UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Evaluation of Laboratory and Sonographic Parameters for Detection of Portal Hypertension in Patients with Common Variable Immunodeficiency.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6d24260d

Journal Journal of Clinical Immunology, 42(8)

Authors

Kindle, Gerhard Goldacker, Sigune von Spee-Mayer, Caroline <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2022-11-01

DOI

10.1007/s10875-022-01319-0

Peer reviewed

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Evaluation of Laboratory and Sonographic Parameters for Detection of Portal Hypertension in Patients with Common Variable Immunodeficiency

Anna-Maria Globig¹ · Valentina Strohmeier^{2,3,4} · Rambabu Surabattula⁵ · Diana J. Leeming⁶ · Morten A. Karsdal⁶ · Maximilian Heeg⁷ · Gerhard Kindle^{3,7} · Sigune Goldacker^{2,3} · Caroline von Spee-Mayer^{2,3,7} · Michele Proietti^{3,7,8,9} · Birke Bausch¹ · Dominik Bettinger¹ · Michael Schultheiß¹ · Robert Thimme¹ · Detlef Schuppan^{5,10} · Klaus Warnatz^{2,3}

Received: 3 March 2022 / Accepted: 24 June 2022 / Published online: 11 July 2022 \circledcirc The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Timely detection of portal hypertension as a manifestation in a subgroup of patients with common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) represents a challenge since it is usually not associated with liver cirrhosis. To identify relevant markers for portal hypertension, we evaluated clinical history, laboratory parameters, and abdominal ultrasound including liver elastography and biomarkers of extracellular matrix formation. Twenty seven (6%) of 479 CVID patients presented with clinically significant portal hypertension as defined by either the presence of esophageal varices or ascites. This manifestation occurred late during the course of the disease (11.8 years after first diagnosis of CVID) and was typically part of a multiorgan disease and associated with a high mortality (11/27 patients died during follow up). The strongest association with portal hypertension was found for splenomegaly with a longitudinal diameter of > 16 cm. Similarly, most patients presented with a liver stiffness measurement (LSM) of above 6.5 kPa, and a LSM above 20 kPa was always indicative of manifest portal hypertension. Additionally, many laboratory parameters including Pro-C4 were significantly altered in patients with portal hypertension without clearly increasing the discriminatory power to detect non-cirrhotic portal hypertension in CVID. Our data suggest that a spleen size above 16 cm and an elevated liver stiffness above 6.5 kPa should prompt further evaluation of portal hypertension and its sequelae, but earlier and better liquid biomarkers of this serious secondary complication in CVID are needed.

Keywords Common variable immunodeficiency \cdot hepatopathy \cdot portal hypertension \cdot diagnosis \cdot nodular regenerative hyperplasia

Klaus Warnatz Klaus.warnatz@uniklinik-freiburg.de

- ¹ Department of Medicine II, Gastroenterology, Hepatology, Endocrinology, and Infectious Diseases, University Medical Center Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ² Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, University of Freiburg, Breisacher Str. 115, 79106 Freiburg, Germany
- ³ Center for Chronic Immunodeficiency (CCI), Medical Center, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁴ Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg, Schaenzlestrasse 1, Freiburg, Germany
- Description Springer

- ⁵ Institute of Translational Immunology and Research Center for Immune Therapy, Mainz University Medical Center, 55131 Mainz, Germany
- ⁶ Nordic Bioscience Biomarkers and Research, Herlev, Denmark
- ⁷ Institute for Immunodeficiency, Medical Center University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany
- ⁸ Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
- ⁹ Cluster of Excellence RESIST (EXC 2155), Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany
- ¹⁰ Division of Gastroenterology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Introduction

Common variable immunodeficiency (CVID) is the most common form of symptomatic primary immunodeficiencies [1]. CVID is a genetically and immunologically heterogeneous disease that is defined by hypogammaglobulinemia of IgG and IgA with or without low IgM levels [2]. Patients can be classified according to molecular characteristics, immunologic phenotype, or clinical characteristics [3-10]. Based on clinical phenotype, patients can be divided into two subgroups: Those that show primarily infectious complications and those with additional disease manifestations such as enteropathy, liver disease, interstitial lung disease, granuloma, splenomegaly, autoimmunity, or malignancy. It is essential to distinguish these patient subgroups as patients with additional non-infectious complications have a significantly decreased life expectancy [11, 12].

