UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

The potential and prospects of proximal remote sensing of arthropod pests.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6cn6r1m7>

Journal

Pest management science, 72(4)

ISSN

1526-498X

Author

Nansen, Christian

Publication Date

2016-04-01

DOI

10.1002/ps.4209

Peer reviewed

The potential and prospects of proximal remote sensing of arthropod pests

Christian Nansen*

Abstract

BACKGROUND: Bench-top or proximal remote sensing applications are widely used as part of quality control and machine vision systems in commercial operations. In addition, these technologies are becoming increasingly important in insect systematics and studies of insect physiology and pest management.

RESULTS: This paper provides a review and discussion of how proximal remote sensing may contribute valuable quantitative information regarding identification of species, assessment of insect responses to insecticides, insect host responses to parasitoids and performance of biological control agents.

CONCLUSION: The future role of proximal remote sensing is discussed as an exciting path for novel paths of multidisciplinary research among entomologists and scientists from a wide range of other disciplines, including image processing engineers, medical engineers, research pharmacists and computer scientists.

© 2015 Society of Chemical Industry

Keywords: insects; pest management; reflectance profiling; remote sensing

1 INTRODUCTION

The development of the microscope is undoubtedly one of the most significant advancements in terms of technology to perform research, and it is directly linked to at least four Nobel Prizes.¹ The development of microscopes enabled scientists, like Anton van Leeuwenhoek in the late seventeenth century, to examine and describe insects, protozoans, blood cells and many other microscopic animals and objects.² These descriptions led to identification of completely new research questions and to novel hypotheses about cause–effect relationships across all aspects of natural sciences. Furthermore, the initial development of microscopes and the descriptions produced by natural scientists like Leeuwenhoek were unquestionably a great source of inspiration for a wide range of scientists to consider ‘microscopy’ as a research tool for them to use. Microscopy is essentially a type of ‘proximal remote sensing’, if remote sensing is defined as ‘the measurement or acquisition of information of some property of an object or phenomenon by a recording device that is not in physical or intimate contact with the object or phenomenon under study’³. Proximal remote sensing is here defined as acquisition and classification of reflectance or transmittance data with an imaging sensor mounted within a short distance (under 1 m and typically much less) from the target object, such as an insect body. I am in no way arguing that recent developments in proximal remote sensing come even close to matching the importance of the groundbreaking innovations and discoveries by Leeuwenhoek and other microscopists after him. However, there are important similarities in the way the emergence of research technologies (such as the microscope, gas chromatography, qPCR machines, pyrosequencing equipment and advanced proximal remote sensing technologies), reshape the scientific agenda and priorities by enabling us to refine basic research questions and establish

new research hypotheses and paradigms. In addition, it is very important to highlight how such technological advances create novel opportunities for multidisciplinary research and teaching.

There are a number of important reasons why proximal remote sensing continues to gain recognition and acceptance as a research tool. Firstly, reflectance or transmittance data are normally acquired non-destructively and within a few seconds, which means that no or negligible preparation of target objects is required. Secondly, the data are digital and therefore quantitative and easy to share via electronic media. The quantitative nature of reflectance or transmittance data means that comparisons normally based on subjective nominal scales (i.e. low, medium, high) can be replaced by much more rigorous thresholds. After purchase of the initial imaging equipment, reflectance or transmittance data can be acquired at fairly low cost, as most proximal remote sensing systems only require small amounts of maintenance, and operating costs are generally low. In addition, the digital nature of reflectance or transmittance data means that they can be acquired in large quantities without major concerns about storage. Although molecular and physiological analyses continue to become less expensive and more powerful, they may still be cost prohibitive at a large scale and require substantial preparation, which is associated with a certain risk of sample contamination. Thirdly, there is the potential of developing processing and classification algorithms to deliver classification results almost

* Correspondence to: Christian Nansen, Room 367, UC Davis Briggs Hall, Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
E-mail: chrnansen@ucdavis.edu

Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

real time. This may be less important in research applications of proximal remote sensing, but it is probably even more important than sensitivity and accuracy in large commercial operations, such as elimination of objects that are not almonds on a rolling conveyor belt (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6Nv2itCkxQ4>). The example with almonds on a rolling conveyor belt was included to illustrate a much broader point about the prospects of automated quality control, as the almonds could be almost any type of product and the non-desirable objects being removed from the rolling conveyor belt could be considered 'pests' (individual insects) or food items with pest-induced defects.

Physical conditions affecting the quality of acquired reflectance data include ambient temperature, lighting, projection angle and distance between lens and target object. If these physical conditions can be maintained constant, the fundamental assumption during use of proximal remote sensing technologies is that the radiometric signal (reflectance or transmittance) acquired from objects, such as insect specimens, is determined by their internal temperature, chemical composition and physical structure. Typically, proximal remote sensing data are acquired with high spectral resolution (in hundreds or thousands of narrow spectral bands) and also with high spatial resolution, so that hundreds or thousands of pixels are acquired from a single object (such as an insect body). High spatial resolution of the reflectance or transmittance data being acquired is a very important distinction, because it means that careful radiometric filtering can be deployed as a data processing step to eliminate large proportions of the data and only select a subset with high homogeneity/uniformity data from each object. The main advantage of radiometric filtering is that enhanced data homogeneity increases the likelihood of demonstrating significant between-class separation.

