Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

REGGE-POLE FORMALISM FOR PIONIC DISINTEGRATION OF DEUTERON

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6bc1q528>

Author

Lee, Huan.

Publication Date

1968-05-20

cy. 2

University of California
Ernest O. Lawrence
Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

REGGE-POLE FORMALISM FOR PIONIC
DISINTEGRATION OF DEUTERON

Huan Lee

(Ph. D. Thesis)

May 20, 1968

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

JUL 3 1968

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Berkeley, California

T

UCRL-18252
cy. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

REGGE-POLE FORMALISM FOR PIONIC
DISINTEGRATION OF DEUTERON

Huan Lee

(Ph. D. Thesis)

May 20, 1968

CONTENTS	Page
Abstract	v
I. Introduction	1
II. Analyticity Properties of the Amplitudes	5
A. The Full Amplitudes	5
B. The Partial Wave Amplitudes	7
III. Helicity Amplitudes and Kinematical Singularities	10
A. Helicity Amplitudes and Invariant Amplitudes	10
B. Kinematical Singularities	12
IV. Regge Representation	16
A. Analytic Continuation of Angular Momentum	16
B. Asymptotic Form of the Amplitudes	19
C. Discussion on the Asymptotic Behavior at $t = 0$	24
V. Nucleon-Deuteron Regge Residue	27
VI. Discussion of the Low Energy Models	33
Acknowledgments	36
Appendix A	37
Appendix B	38
References and Footnotes	41
Figure Captions	44

REGGE-POLE FORMALISM FOR PIONIC DISINTEGRATION OF DEUTERON *

Huan Lee

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California

May 20, 1968

ABSTRACT

We investigate the reaction $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ within the framework of Regge pole theory. Reggeization of the amplitudes for this process is carried out in detail, and the asymptotic behavior of the helicity amplitudes at zero momentum transfer is discussed. By assuming that the lowest anomalous threshold singularity in the t -channel dominates in the nearby region, we calculate the nucleon-deuteron Regge residue in terms of the pion-nucleon Regge residue. We also discuss the relations of various low energy models used to study this reaction and its inverse to the Regge pole formalism.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past several years Regge pole theory¹ has made tremendous progress toward understanding of strong interaction physics. Recently its applicability has even been extended into low energy regions via finite energy sum rules.² The intricate relationships among the Regge parameters in the direct and crossed channels, through analyticity, unitarity and crossing, provide a promising bootstrap framework.³

In the present work we shall study the reaction $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ in Regge pole theory. The purpose of our investigation is threefold.

1. Experimentally, the high energy data for this reaction above 3 BeV total c.m. energy are very scant. The data⁴ from 2.4 BeV to 3 BeV show that the broad peak near the forward direction (which is symmetric with respect to backward direction) shrinks and moves toward $\cos\theta = 1$ gradually, evolving into a sharp peak at 3 BeV. In the same energy range, we notice that the total cross section decreases monotonically roughly like $(s - \Sigma/2)^{-3}$, where s is the square of the total c.m. energy and Σ the sum of the external masses squared. These facts suggest strongly the presence of Regge behavior with nucleon trajectory exchange, even at intermediate energies. This reaction along with backward πN scattering and $N\bar{N} \rightarrow \pi\pi$ scattering, provide a place to study the nucleon trajectory and the dip phenomena⁵ associated with it. In view of this we feel that a Regge-pole formalism is both necessary and desirable for phenomenological fitting and for stimulating systematic experiments at higher energies in the future.

2. On the theoretical side, problems of treating the Regge residue

functions with unstable or loosely bound particles are extremely interesting, yet these problems attract less attention than they deserve. Gell-Mann and Udagonkar⁶ have employed the semiclassical ray method to study the Pomanchuk residues for loosely bound particles like nuclei and in particular, the deuteron. But one expects these problems should be handled within the framework of analytic S matrix theory. In S matrix language, the loosely bound structure of the external particle manifests itself through the existence of anomalous singularities in the residue functions. The reaction we considered has this feature in that the d-p-n Regge residue function possesses anomalous singularities. We calculate this residue function, to a good approximation, in terms of the π -N-N residue function and the pion-nucleon and deuteron-nucleon coupling constants. The method we used, which is analogous to the one employed by Cutkosky⁷ to study the deuteron form factor, is applicable to many other cases.

3. Finally turning to the low energy region, there have been extensive investigations both theoretically and experimentally for the reaction $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ and its inverse (we shall call them pionic disintegration and pion production reaction). Various models have been proposed to account for the low energy pion production data. In nonrelativistic calculations, Rosenfeld and Gell-Mann and Watson⁸ have used the model of final state interaction between the two nucleons and obtained a good fit to the data with a small number of parameters. Mandelstam⁹ has extended the analysis to higher energies by including πN final state interaction. The outgoing pion is assumed to be in a resonant $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$ state

with one of the nucleons. Both the total and differential cross sections can be fitted very well with three parameters.

In relativistic calculations, the one-pion exchange model¹⁰ has been employed, in which one nucleon is peripherally scattered and then combines with the other nucleon to form a deuteron. There is also the one-nucleon exchange model¹¹. Both models only have moderate success in certain ranges of energy. Dispersive approaches have been carried out by Vasavada¹² and Chahoud, Russo, and Selleri¹³ and recently by Schiff and Van¹⁴ to study the pionic disintegration reaction. The anomalous cut in the cross channel is included together with the one-nucleon Born term. Good agreement with the data is obtained.

While we expect the high energy data should be best understood in the framework of Regge theory, even in the low energy region the Regge pole theory incorporates in a broad sense the ideas contained in all the models mentioned above. We attempt to understand these models in a coherent picture through the Regge formalism. This has been made possible by using the duality concept which has been emphasized by Chew and Pignotti¹⁵ recently in connection with multiperipheralism and resonance production.

The work is laid out as follows: In Section II, the singularity structure for the full and partial wave amplitudes is studied, with special care for the anomalous singularities. In Section III we consider the construction of t -channel helicity amplitudes and their relationship to a set of invariant amplitudes. The kinematical singularities are extracted. Section IV considers the analytic continuation of the partial

wave amplitudes into the complex J -plane. The Regge representation for the amplitudes and formula for differential cross section suitable for data fitting are obtained. The asymptotic behavior of the helicity amplitudes at $t=0$ is discussed. In Section V we calculate the discontinuity of the d - p - n residue function across the anomalous cut and evaluate it by approximation. For simplicity the treatment is carried out for spinless nucleon and deuteron; we indicate how to handle the actual spin. Section VI contains the discussion of the connection of the Regge formalism to various low energy models.

II. ANALYTICITY PROPERTIES OF THE AMPLITUDES

For definiteness we call the process $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ the s-reaction and $\bar{p} + d \rightarrow p + \pi^-$ the t-reaction. Since we shall spend most of our time working with the t-reaction, we denote the four momenta of the particles¹⁶ in this reaction by $\bar{p}$, d , p and q , in corresponding order. The Mandelstam variables are defined as:

$s = (p - \bar{p})^2$, $t = (\bar{p} + d)^2$, $u = (d - p)^2$ with
 $s + t + u = 2m^2 + M^2 + \mu^2 \equiv \Sigma$; where m , M and μ are the masses of the proton, deuteron and pion, respectively.

Since the t-channel and u-channel reactions are identical, so are their singularity structures. It will be sufficient for us merely to study the singularities in s and t . Throughout this paper it is understood that all the results obtained in the t-channel apply to the u-channel as well.

A. The Full Amplitudes

The singularity structure of the full amplitude and the s-channel partial wave amplitudes for the reaction $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ has been studied in detail by Vasavada.¹² We shall not consider the normal threshold singularities, except for listing them at the end of this subsection. The formalism for the anomalous threshold singularities utilizes a rather lengthy examination of various graphs. One finds that there is only one anomalous threshold in s , while two are present in t (or u).

