UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Methadone alters transcriptional programs associated with synapse formation in human cortical organoids

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6bs92010

Journal Translational Psychiatry, 13(1)

ISSN 2158-3188

Authors

Dwivedi, Ila Caldwell, Andrew B Zhou, Dan <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2023

DOI

10.1038/s41398-023-02397-3

Peer reviewed

ARTICLE OPEN Methadone alters transcriptional programs associated with synapse formation in human cortical organoids

Ila Dwivedi¹, Andrew B. Caldwell ^{2,8}, Dan Zhou^{1,8}, Wei Wu¹, Shankar Subramaniam^{2,3,4,5} and Gabriel G. Haddad ^{1,6,7 ×}

© The Author(s) 2023

Opioid use disorder (OUD) among pregnant women has become an epidemic in the United States. Pharmacological interventions for maternal OUD most commonly involve methadone, a synthetic opioid analgesic that attenuates withdrawal symptoms and behaviors linked with drug addiction. However, evidence of methadone's ability to readily accumulate in neural tissue, and cause long-term neurocognitive seguelae, has led to concerns regarding its effect on prenatal brain development. We utilized human cortical organoid (hCO) technology to probe how this drug impacts the earliest mechanisms of cortico-genesis. Bulk mRNA sequencing of 2-month-old hCOs chronically treated with a clinically relevant dose of 1 µM methadone for 50 days revealed a robust transcriptional response to methadone associated with functional components of the synapse, the underlying extracellular matrix (ECM), and cilia. Co-expression network and predictive protein-protein interaction analyses demonstrated that these changes occurred in concert, centered around a regulatory axis of growth factors, developmental signaling pathways, and matricellular proteins (MCPs). TGFB1 was identified as an upstream regulator of this network and appeared as part of a highly interconnected cluster of MCPs, of which thrombospondin 1 (TSP1) was most prominently downregulated and exhibited dosedependent reductions in protein levels. These results demonstrate that methadone exposure during early cortical development alters transcriptional programs associated with synaptogenesis, and that these changes arise by functionally modulating extrasynaptic molecular mechanisms in the ECM and cilia. Our findings provide novel insight into the molecular underpinnings of methadone's putative effect on cognitive and behavioral development and a basis for improving interventions for maternal opioid addiction.

Translational Psychiatry (2023)13:151; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02397-3

INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, Opioid Use Disorder (OUD) among pregnant women has become an epidemic in the United States [1]. Between 2010 and 2017, there was a 131% increase in the estimated rate (per 1000 delivery hospitalizations) of maternal opioid related diagnoses, including long-term use and dependence [2]. This was accompanied by a parallel surge in the percentage of expectant mothers seeking treatments for opioid addiction [3]. The standard of care for maternal OUD is Medication-Assisted Treatment (MAT) with methadone [3, 4], a synthetic mu (μ)-opioid analgesic that minimizes deleterious opioid withdrawal symptoms and risk-taking behaviors that lead to relapse or overdose [5, 6].

Despite its utility in adults, methadone's ability to readily enter fetal circulation and accumulate in neural tissue has led to concerns regarding its effects on brain development *in utero* [4, 7, 8]. Clinically, prenatal methadone exposure is linked with increased incidence and severity of Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome (NAS), characterized by central nervous system hyperirritability and autonomic nervous system dysfunction [9, 10]. Crucially, longitudinal studies of exposed infants [11, 12], mice [13], or rats [14–16] also revealed long-term psychomotor and cognitive sequelae including impaired learning, memory, social, and motor skills, as well as depression and anxiety.

Investigations into the cellular and molecular etiology of these cognitive and behavioral impairments point to deficits in neuronal connectivity and communication that may arise during early development. Diffusion tensor imaging studies of human neonates and adults exposed to methadone maintenance therapy uncovered microstructural changes in cerebral white matter tracts, indicating potential axonal damage [17-20]. The earliest studies of methadone in rats demonstrated that the drug diminishes neurotransmitter content, uptake, and release [21, 22] and influences synaptogenesis [23, 24]. Treatment of rat neuronal cultures with other µ-opioid receptor agonists like morphine also yielded reductions in neurite outgrowth and pre- and post-synaptic puncta densities [25]. In addition, morphine, endogenous µ-opioids, and methadone have been shown to affect central and peripheral neuronal excitability and communication [26-29].

¹Department of Pediatrics, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ²Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ³Department of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Nanoengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁴Department of Nanoengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁵Department of Computer Science & Engineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁶Department of Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. ⁷Rady Children's Hospital, San Diego, CA, USA. ⁸These authors contributed equally: Andrew B. Caldwell, Dan Zhou. ¹⁸email: ghaddad@health.ucsd.edu

Received: 15 November 2022 Revised: 10 February 2023 Accepted: 14 March 2023 Published online: 06 May 2023

Taken together, these studies suggest that prenatal methadone exposure may lead to long-term neurocognitive sequelae by disrupting mechanisms of neural connectivity and communication. Consequently, we hypothesized that exposure to the drug during early cortical development in humans would likely alter the fundamental molecular mechanisms underlying synaptogenesis.

Nevertheless, most of these prior studies assessing methadone's effects on neurodevelopment have been complicated by duration of drug exposure, model organism, or subject age [30]. Investigations have also largely been conducted in murine models, whose developmental mechanisms and timelines differ significantly from humans [31, 32]. The few studies done in human subjects are postnatal and complicated by *in utero* exposure to other substances, opioid-based pharmacotherapies for NAS, length of MAT, and maternal pathophysiology. Moreover, ethical and logistical complications have led to a dearth of human fetal tissues available for research. As a result, methadone's direct effects on human fetal brain development remain largely uncharted.

To address these limitations, we utilized human iPSC-derived three-dimensional models of cortical development called cortical organoids (hCOs) [33]. These contain multiple cell types and undergo spatial organization characteristic of the in vivo fetal cortex, eliminating postnatal factors that have confounded previous studies of prenatal methadone exposure [33–36]. By 2 months of differentiation, hCOs reproducibly consist of polarized neuroepithelium-like rosettes arranged around proliferative neural progenitors (β -catenin +), as well as mature (NeuN/MAP2 +) glutamatergic (VGLUT1 +) neurons, and an emerging population of 8 to 10% glial cells (GFAP +) [33, 37].

To study the mechanisms underlying abnormalities resulting from methadone exposure during early human brain development, we conducted bulk mRNA sequencing of hCOs chronically treated with a therapeutically relevant concentration of 1 μ M methadone. This concentration falls within the range (0.8 to 1.7 μ M) of methadone found in maternal plasma, which significantly and positively correlates with levels detected in umbilical cord blood [7, 38–41]. The organoids were treated for a total of 50 days, throughout a period of growth and maturation leading up to the onset of synapse formation at 2-months [33, 37].

This treatment paradigm models a clinical condition of methadone maintenance treatment beginning in the first trimester of pregnancy. Coupled with high-depth bulk RNAsequencing as well as post-hoc gene ontology, co-expression module, protein-protein interaction, upstream regulator, and protein-level analyses, this methodology enabled us to dissect how methadone alters molecular mechanisms underlying neural development in the fetal cortex. The resulting findings lay the groundwork for understanding neurocognitive deficits arising from prenatal methadone exposure and improving pharmacotherapies for maternal OUD.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Human iPSC culture and cortical organoid generation

Human iPSC lines, A and B, derived from two healthy adult male individuals, were used to generate hCOs for RNA-sequencing. A third human iPSC line, WT83, derived from a healthy adult male individual, was additionally used to develop hCOs for Western blot analysis. Digital karyotyping with Illumina Human Core Exome Arrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used to confirm cellular identity as well as chromosome and copy number stability in culture, and testing for mycoplasma contamination was performed. iPSCs were derived from fibroblasts acquired with informed consent from all individuals and utilized in accordance with UC San Diego's Institutional Review Board (IRB) guidelines and regulations.

iPSCs were cultured in feeder-free conditions on Matrigel (Corning, Corning, NY) coated plates, and fed daily with mTeSR1[™] medium (Stem Cell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada). hCOs were then generated and maintained using methods described by Muotri and colleagues [33].

