## Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

## Title

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT FOR MARCH. OIL SHALE WASTE TREATMENT: FUNDAMENTAL APPROACHES

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6fv1921d

### Authors

Jones, Bonnie M. Persoff, Peter Sakaji, Richard H. <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 1982-03-01





# Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

## ENERGY & ENVIRONMENT RECEIVED DIVISION

LAWRENCE BERKELEY ADODATORY

APR 21 1983

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION



Not to be taken from this room

-BID-498



#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY

Bldg.: Room: Ext.:

#### 29 March 1982

#### TO: Art Hartstein

FROM: Bonnie M. Jones, Peter Persoff, Richard H. Sakaji, and Jerome F. Thomas Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, California 94720 and Christian G. Daughton Sanitary Engineering and Environmental Health Research Laboratory University of California, Berkeley Richmond, California 94804

RE:

Monthly Progress Report for March Oil Shale Waste Treatment: Fundamental Approaches LBID-498

This work was prepared for the Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.

#### TASK 1. ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY

#### Ozone Determination

To verify the quantity of ozone production and to quantitate the amount of ozone that is being consumed or decomposed during retort water pretreatment experiments, the ozone determination method from <u>Standard Methods</u>, 15th edition, was followed. Samples of gas from either the ozone generator or from the reaction vessel were bubbled through a 20-g/L solution of potassium iodide. The ozone reacts quantitatively with the iodide to produce iodine which is then titrated with 0.005 N sodium thiosulfate; the mass of ozone in the gas phase can be calculated from the volume of titrant required. The ozone production rate from an Aqueonics 1T Ozone Generator (Aqueonics Division, ARCO Environmental, Inc., Dublin, CA) was found to be within specifications; we found, however, that a 30-minute warm-up period was required for consistent ozone production when the feed gas was oxygen.

#### TASK 2. BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF PROCESS WATERS

#### Enrichment Studies

The enrichment studies from February were continued. On the basis of cell counts, the four most promising cultures were selected and monitored for removal of DOC from Oxy-6 spent retort water. Two of these cultures, one derived from an inoculum of activated sludge and the other from soil exposed to retort water, showed negligible DOC removal (less than 20 mg/L). The remaining two cultures, both derived from a domestic compost inoculum, removed 90 and 100 mg/L of DOC. These removals are somewhat lower than those achieved in the previous enrichment study (January 1982 monthly report). The remaining 37 cultures were pooled and are being maintained for future work.

TASK 3. PHYSICOCHEMICAL TREATMENT OF PROCESS WATERS

#### Steam Stripper

Plumbing of the 6-kW, 240-V circulation heater (steam drier) was completed early this month. The present steam generation unit is now being tested. Startup and operational procedures are being developed and documented.

The excessive condensation we had observed in the bottoms collector was eliminated during a 30-minute test run. Three factors contributed to this improved performance. (1) Additional insulation of the steam generator raised its maximum operating temperature to 120  $^{\circ}$ C (an increase of 5  $^{\circ}$ C); insulation was also added to the reactor column, bottoms collector, and steam lines. (2) The steam drier temperature was increased to 190  $^{\circ}$ C. (3) The cooling system of the overheads condenser was operated with gradual additions of cool make-up water (2.4 L per minute when solenoid activated; controller set for 105  $^{\circ}$ C).

A ratio of overheads to bottoms volumes of approximately 13:1 resulted from these modifications. The operating temperatures of the various units were fairly stable; the bottoms temperature varied between 106.2 and  $107.2 \, {}^{\rm o}$ C, and the overheads temperature between 103.6 and 104.0  $\, {}^{\rm o}$ C. Even without use of the cooling system, a stable temperature differential of approximately 2  $\, {}^{\rm o}$ C between the overheads and bottoms was maintained, an indication that the system was operating as designed; system pressure was between 1.5 and 2 psig. Evacuation of air from the system by imposing a vacuum or by physical displacement with water followed by draining proved unnecessary to achieve this level of operation. Rapid cooling of the system on shutdown, however, resulted in a residual system pressure of approximately one inch of mercury. This indicated the presence of residual air in the system which may have to be removed for optimal performance of the steam stripper. We will continue to modify operational parameters to achieve optimum performance of the steam stripper before experimental samples are run.

- 3' -

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720