The general methods and criteria¹⁷ to determine the singularities associated with Feynman graphs have been developed by Karplus, Sommerfield, and Wichmann, and by Landau. To facilitate our discussion, we summarize their conditions and general formula for the anomalous singularities associated with triangle graphs in Appendix A.

The anomalous singularity in s arises from the graph shown in Fig. 1. Using equation (A.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_a &= M^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{M^2 \mu^2}{2m^2} + \frac{M\mu}{2m^2} [(4m^2 - M^2)(4m^2 - \mu^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\approx M^2 - \mu(\mu - 4\bar{\alpha}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\bar{\alpha}^2 \equiv mB$ and B is the deuteron binding energy.

There are two anomalous singularities in t which are associated with the graphs shown in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b). Again using the formula (A.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t_a &= m^2 + \frac{M^2 \mu^2}{2m^2} + \frac{M\mu}{2m^2} [(4m^2 - M^2)(4m^2 - \mu^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\approx m^2 + 2\mu(\mu + 2\bar{\alpha}) \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t'_a &= m^2 + \frac{2M^2 \mu^2}{m^2} + \frac{2M\mu}{m^2} [(4m^2 - M^2)(m^2 - \mu^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\approx m^2 + 8\mu(\mu + \bar{\alpha}) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

We summarize the singularities of the full amplitude as follows:

(a) There is an anomalous threshold at $s = s_a$, a normal threshold at $s = 4m^2$, a physical threshold at $s = (M + \mu)^2$ and higher physical s-thresholds.

(b) There is a pole at $t = m^2$, two anomalous thresholds at $t = t_a$ and $t = t'_a$, a normal threshold at $t = (m + \mu)^2$, a physical threshold at $t = (M + m)^2$ and higher physical t-thresholds.

B. The Partial Wave Amplitudes

The right-hand cuts of the s-channel and t-channel partial wave amplitudes are the same as described above in (a) and (b), respectively. We shall only discuss some of the important left-hand cuts. The general formula to obtain them are rederived in a simple way and given in Appendix B.

For the s-channel partial wave amplitudes we list the following singularities:

(a) The pole at $t = m^2$ gives rise to a finite cut from $s = s_+$ to $s = s_-$, where

$$s_{\pm} = M^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{M^2 \mu^2}{2m^2} \pm \frac{M\mu}{2m^2} [(4m^2 - M^2)(4m^2 - \mu^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

(b) The normal threshold cut starting at $t = (m + \mu)^2$ gives rise to a cut which can be conveniently described by the functions

$$S^{\pm}(t) = \frac{\Sigma - t}{2} + \frac{1}{2t} (m^2 - \mu^2)(M^2 - m^2) \pm \frac{1}{2t} [\lambda(t, m^2, \mu^2)\lambda(t, m^2, M^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\lambda(x, y, z) \equiv x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 2yz - 2zx.$$

This cut starts at $s = S^+[(m + \mu)^2]$ and becomes complex and symmetrical about the real axis for $S^+[(M + m)^2] < s < S^+[(m + \mu)^2]$, finally becoming real again for $s \leq S^+[(M + m)^2]$.

(c) The anomalous cut from $t = t_a$ to $t = (m + \mu)^2$ generates a cut from $s = S^+[(m + \mu)^2]$ to $s = S^+(t_a)$.

For the t-channel partial wave amplitudes, we list the following singularities:

(a) A cut from $t = -\infty$ to $t = 0$ which is always present if the initial and final channels all have unequal masses.

(b) A cut with branch point at

$$t = t_{\pm} = m^2 + \frac{M^2 \mu^2}{2m^2} \pm \frac{M\mu}{2m} [(4m^2 - M^2)(4m^2 - \mu^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2.6)$$

which is generated by the pole at $u = m^2$.

(c) A cut which can be traced by the functions

$$T^{\pm}(u) = \frac{1}{2}(\Sigma - u) - \frac{(m^2 - \mu^2)(M^2 - m^2)}{2u} \pm \frac{1}{2u} [\lambda(u, m^2, \mu^2)\lambda(u, m^2, M^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is generated by the threshold cut at $u = (m + \mu)^2$. This cut is real at $t = T^+[(m + \mu)^2]$ and becomes complex and symmetrical about the real axis for $T^+[(m + \mu)^2] < t < T^+[(m + M)^2]$, and becomes real again for $t \leq T^+[(m + M)^2]$.

(d) A cut with branch points from $t = T^+[(m + \mu)^2]$ to $t = T^+(u_a)$ generated by the anomalous cut from $u = u_a$ (numerically the same as t_a) to $u = (m + \mu)^2$.

(e) A cut starting at $u = \frac{1}{2}(M^2 + \mu^2 - 2m^2)$ and then becomes complex and symmetrical about the real axis until $u \leq (m^2 - M\mu)$, which is generated by the s-channel normal threshold cut at $s = 4m^2$.

In passing we notice the very interesting fact that the rightmost left-hand branch point s_+ , given by (2.4) for the s-channel partial wave amplitudes, coincides with the lowest right-hand branch point s_a . Similarly for the t-channel partial wave amplitudes, t_+ coincides with t_a . This results in the rather unfamiliar situation of having the whole real axis covered by cuts for the partial wave amplitudes. One may wonder whether this situation always occurs in amplitudes possessing anomalous singularities. It turns out that this is not the case (an example is $\pi\pi \rightarrow d\bar{d}$). It is quite easy to show, however, that this situation will occur whenever the external masses and the mass of the cross channel pole satisfy certain constraints. The proof is given in Appendix B.

III. HELICITY AMPLITUDES AND KINEMATICAL SINGULARITIES

As we shall Reggeize the t -channel amplitudes, we first construct the helicity amplitudes in this channel and derive their relationship to a set of invariant amplitudes. In the t -channel c.m. system $\vec{p}$ is chosen along the positive z -axis and $\vec{p}$ is taken to lie in the xz -plane. The scattering angle is defined by $z \equiv \cos\theta = \vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} / pp$; the following expression is very useful later,

$$\cos\theta = \frac{1}{4pp} [(s-u) - (M^2 - m^2)(m^2 - \mu^2)/t] . \quad (3.1)$$

A. Helicity Amplitudes and Invariant Amplitudes

From Lorentz invariance we can write down the amplitudes in the form

$$F_{fi} = \bar{u}(p) M \cdot \epsilon v(\bar{p}) \quad (3.2)$$

where $\bar{u}(p)$ and $v(\bar{p})$ are the Dirac spinors for the proton and anti-proton respectively. The 4×4 matrix $M \cdot \epsilon$ is a Lorentz scalar constructed out of particle momenta and Dirac matrices¹⁸ and is linear in the polarization vector of the deuteron,¹⁹ denoted by ϵ . With the consideration of parity conservation and a little manipulation of the Dirac matrices, it can be shown that there are six linearly independent invariants. We shall use a set of invariants for $M \cdot \epsilon$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M \cdot \epsilon = \sum_{i=1}^6 A_i I_i = & A_1 (\epsilon \cdot \vec{p}) + A_2 (\epsilon \cdot \vec{p}) + A_3 (\gamma \cdot \epsilon) + A_4 (\gamma \cdot \vec{d})(\gamma \cdot \epsilon) \\ & + A_5 (\gamma \cdot \vec{d})(\epsilon \cdot \vec{p}) + A_6 (\gamma \cdot \vec{d})(\epsilon \cdot \vec{p}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the A_i are functions of the invariant variables s , t and u . The function M_μ is essentially the same as the M -function introduced by Stapp.²⁰ The basic analyticity assumption is that the M -functions are analytic functions of the components of the momentum vectors, except at dynamical singularities. It follows from the work of Hepp and of Williams²¹ that the invariant functions A_i are free of kinematical singularities, except for the possibility of simple poles at $\phi(s,t) = 0$, where ϕ is the Kibble boundary function.²²