The spectral range of radiometric data being acquired is referred to as 'visible light' when it falls within the visible portion of the radiometric spectrum (between 380 and 700 nm). The visible portion of the radiometric spectrum is divided into six basic light regions: violet (380–430 nm), blue (430–500 nm), green (500–560), yellow (560–600 nm), orange (600–650 nm) and red (650–700 nm). UV light has shorter wavelengths (ultraviolet, 100–380 nm) and the near- and mid-infrared spectra (700–3500 nm) and thermal infrared spectrum (3500–20 000 nm) have longer wavelengths than the visible portion of the radiometric spectrum. Reflectance and transmittance data from all of these portions of the radiometric spectrum may be investigated as part of proximal remote sensing applications, and their performance will be determined by the sensitivity of the sensor and the qualities of the light source. Most of the applications described in this review are based on reflectance data acquired within 400–1000 nm.

It is very important to highlight that spectrometers and imaging sensors have been used extensively for several decades in studies of plant responses to growing conditions and stressors.^{4–7} There is also a large body of research studies into the effects of pathogens and herbivorous arthropods on plant health, as described by analyses of plant reflectance profiles.^{6,8,9} Similarly, there is a large body of pharmaceutical research into qualitative traits of different types of medical product and their corresponding reflectance profiles.^{10–12} Another research discipline with widespread acknowledgement of proximal remote sensing technologies is the food industry as part of studies of food quality and food safety, including (1) quality analysis of meat products,^{13–17} (2) detection of mycotoxin-producing strains of *Apergillus flavus* in maize kernels¹⁸ and (3) quality, defects and bruises of fruits and vegetables.^{19–22} There are also examples of how proximal remote

sensing has been used to detect damage and internal infestation by insects of food products, including field peas (*Phaseolus* spp.),^{23,24} wheat kernels (*Triticum aestivum*),^{25,26} soy beans (*Glycine max*)²⁷ and jujubes (*Ziziphus jujuba*).^{28,29} These are just a few of the research disciplines in which proximal remote sensing technologies are rapidly becoming mainstream, and they underscore how this technology can be used to detect subtle differences among classes of objects. It also highlights the importance of entomologists with interests in the use of proximal remote sensing to collaborate broadly with colleagues from other disciplines.

Similarly to data mining of molecular data with complicated up- and downregulations, the research challenge associated with analyses of proximal remote sensing data is to identify combinations of specific wavelengths with a consistent response to whatever treatment is being investigated; that is, to identify in which combination of spectral bands there a significant difference between/among (1) two or more species, (2) age classes within a species, (3) males and females, (4) mated and unmated individuals, (5) host individuals with/without parasitism and (6) individuals with/without exposure to a pesticide. These are the types of classification challenge being addressed when proximal remote sensing is applied to studies of insect pests and their management. There are many approaches to analyses of transmittance and reflectance data, including single spectral bands,^{30,31} spectral band indices,^{32–37} partial least squares,^{38–40} principal component analysis,^{34,41} linear discriminant analysis,⁴² decision trees,⁴³ neural networks,⁴⁴ support vector machines,⁸ variogram analysis^{23,30,34,35,45–47} and spatial pattern analysis.^{48–50} Furthermore, factors such as spatial resolution, spectral resolution, spectral repeatability and penetration depth of reflectance data markedly influence the quality of reflectance and transmittance data and therefore the ability to develop robust and reliable classification algorithms.⁵¹ However, data classification was considered to be beyond the scope of this review, and it was therefore not considered further. Instead, the following provides a review of the current literature on applications of proximal remote sensing in systematics and in studies of physiology and management of insect pests.

2 INSECT SYSTEMATICS AND PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

Biosecurity risks associated with invasive pest species is a growing concern in many parts of the world.⁵² Furthermore, risks of invasive insect species are increased by factors such as tourism, international trade and climate change.^{53,54} Effective responses to protect against invasive pest species include quarantine and inspection policies and procedures that are expensive and time consuming and require technicians with specific training in species identification. Proximal remote sensing can potentially reduce inspection costs and processing time and partially automate some aspects of inspection for invasive insect pest species. Using reflectance data acquired with an RGB camera in three spectral bands (Red Green and Blue portions of the visible light spectrum) of wings and aculeus, three closely related species of fruit flies (*Anastrepha fraterculus*, *A. obliqua* and *A. sororcula* Zucchi) were classified with about 98% accuracy.⁴³ Although systems based on RGB cameras may not be accurate and applicable for identification of all insect pest species, the concept is intriguing and could potentially be developed further by adding imaging sensors for other portions of the radiometric spectrum and/or imaging sensors with higher spectral resolution.

Based on analyses of hyperspectral reflectance profiles, proximal remote sensing has been used successfully to classify a wide range of insects, including different species of stored-grain insects,²⁵ two species of fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*),⁴⁰ tobacco budworm (*Heliothis virescens*) and corn earworm (*Helicoverpa zea*),⁵⁵ and Klarica *et al.*³⁸ used imaging spectroscopy to discriminate cryptic species of ants (*Tetramorium caespitum* and *T. impurum*). One of the key potentials of camera-based systems is that they could easily be installed at inspection points, and they may even be connected via the internet to remote supercomputers, which process and classify the reflectance data being acquired at the inspection point. Thus, algorithms installed in a central supercomputer communicating with a large number of camera-based systems could be 'learning' and progressively improving classification capabilities through continuous input and validation (based on molecular analyses and conventional morphology-based species identification) of field samples. The same system could also be used to share digitized models of insects,⁵⁶ and thereby reduce the need for shipment of specimens among taxonomists, and to increase the availability of insect reference collections.

