UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

The Therapeutic Potential of T Cell Metabolism

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6c1151gk

Journal American Journal of Transplantation, 17(7)

ISSN 1600-6135

Authors Zarrinpar, A Bensinger, SJ

Publication Date 2017-07-01

DOI

10.1111/ajt.14149

Peer reviewed

Received Date : 30-Aug-2016 Revised Date : 02-Nov-2016 Accepted Date : 25-Nov-2016 Article type : M - Minireview

The Therapeutic Potential of T Cell Metabolism

Authors: Ali Zarrinpar¹ and Steven J. Bensinger^{2,3}

Affiliations:

¹Department of Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles CA 90095, USA

²Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

³Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Correspondence: Steven J. Bensinger (*sbensinger@mednet.ucla.edu*)

Running title: Therapeutic Potential of T Cell Metabolism

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/ajt.14149

Abbreviations:

ERRα, Estrogen Related Receptor alpha G6P, glucose-6-phosphate LDH, lactate dehydrogenase OXPHOS, oxidative phosphorylation or PPP, pentose-phosphate pathway TCA, tricarboxylic

Abstract

Transplant rejection mediated by the adaptive immune system remains a major barrier to achieving long-term tolerance and graft survival. Emerging evidence indicates that lymphocytes rapidly shift their metabolic programs in response to activation, co-stimulatory, and cytokine signals to support required effector cell differentiation and function. These observations have led to the hypothesis that manipulating the metabolic programs of immune cells could serve as a powerful therapeutic strategy for attenuating deleterious immune responses and facilitating durable tolerance in the setting of allogeneic solid organ or bone marrow transplant. In this mini-review, we introduce the fundamentals of metabolism, highlight the current understanding of how adaptive immune cells utilize their metabolic programs, and discuss the potential for targeting metabolism as a therapeutic approach to induce tolerance in the transplant setting.

Introduction

Metabolism comprises an intricate web of molecular pathways tasked with providing a cell with requisite energy, reducing equivalents, nutrients, and macromolecules to support normal function. Cellular and organismal metabolism are necessarily highly dynamic systems, responding to environmental cues, nutrient availability, and functional demands. While metabolism has been canonically associated with the study of "metabolic tissues" such as liver, adipose (fat), or muscle, there is a growing appreciation that the metabolic programs of individual immune cells play a critical role in shaping both innate and adaptive immunity. In this mini-review, we introduce the fundamentals of cellular metabolism and emphasize our current understanding of the impact that cellular metabolism can have on lymphocyte fate and function. We also highlight important findings regarding the reciprocal relationship between cellular metabolism and immune function, with an eye on how this could impact transplant responses and immune tolerance in general. In this article, we specifically limit our definition of immunometabolism to the study of immune cellular metabolic programs and the impact that the metabolic states have on immune cell fate or function. While there is much research dedicated to the study of the interface of immunology and metabolism in the context of how metabolic diseases such as obesity and dyslipidemia promote inflammation and impact host defense, this area of immunometabolism is beyond the scope of this mini-review. Therefore, we direct readers to other excellent discussions of these topics (1-4).

Accumulated evidence indicates that the metabolic programs adopted by lymphocytes play a fundamental role in regulating the quality and quantity of the immune response (5, 6). Naïve **T** and B lymphocytes are endowed with the capacity to undergo rapid replication in response to activation or instructional signals through the antigen receptor, co-stimulatory molecules, and cytokine pathways. In combination, these signals dictate the extent to which lymphocytes proliferate during an immune response. In addition to their powerful ability to undergo rapid clonal expansion, lymphocytes are subject to differentiative programs that direct acquisition of a broad array of effector functions. For example, CD8 T cells differentiate into cytotoxic T cells tasked with defending the host from intracellular pathogens or tumors. Likewise, B lymphocytes differentiate into plasmablasts which synthesize and secrete antibodies. CD4 T cells undergo more nuanced differentiation programs resulting in

a range of effector functions which either direct specific types of pro-inflammatory responses (e.g., Th1, Th2, and Th17) or attenuate immune responses and maintain self-tolerance (e.g., Tregs) (7). Remarkably, the metabolic programs employed by each of these types of lymphocytes appear to have distinct features that are essential to supporting their proliferative capacity and effector function (8). Perhaps more surprisingly, an inability to acquire an appropriate metabolic program fundamentally perturbs the nature of inflammatory responses generated by immune cells and impacts adaptive immune responses in both sterile and infectious disease settings. These observations demonstrate that a fundamental crosstalk between metabolic and inflammatory programs exists in immune cells and indicates a potential for therapeutic manipulation of metabolism (9, 10).

A Brief Introduction to Key Cellular Metabolic Pathways

Although metabolism at the cellular and organismal level is exceedingly complex and cannot be adequately addressed in the setting of a brief review, it remains helpful to take a reductionist approach to key metabolic pathways or nodes which have been identified as critical regulators in lymphocyte biology. These pathways include glucose, amino acid, and fatty acid/cholesterol metabolism, as well as the intertwined redox metabolism of the pentose phosphate pathway.