Now if we put the helicity spinors $u_{\lambda_p}(p)$, $v_{\lambda_{\bar{p}}}(\bar{p})$ and ϵ^{λ_d} into (3.2) and (3.3), the $F_{\lambda_p, \lambda_{\bar{p}}, \lambda_d}$ obtained are just the helicity amplitudes defined by Jacob and Wick, apart from normalization.²³ After some algebra we have (we use $\pm$ to stand for $\lambda_p = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ or $\lambda_{\bar{p}} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$).

$$F_{\pm, +1} = - \frac{\sin \theta}{2} (1 \pm \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} p [(\pm G_1 - G_2) A_2 + (\pm G_5 + G_6) A_6] \\ + (1 \mp \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} [(\mp G_3 + G_4) A_3 + (\pm G_7 - G_8) A_4], \quad (3.4a)$$

$$F_{\pm, +0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}M} (1 \pm \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ (G_1 \mp G_2) [\bar{p} w A_1 + (\bar{p} p_0 + d_0 p \cos \theta) A_2] \right. \\ \left. + \sqrt{2} (G_7 \pm G_8) A_3 - \sqrt{2} (G_3 \mp G_4) A_4 + (\pm G_5 + G_6) [\bar{p} w A_5 \right. \\ \left. + (\bar{p} p_0 + d_0 p \cos \theta) A_6] \right\}, \quad (3.4b)$$

$$F_{\pm, -1} = \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{2}} p [(\pm G_1 - G_2) A_2 + (\pm G_5 + G_6) A_6]; \quad (3.4c)$$

where $w = \sqrt{t}$ and the G 's are given by

$$G_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2m} [(\bar{p}_0 \mp m)(p_0 \pm m)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$G_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2m} [(\vec{p}_0 + m)(p_0 + m)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$G_{4+i} = G_i [w - (-1)^i m], \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (3.5)$$

The other six helicity amplitudes are related to the above set by parity conservation, i.e.,

$$F_{-\lambda_p, -\lambda_{\bar{p}} - \lambda_d}(s, t) = \eta(-1)^{\lambda_p - \lambda_{\bar{p}} + \lambda_d} F_{\lambda_p, \lambda_{\bar{p}} \lambda_d}(s, t), \quad (3.6)$$

where $\eta \equiv \eta_\pi \eta_p \eta_{\bar{p}} \eta_d (-1)^{s_\pi + s_p - s_{\bar{p}} - s_d} = -1$, and $\eta_i(s_i)$ is the intrinsic parity (spin) of the particle i .

The partial wave expansions²⁰ for the helicity amplitudes are

$$F_{\lambda_p, \lambda_{\bar{p}} \lambda_d}(s, t) = \sum_J (2J + 1) F_{\lambda_p, \lambda_{\bar{p}} \lambda_d}^J(w) d_{\lambda_\mu}^J(\theta), \quad (3.7)$$

where $\lambda \equiv \lambda_p - \lambda_\pi$, $\mu \equiv \lambda_{\bar{p}} - \lambda_d$ and $d_{\lambda_\mu}^J$ is the d function for the rotation group. The reason that we use w rather than t as the variable for F^J is due to the existence of the MacDowell symmetry;²⁴ w is the proper variable for studying F^J .

B. Kinematical Singularities

We follow the general method for Reggeization of amplitude with spin developed by Gell-Mann et al.²⁵ to form the parity-conserving amplitudes. These amplitudes have the advantage that they are asymptotically dominated by Regge pole of definite parity. They are defined by the rule

$$f_{\lambda_p, \lambda_p \lambda_d}^{\pm} \equiv \left[\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{-|\lambda+\mu|} \left[\sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{-|\lambda-\mu|} F_{\lambda_p, \lambda_p \lambda_d} \\ \pm (-1)^{\lambda+\lambda_m+1} \left[\sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{-|\lambda+\mu|} \left[\sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right]^{-|\lambda-\mu|} F_{-\lambda_p, \lambda_p \lambda_d} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\lambda_m \equiv \max(|\lambda|, |\mu|)$,

Thus we have

$$f_1^+ = -A_2 p (G_1 \cos \theta - G_2) - 2A_3 G_4 - 2A_4 G_8 - A_6 p (G_5 \cos \theta + G_6), \quad (3.9a)$$

$$f_1^- = -A_2 p (G_1 - G_2 \cos \theta) - 2A_3 G_3 - 2A_4 G_7 - A_6 p (G_5 + G_6 \cos \theta), \quad (3.9b)$$

$$f_0^+ = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{M} p w (A_1 G_1 + A_5 G_5) + A_3 G_8 + M^2 A_4 G_4 \\ + (\bar{p} p_0 + d_0 p \cos \theta) (A_2 G_1 + A_6 G_5), \quad (3.9c)$$

$$f_0^- = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{M} p w (-A_1 G_2 + A_5 G_6) + A_3 G_7 - M^2 A_4 G_3 \\ + (\bar{p} p_0 + d_0 p \cos \theta) (-A_2 G_2 + A_6 G_6), \quad (3.9d)$$

$$f_{-1}^+ = A_2 p G_1 + A_6 p G_5, \quad (3.9e)$$

$$f_{-1}^- = -A_2 p G_2 + A_6 p G_6, \quad (3.9f)$$

where we define $f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm} \equiv f_{+, \lambda_d}^{\pm}$. As functions of w , the G 's have the following properties

$$G_i(w) = -G_{i+1}(-w) \quad i = 1, 3,$$

$$G_i(w) = G_{i+1}(-w) \quad i = 5, 7.$$

Hence we see explicitly that the amplitudes $f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}$ have the MacDowell symmetry, as expected.

$$f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(s, w) = (-1)^{\lambda_d} f_{\lambda_d}^{\mp}(s, -w) . \quad (3.10)$$

The implication of this symmetry relation on Regge trajectories and residue functions will be discussed later.

The amplitudes $f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}$ do not have any kinematical singularities in s , by construction. Their kinematical singularities in w are almost explicitly displayed in (3.9). Before going any further, we remark that because of the symmetry (3.10), any kinematical factor $K(w)$ contained in $f^+(s, w)$ implies a factor $K(-w)$ in $f^-(s, w)$, and vice versa. This observation serves as a useful check on the general results giving kinematical singularities for boson-fermion amplitudes obtained by indirect methods. Indeed, we have found that the kinematical singularity factors for a general $BF \rightarrow BF$ amplitude obtained by Hara and by Wang²⁶ are incorrect, since their prescriptions do not satisfy this criterion. The kinematical singularities of $f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}$ are extracted as follows:

$$f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(s, w) \equiv K_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(w) \bar{f}_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(s, w) , \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$K_{\pm 1}^{\pm}(w) = K_0^{\pm}(w) = \frac{1}{w} \left[(w \pm m)^2 - M^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left[(w \pm m)^2 - \mu^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \quad (3.12)$$

$$K_{-1}^{\pm}(w) = \frac{p}{w} \left[(w \pm m)^2 - M^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[(w \pm m)^2 - \mu^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.13)$$

the functions $\bar{f}_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(s,w)$ being free from kinematical singularities in both s and w . Our results (3.12) and (3.13) agree with those obtained using the general formulas worked out by Cohen-Tannoudji, Morel and Navelet, and by Jackson and Hite.²⁷

IV. REGGE REPRESENTATION

A. Analytic Continuation in Angular Momentum

To proceed toward the Regge representation of the amplitudes $f^\pm$, we start with their partial wave expansions²⁵ which are readily obtained from (3.7) and (3.8),

$$f_{\lambda_d}^\pm = \sum_J (2J+1) \left[e_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{J+}(z) F_{\lambda_d}^{J+}(w) + e_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{J-}(z) F_{\lambda_d}^{J-}(w) \right] \quad (4.1)$$

The parity-conserving partial wave amplitudes $F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm}(w)$, which connect states of parity $\pm(-1)^{J-\frac{1}{2}}$, are given by

$$F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm} = F_{+, +\lambda_d}^J \mp F_{-, +\lambda_d}^J \quad (4.2)$$

