## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

Title

PHASE SHIFTS FROM THE EEEHE-SALPETER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6cc8s9qq

Author Haymaker, Richard W.

Publication Date 1967-04-14

# University of California

# Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

### PHASE SHIFTS FROM THE BETHE-SALPETER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

## TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

## Submitted to Physical Review Letters

UCRL-17498 Preprint

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC-Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

### PHASE SHIFTS FROM THE BETHE-SALPETER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

Richard W. Haymaker

April 14, 1967

## PHASE SHIFTS FROM THE BETHE-SALPETER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION \*

#### Richard W. Haymaker

#### Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California

April 14, 1967

#### ABSTRACT

A new method of calculating phase shifts for a Bethe-Salpeter equation is presented. The scattering amplitude is calculated below elastic threshold using the differential equation and variational methods, and then continued to the elastic scattering region to find phase shifts. Recently Schlessinger and Schwartz presented a method of finding phase shifts in potential theory by solving the Schrödinger differential equation for the scattering amplitude for energies below threshold and continuing it to the scattering region.<sup>1</sup> In this paper we report a variation on their method involving an on-mass-shell continuation that has proven successful in solving a Bethe-Salpeter equation.<sup>2</sup> The on-shell amplitude satisfies a simple unitarity relation, and this can be used advantageously in performing the continuation. We calculate below threshold in order to avoid the problems of solving a singular integral equation for the phase shifts.<sup>3</sup>

The differential Bethe-Salpeter equation in the ladder approximation for spinless particles of equal mass, m, is of the form

$$\mathcal{O}\psi_{k}(x) = V(x)\psi_{k}(x), \qquad (1)$$

where  $k = (0, \underline{k})$ , and  $|\underline{k}|^2 = (\underline{E}^2/4) - \underline{m}^2$ . We are interested in this equation below elastic threshold  $(\underline{E}^2 < 4\underline{m}^2)$ , where the Wick rotation can be performed.<sup>4</sup> In the four-dimensional euclidean metric,  $\cancel{M}$  takes the form<sup>5</sup>

(2)

$$\mathcal{L} = \left( - \Box - \frac{E^2}{4} + m^2 \right)^2 - E^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \right)^2,$$

where

$$\Box = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{9^n}{9} \frac{9^n}{9}$$

For mass  $\mu$  exchange, the potential is

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4 \mu \lambda}{|\mathbf{x}|} K_{1}(\mu |\mathbf{x}|). \qquad (3)$$

The T matrix is defined as

$$\Gamma(k',k) = \int d^{4}x \ e^{-k' \cdot x} \ V(x) \ \psi_{k}(x). \qquad (4)$$

Let us define the scattered part of the wave function  $\chi_k(\mathbf{x})$  by

$$\Psi_{k}(x) = \emptyset_{k}(x) + X_{k}(x),$$
 (5)

where  $\emptyset_k(x)$  is the free wave term,  $e^{ik \cdot x}$ . The differential equation for  $\chi_k(x)$  is

$$\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x})} = \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}) .$$
 (6)

We can write a Kohn type variational principle<sup>6</sup> for the T matrix based on Eq. (6)

5

$$[T(k',k)] = \int d^{4}x \ \chi_{k}^{*}(x) \ \{\mathcal{N} - V(x)\} \ \chi_{k}(x) + \int d^{4}x \ \chi_{k}^{*}(x) \ V(x) \ \phi_{k}(x) + \int d^{4}x \ \phi_{k}^{*}(x) \ V(x) \ \phi_{k}(x) + \int d^{4}x \ \phi_{k}^{*}(x) \ V(x) \ \phi_{k}(x) \ .$$
(7)

This variational principle can be applied when the integrals are well defined. For an energy above threshold, the asymptotic behavior of the wave function  $\chi_k(x)$  for large  $x_{l_4}$  is a growing exponential,<sup>7</sup> and thus the derivative term in Eq. (7) is not well defined. However, below threshold, the wave function is exponentially damped,<sup>7</sup> and there exists an energy region where all the integrals are convergent. In practice, the application of this variational principle is considerably simpler than the Schwinger variational principle based on the integral equation used by Schwartz and Zemach.<sup>5</sup> Our method amounts to solving the bound-state equations using the method of Schwartz<sup>8</sup> but with an inhomogeneous term.

If we do a partial-wave analysis of these equations, we can calculate  $T_{\ell}(E^2)$  in the region  $4(m^2 - \mu^2) < E^2 < 4m^2$ , i.e., between threshold and the second Born contribution to the left-hand cut. The integrals diverge below this point, because  $V(x) \not = \phi_k(x)$  grows exponentially there.