Glucose Metabolism

Glucose is a key metabolite that contributes to the energetics, redox status, and macromolecular synthetic processes of lymphocytes. Activation of T and B lymphocytes results in the rapid upregulation of glucose transporters (such as GLUT1 and GLUT2) and correspondingly robust uptake of glucose into the cell (11, 12). Upon glucose entry,

hexokinase converts glucose to glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), trapping G6P inside the cell and committing glucose to cellular metabolic processes. The majority of G6P flows through the downstream enzymes of the glycolysis pathway into the cytosolic pyruvate pool. Pyruvate can then be converted by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) to lactate (i.e. fermentation of glycolysis) and subsequently exported from the cell through the monocarboxylic transporters. Some of the pyruvate pool is also shuttled into the mitochondria to replenish intermediates of the tricarboxylic (TCA) or citric acid cycle, in a process termed anaplerosis. This provides carbons for anabolic metabolism and electrons for the electron transport chain (often termed oxidative phosphorylation or OXPHOS). Alternatively, G6P can be diverted into the oxidative branch of the pentose-phosphate pathway (PPP) to provide ribose for nucleic acid synthesis, and reducing equivalents (such as NADPH) for use in lipid biosynthesis. Another important role of NADPH production by the PPP is to maintain the redox status of cells by contributing to the pool of reducing equivalents used by proteins such as glutathione dehydrogenase. These reducing equivalents are needed to counterbalance the reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated by mitochondria and other cellular processes.

OXPHOS Metabolism

To varying degrees, cells catabolize carbohydrates, amino acids (primarily glutamine through glutaminolysis), and fatty acids (through β-oxidation) to provide requisite carbons to fill the acetyl-CoA pool. Acetyl-CoA is a building block of isoprenoids, cholesterol, flavonoids, and fatty acids. It is also used to acetylate histones and proteins. In the mitochondria, acetyl-CoA enters the TCA cycle where it is oxidized to generate CO₂ and NADH. NADH fuels the electron transport chain of OXPHOS in complex I. Succinate, an intermediate in the TCA cycle, also helps generate the mitochondrial proton gradient and ATP synthesis in complex II. Overall, oxidation of glucose in the TCA cycle yields 30–36 ATPs by the electron transport

chain of OXPHOS. Therefore, under aerobic conditions, oxidative metabolism is greatly advantageous in terms of generating ATP (11).

As delineated above, pyruvate flows through two distinct metabolic pathways. It can either 1) be fermented by LDH into lactic acid to support anaerobic production of ATP and NADH, or 2) be transported into the mitochondria to support mitochondrial metabolism. While these two fates of pyruvate are often described as occurring in a mutually exclusive fashion, it is important to recognize both lactate production and glucose-dependent OXPHOS metabolism can, and often do, occur to varying levels in the same cell at the same time under normal physiologic conditions. This is particularly evident in newly activated lymphocytes, where there is significant upregulation of both glycolysis and glucose-dependent OXPHOS metabolism. Specifically how a cell utilizes pyruvate depends on multiple factors, including the expression levels of enzymes that regulate glycolytic flux and the availability of oxygen. Nevertheless, the concomitant increase in glucose flux through both the glycolytic and OXPHOS metabolic pathways during T cell blastogenesis indicates that the upregulation of these metabolic pathways are programmed as part of a physiologic response to activation signals. Moreover, these observations suggest that targeting one or both of these pathways may serve as a potential therapeutic approach in controlling pathologic lymphocyte proliferation and effector function (13). In support of this concept, recently published studies in pre-clinical models of rheumatic disease and transplantation have demonstrated reduced pathology when key proteins of the glycolysis and OXPHOS pathways are pharmacologically targeted (14). As to why activation of effector lymphocytes would concomitantly upregulate both OXPHOS and glycolysis has remained poorly understood. However, recent studies suggest that ensuring high levels of flux though the glycolysis pathway is important for maximizing effector cytokine production, whereas OXPHOS metabolism more specifically supports anabolism and proliferation(15-18).

Amino Acid Metabolism

Amino acids are the building blocks of proteins. Amino acids are broadly categorized as essential and non-essential based on the ability of the body to synthesize a particular amino acid or whether it must be absorbed as part of the nutrients derived from food. Examples of essential amino acids include histidine, lysine, and phenylalanine (19). However, it is important to note that many non-essential amino acids are not synthesized in appreciable amounts in guiescent lymphocytes and must be imported to meet the anabolic demands of blastogenesis. As such, nearly all amino acids become de facto essential for proper growth and effector function. In addition to their important role in protein biosynthesis, some amino acids, such as glutamine or branched chain amino acids, also have the ability to influence the signaling capacity of important growth regulators like mTOR signaling (20). This ensures that anabolic pathways driven by upstream signaling (e.g., TCR or CD28) are coordinated with the nutrient supply chain and metabolic capacity. Finally, amino acids can be catabolized to refill metabolic intermediates of the TCA cycle in anaplerosis. This is a metabolic process whereby nutrients, in particular glutamine, can be directed to the TCA cycle to provide carbons for metabolites that flow out of the mitochondria (e.g., citrate) and subsequently flow into the acetyl-CoA pool for macromolecular synthesis (e.g., fatty acids and cholesterol). Perhaps not surprisingly, controlling the influx of amino acids, in particular the branched chain amino acids and glutamine, has emerged as a potential pharmacologic target in controlling aberrant lymphocyte proliferation (14).