The functions $e_{\lambda\mu}^{J\pm}(z)$ are defined in Ref. 25; for our purpose we only need to know the following relations to Legendre polynomials:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}^{J\pm} &= \mp e_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}^{J\pm} = -P'_{J\pm\frac{1}{2}}(z)/\sqrt{2(J+\frac{1}{2})} \quad , \\ e_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{3}{2}}^{J\pm} &= \pm P''_{J\pm\frac{1}{2}}(z)/\sqrt{2(J+\frac{1}{2})}[(J-\frac{1}{2})(J+\frac{3}{2})]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

From (4.1), it is evident that $F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm}(w)$ possesses the same MacDowell symmetry as does $f_{\lambda_d}^\pm(s, w)$,

$$F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm}(w) = (-1)^{\lambda_d} F_{\lambda_d}^{J\mp}(-w) \quad (4.4)$$

The inversion formulas²⁵ of (4.1) are

$$F_i^{J\pm}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dz}{\sqrt{2}} \left[(-1)^i P_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(z) f_i^{\pm}(s, w) + P_{J\pm\frac{1}{2}}(z) \bar{f}_i^{\pm}(s, w) \right] \\ (i = 1, 0) , \quad (4.5)$$

$$F_{-1}^{J\pm}(w) = \frac{[\ell(\ell+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2(2\ell-1)}} \int_{-1}^1 dz [P_{\ell-1}(z) - P_{\ell+1}(z)] f_{-1}^{\pm}(s, w) \\ + \frac{[\ell(\ell+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2(2\ell+3)}} \int_{-1}^1 dz [P_{\ell}(z) - P_{\ell+2}(z)] \bar{f}_{-1}^{\pm}(s, w) ; \quad (4.6)$$

here for convenience we define $\ell \equiv J - \frac{1}{2}$.

Equations (4.5) and (4.6) can be readily continued analytically into the complex J -plane by the Froissart-Gribov procedure, if we assume that the functions $\bar{f}_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}$ satisfy the Mandelstam representation with a finite

number of subtractions. As usual we have to continue the even and odd

$(J - \frac{1}{2})$ partial waves separately in order to allow the Sommerfeld-

Watson transformation to be made. Let $A_{\lambda_d, s}^{\pm}$ and $A_{\lambda_d, u}^{\pm}$ be the

spectral functions for $\bar{f}_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}$ so that

$$\bar{f}_{\lambda_d}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s_a}^{\infty} \frac{ds' A_{\lambda_d, s}^{\pm}(s', w)}{s' - s} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{u_a}^{\infty} \frac{du' A_{\lambda_d, u}^{\pm}(u', w)}{u' - u} . \quad (4.7)$$

Putting (4.7) into (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain the J-continued partial wave amplitudes of definite signature σ ,

$$F_i^{J\pm, \sigma}(w) = K_i^{\pm}(w)(-1)^{\lambda_d} f_i^{\ell\pm, \sigma}(w) + K_i^{\mp}(w) f_i^{(\ell+1)\mp, -\sigma}(w) ,$$

$$(i = 1, 0) , \quad (4.8)$$

$$F_{-1}^{J\pm, \sigma}(w) = K_{-1}^{\pm}(w) \frac{[\ell(\ell+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\ell+1} f_{-1}^{(\ell-1)\pm, -\sigma}(w)$$

$$+ K_{-1}^{\mp}(w) \frac{[\ell(\ell+2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\ell+3} f_{-1}^{\ell\mp, \sigma}(w) , \quad (4.9)$$

where $f_{\lambda_d}^{\ell\pm, \sigma}$ is defined by

$$f_{\lambda_d}^{\ell\pm, \sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi p\bar{p}} \int_{s_a}^{\infty} ds' A_{\lambda_d, s}^{\pm}(s', w) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [Q_{\ell}(z) - \delta_{-1, \lambda_d} Q_{\ell+2}(z)]$$

$$+ \sigma \frac{1}{2\pi p\bar{p}} \int_{u_a}^{\infty} du' A_{\lambda_d, u}^{\pm}(u', w) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\times [Q_{\ell}(z) - \delta_{-1, \lambda_d} Q_{\ell+2}(z)] .$$

$$(4.10)$$

The amplitude $F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm, \sigma}$ agrees with the physical amplitude at values of J given by $J = 2n + \sigma \frac{1}{2}$, with n an integer.

B. Asymptotic Form of the Amplitudes

Following the method of Mandelstam,²⁸ we rewrite the expansion

(4.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm} = & \sum_{J=-\infty}^{\infty} (J + \frac{1}{2}) \{ [E_{\lambda\mu}^{J+}(z) + (-1)^{|\mu|-\frac{1}{2}} E_{\lambda\mu}^{J+}(-z)] F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm, +}(w) \\
 & + [E_{\lambda\mu}^{J+}(z) - (-1)^{|\mu|-\frac{1}{2}} E_{\lambda\mu}^{J+}(-z)] F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm, -}(w) \\
 & + [E_{\lambda\mu}^{J-}(z) - (-1)^{|\mu|-\frac{1}{2}} E_{\lambda\mu}^{J-}(-z)] F_{\lambda_d}^{J\mp, +}(w) \\
 & + [E_{\lambda\mu}^{J-}(z) + (-1)^{|\mu|-\frac{1}{2}} E_{\lambda\mu}^{J-}(-z)] F_{\lambda_d}^{J\mp, -}(w) \} \quad , \quad (4.11)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mu = \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_d$, and $E_{\lambda\mu}^{J\pm}(z)$ is the function obtained from

$e_{\lambda\mu}^{J\pm}(z)$ by replacing P_ℓ with $\mathcal{P}_\ell \equiv -\frac{1}{\pi} \tan \ell\pi Q_{-\ell-1}$. The summation

in J runs over all half integers. In obtaining (4.11), we have used the fact²⁵ that $E_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{J\pm} = 0$ for negative half-integral J , and

$E_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{J\pm} = e_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{J\pm}$ for positive half-integral J . Now we convert

(4.11) into a contour integral by replacing $\sum_{J=-\infty}^{\infty}$ with

$$\frac{-i}{2} \int_C \frac{dJ}{\cos \pi J}, \quad \text{with the contour } C \text{ enclosing the whole real axis.}$$

The Regge poles are contained in $F_{\lambda_d}^{J\pm, \sigma}$, with quantum numbers

$I = \frac{1}{2}$, $B = 1$, parity $= \pm$ and signature σ . The leading trajectories

with $\sigma = +$ are N_{α} and N_{β} , with $\sigma = -$ they are N_{γ} and N_{δ} .

In the Chew-Frautschi plot N_{γ} is lower than N_{α} by about $\frac{1}{2}$,

hence in practice we neglect the contributions from N_{γ} and N_{δ}

at high energies. In fact, good fits^{5,29} have been obtained for the

backward πN scattering by retaining only the nucleon trajectory for

$I = \frac{1}{2}$ exchange. Furthermore, for large z , E^{J+} dominates over

E^{J-} asymptotically by a factor z , so the latter is also negligible.

