# UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

**Title** Motion-Driven Transparency and Opacity

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6b15b56g

**Journal** i-Perception, 7(5)

# ISSN

2041-6695

# Authors

Anstis, Stuart Kaneko, Sae Ho, Alan

Publication Date

2016-10-01

# DOI

10.1177/2041669516667629

Peer reviewed

# Motion-Driven Transparency and Opacity

*i-Perception* September-October 2016, 1–4 © The Author(s) 2016 DOI: 10.1177/2041669516667629 ipe.sagepub.com

*i*-PERCEPTI



# **Stuart Anstis**

University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

## Sae Kaneko

Research Institute of Electrical Communication, Tohoku University, Miyagi, Japan; University of California, San Diego, CA, USA

## Alan Ho

Ambrose University, AB, Canada

### Abstract

When two adjacent surfaces move in step, this can generate a sensation of transparency, even in the absence of intersections. Stopping the motion of one surface makes it look suddenly opaque.

### **Keywords**

motion, transparency, perception, illusion

Ewald Hering (1834–1918) famously claimed that an object could not be simultaneously red and green all over. (From this, he developed his theory of opponent processes.) Yet, although no single surface can look greenish red, it is possible to perceive red and green in the same visual direction when transparent red and green surfaces are superimposed. Metelli (1974) laid out the rules governing the perception of transparency, and Adelson and Anandan (1990) and Anderson (1997) discussed the roles played by X- and T-junctions. X-junctions can trigger transparency relationship (ambiguous or unambiguous, depending on the direction of the luminance contrast), whereas T-junctions normally suggest occlusion by an opaque surface (Adelson & Anandan, 1990; however, see Watanabe & Cavanagh (1993) and Anderson (1997) for examples of T-junctions leading to transparency perception).

We now show that small local changes can cause the appearance of a stimulus to alternate between a single surface painted with an opaque color and two transparent surfaces of different colors. When Movie 1 is running, the green area at first looks filmy and transparent and one can see the red bars moving behind it. But every few seconds, the striped green area freezes in place, so that the stripes on the green are now stationary and no longer line up with the moving red bars. This breaks up the informative X-junctions into T-junctions; the transparency vanishes, and the green area now looks like a single opaque, chalky surface painted with light and dark green stripes, and appearing to lie in front of the background grating. As

#### Corresponding author:

Sae Kaneko, Department of Psychology, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA. Email: sakaneko@ucsd.edu



Creative Commons CC-BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sage-pub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



**Movie I.** Green area looks transparent when the stripes move in step with the red bars, and opaque when they do not. See text.



**Movie 2.** Green area looks transparent when the random dots contained within it move in step with the background dots, and opaque when they are stationary. Even without intersections, motion can drive transparency.

soon as the stripes line up again with the moving red bars, they again start to move in synchrony and the perception of two transparent surfaces is immediately restored.

Movie 2 shows similar effects, but with a straight-edged green region covering a texture of moving sparse random dots instead of vertical bars. The alternation between transparency and opacity is still very clear. Note that Movie 2 contains no T- or X-junctions at all. This shows that although intersections may be sufficient to drive transparency (Adelson & Anandan, 1990; Anderson, 1997), they are not necessary. Motion alone can generate transparency.

Hartung and Kersten (2002) have demonstrated an impressive, somewhat analogous effect in 3D. They display a movie whose first half simulates a shiny chrome teapot rotating in midair. Half way through the movie, the reflection gets painted on to the teapot making a "sticky reflection." The painted-on pattern moves around with the body of the teapot, which now loses its shine and looks like a painted matte object. If the movie is suddenly stopped, the teapot reverts to its shiny appearance.

Hartung and Kersten's (2002) demonstration shows that motion can be a strong aid for material perception. Our demonstration, that two surfaces can look transparent when their motions are correlated, likewise suggests the strong role of motion (not just junctions) in transparency perception and in the subjective scission of visual stimuli into layers.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Stuart Anstis was supported by a grant from the USCD Department of Psychology, Sae Kaneko by a fellowship from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 15J03815), and Alan Ho by a Professional Development Fund from Ambrose University.

#### References

Adelson, E. H., & Anandan, P. (1990). Ordinal characteristics of transparency (pp. 77–81). Cambridge, MA: Vision and Modeling Group, Media Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Anderson, B. L. (1997). A theory of illusory lightness and transparency in monocular and binocular images: The role of contour junctions. *Perception*, 26, 419–453.

Hartung, B., & Kersten, D. (2002). Distinguishing shiny from matte. Journal of Vision, 2, 551.

Metelli, F. (1974). The perception of transparency. Scientific American, 230, 90-98.

Watanabe, T., & Cavanagh, P. (1993). Transparent surfaces defined by implicit X junctions. Vision Research, 33, 2339–2346.

#### **Author Biographies**



**Dr Stuart Anstis** was born in England and was a scholar at Winchester and Cambridge. Since his PhD at Cambridge with Richard Gregory, he has taught at the Universities of Bristol (UK), York (Toronto), and California, San Diego (UCSD). He is a Visiting Fellow at Pembroke College, Oxford, and a Humboldt Fellow, and received the Kurt-Koffka Medal in 2013.



**Sae Kaneko** received BA, MA, and PhD from The University of Tokyo. She is currently a Research Fellow of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.



Alan Ho was born in Hong Kong. He did his post-doctoral work with Stuart Anstis at UCSD after obtaining his PhD in Psychology from The Florida State University. His primary research interest is in visual motion perception. The "Coyote Illusion" that Alan and Stuart reported in 2013 was selected to be a Top 10 Illusion of the Year. He is currently an Associate Professor of Psychology at The Ambrose University, Calgary, Canada.