Treatment with methadone

Methadone (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was dissolved in sterile nuclease-free water (Invitrogen, Waltham, MA) and diluted to a working concentration of 1 μ M in fresh stage-specific medium each day of media change. Treatment began on Day 9 of organoid culture, the first day of the neural proliferation stage, and concluded at Day 60. Nuclease-free water was used as a vehicular control.

Cortical organoid collection and RNA isolation

Cortical organoids were collected 2 months (60 days) after initiating organoid culture. Each well of hCOs (15–20 organoids) was a separate biological replicate for a given treatment condition (i.e., treated or untreated). hCOs were aspirated in 1 mL of medium and centrifuged at 3000 g for 5 min at room temperature. The pellet was resuspended in 1 mL cold (4 °C) DPBS (Corning, Corning, NY) and centrifuged again at 4 °C and 21,000 g for 10 min. Pellets were snap frozen in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes using dry ice and stored at -80 °C prior to RNA extraction. RNA was extracted from frozen organoid pellets using the Direct-Zol Miniprep Plus Kit (Zymo, Irvine, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA-sequencing data generation

Total RNA was sent to the UC San Diego Institute for Genomic Medicine (IGM) for quality assessment, library preparation, and sequencing. Only samples with RNA Integrity Numbers (RIN) > 7 were selected for library preparation. PolyA+ selected libraries were prepared using the TruSeq mRNA Stranded Library Prep Kit with TruSeq UDI96 indexed adaptors (Illumina). Samples were multiplexed and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 S4 to produce approximately 100 million, 100 base pair, paired end reads per sample. 3 control and 3 methadone-treated samples were sequenced from cell line A, and 4 control and 4 treated samples from cell line B. No samples were excluded from the analysis, given comparable RINs > 7 and read numbers close to the 100 million read per sample target amount. RINs and total sequenced reads per sample are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

RNA-sequencing differential expression analysis

Raw fastg file quality assessment and read alignment to the hg19 genome (GRCh37, RefSeg GCF 000001405.13) [42] were performed through the FastQC (v1.0.0) [43] and RNA-Seq Alignment (STAR, v2.0.2) [44] applications, respectively, in the Illumina BaseSpace Sequence Hub. Mapped reads were assigned to genomic meta-features (genes) using the Rsubread (v2.6.4) [45] function featureCounts in R. Expression level filtering was performed using the edgeR (v3.34.1) [46] function filterbyExpr. TMM normalization factor [47] calculations were also conducted using edgeR. Mean-variance trends and gene-specific weights were determined via the voom function in the R package limma (v3.48.3) [48, 49]. Normal distribution of log CPM expression values by voom also accounted for the natural heteroscedasticity of count probability distributions. Differential expression analysis was then conducted by fitting the voom output to a linear model using the ImFit function in limma. Cell line and treatment condition were incorporated as covariates while contrasting methadone treated versus untreated control samples. Genes were ranked in order of evidence for differential expression using the empirical Bayes (eBayes, t-value) method (n = 17,651). Significantly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were selected based on the confident effect size of their log₂(Fold Change) values at FDR < 0.05. This was represented by a "confect score" calculated by TopConfects (v1.8.0) [50] in R. Genes with |Confect Score| $\geq \log_2(1.5)$ were considered DEGs.

Gene overlap testing

The Fisher exact-test p-value and odds ratio were used to test the significance of overlap and strength of association, respectively, between the DEGs from cell lines A and B. These were calculated via the R package *GeneOverlap* [51]. Rank-rank hypergeometric overlap analysis (RRHO) and heatmap construction were done with the *RRHO2* [52, 53] package in R.

Gene ontology and gene set enrichment analysis

To identify transcriptional signatures associated with specific cellular components, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) [54] was performed using the *fGSEA* (v1.18.0) [55] package in R with the Gene Ontology Cellular Component (GO-CC) database [56, 57] as a reference as well as all eBayes ranked genes and associated *limma* t-statistic values as input. The top 20

terms with the lowest FDR-adjusted p-values were selected and sorted by their normalized enrichment scores (NES).

To determine which molecular functions the DEGs associated with each top cellular component were enriched for, we applied a hypergeometric test using the GO-Molecular Function (GO-MF) database in the *GOrilla* web application [58], setting eBayes ranked genes as background ($p < 10^{-3}$). Resulting GO-MF terms were arranged hierarchically and non-redundantly

by semantic similarity via the *REVIGO* web-application [59]. *REVIGO* significance values and term hierarchy were used to visualize GO-MF enrichment as a circle plot using the *CirGO* package [60] in *Python* (v3.9.12) (Data File S1).

The molecular role of each synaptic DEG was determined based on its association with enriched GO Molecular Function categories and through manual searches using the OMIM [61, 62], GeneCards [63, 64], and NCBI



3

4

Fig. 1 Methadone elicits a robust transcriptional response in 2-month-old hCOs. A Timeline of cortical organoid generation and methadone treatment. (**B**) Multi-dimensional scaling of TMM-normalized expression data and metadata from control and methadone-treated hCO samples derived from cell lines **A** & **B**. **C** RNA-seq volcano plot of all (eBayes ranked) genes, distinguished by their confident effect size 'confect' score cutoffs. Genes with |Confect | $\geq \log_2(1.5)$ and FDR < 0.05 were considered DEGs (DEGs = 2124), after adjusting for cell-line differences. For each gene, \log_2 (Fold Change) effect size values are shown on the x-axis and Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted absolute $\log_{10} p$ -values are along the y-axis. **D** Heat map depicting the sample-level expression (z-scores) of the 2124 DEGs across both cell lines. **E** Top 20 *fgsea* enriched GO Cellular Component gene sets based on absolute \log_{10} FDR-adjusted p-values, ranked according to the direction (positive or negative) of their normalized enrichment scores (NES) and grouped by cellular component. Values to the right of the graph indicate the number of DEGs associated with each of the top 3 enriched GO-CC gene sets.

Gene [65] databases. DEGs were grouped into categories based on the representative terms found in the *CirGO* output. ECM-associated DEGs were categorized by their overlap with Core- or Matrisome-Associated genes in *MatrisomeDB* [66]. Genes in each category were then grouped according to descriptions provided in reviews by Naba and colleagues [67–69], who generated *MatrisomeDB*. Major collagen [70] and proteogly-can types [71, 72] were identified based on descriptions in the literature. As before, *OMIM, GeneCards*, and *NCBI Gene* databases helped categorize genes not identified in these sources (Data File S2).

Modular gene co-expression and protein-protein interaction network analysis

Co-expression modules were identified through the Bioconductor *cemitool* package [73] in R, using eBayes ranked genes as input with the parameters apply_vst=FALSE and directed=TRUE. Counts were corrected for cell line differences using the *removebatcheffects* function and transformed using the *voomwithqualityweights* function in *limma*. GO-CC *fGSEA* was performed on each module, and DEGs from the top two modules with the greatest similarity in cellular component enrichment were combined for network analysis.

Edges between DEGs from the first two modules were acquired from *STRINGDB* (v.11.0) [74] and filtered to include only physical interactions (medium threshold = 0.7). Network edges and nodes were imported into *Cytoscape* (v3.9.1) [75], and top hub genes ranked by EcCentricity score [76] were identified using *CytoHubba* [77]. *MCODE* [78] determined highly interconnected clusters of proteins in each network via default network scoring and cluster finding parameters.

Upstream regulator analysis

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (*IPA*; Qiagen Inc., Hilden, Germany) [79] was used to identify upstream regulators of synaptic, ciliary, and ECM DEGs from the top two co-expression modules. Each regulator was assigned a p-value representing the overlap between DEGs and known targets, and a z-score to infer regulator activation states. Endogenous molecules (excluding exogenous toxicants, drugs, and reagents) were ranked in order of significance (Data File S3).

Western blot analysis

3-month-old hCOs derived from cell lines A and WT83 were treated with 0, 1, or 10 μ M methadone for 4 weeks, then isolated for protein extraction at 4-months. Each well of hCOs (25–30 organoids) was considered a biological replicate, with at least 3 replicates tested per condition across both cell lines. Replicates were collected from four experiments, each with batch-matched control and treatment conditions. Whole brain tissue from a healthy, adult mouse was used as a positive control.