Lipid Metabolism

Lipids are a chemically diverse class of molecules that play essential roles in biophysical and biochemical cellular processes through their ability to regulate membrane properties, bioenergetics, and signaling (21). For the purposes of simplicity and clarity, we will not

discuss lipids that intrinsically act as signaling molecules (e.g., eicosanoids, diacyl-glycerol, oxysterols) and restrict our discussion to the roles that lipids can play in maintaining proper membrane architecture and energetics. These include lipids containing one or more fatty acids glycerophospholipids (e.g., phospholipids), sphingolipids such as (e.g., sphingomyelin), glycerolipids (e.g., triglycerides), as well as free and esterified sterols (in particular cholesterol). Depending on the cell type, upwards of 40% of the biomass of a cell is composed of these lipids. During clonal expansion, lymphocytes must efficiently double their lipid content over the course of each cell cycle in order to ensure that the lipidome is broadly maintained with every division. To meet this anabolic demand, lymphocytes rely on a combination of lipid import from the serum or lymph and *de novo* synthesis. Genetic and pharmacologic studies indicate that flux through the *de novo* biosynthetic pathway of cholesterol and fatty acids is required for proper lymphocyte growth in response to activation (22, 23). Conversely, import pathways appear to have redundancy or can be dispensable to meet the biosynthetic demands of lymphocytes (24). Finally, lipids, especially long chain fatty acids, can be utilized to generate energy through the process of β -oxidation (21). In general, anabolic lipid metabolism (i.e. movement of carbons through the de novo fatty acid biosynthetic machinery) antagonizes flux into the catabolic pathways (i.e. β-oxidation). However, there appear to be examples in lymphocyte biology, in particular memory T cells, where flux through the anabolic fatty acid machinery may be required to maintain β -oxidation and cellular energetics (25).

Lymphocyte Metabolism Rapidly Shifts With Activation State and Functional Capacity

Naïve T cells migrate though the lymphatic system, sampling antigens presented by dendritic cells, and in the naïve state, their metabolic requirements are largely met by oxidation of glucose and fatty acids. Lymphocytes require extrinsic signals to maintain their metabolism and survival through IL-7 and T cell receptor signals, resulting in the

maintenance of cell surface glucose transporter 1 (GLUT1), which in turn allows cells to maintain mitochondrial potential and ATP homeostasis(26, 27). Without these extrinsic signals, there is a decrease in GLUT1 expression, glucose uptake and ATP synthesis, leading to cell death, even in the presence of sufficient glucose and oxygen (28).

Naïve lymphocytes undergo rapid clonal proliferation and differentiation in response to activation signals. To meet the replicative demand of this event, activated lymphocytes rapidly reprogram their metabolism to facilitate nutrient uptake and increase synthesis of nucleic acids, proteins, and lipids. This is best demonstrated by the upregulation of glucose transporters and enzymes of the glycolysis pathway resulting in heightened glycolytic flux in response to TCR signaling and CD28 co-stimulatory signals (27). Likewise, activation of B lymphocytes through the antigen receptor, TLR4, or CD40 signaling axis can drive a similar induction in glycolysis. The heightened utilization of glycolysis occurs irrespective of ambient oxygen tension and has been likened to the Warburg effect commonly observed in tumor cells (29). In both B and T cells, the AKT and mTOR signaling proteins are essential for the early induction of glycolysis and for sustaining flux through the glycolytic pathway. Perhaps not surprisingly, one of the key pharmacologic effects of small molecules that inhibit the mTOR signaling pathway for the purpose of immunosuppression is significant reduction in glucose uptake and flux into anabolic pathways. Inhibition of T cell activation through PD-1 or CTLA-4 also prevents the increase of glycolytic metabolism, demonstrating an intrinsic relationship between inhibitory signals and metabolic programming (30, 31)

Concomitantly, newly activated lymphocytes also upregulate mitochondrial metabolism. This is mediated in part by intrinsically increasing mitochondrial metabolic activity (i.e. heightened flux through TCA cycle and heightened electron transport chain activity) and by significantly increasing mitochondrial mass during clonal expansion. Mechanistic studies indicate that the increase in mitochondrial function and mass are regulated through the Estrogen Related Receptor alpha (ERR α) signaling axis. ERR α promotes the TCA cycle and mitochondrial

electron transport (32) and is upregulated in T cell activation (33). Isotopic tracer studies indicate that both glucose and glutamine are the dominant metabolic contributors to mitochondrial anaplerosis of activated T cells. Accordingly, attenuation of the flux of these metabolites into the TCA cycle significantly inhibits mitochondrial metabolism and T cell function.

