UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Dynamic unidirectional anisotropy in cubic FeGe with antisymmetric spin-spin-coupling

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/69w7p90f

Journal

Scientific Reports, 10(1)

ISSN

2045-2322

Authors

Josten, Nicolas Feggeler, Thomas Meckenstock, Ralf <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-12-01

DOI

10.1038/s41598-020-59208-8

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN

Dynamic unidirectional anisotropy in cubic FeGe with antisymmetric spin-spin-coupling

Nicolas Josten¹, Thomas Feggeler¹, Ralf Meckenstock¹, Detlef Spoddig¹, Marina Spasova¹, Ke Chai², Iliya Radulov³, Zi-An Li², Oliver Gutfleisch³, Michael Farle^{1,5} & Benjamin Zingsem^{1,4*}

Strong unidirectional anisotropy in bulk polycrystalline B20 FeGe has been measured by ferromagnetic resonance spectroscopy. Such anisotropy is not present in static magnetometry measurements. B20 FeGe exhibits inherent Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, resulting in a nonreciprocal spin-wave dispersion. Bulk and micron sized samples were produced and characterized. By X-band ferromagnetic resonance spectroscopy at $276 \text{ K} \pm 1 \text{ K}$, near the Curie temperature, a distribution of resonance modes was observed in accordance with the cubic anisotropy of FeGe. This distribution exhibits a unidirectional anisotropy, i.e. shift of the resonance field under field inversion, of $K_{UD} = 960 \text{ J/m}^3 \pm 10 \text{ J/m}^3$, previously unknown in bulk ferromagnets. Additionally, more than 25 small amplitude standing spin wave modes were observed inside a micron sized FeGe wedge, measured at 293 K $\pm 2 \text{ K}$. These modes also exhibit unidirectional anisotropy. This effect, only dynamically measurable and not detectable in static magnetometry measurements, may open new possibilities for directed spin transport in chiral magnetic systems.

Non-centrosymmetric crystal structures, such as the B20 phase of FeGe^{1,2}, can host chiral spin textures like magnetic skyrmions^{3,4}, which have been proposed as new structures for memory storage applications⁵ at room temperature⁶. Chiral spin structures in general are of significant interest in current magnetic research^{7,8}. Dzyaloshinsky-Morya-interaction (DMI)^{9,10} causes a chiral symmetry break of the magnetic interaction and influences the dynamic properties of the magnetic system. For example, the spin wave dispersion becomes non-reciprocal^{11,12}, as experimentally confirmed by Brillouin spectroscopy¹³ and an additional phase shift between neighboring spins of a spin wave affects its resonance intensity^{14,15}. The space group P2₁3 of the FeGe B20 phase has an inherent broken inversion symmetry, but does not impose chirality. The chirality, in this case, results from the specific atomic sites occupied by Fe and Ge inside the unit cell¹⁶. The magnetic properties of FeGe were previously studied using the Mössbauer effect¹⁷, vibrating sample magnetometry¹⁸ and magnetic susceptibility measurements¹⁹ making FeGe a magnetically well characterized material.

In the Heisenberg model of direct nearest neighbour interactions, spin waves (magnons) have a dispersion relation proportional to the square of the wave vector k. An antisymmetric contribution to spin-spin interaction results in an additional term in the dispersion relation linearly proportional to $k^{11,12}$ and therefore a shift with regard to the gamma point. Then spin waves propagating in opposite directions at the same frequency have different wavelengths leading to complex standing waves with a moving phase front. This allows to detect modes, which would otherwise cancel and not be detectable in FMR.

We measured the magnetodynamic properties of a millimeter-sized disk shaped sample and a micron-sized wedge shaped sample of B20 FeGe using ferromagnetic resonance (FMR)^{20,21}. Previous FMR measurements on this material²²⁻²⁵ were performed with millimeter sized single crystalline samples. Solving the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation (LLG)^{26,27} for an FMR like excitation²⁸, we determined magnetic material parameters in the usual way²⁹.

