UC Santa Barbara

UC Santa Barbara Previously Published Works

Title

Placing our current hyperthermal in the context of rapid climate change in our geological past

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/66b9b20m

Journal

Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2130)

ISSN

1364-503X

Authors

Foster, Gavin L Hull, Pincelli Lunt, Daniel J <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2018-10-13

DOI

10.1098/rsta.2017.0086

Peer reviewed

PHILOSOPHICAL TRANSACTIONS A

rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org

Introduction



Cite this article: Foster GL, Hull P, Lunt DJ, Zachos JC. 2018 Placing our current 'hyperthermal' in the context of rapid climate change in our geological past. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**: 20170086. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0086

Accepted: 7 August 2018

One contribution of 11 to a discussion meeting issue 'Hyperthermals: rapid and extreme global warming in our geological past'.

Subject Areas:

climatology

Keywords:

hyperthermal, climate change, unknown unknowns

Author for correspondence:

Gavin L. Foster e-mail: gavin.foster@noc.soton.ac.uk

This issue is dedicated to the memory of Prof. Mark Pagani (Yale University) and Prof. Harry Elderfield (Cambridge University). Both Mark and Harry spent their careers working on past climate change to better understand the climate system and the challenges posed by anthropogenic climate change. Their contributions were many and they will be sorely missed.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

Placing our current 'hyperthermal' in the context of rapid climate change in our geological past

Gavin L. Foster¹, Pincelli Hull², Daniel J. Lunt³ and James C. Zachos⁴

 ¹School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
 ²Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, Box 208109, New Haven, CT 06520-8109, USA
 ³School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, University Road, Clifton, Bristol BS8 1SS, UK
 ⁴Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

'...there are known knowns. These are things we know that we know. There are known unknowns. That is to say, there are things that we know we don't know. But there are also unknown unknowns. There are things we don't know we don't know.' Donald Rumsfeld 12th February 2002.

This article is part of a discussion meeting issue 'Hyperthermals: rapid and extreme global warming in our geological past'.

1. Introduction

It is widely recognized that anthropogenic climate change and ocean acidification resulting from the emission of vast quantities of CO₂ and other greenhouse gases pose a considerable threat to ecosystems and modern society. Global temperatures are already warmer today than at any time in at least the last 2000 years [1], and unabated use of fossil fuel will cause continued warming and sea-level rise potentially for millennia as the climate system slowly adjusts to the enhanced greenhouse effect [2]. Exactly how the climate will respond to this anthropogenic forcing is currently uncertain because our understanding of the climate system is incomplete. There are the things, however, we know we know. For instance, that as we

© 2018 The Author(s) Published by the Royal Society. All rights reserved.

increase the concentration of CO₂ in the atmosphere the climate will warm [3]. Then there are the things we know we do not know, such as the exact value of climate sensitivity and the extent to which it depends on background climate state (e.g. [4]). Then there are the things we know nothing about—the unknown unknowns—which have the potential to take future climate into unimagined directions. Much of the research into predicting future warming involves the use of complex numerical models, climate models, which encapsulate the state-of-the-art understanding of the modern climate system. While these tools can inform on the 'known unknowns', albeit imperfectly as these are often too uncertain to parametrize directly or are emergent properties of the model that are hard to test against observations, they are completely blind to the unknown unknowns as these are by definition not quantitatively represented in any model. Consequently, we urgently need to find alternative tools other than models to investigate them.

The climate has often changed in the past, and these ancient climate events naturally included the response of the climate system to all the feedbacks in operation—even if we currently do not know anything about what these mechanisms might be. Studying the behaviour of the system during these natural climate cycles is therefore one of the only ways to perform a true test of the climate models and whether the system they encapsulate behaves like the real climate system during large CO_2 emission events. Although there are few, if any, actual analogues of anthropogenic climate change in the geological record, there are a number of examples of abrupt climate change that are particularly useful in testing the limits of our best climate models. These include the hyperthermal events of the Phanerozoic. What these events are, what caused them, what effects they had and what they can teach us about our climate system, are the subject of this special issue.

2. Background

Human-driven warming is superimposed on the relatively cold pre-industrial 'icehouse' climate state, with ice sheets several kilometres thick on both poles. Such icehouse climate states are relatively rare over the last 4.5 billion years; the most recent interval with similar levels of continental glaciation as today was the Carboniferous approximately 300 Ma [5]. By contrast, for much of Earth's history, 'greenhouse' climate states predominate. These are globally warm intervals characterized by a lack of polar ice caps. The gradual cycling between these two climate states occurs on 100 Myr time scales associated with the growth and destruction of supercontinents, i.e. at rates much slower than anthropogenic climate change [6]. Superimposed on this grand and slow icehouse–greenhouse climate cycling are a number of geologically abrupt events—the hyperthermals. They largely frequent the geological record during greenhouse climate states and, although each hyperthermal is unique, they share a number of common features (table 1). These include the following.