Development of imaging-based identification of potential insect pests under quarantine may even be remotely controlled with cameras mounted on robots to inspect imported goods and equipment and a central computer analyzing the data and guiding quarantine officers to particular goods and or pieces of equipment that need further investigation. Based on the video of almonds on rolling conveyor belts, it is even possible to imagine a system in which mass trapping of potential quarantine insects is integrated into the process, and a robotic system is used to sort the insects into individual 'wells' which are subsequently identified on the basis of reflectance or transmittance data acquired by proximal remote sensing technologies. Thus, a robot could subsequently remove individual specimens of certain pest species or food items with perceived pest infestations (based on real-time and non-destructive image classification).

3 INSECT PHYSIOLOGY AND PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

Certain portions of the radiometric spectrum, such as X-rays, have shorter wavelengths than UV light and are associated with high energy levels, which enable these wavelengths to penetrate deep into organic tissues. Although X-ray imaging may not conform with the traditional perception of proximal remote sensing, this type of imaging fits the definition of proximal remote sensing used in this review. As an example of basic physiology studies involving advanced imaging, synchrotron small-angle X-ray imaging has been used in a wide range of studies of the physiology and biomechanics of insects.⁵⁷ Synchrotron X-ray imaging is considered to be particularly advantageous in studies of minute organisms, and when the objective is to study internal physiological and/or biomechanical responses to treatments. Using an imaging probe, synchrotron X-ray imaging can be used to obtain three-dimensional morphology data with micrometer-range spatial resolutions in fixed and living specimens. This imaging technology has been used to study the respiratory physiology and function of insects.^{58,59} In addition, synchrotron X-ray imaging data have been collected *in vivo* from fruit flies to study the changes in thick-filament structure and actin-myosin interactions during flight.^{60–62} In another example of advanced imaging, magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic

resonance spectroscopy were used to study cold adaptation in larvae of two gall-producing insects, *Epiblema scudderiana* and *Eurosta solidaginis*.⁶³ The authors developed three-dimensional larval anatomy models and visualized the distribution of liquid water and endogenous cryoprotectants in response to temperature treatments. Importantly, insects subjected to insertion of a synchrotron X-ray imaging probe into the body cavity are alive during imaging but will not survive much beyond the imaging event. Thus, this proximal remote sensing approach cannot be used for data collection from the same individuals at multiple time points during an extended time point.

Phenotypic responses by organisms to treatments and environmental conditions are often complex and challenging to quantify in a repeatable manner. It is important to highlight how many physiological responses by insects are associated with significant changes in the insects' composition of epicuticular hydrocarbons,⁶⁴ as they tend to vary (1) among closely related species,^{65,66} (2) in relative composition⁶⁷ or in actual composition⁶⁸ among males and females within a species, (3) among life stages and ages of adults,^{66,69–72} (4) among eusocial individuals with different tasks,^{73,74} (5) according to mating behavior and status^{68,69,75} (6) and in response to environmental conditions.^{68,69,76} Owing to the dynamics and complexity of epicuticular hydrocarbon profiles, it seems reasonable to assume that reflectance profiles acquired from insect surfaces may be used to study the basic physiology of insect pests and their responses to treatments and environmental conditions. Although direct correlations between epicuticular hydrocarbon profiles and proximal remote sensing data acquired from the insect body are often lacking, it seems likely that important associations exist and that such associations explain the successful use of proximal remote sensing technologies (1) to age-grade laboratory-reared mosquito species (*Anopheles* spp.)^{77,78} and biting midges (*Culicoides sonorensis*),⁷⁹ (2) to assess gender, age and presence/absence of *Wolbachia* infection in two species of fruit flies (*Drosophila melanogaster* and *D. simulans*)⁴⁰ and (3) to differentiate mated and unmated honeybee queens based on differences in reflectance profiles acquired from the bee abdomen.⁸⁰

4 PEST MANAGEMENT AND PROXIMAL REMOTE SENSING

There are several ways in which proximal remote sensing can be effectively integrated into both basic and applied pest management research. A recent study of adult beetles from two species [maize weevils (*Sitophilus zeamais*) and larger black flour beetles (*Cynaus angustus*)] described how temporal changes in body reflectance were detected in response to two killing agents (entomopathogenic nematodes and an insecticidal plant extract);⁸¹ that is, groups of treated and untreated insects were monitored (via acquisition of reflectance data) over time, and features in their body reflectance were identified, quantified and proposed as indicators of stress to killing agents. The detected changes in body reflectance features occurred after exposure times that coincided with published exposure times and known physiological responses to each killing agent. The results from this reflectance-based study underscore the potential of hyperspectral imaging of the insect body as an approach to quantify non-destructively and non-invasively the insect responses to stress factors, such as stress imposed by exposure to killing agents. To further expand on the potential perspectives of this study, proximal remote sensing technologies may be integrated more broadly

