UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

A Phase II Randomized, Controlled Trial of S-Adenosylmethionine in Reducing Serum α -Fetoprotein in Patients with Hepatitis C Cirrhosis and Elevated AFP.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6931g3zb>

Journal

Cancer prevention research (Philadelphia, Pa.), 8(9)

ISSN

1940-6207

Authors

Morgan, Timothy R
Osann, Kathryn
Bottiglieri, Teodoro
et al.

Publication Date

2015-09-01

DOI

10.1158/1940-6207.capr-15-0029

Supplemental Material

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6931g3zb#supplemental>

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

A Phase II Randomized, Controlled Trial of S-Adenosylmethionine in Reducing Serum α -Fetoprotein in Patients with Hepatitis C Cirrhosis and Elevated AFP

Timothy R. Morgan^{1,2}, Kathryn Osann³, Teodoro Bottiglieri⁴, Neville Pimstone⁵, John C. Hoefs⁶, Ke-Qin Hu², Tarek Hassanein⁷, Thomas D. Boyer⁸, Lorene Kong⁹, Wen-Pin Chen¹⁰, Ellen Richmond¹¹, Rachel Gonzalez¹², Luz M. Rodriguez^{11,13}, and Frank L. Meyskens¹⁴

Abstract

In animal models of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), deficiency of S-adenosylmethionine (SAdMe) increased the risk of HCC whereas administration of SAdMe reduced HCC. The aim of this trial was to determine whether oral SAdMe administration to patients with hepatitis C cirrhosis would decrease serum α -fetoprotein (AFP) level, a biomarker of HCC risk in hepatitis C. This was a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind trial of SAdMe, up to 2.4 g/d, for 24 weeks as compared with placebo among subjects with hepatitis C cirrhosis and a mildly elevated serum AFP. Primary outcome was change in AFP between baseline and week 24. Secondary outcomes included changes in routine tests of liver function and injury, other biomarkers of HCC risk, SAdMe metabolites, markers of oxidative stress, and quality of life. One hundred ten

subjects were randomized and 87 (44 SAdMe and 43 placebo) completed treatment. There was no difference in the change in AFP during 24 weeks among subjects receiving SAdMe as compared with placebo. Changes in markers of liver function, liver injury, and hepatitis C viral level were not significantly different between groups. Similarly, SAdMe did not change markers of oxidative stress or serum glutathione level. SAdMe blood level increased significantly among subjects receiving SAdMe. Changes in quality of life did not differ between groups. Overall, this trial did not find that SAdMe treatment improved serum AFP in subjects with advanced hepatitis C cirrhosis and a mildly elevated AFP. SAdMe did not improve tests of liver function or injury or markers of oxidative stress or antioxidant potential. *Cancer Prev Res*; 8(9); 864–72. ©2015 AACR.

¹Medical Healthcare Group, VA Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, California. ²Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, University of California, Irvine, California. ³Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology/Oncology, University of California, Irvine, California. ⁴Institute of Metabolic Disease, Baylor Research Institute, Dallas, Texas. ⁵Medical Healthcare Group, VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California. ⁶Department of Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, California. ⁷Department of Medicine, Division of Hepatology, University of California, San Diego, California. ⁸Liver Research Institute and Department of Medicine, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona. ⁹Research Pharmacy, University of California, Irvine, California. ¹⁰Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, California. ¹¹Division of Cancer Prevention, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland. ¹²Research Healthcare Group, VA Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, California. ¹³Department of Surgery, Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, Maryland. ¹⁴Departments of Medicine, Biological Chemistry, Public Health and Epidemiology and Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California, Irvine, California.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Prevention Research Online (<http://cancerprevres.aacrjournals.org/>).

T.R. Morgan and K. Osann contributed equally to this article.

Corresponding Author: Timothy R. Morgan, VA Long Beach Healthcare, System (GI/11), 5901 East Seventh Street, Long Beach, CA 90822. Phone: 562-826-5756; Fax: 562-826-5436; E-mail: timothy.morgan@va.gov

doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0029

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

Introduction

The incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in the United States increased approximately 3-fold between 1980 and 2010 and is estimated to increase by another 50% between 2010 and 2020 (1, 2). This increase is primarily due to the development of cirrhosis and HCC among Americans infected with hepatitis C between 1965 and 1990 (1). Curing hepatitis C virus (HCV) decreases the incidence of HCC (3, 4). However, the cost of HCV treatment is high and the availability of inexpensive drugs that decreased the incidence of HCC would be useful.

S-adenosylmethionine (SAdMe) is synthesized from methionine and ATP and is a substrate for several biochemical pathways (5, 6). These include the aminopropylation pathway in which the aminopropyl moiety of SAdMe is used to synthesize polyamines, transmethylation pathways in which the methyl group (CH₃) of SAdMe is transferred to an acceptor molecule such as nucleic acids, proteins, phospholipids, biologic amines or other small molecules, and the trans-sulfuration pathway in which homocysteine is converted into glutathione. Oral SAdMe has been available in the United States as a nutritional supplement for more than 10 years. It has an excellent safety profile, with gastrointestinal side effects occurring in a minority of subjects (7).

Several studies suggest that SAdMe might be important in the development of HCC. SAdMe deficiency, created by feeding a

methionine choline–deficient (MCD) diet, is hepatocarcinogenic in rats and several strains of mice (8–10). More importantly, SAmE administration reduces liver cancer in a chemical model of HCC in rats, suggesting a potential chemopreventive use (11, 12).

α -Fetoprotein (AFP) has been used as a serum marker for HCC for the past 40 years (13). Although AFP is not directly involved in the carcinogenesis pathway, multiple studies have shown an association between increased serum levels of AFP and increased risk for subsequent development of HCC (14, 15).