- (i) Rapid warming with a relatively abrupt onset duration of 1000-100 000 years.
- (ii) A total duration of greater than 0.1 and less than 2 Myr, with the Permian–Triassic (P–T) boundary being exceptional and lasting for around 5 Myr.
- (iii) A negative carbon isotope excursion of typically less than 4‰ near the start of the event indicative of the addition of vast quantities of isotopic light carbon. Again the P–T boundary is larger than the other hyperthermals in this regard.
- (iv) A reduction in oceanic oxygen content sometimes leading to ocean anoxia and/or euxinia. Organic carbon burial typically increases during the event and black shales are often deposited.
- (v) A doubling of atmospheric CO₂ and ocean acidification of around 0.3–0.4 pH units. CO₂ rise during the P–T boundary and Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) likely exceeds a doubling.
- (vi) The hydrological cycle intensifies, with wet regions generally getting wetter and dry regions drier.
- (vii) An increase of continental erosion/weathering rates.

Table 1. A summary of the most significant hyperthermals in the last 300 Myr. Italics indicate a high degree of uncertainty in the quoted value.

hyperthermal	age (Ma)	approximate onset duration (Kyr)	approximate total duration of warmth/ $\delta^{ m 3} C$ excursion (kyr)	magnitude of marine neg. & ¹³ C (%o) excursion (if present)	low-latitude SST warming (∆K)	ocean anoxia/ euxinia	approximate $ ho CO_2$ change (from pre event to peak) in ppm	approximate surface ocean acidification (pH units)	extinction intensity (% marine species)	references
Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum	55.9	0.1–3	170	3-4	3-4	٨	2200	0.3	1–20	[7–15]
0AE 2		~93 ~~100 1			1.5–2	Y			20	[16–20]
OAE 1a	-	1–100 1	1145	3-4	2-4	Y	0		22	[16,20–24]
early Toarcian OAE	~183	~150	300	5–6	2–5	Y			15—20	[16,25–30]
End-Triassic	201.6	~85 11	1200	~1.5	3-4	Y	1120–2240		80	[31–37]
					terrestrial	Y	2000-4400			
Permian–Triassic	251.9	10–20	>5000	5—6	10–12	Y	1400-4200	0.4	95	[37–41]
							2800-7000			

(viii) Relatively large biotic responses in the first half of the Phanerozoic (Palaeozoic and Early Mesozoic mass extinctions often associated with hyperthermals), and muted or mixed responses in the latter half of the Phanerozoic.

Although these phenomena are apparent in a number of the hyperthermals, not every feature is associated with every event, and our understanding of each individual event is often far from complete. Nonetheless, the common occurrence of these phenomena suggests that there is a common response of the Earth system to rapid carbon addition. Indeed, the Earth's climate today seems to be responding in such a similar manner to anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions (e.g. [42]) that it was recently questioned how we might distinguish between the fingerprint of an ancient industrialized civilization and a naturally occurring geological hyperthermal event [43].

3. What use are hyperthermals?

What makes hyperthermals particularly useful is that they represent natural examples of abrupt climate change. However, the vagaries of the geological record mean that determining the duration of their onset using traditional geological time keeping is particularly challenging, yet it is the duration of the initial warming that is of particular relevance for our future. Indeed, the imperfections of the geological record mean durations of the majority of the events in table 1 are likely to be overestimated [6]. In this issue, Kirtland-Turner [7] presents a number of novel ways to better constrain the onset duration of the PETM. Although not necessarily the most impactful hyperthermal, the PETM is a key test-bed for understanding the Earth system response to rapid carbon injection because it is the most recent hyperthermal (table 1). Kirtland-Turner [7] shows that a number of observations are most consistent with an onset duration for the PETM of around a few thousand years (an order of magnitude slower than our current anthropogenic 'hyperthermal'). However, work in this issue on the New Jersey Margin suggests that this remains contentious [44], despite previously published estimates of a very rapid onset on the time scale of decades being attributed to an artefact of sediment recovery (e.g. [45]).

New constraints on the magnitude of carbon addition during the PETM have recently arisen due to the development of boron-based proxies that track the state of the ocean carbonate system (e.g. [38,46]). Babila *et al.* [8] use these novel proxies to show that ocean pH declined by around 0.3 pH units globally during the PETM. These types of observations, coupled with carbon cycle model results [7,9], allow the total carbon added to the Earth system over the entire PETM (170 thousand years [10]) to be constrained at around 10 000 Pg [7,9]. During the initial millennial-scale onset, modelling by Kirtland-Turner [7] and others (see [7] for references) suggests rates of carbon addition of up to 1-2 Pg C yr⁻¹. While these vast quantities of carbon exceed what humanity have emitted thus far (545 Pg C from 1870 to 2014; www.co2.earth), they are similar to what we would emit if we used all conventional and non-conventional fossil fuel resources (9000–14 000 Gt C [47]). In addition, although the rates of carbon addition during the PETM were on average some 10 times slower than modern rates, they are comparable to the average anthropogenic rate over the last 150 years or so (4 Pg C yr⁻¹). These recent studies therefore suggest that the PETM is perhaps a closer analogue to present and future climate change than has been assumed previously.