The reason for the simultaneous increase in OXPHOS and glycolytic metabolism in effector lymphocytes upon activation remains an important question in the field of immunometabolism. Perhaps the most parsimonious explanation is that having both of these pathways "ramped up" is required to meet the energetic, redox, and anabolic demands of blastogenesis and the subsequent rapid cell cycle progression of lymphocytes. Additionally, having both OXPHOS and glycolysis pathways engaged likely provides significant metabolic plasticity for T cells. Naïve T cells usually encounter their cognate ligands for the first time in locations remote from the infection (e.g., LN or spleen) and must be prepared to function in an unknowable metabolic environment. Indeed, this metabolic plasticity appears to be critical for effector T cells to function (34). Other studies suggest that flux through the glycolysis pathway is necessary for controlling cytokine production in effector T cells, (15, 18) whereas mitochondrial function plays an important role in controlling the ability of T cells, and likely B cells, to enter into the effector or memory pools.

Overlapping but distinct metabolic programs are associated with functional subtypes

The model of metabolic reprogramming delineated above is largely germane to newly activated naïve T and B lymphocytes undergoing initial blastogenesis and clonal expansion. Overlaid upon clonal expansion are robust differentiative programs that drive the acquisition of unique effector functions. CD8 T cells acquire cytotoxic function or enter the long-lived memory pool. Analogously, B cells go through affinity maturation and class switching as part

of a plasmablast to plasma cell differentiative program. CD4 T helper cells are capable of adopting a broad array of T effector programs.

Analysis of the metabolic programs employed by these different functional fates indicates that many of the cells have overlapping but distinct metabolic elements (Figure 1). For example, Th1 and Th2 cells are highly glycolytic and glutaminolytic to support energetic and anabolic programs (17, 35). In contrast, examination of naturally occurring and inducible differentiated FOXP3+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) reveal a dependence on fatty acid import and β-oxidation to provide requisite carbons, energetics, and reducing equivalents for expansion and regulatory function (36). Th17 cells appear to be far more plastic in their preferred metabolic state, relying on elements of glycolysis, OXPHOS, and β-oxidation to maintain their effector functions. The balance of Th17 and Tregs is determined by HIF1 α , which enhances Th17 development through RORyt and p300 while attenuating Treg development by targeting FOXP3 for proteasomal degradation (37). Tightly regulated by oxygen levels, HIF1 α upregulates glycolytic genes and promotes anaerobic metabolism (38). It is also highly expressed in Th17 cells but not the other CD4 subsets. Inhibition of HIF1 α , which regulates the expression of a number of glycolytic genes to facilitate the transition to glycolysis, results in inhibition of glycolysis and thus impairment of Th17 differentiation (39).

CD8 T cell differentiation is less complex than its CD4 T cell counterparts, with functional outcomes largely restricted to becoming cytotoxic lymphocytes (CTL) or memory CD8 T cells. Nevertheless, interrogating the metabolism of these two functional fates has revealed that CTLs and memory T cells adopt distinct metabolic programs (Figure 2). mTORC1 activation acts through HIF1 α , and independently of PI3K and AKT, to link metabolism with CD8 T cell differentiation (40). Effector CTLs become highly glycolytic and correspondingly anabolic. Effector CD8 T cells that are unable to synthesize lipids are defective in clonal expansion (22). In contrast, memory CD8 T cells are reliant on FAO. Importantly,

pharmacologic and genetic studies indicate that restricting a CD8 T cell's ability to adopt a specific metabolic program can be used to preferentially enrich other functional fates, for example effector versus memory (16, 41).

Potential for Targeting Metabolism for Immunomodulation and Therapy in Transplantation

The observation that metabolic reprogramming is an integral component of T cell activation, differentiation, and effector function leads to the hypothesis that modulating these metabolic pathways can be targeted to achieve therapeutic goals. A number of studies have focused on this approach, ranging from glucose and amino acid uptake to OXPHOS and flux through lipid biosynthesis and utilization pathways. There is evidence that a certain level of specificity can be achieved using this approach. For example, specific deletion of the glucose transporter GLUT1 blocks the growth, proliferation, and activity of effector CD4 T cells, without affecting regulatory T cells (42). Similar results have been seen with 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG, a non-metabolizable glucose analogue) and dichloroacetate (DCA, a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase inhibitor) (39, 43).

One transplant disease model that has been successfully used to demonstrate the efficacy of manipulating T cell bioenergetics for therapeutic purposes has been graft versus host disease (GVHD). In one study, targeting mitochondrial ATP synthase with Bz-423 was able to inhibit mitochondrial ATP production and induced apoptosis in alloreactive T cells without affecting hematopoietic engraftment or lymphocyte reconstitution in a bone marrow transplant model (13). Similarly, the anti-diabetic drug metformin has been used to target alloreactive T cells in GVHD (44). Metformin is best understood to modulate mitochondria function and cellular energy homeostasis, resulting in the activation of adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), although the complete mechanism of

action for metformin remains incompletely understood. Interestingly, the administration of metformin was found to expand the population of FOXP3+ Treg cells and inhibit Th17 cell differentiation, further supporting the notion that targeting specific metabolic nodes could be an effective method to skew the balance of T helper cell subsets (Figure 3).