Samples were lysed in 10X RIPA buffer containing protease and phosphatase inhibitors and homogenized with a glass-teflon homogenizer (Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ). Homogenates were centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 g and 4 °C, and protein concentration was determined using a Bio-Rad Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). 30 μ g of total protein was separated on a NuPAGE 4–12% Novex Bis-Tris gel (Thermo-Fisher, Waltham, MA) and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membrane (Millipore, Burlington, MA). Membranes were blocked for 1 h and incubated in PBST with 5% BSA containing primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C on a shaker. The primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-Thrombospondin 1 (37879, Cell Signaling Technology, Waltham, MA; 1:500) and rabbit anti-GAPDH (PA1-987, ThermoFisher; 1:1000). Membranes were then incubated with the secondary antibody Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H + L) HRP (A32731, Invitrogen; 1:2000) for 1 h at room temperature and developed using an ECL Kit (ThermoFisher). Immunoreactive bands

were visualized using the Bio-Rad ChemiDoc XRS with enhanced chemiluminescence (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA), and relative band intensity was analyzed using the ImageLab software (Version 3.0, Bio-Rad).

Statistical analyses

Statistical details specific to the programs or functions used for analysis are provided in the Methods, Results, and Figure Legends. Unless otherwise specified, bioinformatic analyses were conducted using R (v4.1.3) [80] in *RStudio* (v1.4.1717) [81].

Total sample numbers per condition for RNA-sequencing were established based on prior estimates of biological replicates required to appropriately power differential expression analyses using *limma* and similar programs [48, 82–84]. Multi-dimensional scaling of *voom* calculated gene expression values was performed using the *glMDSplot* function in the R package *Glimma* (v2.2.0) [85]. The resulting dimensions (covariates) were sorted in order of decreasing variance along them. Variability in sample-level gene expression was represented in the form of z-scores plotted in heatmaps using the *heatmap.2* function from *gplots* (v.3.1.3) [86].

Western blot relative band intensity values were statistically analyzed in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA), using a one-way ANOVA comparing each treatment group to the control group. Significance was defined as p < 0.01 (**).

Data visualization

hCO schematics were created with BioRender (https://biorender.com/) (Fig. 1A). Bar, bar/line, and volcano plots were generated in GraphPad Prism (Figs. 1C, 1E, 3C, 4A, 5A, 6B and S1A, S2A, B). Sankey plots were made with the SankeyMATIC tool (https://sankeymatic.com/) (Figs. 2B and 4B). The *MatrisomeDB* concentric circle plot was constructed in Microsoft Excel (Fig. 3B). All other methods of data representation (*CirGO* plots, networks, etc) are described above.

RESULTS

Chronic methadone induces a robust transcriptional response in 2-month-old hCOs

Two-month-old hCOs generated from two iPSC cell lines, A and B, exhibited robust transcriptional responses to 50 days of chronic treatment with 1µM methadone (Fig. 1A). 4165 DEGs were detected in line A, while 1018 DEGs were identified in line B (|Confect| \ge Log₂(1.5), FDR < 0.05) (Fig. S1A).

Despite differences in the magnitude of response to methadone between cell lines, multi-dimensional scaling revealed that methadone treatment was the primary source of sample-level variation (Fig. 1B). Separation of samples along the second dimension demonstrated variation introduced by baseline transcriptional differences between iPSC lines. This variability between iPSC lines has been well described in previous studies and is primarily attributed to the distinct genetic backgrounds of donors and/or mutations acquired during somatic cell reprogramming for iPSC generation or clonal expansion [87, 88].

Importantly, in both cell lines, control samples were differentiated from treated samples by unsupervised clustering according to their expression profiles, signaling a consistent response to drug treatment (Fig. S1B). Moreover, the intersection of 777 genes between cell lines A and B was statistically significant (Fisher's exact $p = 4.7 \times 10^{-272}$) and the association between both



Fig. 2 Methadone alters the expression of pre- and post-synaptic functional constituents. A Molecular function ontology of synapsespecific DEGs, determined via a hypergeometric test using a background of eBayes-ranked expressed genes and a GO gene set significance threshold of $p < 10^{-3}$. "Parent" or representative gene sets (molecular function categories) are presented in the inner circle and emphasized with large, colored font. Semantically similar descendants of these parents are represented in black font. Terms in each category are sorted in descending order by absolute $\log_{10} p$ -values. Percentages indicate the relative membership of each parent gene set based on the number and significance of the constituent descendant terms. Gene sets were broadly summarized and colored according to the categories shown in the boxed insert. **B** Molecular functions of 166 synapse-associated DEGs. Node values and sizes, as well as edge thicknesses, represent the number of DEGs belonging to each GO cellular component category (pre-synapse, post-synapse, both) or molecular function.

lists was strong (Fisher's odds ratio = 11.3) (Fig. S1C). The most significant overlap occurred between downregulated DEGs, which constituted most of the transcriptional response (Fig. S1D).

Taken together, this information enabled us to incorporate cell line as a covariate into the differential expression linear model and account for baseline transcriptional differences between individuals. Through this methodology we obtained 2124 DEGs whose expression was altered due to methadone treatment alone (Fig. 1C). Almost all samples across both cell lines clustered according to treatment condition based on their expression of these DEGs (Fig. 1D). Although sample B-Treat 2 clustered with controls, its high RNA quality and read counts precluded its exclusion from further analyses, enabling us to retain heterogeneity of response to methadone in our dataset (Fig. 1D; Table S1).

Methadone alters the expression of pre- and post-synaptic functional components

Since we had hypothesized that methadone would affect synapse formation, we began by determining how the observed transcriptional response was associated with the cellular anatomy of our organoid system. Pre-ranked gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the Gene Ontology Cellular Component (GO-CC) database revealed the significant enrichment of gene sets associated with neuronal synapses (Fig. 1E). We noted sets linked to both the preand post-synapse, distinguished by terms such as "Axon" or "Somatodendritic Compartment", respectively. All sets had positive normalized enrichment scores (NES), trending towards transcriptional upregulation in the synapse following methadone exposure.

To identify which aspects of synaptic biology were specifically affected by methadone, we performed a ranked GO-Molecular Function (GO-MF) enrichment analysis of the synaptic DEGs and summarized the resulting non-redundant ontology terms hierarchically (Fig. 2A; Data File S1). We observed alterations in all aspects of synaptic biology, including the pre-synaptic trafficking, release and synthesis of hormones and neurotransmitters, the postsynaptic reception and response to these signaling molecules, and intracellular cytoskeletal scaffolding [89–91].

There were 166 synapse-associated DEGs, of which 58 were identified as pre-synaptic, 64 as post-synaptic, and 44 as linked to both terminals (Fig. 2B; Data File S2). To further resolve the identities of these genes, we categorized them functionally according to the GO-MF, *OMIM, GeneCards*, and *NCBI Gene* databases. Most pre-synaptic DEGs were involved with vesicular trafficking, while post-synaptic DEGs were primarily receptors or signal transduction molecules. The 44 DEGs associated with both terminals were primarily structural, involved with cytoskeletal integrity, cell-cell adhesion, or extracellular matrix (ECM) composition.

Methadone induces transcriptional changes in an ECM regulatory hierarchy

Consistent with the identities of the 44 shared pre- and postsynaptic DEGs, we detected two GO-CC gene sets with negative NES values associated with the ECM ("external encapsulating structure" and "collagen containing ECM") (Fig. 1E). The ECM is a critical component of the tetrapartite synapse, which otherwise consists of the presynaptic bouton, postsynaptic terminal, and supporting glial cells [92, 93]. Given the ECM's role in synapse formation and maintenance, we studied how its composition and function had been affected by methadone treatment. To this end, we conducted a ranked GO-MF enrichment analysis of the 129 ECM-associated DEGs and summarized the resulting nonredundant terms hierarchically. Four distinct functional categories





Fig. 3 Methadone induces transcriptional changes associated with ECM and ciliary structure and function. A Enriched molecular function ontology of ECM-specific DEGs compared to a background of eBayes-ranked expressed genes. Parent and descendant terms are determined and visualized as described in Fig. 2A. Broad categories of enriched ECM gene sets are summarized in the boxed insert. B Categorization of ECM-associated DEGs. The inner two circles group molecules according to their designations in *MatrisomeDB*. The third circle organizes molecules in each Matrisome category based on their functions. The outermost bars indicate the number of matricellular proteins (MCPs) in each category. Segment sizes correspond to the number of DEGs in each category. C All MCPs classically identified in the brain that were differentially expressed in response to methadone. Genes are ranked by their confect score values. D Enriched molecular function ontology of ciliary-DEGs compared to a background of eBayes-ranked expressed genes. ECM, extracellular matrix, CAM Cell adhesion molecule, GF Growth factor, NS Nervous system, SLRP Small-leucine rich proteoglycan, HAPLN Hyaluronan and proteoglycan link, BM Basement membrane, HH Hedgehog, AP Angiopoetin.