This close analogy places the findings of Kiehl *et al.* [48], Schmidt *et al.* [49] and Benton [50] into sharp focus. The climate rapidly warmed globally around 4–6°C during the PETM [12,51] and as summarized in Kiehl *et al.* [48] this dramatically influenced the hydrological cycle—with dry regions such as the interior of the American continent becoming drier and wet regions like the East Asian region getting wetter. By configuring a high-resolution climate model to the PETM, Kiehl *et al.* [48] were able to also show that, accompanying the warming across the PETM, the seasonal climate and hydrological cycle became more extreme. These findings are very similar to what is expected in years to come as our climate continues to warm [48].

The PETM, unlike other notable hyperthermals (table 1), is not associated with significant extinctions, although there is a significant terrestrial mammalian turnover [52], with many mammalian species notably dwarfing across the event [53]. These changes were triggered in part

by massive species migration driven by the poleward shifts in the biogeographic boundaries of nearly all terrestrial taxa. Similar biogeographic and population shifts occur in marine plankton as well [54,55]. Schmidt *et al.* [49] present a study of benthic foraminiferal morphology change across the PETM revealed by micro-CT (computed tomography) scanning. Benthic foraminifera are one of the few marine organisms to suffer significant extinction across the PETM [56]. Schmidt *et al.* [49] show that the impact of the event in terms of benthic foram shell morphology is water-depthdependent, suggesting an important role for ocean acidification and ocean anoxia in driving the extinction of these species, as stress-related dwarfing and a potential change in reproductive strategy is evident at the deepest deep-ocean sites.

By contrast, the hyperthermal at the P–T boundary was associated with the most severe terrestrial and oceanic mass extinction of the last 541 Myr (the Phanerozoic), where 96% of species became extinct—earning the event its alternative name 'the great dying' (table 1). The smorgasbord of killmechanisms responsible for such an enormous level of extinction at the P–T boundary is reviewed here by Benton [50]. In the oceans, poster species of the Palaeozoic, such as rugose coral, crinoids and trilobites, were most probably wiped out by ocean anoxia and euxinia and lethal levels of warming, all serving to squeeze the habitable zone in the ocean out of existence. Whereas, on land, plants and animals struggled to cope with the heat shock as a consequence of mean annual temperatures reaching greater than 35–40°C and were likely tipped over the edge by the combined effects of warming, acid rain and aridity. Notably, Benton [50] suggests that these similar kill mechanisms were operative during the other hyperthermal events listed in table 1, albeit at a reduced magnitude.

As summarized by Kump [57], in terms of carbon isotope excursion, the P–T boundary hyperthermal and the PETM share many similarities (fig. 1 of [57]). Yet the warming after the P-T boundary was more extreme and extended for longer than PETM. Kump [57] examines a possible cause for this contrasting behaviour and in so doing highlights another key value of hyperthermals—they can provide valuable insights into the nature and behaviour of carbon cycle feedbacks, particularly those acting as negative feedbacks (i.e. aiding Earth system recovery from rapid carbon injection), or as positive feedbacks (i.e. adding additional carbon to the surficial reservoir). Arguably these feedbacks represent the 'unknown unknowns'—the potential wild cards of climate change.

The way the Earth system recovers from carbon addition in most carbon cycle models is principally via two mechanisms (e.g. [58]): (i) on 1000–10000 year time scales the carbon added during the hyperthermal is dissolved in the ocean, lowering pH, which is subsequently partially neutralized through reaction with CaCO₃ in deep-sea sediments; (ii) enhanced temperatures increase silicate weathering, which draws down CO_2 on 10000 years to 1 Myr time scales. There is an emerging realization, however, revealed in part by studying hyperthermals, that there is a third important feedback that operates in response to rapid warming related to enhanced organic carbon burial (e.g. [59]). Warm water is able to store less oxygen than cold water and enhanced silicate weathering brings an increased nutrient flux fertilizing the ocean with nutrients. Both phenomena enhance organic carbon burial-a significant sink of carbon dioxide [59]. Here Gibbs et al. [60] pick apart the mechanisms of this process further by examining the size of coccolithophorid cells across the PETM. They conclude that, in response to the warming across the event, cell size decreases at shelf sites. Such smaller cells have a higher quota of organic carbon compared with inorganic carbon, which would enhance the export of carbon from the surface waters and encourage its burial and long-term storage in sediments. The hyperthermal events of the Cretaceous are also associated with enhanced organic carbon burial and, characterized by such thick deposits of organic-rich black shale, they are frequently known by the alternative moniker 'ocean anoxic events' (OAEs; table 1). Jenkyns [21] shows that organic carbon burial and CO₂ drawdown by the deposition of black shales during both OAE-1a and OAE-2 (table 1) periodically exceeded the CO_2 addition to the system. This not only drove a reversal of the negative δ^{13} C excursion associated with the start of the OEAs as the light isotope was preferentially incorporated in the buried organic matter, but also caused globally distributed

rapid cooling to near pre-excursion temperatures and ocean re-oxygenation (e.g. the Plenus cold reversal [21]).