¹Faculty of Physics and Center for Nanointegration (CENIDE), University Duisburg Essen, Duisburg, 47057, Germany. ²Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China. ³Department of Material- and Geosciences, Functional Materials, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, 64287, Germany. ⁴Ernst Ruska Centre for Microscopy and Spectroscopy with Electrons and Peter Grünberg Institute, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425, Jülich, Germany. ⁵Kirensky Institute of Physics, Federal Research Center KSC SB RAS, Krasnoyarsk, 660036, Russia. *email: Benjamin.Zingsem@uni-due.de



Figure 1. (a) Lorentz microscopy image at 190 K and 150 mT of an FeGe slice cut from the original sample using standard lift-off FIB. The magnetic field points perpendicular to the sample. The black and white dots represent an ordered skyrmion lattice. (b) Scanning electron micrograph of the specimen inside an R-Type microresonator. The inset shows a schematic representation of the geometry and the directions of the magnetic field B during the experiment. (Dimension of the sample: $a = 11.3 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, $b = 10.9 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, $c = 5.9 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, $d = 5.0 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, $e = 0.9 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$, $f = 1.6 \pm 0.1 \,\mu\text{m}$).

Sample Preparation

Stoichiometric FeGe was melted, using induction heating and, to guarantee homogeneity, re-melted twice and annealed for 130 h at 1000 K. Cylinders were formed and a high pressure high temperature synthesis inside a Kawai-type³⁰ multianvil apparatus with Walker-type³¹ module was applied. This resulted in 95% polycrystalline B20 FeGe, confirmed by X-ray diffraction. A maximum of 5% of the sample material could consist of secondary phase Iron Germanium. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) measurements also reveal local composition variations with accumulation of iron (Fe:Ge 55:45). Further investigations with Lorentz microscopy show the formation of helices and skyrmions (Fig. 1(a)) in accordance to³². Micron sized samples (Fig. 1(b)) with wedge shaped geometries were cut using a Focused Ion Beam (FIB – FEI Helios nanolab 600) and placed inside an R-Type microresonator^{33–35} using standard lift-off FIB (Omniprobe manipulator with Pt gas insertion system) technique. During the lift-off process a carbon coating with up to 100 nm thickness and up to 15% platinum contamination³⁶ could not be avoided. Furthermore, the lift-off process used Gallium as cutting ions and resulted in a localized deposition of a maximum of 2.6% of Ga (as verified by EDX).

Experimental

FMR spectra of a bulk polycrystalline, nearly disc shaped piece of FeGe with a diameter of 3.78 mm and a thickness of 0.78 mm (2(b) inset) was acquired in a range of 800 mT to 0 mT at a frequency of 9.517 GHz \pm 0.006 GHz. The field was applied at angles of -8° to 172° (corresponding to the directions "up" and "down" in Fig. 2(a)) in steps of 0.5° from out-of-plane to in-plane and to the opposite out-of-plane orientation. The measurement of the uniform FMR mode can be seen in Fig. 2(a) shown as an amplitude contour plot. The temperature is 276 K \pm 1 K, which is below the Curie Temperature of T_C = 280 K¹⁹, where the sample is ferromagnetic³². The angular precision of our experimental setup is better than 0.05° and the precision of the magnetic field is better than 0.5 mT with a relative precision of 0.005 mT.

Resonance lines in the FMR spectra are identified by a successive local maximum and minimum amplitude. We observe a distribution of resonances, which is in agreement to previous FMR investigations²² of single crystalline FeGe. Each crystallite in the sample is contributing to this resonance distribution. They are all influenced by the applied external field and the demagnetization field in the sample, due to its general shape. However, their resonance fields vary with respect to the applied magnetic field due to the different symmetry axis of the cubic anisotropy in each crystallite. We simulated the resonance distribution using the known magnetocrystalline anisotropy of FeGe²² and a random orientation of crystallites and compared it to the measurement. This can be found in the Supplementary Sec. S1. Figure 2(a) shows the differentiated angular dependent FMR spectra as a grey scale contour plot. The out-of-plane orientations are depicted in detail in Fig. 2(b). The measured resonance line exhibits a unidirectional anisotropy, indicated by a difference in the positon of maximum microwave absorption comparing opposite magnetic field directions. A similar anisotropy is observed in systems with exchange-bias³⁷. Hence we performed additional magnetometry measurements, to exclude the presence of exchange bias in our system (Fig. 3). No such anisotropic behaviour is observed in static magnetometry using vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM). We therefore conclude, that this anisotropy must be dynamically induced under resonant excitation. Note that it cannot be equated with the linear contribution to the spin wave dispersion, as this changes directionality in accordance with the magnetic field direction. Due to the skin depth of approximately 10⁻³ mm²² one must, to fully reproduce the FMR lineshape, solve the non-uniform LLG²⁴ taking the shape of the sample into account. However, we show exemplary in the Supplementary Sec. S1 that a Dysonian lineshape^{38,39} and the known magnetocrystalline anisotropy of FeGe¹⁸ are able to reproduce the measured FMR lineshape satisfyingly, which