Analogously, inhibition of mitochondrial β -oxidation by etomoxir, an inhibitor of carnitine palmitoyl transferase (CPT)-1a, greatly decreased alloreactive T cells in a GVHD model without affecting other T cell populations (25, 45). Interestingly, the modulatory effect of etomoxir was not seen in effector T cells in acute rejection. These data support the concept that β -oxidation is not a metabolic pathway used in newly activated effector cells. In contrast, chronically activated cells appear to be reliant on β -oxidation. As such, targeting mitochondrial β -oxidation may be a way to selectively modulate pathogenic T cells in chronic inflammatory diseases while sparing acute effector function. Combinatorial targeting of these metabolic pathways has also been shown to achieve durable immunosuppression. Using a regimen consisting of inhibitors of glycolysis (2-DG) and glutamine metabolism (6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine, DON) and an activator of AMPK (metformin –detailed below) was able to prevent or delay graft rejection in a skin and heart allograft transplantation model (14).

Modulation of autoimmune disease through metabolic manipulation is also being explored as a novel therapeutic approach. In mouse models of lupus, combined inhibition of glycolysis and mitochondrial metabolism using 2-DG and metformin were shown to reverse the disease (46), while blockade of either pathway alone prevented the autoimmune CD4+ T cell activation (47). AICAR (5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside) has also been shown to decrease levels of Th1 and Th17 type cytokines in mouse models of multiple sclerosis and inflammatory bowel (48, 49). AICAR is an AMP analogue that activates AMPK activity, and consequently reprograms glucose utilization and anabolic metabolism through downstream targets (50), though AMPK-independent pathways have been implicated (51). Finally, pharmacologic inhibition of acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) activity using soraphen A,

has also been used to modify the Treg to Th17 cell balance in autoimmune conditions (52). ACC is the rate limiting enzyme in *de novo* fatty acid synthesis pathway, and presumably controls the TH17/Treg fate by inhibiting the flux of carbons into the fatty acid synthesis and elongation pathways. Cumulatively, these results reinforce the notion that activity of these metabolic pathways are essential for controlling the balance of these two intertwined T helper subsets.

Future Directions

New insights into how their metabolic programs support immune cell fate and function are beginning to highlight novel ways of exploiting metabolism to achieve therapeutic goals. The fact that metabolic programs play such important roles in supporting specific innate and adaptive immune responses suggests that metabolic manipulation could serve as an effective therapeutic approach. One significant concern regarding metabolic manipulators, however, is the possibility of "on-target" effects in bystander tissues. Because the metabolic pathways described above are not unique to lymphocytes, the therapeutic window for small molecule inhibitors of metabolic pathways could have deleterious effects. This would be particularly true in the context of combination therapy targeting multiple metabolic pathways at one time. Despite this concern, it appears that these drugs alone, or in combination, are well tolerated in pre-clinical disease models (14, 46, 47), holding the promise that targeting these metabolic pathways may be effective in human diseases. Thus, we conclude that immunomodulation by targeting metabolic programs of immune cells shows great promise as a new treatment strategy and remains an exciting field for future research.

Disclosure

The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to disclose as described by the American Journal of Transplantation.

Figure 1. Overlapping but distinct metabolic programs are associated with functional subtypes of CD4 T helper cells. Naïve CD4 T cells (Tn) are long lived and metabolically quiescent. They are small and reliant on catabolic metabolism to meet their energy and macromolecular requirements. Upon activation, CD4 T cells upregulate glycolysis and OXPHOS metabolic pathways. As CD4 T helper cells differentiate into functional subsets, they acquire metabolic programs that facilitate their specific functions. Th1 and Th2 cells upregulate both glycolytic flux and oxidation of glucose and glutamine (OXPHOS). Inducible Treg and thymically derived Tregs engage fatty acid oxidation (β -oxidation). Th17 cells are glycolytic but appear to maintain plasticity and can engage other metabolic programs to meet their energetic and macromolecular requirements.

Figure 2. Reprogramming of metabolism in response to lymphocyte activation. In response to TCR and CD28 costimulatory signals, CD8 T cells rapidly increase flux of glucose through the glycolysis pathway into lactate and mitochondria. Likewise, glutamine uptake is significantly increased to replenish carbons lost in the TCA cycle to macromolecule synthesis. Memory CD8 T cells acquire a metabolic program that is distinct from naïve T cells where they significantly increase their mitochondrial mass and are reliant on fatty acid **oxidation** to provide energy. Importantly, manipulation of the metabolic programs of effector and memory T cells appears to influence fate and function suggesting that targeting the metabolism of responding CD8 T cells may represent a viable approach to facilitating the development of protective immunity or controlling pathogenic processes.