In the majority of models of the long-term impact of anthropogenic CO₂ emissions, a dynamic organic carbon feedback is currently not included (e.g. see [61] for a review)—vet it clearly is responsible for rapid recovery of the system during hyperthermals (e.g. [21]). This may therefore have significant, and as yet unexplored, implications for the potential longevity of anthropogenic climate change. On a less positive note, it is commonly assumed that the capacity of silicate weathering to draw down CO₂ from any rapid carbon addition is effectively limitless. However, as Kump [57] notes, silicate weathering is currently at least partly supply-limited [62]. Thus, if the levels of CO₂ addition to the system outstrip the supply of fresh material into the weathering zone by erosion, the efficiency of silicate weathering as a negative feedback is compromised. Current estimates place the magnitude of carbon addition at the P-T boundary to be 30 000-40 000 Pg C. Kump [57] proposes of that at the P–T boundary the arrangement of the continents into the supercontinent Pangea led to expansive continental interiors free from tectonism, reducing the capacity of the silicate weathering to draw down CO₂, contributing to the protracted nature of the extreme global warmth that occurred during this event ([57], table 1). Given the importance of elevated temperature in the kill mechanisms for the P–T extinction [50], this implies that the failure of a key Earth system feedback at this time was at least in part responsible for the extinction of 96% of species on the planet. This not only underlines the importance of the carbon cycle feedbacks in ensuring Earth's habitability, but it also places urgent emphasis on determining the silicate weathering capacity of the current Earth system.

4. The causes of hyperthermals

Ancient industrialized civilizations withstanding [43], a number of triggers have been proposed for the hyperthermal events shown in table 1, with the PETM once again being the test bed for many ideas. For example, early hypotheses, driven by the co-occurrence of a pronounced negative δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O anomaly at the PETM, suggested that large volumes of methane hydrates were destabilized, adding significant quantities of isotopically light carbon to the surficial carbon reservoir [63]. Such a destabilization could have an external trigger (e.g. volcanism) or could have been the result of ocean warming perhaps in response to orbitally driven changes in ocean circulation [64–66]. Other ideas involve the addition of large volumes of isotopically depleted permafrost carbon, again in response to orbital forcing [66]. Such positive feedbacks are the type of 'unknown unknown' that could, if they were to become active in the near future, significantly contribute to global warming in the next 100–1000 years.

There are a number of relatively short orbitally paced warming events throughout the Late Palaeocene and Early Eocene (e.g. [67]), where the added carbon is likely sourced from within the surficial reservoir perhaps as permafrost, dissolved organic carbon and/or methane. The PETM however stands out, both in terms of magnitude and in terms of timing by not occurring at the same phase of the orbital cycle as the other smaller events [67,68]. This suggests orbital forcing alone is not likely to be responsible for triggering the PETM.

The emplacement of large igneous provinces (LIPs) is commonly associated with hyperthermals, for example, the Siberian Traps and the P–T boundary, the Central American Magmatic Province (CAMP) with the End Triassic, the Karoo-Farrar with the Toarcian OAE and the North Atlantic Igneous Province (NAIP) with the PETM, among others [69]. Many of these LIPs are not only associated with vast outpourings of volcanic rock at the surface, but they are also frequently associated with magma intruding into sedimentary rocks in the subsurface. Gases such as CO_2 and SO_2 are released directly from the surface volcanism, but as Svenson *et al.* [70] discuss, the sedimentary rocks intruded by sills and dykes undergo significant metamorphism, releasing vast quantities of a variety of other gases (CO_2 , CH_4 , CH_3Cl and CH_3Br). Importantly, the emplacement of such intrusives is geologically fast and can dominate the greenhouse gas budget; indeed, just approximately 1% of the total area of the Tunguska Basin affected by sill intrusion could generate 1000 Gt CO_2 (272 Pg C [70]). The recent high-precision dating of the

sill emplacement in Siberia and its coincidence with the negative carbon isotope excursion at the P–T extinction event [39] strengthens this link. Similar linkages between sill emplacement and the hyperthermals have also been proposed for the PETM [9,64] and other hyperthermals [39].

Why these intrusive phases occur during the eruption of an LIP is widely debated. One suggestion is that the PETM is coincident with the transition from 'rift to drift' when midocean ridge basalt-like flows are able to interact with carbon-rich basin fill sediments for the first time [71]. Schaller & Fung [44] present a different, potentially controversial idea based on new evidence from the rapidly accumulating sections of the New Jersey Margin. Schaller & Fung [44] suggest that, in addition to the NAIP, there was also a tektite generating impact coincident with the PETM. The chemical composition of the tektites points to carbonate source rocks, and Schaller & Fung [44] tentatively identify the Marquez Dome as the crater—a 12.7 km wide impact structure in central Texas dated at 58 ± 2 Ma that overlaps with both new ages for the textites $(55 \pm 3 \text{ Ma} [44])$ and the PETM itself (55.9 Ma [13]). The PETM, like the Cretaceous–Palaeogene (K–Pg) boundary [72], therefore appears to be a time of significant volcanic activity that occurred near-synchronously with an impact event. However, if the Marquez Dome is the crater for the PETM impact, it is significantly smaller than the Chicxulub crater at the K-Pg boundary (12.7 versus 150 km diameter). Schaller & Fung [44] suggest that while the impactor, and the vaporized CaCO₃ at the impact site, may have contributed carbon to the surficial reservoir during the onset of the PETM, the relatively long duration of the hyperthermal necessitates additional carbon sources. Drawing on recent modelling [73] and through analogy with the Deccan Traps [72], Schaller & Fung [44] propose that, rather than being directly responsible for the carbon addition to the system at this time, the impact induced an acceleration of NAIP volcanism providing the remainder of the carbon [9]. Hyperthermals are certainly extraordinary events and are relatively rare in the geological record; however, more work is no doubt required to determine if their occurrence requires the coincidence of an impact event during LIP emplacement. Nonetheless, given known cratering rates and the typical million-year duration of flood volcanism, the coincidence of impacts and LIP emplacement is perhaps not that unlikely [74].