Table 1. Fruit fly species included in the preliminary study^a

Genus	Subgenus	Group	Species	Origin	Code (Fig. 1)
<i>Drosophila</i>	Sophophora	melanogaster	<i>suzukii</i>	Parlier, CA	Swd
<i>Drosophila</i>	Sophophora	melanogaster	<i>melanogaster</i>	Catalina Island, CA	Dme
<i>Drosophila</i>	Sophophora	saltans	<i>sturtevantii</i>	Wabasso, FL	Dst
<i>Drosophila</i>	Drosophila	repleta	<i>hydei</i>	Berkeley, CA	Dh
<i>Drosophila</i>	Drosophila	robusta	<i>robusta</i>	Rocky Point, NY	Dr
<i>Drosophila</i>	Drosophila	funnebris	<i>funnebris</i>	Sturgis, KY	Df
<i>Drosophila</i>	Drosophila	immigrans	<i>immigrans</i>	San Diego, CA	Di
<i>Drosophila</i>	Drosophila	melanica	<i>paramelanica</i>	Muscantine, IA	Dpa
<i>Scaptodrosophila</i>	Scaptodrosophila	victoria	<i>lebanonensis</i>	Veyo, UT	Sc

^a Fruit fly pupae (24–48 h old) were exposed to parasitism by the ectoparasitoid *Pachycrepoideus vindemiae* (Rondani) (Pteromalidae) when the pupae were 48–72 h old. Proximal hyperspectral imaging data were acquired when the pupae were about 96 h old.

Figure 1. Representative photos of pupae from the nine species of fruit flies with/without parasitism by the ectoparasitoid *Pachycrepoideus vindemiae* (Rondani) (Pteromalidae). The vertical black bar in each photo of non-parasitized pupae represents 2 mm (a). Average relative reflectance profiles from fruit fly pupae with/without parasitism in spectral bands from 400 to 1000 nm (b).

into studies of insect toxicology as part of the characterization of body (or specific tissue) responses in terms of reflectance to target-site and metabolic resistance mechanisms and/or sublethal responses to exposure to low insecticide dosages.

Proximal remote sensing may also be integrated into studies of the performance and host selection by parasitoids. Nansen *et al.*³⁰ demonstrated that three species of juvenile egg parasitoids (*Trichogramma*) developing inside moth host eggs could be accurately classified on the basis of proximal hyperspectral imaging data acquired from the host eggs. This point is illustrated further from acquisition of hyperspectral imaging data (240 narrow spectral bands from 383 to 1036 nm) from pupae (24–48 h old) of nine species of fruit flies (Table 1 and Fig. 1) with/without parasitism by adult females of the ectoparasitic parasitoid *Pachycrepoideus vindemiae* (Rondani) (Pteromalidae), which places eggs on the host surface inside the puparium. The pupae were exposed to parasitoids for 24 h when the pupae were 48–72 h old. After parasitism, pupae were placed inside a petri dish on moist tissue paper, and proximal hyperspectral imaging data were acquired when the pupae were about 96 h old. A total of 180 average reflectance profiles were obtained from individual pupae [nine species × two treatments (with/without parasitism) × ten replications = 180 reflectance profiles]. From the photos of pupae it can be seen that

there was more variation in colors among species than between non-parasitized and parasitized pupae within species (Fig. 1a). Average reflectance profiles of parasitized and non-parasitized pupae followed similar general trends but varied considerably in relative reflectance intensity, with parasitized pupae generally being darker (lower reflection) than non-parasitized pupae (Fig. 1b). However, Fig. 1b shows that average reflectance profiles varied considerably among the included species, and that even though reflectance profiles from parasitized pupae were generally darker than from conspecific non-parasitized pupae, there were several parasitized pupae with higher average reflectance (lighter) than non-parasitized pupae from other species. Thus, based on Fig. 1b, it is clear that reflectance in a single spectral band would be insufficient for accurate separation/classification of non-parasitized and parasitized pupae across species. Instead, linear discriminant analysis⁸² and data processing steps described in similar studies^{23,30,83–85} were used to classify non-parasitized and parasitized pupae. In addition, spectral binning was deployed so that the 220 original spectral bands were averaged into 44 spectral bands to eliminate the risk of overfitting of the classification model.^{42,86–88} Of these 44 spectral bands, 26 were used to create a classification model that separated the nine species of non-parasitized pupae with about 88% accuracy. Subsequently,

classification models were developed for each of the nine species to separate non-parasitized and parasitized pupae, and all nine models were associated with >95% classification accuracy. This preliminary study therefore demonstrates that, once a robust and sensitive classification algorithm has been developed, hyperspectral imaging can be used effectively to separate closely related fruit fly species based on pupal reflectance features, and also that parasitism in each species is detectable with a very high level of classification accuracy.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In many aspects of pest management, a major constraint is how to acquire and process large quantities of data (in both space and time), and ultimately how to convert big datasets into sustainable and cost-effective pest management solutions. Airborne remote sensing technologies are being integrated in a wide range of crop management practices, including irrigation,⁸⁹ fertilization,⁹⁰ weed detection,^{91,92} yield mapping⁹³ and pest management.⁵¹ In addition, proximal remote sensing enables acquisition of reflectance and transmittance data with high spectral and spatial resolutions, and this review has demonstrated how this technology is being successfully integrated into different aspects of insect systematics (including insect pest identification) and in studies of insect physiology and biological control. This trend is in itself creating many new opportunities for research and elucidating novel possibilities to investigate hypotheses about cause–effect relationships. For instance, the non-destructive nature of reflectance and transmittance data enables continuous monitoring of the same individuals over time and quantification of how they respond to imposed experimental conditions, including pesticide-treated surfaces.⁸⁵ Careful analyses of temporal changes in body reflectance responses acquired non-destructively may be used to optimize timing of physiological and molecular (destructive) interventions to elucidate changes in gene expression and/or changes in biochemical pathways. Using proximal remote sensing technologies, the ability to detect and classify objects with subtle differences in reflectance or transmittance not only opens up new avenues of research, it also creates a very intriguing collaborative platform for software engineers, image analysts, electrical engineers, ecologists, insect physiologists and agronomists to conduct research and teaching into machine learning, machine vision, automated inspection and improved quality control.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Fruit fly pupae were provided by Drs Kent M Daane and Xingeng Wang from the University of California, Berkeley.