While infectious complications, lung function, cytopenias, gastrointestinal involvement, and immunoglobulin levels are more closely monitored by clinicians, affection of the liver with development of portal hypertension is a severe complication that is usually recognized only at advanced stages. Importantly, diagnostic markers and therapeutic approaches in fibrotic liver disease and portal hypertension due to CVID are insufficiently defined [13]. Chronic liver disease has been reported to occur in ~ 12% of CVID patients seen in tertiary treatment centers, and occurrence of liver disease is associated with increased mortality [11, 12, 14]. Underlying pathologies comprise granulomatous inflammation, autoimmune hepatitis, and most commonly nodular regenerative hyperplasia (NRH) [15]. While liver function may be still preserved, the major complication of NRH is portal hypertension with development of ascites and/or esophageal varices that can ultimately cause potentially fatal upper gastrointestinal bleeding [13, 16, 17].

With regard to laboratory markers of liver disease in CVID, especially elevations in alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bilirubin and transaminases have been described [18, 19]. Abdominal ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging as well as transient elastography (FibroScan®) can be used to assess structural changes in the liver as well as signs of portal hypertension [20–22]. There is, however, so far no standard in the field with regard to which parameters should be assessed in CVID patients to determine whether the patient suffers from portal hypertension. In this study, we therefore characterized patients with CVID who developed portal hypertension in a cohort of 479 CVID patients treated at the University Hospital of Freiburg, Germany, and longitudinally assessed conventional and novel laboratory, clinical, endoscopic, and ultrasound characteristics of these patients.

Methods

Patients

Patients with CVID were retrospectively identified from the hospital information system of the University Hospital of Freiburg according to documentation from the outpatient department of the Centre for Chronic Immunodeficiency. Laboratory data and clinical data as well as ultrasound and endoscopy data for these patients were exported and manually validated from the hospital information system. Clinically significant portal hypertension was defined as occurrence of esophageal varices and/or portal hypertensive gastropathy and/or ascites. Female patients with a single episode of ascites in the recto-uterine pouch due to gynecological reasons were not included in the patient group with portal hypertension. The date of onset of portal hypertension was identified according to the date of the first documentation of the aforementioned symptoms. If documentation from previous inpatient or outpatient stays in other hospitals was available, the date of onset was corrected to the first description of aforementioned signs. Patients that never showed esophageal varices, portal hypertensive gastropathy, or ascites were assigned to the control group.

In total, we identified 479 CVID patients of whom 27 showed clinical signs of portal hypertension (for details, see Table 1). Not all data were available for every patient; the number of available data points is indicated in the figures.

Transient Elastography

Where available, results of transient elastography (Fibro-Scan®) measurements were included in the study. This ultrasound-based technique using a hand-held ultrasound probe allows non-invasive measurement of liver stiffness. Interpretation of generated data depends on the underlying disease-pathology [23–27]; however, transient elastography has previously been demonstrated to be of diagnostic value for CVID patients, particularly in those at risk for portal hypertension [20, 28]. ROC analysis of the LSM was performed, and the optimal cutoff for determination of portal hypertension was calculated by the Youden Index.

ELISAs

Biomarkers of extracellular matrix formation were assessed in serum with validated competitive ELISAs developed and performed as described in previous publications for type III collagen formation (PRO-C3) [29], type IV collagen formation (PRO-C4) [30], and type VI collagen formation and endotrophin (PRO-C6) by Nordic Bioscience (Herlev, Denmark). All samples were measured in duplicates. The