The analytic continuation is performed using the K matrix defined by

UCRL-17498

$$X_{\ell}(E^2) = \frac{T_{\ell}(E^2)}{2 + 2i\rho T_{\ell}(E^2)},$$
 (8)

here  

$$T_{\ell}(E^{2}) = \frac{e^{i\delta_{\ell}} \sin\delta_{\ell}}{\rho}, \quad \rho = \frac{\left(\frac{E^{2}}{\underline{L}} - \underline{m}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{8\pi E}, \quad (9)$$

The analytically continued unitarity equation implies that  $K_{\ell}(E^2)$  is analytic in  $E^2$  at threshold, and thus by employing the K matrix, we have removed the threshold branch point.<sup>9</sup> Figure 1 shows the cut structure of  $K_{\ell}(E^2)$  in the  $E^2$  plane in the region of interest.

Before doing the continuation to the scattering region, we first remove the cut contribution  $K_{cut} (E^2)$  between  $4m^2 - 4\mu^2$  and  $4m^2 - \mu^2$ , thus enlarging the region of analyticity. The continuation is done using a Pade form as in Ref. 1:

$$K_{\ell}(E^{2})-K_{cut}(E^{2}) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i}(E^{2})^{i}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(E^{2})^{i}}.$$
 (10)

We extrapolate with these functions and then add  $K_{cut} (E^2)$  back in.

For a strong attractive potential with a deeply bound

state, i.e.,  $\lambda = 3$ ,  $\mu = m$ , the S-wave phase shift was obtained to at least 2% in the entire elastic-scattering region. Close to elastic threshold and for weaker potentials, the accuracy was considerably better. The input numbers for the extrapolation were good to four or five places. Table I gives a sample of the stability of the extrapolation.

-5-

In conclusion, we find that because of the high accuracy of the variational method below threshold, it is possible to get phase shifts in the elastic region by extrapolation using the simpler differential-equation methods and modest computer time. Roughly two significant figures are lost in the extrapolation.

I wish to thank Professor Charles Schwartz for suggesting this problem and for many enlightening discussions. Also I would like to thank Leonard Schlessinger for helpful discussions on the numerical continuation.

#### FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

-6-

Work done under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

- 1. L. Schlessinger and C. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 1173 (1966).
- 2. E. E. Salpeter and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. <u>84</u>, 1232 (1951).
- 3. P. R. Graves-Morris, Phys. Rev. Letters 16, 201 (1966);

M. Levine, J. Tjon, and J. Wright, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>16</u>, 962 (1966); A Pagnamenta and J. G. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>17</u>, 218 (1966).

- 4. G. C. Wick, Phys. Rev. <u>96</u>, 1124 (1954).
- 5. C. Schwartz and C. Zemach <u>141</u>, 1454 (1966). We use the notation and conventions from this article.
- 6. See Sec. IV-C of Ref. 5 for a discussion and further references.
- 7. See Sec. II-D of Ref. 5.
- 8. C. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. <u>137</u>, B717, (1965).
- 9. See for example R. J. Eden, P. V. Landshoff, D. I. Olive, and

J. C. Polkinghorn, <u>The Analytic S Matrix</u>, (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1966), p. 231. Table I. A sample of the convergence: of the extrapolation for two attractive potentials upon increasing the order of fitting. The S.Z. values were taken from the Schwartz and Zemach calculation described in Ref. 5 (private communication). Cancellations in the fitting generally limit the meaningful size of fitting functions to n = 5 or 6 for the accuracy of our input numbers for the extrapolation.

n	$(\delta_0/\pi) - l^a$	$\delta_0/\pi^b$
2	- 0.2420	0.2703
3	- 0.3147	0.2674
· 4	- 0.3277	0.2672
5	- 0.3048	0.2666
6	- 0.3083	0.2670
7	- 0.3093	0.2663
8	- 0.3119	0.2731
S.Z. values	- 0.3097	0.2684
a. $E^2 = 5.6$ . $\lambda = 3$	u = m = 1.	
b. $E^2 = 5.2, \lambda = 0$	$.7, \mu = m = 1.$	

UCRL-17498

ç.

## -8-

## FIGURE CAPTION

Fig. 1. Cut structure of  $K_{\ell}$  (E<sup>2</sup>) showing the first inelastic threshold and the first two contributions to the left-

hand cut.



This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