Figure 2. (a) Angular dependent out-of-plane (differentiated) FMR spectra shown as an amplitude contour plot at 276 K ± 1 K and $f_{\text{Microwave}} = 9.517 \text{ GHz} \pm 0.006 \text{ GHz}$. The yellow line marks the angular dependent resonance field position. The dotted white lines mark the position of the hard direction at 0° and 180°. They have been extended to the middle of the figure for better comparison of the 30 mT field difference. (b) shows the spectra of the same FMR measurement at the positions "up" and "down" marked in (a). The resonance spectrum for the field applied along the "down" direction consists of two resonance lines. This is due to edge resonances inside the sample^{24,42} superimposing with the uniform FMR mode²³. Additionally a schematic representation of the sample can be seen with the most important field positions marked.

is sufficient for our needs. The position of resonance was obtained by subtracting the background and locating the zero crossing of the resonance line. We analyzed the angular dependent spectra using Eq. 1 as a model for the free energy density F. To account for the observed unidirectional symmetry in the angular dependent resonance field position, an additional unidiretional field contribution needs to be introduced. In this model an additional anisotropy field $B_U = K_{UD}/M$ is used. This unidirectional contribution is merely a descriptive model to account for the observed phenomenon. It cannot be seen as an additional magnetocristalline anisotropy but rather as an emergent symmetry contribution which arises under dynamic excitation. In the Supplementary Sec. S2 the shape of such a unidirectional free energy density is shown. Additionally, a demagnetization and Zeeman term are considered.

$$F = -K_{UD} \cdot \cos(\theta) + \frac{\mu_0}{2} \cdot \overrightarrow{M} \cdot N \cdot \overrightarrow{M} - \overrightarrow{M} \cdot \overrightarrow{B}$$
(1)

The demagnetisation tensor $N(N_{zz} = 0.676, N_{xx,yy} = 0.162)$ was deduced, using the demagnetisation tensor of a cylinder as described in⁴⁰. The known g-factor of FeGe (g = 2.07)²³ was used. θ is the out-of-plane angle of the magnetisation M, and B is the external magnetic field. Additionally, the magnetisation M is considered as a fit parameter. The obtained parameters are $K_{UD} = 960 \text{ J/m}^3 \pm 10 \text{ J/m}^3$, and $M = 82580 \text{ Am}^{-1} \pm 200 \text{ Am}^{-1}$. The magnetization matches the magnetization measured by VSM at 281 K, 5 K above the temperature measured by a sensor below the sample. This offset is likely due to microwave heating.

Figure 4 shows the angular dependent FMR spectra (293 K \pm 2 K, $f_{\text{Microwave}} = 9.134 \text{ GHz} \pm 0.006 \text{ GHz}$) of the wedge shaped FeGe sample (Fig. 1(b)) measured inside a microresonator as a grey scale contour plot. Multiple resonances are visible in the spectra, which exhibit anisotropic behavior. The anisotropy is directed such that the resonance field increases when the static field is applied parallel to the long (dipolar-easy) axis of the sample. This suggests that these modes are spinwaves with energies below that of the gamma point (FMR mode), which may be induced by strong dipolar coupling⁴¹ or DMI. Around \pm 90°, the number of superimposing resonances and the complex mode intesity distribution¹⁵ make it difficult to separate individual lines. We assume that these resonances arise due to geometrical confinement of the modes in our specimen (Fig. 1). Consequently, the inclined surface of our wedge results in different geometrical boundary conditions at the same time. Bidirectional measurements along the \pm 81° direction as shown in Fig. 5, however, reveal a clear unidirectional shift of the resonances under field reversal. Figure 5(a) shows the reproducibility of resonances for field sweep up and field sweep



Figure 3. (a) Temperature dependent measurement of the magnetization at 310 mT external field. A part of the original sample was used for the measurement. (b) Hysteresis loop measured by vibrating sample magnetometry at 276 K. The sample is the same as in (a). The magnetisation M is plotted against the magnetic field B. The hysteresis shows no asymmetry or exchange bias.