Table 1	Clinical	characteristics	of CVID pati	ients with p	ortal hypertension							
Patient	Gender	Year of birth	Manifesta- tion of CVID	Diag- nosis of CVID	Genetics	Euroclass	Freiburg class	Onset portal hypertension	Liver biopsy	Etiology of liver disease (pathology report)	Maximal LSM (kPa)	Death
_	E	1968		1996	idiopathic	B+21norm smB-	Ib	2004	Yes	Autoimmune cholangitis	n.a	Yes
7	Е	1963		1991	n.a	B+21 norm smB-	Ib	2015	Yes	Discrete portal inflammatory reaction	n.a	Yes
б	Е	1956	1982	1996	NFKB1 deficiency	B + 21 low smB +	Ia	1999	Yes	HCV associated liver cir- rhosis	30.8	No
4	f	1969	1972	2003	n.a	B+21low smB+	Ia	2004	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	16.8	Yes
5	f	1959	1998	2001	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2011	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	n.a	Yes
9	f	1948	1986	1996	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2004	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	n.a	Yes
٢	f	1956	1996	2006	n.a	pu	Ib	2008	Yes	Chronic portal and lobular hepatitis	26.3	Yes
8	f	1966	1990	1998	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ib	2012	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	n.a	Yes
6	Е	1956	1996	2003	n.a	B+21low smB-		2012	Yes	Discrete hepatitis with periportal and discrete intralobular inflammatory infiltrates	9.9	Yes
10	Е	1971	2004	2006	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2015	Yes	Granulomatous liver disease with epitheloid granuloma and lymphocytic portal- inflammatory infiltrate	22.3	No
11	f	1961	1999	2002	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2012	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia and suspected auto- immune cholangiopathy	46.4	No
12	Е	1962		1977	ICOS deletion	B+21norm smB-	Ib	2015	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	9.6	No
13	н	1956	1984	1991	NFKB1 deficiency	B+smB-	Ia	2017	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	7.3	Yes
14	f	1959	2000	2005	n.a	B+211ow smB-	Ia	2017	No	n.a	8.9	No
15	Е	1955	2007	2012	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2017	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	11.5	No
16	Ш	1978	2010	2012	idiopathic	B+21low smB-	Ia	2018	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	n.a	No
17	f	1972		1995	n.a	B-		2015	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	n.a	No
18	н	1968		1989	n.a	pu	Ia	2004	Yes	CVID involvement of liver	n.a	Yes
19	f	1960	1988	1993	TACI deficiency	B+21low smB-		2009	Yes	Cryptogenic liver cirrhosis	8.7	No

Journal of Clinical Immunology	(2022) 42:1626-1637
--------------------------------	---------------------

Table 1	(continu	ed)										
Patient	Gender	Year of birth Ma tion CV	nnifesta- n of TD	Diag- nosis of CVID	Genetics	Euroclass	Freiburg class	Onset portal hypertension	Liver biopsy	Etiology of liver disease (pathology report)	Maximal LSM (kPa)	Death
20	f	1976		1986	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2010	Yes	Nodular regenerative hyper- plasia	7.4	No
21	ш	1962		2004	idiopathic	nd		2010	No	n.a	n.a	No
22	f	1983		2000	n.a	B+211ow smB-	Ia	2011	No	n.a	n.a	No
23	f	1957 196	52	1996	n.a	B+21low smB-	Ia	2008	Yes	Granulomatous liver disease with epitheloid cell granu- loma	23.6	Yes
24	Ш	1993		2011	idiopathic	B + 21 low smB-	Ia	2016	No	n.a	n.a	No
25	f	1993 200	J6	2014	CTLA-4 deficiency			2017	No		15.7	No
26	ш	1963		2013	n.a	B+211ow smB-		2017	No	n.a	n.a	No
27	Ш	1983		2014	idiopathic	B+21norm smB-		2018	Yes	Granulomatous hepatitis	30.7	No
<i>n.a.</i> , n <i>CTLA</i> -	ot availabl 4, cytotoxi	e; <i>NFKB1</i> , nuclear c T-lymphocyte as:	r factor ks sociated p	appa B sub rrotein 4; H	unit 1; <i>ICOS</i> , inducit <i>'CV</i> , hepatitis C virus.	ble T cell costimula	ator; TACI, trans	smembrane act	ivator and cale		in ligand inte	sractor;

median time duration between diagnosis of portal hypertension in the "portal hypertension" group and obtaining the sample was 1051 days, 95% CI [83; 2563].

Data Analysis

Pseudonymized data were stored in MariaDB 10.4.13. Data analysis was performed using R (version 3.6.1) and the following packages: DBI 1.1.0, dplyr 0.8.3, ggplot2 3.3.0, purrr 0.3.3, tidyr 1.0.0, ggbeeswarm 0.6.0, patchwork 1.0.0, lme4 1.1–21, plotROC 2.2.1, and wesanderson 0.3.6. Statistical tests used are indicated in the figure legends. A *p* value < 0.05 was considered significant. **** indicates a *p* value < 0.0001, *** < 0.001, ** < 0.01, and * < 0.05. For laboratory and continuous ultrasound parameters, a linear mixed model was calculated: lmer(value ~ group + year(date) + (1|PatientID).