Figure 3. Metabolic reprogramming of effector T cells to attenuate disease. Many immune diseases are driven by an imbalance between pathogenic CD4 effector T cells and CD4 regulatory T cells. Treatment of individuals with small molecules that manipulate the

metabolism of immune cells, such as the combinations of DON and 2-DG and/or metformin (or Bz-423), facilitates restoring the balance of effector and regulatory T cells and attenuates disease in pre-clinical models. These observations hold the promise that metabolic manipulation may serve as a therapeutic approach to control diseases mediated by aberrant T cell proliferation.

References

1. Mathis D, Shoelson SE. Immunometabolism: an emerging frontier. Nature reviews Immunology. 2011 Feb;11(2):81. PubMed PMID: 21469396.

2. Iyer A, Brown L, Whitehead JP, Prins JB, Fairlie DP. Nutrient and immune sensing are obligate pathways in metabolism, immunity, and disease. FASEB J. 2015 Sep;29(9):3612-25. PubMed PMID: 26065858.

 McPhee JB, Schertzer JD. Immunometabolism of obesity and diabetes: microbiota link compartmentalized immunity in the gut to metabolic tissue inflammation. Clin Sci (Lond).
 2015 Dec;129(12):1083-96. PubMed PMID: 26464517.

McNelis JC, Olefsky JM. Macrophages, immunity, and metabolic disease. Immunity.
 2014 Jul 17;41(1):36-48. PubMed PMID: 25035952.

5. Wahl DR, Byersdorfer CA, Ferrara JL, Opipari AW, Jr., Glick GD. Distinct metabolic programs in activated T cells: opportunities for selective immunomodulation. Immunological reviews. 2012 Sep;249(1):104-15. PubMed PMID: 22889218. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3422770.

 Pearce EL, Poffenberger MC, Chang CH, Jones RG. Fueling immunity: insights into metabolism and lymphocyte function. Science. 2013 Oct 11;342(6155):1242454. PubMed
 PMID: 24115444. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4486656.

7. McClanahan F, Gribben J. Functions of Tlymphocytes: T-Cell Receptors for Antigen.
In: Kaushansky K, Lichtman MA, Prchal JT, Levi MM, Press OW, Burns LJ, et al., editors.
Williams Hematology, 9e. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education; 2015.

MacIver NJ, Michalek RD, Rathmell JC. Metabolic regulation of T lymphocytes.
 Annual review of immunology. 2013;31:259-83. PubMed PMID: 23298210. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3606674.

 Fox CJ, Hammerman PS, Thompson CB. Fuel feeds function: energy metabolism and the T-cell response. Nature reviews Immunology. 2005 Nov;5(11):844-52. PubMed PMID: 16239903.

 Vander Heiden MG, Cantley LC, Thompson CB. Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. Science. 2009 May 22;324(5930):1029-33.
 PubMed PMID: 19460998. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2849637.

 Janson LW, Tischler ME. Carbohydrate Metabolism. In: Janson LW, Tischler ME, editors. The Big Picture: Medical Biochemistry. New York, NY: The McGraw-Hill Companies; 2012.

12. Cretenet G, Clerc I, Matias M, Loisel S, Craveiro M, Oburoglu L, et al. Cell surface Glut1 levels distinguish human CD4 and CD8 T lymphocyte subsets with distinct effector functions. Sci Rep. 2016;6:24129. PubMed PMID: 27067254. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4828702.

13. Gatza E, Wahl DR, Opipari AW, Sundberg TB, Reddy P, Liu C, et al. Manipulating the bioenergetics of alloreactive T cells causes their selective apoptosis and arrests graft-versus-host disease. Science translational medicine. 2011 Jan 26;3(67):67ra8. PubMed PMID: 21270339. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3364290.

14. Lee CF, Lo YC, Cheng CH, Furtmuller GJ, Oh B, Andrade-Oliveira V, et al. Preventing Allograft Rejection by Targeting Immune Metabolism. Cell Rep. 2015 Oct 27;13(4):760-70. PubMed PMID: 26489460. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4626381.

Chang CH, Curtis JD, Maggi LB, Jr., Faubert B, Villarino AV, O'Sullivan D, et al.
 Posttranscriptional control of T cell effector function by aerobic glycolysis. Cell. 2013 Jun
 6;153(6):1239-51. PubMed PMID: 23746840. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3804311.

16. van der Windt GJ, Everts B, Chang CH, Curtis JD, Freitas TC, Amiel E, et al.Mitochondrial respiratory capacity is a critical regulator of CD8+ T cell memory development.

Immunity. 2012 Jan 27;36(1):68-78. PubMed PMID: 22206904. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3269311.

Michalek RD, Gerriets VA, Jacobs SR, Macintyre AN, MacIver NJ, Mason EF, et al.
 Cutting edge: distinct glycolytic and lipid oxidative metabolic programs are essential for
 effector and regulatory CD4+ T cell subsets. J Immunol. 2011 Mar 15;186(6):3299-303.
 PubMed PMID: 21317389. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3198034.

Peng M, Yin N, Chhangawala S, Xu K, Leslie CS, Li MO. Aerobic glycolysis
 promotes T helper 1 cell differentiation through an epigenetic mechanism. Science. 2016 Oct 28;354(6311):481-4. PubMed PMID: 27708054.