5. What can we learn about our future?

The hyperthermals of the geological record are not direct analogies of the Anthropocene. They tend to be of a longer duration than is likely for the future, even if we end up exploiting all available fossil fuel [73] and, although they remain poorly constrained, their onset duration is likely slower (thousands rather than hundreds of years; [7] and table 1). It is however evident from the many decades of research that the hyperthermal events can tell us unique information about how the Earth's climate system behaves following a period of abrupt carbon addition. For instance, they highlight that extensive warming is an inevitable consequence of significant carbon addition and this is likely to be associated with ocean acidification and ocean anoxia, and increase the extremes of precipitation and seasonal temperatures (table 1 and references therein). In terms of feedbacks, the likelihood of significant positive feedbacks amplifying any external carbon addition is still uncertain; however, it is becoming clear that organic carbon burial is an important and, as yet, under-appreciated negative feedback that plays a key part in the recovery of the system.

We have already emitted approximately 545 Pg of C, with a current rate of approximately 10 Pg C yr^{-1} . Although this rate apparently stabilized in recent years, 2017 AD saw global carbon emissions grow once more (www.co2.earth). These modern rates of carbon emission likely dwarf the rate seen during the onset of the PETM by a factor of 10 or so [7]. If humanity's fossil fuel use is not tackled rapidly through the development of a low-carbon economy, we face the possibility of emitting as much carbon as was released during the PETM but in a fraction of the time (0.5 versus 50–100 thousand years [7,9]). The magnitude of atmospheric CO₂ change (and hence the magnitude of warming, anoxia and ocean acidification) that occurs following any carbon addition to the Earth system is a function of rate, due to the time scales of a number of key negative feedbacks [75]. Why the Palaeozoic hyperthermals are associated with significantly

greater extinction rate is currently not known. However, a consensus is emerging that it is the extreme heat and anoxia that are the likely 'kill mechanisms' [50]. Given that the rate of carbon addition during our 'anthropogenic hyperthermal' eclipses that of the PETM, at the very least we are likely looking at a potential future with a more severe impact of life on Earth than any climate change event in the last 56 Myr [76]. Exactly how severe, however, remains perhaps one of the most pressing of the 'unknown unknowns'.

Data accessibility. This article has no additional data.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. We received no funding for this study.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to the attendees of the two meetings in September 2017 (Hyperthermals: rapid and extreme global warming in our geological past and The causes, consequences and relevance of hyperthermals) that initiated this special issue. We also thank the Royal Society for providing support and funding for these meetings and for enabling this special issue to be constructed. Michael Benton, Stuart Robinson and Jessica Whiteside are greatly acknowledged for their help with table 1.

References

- 1. PAGES 2k Consortium. 2013 Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 339–346. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1797)
- Winklemann R, Levermann A, Ridgwell A, Caldeira K. 2015 Combustion of available fossil fuel resources sufficient to eliminate the Antarctic Ice Sheet. *Sci. Adv.* 1, e1500589. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500589)
- 3. Arrhenius S. 1896 On the influence of carbonic acid in the air upon the temperature of the ground. *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Phil. Mag. J. Sci.* 5, 237–276. (doi:10.1080/14786449608620846)
- 4. Caballero R, Huber M. 2013 State-dependent climate sensitivity in past warm climates and its implication for future climate projections. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 14162–14167. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1303365110)
- Crowley T. J. 1998 Significance of tectonic boundary conditions for paleoclimate simulations. In *Tectonic boundary conditions for climatic reconstructions* (eds JL Crowley, K Burke), pp. 3–17. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Kemp DB, Eichenseer K, Kiessling W. 2015 Maximum rates of climate change are systematically underestimated in the geological record. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 1–6. (doi:10.1038/ ncomms9890)
- 7. Turner SK. 2018 Constraints on the onset duration of the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170082. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0082)
- 8. Babila TL, Penman DE, Hönisch B, Kelly DC, Bralower TJ, Rosenthal Y, Zachos JC. 2018 Capturing the global signature of surface ocean acidification during the Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170072. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0072)
- 9. Gutjahr M, Ridgwell A, Sexton PF, Anagnostou E, Pearson PN, Palike H, Norris R, Thomas E, Foster GL. 2017 Very large release of mostly volcanic carbon during the Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Nature* 548, 573–577. (doi:10.1038/nature23646)
- Rohl U, Westerhold T, Bralower TJ, Zachos JC. 2007 On the duration of the Paleocene– Eocene thermal maximum (PETM). *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 8, Q12002. (doi:10.1029/ 2007GC001784)
- McInerney FA, Wing SL. 2011 The Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum: a perturbation of carbon cycle, climate, and biosphere with implications for the future. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 39, 489–516. (doi:10.1146/annurev-earth-040610-133431)
- Frieling J, Gebhardt H, Huber M, Adekeye OA, Akande SO, Reichart G-J, Middleburg JJ, Schouten S, Sluijs A. 2017 Extreme warmth and heat-stressed plankton in the tropics during the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Sci. Adv.* 3, e1600891. (doi:10.1126/sciadv.1600891)
- Charles AJ, Condon DJ, Harding IC, Pälike H, Marshall JEA, Cui Y, Kump L, Croudace IW. 2011 Constraints on the numerical age of the Paleocene–Eocene boundary. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 12, Q0AA17. (doi:10.1029/2010GC003426)
- 14. Pälike C, Delaney ML, Zachos JC. 2014 Deep-sea redox across the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* **15**, 1038–1053. (doi:10.1002/2013GC005074)