Results

In a retrospective analysis of patients treated at the Centre for Chronic Immunodeficiency of the University Hospital of Freiburg, we have identified 479 CVID patients of whom 27 (6%, 13 female and 14 male) showed clinical signs of portal hypertension (see Table 1). Ninety two percent (23) of 25 patients with available data for ascites) of the CVID patients with portal hypertension presented with ascites and 62% (16 of 26 patients with available data for esophageal varicosis) with esophageal varices during the disease course. Liver biopsy was performed in 21 patients, revealing nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver in 52% (11/21) and granulomatous liver disease in 14% (3/21) of patients with biopsy. Typical cirrhosis was histologically seen in only 2 patients. On average, signs of portal hypertension first occurred 11.8 years after first diagnosis of CVID and 19.1 years after first manifestation of CVID respectively (Fig. 1). Compared to other manifestations of CVID, signs of portal hypertension appeared markedly later (Fig. 2).

To define which patients are at risk for portal hypertension, we assessed other CVID manifestations in patients with and without portal hypertension. Interestingly, patients with portal hypertension displayed a higher rate of other CVID manifestations such as allergy, autoimmune cytopenia, other autoimmune organ manifestations, enteropathy, granuloma, interstitial lung disease, lymphadenopathy, solid tumors, and splenomegaly compared to patients without portal hypertension (Fig. 3). These findings underline that patients with portal hypertension belong to a cohort with a high risk for multiorgan disease within the total CVID population. Compatible with this association, most of the patients with portal hypertension belonged to the EUROClass group of B + smB-21low as this had been associated before with more complex



Fig. 1 Time from onset of CVID diagnosis and manifestation. Time period between first diagnosis (A) and first manifestation (B) of CVID and diagnosis of portal hypertension is depicted. Data on date

of manifestation of CVID were not available for all patients. The blue line indicates the mean

disease in CVID [6]. The severity of the disease associated with the manifestation of portal hypertension was underlined by the high mortality rate of 41% (11/27) during follow up in this cohort.

To allow for easier clinical identification of these patients, we next sought to characterize the changes in routine blood-based parameters. Patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension displayed significant increases in alanine transaminase (ALT), gamma-glutamyltransferase (γ -GT), and ALP, while serum albumin and total protein

were decreased. Patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension further displayed impaired coagulation (Quick value), lower hemoglobin, and reduced thrombocyte counts. The absolute neutrophil count as well as the CRP levels were reduced after diagnosis of portal hypertension. The ALBI score, a score to assess liver dysfunction calculated based on albumin and total bilirubin [31], was increased in CVID patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension (Fig. 4). However, despite these changes, a notable proportion of measurements was within the normal



Fig. 2 Time of onset of CVID manifestations relative to date of CVID diagnosis. Time of onset of CVID manifestations in patients with portal hypertension was plotted relative to first diagnosis of CVID

range, thus complicating the identification of this patient collective.

In abdominal ultrasound, patients with portal hypertension frequently showed hepatomegaly, while alterations of the hepatic surface and hepatic veins as well as inhomogeneous hepatic parenchyma occurred infrequently. Ascites as one of the defining features of portal hypertension was detected in 92% of the affected patients. Interestingly, the portal vein diameter was increased in patients with portal hypertension but the Vmax of the portal vein was not altered, which is in contrast to other liver disease entities with portal hypertension. Both crosswise and longitudinal diameter of the spleen were significantly increased in patients with portal hypertension (Fig. 5). Since splenomegaly is a common feature of CVID, we were interested to assess whether it can still constitute a marker for portal hypertension in this particular patient collective. ROC analysis of the longitudinal diameter of the spleen resulted in an optimal cutoff for determination of portal hypertension of 15.7 cm and an AUC of 0.82 (Fig. 6A). Additionally, the longitudinal diameter of the spleen correlated significantly with the LSM (liver stiffness measurement, FibroScan®) value of the respective patient (R = 0.36, p = 0.0012; Fig. 6B).

Transient elastography (FibroScan®) revealed significantly higher liver stiffness measurement (LSM) in CVID patients with portal hypertension when compared to the control cohort. All 15 patients with portal hypertension and elastography had pathological LSM values > 6.5 kPa and LSM > 20 kPa and were only seen in patients with portal hypertension. ROC analysis of the LSM was performed, and the optimal cutoff for determination of portal hypertension was calculated by the Youden Index for 11.2 kPa with an AUC of 0.78 (Fig. 6C).