Thus as we expand the contour C to infinity, throwing away everything

but the moving poles at the far left, only the first term of the curly

bracket in (4.11) remains:

$$f_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(s, w) = \pi \frac{2\alpha_{\pm} + 1}{\cos \pi \alpha_{\pm}} \eta(\alpha_{\pm}) \beta_{\lambda_d}^{\pm} E_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-\lambda_d}^{\alpha_{\pm}, +}(z), \quad (4.12)$$

the $\alpha_{\pm}(w)$ denote the trajectory functions of N_{α} and N_{β} , respectively;

and the $\beta_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(w)$ are the residue functions defined by

$$\beta_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(w) \equiv \lim_{J \rightarrow \alpha_{\pm}(w)} [J - \alpha_{\pm}(w)] F_{\lambda_d}^{J^{\pm},+}(w), \quad (4.13)$$

$$\text{with } \eta(\alpha_{\pm}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [1 + e^{-i\pi(\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2})}].$$

The MacDowell symmetry (3.10) implies that

$$\alpha_{\pm}(w) = \alpha_{\mp}(-w), \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$\beta_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(w) = (-1)^{\lambda_d} \beta_{\lambda_d}^{\mp}(-w). \quad (4.15)$$

From (4.8), (4.9) and (4.10) we see that the residue functions have the following factors of α and kinematical singularities,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_i^{\pm}(w) &\propto K_i^{\pm}(w) (pp)^{\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2}} \quad (i = 1, 0), \\ \beta_{-1}^{\pm}(w) &\propto K_{-1}^{\pm}(w) [(\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{1}{2})(\alpha_{\pm} + \frac{3}{2})]^{\frac{1}{2}} (pp)^{\alpha_{\pm} - \frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Furthermore, since we consider $\alpha_{\pm}$ to be the leading trajectory, $\beta_{\lambda_d}^{\pm}(w)$ must vanish^{3,28} at the point when $\alpha_{\pm}(w)$ passes through a negative integer. Hence we can define reduced residue functions which are suitable for parameterization in data fitting as

$$\gamma_i^\pm(w) = (-1)^i \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_i^\pm(w) \Gamma(\alpha_\pm + 1) / K_i^\pm(w) (p\bar{p})^{\alpha_\pm - \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(i = 1, 0),$$

$$\gamma_{-1}^\pm(w) = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{-1}^\pm(w) \Gamma(\alpha_\pm + 1) [(\alpha_\pm - \frac{1}{2})(\alpha_\pm + \frac{3}{2})]^{\frac{1}{2}} /$$

$$K_{-1}^\pm(w) (p\bar{p})^{\alpha_\pm - \frac{3}{2}}. \quad (4.17)$$

Putting the asymptotic form³⁰ of the E-functions and (4.17) into (4.12), we obtain the Regge representation for the amplitudes

$$f_i^\pm(s, w) = \frac{\eta(\alpha_\pm)}{\Gamma(\alpha_\pm + \frac{1}{2}) \cos \pi \alpha_\pm} K_i^\pm(w) \gamma_i^\pm(w) s^{\alpha_\pm - \frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(i = 1, 0), \quad (4.18)$$

$$f_{-1}^\pm(s, w) = \frac{\eta(\alpha_\pm)(2\alpha_\pm - 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha_\pm + \frac{1}{2}) \cos \pi \alpha_\pm} K_{-1}^\pm(w) \gamma_{-1}^\pm(w) s^{\alpha_\pm - \frac{3}{2}}. \quad (4.19)$$

The factor $(2\alpha_\pm - 1)$ in (4.19) is due to $J = \frac{1}{2}$ being a sense-nonsense transition point for these amplitudes, hence the nucleon pole is not present. The factor $\eta(\alpha)[\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})\cos \pi \alpha]^{-1}$ vanishes at nonsense wrong-signature points $(\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{9}{2}, \dots)$ in the same way

that gives the dip phenomenon in backward πN -scattering. It has been argued by Mandelstam and Wang³¹ that in general due to the existence of a fixed pole at nonsense wrong-signature point, one does not expect to observe dips unless the third double spectral function is small. However, from the experimental fact that the dip in backward πN -scattering is very prominent, factorization leads us to believe that an observable dip should be present in our reaction.

Using the Trueman-Wick crossing matrix,³² we obtain the formula for the differential cross section of the $\pi^+ + d \rightarrow p + p$ reaction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\sigma}{dt} &= \frac{\pi}{2p_i p_f} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{8\pi s p_i^2} \sum_{\text{all } \lambda} |f_{\lambda_p, \lambda_{\bar{p}} \lambda_d}|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{6\pi s p_i^2} [|\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|^2 + |\cos \frac{\theta}{2}|^2] [|f_{+,++}^+|^2 + |f_{+,++}^-|^2 \\
 &+ |f_{+,+0}^+|^2 + |f_{+,+0}^-|^2 + |\sin \theta|^2 (|f_{+,-}^+|^2 + |f_{+,-}^-|^2)] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{3\pi s p_i^2} [|\cos \frac{\theta}{2}|^2 - |\sin \frac{\theta}{2}|^2] \text{Re}[f_{+,++}^+ f_{+,++}^{-*} \\
 &+ f_{+,+0}^+ f_{+,+0}^{-*} - |\sin \theta|^2 f_{+,-}^+ f_{+,-}^{-*}] , \quad (4.20)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $p_i(p_f)$ is the initial (final) momentum in the s-channel c.m. system.

C. Discussion on the Asymptotic Behavior at $t = 0$

The asymptotic behavior of the helicity amplitudes for large s at $t = 0$ is worth a few words. Let us first invert the relation (3.8), we get

$$F_{\pm,++} = (1 \mp \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f_1^+ \pm f_1^-) , \quad (4.21a)$$

$$F_{\pm,+0} = (1 \pm \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f_0^- \pm f_0^+) , \quad (4.21b)$$

$$F_{\pm,+-} = \sin \theta (1 \pm \cos \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} (f_{-1}^- \pm f_{-1}^+) . \quad (4.21c)$$

As it is well-known that in the narrow strip between $\cos \theta_s = 1$ (θ_s is the s -channel scattering angle) and $t = 0$, $|\cos \theta|$ is bounded by unity no matter how large s is. From the work of Freedman and Wang,³³ we know that the power behavior of the amplitudes $f^\pm$ in (4.18) and (4.19) preserves even at $t = 0$. One is tempted to conclude that the asymptotic behavior of the helicity amplitudes at $t = 0$ will at most go like

$$F_{\pm,+\lambda_d} \sim s^{\alpha - |\frac{1}{2} - \lambda_d|} . \quad (4.22)$$

A careful examination shows that this is not correct. From (3.1) it is seen as $t \rightarrow 0$ for large s , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} &\approx \left[\frac{st}{(M^2 - m^2)(m^2 - \mu^2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} , \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} &\approx 1 . \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

On the other hand, from (3.11) we know that the functions $wf_1^\pm(s, w)$, $wf_0^\pm(s, w)$ and $w^2f_{-1}^\pm(s, w)$ can be expanded in Taylor series at $w = 0$ for a fixed s . Taking $f_1^\pm$ for example, using (3.10) and (3.12) we can write

$$f_1^\pm(s, w) = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_1^{(n)}(s) w^{n(\pm 1)^{n+1}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Using the Regge representation to calculate the right-hand side of (4.24) and putting the result and (4.23) into (4.21a), we obtain the following in the limit $w \rightarrow 0$:

$$F_{+,++}(s, 0) = 2f_1^{(1)}(s) \sim (C_1 + C_2 \alpha' \log s) s^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.25a)$$

$$F_{-,++}(s, 0) \sim -2 \left[\frac{s}{(M^2 - m^2)(m^2 - \mu^2)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f_1^{(0)}(s) \sim s^\alpha. \quad (4.25b)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$F_{+,+0}(s, 0) \sim s^\alpha, \quad (4.25c)$$

$$F_{-,+0}(s, 0) \sim (C_3 + C_4 \alpha' \log s) s^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.25d)$$

$$F_{+,+-}(s, 0) \sim s^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4.25e)$$

$$F_{-,+-}(s, 0) \sim (C_5 + C_6 \alpha' \log s) s^{\alpha - 1}; \quad (4.25f)$$

where C 's are constants and $\alpha \equiv \alpha(0)$, $\alpha' \equiv \frac{d\alpha(0)}{dw}$.

Notice the power in s for each amplitude is exactly equal to $\alpha - \frac{1}{2}|\lambda - \mu|$. It is easy to show that the s -channel helicity amplitudes, denoted by $G_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2, \lambda_\pi \lambda_d}$, have the asymptotic behavior like $s^{\alpha - \frac{1}{2}|\lambda' - \mu'|}$ with $\lambda' \equiv \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ and $\mu' = \lambda_\pi - \lambda_d$.

