform and to measure the impact of cancer action.

Contributors

All authors contributed to the preparation of this Series paper, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the final version. AZ and FB were responsible for the conceptualisation; and AZ was responsible for writing the manuscript.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

We thank Les Mery, the Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development programme manager, for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful comments. There was no funding source for this study.

References

- Bray F. Transitions in global development and the global cancer burden. In: Stewart BW, Wild C, eds. *World Cancer Report 2014*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014: 54–68.
- Pineros M, Znaor A, Mery L, Bray F. A global cancer surveillance framework within noncommunicable disease surveillance: making the case for population-based cancer registries. *Epidemiol Rev* 2017; **39**: 161–69.
- Parkin DM. The evolution of the population-based cancer registry. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 603–12.
- IARC. Global initiative for cancer registry development. 2016. <http://gicr.iarc.fr/> (accessed March 29, 2017).
- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, et al. *GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2013. <http://globocan.iarc.fr> (accessed March 29, 2017).
- Kassar H, Marzouk D, Anwar WA, Lakhous C, Hemminki K, Khyatti M. Emigration flows from North Africa to Europe. *Eur J Public Health* 2014; **24** (suppl 1): 2–5.
- Batniji R, Khatib L, Cammett M, et al. Governance and health in the Arab world. *Lancet* 2014 **25**; **383**: 343–55.
- Jahan S. *Human development report 2015. Work for human development*. New York, NY: United Nations Development Programme, 2015. http://hdr.undp.org/sites/default/files/2015_human_development_report.pdf (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- Ferlay J, Bray F, Steliarova-Foucher E, Forman D. Cancer incidence in five continents, volumes I to X. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014. <http://ci5.iarc.fr/CI5I-X/Default.aspx> (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- Zanetti R, Tazi MA, Rosso S. New data tells us more about cancer incidence in North Africa. *Eur J Cancer* 2010; **46**: 462–66.
- Bray F, Colombet M, Mery L, et al. *Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, Volume XI (electronic version)*. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2017. <http://ci5.iarc.fr> (accessed Nov 1, 2017).
- El Mistiri M, Verdecchia A, Rashid I, El SN, El MM, Federico M. Cancer incidence in eastern Libya: the first report from the Benghazi Cancer Registry, 2003. *Int J Cancer* 2007; **120**: 392–97.
- State failure and conflict recurrence. Centre for the Study of African Economies. 2017. <http://www.csaee.ox.ac.uk/resprogs/sfacr/default.html> (accessed March 3, 2017).
- Mikkelsen L, Phillips DE, AbouZahr C, et al. A global assessment of civil registration and vital statistics systems: monitoring data quality and progress. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 1395–406.
- AbouZahr C, de Savigny D, Mikkelsen L, et al. Civil registration and vital statistics: progress in the data revolution for counting and accountability. *Lancet* 2015; **386**: 1373–85.
- Regional Committee for the eastern Mediterranean. Regional strategy for the improvement of civil registration and vital statistics systems 2014–2019. EM/RC60/R.7. October, 2013. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/123413/1/RC60_Resolutions_2013_R7_15140_EN.pdf (accessed Jan 20, 2017).
- Ibrahim AS, Khaled HM, Mikhail NN, Baraka H, Kamel H. Cancer incidence in Egypt: results of the national population-based cancer registry program. *J Cancer Epidemiol* 2014; **2014**: 437971.
- Kandeel A, Genedy M, El-Refai S, Funk AL, Fontanet A, Talaat M. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in Egypt 2015: implications for future policy on prevention and treatment. *Liver Int* 2016; **37**: 45–53.
- Kulháňová I, Bray F, Fadhil I, et al. Profile of cancer in the Eastern Mediterranean region: the need for action. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2017; **47**: 125–32.
- Hamdi CM, Serraino D, Mahnane A, et al. Time trends of cancer incidence in Setif, Algeria, 1986–2010: an observational study. *BMC Cancer* 2014; **14**: 637.
- Missouli N, Trabelsi A, Parkin DM, et al. Trends in the incidence of cancer in the Sousse region, Tunisia, 1993–2006. *Int J Cancer* 2010; **127**: 2669–77.
- ICCP Portal—the one-stop shop online resource for cancer planners. International Cancer Control Partnership. <http://www.iccp-portal.org/> (accessed Dec 12, 2016).
- Ulikpan A, Mirzoev T, Jimenez E, Malik A, Hill PS. Central Asian Post-Soviet health systems in transition: has different aid engagement produced different outcomes? *Glob Health Action* 2014; **7**: 24978.
- McKee M, Healy J, Falkingham J, eds. *Health care in central Asia*. Buckingham, PA: Open University Press, 2002.
- OECD. *Aid effectiveness 2011: progress in implementing the Paris declaration*. Paris: Better Aid, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development Publishing, 2011. <http://www.oecd.org/dac/effectiveness/2011surveyonmonitoringtheparisdeclaration.htm> (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- Borowitz M, Antun R. The unfinished journey from Semashko to Bismarck: health reform in Central Asia from 1991 to 2006. *Cent Asian Surv* 2006; **25**: 9–40.
- WHO. *International statistical classification of diseases and related health problems, 10th revision, volume 2, 2010 edn*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2011.
- Znaor A, van den Hurk C, Primic-Zakelj M, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in South Eastern Europe in the last decade: gaps persist compared with the rest of Europe. *Eur J Cancer* 2013; **49**: 1683–91.
- IARC cancer mortality database. 2016. <http://www-dep.iarc.fr/WHOdb/WHOdb.htm> (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- Fidler MM, Soerjomataram I, Bray F. A global view on cancer incidence and national levels of the human development index. *Int J Cancer* 2016; **139**: 2436–46.
- Bray F, Znaor A, Cueva P, et al. *Planning and developing population-based cancer registration in low- and middle-income settings*. IARC Technical Publication No 43. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2014.