The current study evaluated the effect of oral SAmE for 24 weeks, at doses up to 2.4 g/d, on serum AFP in patients with advanced hepatitis C and a mildly elevated serum AFP level. Secondary outcomes included the effect of SAmE on other markers of liver function/injury, markers of oxidative stress, other biomarkers of HCC risk, quality of life, and metabolites in the methionine cycle. A dose of 2.4 grams of SAmE was selected for study because it represented the highest dose that could be easily tolerable from a pill burden perspective (3 tablets twice a day).

Materials and Methods

From 2007 to 2012, we enrolled subjects 18 years of age or older who had chronic hepatitis C infection and evidence of advanced liver fibrosis based on liver biopsy or a platelet count less than 150,000/mm³ or an aspartate aminotransferase/alanine aminotransferase (AST/ALT) ratio > 0.75, who also had a serum AFP level at their clinical laboratory between 15 ng/mL (~50% greater than the upper limit of normal) and 100 ng/mL. All patients had a recent radiologic examination of the liver that excluded a liver mass suggestive of HCC and had not received treatment for hepatitis C in the prior 4 months and agreed to refrain from HCV treatment during the study period. Exclusion criteria included liver disease other than hepatitis C, mass in the liver suggestive of possible HCC within the prior 6 months, model for end stage liver disease (MELD) score > 15, hospitalization in the prior 5 years for mania or bipolar disorder, use of a monoamine oxidase inhibitor, or other serious condition that interfered with patient's ability to complete the study.

Study design and oversight

This was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial in which patients were randomized 1:1 to receive SAmE or placebo for 24 weeks. SAmE was donated by Gnosis S.p.A. and packaged in individual blister packs by Generic Pharmaceutical Services, Inc. SAmE was administered as a 400-mg tablet, taken orally twice a day. For the first 4 weeks, patients consumed 400 mg (1 tablet) twice a day (800 mg/d). The dose was increased to 2 tablets twice daily (1,600 mg/d) for weeks 5 through 8 and further increased to 3 tablets twice daily (2,400 mg/d) for weeks 9 through 24. Patients randomized to placebo consumed matching placebo at the same frequency. All patients were prescribed a multivitamin tablet (B-50) containing vitamin B₆, B₁₂, and folic acid (Pharmavite) to consume daily during the 24 weeks; these vitamins are required cofactors for the conversion of homocysteine (Hcy) to methionine (by the enzyme, methionine synthase) or to cystathionine (initial step in trans-sulfuration pathway). Patients were seen in clinic every 4 weeks through week 24 (end of treatment) and again at week 30 for an end of study visit. At each clinic visit, patients were assessed for medication compliance and adverse events, and blood was obtained for safety laboratory tests and study outcomes. Quality of life was assessed at weeks 0, 12, 24, and 30 using the short form (SF)-36 and the chronic liver

disease questionnaire (CLDQ; ref. 16). Three clinical sites started the study. Because of low enrollment, 2 enrollment sites were added.

The study was designed by the primary author in consultation with the University of California-Irvine (UCI) Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center (CFCCC) and the study sponsor (Division of Chemoprevention, NCI, Bethesda, MD) in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines, the principles of the declaration of Helsinki and applicable regulations. The Data Safety Monitoring Board for the UCI CFCCC reviewed the conduct of the study annually. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each study site prior to patient enrollment. All patients provided written informed consent. The site investigators gathered the data and the UCI CFCCC conducted the data analysis. All authors participated in the development of the article.

Efficacy and safety assessments

Blood for liver function tests and for safety laboratories [complete blood count (CBC), basic metabolic panel (BMP)] was analyzed at the clinical laboratory at each participating hospital. Serum was also frozen and assayed subsequently in batches for AFP, AFP lectin-3 (AFP-L3), and des-gamma-carboxy prothrombin (DCP; by Wako Laboratories), for SAmE, SAH, and methionine (17), for total homocysteine (tHcy) and glutathione (GSH; ref. 18) for serum markers of oxidative stress [malondialdehyde (MDA) and, 4-hydroxynonenal (4-HNE; Cell Biolabs Inc.) and serum level of HCV ribonucleic acid (RNA; COBAS TaqMan HCV test; Roche Molecular Systems). SF-36 and CLDQ were performed and scored as recommended. The site investigator classified the severity of adverse events as mild, moderate, or severe and determined the relationship to the study medicine. Adverse events (AE) were categorized for severity and body site in accordance with the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), version 3.0.

Endpoints

The primary efficacy endpoint was change in serum AFP between start of treatment (W0) and end of treatment (W24). Secondary outcomes included change in routine liver blood tests, HCV RNA level, other serum markers of HCC (AFP-L3, DCP), SAmE metabolites, serum markers of oxidative stress, and quality of life.

Statistical analysis

The primary statistical hypothesis of the study was that SAmE treatment for 24 weeks would decrease the serum AFP level. The sample size was based on preliminary data showing an expected mean AFP level in the study group of 35 ng/mL (SD, 20 ng/mL). An effect size of 12 ng/mL (~33% reduction) was selected and the correlation between the pre- and postintervention measurements was assumed to be 0.5. With 40 subjects per treatment arm, power was estimated at 80% to detect with significance level of 0.05, a reduction in serum AFP of 11.7 ng/mL, assuming no change in the control group, using a univariate, 2 group repeated measures ANOVA model. We anticipated a 10% drop out rate and therefore proposed 45 patients/group (total study size, 90 subjects).

All subjects who completed the week 24 visit as planned were included in the data analysis. The number of subjects in each analysis differed slightly because the number of subjects with data

at both time points (i.e., weeks 0 and 24) varied depending on the outcome measured.