REFERENCES

- 1 Anon., Microscopes – timeline.
- 2 Gillen A and Oliver D, Antony van Leeuwenhoek: Creation 'Magnified' through his *Magnificent Microscopes*. [Online]. Available: <https://answersingenesis.org/creation-scientists/profiles/antony-van-leeuwenhoeks-microscopes-creation-magnified/> [●●●●].
- 3 Simonett DS, *Manual of Remote Sensing*. American Society of Photogrammetry, Fall Church, VA, (1983).
- 4 Nilsson H, Remote sensing and image analysis in plant pathology. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **33**:489–528 (1995).
- 5 Jackson RD, Remote sensing of biotic and abiotic plant stress. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* **24**:265–287 (1986).
- 6 Carter GA and Knapp AK, Leaf optical properties in higher plants: linking spectral characteristics to stress and chlorophyll concentration. *Am J Bot* **88**:677–684 (2001).
- 7 Nansen C, Unravelling the 'radiometric signals' from green leaves, in *The Language of Plants*, ed. by Vieira P, Gagliano M and Ryan J. Columbia University Press, New York, NY (in press) (2015).
- 8 Prabhakar M, Prasad YG and Rao MN, Remote sensing of biotic stress in crop plants and its applications for pest management, in *Crop Stress and its Management: Perspectives and Strategies*, ed. by Venkateswarlu B, Shanker AK, Shanker C and Maheswari M. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 517–549 (2012).
- 9 Curran PJ, Remote sensing of foliar chemistry. *Remote Sens Environ* **30**:271–278 (1989).
- 10 Amigo JM and Ravn C, Direct quantification and distribution assessment of major and minor components in pharmaceutical tablets by NIR-chemical imaging. *Eur J Pharm Sci* **37**:76–82 (2009).
- 11 Ravn C, Skibsted E and Bro R, Near-infrared chemical imaging (NIR-CI) on pharmaceutical solid dosage forms – comparing common calibration approaches. *J Pharm Biomed Anal* **48**:554–561 (2008).
- 12 Gowen AA, O'Donnell CP, Cullen PJ and Bell SEJ, Recent applications of Chemical Imaging to pharmaceutical process monitoring and quality control. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* **69**:10–22 (2008).
- 13 Alomar D, Gallo C, Castaeda M and Fuchslocher R, Chemical and discriminant analysis of bovine meat by near infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS). *Meat Sci* **63**:441–450 (2003).
- 14 Heitschmidt GW, Lawrence KC, Windham WR, Park B and Smith DP, Improved imaging system for fecal detection. *Trans ASABE* **50**:1427–1432 (2004).
- 15 Park B, Yoon S-C, Windham WR, Lawrence KC, Kim MS and Chao K, Line-scan hyperspectral imaging for real-time in-line poultry fecal detection. *Sens Instrum Food Qual Saf* **5**:25–32 (2011).
- 16 Park B, Lawrence KC, Windham RW and Smith DP, Performance of hyperspectral imaging system for poultry surface fecal contaminant detection. *J Food Eng* **75**:340–348 (2006).
- 17 Kong SG, Chen Y, Kim I and Kim MS, Analysis of hyperspectral fluorescence images for poultry skin tumor inspection. *Appl Opt* **43**:824–832 (2004).
- 18 Yao H, Hruska Z, Kincaid R, Brown RL, Bhatnagar D and Cleveland TE, Detecting maize inoculated with toxigenic and atoxigenic fungal strains with fluorescence hyperspectral imagery. *Biosyst Eng* **115**:125–135 (2013).
- 19 Pholpho T, Pathaveerat S and Sirisomboon P, Classification of longan fruit bruising using visible spectroscopy. *J Food Eng* **104**:169–172 (2010).
- 20 Qin J and Lu R, Measurement of the optical properties of fruits and vegetables using spatially resolved hyperspectral diffuse reflectance imaging technique. *Postharv Biol Technol* **49**:355–365 (2008).
- 21 Nicolai BM, Beullens K, Bobelyn E, Peirs A, Saeyns W, Theron KI *et al.*, Non-destructive measurement of fruit and vegetable quality by means of NIR spectroscopy: a review. *Postharv Biol Technol* **46**:99–118 (2007).
- 22 Lu R and Peng Y, Hyperspectral scattering for assessing peach fruit firmness. *Biosyst Eng* **93**:161–171 (2006).
- 23 Nansen C, Zhang X, Aryamanesh N and Yan G, Use of variogram analysis to classify field peas with and without internal defects caused by weevil infestation. *J Food Eng* **123**:17–22 (2014).
- 24 Zhang X, Nansen C, Aryamanesh N, Yan G and Boussaid F, Importance of spatial and spectral data reduction in detection of internal defects in food products. *Appl Spectrosc* **69**(4):473–480 (2015).
- 25 Singh CB, Jayas DS, Paliwal J and White NDG, Identification of insect-damaged wheat kernels using short-wave near-infrared hyperspectral and digital colour imaging. *Comput Electron Agric* **73**:118–125 (2010).
- 26 Singh CB, Jayas DS, Paliwal J and White NDG, Detection of insect-damaged wheat kernels using near-infrared hyperspectral imaging. *J Stored Prod Res* **45**:151–158 (2009).
- 27 Huang F, Leonard BR, Moore SH, Cook DR, Baldwin J, Tindall KV *et al.*, Allele frequency of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Ab corn in Louisiana populations of sugarcane borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *J Econ Entomol* **101**:492–498 (2008).
- 28 Wang J, Nakano K and Ohashi S, Non-destructive detection of internal insect infestation in jujubes using visible and near-infrared spectroscopy. *Postharv Biol Technol* **59**:272–279 (2011).
- 29 Wang J, Nakano K, Ohashi S, Takizawa K and He JG, Comparison of different modes of visible and near-infrared spectroscopy for detecting internal insect infestation in Jujubes. *J Food Eng* **101**:78–84 (2010).
- 30 Nansen C, Coelho AJ, Mendes JV and Parra JRP, Reflectance-based identification of parasitized host eggs and adult *Trichogramma* specimens. *J Exp Biol* **217**:1187–1192 (2014).