Fig. 3 Clinical manifestations of CVID patients with and without portal hypertension. Clinical manifestations of CVID were compared between patients with and without portal hypertension. Fisher's exact test was used to assess statistical significance

Analysis of three serum derived biomarkers of liver collagen formation (Pro-C3, Pro-C4, and Pro-C6) [32–34] revealed significant increases in CVID patients with portal hypertension for Pro-C4 and for Pro-C6 (Fig. 7); however, none of these markers correlated with LSM values, diameter or Vmax of the portal vein, or crosswise or longitudinal spleen diameters (data not shown).

Discussion

In this retrospective study, we characterize the clinical and sonographic appearance as well as blood laboratory values of CVID patients with portal hypertension. Our study shows that clinically significant portal hypertension occurs at a later time point in the disease course of CVID, when compared to other clinical manifestations such as granuloma, interstitial lung disease, lymphadenopathy, and splenomegaly. Patients that suffer from portal hypertension belong to a more severely affected patient collective with an increased mortality that co-displays multiple CVID organ manifestations compared to patients without portal hypertension. Of note, the patients with portal hypertension we identified in this study had a median diagnostic delay of 7 years (time between initial manifestation and diagnosis of CVID), compared to 4.4 years in the control group (p = 0.25) and 4.8–5 years described in the literature [35]. The cause, relevance, and consequences of this delay remain to be seen in larger studies.

In laboratory analysis, CVID patients with portal hypertension show elevated levels of ALT and γ -GT indicating cholestasis, whereas total bilirubin is not elevated in our cohort. Of note, many of the other laboratory parameters indicated a reduced synthesis capacity of the liver, such as lower albumin and Quick when compared to patients without manifest portal hypertension, although values were often still within the normal range. In line with this, only 2/21 biopsies revealed a cirrhosis, and most CVID patients suffer from a non-cirrhotic portal hypertension (NCPH). In sum, patients with CVID and nodular regenerative hyperplasia show a typical pathophysiological phenotype of non-cirrhotic portal hypertension that is characterized by a presinusoidal hepatic resistance due to obliteration of small and medium portal vein branches. These structural changes are not detectable by ultrasound. While there were significant differences between ultrasound measurements of patients with and without portal hypertension, most of them showed largely overlapping results and were of low discriminatory



Fig. 4 Laboratory parameters of CVID patients with and without portal hypertension. Laboratory values were compared between patients without portal hypertension combined with values of patients before the onset of portal hypertension against values after diagnosis of por-

tal hypertension. A linear mixed model was computed to assess statistical significance. Blue line indicates the median. Grey shaded area reflects normal range

power. Surprisingly, the direction and the velocity of the portal venous flow were not altered, obfuscating the diagnosis of portal hypertension. The best marker in abdominal ultrasound of CVID patients with portal hypertension was the markedly increased spleen diameter compared to the non-portal hypertension CVID patient collective. As splenomegaly is a common phenomenon in more than 25% of patients with CVID [35] and may be caused not only by portal hypertension but also by lymphoproliferation, the presence of splenomegaly itself is highly sensitive, but not specific for portal hypertension. Only a particularly large spleen with a diameter above 16 cm was more strongly associated with portal hypertension and should prompt further evaluation in the affected patient.

As previously reported [20, 28], ultrasound-based transient elastography (FibroScan®) is of diagnostic value in CVID patients, in particular in those at risk for portal hypertension. LSM values above 20 kPa were regularly associated with relevant portal hypertension as suggested previously for non-CVID patients [36], but in our opinion already, all pathological LSM values > 6.5 kPa should prompt evaluation for secondary complications to reach a high sensitivity.

Among the previously suggested serum biomarkers of liver fibrosis and collagen formation (Pro-C3, Pro-C4, and Pro-C6) [32–34], only Pro-C4 and Pro-C6 but not Pro-C3 were elevated in CVID patients with portal hypertension. This may indicate that there is only a minor hepatic de novo synthesis of basement membrane (Pro-C4) and interstitial microfilaments (Pro-C6), but not of interstitial (Pro-C3) collagen in these patients, compatible with the absence of massive fibrotic extracellular matrix in the liver biopsies of most affected CVID patients. Accordingly, these parameters did not prove of additional value compared to sonographic determination of the spleen diameter.