Changes in serum AFP and other laboratory values from weeks 0 to 24 were compared between treatment arms using 2-group *t* tests with 2-sided significance level of 0.05. For any measures that were not normally distributed (SAmE, SAH, and SAmE/SAH), a Mann-Whitney nonparametric test was used. Repeated measures ANOVA methods were used to compare trends over time between treatment arms for those with complete data at all visits. Change in quality of life domains and subdomains were compared using 2-group *t* tests. No adjustments were made for multiple comparisons.

Results

A total of 147 patients were screened and 110 were randomized, 57 to the treatment group and 53 to placebo control (Fig. 1). The baseline demographic and laboratory data were similar in the 2 treatment groups with no significant differences between arms (Table 1). Twenty-three subjects were excluded after randomization, 13 receiving SAmE (8 adverse events, 4 noncompliant, and 1 lost to follow-up) and 10 receiving placebo (3 noncompliant, 3 adverse events, 1 lost to follow-up, 1 withdrew consent, 1 ineligible, and 1 other). A total of 87 patients (43 receiving placebo and 44 receiving SAmE) completed the 24 week treatment (Fig. 1).

Between week 0 and week 24, serum AFP as measured by Wako laboratories decreased from 34.6 to 32.7 ng/mL in subjects receiving SAmE but increased from 35.8 to 41.7 ng/mL in subjects receiving placebo (difference between arms = 7.78, *P* = 0.16; Table 2). When data were analyzed including only subjects with complete data at all visits (*n* = 83), a similar nonsignificant

Figure 1.

Flowchart for patient enrollment, randomization, and completion of the study.

difference between arms was observed for change over time in serum AFP (*P* = 0.13; Fig. 2). Results did not change when data from local laboratories were used or when restricted to good compliers with no dose reduction (data not shown). There was considerable variation across sites, with AFP decreasing following SAmE treatment at 4 of the 5 sites (mean difference between arms, 15.18 ng/mL for 41 subjects, *P* = 0.057). However, at the site with the largest patient enrollment (*n* = 42), there was no difference between treatment arms (Fig. 3). Adjustment for multiple baseline and treatment variables (e.g., SAmE blood levels, compliance, baseline tests of liver disease severity, etc.) did not explain the difference in response to SAmE among the study sites.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics and laboratory values

Baseline laboratory values	Placebo		SAmE	
	<i>n</i>	Mean ± SD	<i>n</i>	Mean ± SD
AFP, ng/mL	48	34.10 ± 27.28	55	34.57 ± 23.85
DCP, ng/mL	33	1.04 ± 1.78	35	1.40 ± 3.77
AFP-L3, %	32	7.77 ± 5.50	38	7.75 ± 2.23
SAmE, nmol/L	49	121.54 ± 50.10	55	109.72 ± 61.93
SAH, nmol/L	49	36.14 ± 11.11	55	34.33 ± 13.33
SAmE/SAH	49	3.48 ± 1.19	55	3.33 ± 1.30
Methionine, μmol/L	49	40.78 ± 21.34	55	40.83 ± 21.08
tHcy, μmol/L	49	9.68 ± 3.12	55	9.57 ± 2.80
tGSH, μmol/L	49	2.08 ± 1.08	55	2.10 ± 0.92
MDA, μmol/L	49	3.42 ± 1.68	55	2.94 ± 1.16
4-HNE, mg/mL	49	1.09 ± 0.48	55	1.08 ± 0.70
Platelets, 10 ³ /mm ³	52	121.67 ± 48.17	56	127.75 ± 57.28
Albumin, g/dL	53	3.48 ± 0.45	56	3.54 ± 0.40
Alkaline phosphatase, IU/L	52	99.64 ± 47.60	56	93.89 ± 29.69
Bilirubin, mg/dL	53	1.24 ± 0.66	56	1.06 ± 0.45
AST, IU/L	53	115.78 ± 61.42	56	112.59 ± 60.55
ALT, IU/L	53	112.91 ± 77.32	56	112.52 ± 55.91
AST/ALT	53	1.11 ± 0.31	56	1.05 ± 0.30
INR	49	1.13 ± 0.15	52	1.15 ± 0.15
HCV RNA, IU/mL	48	2,835,799 ± 3,296,975	49	3,373,670 ± 3,862,249
Patient characteristics				
Age, y	53	57.25 ± 5.75	57	58.47 ± 4.88
BMI, kg/m ²	53	29.67 ± 5.60	56	30.15 ± 5.78
	<i>n</i> (%)		<i>n</i> (%)	
Gender, male	47 (88.7)		48 (84.2)	
Ethnicity, Hispanic	10 (18.9)		14 (24.6)	
Race, Caucasian	30 (56.6)		33 (57.9)	
Black	17 (32.1)		16 (28.1)	
Asian/other/unknown	6 (11.3)		8 (14.0)	

Abbreviation: INR, international normalized ratio.

Figure 2.

AFP levels between week 0 and week 30. Serum AFP, as reported by Wako Laboratories, for subjects who had AFP at every time point from baseline (week 0) to end of follow-up (week 30). Error bars represent SEs.

AFP-L3 and DCP were available in a subset of patients (26 placebo and 27 SAmE). Changes in DCP and AFP-L3 between week 0 and week 24 did not differ significantly between the SAmE and the placebo arms (Table 2). Similarly, blood levels of the oxidative stress markers MDA and 4-HNE did not change with SAmE treatment (Table 2). Treatment and control groups did not differ significantly with respect to change over time for levels of routine blood tests for liver function and liver injury or in HCV RNA level (Supplementary Table S1).

Compliance with medication consumption, as assessed by pill count at each clinic visit, was excellent among the 87 subjects who completed the week 24 visit (Supplementary Table S2). Mean SAmE plasma level increased over time among subjects randomized to SAmE as compared with placebo (Table 2, Fig. 4A). Although change in SAmE plasma levels from week 0 to week 24 demonstrated variability by site (Fig. 4B), these differences were not statistically significant ($P = 0.65$) and did not explain site differences in AFP change over time. When AFP change was

analyzed by strata, defined by quartile of increase in SAmE, there was no clear trend to suggest that subjects with larger change in plasma SAmE level had more significant decline in AFP level (data not shown).