- 15. Speijer RP, Scheibner C, Stassen P, Morsi A-MM. 2012 Response of marine ecosystems to deeptime global warming: a synthesis of biotic patterns across the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM). *Austrian J. Earth Sci.* **105**, 6–16.
- Jenkyns HC. 2010 Geochemistry of oceanic anoxic events. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 11, Q03004. (doi:10.1029/2009GC002788)
- 17. Sageman BB, Meyers SR, Arthur MA. 2006 Orbital time scale and new C-isotope record for Cenomanian–Turonian boundary stratotype. *Geology* **34**, 125–128. (doi:10.1130/G22074.1)
- Forster A, Schouten S, Baas M, Singninghe Damste JS. 2007 Mid-Cretaceous (Albian– Santonian) sea surface temperature record of the tropical Atlantic Ocean. *Geology* 35, 919–922. (doi:10.1130/G23874A.1)
- 19. Barclay RS, McElwain JC, Sageman BB. 2010 Carbon sequestration activated by a volcanic CO₂ pulse during Ocean Anoxic Event 2. *Nat. Geosci.* **3**, 205–208. (doi:10.1038/ngeo757)
- Leckie RM, Bralower TJ, Cashman R. 2002 Oceanic anoxic events and plankton evolution: biotic response to tectonic forcing during the mid-Cretaceous. *Paleoceanography* 17, 13-1–13-29. (doi:10.1029/2001PA000623)
- 21. Jenkyns HC. 2018 Transient cooling episodes during Cretaceous Oceanic Anoxic Events with special reference to OAE 1a (Early Aptian). *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170073. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0073)
- 22. Malinverno A, Erba E, Herbert TD. 2010 Orbital tuning as an inverse problem: chronology of the early Aptian oceanic anoxic event 1a (Selli Level) in the Cismon APTICORE. *Paleoceanography* **25**, PA2203 .(doi:10.1029/2009PA001769)
- Lorenzen J, Kuhnt W, Holbourn A, Flogel S, Moullade M, Tronchetti G. 2013 A new sediment core from Bedoulian (Lower Aptian) stratotype at Roquefort-La Bedoule, SE France. *Cretac. Res.* 39, 6–16. (doi:10.1016/j.cretres.2012.03.019)
- 24. Naafs BDA, Castro JM, De Gea GA, Quijano ML, Schmidt DN, Pancost RD. 2016 Gradual and sustained carbon dioxide release during Aptian Oceanic Anoxic Event 1a. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 135–139. (doi:10.1038/ngeo2627)
- 25. Suan G, Pittet B, Bour I, Mattioli E, Duarte LV, Maillot S. 2008 Duration of the Early Toarcian carbon isotope excursion deduced from spectral analysis: consequence for its possible causes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **267**, 666–679. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2007.12.017)
- Sell B, Ovtcharova M, Guex J, Bartolini A, Jourdan F, Spangenberg JE, Vicente JC, Schaltegger U. 2014 Evaluating the temporal link between the Karoo LIP and climatic–biologic events of the Toarcian Stage with high-precision U–Pb geochronology. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 408, 48–56. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.10.008)
- 27. Boulila S, Hinnov LA. 2017 A review of tempo and scale of the early Jurassic Toarcian OAE: implications for carbon cycle and sea level variations. *Newslett. Stratigr.* **50**, 363–389. (doi:10.1127/nos/2017/0374)
- Dera G, Donnadieu Y. 2012 Modeling evidences for global warming, Arctic seawater freshening, and sluggish oceanic circulation during the Early Toarcian anoxic event. *Paleoceanography* 27, PA2211. (doi:10.1029/2012PA002283)
- 29. McElwain JC, Wade-Murphy J, Hesselbo SP. 2005 Changes in carbon dioxide during an oceanic anoxic event linked to intrusion into Gondwana coals. *Nature* **435**, 479–482. (doi:10.1038/nature03618)
- Little CTS, Benton MJ. 1995 Early Jurassic mass extinction: a global long-term event. *Geology* 23, 495–498. (doi:10.1130/0091-7613(1995)023<0495:EJMEAG>2.3.CO;2)
- Blackburn TJ, Olsen PE, Bowring SA, McLean NM, Kent DV, Puffer J, McHone G, Rasbury ET, Et-Touhami M. 2013 Zircon U–Pb geochronology links the end-Triassic extinction with the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province. *Science* 340, 941–945. (doi:10.1126/science.1234204)
- Yager JA, West AJ, Corsetti FA, Berelson WM, Rollins NE, Rosas S, Bottjer DJ. 2017 Duration of and decoupling between carbon isotope excursions during the end-Triassic mass extinction and Central Atlantic Magmatic Province emplacement. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 473, 227–236. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2017.05.031)
- Whiteside JH, Olsen PE, Eglinton T, Brookfield ME, Sambrotto RN. 2010 Compound-specific carbon isotopes from Earth's largest flood basalt eruptions directly linked to the end-Triassic mass extinction. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 107, 6721–6725. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1001706107)
- 34. Schaller MF, Wright JD, Kent DV. 2011 Atmospheric *p*CO₂ perturbations associated with the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province. *Science* **331**, 1404–1409. (doi:10.1126/science.1199011)