Janson LW, Tischler ME. Enzymes and Amino Acid/Protein Metabolism. In: Janson LW, Tischler ME, editors. The Big Picture: Medical Biochemistry. New York, NY: The McGraw-Hill Companies; 2012.

20. Jewell JL, Kim YC, Russell RC, Yu FX, Park HW, Plouffe SW, et al. Metabolism. Differential regulation of mTORC1 by leucine and glutamine. Science. 2015 Jan 9;347(6218):194-8. PubMed PMID: 25567907. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4384888.

21. Janson LW, Tischler ME. Lipid Metabolism. In: Janson LW, Tischler ME, editors. The Big Picture: Medical Biochemistry. New York, NY: The McGraw-Hill Companies; 2012.

22. Kidani Y, Elsaesser H, Hock MB, Vergnes L, Williams KJ, Argus JP, et al. Sterol regulatory element-binding proteins are essential for the metabolic programming of effector
T cells and adaptive immunity. Nature immunology. 2013 May;14(5):489-99. PubMed PMID: 23563690. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3652626.

Yang W, Bai Y, Xiong Y, Zhang J, Chen S, Zheng X, et al. Potentiating the
antitumour response of CD8(+) T cells by modulating cholesterol metabolism. Nature. 2016
Mar 31;531(7596):651-5. PubMed PMID: 26982734. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4851431.

24. Kidani Y, Bensinger SJ. Liver X receptor and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor as integrators of lipid homeostasis and immunity. Immunological reviews. 2012 Sep;249(1):72-83. PubMed PMID: 22889216. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4007066.

25. Chiaranunt P, Ferrara JL, Byersdorfer CA. Rethinking the paradigm: How
comparative studies on fatty acid oxidation inform our understanding of T cell metabolism.
Mol Immunol. 2015 Dec;68(2 Pt C):564-74. PubMed PMID: 26359186.

26. Rathmell JC, Vander Heiden MG, Harris MH, Frauwirth KA, Thompson CB. In the absence of extrinsic signals, nutrient utilization by lymphocytes is insufficient to maintain either cell size or viability. Molecular cell. 2000 Sep;6(3):683-92. PubMed PMID: 11030347.

27. Wofford JA, Wieman HL, Jacobs SR, Zhao Y, Rathmell JC. IL-7 promotes Glut1 trafficking and glucose uptake via STAT5-mediated activation of Akt to support T-cell survival. Blood. 2008 Feb 15;111(4):2101-11. PubMed PMID: 18042802. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2234050.

28. Jacobs SR, Michalek RD, Rathmell JC. IL-7 is essential for homeostatic control of T cell metabolism in vivo. J Immunol. 2010 Apr 1;184(7):3461-9. PubMed PMID: 20194717. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2980949.

29. Frauwirth KA, Riley JL, Harris MH, Parry RV, Rathmell JC, Plas DR, et al. The CD28 signaling pathway regulates glucose metabolism. Immunity. 2002 Jun;16(6):769-77. PubMed PMID: 12121659.

30. Parry RV, Chemnitz JM, Frauwirth KA, Lanfranco AR, Braunstein I, Kobayashi SV, et al. CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors inhibit T-cell activation by distinct mechanisms. Molecular and cellular biology. 2005 Nov;25(21):9543-53. PubMed PMID: 16227604. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1265804.

31. Patsoukis N, Bardhan K, Chatterjee P, Sari D, Liu B, Bell LN, et al. PD-1 alters T-cell metabolic reprogramming by inhibiting glycolysis and promoting lipolysis and fatty acid oxidation. Nat Commun. 2015 Mar 26;6:6692. PubMed PMID: 25809635. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4389235.

32. Charest-Marcotte A, Dufour CR, Wilson BJ, Tremblay AM, Eichner LJ, Arlow DH, et al. The homeobox protein Prox1 is a negative modulator of ERR{alpha}/PGC-1{alpha} bioenergetic functions. Genes & development. 2010 Mar 15;24(6):537-42. PubMed PMID: 20194433. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2841331.

33. Michalek RD, Gerriets VA, Nichols AG, Inoue M, Kazmin D, Chang CY, et al. Estrogen-related receptor-alpha is a metabolic regulator of effector T-cell activation and differentiation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2011 Nov 8;108(45):18348-53. PubMed PMID: 22042850. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3215012.

34. Blagih J, Coulombe F, Vincent EE, Dupuy F, Galicia-Vazquez G, Yurchenko E, et al. The energy sensor AMPK regulates T cell metabolic adaptation and effector responses in vivo. Immunity. 2015 Jan 20;42(1):41-54. PubMed PMID: 25607458.

35. Wang R, Dillon CP, Shi LZ, Milasta S, Carter R, Finkelstein D, et al. The transcription factor Myc controls metabolic reprogramming upon T lymphocyte activation. Immunity. 2011 Dec 23;35(6):871-82. PubMed PMID: 22195744. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3248798.