SAmE is metabolized to SAH and subsequently to homocysteine. The mean SAH level was significantly increased among subjects randomized to receive SAmE as compared with placebo (Table 2), with stepwise increase in SAH with each increase in SAmE dose (data not shown). SAM/SAH ratio regulates the activity of enzymes that use SAmE in methylation reactions, with a lower ratio signifying slower reactions. Among subjects receiving SAmE, the SAM/SAH ratio increased from 3.31 at week 0 to 8.62 at week 24 but remained unchanged among subjects receiving placebo (3.45 at W0 and 3.54 at W24; Mann-Whitney test, $P < 0.001$; Table 2). Change in plasma levels of homocysteine, methionine, and total glutathione between week 0 and week 24 did not differ between subjects receiving SAmE and subjects receiving placebo (Table 2).

Figure 3.

Change in AFP by site and treatment arm. Serum AFP increased between week 0 and week 24 among subjects randomized to placebo (control) at all sites. At 4 of the 5 sites, serum AFP decreased among subjects randomized to SAmE. However, AFP did not decrease among subjects receiving SAmE at the site with the largest number of subjects enrolled (LBVA).

Morgan et al.

Figure 4.

Serum SAME. A, serum SAME at all study time points among subjects randomized to SAME or placebo shows that SAME increased significantly among subject receiving SAME, but not among subjects receiving placebo, and that serum SAME level returned to baseline by week 30. B, serum SAME levels increased between week 0 and week 24 among subjects randomized to SAME but did not increase among subjects randomized to placebo.

Quality of life was assessed using the SF-36 and CLDQ questionnaires. All 110 subjects completed baseline questionnaires. While there were no significant differences between treatment arms in change from weeks 0 to 24 for either the SF-36 physical or mental component scores ($P = 0.88$ and $P = 0.21$, Supplementary

Table S2 and Supplementary Fig. S1), data suggest an improvement in mental well-being in the treatment arm. Subjects treated with SAME reported a nonsignificant improvement over 24 weeks in the mental component score relative to controls and a significant improvement in the mental health subdomain (treatment

Table 2. Change from week 0 to week 24 for HCC biomarkers, SAME metabolites, and markers of oxidative stress

	<i>n</i>	Control				<i>n</i>	SAME				Difference between arms	<i>P</i>
		Wk 0	Wk 24	Wk 24–Wk 0	SDD		Wk 0	Wk 24	Wk 24–Wk 0	SDD		
AFP	40	35.81	41.66	5.86	29.21	43	34.62	32.69	–1.93	20.30	7.78	0.160
DCP	26	0.98	1.62	0.65	1.49	27	0.96	1.22	0.26	0.98	0.39	0.266
AFP-L3	26	7.87	8.20	0.34	3.49	27	7.57	7.71	0.14	1.15	0.19	0.789
SAME	41	121.47	112.38	–9.09	54.62	43	102.43	516.67	414.24	744.37	–423.33	<0.001 ^a
SAH	41	36.36	32.90	–3.46	13.10	43	32.36	48.68	16.33	28.21	–19.78	<0.001 ^a
SAM/SAH	41	3.45	3.54	0.09	1.71	43	3.31	8.62	5.31	9.06	–5.22	<0.001 ^a
Methionine	41	41.21	38.31	–2.89	23.67	43	39.71	40.13	0.42	18.79	–3.32	0.478
tHcy	41	9.74	9.07	–0.68	2.48	43	8.95	9.22	0.27	2.39	–0.94	0.080
tGSH	41	1.97	2.26	0.29	1.23	43	2.26	2.71	0.46	1.43	–0.17	0.563
MDA	41	3.38	3.38	0.00	1.16	43	2.76	2.75	–0.01	0.85	0.01	0.983
4-HNE	41	1.03	1.00	–0.03	0.45	43	1.04	0.85	–0.19	0.72	0.15	0.248

^aMann-Whitney test.

Table 3. Number of patients with adverse events after randomization, by category

	Control (n = 53) n (%)	Treatment (n = 57) n (%)	P ^a
Adverse event			
Grade 1	45 (85)	52 (91)	
Grade 2	20 (38)	26 (46)	
Grade 3	5 (9)	5 (9)	
Gastrointestinal			
Abdominal cramps/pain	11 (21)	22 (39)	0.060
Constipation	5 (9)	13 (23)	0.073
Diarrhea	14 (26)	20 (35)	0.410
Nausea	7 (13)	17 (30)	0.040
Heartburn	3 (6)	6 (11)	0.492
Gas	24 (45)	17 (30)	0.116
Vomiting	3 (6)	5 (9)	0.718
Mood change			
Mood alteration negative	2 (4)	5 (9)	0.440
Mood alteration positive	1 (2)	2 (4)	1.000
Insomnia	7 (13)	8 (14)	1.000
Fatigue	9 (17)	14 (25)	0.358
Headache	12 (23)	13 (23)	1.000
Pain	8 (15)	6 (11)	0.572
Increased urination	10 (19)	13 (23)	0.646
Dry mouth	10 (19)	11 (19)	1.000
Patients who had ≥1 serious adverse event	3 (6)	5 (9)	0.718
Serious adverse events	4 (8)	7 (12)	0.530
	Left leg vascular claudication	Abdominal discomfort	
	HCC	Pneumonia	
	Left thigh abscess	Small bowel inflammation	
	Abdominal cellulitis	Portal hypertension	
		Abdominal pain	
		Nephrotic syndrome	
		Non-ST elevated myocardial infarction	

NOTE: Includes subjects with at least one follow-up visit after randomization. Rows are not mutually exclusive.