- 31 Nansen C, Sidumo AJ, Martini X, Stefanova K and Roberts JD, Reflectance-based assessment of spider mite 'bio-response' to maize leaves and plant potassium content in different irrigation regimes. *Comput Electron Agric* **97**:21–26 (2013).
- 32 Mirik M, Ansley RJ, Michels JGJ and Elliott NC, Spectral vegetation indices selected for quantifying Russian wheat aphid (*Diuraphis noxia*) feeding damage in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Precis Agric* **13**:501–516 (2012).
- 33 Luo J, Huang W, Yuan L, Zhao C, Du S, Zhang J *et al.*, Evaluation of spectral indices and continuous wavelet analysis to quantify aphid infestation in wheat. *Precis Agric* **14**:151–161 (2013).
- 34 Nansen C, Robustness of analyses of imaging data. *Opt Express* **19**:15 173–15 180 (2011).
- 35 Nansen C, Macedo T, Swanson R and Weaver DK, Use of spatial structure analysis of hyperspectral data cubes for detection of insect-induced stress in wheat plants. *Int J Remote Sens* **30**:2447–2464 (2009).
- 36 Coops NC, Stone C, Culvenor DS and Chisholm L, Assessment of crown condition in eucalypt vegetation by remotely sensed optical indices. *J Environ Qual* **33**:956–964 (2004).
- 37 Carroll MW, Glaser JA, Hellmich RL, Hunt TE, Sappington TW, Calvin D *et al.*, Use of spectral vegetation indices derived from airborne hyperspectral imagery for detection of European corn borer infestation in Iowa corn plots. *J Econ Entomol* **101**:1614–1623 (2008).
- 38 Klarica J, Bittner L, Pallua J, Pezzeri C, Huck-Pezzeri V, Dowell F *et al.*, Near-infrared imaging spectroscopy as a tool to discriminate two cryptic tetramorium ant species. *J Chem Ecol* **37**:549–552 (2011).
- 39 Aldrich BT, Maghirang EB, Dowell FE and Kambhampati S, Identification of termite species and subspecies of the genus *Zootermopsis* using near-infrared reflectance spectroscopy. *J Insect Sci* **7**:1–7 (2007).
- 40 Aw WC, Dowell FE and Ballard JWO, Using near-infrared spectroscopy to resolve the species, gender, age, and the presence of wolbachia infection in laboratory-reared *Drosophila*. *G3* **2**:1057–1065 (2012).
- 41 Newey PS, Robson SKA and Crozier RH, Near-infrared spectroscopy as a tool in behavioural ecology: a case study of the weaver ant, *Oecophylla smaragdina*. *Anim Behav* **76**:1727–1733 (2008).
- 42 Nansen C, Geremias LD, Xue Y, Huang F and Parra JR, Agricultural case studies of classification accuracy, spectral resolution, and model over-fitting. *Appl Spectrosc* **67**:1332–1338 (2013).
- 43 Faria FA, Perre P, Zucchi RA, Jorge LR, Lewinsohn TM, Rocha A *et al.*, Automatic identification of fruit flies (Diptera: Tephritidae). *J Visual Commun Image Represent* **25**:1516–1527 (2014).
- 44 Lei W, Huaguo H and Youqing L, Remote sensing of insect pests in larch forest based on physical model. *Proc Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS)*, 2010 IEEE International, Honolulu, HI, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society, pp. 3299–3302 (2010).
- 45 Nansen C, Use of variogram parameters in analysis of hyperspectral imaging data acquired from dual-stressed crop leaves. *Remote Sens* **4**:180–193 (2012).
- 46 Nansen C, Abidi N, Sidumo AJ and Gharalari AH, Using spatial structure analysis of hyperspectral imaging data and Fourier transformed infrared analysis to determine bioactivity of surface pesticide treatment. *Remote Sens* **2**:908–925 (2010).
- 47 Nansen C, Sidumo AJ and Capareda S, Variogram analysis of hyperspectral data analysis to characterize impact of biotic and abiotic stress of maize plants and to estimate biofuel potential. *Appl Spectrosc* **64**:627–636 (2010).
- 48 Backoulou GF, Elliott NC, Giles K, Phoofofo M and Catana V, Development of a method using multispectral imagery and spatial pattern metrics to quantify stress to wheat fields caused by *Diuraphis noxia*. *Comput Electron Agric* **75**:64–70 (2011).
- 49 Backoulou GF, Elliott NC, Giles KL, Phoofofo M, Catana V and Mirik M, Spatially discriminating Russian wheat aphid induced plant stress from other wheat stressing factors. *Comput Electron Agric* **78**:123–129 (2011).
- 50 Backoulou GF, Elliott NC, Giles KL and Rao MN, Differentiating stress to wheat fields induced by *Diuraphis noxia* from other stress causing factors. *Comput Electron Agric* **90**:47–53 (2013).
- 51 Nansen C and Elliot NC, Remote sensing and reflectance profiling in entomology. *Annu Rev Entomol* **61** in press (2015).
- 52 Perrings C, Dehnen-Schmutz K, Touza J and Williamson M, How to manage biological invasions under globalization. *Trends Ecol Evolut* **20**:212–215 (2005).
- 53 Hulme PE, Trade, transport and trouble: managing invasive species pathways in an era of globalization. *J Appl Ecol* **46**:10–18 (2009).