I. Dwivedi et al.



of matrix structural, binding, catalytic, or signaling molecules were enriched, encompassing the ECM regulatory hierarchy (Fig. 3A; Data File S1) [94, 95].

To parse these DEGs further, we sorted them according to *MatrisomeDB*, a collection of genes defined as core (collagens,

proteoglycans, and other glycoproteins) or associated (ECM regulators, secreted factors, or other affiliated molecules) matrix proteins [66–69]. Methadone treatment disrupted the expression of genes belonging to each of these designations (Fig. 3B; Table S2; Data File S2). Glycoproteins (other than proteoglycans)

7

I. Dwivedi et al.

8

Fig. 4 Co-expressed synaptic, ECM, and ciliary genes encode physically interacting proteins. A Sizes of all co-expression modules identified among all eBayes-ranked genes following correction for cell line differences. The number of DEGs in each module are shown in green. Module sizes and DEG membership are indicated as ratios to the right of each bar. B Top enriched GO-CC terms associated with modules M1 and M2 based on absolute log₁₀ *p*-values, which are represented by the relative thickness of the nodes and edges in the plot. **C** Protein-protein interaction network of synapse (pink), ECM (purple), and cilia (blue) associated genes belonging to modules M1 and M2. 'Neuronal Projection' genes were grouped with synaptic genes based on semantic similarity. Nodes in grey are proteins belonging to more than one cellular component category. Node sizes reflect the relative magnitude of absolute confect scores, while edges indicate predicted physical interactions in the brain according to *STRINGDB*. The top hub genes identified by *CytoHubba* using the EcCentricity metric are emphasized in square boxes with yellow borders. Major functional groups are highlighted using labeled grey boxes.



Fig. 5 TGFβ1 is a key upstream regulator of synaptic and extra-synaptic DEGs. A Top 5 endogenous protein upstream regulators of the synaptic, ECM, and ciliary genes belonging to modules M1 & M2 in Fig. 4B, identified via *IPA*. **B** Predicted physical interactions between the top 20 hub genes in a synaptic-extra-synaptic network including the upstream regulator TGFβ1. Node colors represent EcCentricity centrality rankings, while node sizes reflect the relative magnitudes of absolute confect scores. Genes are clustered according to their roles in each cellular component.

accounted for over half of the core matrix proteins in our dataset (55.6%, n = 35/63). These included structural molecules like laminins, cell adhesion molecules, and non-structural matrix modulators belonging to the CCN or thrombospondin family of proteins [94, 95]. ECM regulators also constituted almost half (n = 31/66, 47%) of the matrix-associated (non-core) proteins. These were primarily matrix proteases and their inhibitors (n = 23/31, 74%), including a family of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs or ADAMTS) that modulate ECM composition by hydrolyzing its components [96–98].

Notably, over a quarter of all ECM DEGs encoded known and proposed matricellular proteins (MCPs) (n = 37/129, 28.7%) (Fig. 3B; Data File S2) [99–105]. MCPs are non-structural proteins that modulate ECM composition and integrity through interactions with structural proteins, proteases, cell surface receptors, and growth factors [100–105]. In the developing brain, MCPs regulate mechanisms of cellular maturation, proliferation, migration, axonal guidance, and synapse formation. Among the MCP families classically found in the brain, thrombospondins (thrombospondin1, *TSP1*), *SPARC* (including *Hevin/SC1/ECM2*), the Cellular

Communication Network Factors (*CCN1-3* or *CYR61*, *CTGF*, and *NOV*), glypicans (*GPC5* and 6), galectins (*LGALS3*), plasminogen activator inhibitor (*SERPINE1/PAI-1*), and fibulins (*FBLN1* and 5) were all differentially expressed due to methadone (Fig. 3C; Data File S2) [106–110]. Of these, *TSP1* exhibited the greatest magnitude of change in response to treatment (Fig. 3C; confect score = -1.92, FDR < 0.05). Altogether, these changes indicated that methadone alters crucial components of the ECM regulatory hierarchy that are necessary for developmental synapse formation.

Methadone disrupts the expression of genes involved in ciliary integrity

Unexpectedly, changes to the ECM were also accompanied by the enrichment of cilium-associated gene sets (Fig. 1E). As with the ECM, the 172 ciliary DEGs were mostly downregulated, indicated by the negative NES values. These DEGs could be separated into three groups encoding motor proteins (e.g., kinesins and dyneins), cytoskeletal proteins or cytoskeleton binding proteins contributing to projection integrity, and enzymes regulating cytoskeletal or motor protein polymerization (Fig. 3D; Data File S1) [111–113].



Fig. 6 Methadone alters a highly interconnected growth factor-MCP regulatory axis. A A highly interconnected network of MCPs and the upstream regulator TGFβ1, identified through MCODE (Cluster score = 2.8, node score cutoff = 0.2). **B** Western blot of TSP1 levels in mouse brain tissue and WT83 cell line derived 4-month-old hCOs, which had been treated with 0 (Control), 1, and 10 µM methadone for 4 weeks. The Densitometry histogram depicts the expression level of TSP1 normalized to GAPDH in 4-month-old hCOs derived from cell lines A and WT83. Expression levels are represented by relative Western blot band intensities, and bars represent mean band intensities for each condition ± SEM. One-way ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Bonferroni test was used to determine significance of change in each treatment condition compared to the untreated control hCO samples ($F_{1µM} = 27.52$, $F_{10µM} = 32.55$, **p < 0.01).

This data revealed a concurrent, directional response to methadone by transcriptional programs informing ECM and ciliary structure and function. The overall pattern of synaptic, ECM, and ciliary enrichment was also observed in cell lines A and B individually, reinforcing a consistent and parallel change in synaptic and extra-synaptic biology in response to drug treatment (Figure S2A–C).

Synaptic, ECM, and ciliary DEGs are highly co-expressed and encode proteins that physically interact

To investigate the relationship between DEGs belonging to the synaptic, ciliary, and ECM compartments, we performed comprehensive modular co-expression analysis of eBayes ranked genes, which yielded 14 modules of highly co-expressed genes. Of these, the top two modules retained the greatest DEG membership ratios (module M1 = 1572/6380 and module M2 = 330/4382) (Fig. 4A). GO-CC GSEA revealed that M1 and M2 were significantly enriched for gene sets associated with the synapse, ECM, and cilia (Fig. 4B, Table S3). This ontological overlap between M1 and M2 allowed us to use their intersecting DEGs for further analyses and indicated that changes to the synapse were not occurring in isolation, but in concert with changes to the ECM and cilia.

Between the first two co-expression modules, 71 proteins encoded by synaptic, ciliary, and ECM DEGs were predicted to physically interact in the brain based on experimental evidence found in STRINGDB (Fig. 4C). Topological analysis of this network using the node centrality index EcCentricity in CytoHubba identified 20 major hubs spanning each cellular component (Fig. 4C; Fig. S3). Node eccentricity reflects how easily a protein can be functionally reached by other proteins in a regulatory network, indicating its centrality of influence [76]. The MCP TSP1 appeared as a major hub based on its magnitude of change, bridging interactions between matrix proteases, structural constituents, and cell-adhesion proteins. Likewise, the epidermal growth factor receptor EGFR was central to interactions between several functional ECM and synaptic proteins. The latter included synaptic receptors like the µ-opioid receptor (OPRM1) as well as dopaminergic, serotonergic, and glutamatergic NMDA and AMPA receptors (GRIN2A, GRIN2D, and GRIA4), which were among the top 20 hubs. EGFR's relationship with these receptors was mediated by its association with kinases like LYN, PAK5, and PTK2B and G-protein signaling molecules. EGFR was also shown to interact with the platelet-derived growth factor receptor a (PDGFRA), which is activated in primary cilia and is required broadly during CNS development [114, 115].