Fig. 5 Abdominal ultrasound of CVID patients with and without portal hypertension. Abdominal ultrasound results of patients with and without portal hypertension were compared. For categorial variables, the last available ultrasound of patients without portal hypertension was compared with the first available ultrasound after diagnosis of portal hypertension of patients with portal hypertension. For continu-

ous variables, values were compared between patients without portal hypertension combined with values of patients before the onset of portal hypertension against values after diagnosis of portal hypertension. A linear mixed model was computed to assess statistical significance



Fig. 6 Longitudinal diameter of the spleen as marker for portal hypertension. (**A**) ROC analysis of the longitudinal diameter of the spleen was performed using the values from patients without portal hypertension and from patients after onset of portal hypertension. The optimal cutoff was calculated by the Youden Index (15.7 cm). (**B**) Correlation of the longitudinal diameter of the spleen as deter-

mined by ultrasound with the LSM value as determined by FibroScan®. Statistical significance was assessed with Pearson correlation. (**C**) ROC analysis of the absolute LSM was performed using the values from patients without portal hypertension and from patients after onset of portal hypertension. The optimal cutoff was calculated by the Youden Index (11.2 kPa)



Fig. 7 Serum collagen markers of liver fibrosis and fibrogenesis in patients with CVID. (**A**) Serum levels of Pro-C3 in CVID patients without portal hypertension (n=21) compared to patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension (n=8). (**B**) Serum levels of Pro-C4 in CVID patients without portal hypertension (n=21) compared to patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension (n=9). Statistical sig-

In summary, the early detection of clinically significant portal hypertension in CVID patients remains a challenge. Especially patients with multiorgan disease, elevated γ -GT and a longitudinal spleen diameter greater than 16 cm need an evaluation for portal hypertension and secondary complications including liver elastography and gastroscopy and a close follow up by (semi)annual ultrasound as well

nificance was assessed with Mann–Whitney test. Serum levels below the detection limit of the ELISA were set to 29.2 ng/ml as this is the lower limit of detection of the ELISA. (C) Serum levels of Pro-C6 in CVID patients without portal hypertension (n=22) compared to patients after diagnosis of portal hypertension (n=10). Statistical significance was assessed with Mann–Whitney test

as elastography every year to maximum every 2 years. We further suggest routine annual abdominal ultrasounds as useful to diagnose critical splenomegaly and other potential signs of portal hypertension in a timely fashion in all CVID patients. Of note, in our analysis, none of the blood parameters measured during routine follow up allowed for a good prediction of portal hypertension. In this study, the venous pressure gradients were not systematically measured in all patients, and diagnosis of portal hypertension was established clinically. While it was further not possible to identify the primary cause of liver disease in the majority of the patients with portal hypertension identified in this study, this will need to be a focus of future clinical studies on liver disease in CVID patients. There is still a large unmet need for novel biomarkers that allow early identification and monitoring of CVID patients with incipient and manifest portal hypertension so that pharmacological or endoscopic treatments to prevent complications arising from portal hypertension like potentially fatal upper gastrointestinal bleeding can be instituted.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-022-01319-0.

Acknowledgements We thank the patients who participated in the study. We acknowledge the excellent support by the CCI outpatient clinic, Advanced Diagnostic Unit, and the CCI Clinical Research Unit and FREEZE-Biobank. We thank Dr. Maja von Cube (IMBI Freiburg) for expert statistical advice and Regine Mayer for help with data acquisition.

Author Contribution Conceptualization–KW and AG.; Methodology–AG; Analysis–AG, VS, and MH; Investigation–AG and VS; Data acquisition–AG, VS, SG, GK, CSM, RM, RS and MP; Resources–KW, RT; Writing–AG and KW; Revision of manuscript–all; Supervision– KW, MS, DB, BB, RT, BG and DS; Funding acquisition KW, DS, and RT.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This work was supported by a grant issued by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant no. SFB 1160 IMPATH; project A04) to KW. DS, DJL, and MAK received project-related support from EU Horizon 2020 projects under grant agreements no. 634413 (EPoS, European Project on Steatohepatitis) and no. 777377 (LITMUS, Liver Investigation on Marker Utility in Steatohepatitis).

Data Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics Approval The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Freiburg (EK No. FR354/19).