V. NUCLEON-DEUTERON REGGE RESIDUE

From factorization we can decompose the residue function

$\beta_{\lambda\mu}$ as

$$\beta_{\lambda\mu} = \beta_{\lambda}^{\pi} \beta_{\mu}^d, \quad (5.1)$$

where β_{λ}^{π} and β_{μ}^d are the residue functions for the π -N-N and d-p-n vertices respectively. Since the binding energy of the deuteron is extremely small, the impulse approximation will be very accurate. In this approximation, the dominant reaction mechanism is that in which the incident pion interacts only with one of the nucleons within the deuteron. In S matrix calculations, this is equivalent to assuming that the anomalous cuts are the dominating singularities in the nearby region. In the following we calculate the d-p-n residue function in terms of the π -N-N residue function by assuming that the anomalous singularity associated with Fig. 2(a) is the dominating one. The anomalous singularity associated with the graph shown in Fig. 2(b) is presumably very weak due to the fact that the π - π scattering amplitude is small near threshold.

We shall ignore the spins of the nucleon and deuteron, which merely complicate the algebra. The mass difference between the proton and neutron is also neglected. At the end we shall outline how to treat the actual spin case.

Referring to the diagram shown in Fig. 3, we apply the Cutkosky rules³⁴ to write down the discontinuity of the amplitude across the anomalous cut, denoted by $[F(s,t)]_{a.c.}$.

$$[F(s, t)]_{a.c.} = \frac{gG}{2\pi i} \int d^4 q_2 \delta(q_1^2 - \mu^2) \delta(q_2^2 - m^2) \delta(q_3^2 - m^2) F_{\pi N}(\bar{s}, t), \quad (5.2)$$

where $F_{\pi N}$ is the pion-nucleon scattering amplitude and $\bar{s} = (q_3 - q)^2$.

Here g and G are the π -N-N and d-p-n coupling constants respectively. We change variables by using

$$d^4 q_2 = dq_1^2 dq_2^2 dq_3^2 d\bar{s} J(s, \bar{s}, t) \Theta(J) \quad (5.3)$$

where $J^{-2} = \det |2q_i \cdot q_j|$ with $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $q_4 \equiv q_3 - q$. We obtain

$$[F(s, t)]_{a.c.} = \frac{gG(4\pi i)^{-1}}{[-\lambda(t, M^2, m^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\bar{s}_-}^{\bar{s}_+} d\bar{s} \frac{F_{\pi N}(\bar{s}, t)}{[(\bar{s}_+ - \bar{s})(\bar{s} - \bar{s}_-)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $\bar{s}_{\pm}$ are the two roots for $\bar{s}$ of the equation $\det |q_i \cdot q_j| = 0$.

We are only interested in the asymptotic expression for $\bar{s}_{\pm}$ at large s such that $s \gg M^2$ and $s \gg t$, which takes the form

$$\bar{s}_{\pm} \approx s(1 - \frac{b}{a}) \pm \frac{s}{a} [b^2 + 4atm^2 - a(M^2 + \mu^2 - 2m^2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5.5)$$

where $a(t) = \lambda(t, M^2, m^2)$ and $b(t) = (M^2 - m^2 + t)(\mu^2 - 2m^2) + M^2(M^2 - m^2 - t)$.

For large s and fixed t , $\bar{s}_{\pm}$ increases linearly with s .

Hence we can use the Regge representation for both $F(s, t)$ and $F_{\pi N}(\bar{s}, t)$ in (5.4).

Following the method employed by Cutkosky⁷ in calculating the deuteron form factor, we can write (5.4) approximately as

$$F(s, t) \approx - \frac{1}{8\pi} gGf_d(t) \int_{\bar{s}_-}^{\bar{s}_+} d\bar{s} \frac{F_{\pi N}(\bar{s}, t)}{[(\bar{s}_+ - \bar{s})(\bar{s} - \bar{s}_-)]^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$f_d(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{t_a}^{t_n \equiv (m+\mu)^2} dt' [-\lambda(t', M^2, m^2)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{t' - t} \quad (5.7)$$

$$= f(t, t_n) - f(t, t_a),$$

with

$$f(t, t') = [a(t)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin^{-1} \left[\frac{a(t) + (t - M^2 - m^2)(t' - t)}{2Mm(t - t')} \right], \quad \text{if } a(t) \neq 0$$

$$= a(t') / (t - M^2 - m^2)(t - t') \quad \text{if } a(t) = 0.$$

In (5.6) we have ignored those terms arising from the pinching of the $\bar{s}$ integration between $\bar{s}_+$ and the singularities of $F_{\pi N}(\bar{s}, t)$ in $\bar{s}$. With the amplitude $F_{\pi N}$ approximated by its Regge asymptotic form (legitimate for large s), those terms do not arise.

We write the Regge representations for the amplitudes F and $F_{\pi N}$ as follows:

$$F(s, t) = \frac{1 - e^{-i\pi\alpha(t)}}{\sin\pi\alpha(t)} \beta^\pi(t) \beta^d(t) s^{\alpha(t)}, \quad (5.8)$$

$$F_{\pi N}(s, t) = \frac{1 - e^{-i\pi\alpha(t)}}{\sin\pi\alpha(t)} [\beta^\pi(t)]^2 s^{\alpha(t)}.$$

Putting (5.8) into (5.6), we obtain (with $x \equiv \bar{s}/s$ and $x_\pm = \bar{s}_\pm/s$)

$$\beta^d(t) = -\frac{1}{8\pi} g G f_d(t) \beta^\pi(t) \int_{x_-}^{x_+} dx \frac{x^{\alpha(t)}}{[(x_+ - x)(x - x_-)]^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (5.9)$$

The integral in (5.9) can be calculated numerically if $\alpha(t)$ is given.

Physically the most interesting region is for small and negative t .

In this region we see from (5.5) that $|\bar{s}_+ - \bar{s}_-| \ll |\bar{s}_+ + \bar{s}_-|$; hence we can approximate the integral in (5.9) by replacing x^α with $(1 - \frac{b}{a})^\alpha$. Finally we obtain

$$\beta^d(t) = -\frac{gG}{8} f_d(t) (1 - \frac{b}{a})^{\alpha(t)} \beta^\pi(t). \quad (5.10)$$

We outline briefly the procedures to treat the spin: With spin included, the amplitudes corresponding to the graph shown in Fig. 3 can be written as

$$F(s, t) = \frac{g}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{u}(p) \left[\int d^4 q_2 F_{\pi N}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t) \frac{(q_3^2 + m^2)}{(q_1^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon)} \right. \quad (5.11)$$

$$\left. \times \frac{(G_S \not{\epsilon} - G_D q_2 \cdot \epsilon)(-q_2^2 + m^2)}{(q_2^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)(q_3^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon)} \right] \gamma_5 v(\bar{p});$$

where G_S and G_D are the S and D-wave d-p-n coupling constants,³⁵ $F_{\pi N}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t)$ is the pion-nucleon scattering amplitude with isospin $I = \frac{1}{2}$ in the t -channel. Applying the Cutkosky rules to (5.11), we have

$$[F(s, t)]_{a.c.} = \frac{g}{2\pi i} \bar{u}(p) \left[\int d^4 q_2 F_{\pi N}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t) (q_3^2 + m^2) (G_S \not{\epsilon} - G_D q_2 \cdot \epsilon) \right. \\ \left. \times (-q_2^2 + m^2) \delta(q_1^2 - \mu^2) \delta(q_2^2 - m^2) \delta(q_3^2 - m^2) \right] \gamma_5 v(\bar{p}) \quad (5.12)$$

The δ -functions ensure that only the on-shell values of $F_{\pi N}$ will be needed. In terms of the usual A and B amplitudes, $F_{\pi N}$ is given by

$$F_{\pi N}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t) = A^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t) + \frac{1}{2}(\bar{q} + \bar{p} - q_2) B^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\bar{s}, t). \quad (5.13)$$

Putting (5.13) into (5.12), we have only three types of integral to carry out, namely,

$$I = \int d^4 q_2 DR(\bar{s}, t), \quad (5.14a)$$

$$I_\mu = \int d^4 q_2 DR(\bar{s}, t) q_{2\mu}, \quad (5.14b)$$

$$I_{\mu\nu} = \int d^4 q_2 DR(\bar{s}, t) q_{2\mu} q_{2\nu}. \quad (5.14c)$$

where $D \equiv \delta(q_1^2 - \mu^2) \delta(q_2^2 - m^2) \delta(q_3^2 - m^2)$ and R can be either A or B .