TGF β 1 is a key regulator of the synaptic, ECM, and ciliary protein interaction network

We next sought to understand methadone's influence on the regulatory hierarchy of this interaction network of co-expressed synaptic and extra-synaptic DEGs. IPA Upstream Regulator Analysis identified TGFB1 as a principal regulator of the synaptic, ciliary, and ECM DEGs in the first two co-expression modules M1 and M2 (Fig. 5A; Data File S3). Upon inclusion into the interaction network described in Fig. 4C, TGFB1 was identified as a hub based on its EcCentricity Score (Fig. S4). The top 20 DEGs with the greatest scores comprised a highly interconnected nexus of synaptic, ciliary, and ECM regulatory molecules (Fig. 5B). Via interaction with G-proteins and protein tyrosine kinases, OPRM1 linked to a cascade of signaling pathways regulated by the ECM and cilia such as PDGFRA, Hedgehog, and TGF^β1, that were physically linked to both presynaptic vesicular trafficking (SH3GL3) and post-synaptic neurotransmitter reception (HTR2C). EGFR and TSP1 were once again identified as major hub genes by centrality and degree of differential expression.

TGFβ1-MCP interactions are central to the synaptic and extrasynaptic response to methadone

MCODE cluster analysis of the interaction network including TGF β 1 (Fig. S4) placed this growth factor within a tightly interconnected cluster of MCPs (TSP1, GPC5, GPC6, and SPARC) (Fig. 6A; Table S4). This finding highlighted the functional relationship between MCPs and TGF β 1 during synaptogenesis in the hCOs and emphasized their regulatory centrality in the response to chronic methadone treatment.

10

To validate methadone's functional effect on this cluster, we quantified protein-levels of the most highly affected MCP, TSP1, in response to treatment (Fig. 6B). 3-month-old organoids derived from cell line A and an additional cell line WT83 were exposed to 0, 1, or 10 μ M of methadone for 4 weeks and collected for protein extraction at 4-months, by which point functional synapses have been established and allowed to mature [33, 37]. TSP1 levels were significantly diminished in a dose-dependent manner by methadone treatment. These results reinforced methadone's consistent effect on the ECM regulatory hierarchy across cell lines and demonstrated that the drug reduces functional TSP1 levels during a period of synapse formation and maturation.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the transcriptional effect of chronic methadone treatment on the earliest stages of cortico-genesis modeled in human iPSC-derived cortical organoids. hCOs were exposed to 1 µM methadone, a concentration that falls within the 0.8–1.7 µM range typically reported in the plasma of pregnant women [7, 38-41]. Studies support extensive materno-fetal transfer of methadone, with evidence from both humans and rats indicating accumulation of the drug in fetal tissues and cord blood throughout gestation [4, 7, 8]. At dosages required to reach withdrawal relief (100-180 mg) during pregnancy, trough concentrations of methadone in cord blood are predicted to reach the maternal reference range used to establish our treatment paradigm [4, 8]. Therefore, exposing hCOs to this concentration for 50 days beginning just after neural induction, allowed us to assess the direct effect of methadone on neural growth and maturation.

Through this methodology, our findings provided us with sufficient evidence to submit the following: first, the previously observed reductions in neural connectivity linked with methadone arise from disruptions in gene expression programs associated with synapse formation; second, these synaptogenic changes are brought about by perturbations in developmental signaling pathways originating in the ECM and cilia.

In line with prior evidence of µ-opioid-induced alterations in neural connectivity and communication [17-29], we observed significant changes in the expression of genes associated with the pre- and post-synaptic biology of chemical synapses, including the release, reception, or transduction of signals at the synapse (Fig. 2). This was congruent with the results of previous multi-electrode array (MEA) and whole-cell patch clamp studies conducted by our lab, which demonstrated dose-dependent attenuations in action potential firing and synaptic transmission (i.e., the frequency and amplitude of spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents) in response to methadone in 2 to 4-month hCOs [37, 116]. In conjunction with these data, our findings suggest that the methadone-induced suppression of neural network activity arises from perturbations in early pre- and post-synaptic molecular apparatuses that facilitate the establishment of synaptic transmission during prenatal development [89, 90]. Unexpectedly, synaptic gene set NES values trended towards transcriptional upregulation in response to methadone (Fig. 1E). Given the predicted physical interactions between synaptic, matrix, and ciliary DEGs, we posit that the upregulation of synaptic genes may be a compensatory response to the suppression of essential regulatory mechanisms modulated by the ECM and cilia.

The ECM's potential role in bringing about changes at the synapse downstream of methadone is reinforced by our finding that the drug alters the expression of a vast matrix regulatory hierarchy (Fig. 3A–C, Data File S2). These results are consistent with evidence that ECM remodeling mediates opioid-induced synaptic abnormalities and may underlie drug-seeking or relapse behaviors associated with OUD [117–119]. Pivotal to the ECM hierarchy central to these changes are MCPs, modulators of cell-

matrix interactions that are dynamically expressed at high levels during brain development [99, 106–110]. We identified 37 differentially expressed MCPs across several categories of core and matrix-associated proteins (Fig. 3B, C, Data File S2). Through their known involvement in growth factor signaling, these molecules mediate a balance between ECM structural proteins and the proteases that degrade them, which has downstream effects on cellular adhesion, proliferation, migration, and, ultimately, synapse formation [99, 106–110]. Several gene groups belonging to the MCP interactome were also downregulated in response to methadone treatment in our hCOs, including integrins, growth factors and their signaling molecules, collagens, and MMPs [97, 100–104].

Of the MCPs classically found in the developing brain, thrombospondin-1 (TSP1) exhibited the greatest change in expression in response to treatment and appeared as a central hub in the co-expression network of synaptic and extrasynaptic DEGs (Figs. 3C and 4C). TSP1 is a multidomain, multimeric glycoprotein that is secreted into the ECM of synapses. Its domain-specific interactions with growth factors, proteases, and cell surface receptors have proven necessary for the establishment of synaptic architecture and synaptic refinement [120–123]. Disruptions in the TSP1-TGFβ1-EGFR axis have also been implicated in reductions of synapse density in rat neuronal cultures following partial µ-opioid receptor activation by morphine [25]. Our study identified TGFB1 as an upstream regulator of the synaptic-extra-synaptic network and both TSP1 and EGFR as a major-hubs in this network based on their degree of change and centrality (Fig. 5). Indeed, TSP1 protein levels were dose-dependently reduced after 4-weeks of treatment at later stages of hCO development, indicating that shorter-term exposure to methadone can also diminish the amount of functional TSP1 available for the proper establishment and maturation of synapses (Fig. 6C).

Alongside TSP1 and the upstream regulator TGF_{B1}, PDGFRA and SHH/IHH also appeared as major hubs in the synaptic-extrasynaptic regulatory network affected by methadone. PDGFRA is a receptor tyrosine kinase that localizes to primary cilia, where it mediates signals for directional cell migration and chemotaxis [114, 115]. In their capacity as sensory projections, primary cilia also contain receptors for Hedgehog, Wnt, Notch, other potent growth factors, integrins, and cadherins [111–113]. Localization of the soluble SHH and IHH ligands to primary cilia, in particular, has proven crucial for their signaling [115], which plays a prominent functional role during synapse formation and circuit assembly. Recent studies have indicated extensive crosstalk between cilia and the ECM, with ciliopathies leading to the dysregulation of ECM proteins like collagens, laminins, MMPs, and the TGFB signaling pathway, all of which we observed in our study [124, 125].

