

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

A response to and caution of “Language is a critical mediator of autistic experiences within the criminal justice system”

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/67j2g3gg>

Journal

Autism Research, 17(3)

ISSN

1939-3792

Authors

Shea, Lindsay

Cooper, Dylan

Wilson, Amy Blank

et al.

Publication Date

2024-03-01

DOI

10.1002/aur.3111

Peer reviewed

LETTER TO THE EDITOR**A response to and caution of “Language is a critical mediator of autistic experiences within the criminal justice system”**

The commentary “Language is a critical mediator of autistic experiences within the criminal justice system” commendably affirms the fundamental role of language during criminal proceedings, provides subject-specific expertise, and creates awareness of needed attention to language. However, revising established justice system language requires direct engagement with justice professionals to understand the potential consequences. Changes without considering the larger context may counterintuitively endanger the rights of autistic individuals that the authors are rightly trying to protect. Much of the language referenced in the commentary, including the Miranda warnings, was standardized to protect the rights of all individuals, especially those charged with a crime, and the integrity of evidence.

Suggesting wide scale changes for one specific group is legally questionable, has limited feasibility, and neglects potential downstream impacts during custody and adjudication. As written, the recommendations in the commentary fail to consider the potentially adversarial nature of many contacts between police and the community, the challenges in appropriately identifying autistic individuals by untrained actors, and the justifications for standardizing language. Changing the long-standing formulation of Miranda, for example, injects uncertainty into an already fraught interaction, potentially increasing risk for autistic and all individuals.

Criminal justice interactions do not happen in a vacuum. However, the approach to policy and practice reform presented in this commentary lacks multidisciplinary, real-world input, spurring implementation challenges. The informative recommendations would benefit from refinement in collaboration with diverse groups of front-line professionals. This strategy has guided development and implementation of effective, evidence-based justice system interventions to support individuals with mental health diagnoses.

Our Global Autism and Criminal Justice Consortium developed the International Society for Autism Research (INSAR) policy brief on criminal justice by prioritizing multidisciplinary and ongoing collaborations. Acknowledging international variation and contexts, we generated recommendations through direct partnerships with diverse stakeholders to ensure accessible and implementable policy and practice opportunities. This brief and elements of

the subject commentary are a springboard to guide efforts that equitably support justice-involved individuals.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Dr. Lindsay Shea receives contractor wages to support data and policy decisions for the Pennsylvania Department of Human Services.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Lindsay Shea ¹ 

Dylan Cooper ¹

Amy Blank Wilson ²

Jordan Hyatt ³

Dianah Msipa ⁴

Björn Hofvander ⁵

Svein Øverland ⁶

Wainesten Carmago da Silva ⁷

Melanie Mogavero ⁸

Derek Green ⁹

Nina Wall ¹⁰

Matthew Lerner ¹

Aubyn Stahmer ¹¹

Kathy Hooen ¹²

Juan Bornman ¹³

Khyllil Robinson ¹⁴

John Burke ¹⁵

¹*A.J. Drexel Autism Institute, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

²*School of Social Work, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA*

³*Center for Public Policy, Drexel University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

⁴*Disability Rights Unit, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa*

⁵*Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund University, Lund, Sweden*

⁶*St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim, Norway*

⁷*Federal University of Tocantins, Palmas, Brazil*

⁸*Department of Criminal Justice, Anthropology, Sociology, and Human Rights, Georgian Court University, Lakewood, New Jersey, USA*

⁹*Philadelphia City Council, Former Councilmember-at-Large, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

¹⁰*Office of Developmental Programs, Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, Bureau of Supports for Autism and Special Populations, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, USA*

¹¹*Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities, MIND Institute, University of California Davis, Davis, California, USA*

¹²*Pennsylvania Department of Human Services, ASERT Collaborative, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, USA*

¹³*Speech-Language and Hearing Therapy, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch, South Africa*

¹⁴*Community Wellness Engagement Unit, Philadelphia Department of Behavioral Health and Intellectual Disability Services, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

¹⁵*Philadelphia Police Academy, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA*

Correspondence

Lindsay Shea, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

Email: ljl42@drexel.edu

ORCID

Lindsay Shea  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0610-0355>