^aThe P value of the Fisher exact test.

by time interaction: $P = 0.24$ and $P = 0.04$ respectively; Supplementary Table S2). One hundred six subjects (52 placebo and 54 SAME) completed the baseline CLDQ. There were no significant differences between treatment arms in change over time for the CLDQ summary score or in subdomains (Supplementary Table S2). Trends over the 24 weeks showed a nonsignificant improvement in the CLDQ for the treatment arm relative to controls ($P = 0.18$ for treatment by time interaction; Supplementary Fig. S2).

Table 3 lists treatment-emergent adverse events, including those that were assessed as related or not related to the study medicine. Inclusion of a placebo arm allows for comparison of frequency of adverse events between groups. Approximately 88% (97 of 110) of subjects had one or more grade 1 or higher adverse event, 42% (46 of 110) had grade 2 or higher, and 9% (10 of 110) had grade 3 or higher, with no difference in frequency or severity of adverse events between treatment groups. Nausea was significantly more common among subjects receiving SAME, whereas constipation, diarrhea, fatigue, and abdominal cramps/pain were numerically more frequent. Eight subjects receiving SAME discontinued treatment because of adverse events, 5 of which were gastrointestinal [nausea (2), diarrhea (2), abdominal discomfort (1)]. Three subjects receiving placebo discontinued treatment, 2 due to gastrointestinal symptoms [gas (1), flatulence (1)]. There were 7 serious adverse events among 5 subjects receiving SAME and 4 serious adverse events among 3 subjects receiving placebo (not significant; Table 3). None was assessed by the investigator to be related to study drug treatment. Thirteen subjects in the SAME group reduced their medications because of adverse events as

compared with 5 subjects receiving placebo. Adverse events occurred at all 3 dose levels of SAME (i.e., 800, 1,600, and 2,400 mg/d).

Discussion

This study found a nonsignificant improvement in AFP among subjects with hepatitis C and advanced liver disease who received SAME for 24 weeks as compared with subjects who received placebo. The choice of the maximal dose of SAME, 2.4 g/d, was empiric. The typical dose of SAME is between 400 and 1,600 mg/d when prescribed as a medicine in other countries; the daily dose in the United States is unknown but is likely less than 1,000 mg/d given that the typical tablet size is 200 to 400 mg. We elected to give as high a dose of SAME as reasonably tolerable and within safety limitations. A dose of 2.4 g/d was selected because it corresponded with 3 tablets twice a day, an amount that was thought to be generally tolerable, without causing "pill burden."

SAME treatment was associated with a decrease in serum AFP at week 24, when compared with placebo treatment, at 4 of the 5 treatment sites. However, SAME treatment did not decrease serum AFP at the site with the largest subject enrollment (~50% of enrolled subjects). We could not identify differences in patient characteristics or laboratory data of liver disease injury or severity or in SAME blood levels (medicine compliance or absorption) that could explain the different AFP responses to SAME treatment. The apparent discordant results between the highest enrolling site and the aggregate of the other sites could reflect an underlying, but

not easily determined, difference in conditions that affected the metabolism of SAdE.

The lack of effect of SAdE in reducing AFP was not due to inability of SAdE to be absorbed. SAdE blood levels increased markedly among subjects randomized to SAdE, and the increase persisted throughout the 24-week treatment duration. The elevated SAdE levels returned to baseline by 6 weeks after stopping the SAdE.

The reason for lack of effectiveness of SAdE in this study, as compared with its efficacy in decreasing HCC in chemical-induced carcinogenesis in animal models, is unknown, although several explanations are possible. Chemical carcinogens in animal models of HCC directly interfere with SAdE metabolism, resulting in significant decreases in hepatic SAdE and in SAM/SAH ratio—and the decrease in SAdE levels in the dysplastic nodules and in HCC continues after the carcinogen administration is discontinued (12, 19–22). Administration of SAdE to the mice that received chemical carcinogens restores hepatic SAdE level and hepatic SAM/SAH ratio, which is associated with a decrease in the number of preneoplastic liver lesions and the prevention of dysplastic nodules and HCC and is associated with a decrease in labeling index and an increase in apoptosis in preneoplastic cells (11, 12, 20–22). The mechanism by which chemical carcinogens are hypothesized to promote HCC is through global DNA hypomethylation, especially hypomethylation (activation) of oncogenes. In an animal model of transplantation of human HCC cell lines into mice liver, SAdE administration decreased the growth of transplanted HCC by increasing apoptosis and reducing angiogenesis (23). Thus, in animal models of chemical hepatocarcinogenesis, hepatic SAdE level is decreased and this decrease is believed to contribute to carcinogenesis through increased oncogene expression, increased hepatocyte proliferation, and increased hepatocyte survival; SAdE administration restores hepatic SAdE level and reverses these changes.

The mechanisms of hepatocellular development in chronic hepatitis C are incompletely understood but are believed to involve different, as well as more complex, pathways than those described for chemical carcinogenesis. Deficiency of SAdE has been described in the liver in chronic hepatitis C, although the magnitude of deficiency, as compared with the deficiency in chemical-induced carcinogenesis in animal models, is unclear (24). There appear to be several additional carcinogenic pathways in hepatitis C, including oxidative stress, growth factor activation, and direct binding of HCV proteins to retinoblastoma protein as well as effects of HCV proteins on p53 function and other cell signaling cascades (25–27). In addition, hepatitis C is a chronic inflammatory disease and cirrhosis (fibrosis) is present in the majority of patients who develop HCC (28). Thus, the direct role of SAdE deficiency in contributing to HCC in the setting of chronic hepatitis C is less clear. Finally, this study measured change in AFP, which is an indirect marker of HCC; measurement of HCC development would have required a large, long study and would have raised ethical and funding concerns. In summary, the different pathophysiology of HCC development in chemical hepatocarcinogenesis, including the different roles that SAdE deficiency may play in the 2 types of HCC, the different outcome measures (HCC vs. AFP), and the lack of data on SAdE in the liver before and during this study (i.e., SAdE administration) may help explain why SAdE was not effective in this human clinical trial as compared with its effectiveness in chemical hepatocarcinogenesis in rodents.