This is the first time such transcriptional changes have been described for methadone, a full µ-opioid receptor agonist, in a human-specific model following a treatment regimen clinically relevant to maternal OUD. In this study, the use of bulk RNAsequencing enabled us to probe molecular pathways affected by methadone with greater depth and resolution compared to available single-cell techniques, which are limited by substantial noise, inter-sample variability, fewer expressed genes per cell type, and low replicates [126]. This technique led us to identify several molecular targets, including TSP1 and TGF_β1, which we are now investigating as regulators of the cortical response to methadone and as avenues for the amelioration of sequelae arising from disruptions in synapse formation caused by the drug. Altogether, we believe that our data contribute to a mechanistic understanding of the neurobehavioral deficits associated with prenatal methadone exposure and provide a foundation upon which to interventions for OUD improve pharmacological in pregnant women.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data and information required to generate, evaluate, and interpret the findings presented in this paper are provided in the methodology, main figures and supplementary materials, which will be available on the *Translational Psychiatry* website. Code used to generate the results followed the documentation for each referenced program, and all relevant parameters are detailed in the Methods. The RNA-sequencing dataset presented in this work is available at the NCBI GEO under the SuperSeries accession GSE210682. Further requests for data and inquiries may be directed to the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Haight SC, Ko JY, Tong VT, Bohm MK, Callaghan WM. Opioid use disorder documented at delivery hospitalization - United States, 1999-2014. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2018;67:845–9.
- Hirai AH, Ko JY, Owens PL, Stocks C, Patrick SW. Neonatal abstinence syndrome and maternal opioid-related diagnoses in the US, 2010-2017. JAMA 2021;325:146–55.
- 3. Krans EE, Patrick SW. Opioid use disorder in pregnancy: Health policy and practice in the midst of an epidemic. Obstet Gynecol. 2016;128:4–10.
- Farid WO, Dunlop SA, Tait RJ, Hulse GK. The effects of maternally administered methadone, buprenorphine and naltrexone on offspring: review of human and animal data. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2008;6:125–50.
- Mattick RP, Breen C, Kimber J, Davoli M Methadone maintenance therapy versus no opioid replacement therapy for opioid dependence. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009:CD002209.
- 6. Oesterle TS, Thusius NJ, Rummans TA, Gold MS. Medication-Assisted Treatment for Opioid-Use Disorder. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94:2072–86.
- Kongstorp M, Bogen IL, Stiris T, Andersen JM. High Accumulation of Methadone Compared with Buprenorphine in Fetal Rat Brain after Maternal Exposure. J Pharm Exp Ther. 2019;371:130.
- Badhan RKS, Gittins R. Precision dosing of methadone during pregnancy: A pharmacokinetics virtual clinical trials study. J Subst Abus Treat. 2021;130:108521.
- Jones HE, Kaltenbach K, Heil SH, Stine SM, Coyle MG, Arria AM, et al. Neonatal abstinence syndrome after methadone or buprenorphine exposure. N. Engl J Med. 2010;363:2320–31.
- Gaalema DE, Scott TL, Heil SH, Coyle MG, Kaltenbach K, Badger GJ, et al. Differences in the profile of neonatal abstinence syndrome signs in methadoneversus buprenorphine-exposed neonates. Addiction 2012;107(Suppl:):53–62.
- Bier JB, Finger AS, Bier BA, Johnson TA, Coyle MG. Growth and developmental outcome of infants with in-utero exposure to methadone vs buprenorphine. J Perinatol. 2015;35:656–9.
- Levine TA, Davie-Gray A, Kim HM, Lee SJ, Woodward LJ. Prenatal methadone exposure and child developmental outcomes in 2-year-old children. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2021;63:1114–22.
- Grecco GG, Mork BE, Huang J-Y, Metzger CE, Haggerty DL, Reeves KC, et al. Prenatal methadone exposure disrupts behavioral development and alters motor neuron intrinsic properties and local circuitry. Elife. 2021;10:e66230.
- Wong C-S, Lee Y-J, Chiang Y-C, Fan L-W, Ho I-K, Tien L-T. Effect of prenatal methadone on reinstated behavioral sensitization induced by methamphetamine in adolescent rats. Behav Brain Res. 2014;258:160–5.
- Chen HH, Chiang YC, Yuan ZF, Kuo CC, Lai MD, Hung TW, et al. Buprenorphine, methadone, and morphine treatment during pregnancy: behavioral effects on the offspring in rats. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat. 2015;11:609–18.
- Kongstorp M, Bogen IL, Stiris T, Andersen JM. Prenatal exposure to methadone or buprenorphine impairs cognitive performance in young adult rats. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2020;212:108008.
- Monnelly VJ, Anblagan D, Quigley A, Cabez MB, Cooper ES, Mactier H, et al. Prenatal methadone exposure is associated with altered neonatal brain development. NeuroImage Clin. 2018;18:9–14.
- Walhovd KB, Watts R, Amlien I, Woodward LJ. Neural tract development of infants born to methadone-maintained mothers. Pediatr Neurol. 2012;47:1–6.
- Li W, Li Q, Wang Y, Zhu J, Ye J, Yan X, et al. Methadone-induced damage to white matter integrity in methadone maintenance patients: A longitudinal selfcontrol DTI study. Sci Rep. 2016;6:19662.
- Wang Y, Li W, Li Q, Yang W, Zhu J, Wang W. White matter impairment in heroin addicts undergoing methadone maintenance treatment and prolonged abstinence: A preliminary DTI study. Neurosci Lett. 2011;494:49–53.
- Guo H, Enters EK, McDowell KP, Robinson SE. The effect of prenatal exposure to methadone on neurotransmitters in neonatal rats. Dev Brain Res. 1990;57:296–8.
- Slotkin TA, Lau C, Bartolomé M, Seidler FJ. Alteration by methadone of catecholamine uptake and release in isolated rat adrenomedullary storage vesicles. Life Sci. 1976;19:483–91.

- Slotkin TA, Whitmore WL, Salvaggio M, Seidler FJ. Perinatal methadone addiction affects brain synaptic development of biogenic amine systems in the rat. Life Sci. 1979;24:1223–9.
- 24. Seidler FJ, Whitmore WL, Slotkin TA. Delays in growth and biochemical development of rat brain caused by maternal methadone administration: are the alterations in synaptogenesis and cellular maturation independent of reduced maternal food intake? Dev Neurosci. 1982;5:13–18.
- Ikeda H, Miyatake M, Koshikawa N, Ochiai K, Yamada K, Kiss A, et al. Morphine modulation of thrombospondin levels in astrocytes and its implications for neurite outgrowth and synapse formation*. J Biol Chem. 2010;285:38415–27.
- Frederickson RC, Norris FH. Enkephalin-induced depression of single neurons in brain areas with opiate receptors-antagonism by naloxone. Science 1976;194:440–2.
- Nicoll RA, Siggins GR, Ling N, Bloom FE, Guillemin R. Neuronal actions of endorphins and enkephalins among brain regions: a comparative microiontophoretic study. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1977;74:2584–8.
- Winters BL, Gregoriou GC, Kissiwaa SA, Wells OA, Medagoda DI, Hermes SM, et al. Endogenous opioids regulate moment-to-moment neuronal communication and excitability. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14611.
- Stoetzer C, Kistner K, Stüber T, Wirths M, Schulze V, Doll T, et al. Methadone is a local anaesthetic-like inhibitor of neuronal Na+ channels and blocks excitability of mouse peripheral nerves. Br J Anaesth. 2015;114:110–20.
- Hauser KF, Knapp PE. Opiate drugs with abuse liability hijack the endogenous opioid system to disrupt neuronal and glial maturation in the central nervous system. Front Pediatr. 2018;5:294.
- Marshall JJ, Mason JO. Mouse vs man: Organoid models of brain development & disease. Brain Res. 2019;1724:146427.
- Semple BD, Blomgren K, Gimlin K, Ferriero DM, Noble-Haeusslein LJ. Brain development in rodents and humans: Identifying benchmarks of maturation and vulnerability to injury across species. Prog Neurobiol. 2013;106–107:1–16.
- Trujillo CA, Gao R, Negraes PD, Gu J, Buchanan J, Preissl S, et al. Complex Oscillatory Waves Emerging from Cortical Organoids Model Early Human Brain Network Development. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;25:558–569.e7.
- 34. Trujillo CA, Muotri AR. Brain organoids and the study of neurodevelopment. Trends Mol Med. 2018;24:982–90.
- Lancaster MA, Knoblich JA. Organogenesis in a dish: Modeling development and disease using organoid technologies. Science 2014;345:1247125.
- Camp JG, Badsha F, Florio M, Kanton S, Gerber T, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, et al. Human cerebral organoids recapitulate gene expression programs of fetal neocortex development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112:15672–7.
- Yao H, Wu W, Cerf I, Zhao HW, Wang J, Negraes PD, et al. Methadone interrupts neural growth and function in human cortical organoids. Stem Cell Res. 2020;49:102065.
- Doberczak TM, Kandall SR, Friedmann P. Relationship between maternal methadone dosage, maternal-neonatal methadone levels, and neonatal withdrawal. Obstet Gynecol. 1993;81:936–40.
- Drozdick J, Berghella V, Hill M, Kaltenbach K. Methadone trough levels in pregnancy. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;187:1184–8.
- Gordon AL, Lopatko OV, Somogyi AA, Foster DJR, White JM. (R)- and (S)methadone and buprenorphine concentration ratios in maternal and umbilical cord plasma following chronic maintenance dosing in pregnancy. Br J Clin Pharm. 2010;70:895–902.
- de Castro A, Jones HE, Johnson RE, Gray TR, Shakleya DM, Huestis MA. Maternal methadone dose, placental methadone concentrations, and neonatal outcomes. Clin Chem. 2011;57:449–58.
- Church DM, Schneider VA, Graves T, Auger K, Cunningham F, Bouk N, et al. Modernizing reference genome assemblies. PLoS Biol. 2011;9:e1001091.
- Andrews S FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online]. 2010.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 2013;29:15–21.
- Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:e47.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: A Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 2010;26:139–40.
- 47. Robinson MD, Oshlack A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. Genome Biol. 2010;11:R25.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:e47–e47.
- Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. Voom: Precision weights unlock linear model analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. Genome Biol. 2014;15:1–17.