Using their Lorentz covariant properties, it is easy to reduce the last two types of integral into the first one. Taking I_μ for example, since the three 4-vectors q , d and $\bar{p}$ are coplanar, we can write

$$I_\mu = J_1 d_\mu + J_2 \bar{p}_\mu \quad (5.15)$$

Reducing (5.15) to $I \cdot \bar{p} = J_1 (d \cdot \bar{p}) + J_2 m^2$ and $I \cdot d = J_1 M^2 + J_2 (d \cdot \bar{p})$, we solve for J_1 and J_2 as

$$J_1 = \frac{(2m^2 - \mu^2)(t - m^2 - M^2) + 2m^2 M^2}{\lambda(t, M^2, m^2)} I,$$

$$J_2 = - \frac{t + 3m^2 - M^2 - 2\mu^2}{\lambda(t, M^2, m^2)} I$$

We can write $I_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$I_{\mu\nu} = J_3 g_{\mu\nu} + J_4 d_\mu d_\nu + J_5 \bar{p}_\mu \bar{p}_\nu + J_6 (\bar{p}_\mu d_\nu + d_\mu \bar{p}_\nu)$$

and solve for $J_3, \dots, J_6$ in terms of I in a similar way, which we shall not elaborate. Now the integral I is of the same type as that in (5.2), hence can be handled just like in the spinless case.

For the remaining job we have to form the parity-conserving amplitudes for $F(s,t)$ in (5.12), they in turn will depend only on the right parity-conserving πN -amplitudes. Thus we can obtain the expressions for the Regge residue functions β_μ^+ separately for the trajectories $\alpha_\pm$.

VI. DISCUSSION OF LOW ENERGY MODELS

Through the recent development of finite energy sum rules, it has been recognized that the Regge representation, when extrapolated down to the low energy region, still describes the actual amplitude in an average sense.² On the other hand, amplitudes at low energies in general can be represented very well by the direct channel resonances using the Breit-Wigner form. Thus there exists a connection between the high energy and low energy parameters. With the duality concept introduced by Chew and Pignotti,¹⁵ one can see how the smooth transition is achieved from the single Regge-pole representation to the multiple Regge-pole representation and converse. We shall employ this duality concept to relate the various low energy models, used previously to study the reactions $\pi^+ + d \rightleftharpoons p + p$, to the Regge model.

Consider the double Regge-pole representation for the amplitude of the reaction $N + N \rightarrow N + N + \pi$ as shown in Fig. 4. In addition to the pion-trajectory, any meson-trajectory which communicates with the $N\bar{N}$ system can also be exchanged. However, as we are interested in the region with small subenergy s_{NN} of the two outgoing nucleons, pion-trajectory exchange will probably dominate due to the small mass of the physical pion which enhances the Regge residue. The left-hand portion of the diagram shown in Fig. 4 (including the middle vertex) can be viewed as either the NN -amplitude with one external line Reggeized, or roughly as the d-p-n Regge residue if $s_{NN} = M^2$. A similar statement can be made for the right-hand portion of the diagram. Hence the

final state interaction between the two nucleons can be described approximately by the pion-trajectory exchange; whereas the final state interaction between the pion and the "adjacent" nucleon can be described by the nucleon-trajectory exchange. Indeed, a recent study³⁶ of the pion-nucleon amplitudes using the finite energy sum rules with nucleon-exchange confirms this point of view. Thus we see the final state interaction models proposed by Rosenfeld, Gell-Mann and Watson,⁸ and Mandelstam⁹ are consistent with the Regge formalism.

If we fix the subenergy at $s_{NN} = M^2$ and integrate over the momentum transfer carried by the π -trajectory, the result corresponds to a Reggeized version of the one-pion exchange model¹⁰ (see Fig. 5). As to the one-nucleon exchange model,¹¹ it can be considered as an approximation (though it may not always be an adequate one) to the single Regge-pole exchange formalism.

Several authors¹²⁻¹⁴ who study the pionic disintegration reaction via dispersion relations have also attempted to calculate the anomalous cut contribution. A practical problem which arises in this approach is the approximation of the pion-nucleon amplitudes which appear in the discontinuity formula. We would like to point out that the calculation is much simplified by using the Regge asymptotic form for the πN amplitudes, as is done in Section V.

To summarize our work, we have set up a Regge-pole formalism for the pionic disintegration and pion production reactions. We hope this will facilitate data fitting at high energies for these reactions. We are able to calculate the d - p - n Regge residue in terms of the

π -N-N Regge residue by assuming that the lowest anomalous singularity in the t -channel dominates the small t region. The method we employed can also be applied to many other reactions involving loosely bound particles, such as elastic πd scattering.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my deep appreciation to Professor Geoffrey F. Chew for suggesting this investigation, and for his constant guidance and many useful suggestions throughout the course of this work.

I also wish to thank Professor Stanley Mandelstam for valuable discussions.

APPENDIX A

Anomalous threshold singularities are the singularities which lie below the lowest normal threshold on the physical sheet. We summarize here the conditions which must be satisfied for the existence of anomalous singularities of a triangle graph shown in Fig. 6. Let

$$y_a = \frac{c^2 + e^2 - a^2}{2ce}, \quad y_b = \frac{d^2 + e^2 - b^2}{2de}, \quad y_t = \frac{c^2 + d^2 - t}{2cd}$$

Then if the conditions,

$$(a) \quad |y_a| < 1, \quad |y_b| < 1 \quad (A.1)$$

$$(b) \quad \cos^{-1} y_a + \cos^{-1} y_b > \pi \quad (A.2)$$

are satisfied, an anomalous singularity in t exists and is given by

$$\cos^{-1} y_t = \cos^{-1} y_a + \cos^{-1} y_b,$$

or

$$y_t = y_a y_b - [(1 - y_a^2)^2 (1 - y_b^2)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (A.3)$$

APPENDIX B

The methods used to obtain the left hand cut singularities of partial wave amplitudes are well known. Special cases have been studied by many authors, and a general treatment has been given by Kennedy and Spearman.³⁷ We shall present here a somewhat different method which reproduces the general results easily.

Consider the partial wave projection for the amplitude of the reaction $a + b \rightarrow c + d$

$$\begin{aligned} a_\ell(s) &= \int_{-1}^1 A(s, z_s(s, t)) P_\ell(z_s) dz_s \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 A(s, z_s(s, u)) P_\ell(z_s) dz_s . \end{aligned}$$

The so-called left hand singularities of a_ℓ arise from the pinching of the singularities of A in t or u with the end points of integration. Hence these singularities can be obtained from the following equations

$$z_s(s, t_p) = \pm 1 , \quad (B.1)$$

$$z_s(s, u_p) = \pm 1 , \quad (B.2)$$

where $t_p(u_p)$ are the positions of either poles or branch points in the t -channel (u -channel). In general, it is rather awkward to solve for s directly from Eqs. (B.1) and (B.2). Instead we employ the following observation: The three manifolds defined by $z_x^2 = 1(x=s, t, u)$ all contain the physical boundary surface defined by setting the Kibble function²³ $\phi(s, t, u) = 0$, and they possibly differ from one another only

by surfaces of the form $x = 0$ depending on the mass assignments. It is evident that $s = 0$ is a solution of (B.1) and (B.2) if and only if $(a^2 - b^2)(c^2 - d^2) \neq 0$. Hence we can replace (B.1) and (B.2) by two equivalent sets of equations

$$z_t(s, t_p) = \pm 1$$

plus $s = 0$ if $(a^2 - b^2)(c^2 - d^2) \neq 0$; (B.3)

$$z_u(s, u_p) = \pm 1$$

plus $s = 0$ if $(a^2 - b^2)(c^2 - d^2) \neq 0$. (B.4)