SAdE administration increased the blood level of SAH, the product of SAdE-dependent methyltransfer reactions. The increase in SAdE and SAH, as expected, led to an increase in the SAdE/SAH ratio in serum. We do not have biochemical measures of SAdE metabolites other than circulating serum levels of those in the methionine cycle. Thus, we cannot assess whether oral SAdE altered DNA methylation or other intracellular biochemical methylation-dependent pathways that use SAdE and are regulated by SAH.

SAdE did not alter other downstream metabolites of the methionine cycle. In particular, homocysteine, which is the immediate downstream metabolite of SAH, was not significantly elevated in subjects receiving SAdE, possibly due to a high rate of turnover in the metabolism of homocysteine. However, this finding is theoretically advantageous as elevated homocysteine levels have been associated with increased risk for atherosclerosis, stroke, and cardiovascular events such as cardiac ischemia and myocardial infarctions (29–31). Methionine, which is the downstream metabolite of homocysteine, was also not increased. This is also somewhat unexpected, as cirrhotics are reported to not metabolize methionine as well as control subjects (32, 33) and the cirrhotic subjects in this study were receiving approximately 2 grams of extra SAdE per day, of which approximately two thirds is metabolized via transmethylation and a portion recycled to methionine (34). Our findings that SAdE increased plasma SAdE and SAH, without increasing homocysteine or methionine levels, are similar to a previous report of a 6-week study of oral SAdE, 1,600 mg/d, in patients with depression (35).

SAdE is also the precursor of glutathione via trans-sulfuration of homocysteine (5, 36). Patients with cirrhosis are reported to have low glutathione levels (36), and a prior study of SAdE administration in cirrhosis reported increased plasma glutathione levels with SAdE administration (37). However, we were unable to confirm that SAdE increased plasma glutathione levels. The effect of SAdE on intracellular glutathione levels, and on mitochondrial glutathione levels in hepatocytes, was not investigated in this study.

One mechanism by which SAdE is hypothesized to be beneficial is by reducing oxidative stress. We could not demonstrate that SAdE altered plasma level of 4-HNE, a marker of protein oxidative stress, or MDA, a measure of lipid oxidative stress (lipid peroxidation). The lack of effect of SAdE on oxidative stress is consistent with the lack of detectable change in plasma glutathione level. However, these findings are limited because we measured serum levels of oxidative stress, not levels of intracellular oxidative stress.

SAdE administration did not affect routine blood tests of liver function (e.g., bilirubin, albumin) or liver injury (e.g., AST, ALT), nor did it affect the level of HCV RNA in the blood. SAdE has been recommended as a treatment for several types of liver disease, with reports of improvement in routine tests of liver function. SAdE administration for 2 years improved survival among subjects with advanced alcoholic cirrhosis, although the mechanism by which SAdE improved survival was not described (38). Tests of liver injury (e.g., ALT and AST) in patients with hepatitis can change quickly when patients are treated with drugs that inhibit the HCV. Consequently, it is reasonable to expect that AST or ALT would have changed during the 24-week treatment period if SAdE had an effect on liver injury or hepatitis C viral replication. Thus, our finding of lack of effect of SAdE on these measures, in more than 40 patients treated for 24 weeks, suggests that the effects of SAdE

on liver function in hepatitis C cirrhosis are minimal or difficult to detect with routine blood tests.

Tolerability of SAME was reasonable. Gastrointestinal side effects (nausea, abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhea) were more frequent among subjects receiving SAME, and several patients were unable to tolerate SAME because of gastrointestinal symptoms. Nevertheless, the majority of patients tolerated 2.4 grams of SAME per day, and many of the common adverse events among subjects receiving SAME were also noted among subjects receiving placebo. Numerically more patients receiving placebo than receiving SAME required dose reductions because of adverse events, although none of the serious adverse events was assessed by the study investigator as related to SAME.

SAME has been extensively evaluated as a treatment for depression with inconsistent results (39). We did not directly measure depression, but we did measure quality of life using the SF-36, a widely used and general questionnaire of quality of life, and the CLDQ, a quality of life instrument for patients with liver disease. We were not able to demonstrate an effect of SAME on either the physical or mental component scores of the SF-36. However, SAME did improve the mental health subdomain, suggesting a possible effect on depression. SAME did not improve the overall CLDQ score, nor did it alter any of the subdomains when compared with placebo. Although our patients with hepatitis C had higher scores on the SF-36 than the general U.S. population (mean score of 50), prior studies of patients with hepatitis C and advanced liver disease tend to report lower quality of life and more depression, possibly because of patients' decreased functional status and potential limitation in life expectancy (40, 41). Overall, SAME does not appear to improve quality of life in patients with advanced hepatitis C. Whether SAME is effective as a treatment for depression in patients with hepatitis C will need to be tested using depression-specific instruments.

In summary, SAME administration, at doses up to 2.4 g/d for 24 weeks, failed to improve the blood level of AFP, a biomarker of HCC risk, in patients with hepatitis C and advanced liver disease. SAME administration increased blood level of SAME and of SAH, the major metabolite of SAME in the methionine cycle. However, oral SAME did not alter the blood level of homocysteine, methionine, or glutathione, all of which are downstream metabolites of SAH in the methionine cycle or the trans-sulfuration pathway. Blood levels of routine biochemical tests of liver function and of liver injury were not altered by SAME administration. Likewise, blood levels of oxidative stress were not affected by SAME. SAME did not change quality of life, although it did improve the mental health subdomain of the SF-36. SAME was generally well tolerated. Overall, this study failed to suggest that SAME should be further tested as a chemopreventive agent against HCC among patients with advanced hepatitis C. The study also suggests that SAME is unlikely to reduce liver injury or improve function in patients with HCV cirrhosis.