Consent to Participate Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Consent for Publication Informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Conflict of Interest DJL and MAK are employees of Nordic Biosciences. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes

were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Bonilla FA, Barlan I, Chapel H, Costa-Carvalho BT, Cunningham-Rundles C, de la Morena MT, et al. International consensus document (ICON): common variable immunodeficiency disorders. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2016;4(1):38–59.
- Seidel MG, Kindle G, Gathmann B, Quinti I, Buckland M, van Montfrans J, et al. The European Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID) registry working definitions for the clinical diagnosis of inborn errors of immunity. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(6):1763–70.
- Driessen GJ, van Zelm MC, van Hagen PM, Hartwig NG, Trip M, Warris A, et al. B-cell replication history and somatic hypermutation status identify distinct pathophysiologic backgrounds in common variable immunodeficiency. Blood. 2011;118(26):6814–23.
- Kamae C, Nakagawa N, Sato H, Honma K, Mitsuiki N, Ohara O, et al. Common variable immunodeficiency classification by quantifying T-cell receptor and immunoglobulin kappa-deleting recombination excision circles. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;131(5):1437-40.e5.
- Warnatz K, Denz A, Drager R, Braun M, Groth C, Wolff-Vorbeck G, et al. Severe deficiency of switched memory B cells (CD27(+) IgM(-)IgD(-)) in subgroups of patients with common variable immunodeficiency: a new approach to classify a heterogeneous disease. Blood. 2002;99(5):1544–51.
- Wehr C, Kivioja T, Schmitt C, Ferry B, Witte T, Eren E, et al. The EUROclass trial: defining subgroups in common variable immunodeficiency. Blood. 2008;111(1):77–85.
- Piqueras B, LavenuBombled C, Galicier L, van der Bergeron Cruyssen F, Mouthon L, Chevret S, et al. Common variable immunodeficiency patient classification based on impaired B cell memory differentiation correlates with clinical aspects. J Clin Immunol. 2003;23(5):385–400.
- Chapel H, Lucas M, Lee M, Bjorkander J, Webster D, Grimbacher B, et al. Common variable immunodeficiency disorders: division into distinct clinical phenotypes. Blood. 2008;112(2):277–86.
- Chapel H, Lucas M, Patel S, Lee M, Cunningham-Rundles C, Resnick E, et al. Confirmation and improvement of criteria for clinical phenotyping in common variable immunodeficiency disorders in replicate cohorts. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012;130(5):1197-8.e9.
- Mouillot G, Carmagnat M, Gerard L, Garnier JL, Fieschi C, Vince N, et al. B-cell and T-cell phenotypes in CVID patients correlate with the clinical phenotype of the disease. J Clin Immunol. 2010;30(5):746–55.
- Resnick ES, Moshier EL, Godbold JH, Cunningham-Rundles C. Morbidity and mortality in common variable immune deficiency over 4 decades. Blood. 2012;119(7):1650–7.
- Ho HE, Cunningham-Rundles C. Non-infectious complications of common variable immunodeficiency: updated clinical spectrum, sequelae, and insights to pathogenesis. Front Immunol. 2020;11:149.
- Globig AM, Heeg M, Larsen CS, Ferreira RD, Kindle G, Goldacker S, Strohmeier V, Silva SL, Cunningham-Rundles C, Quinti I, Thimme R, Bettinger D, Schultheiß M, Warnatz K. International