Figure 4. A grey scale contour plot of the (differentiated) FMR signal amplitude of the micron sized wedge shaped sample as a function of applied magnetic field for different orientations of the magnetic field between -93° and 99° (compare Fig. 1(b)) at $9.134 \text{ GHz} \pm 0.006 \text{ GHz}$. The scale bar is depicted on the left. The dotted white lines indicate spin wave modes.

down, whereas Fig. 5(b) illustrates that under field reversal, the resonance position of the spinwaves has shifted. Hence, we find a unidirectional anisotropy. Figure 5(c) shows the noise floor of our spectrometer in a field region where no resonances are observed.



Figure 5. Analysis of the bidirectional (differentiated) FMR measurements (~293 K) at 81° and 3.587 GHz \pm 0.006 GHz, of the specimen shown in Fig. 1(b). (**a**,ii) and (**b**,ii) comparison between two different (differentiated) FMR measurements, the former with the same magnetic field direction, the latter at opposite field directions. (**a**,i) and (**b**,i) show a schematic representation of the sample and the respective magnetic field directions of the compared measurements. (**a**,iii) and (**b**,iii) depict the highlighted areas in (**a**,ii) and (**b**,ii) in detail. (**a**,iv) and (**b**,iv) are the plotted differences between the compared measurements. (**a**,v) noise floor of the measurement in a magnetic field region without resonances.

Conclusion

From angular dependent ferromagnetic resonance spectroscopy we find an unexpected dynamic unidirectional anisotropy (Fig. 2) in the magnetic excitation of FeGe just below the Curie temperature. This anisotropy is of a dynamic character, since it is not detectable in static hysteresis measurements. The magnitude of the unidirectional anisotropy of the bulk resonance line is $K_{UD} = 960 \text{ J/m}^3 \pm 10 \text{ J/m}^3$. Spin waves, detected at 293 K±2 K for sample sizes with micrometer dimensions, also exhibit unidirectional anisotropy (Fig. 5).

Methods

A conventional Bruker X-band FMR spectrometer was used for FMR measurements on the millimetre sized FeGe sample (see Fig. 1) inside a cylindrical TE_{011} cavity. FMR measurements on the micron sized FeGe sample (Figs. 1(b), 4 and 5) were performed inside an R-Type microresonator³³⁻³⁵. The resonator was connected to a Varian E102 microwave bridge. The modulated microwave reflection was recovered using a SRS SR830DSP lock-in amplifier.

Received: 11 April 2019; Accepted: 22 January 2020; Published online: 18 February 2020

References

- Richardson, M. The Partial Equilibrium Diagram of the Fe-Ge System in the Range 40–72 at. % Ge, and the Crystallisation of some Iron Germanides by Chemical Transport Reactions. *Acta Chem. Scand.* 21, 2305–2317, https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem. scand.21-2305 (1967).
- Richardson, M. Crystal Structure Refinements of the B 20 and Monoclinic (CoGe-type) Polymorphs of FeGe. Acta Chem. Scand. 21, 753–760, https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.21-0753 (1967).
- Li, Z.-A. et al. Magnetic Skyrmions in an FeGe Nanostripe Revealed by in situ Electron Holography, 974–975 (American Cancer Society, 2016). https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527808465.EMC2016.6263.
- 4. Mühlbauer, S. *et al.* Skyrmion lattice in a chiral magnet. *Sci.* **323**, 915–919, http://science.sciencemag.org/content/323/5916/915.full. pdf (2009).
- 5. Romming, N. et al. Writing and deleting single magnetic skyrmions. Sci. 341, 636–639, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1240573, http://science.sciencemag.org/content/341/6146/636.full.pdf (2013).
- 6. Woo, S. *et al.* Observation of room-temperature magnetic skyrmions and their current-driven dynamics in ultrathin metallic ferromagnets. *Nat. Mater.* **15**, 501 EP (2016).
- von Bergmann, K., Kubetzka, A., Pietzsch, O. & Wiesendanger, R. Interface-induced chiral domain walls, spin spirals and skyrmions revealed by spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy. J. Physics: Condens. Matter 26, 394002, https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/26/39/394002 (2014).
- Zhang, S. L., van der Laan, G. & Hesjedal, T. Direct experimental determination of spiral spin structures via the dichroism extinction effect in resonant elastic soft x-ray scattering. *Phys. Rev. B* 96, 094401, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.094401 (2017).
- 9. Dzyaloshinsky, I. A thermodynamic theory of "weak" ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241-255, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3697(58)90076-3 (1958).
- Moriya, T. Anisotropic superexchange interaction and weak ferromagnetism. *Phys. Rev.* 120, 91–98, https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRev.120.91 (1960).