References

1. Davis GL, Alter MJ, El-Serag H, Poynard T, Jennings LW. Aging of hepatitis C virus (HCV)-infected persons in the United States: a multiple cohort model of HCV prevalence and disease progression. *Gastroenterology* 2010;138:513–21, 521.e1–6.
2. El-Serag HB. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:1118–27.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

T.R. Morgan reports receiving commercial research grants from Abbvie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead, Merck, Genentech, Vertex, and Hoffmann-La Roche. T. Bottiglieri reports receiving commercial research grants from Nestle Health Sciences Pamlab; speakers bureau honoraria from Gnosis and Methylation Sciences Inc.; has ownership interest in Methylation Sciences Inc.; and is a consultant for Gnosis S.p.A. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Disclaimer

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: T.R. Morgan, K. Osann, J.C. Hoefs, K.-Q. Hu, E. Richmond, L.M. Rodriguez, F.L. Meyskens

Development of methodology: T.R. Morgan, L.M. Rodriguez, F.L. Meyskens
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): T.R. Morgan, N. Pimstone, J.C. Hoefs, K.-Q. Hu, T. Hassanein, T.D. Boyer, R. Gonzalez

Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): T.R. Morgan, K. Osann, J.C. Hoefs, K.-Q. Hu, T. Hassanein, W.-P. Chen, F.L. Meyskens

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: T.R. Morgan, K. Osann, T. Bottiglieri, J.C. Hoefs, K.-Q. Hu, T. Hassanein, T.D. Boyer, E. Richmond, R. Gonzalez, F.L. Meyskens

Administrative, technical, or material support (i.e., reporting or organizing data, constructing databases): T.R. Morgan, N. Pimstone, T. Hassanein, L. Kong, R. Gonzalez, F.L. Meyskens

Study supervision: T.R. Morgan, T. Bottiglieri, N. Pimstone, J.C. Hoefs, E. Richmond, L.M. Rodriguez, F.L. Meyskens

Other (supervised the metabolomic analysis of specimens): T. Bottiglieri

Other (pharmacy processes): L. Kong

Other (support): L.M. Rodriguez

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the SAME chemoprevention study group (Aliya Asghar, Jeanette Baldonado, Jinah Chung, David Dang, Janis DeJohn, Vladimir Dranovskiy, Jerry Hernandez, Corinne Maton, Sharon Maxwell, Deval Modi, Jason Smith, Vanessa Wong, Matthew Williams). SAME and matching placebo were generously donated by Gnosis S.p.A. B-50 multivitamins were kindly donated by Pharmavite.

Grant Support

The study was supported by contract N01-CN-35160 from the NCI to F.L. Meyskens. The project described was supported by the National Center for Research Resources and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, NIH, through Grant UL1 TR000153 to D.M. Cooper.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Received January 25, 2015; revised May 15, 2015; accepted June 17, 2015; published OnlineFirst June 30, 2015.