- 35. McElwain JC, Beerling DJ, Woodward FI. 1999 Fossil plants and global warming at the Triassic–Jurassic boundary. *Science* **285**, 1386–1390. (doi:10.1126/science.285.5432.1386)
- 36. Kasprak AH, Sepulveda J, Price-Waldman R, Williford KH, Schoepfer SD, Haggart JW, Ward PD, Summons RE, Whiteside JH. 2015 Episodic photic zone euxinia in the northeastern Panthalassic Ocean during the end-Triassic extinction. *Geology* 43, 307–310. (doi:10.1130/G36371.1)
- 37. Barnosky AD *et al.* 2011 Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature* **471**, 51–57. (doi:10.1038/nature09678)
- Clarkson MO *et al.* 2015 Ocean acidification and the Permo-Triassic mass extinction. *Science* 348, 229–232. (doi:10.1126/science.aaa0193)
- 39. Burgess SD, Muirhead JD, Bowring SA. 2017 Initial pulse of Siberian Traps sills as the trigger of the end-Permian mass extinction. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 164 (6pp.). (doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00083-9)
- 40. Sun Y, Joachimski MM, Wignall PB, Yan C, Chen Y, Jiang H, Wang L, Lai X. 2012 Lethally hot temperatures during the Early Triassic greenhouse. *Science* **338**, 366–370. (doi:10.1126/science.1224126)
- 41. Song H, Wignall PB, Chu D, Tong J, Sun Y, Song H, He W, Tian L. 2014 Anoxia/high temperature double whammy during the Permian–Triassic marine crisis and its aftermath. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4132. (doi:10.1038/srep04132)
- 42. Gattuso J-P *et al.* 2015 Contrasting futures for ocean and society from different anthropogenic CO₂ emission scenarios. *Science* **349**, aac4722. (doi:10.1126/science.aac4722)
- Schmidt G, Frank A. 2018 The Silurian hypothesis: Would it be possible to detect an industrial civilization in the geological record? *Int. J. Astrobiol.* 2018, 1–9. (doi:10.1017/ S1473550418000095).
- Schaller MF, Fung MK. 2018 The extraterrestrial impact evidence at the Palaeocene–Eocene boundary and sequence of environmental change on the continental shelf. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.* A 376, 20170081. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0081)
- Pearson PN, Thomas E. 2015 Drilling disturbance and constraints on the onset of the Paleocene–Eocene boundary carbon isotope excursion in New Jersey. *Clim. Past* 11, 95–104. (doi:10.5194/cp-11-95-2015)
- Penman DE, Honisch B, Zeebe R, Thomas E, Zachos JC. 2014 Rapid and sustained surface ocean acidification during the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Paleoceanography* 29, 357–369. (doi:10.1002/2014PA002621)
- 47. Bruckner T et al. 2014 Energy systems. In *Climate change* 2014: *Mitigation of climate change*. *Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds O Edenhofer et al.). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kiehl JT, Shields CA, Synder MA, Zachos JC, Rothstein M. 2018 Greenhouse- and orbitalforced climate extremes during the early Eocene. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 376, 20170085. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0085)
- Schmidt DN, Thomas E, Authier E, Saunders D, Ridgwell A. 2018 Strategies in times of crisis insights into the benthic foraminiferal record of the Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 376, 20170328. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0328)
- Benton MJ. 2018 Hyperthermal-driven mass extinctions: killing models during the Permian– Triassic mass extinction. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 376, 20170076. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0076)
- 51. Dunkley Jones T, Lunt DJ, Schmidt DN, Ridgwell A, Sluijs A, Valdes PJ, Maslin M. 2013 Climate model and proxy data constraints on ocean warming across the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **125**, 123–145. (doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2013.07.004)
- Koch PL, Zachos JC, Gingerich PD. 1992 Correlation between isotope records in marine and continental carbon reservoirs near the Palaeocene/Eocene boundary. *Nature* 358, 319–322. (doi:10.1038/358319a0)
- 53. Gingerich PD. 2006 Environment and evolution through the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **21**, 246–253. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.03.006)
- 54. Kennett JP, Stott LD. 1991 Abrupt deep-sea warming, palaeoceanographic changes and benthic extinctions at the end of the Paleocene. *Nature* **353**, 225–229. (doi:10.1038/353225a0)
- Kelly DC, Bralower TJ, Zachos JC. 1998 Evolutionary consequences on the latest Paleocene thermal maximum for tropical planktonic foraminifera. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 141, 139–161. (doi:10.1016/S0031-0182(98)00017-0)