- 11. Melcher, R. L. Linear contribution to spatial dispersion in the spin-wave spectrum of ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 125–128, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.30.125 (1973).
- Moon, J.-H. et al. Spin-wave propagation in the presence of interfacial dzyaloshinskii-moriya interaction. Phys. Rev. B. 88, 184404, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.184404 (2013).
- Di, K. et al. Direct observation of the dzyaloshinskii-moriya interaction in a Pt/Co/Ni film. Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 047201, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.047201 (2015).
- Puszkarski, H. & Wigen, P. E. Effect of Dzialoshinsky-Moriya Interactions on Propagation of Spin Waves in Ferromagnets: Dynamical Canting. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 35, 1017–1018, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.35.1017 (1975).
- Zingsem, B., Farle, M., Stramps, R. L. & Camley, R. E. Unusual nature of confined modes in a chiral system: Directional transport in standing waves. *Phys. Rev. B* 99, 214429, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.214429 (2019).
- Ullah, A. et al. Crystal structure and dzyaloshinski-moriya micromagnetics. IEEE Transactions on Magn. 55, 1-5, https://doi. org/10.1109/TMAG.2018.2890028 (2019).
- 17. Wäppling, R., Häggström, L. & Karlsson, E. Magnetic properties of FeGe studied by mössbauer effect. Phys. Scripta 2, 233 (1970).
- Ludgren, L., Beckman, O., Attia, V., Bhattacheriee, S. P. & Richardson, M. Helical spin arrangement in cubic FeGe. *Phys. Scripta* 1, 69–72, https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-8949/1/1/012 (1970).
- Lundgren, L., Blom, K. & Beckman, O. Magnetic susceptibility measurements on cubic FeGe. *Phys. Lett. A.* 28, 175–176, https://doi. org/10.1016/0375-9601(68)90184-9 (1968).
- 20. Farle, M. Ferromagnetic resonance of ultrathin metallic layers. Reports on Prog. Phys. 61, 755 (1998).
- Lindner, J., Meckenstock, R. & Farle, M. Applications of Ferromagnetic Resonance, 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1002/0471266965. com130 (American Cancer Society, 2012).
- Haraldson, S., Björn, L., Beckman, O. & Smith, U. Magnetic resonance in cubic FeGe. J. Magn. Reson. (1969) 8, 271–273, https://doi. org/10.1016/0022-2364(72)90009-1 (1972).
- Haraldson, S., Pettersson, L. & Bhagat, S. Frequency and temperature dependence of spin resonances in cubic FeGe. J. Magn. Reson. (1969) 32, 115–120, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(78)90081-1 (1978).
- Haraldson, S. & Smith, U. The microwave resonance line shape in ferromagnetic cubic FeGe. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 35, 1237–1245, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3697(74)80147-2 (1974).
- Smith, U. & Haraldson, S. Spin-wave resonances in cubic FeGe. J. Magn. Reson. (1969) 16, 390–401, https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2364(74)90220-0 (1974).
- 26. Landau, L. & Lifshitz, E. Anomalous high-frequency resistance of ferromagnetic metals. Phys. Z. Sowjetunion 8, 153-169 (1935).
- Gilbert, T. L. A phenomenological theory of damping in ferromagnetic materials. *IEEE Transactions on Magn.* 40, 3443–3449, https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2004.836740 (2004).
- Zingsem, B. W., Winklhofer, M., Meckenstock, R. & Farle, M. Unified description of collective magnetic excitations. *Phys. Rev. B* 96, 224407, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.224407 (2017).
- Smit, J. & Beljers, H. G. Ferromagnetic resonance absorption in BaFe12O19, a highly anisotropic crystal. *Philips Res. Reports* 10, 113–130 (1955).
- Kawai, N. & Endo, S. The generation of ultrahigh hydrostatic pressures by a split sphere apparatus. *Rev. Sci. Instruments* 41, 1178-1181, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1684753 (1970).