- Farmer JR, Ong MS, Barmettler S, Yonker LM, Fuleihan R, Sullivan KE, et al. Common variable immunodeficiency non-infectious disease endotypes redefined using unbiased network clustering in large electronic datasets. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1740.
- Malamut G, Ziol M, Suarez F, Beaugrand M, Viallard JF, Lascaux AS, et al. Nodular regenerative hyperplasia: the main liver disease in patients with primary hypogammaglobulinemia and hepatic abnormalities. J Hepatol. 2008;48(1):74–82.
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL clinical practice guidelines on the management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, and hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. J Hepatol. 2010;53(3):397–417.
- 17. Cardenas A, Gines P. Management of patients with cirrhosis awaiting liver transplantation. Gut. 2011;60(3):412–21.
- Ward C, Lucas M, Piris J, Collier J, Chapel H. Abnormal liver function in common variable immunodeficiency disorders due to nodular regenerative hyperplasia. Clin Exp Immunol. 2008;153(3):331–7.
- Szablewski V, René C, Costes V. Indolent cytotoxic T cell lymphoproliferation associated with nodular regenerative hyperplasia: a common liver lesion in the context of common variable immunodeficiency disorder. Virchows Arch. 2015. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00428-015-1862-0.
- Crescenzi L, Pecoraro A, Fiorentino A, Poto R, Varricchi G, Rispo A, et al. Liver stiffness assessment by transient elastography suggests high prevalence of liver involvement in common variable immunodeficiency. Dig Liver Dis. 2019;51(11):1599–603.
- Azzu V, Fonseca M, Duckworth A, Kennard L, Moini N, Qurashi M, et al. Liver disease is common in patients with common variable immunodeficiency and predicts mortality in the presence of cirrhosis or portal hypertension. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(7):2484-6.e3.
- Fuss IJ, Friend J, Yang Z, He JP, Hooda L, Boyer J, et al. Nodular regenerative hyperplasia in common variable immunodeficiency. J Clin Immunol. 2013;33(4):748–58.
- Castéra L, Vergniol J, Foucher J, Le Bail B, Chanteloup E, Haaser M, et al. Prospective comparison of transient elastography, Fibrotest, APRI, and liver biopsy for the assessment of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterology. 2005;128(2):343–50.
- 24. Li Y, Huang YS, Wang ZZ, Yang ZR, Sun F, Zhan SY, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2016;43(4):458–69.
- 25. Pavlov CS, Casazza G, Nikolova D, Tsochatzis E, Burroughs AK, Ivashkin VT, et al. Transient elastography for diagnosis of stages of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in people with alcoholic liver disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;1(1):Cd010542.

- Wong VW, Vergniol J, Wong GL, Foucher J, Chan HL, Le Bail B, et al. Diagnosis of fibrosis and cirrhosis using liver stiffness measurement in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology. 2010;51(2):454–62.
- Hartl J, Denzer U, Ehlken H, Zenouzi R, Peiseler M, Sebode M, et al. Transient elastography in autoimmune hepatitis: timing determines the impact of inflammation and fibrosis. J Hepatol. 2016;65(4):769–75.
- Pecoraro A, Crescenzi L, Varricchi G, Marone G, Spadaro G. Heterogeneity of liver disease in common variable immunodeficiency disorders. Front Immunol. 2020;11:338.
- 29. Nielsen MJ, Nedergaard AF, Sun S, Veidal SS, Larsen L, Zheng Q, et al. The neo-epitope specific PRO-C3 ELISA measures true formation of type III collagen associated with liver and muscle parameters. Am J Transl Res. 2013;5(3):303–15.
- 30. Leeming DJ, Nielsen MJ, Dai Y, Veidal SS, Vassiliadis E, Zhang C, et al. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent serum assay specific for the 7S domain of Collagen Type IV (P4NP 7S): a marker related to the extracellular matrix remodeling during liver fibrogenesis. Hepatol Res. 2012;42(5):482–93.
- Johnson PJ, Berhane S, Kagebayashi C, Satomura S, Teng M, Reeves HL, et al. Assessment of liver function in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a new evidence-based approach-the ALBI grade. J Clin Oncol. 2015;33(6):550–8.
- 32. Bel Lassen P, Nori N, Bedossa P, Genser L, Aron-Wisnewsky J, Poitou C, Surabattula R, Juul Nielsen M, Asser Karsdal M, Julie Leeming D, Schuppan D, Clément K. Fibrogenesis marker PRO-C3 Is higher in advanced liver fibrosis and improves in patients undergoing bariatric surgery. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2022;107(4):e1356–66.
- Nielsen MJ, Veidal SS, Karsdal MA, Ørsnes-Leeming DJ, Vainer B, Gardner SD, et al. Plasma Pro-C3 (N-terminal type III collagen propeptide) predicts fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. Liver Int. 2015;35(2):429–37.
- Boyle M, Tiniakos D, Schattenberg JM, Ratziu V, Bugianessi E, Petta S, et al. Performance of the PRO-C3 collagen neo-epitope biomarker in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. JHEP Rep. 2019;1(3):188–98.
- Gathmann B, Mahlaoui N, Gérard L, Oksenhendler E, Warnatz K, Schulze I, et al. Clinical picture and treatment of 2212 patients with common variable immunodeficiency. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2014;134(1):116–26.
- Vuille-Lessard É, Rodrigues SG, Berzigotti A. Noninvasive detection of clinically significant portal hypertension in compensated advanced chronic liver disease. Clin Liver Dis. 2021;25(2):253–89.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.