- Harrison PF, Pattison AD, Powell DR, Beilharz TH. Topconfects: A package for confident effect sizes in differential expression analysis provides a more biologically useful ranked gene list. Genome Biol. 2019;20:1–12.
- 51. Shen L GeneOverlap: Test and visualize gene overlaps. R package version 1.34.0. 2022.
- Cahill KM, Huo Z, Tseng GC, Logan RW, Seney ML. Improved identification of concordant and discordant gene expression signatures using an updated rankrank hypergeometric overlap approach. Sci Rep. 2018;8:9588.
- Plaisier SB, Taschereau R, Wong JA, Graeber TG. Rank-rank hypergeometric overlap: identification of statistically significant overlap between geneexpression signatures. Nucleic Acids Res. 2010;38:e169–e169.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: A knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2005;102:15545–50.
- Korotkevich G, Sukhov V, Budin N, Shpak B, Artyomov MN, Sergushichev A. Fast gene set enrichment analysis. BioRxiv. 2021:060012. https://doi.org/10.1101/ 060012.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene Ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet. 2000;25:25.
- Carbon S, Douglass E, Good BM, Unni DR, Harris NL, Mungall CJ, et al. The Gene Ontology resource: Enriching a GOld mine. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49:D325.
- Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z. GOrilla: A tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinforma. 2009;10:1–7.
- Supek F, Bošnjak M, Škunca N, Šmuc T. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. PLoS One. 2011;6:e21800.
- Kuznetsova I, Lugmayr A, Siira SJ, Rackham O, Filipovska A. CirGO: An alternative circular way of visualising gene ontology terms. BMC Bioinforma. 2019;20:1–7.
- 61. Hamosh A, Scott AF, Amberger J, Valle D, McKusick VA. Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM). Hum Mutat. 2000;15:57–61.
- Amberger JS, Bocchini CA, Schiettecatte F, Scott AF, Hamosh A. OMIM.org: Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM[®]), an online catalog of human genes and genetic disorders. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:D789–98.
- Stelzer G, Rosen N, Plaschkes I, Zimmerman S, Twik M, Fishilevich S, et al. The GeneCards Suite: From gene data mining to disease genome sequence analyses. Curr Protoc Bioinforma 2016;54:1.30.1–1.30.33.
- 64. Safran M, Rosen N, Twik M, BarShir R, Stein Tl, Dahary D, et al. The GeneCards Suite. Pract Guid to Life Sci Databases. 2021:27–56.
- Brown GR, Hem V, Katz KS, Ovetsky M, Wallin C, Ermolaeva O, et al. Gene: A gene-centered information resource at NCBI. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:D36–42.
- 66. Shao X, Taha IN, Clauser KR, Gao YT, Naba A. MatrisomeDB: the ECM-protein knowledge database. Nucleic Acids Res.2020;48:D1136–D1144.
- Hynes RO, Naba A. Overview of the matrisome-an inventory of extracellular matrix constituents and functions. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4:a004903.
- Naba A, Clauser KR, Ding H, Whittaker CA, Carr SA, Hynes RO. The extracellular matrix: Tools and insights for the 'omics' era. Matrix Biol. 2016;49:10–24.
- Naba A, Pearce OMT, Del Rosario A, Ma D, Ding H, Rajeeve V, et al. Characterization of the extracellular matrix of normal and diseased tissues using proteomics. J Proteome Res. 2017;16:3083–91.
- Khoshnoodi J, Cartailler J-P, Alvares K, Veis A, Hudson BG. Molecular recognition in the assembly of collagens: Terminal noncollagenous domains are key recognition modules in the formation of triple helical protomers. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:38117–21.
- lozzo RV, Schaefer L. Proteoglycan form and function: A comprehensive nomenclature of proteoglycans. Matrix Biol. 2015;42:11–55.
- 72. Schaefer L, Schaefer RM. Proteoglycans: From structural compounds to signaling molecules. Cell Tissue Res. 2010;339:237–46.
- Russo PST, Ferreira GR, Cardozo LE, Bürger MC, Arias-Carrasco R, Maruyama SR, et al. CEMiTool: A Bioconductor package for performing comprehensive modular co-expression analyses. BMC Bioinforma. 2018;19:1–13.
- Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11: Protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47:D607–D613.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, et al. Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Res. 2003;13:2498–504.
- 76. Hage P, Harary F. Eccentricity and centrality in networks. Soc Netw. 1995;17:57–63.
- Chin CH, Chen SH, Wu HH, Ho CW, Ko MT, Lin CY. cytoHubba: Identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome. BMC Syst Biol. 2014;8:1–7.
- Bader GD, Hogue CWV. An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. BMC Bioinforma. 2003;4:1–27.