- 32 Global Initiative for Cancer Registry Development. The Astana Recommendations. CN/43/37 AZ/kv. Nov 7, 2014. http://gicr.iarc.fr/public/docs/Astana_Recommendations.pdf (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- 33 International Association of Cancer Registries. CanReg5. http://www.iacr.com.fr/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=9:canreg5&catid=68&Itemid=5 (accessed Dec 15, 2016).
- 34 Batmiji R, Khatib L, Cammett M, et al. Governance and health in the Arab world. *Lancet* 2014; **383**: 343–55.
- 35 Rahim HF, Sibai A, Khader Y, et al. Non-communicable diseases in the Arab world. *Lancet* 2014; **383**: 356–67.
- 36 El-Zein A, DeJong J, Fargues P, Salti N, Hanieh A, Lackner H. Who's been left behind? Why sustainable development goals fail the Arab world. *Lancet* 2016; **8**: 207–10.
- 37 Al-Kawari M, Alsayyad J, Bazarbashi S, et al. Ten-year cancer incidence among nationals of the GCC States 1998–2007. Riyadh: Gulf Center for Cancer Control and Prevention, 2011.
- 38 Mabry RM, Reeves MM, Eakin EG, Owen N. Evidence of physical activity participation among men and women in the countries of the Gulf cooperation council: a review. *Obes Rev* 2010; **11**: 7–64.
- 39 MUSAIGER AO. Overweight and obesity in eastern Mediterranean region: prevalence and possible causes. *J Obes* 2011; **2011**: 407237.
- 40 MUSAIGER AO, AL-HAZZAA HM, TAKRURI HR, MOKHATAR N. Change in nutrition and lifestyle in the eastern Mediterranean region: health impact. *J Nutr Metab* 2012; **2012**: 436762.
- 41 Al-Othman S, Haoudi A, Alhomoud S, Alkhenizan A, Khoja T, Al-Zahrani A. Tackling cancer control in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries. *Lancet Oncol* 2015; **16**: e246–57.
- 42 El Mistini M, Salati M, Marcheselli L, et al. Cancer incidence, mortality, and survival in Eastern Libya: updated report from the Benghazi Cancer Registry. *Ann Epidemiol* 2015; **25**: 564–68.
- 43 Al Hilfi TK, Lafta R, Burnham G. Health services in Iraq. *Lancet* 2013; **1**: 9–48.
- 44 United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. Figures at a glance. June 19, 2017. Available from: URL: <http://www.unhcr.org/figures-at-a-glance.html> (accessed Dec 12, 2017).
- 45 Spiegel P, Khalifa A, Mateen FJ. Cancer in refugees in Jordan and Syria between 2009 and 2012: challenges and the way forward in humanitarian emergencies. *Lancet Oncol* 2014; **15**: e290–97.