- Walker, D., Carpenter, M. A. & Hitch, C. M. Some simplifications to multianvil devices for high pressure experiments. Am. Mineral. 75, 1020 (1990).
- Wilhelm, H. et al. Precursor phenomena at the magnetic ordering of the cubic helimagnet FeGe. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.127203 (2011).
- 33. Banholzer, A. et al. Visualization of spin dynamics in single nanosized magnetic elements. Nanotechnol. 22, 295713 (2011).
- Narkowicz, R., Suter, D. & Niemeyer, I. Scaling of sensitivity and efficiency in planar microresonators for electron spin resonance. *Rev. Sci. Instruments* 79, 084702, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2964926 (2008).
- Narkowicz, R., Suter, D. & Stonies, R. Planar microresonators for epr experiments. J. Magn. Reson. 175, 275–284, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jmr.2005.04.014 (2005).
- Botman, A., Mulders, J. J. L., Weemaes, R. & Mentink, S. Purification of platinum and gold structures after electron-beaminduced deposition. *Nanotechnol.* 17, 3779 (2006).
- Stoecklein, W., Parkin, S. S. P. & Scott, J. C. Ferromagnetic resonance studies of exchange-biased permalloy thin films. *Phys. Rev. B* 38, 6847–6854, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.38.6847 (1988).
- Dyson, F. J. Electron spin resonance absorption in metals. II. theory of electron diffusion and the skin effect. *Phys. Rev.* 98, 349–359, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.98.349 (1955).
- Joshi, J. P. & Bhat, S. On the analysis of broad dysonian electron paramagnetic resonance spectra. J. Magn. Reson. 168, 284–287, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmr.2004.03.018 (2004).
- 40. Beleggia, M., Graef, M. D. & Millev, Y. T. The equivalent ellipsoid of a magnetized body. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 39, 891 (2006).
- 41. Van Kranendonk, J. & Van Vleck, J. H. Spin waves. Rev. Mod. Phys. 30, 1–23, https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.30.1 (1958).
- 42. David, P. & Heath, M. Further comments on the shape dependent demagnetizing field in ferromagnetic resonance. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 4, L282–L286, https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3719/4/13/011 (1971).

Acknowledgements

We like to thank Norimasa Nishiyama and Shrikant Bhat for the support at the sample synthesis and Igor Barsukov and Konstantin Skokov for fruitful discussions. B.Z. acknowledges that the research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement number 320832. T.F. acknowledges financial support by German Research Foundation (DFG project: OL513/1-1) and the Austrian Science Fund (FWF project: I 3050-N36). I.R. and O.G. acknowledge financial support by the German federal state of Hessen through its excellence program LOEWE "RESPONSE". This study was supported by the Research Grant No. 075-15-2019-1886 from the Government of the Russian Federation. We acknowledge support by the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Duisburg-Essen. Funded, in part, by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 405553726 – TRR 270.

Author contributions

N.J. and T.F. performed magnetic resonance experiments with support from B.Z. and R.M.. Z.L. and K.C. performed electron microscopy. I.R. and D.S. prepared the samples and perfomed analytic characterizations with help from O.G., M.S. performed magnetometry. B.Z. and T.F. concieved and planned the experiments. B.Z. and N.J. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript with support from T.F. and M.F., B.Z. encouraged N.J. to investigate the presented samples and supervised the findings of this work. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript. B.Z. supervised the project.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information is available for this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59208-8.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020