- 56. Thomas, E. 2007 Cenozoic mass extinctions in the deep sea: What disturbs the largest habitat on Earth? In *Large ecosystem perturbations: causes and consequence* (eds S Monechi, R Coccioni, R Rampino). GSA Special Paper 424. Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America. (doi:10.1130/2007.2424(01))
- 57. Kump LR. 2018 Prolonged Late Permian–Early Triassic hyperthermal: failure of climate regulation? *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170078. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0078)
- Archer D *et al.* 2009 Atmospheric lifetime of fossil fuel carbon dioxide. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 37, 117–134. (doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.031208.100206)
- 59. Bowen GJ, Zachos JC. 2010 Rapid carbon sequestration at the termination of the Palaeocene– Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Nat. Geosci.* **3**, 866–869. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1014)
- 60. Gibbs SJ, Sheward RM, Bown PR, Poulton AJ, Alvarez SA. 2018 Warm plankton soup and red herrings: calcareous nannoplankton cellular communities and the Palaeocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* **376**, 20170075. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0075)
- Hulse D, Arndt S, Wilson JD, Munhoven G, Ridgwell A. 2017 Understanding the causes and consequences of past marine carbon cycling variability through models. *Earth Sci. Rev.* 171, 349–382. (doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.06.004)
- 62. West AJ, Galy A, Bickle M. 2005 Tectonic and climatic controls on silicate weathering. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **235**, 211–228. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2005.03.020)
- Dickens GR, O'Neil JR, Rea DK, Owen RM. 1995 Dissociation of oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene. *Paleoceanography* 10, 965–971. (doi:10.1029/95PA02087)
- Svensen H, Planke S, Malthe-Sorenssen A, Jamtveit B, Myklebust R, Rasmussen Eidem T, Rey SS. 2004 Release of methane from a volcanic basin as a mechanism for initial Eocene global warming. *Nature* 429, 542–545. (doi:10.1038/nature02566)
- 65. Lunt DJ, Ridgwell A, Sluijs A, Zachos JC, Hunter S, Haywood AM. 2011 A model for orbital pacing of methane hydrate destabilization during the Palaeogene. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 775–778. (doi:10.1038/ngeo1266)
- DeConto RM, Galeotti S, Pagani M, Tracy D, Schaefer K, Zhang T, Pollard D, Beerling DJ. 2012 Past extreme warming events linked to massive carbon release from thawing permafrost. *Nature* 484, 87–91. (doi:10.1038/nature10929)
- 67. Westerhold T, Rohl U, Donner B, Zachos JC. 2018 Global extent of Early Eocene hyperthermal events: a new Pacific benthic foraminiferal isotope record from Shatsky Rise (ODP Site 1209). *Paleoceanogr. Paleoclimatol.* **33**, 626–642. (doi:10.1029/2017PA003306)
- Littler K, Rohl U, Westerhold T, Zachos JC. 2014 A high-resolution benthic stable isotope record for the South Atlantic: implications for orbital-scale changes in Late Paleocene–Early Eocene climate and carbon cycling. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 401, 18–30. (doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2014.05.054)
- Bond DPG, Wignall PB. 2014 Large igneous provinces and mass extinctions: an update. In Volcanism, impacts, and mass extinctions: causes and effects (eds G Keller, AC Kerr). GSA Special Paper 505, pp. 29–55. Boulder, CO: Geological Society of America. (doi:10.1130/2014.2505(02))
- Svensen HH, Frolov S, Akhmanov GG, Polozov AG, Jerram DA, Shiganova OV, Melnikov NV, Iyer K, Planke S. 2018 Sills and gas generation in the Siberian Traps. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A* 376, 20170080. (doi:10.1098/rsta.2017.0080)
- 71. Storey M, Duncan Ram Swisher III CC. 2007 Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum and the opening of the Northeast Atlantic. *Science* **316**, 587–589. (doi:10.1126/science.1135274)
- Renne PR, Sprain CJ, Richards MA, Self S, Vanderkluysen L, Pande K. 2015 State shift in Deccan volcanism at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary, possibly induced by impact. *Science* 350, 76–78. (doi:10.1126/science.aac7549)
- 73. Richards MA *et al.* 2015 Triggering of the largest Deccan eruptions by the Chicxulub impact. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **127**, 1507–1520. (doi:10.1130/B31167.1)
- 74. White RV, Saunders AD. 2005 Volcanism, impact and mass extinctions: incredible or credible coincidences? *Lithos* **79**, 299–316. (doi:10.1016/j.lithos.2004.09.016)
- 75. Honisch B *et al.* 2012 The geological record of ocean acidification. *Science* 335, 1058–1063. (doi:10.1126/science.1208277)
- 76. Zeebe RE, Ridgwell A, Zachos JC. 2016 Anthropogenic carbon release rate unprecedented during the past 66 million years. *Nat. Geosci.* **9**, 325–329 (doi:10.1038/ngeo2681)