- 54 Pimentel D, Zuniga R and Morrison D, Update on the environmental and economic costs associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecol Econ* **52**:273–288 (2005).
- 55 Jia F, Maghirang E, Dowell F, Abel C and Ramaswamy S, Differentiating tobacco budworm and corn earworm using near-infrared spectroscopy. *J Econ Entomol* **100**:759–764 (2007).
- 56 Nguyen CV, Lovell DR, Adcock M and La Salle J, Capturing natural-colour 3D models of insects for species discovery and diagnostics. *PLoS ONE* **9**:e94346 (2014).
- 57 Westneat MW, Socha JJ and Lee WK, Advances in biological structure, function, and physiology using synchrotron X-ray imaging. *Annu Rev Physiol* **70**:119–142 (2008).
- 58 Socha JJ, Förster TD and Greenlee KJ, Issues of convection in insect respiration: insights from synchrotron X-ray imaging and beyond. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol* **173**(Suppl.):S65–S73 (2010).
- 59 Greenlee KJ, Henry JR, Kirkton SD, Westneat MW, Fezzaa K, Lee W-K *et al.*, Synchrotron imaging of the grasshopper tracheal system: morphological and physiological components of tracheal hypermetry. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Compar Physiol* **297**:R1343–R1350 (2009).
- 60 Dickinson M, Farman G, Frye M, Bekyarova T, Gore D, Maughan D *et al.*, Molecular dynamics of cyclically contracting insect flight muscle *in vivo*. *Nature* **433**:330–334 (2005).
- 61 Irving TC and Maughan DW, *In vivo* x-ray diffraction of indirect flight muscle from *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Biophys J* **78**:2511–2515 (2000).
- 62 Wakabayashi K, Tokunaga M and Kohno I, Small-angle synchrotron X-ray scattering reveals distinct shape changes of the myosin head during hydrolysis of ATP. *Science* **258**:443–447 (1992).
- 63 Mietchen D, Manz B, Volke F and Storey K, Assessment of cold adaptation in insect larvae by magnetic resonance imaging and magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *PLoS ONE* **3**:e3826 (2008).
- 64 Howard RW and Blomquist GJ, Ecological, behavioral, and biochemical aspects of insect hydrocarbons. *Annu Rev Entomol* **50**:371–393 (2005).
- 65 Dowell FE, Throne JE, Wang D and Baker JE, Identifying stored-grain insects using near-infrared spectroscopy. *J Econ Entomol* **92**:165–169 (1999).
- 66 Roux O, Gers C and Legal L, Ontogenetic study of three *Calliphoridae* of forensic importance through cuticular hydrocarbon analysis. *Med Vet Entomol* **22**:309–317 (2008).
- 67 Geiselhardt S, Otte T and Hilker M, The role of cuticular hydrocarbons in male mating behavior of the mustard leaf beetle, *Phaedon cochleariae* (F.). *J Chem Ecol* **35**:1162–1171 (2009).
- 68 Howard RW and Pérez-Lachaud G, Cuticular hydrocarbons of the ectoparasitic wasp *Cephalonomia hyalinipennis* (Hymenoptera: Bethyridae) and its alternative host, the stored product pest *Caulophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* **50**:75–84 (2002).
- 69 Howard RW and Baker JE, Cuticular hydrocarbons and wax esters of the ectoparasitoid *Habrobracon hebetor*: ontogenetic, reproductive, and nutritional effects. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* **53**:1–18 (2003).
- 70 Lapointe SL, Hunter WB and Alessandro RT, Cuticular hydrocarbons on elytra of the *Diaprepes* root weevil *Diaprepes abbreviatus* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Agric For Entomol* **6**:251–257 (2004).
- 71 Zhu GH, Ye GY, Hu C, Xu XH and Li K, Development changes of cuticular hydrocarbons in *Chrysomya rufifacies* larvae: potential for determining larval age. *Med Vet Entomol* **20**:438–444 (2006).
- 72 Butler SM, Moon RD, Hinkle NC, Millar JG, Mcelfresh JS and Mullens BA, Characterization of age and cuticular hydrocarbon variation in mating pairs of house fly, *Musca domestica*, collected in the field. *Med Vet Entomol* **23**:426–442 (2009).
- 73 Nunes TM, Turatti ICC, Mateus S, Nascimento FS, Lopes NP and Zucchi R, Cuticular hydrocarbons in the stingless bee *Schwarziana quadripunctata* (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini): differences between colonies, castes and age. *Genet Mol Res* **8**:589–595 (2009).
- 74 Ferreira-Caliman MJ, Nascimento FS, Turatti IC, Mateus S, Lopes NP and Zucchi R, The cuticular hydrocarbons profiles in the stingless bee *Melipona marginate* reflect task-related differences. *J Insect Physiol* **56**:800–804 (2010).
- 75 Steiner S, Mumm R and Ruther J, Courtship pheromones in parasitic wasps: comparison of bioactive and inactive hydrocarbon profiles by multivariate statistical methods. *J Chem Ecol* **33**:825–838 (2007).
- 76 De Loof A, Huybrechts J, Geens M, Vandersmissen T, Boerjan B and Schoofs L, Sexual differentiation in adult insects: male-specific