5. Lu SC. S-Adenosylmethionine. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2000;32:391–5.
6. Lu SC, Mato JM. S-adenosylmethionine in liver health, injury, and cancer. *Physiol Rev* 2012;92:1515–42.
7. Berger R, Nowak H. A new medical approach to the treatment of osteoarthritis. Report of an open phase IV study with ademetionine (Gumbaral). *Am J Med* 1987;83:84–8.
8. Ghoshal AK, Farber E. The induction of liver cancer by dietary deficiency of choline and methionine without added carcinogens. *Carcinogenesis* 1984;5:1367–70.
9. Lombardi B. The choline-devoid diet model of hepatocarcinogenesis in the rat. In: Fow F, ed. *Chemical carcinogenesis. Models and mechanisms*. New York: Plenum; 1988.
10. Wainfan E, Poirier LA. Methyl groups in carcinogenesis: effects on DNA methylation and gene expression. *Cancer Res* 1992;52:2071s–7s.
11. Pascale RM, Simile MM, De Miglio MR, Feo F. Chemoprevention of hepatocarcinogenesis: S-adenosyl-L-methionine. *Alcohol* 2002;27:193–8.
12. Simile MM, Saviozzi M, De Miglio MR, Muroli MR, Nufri A, Pascale RM, et al. Persistent chemopreventive effect of S-adenosyl-L-methionine on the development of liver putative preneoplastic lesions induced by thiobenzamide in diethylnitrosamine-initiated rats. *Carcinogenesis* 1996;17:1533–7.
13. Terentiev AA, Moldogazieva NT. Alpha-fetoprotein: a renaissance. *Tumour Biol* 2013;34:2075–91.
14. Bertino G, Ardini A, Malaguarnera M, Malaguarnera G, Bertino N, Calvagno GS. Hepatocellular carcinoma serum markers. *Semin Oncol* 2012;39:410–33.
15. Tsukuma H, Hiyama T, Tanaka S, Nakao M, Yabuuchi T, Kitamura T, et al. Risk factors for hepatocellular carcinoma among patients with chronic liver disease. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:1797–801.
16. Younossi ZM, Guyatt G, Kiwi M, Boparai N, King D. Development of a disease specific questionnaire to measure health related quality of life in patients with chronic liver disease. *Gut* 1999;45:295–300.
17. Inoue-Choi M, Nelson HH, Robien K, Arning E, Bottiglieri T, Koh WP, et al. Plasma S-adenosylmethionine, DNMT polymorphisms, and peripheral blood LINE-1 methylation among healthy Chinese adults in Singapore. *BMC Cancer* 2013;13:389.
18. Ubbink JB, Hayward Vermaak WJ, Bissbort S. Rapid high-performance liquid chromatographic assay for total homocysteine levels in human serum. *J Chromatogr* 1991;565:441–6.
19. Feo F, Garcea R, Pascale R, Pirisi L, Daino L, Donaera A. The variations of S-adenosyl-L-methionine content modulate hepatocyte growth during phenobarbital promotion of diethylnitrosamine-induced rat liver carcinogenesis. *Toxicol Pathol* 1987;15:109–14.
20. Garcea R, Daino L, Pascale R, Simile MM, Puddu M, Frassetto S, et al. Inhibition of promotion and persistent nodule growth by S-adenosyl-L-methionine in rat liver carcinogenesis: role of remodeling and apoptosis. *Cancer Res* 1989;49:1850–6.
21. Pascale RM, Simile MM, Satta G, Seddaiu MA, Daino L, Pinna G, et al. Comparative effects of L-methionine, S-adenosyl-L-methionine and 5'-methylthioadenosine on the growth of preneoplastic lesions and DNA methylation in rat liver during the early stages of hepatocarcinogenesis. *Anticancer Res* 1991;11:1617–24.
22. Pascale RM, Simile MM, De Miglio MR, Nufri A, Daino L, Seddaiu MA, et al. Chemoprevention by S-adenosyl-L-methionine of rat liver carcinogenesis initiated by 1,2-dimethylhydrazine and promoted by orotic acid. *Carcinogenesis* 1995;16:427–30.
23. Lu SC, Ramani K, Ou X, Lin M, Yu V, Ko K, et al. S-adenosylmethionine in the chemoprevention and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in a rat model. *Hepatology* 2009;50:462–71.
24. Calvisi DF, Simile MM, Ladu S, Pellegrino R, De Murtas V, Pinna F, et al. Altered methionine metabolism and global DNA methylation in liver cancer: relationship with genomic instability and prognosis. *Int J Cancer* 2007;121:2410–20.
25. Ding J, Wang H. Multiple interactive factors in hepatocarcinogenesis. *Cancer Lett* 2014;346:17–23.
26. Koike K. The oncogenic role of hepatitis C virus. *Recent Results Cancer Res* 2014;193:97–111.
27. Rusyn I, Lemon SM. Mechanisms of HCV-induced liver cancer: what did we learn from *in vitro* and animal studies? *Cancer Lett* 2014;345:210–5.
28. Lok AS, Seeff LB, Morgan TR, di Bisceglie AM, Sterling RK, Curto TM, et al. Incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma and associated risk factors in hepatitis C-related advanced liver disease. *Gastroenterology* 2009;136:138–48.
29. Bertoia ML, Pai JK, Cooke JP, Joosten MM, Mittleman MA, Rimm EB, et al. Plasma homocysteine, dietary B vitamins, betaine, and choline and risk of peripheral artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;235:94–101.
30. He Y, Li Y, Chen Y, Feng L, Nie Z. Homocysteine level and risk of different stroke types: a meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2014;24:1158–65.
31. Still RA, McDowell IF. ACP Broadsheet No 152: March 1998. Clinical implications of plasma homocysteine measurement in cardiovascular disease. *J Clin Pathol* 1998;51:183–8.
32. Horowitz JH, Rypins EB, Henderson JM, Heymsfield SB, Moffitt SD, Bain RP, et al. Evidence for impairment of transsulfuration pathway in cirrhosis. *Gastroenterology* 1981;81:668–75.
33. Kinsell LW, Harper HA. Rate of disappearance from plasma of intravenously administered methionine in patients with liver damage. *Science* 1947;106:589.
34. Giuliodori P, Galli-Kienle M, Catto E, Stramentinoli G. Transmethylation, transsulfuration, and aminopropylation reactions of S-adenosyl-L-methionine *in vivo*. *J Biol Chem* 1984;259:4205–11.
35. Papakostas GI, Mischoulon D, Shyu I, Alpert JE, Fava M. S-adenosyl methionine (SAME) augmentation of serotonin reuptake inhibitors for antidepressant nonresponders with major depressive disorder: a double-blind, randomized clinical trial. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010;167:942–8.
36. Lu SC. Glutathione synthesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2013;1830:3143–53.
37. Vendemiale G, Altomare E, Trizio T, Le Grazie C, Di Padova C, Salerno MT, et al. Effects of oral S-adenosyl-L-methionine on hepatic glutathione in patients with liver disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1989;24:407–15.
38. Mato JM, Camara J, Fernandez de Paz J, Caballeria L, Coll S, Caballero A, et al. S-adenosylmethionine in alcoholic liver cirrhosis: a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicenter clinical trial. *J Hepatol* 1999;30:1081–9.
39. Bottiglieri T. Folate, vitamin B12, and S-adenosylmethionine. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2013;36:1–13.
40. Foster GR. Quality of life considerations for patients with chronic hepatitis C. *J Viral Hepat* 2009;16:605–11.
41. Nelligan JA, Loftis JM, Matthews AM, Zucker BL, Linke AM, Hauser P. Depression comorbidity and antidepressant use in veterans with chronic hepatitis C: results from a retrospective chart review. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2008;69:810–6.

Cancer Prevention Research

A Phase II Randomized, Controlled Trial of S-Adenosylmethionine in Reducing Serum α -Fetoprotein in Patients with Hepatitis C Cirrhosis and Elevated AFP

Timothy R. Morgan, Kathryn Osann, Teodoro Bottiglieri, et al.

Cancer Prev Res 2015;8:864-872. Published OnlineFirst June 30, 2015.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0029
Supplementary Material	Access the most recent supplemental material at: http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2015/07/01/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0029.DC1.html

Cited articles	This article cites 40 articles, 11 of which you can access for free at: http://cancerpreventionresearch.aacrjournals.org/content/8/9/864.full.html#ref-list-1
-----------------------	--

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org .
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org .