- 79. Krämer A, Green J, Pollard J, Tugendreich S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Bioinformatics 2014;30:523–30.
- 80. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. 2022.
- 81. RStudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. 2021.
- Seyednasrollah F, Laiho A, Elo LL. Comparison of software packages for detecting differential expression in RNA-seq studies. Brief Bioinform. 2015;16:59–70.
- Schurch NJ, Schofield P, Gierliński M, Cole C, Sherstnev A, Singh V, et al. How many biological replicates are needed in an RNA-seq experiment and which differential expression tool should you use? RNA 2016;22:839–51.
- Lamarre S, Frasse P, Zouine M, Labourdette D, Sainderichin E, Hu G, et al. Optimization of an RNA-Seq differential gene expression analysis depending on biological replicate number and library size. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:108.
- Su S, Law CW, Ah-Cann C, Asselin-Labat M-L, Blewitt ME, Ritchie ME. Glimma: interactive graphics for gene expression analysis. Bioinformatics 2017;33:2050–2.
- 86. Warnes GR, Bolker B, Bonebakker L, Gentleman R, Huber W, Liaw A, et al. gplots: Various R Programming Tools for Plotting Data. 2022.
- Carcamo-Orive I, Hoffman GE, Cundiff P, Beckmann ND, D'Souza SL, Knowles JW, et al. Analysis of Transcriptional Variability in a Large Human iPSC Library Reveals Genetic and Non-genetic Determinants of Heterogeneity. Cell Stem Cell. 2017;20:518–532.e9.
- Volpato V, Webber C Addressing variability in iPSC-derived models of human disease: guidelines to promote reproducibility. Dis Model Mech. 2020;13.
- Sell GL, Barrow SL, McAllister AK. Chapter 1 Molecular composition of developing glutamatergic synapses. In: Rubenstein J, Rakic P, Chen B, Kwan KY, Cline HT, Cardin J. Synapse Development and Maturation. Second Ed., Academic Press; 2020. p3–32.
- 90. Südhof TC. Towards an understanding of synapse formation. Neuron 2018;100:276–93.
- Batool S, Raza H, Zaidi J, Riaz S, Hasan S, Syed NI. Synapse formation: From cellular and molecular mechanisms to neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders. J Neurophysiol. 2019;121:1381–97.
- 92. Ferrer-Ferrer M, Dityatev A. Shaping synapses by the neural extracellular matrix. Front Neuroanat. 2018;12:40.
- Dankovich TM, Rizzoli SO. The synaptic extracellular matrix: Long-lived, stable, and still remarkably dynamic. Front Synaptic Neurosci. 2022;14:854956.
- 94. Yue B. Biology of the extracellular matrix: An overview. J Glaucoma. 2014;23:S20–S23.
- Theocharis AD, Skandalis SS, Gialeli C, Karamanos NK. Extracellular matrix structure. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2016;97:4–27.
- Shiomi T, Lemaître V, D'Armiento J, Okada Y. Matrix metalloproteinases, a disintegrin and metalloproteinases, and a disintegrin and metalloproteinases with thrombospondin motifs in non-neoplastic diseases. Pathol Int. 2010;60:477–96.
- 97. Malemud CJ. Inhibition of MMPs and ADAM/ADAMTS. Biochem Pharm. 2019;165:33–40.
- Cabral-Pacheco GA, Garza-Veloz I, Castruita-De la Rosa C, Ramirez-Acuña JM, Perez-Romero BA, Guerrero-Rodriguez JF, et al. The roles of matrix metalloproteinases and their inhibitors in human diseases. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21:9739.
- 99. Woods A. Syndecans: Transmembrane modulators of adhesion and matrix assembly. J Clin Invest. 2001;107:935–41.
- Bornstein P, Sage EH. Matricellular proteins: Extracellular modulators of cell function. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2002;14:608–16.
- Roberts DD. Emerging functions of matricellular proteins. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011;68:3133–6.
- Murphy-Ullrich JE, Sage EH. Revisiting the matricellular concept. Matrix Biol. 2014;37:1–14.
- Sawyer AJ, Kyriakides TR. Matricellular proteins in drug delivery: Therapeutic targets, active agents, and therapeutic localization. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2016;97:56–68.
- Gerarduzzi C, Hartmann U, Leask A, Drobetsky E. The matrix revolution: Matricellular proteins and restructuring of the cancer microenvironment. Cancer Res. 2020;80:2705–17.
- 105. Gopinath P, Natarajan A, Sathyanarayanan A, Veluswami S, Gopisetty G. The multifaceted role of Matricellular Proteins in health and cancer, as biomarkers and therapeutic targets. Gene 2022;815:146137.
- 106. Jones EV, Bouvier DS. Astrocyte-secreted matricellular proteins in CNS remodelling during development and disease. Neural Plast. 2014;2014:321209.
- Eroglu C. The role of astrocyte-secreted matricellular proteins in central nervous system development and function. J Cell Commun Signal. 2009;3:167–76.
- Hillen AEJ, Burbach JPH, Hol EM. Cell adhesion and matricellular support by astrocytes of the tripartite synapse. Prog Neurobiol. 2018;165–167:66–86.
- 109. Blakely PK, Hussain S, Carlin LE, Irani DN. Astrocyte matricellular proteins that control excitatory synaptogenesis are regulated by inflammatory cytokines and

correlate with paralysis severity during experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. Front Neurosci. 2015;9:344.

- 110. Jayakumar AR, Apeksha A, Norenberg MD. Role of matricellular proteins in disorders of the central nervous system. Neurochem Res. 2017;42:858–75.
- 111. Satir P, Christensen ST. Overview of structure and function of mammalian cilia. Annu Rev Physiol. 2007;69:377–400.
- 112. Ostrowski LE, Dutcher SK, Lo CW. Cilia and models for studying structure and function. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2011;8:423–9.
- Green JA, Mykytyn K. Neuronal ciliary signaling in homeostasis and disease. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2010;67:3287–97.
- 114. Christensen ST, Clement CA, Satir P, Pedersen LB. Primary cilia and coordination of receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signalling. J Pathol. 2012;226:172–84.
- Veland IR, Awan A, Pedersen LB, Yoder BK, Christensen ST. Primary cilia and signaling pathways in mammalian development, health and disease. Nephron Physiol. 2009;111:p39–53.
- Wu W, Yao H, Dwivedi I, Negraes PD, Zhao HW, Wang J, et al. Methadone Suppresses Neuronal Function and Maturation in Human Cortical Organoids. Front Neurosci. 2020;14:593248.
- 117. Smith ACW, Scofield MD, Kalivas PW. The tetrapartite synapse: Extracellular matrix remodeling contributes to corticoaccumbens plasticity underlying drug addiction. Brain Res. 2015;1628:29–39.
- Ray MH, Williams BR, Kuppe MK, Bryant CD, Logan RW. A Glitch in the Matrix: The role of extracellular matrix remodeling in opioid use disorder. Front Integr Neurosci. 2022;16.
- 119. Seney ML, Kim S-M, Glausier JR, Hildebrand MA, Xue X, Zong W, et al. Transcriptional alterations in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and nucleus accumbens implicate Neuroinflammation and Synaptic Remodeling in Opioid Use Disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2021;90:550–62.
- Christopherson KS, Ullian EM, Stokes CCA, Mullowney CE, Hell JW, Agah A, et al. Thrombospondins are astrocyte-secreted proteins that promote CNS synaptogenesis. Cell 2005;120:421–33.
- 121. Wang B, Guo W, Huang Y. Thrombospondins and synaptogenesis. Neural Regen Res. 2012;7:1737–43.
- 122. Risher WC, Eroglu C. Thrombospondins as key regulators of synaptogenesis in the central nervous system. Matrix Biol. 2012;31:170–7.
- Resovi A, Pinessi D, Chiorino G, Taraboletti G. Current understanding of the thrombospondin-1 interactome. Matrix Biol. 2014;37:83–91.
- Seeger-Nukpezah T, Golemis EA. The extracellular matrix and ciliary signaling. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2012;24:652–61.
- 125. Collins I, Wann AKT. Regulation of the Extracellular Matrix by Ciliary Machinery. Cells. 2020;9:278.
- 126. Chen G, Ning B, Shi T. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Technologies and Related Computational Data Analysis. Front Genet. 2019;10:317.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Kristen Jepsen and the UC San Diego Institute of Genomic Medicine (IGM) for their assistance with the sequencing and karyotyping conducted for this study. We also thank the lab of Dr. Alysson Muotri at UC San Diego for providing us with the WT83 cell line. The sample preparation, RNA-sequencing, and data analysis portions of this project were primarily supported by two NIH grants awarded to the Haddad Lab (1R01HL146530 and 1R01DA053372). Sequencing data for this study was generated at UC

San Diego's IGM using the Illumina NovaSeq 6000, which was purchased with funding from an NIH SIG grant (#S10 OD026929). Further support for data analysis was provided by two NIH grants awarded to the Subramaniam Lab (R01 LM012595 and R01 HL106579).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

ID generated the hCO samples, collected the resulting RNA, carried out the processing and systems analysis of the resulting data, and wrote the manuscript. ABC provided the requisite base code for the differential expression, gene set enrichment, co-expression network, and protein-protein interaction analyses. DZ assisted with data pre-processing and conducted the IPA upstream regulator analysis. WW conducted the Western blot analyses for protein level validation. Both DZ and ABC helped establish the conceptual and mechanistic framework of the paper. SS and GGH supervised the study and were involved in the overall study design, data interpretation, and revisions of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

All authors declare no competing interests.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02397-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Gabriel G. Haddad.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023