- cuticular yellowing in *Schistocerca gregaria* as a model for reevaluating some current (neuro)endocrine concepts. *J Insect Physiol* **56**:919–925 (2010).
- 77 Sikulu MT, Majambere S, Khatib BO, Ali AS, Hugo LE and Dowell FE, Using a near-infrared spectrometer to estimate the age of *Anopheles* mosquitoes exposed to pyrethroids. *PLoS ONE* **9**:1–6 (2014).
- 78 Sikulu M, Killeen GF, Hugo LE, Ryan PA, Dowell KM, Wirtz RA *et al.*, Short report near-infrared spectroscopy as a complementary age grading and species identification tool for African malaria vectors. *Parasites Vectors* **3**:1–7 (2010).
- 79 Reeves WK, Peiris KHS, Scholte E-J, Wirtz RA and Dowell FE, Age-grading the biting midge *Culicoides sonorensis* using near-infrared spectroscopy. *Med Vet Entomol* **24**:32–37 (2010).
- 80 Webster TC, Dowell FE, Maghirang EB and Thacker EM, Visible and near-infrared spectroscopy detects queen honey bee insemination. *Apidologie* **40**:565–569 (2009).
- 81 Nansen C, Ribeiro LP, Dadour I and Roberts JD, Detection of temporal changes in insect body reflectance in response to killing agents. *PLoS ONE* accepted.
- 82 Fisher RA, The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. *Ann Eugenics* **7**:179–188 (1936).
- 83 Luo C, Wei C and Nansen C, How do 'mute' cicadas produce their calling songs? *PLoS ONE* **10**:e0118554 (2015).
- 84 Nansen C, Zhao G, Dakin N, Zhao C and Turner SR, Using hyperspectral imaging to determine germination of native Australian plant seeds. *J Photochem Photobiol B Biol* **145**:19–24 (2015).
- 85 Nansen C, Ribeiro LP, Dadour I and Roberts JD, Detection of temporal changes in insect body reflectance in response to killing agents. *PLoS ONE* **10**:e0124866 (2015).
- 86 Hawkins DM, The problem of overfitting. *J Chem Inf Comput Sci* **1**:1–12 (2004).
- 87 Babyak MA, What you see may not be what you get: a brief, nontechnical introduction to overfitting in regression-type models. *Psychosom Med* **66**:411–421 (2004).
- 88 Kemsley EK, Discriminant analysis of high-dimensional data: a comparison of principal components analysis and partial least squares data reduction methods. *Chemometr Intell Lab Syst* **33**:47–61 (1996).
- 89 Ha W, Gowda PH and Howell TA, A review of downscaling methods for remote sensing-based irrigation management: part I. *Irrig Sci* **31**:831–850 (2013).
- 90 Muñoz-Huerta R, Guevara-Gonzalez R, Contreras-Medina L, Torres-Pacheco I, Prado-Olivarez J and Ocampo-Velazquez R, A review of methods for sensing the nitrogen status in plants: advantages, disadvantages and recent advances. *Sensors* **13**:10 823–10 843 (2013).
- 91 Thorp KR and Tian LF, A review on remote sensing of weeds in agriculture. *Precis Agric* **5**:477–508 (2004).
- 92 Pinter PJ, Hatfield JL, Schepers JS, Barnes EM, Moran MS, Daughtry CST *et al.*, Remote sensing for crop management. *Photogram Eng Remote Sens* **69**:647–664 (2003).
- 93 Zhang C, Walters D and Kovacs JM, Applications of low altitude remote sensing in agriculture upon farmers' requests – a case study in northeastern Ontario, Canada. *PLoS ONE* **9**:e112894 (2014).

AQ6

Uncorrected Proof