36. Byersdorfer CA. The role of Fatty Acid oxidation in the metabolic reprograming of activated t-cells. Frontiers in immunology. 2014;5:641. PubMed PMID: 25566254. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4270246.

37. Dang EV, Barbi J, Yang HY, Jinasena D, Yu H, Zheng Y, et al. Control of
T(H)17/T(reg) balance by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. Cell. 2011 Sep 2;146(5):772-84.
PubMed PMID: 21871655. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3387678.

38. Greer SN, Metcalf JL, Wang Y, Ohh M. The updated biology of hypoxia-inducible factor. The EMBO journal. 2012 May 30;31(11):2448-60. PubMed PMID: 22562152.
Pubmed Central PMCID: 3365421.

39. Shi LZ, Wang R, Huang G, Vogel P, Neale G, Green DR, et al. HIF1alpha-dependent glycolytic pathway orchestrates a metabolic checkpoint for the differentiation of TH17 and Treg cells. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2011 Jul 4;208(7):1367-76. PubMed PMID: 21708926. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3135370.

40. Finlay DK, Rosenzweig E, Sinclair LV, Feijoo-Carnero C, Hukelmann JL, Rolf J, et al.
PDK1 regulation of mTOR and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 integrate metabolism and
migration of CD8+ T cells. The Journal of experimental medicine. 2012 Dec
17;209(13):2441-53. PubMed PMID: 23183047. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC3526360.

41. Pearce EL, Walsh MC, Cejas PJ, Harms GM, Shen H, Wang LS, et al. Enhancing
CD8 T-cell memory by modulating fatty acid metabolism. Nature. 2009 Jul 2;460(7251):1037. PubMed PMID: 19494812. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2803086.

42. Macintyre AN, Gerriets VA, Nichols AG, Michalek RD, Rudolph MC, Deoliveira D, et al. The glucose transporter Glut1 is selectively essential for CD4 T cell activation and effector function. Cell metabolism. 2014 Jul 1;20(1):61-72. PubMed PMID: 24930970. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4079750.

43. Gerriets VA, Kishton RJ, Nichols AG, Macintyre AN, Inoue M, Ilkayeva O, et al. Metabolic programming and PDHK1 control CD4+ T cell subsets and inflammation. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2015 Jan;125(1):194-207. PubMed PMID: 25437876. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4382238.

44. Park MJ, Lee SY, Moon SJ, Son HJ, Lee SH, Kim EK, et al. Metformin attenuates graft-versus-host disease via restricting mammalian target of rapamycin/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 and promoting adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase-autophagy for the balance between T helper 17 and Tregs. Transl Res. 2016 Jul;173:115-30. PubMed PMID: 27126953.

45. Byersdorfer CA, Tkachev V, Opipari AW, Goodell S, Swanson J, Sandquist S, et al.
Effector T cells require fatty acid metabolism during murine graft-versus-host disease. Blood.
2013 Oct 31;122(18):3230-7. PubMed PMID: 24046012. Pubmed Central PMCID:
PMC3814737.

46. Yin Y, Choi SC, Xu Z, Perry DJ, Seay H, Croker BP, et al. Normalization of CD4+ T cell metabolism reverses lupus. Science translational medicine. 2015 Feb
11;7(274):274ra18. PubMed PMID: 25673763.

47. Yin Y, Choi SC, Xu Z, Zeumer L, Kanda N, Croker BP, et al. Glucose Oxidation Is Critical for CD4+ T Cell Activation in a Mouse Model of Systemic Lupus Erythematosus. J Immunol. 2016 Jan 1;196(1):80-90. PubMed PMID: 26608911. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC4684991. 48. Nath N, Giri S, Prasad R, Salem ML, Singh AK, Singh I. 5-aminoimidazole-4carboxamide ribonucleoside: a novel immunomodulator with therapeutic efficacy in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. J Immunol. 2005 Jul 1;175(1):566-74. PubMed PMID: 15972693.

49. Bai A, Yong M, Ma AG, Ma Y, Weiss CR, Guan Q, et al. Novel anti-inflammatory action of 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleoside with protective effect in dextran sulfate sodium-induced acute and chronic colitis. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2010 Jun;333(3):717-25. PubMed PMID: 20237071.

50. Hardie DG, Hawley SA, Scott JW. AMP-activated protein kinase--development of the energy sensor concept. J Physiol. 2006 Jul 1;574(Pt 1):7-15. PubMed PMID: 16644800. Pubmed Central PMCID: PMC1817788.

51. Vincent EE, Coelho PP, Blagih J, Griss T, Viollet B, Jones RG. Differential effects of AMPK agonists on cell growth and metabolism. Oncogene. 2015 Jul;34(28):3627-39. PubMed PMID: 25241895. Pubmed Central PMCID: 4980123.

52. Berod L, Friedrich C, Nandan A, Freitag J, Hagemann S, Harmrolfs K, et al. De novo fatty acid synthesis controls the fate between regulatory T and T helper 17 cells. Nature medicine. 2014 Nov;20(11):1327-33. PubMed PMID: 25282359.







Figure 3.