Taking (B.3) as an example, with the t -channel c.m. scattering angle given by

$$4p_{ac}p_{bd}\cos\theta_t = (s-u) + (a^2-c^2)(b^2-d^2)/t,$$

we immediately get

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \left[(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2) - t_p \right] - \frac{(a^2 - c^2)(b^2 - d^2)}{2t_p} \pm \frac{1}{2t_p} \left[\lambda(t_p, a^2, c^2) \lambda(t_p, b^2, d^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (B.5)$$

Now we are ready to give the proof promised at the end of Section II. Consider the s -reaction $a + b \rightarrow c + d$, and let e be a pole in the t -reaction $a + \bar{c} \rightarrow \bar{b} + d$. If the masses of these five particles satisfy (A.1) and (A.2), then the anomalous singularity in s obtained from (A.3) will coincide with the highest left-hand branch point generated by e in the s -channel partial wave. A direct application of (A.3) gives

$$S_a = c^2 + d^2 + \frac{1}{2e^2} \left[\lambda(e^2, a^2, c^2) \lambda(e^2, b^2, d^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2e^2} (c^2 + e^2 - a^2)(d^2 + e^2 - b^2).$$

But this is identical to the larger one of the two solutions given in (B.5) with $t_p = e^2$.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

- * This work was supported in part by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.
- 1. T. Regge, Nuovo Cimento 14, 951 (1959) and 18, 947 (1960);
G. F. Chew and S. C. Frautschi, Phys. Rev. 123, 1478 (1961);
G. F. Chew, S. C. Frautschi, and S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 126, 1202 (1962).
- 2. K. Igi, Phys. Rev. Letters 9, 76 (1962); R. Dolen, D. Horn and C. Schmid, ibid. 19, 402 (1967).
- 3. S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. 166, 1539 (1968).
- 4. R. M. Heinz, O. E. Overseth, D. E. Pellett and M. L. Perl, Phys. Rev. 167, 1232 (1968); D. Dekkers, B. Jordan, R. Mermod, C. C. Ting, G. Weber, T. R. Willitts, K. Winter, X. DeBonard, and M. Vivargent, Phys. Letters 11, 161 (1964). Actually it is the inverse reaction $p + p \rightarrow \pi + d$ that is studied in these references. References for other experiments can be found in these two papers.
- 5. C. B. Chiu and J. D. Stack, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 460 (1967).
- 6. B. M. Udgaoonkar and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. Letters 8, 346 (1962).
- 7. R. E. Cutkosky, Proceedings of the 1960 Annual Conference on High-Energy Physics at Rochester (New York, 1960), p. 236.
- 8. A. H. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. 96, 139 (1954); M. Gell-Mann and K. M. Watson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci. 4, 219 (1954).
- 9. S. Mandelstam, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A 244, 491 (1958).
- 10. J. Chahoud and G. Russo, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 506 (1963); H. G. Dosch, Phys. Letters 9, 197 (1964); T. Yao, Phys. Rev. 134, B 454 (1964).
- 11. M. L. Perl, L. W. Jones and C. C. Ting, Phys. Rev. 132, 1273 (1963);
R. M. Heinz, O. E. Overseth, M. H. Ross, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc.

- 10, 19 (1965); J. Mathews and B. Deo, Phys. Rev. 143, 1340 (1966).
12. K. Vasavada, Ann. of Phys. 34, 191 (1965).
13. J. Chachoud, G. Russo and F. Selleri, Nuovo Cimento 45, 38 (1966).
14. D. Schiff and J. T. T. Van, A Covariant Theory of the Pionic Disintegration of the Deuteron I, Orsay preprint (1967).
15. G. F. Chew and A. Pignotti, Phys. Rev. Letters, 20, 1078 (1968).
16. We use the metric $p^2 = p_0^2 - \underline{p}^2$, $|\underline{p}|$ is also denoted by p .
17. R. Karplus, C. M. Sommerfield, and E. H. Wichman, Phys. Rev. 111, 1187 (1958); 114, 375 (1959); L. D. Landau, Nuclear Phys. 13, 181 (1959).
18. The Dirac matrices used here are the same as those used by S. S. Schweber, An Introduction to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory. (Row, Peterson and Co. New York, 1961).
19. In the rest frame of deuteron, the spin states can be characterized by $\bar{\epsilon}^{\lambda_d}$, where $\bar{\epsilon}^{\lambda_d} = (\bar{\epsilon}^{\lambda_d}, 0)$ with $\bar{\epsilon}^{\pm 1} = \pm (\hat{x} \pm i\hat{y})/\sqrt{2}$ and $\bar{\epsilon}^0 = \hat{z}$. The helicity states in the t -channel c.m. system are given by $\epsilon^{\lambda_d} = R[e^{i\pi J^y}] B(-\underline{d}) \bar{\epsilon}^{\lambda_d}$, where B is a boost taking the rest deuteron to a state with momentum $-\underline{d}$, and R is a rotation.
20. H. P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. 125, 2139 (1962).
21. Klaus Hepp, Helv. Phys. Acta. 37, 55 (1964); D. N. Williams, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-11113 (1963).
22. T. W. B. Kibble, 117, 1159 (1960).
23. M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Ann. of Phys. 7, 404 (1959). The amplitude F we used is related to the S matrix by

$$S_{cd,ab}(s,t) - \delta_{cd,ab} = (2\pi)^4 i \delta(p_c + p_d - p_a - p_b) (p_a^0 p_b^0 p_c^0 p_d^0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times F_{cd,ab}(s,t)$$

24. S. W. MacDowell, Phys. Rev. 116, 774 (1958); J. D. Stack, Ph.D. Thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1965).
25. M. Gell-Mann, M. Goldberger, F. Low, E. Marx, and F. Zachariasen, Phys. Rev. 133, B145 (1964). Notice there is a misprint in the formula for $e_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}^{J-}$. The correct expression is given in (4.3).
26. Y. Hara, Phys. Rev. 136, B507 (1964); L. C. Wang, Phys. Rev. 142, 1187 (1966).
27. G. Cohen-Tannoudji, A. Morel and H. Navelet, Saclay preprint, submitted to Annals of Physics (N.Y.); J. D. Jackson and G. E. Hite, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-17959, submitted to the Phys. Rev.
28. S. Mandelstam, Ann. Phys. 19, 254 (1962).
29. V. Barger and D. Cline, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 1504 (1967).
30. The asymptotic form of the E-functions are:

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha, +}(z) \sim \pm \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} (2z)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}^{\alpha, +}(z) \sim 2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha+\frac{3}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\frac{3}{2})} (2z)^{\alpha-\frac{3}{2}}.$$

31. S. Mandelstam and L. L. Wang, Phys. Rev. 160, 1490 (1967).
32. T. L. Trueman and G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 26, 322 (1964).
33. D. Z. Freedman and J. M. Wang, Phys. Rev. 153, 1596 (1967).
34. R. E. Cutkosky, J. Math. Phys. 1, 429 (1960).
35. R. Blankenbecler, M. L. Goldberger and F. R. Halpern, Nuclear Physics 12, 629 (1959).
36. H. Lee, (to be published).
37. J. Kennedy and T. D. Spearman, Phys. Rev. 126, 1596 (1962).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Graph which gives rise to the anomalous threshold at $s = s_a$.
- Fig. 2. Graph which gives rise to the anomalous threshold at (a)
 $t = t_a$, (b) $t = t'_a$.
- Fig. 3. Diagram for calculating the discontinuity.
- Fig. 4. Double Regge-pole exchange diagram.
- Fig. 5. Double Regge-pole exchange with the two outgoing nucleons combine to form a deuteron.
- Fig. 6. A general triangle graph.

XBL685-2570

Fig. 1

XBL685-2565

Fig. 2

XBL685-2572

Fig. 3

XBL685-2571

Fig. 4

XBL685-2569

Fig. 5

XBL685-2567

Fig. 6

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.