Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
COLLECTIVE VERSUS INDEPENDENT ANNIHILATION OF ""TRANS-HYDROGEN"" ANTINUCLEI.

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6gd158xk

Authors
Friedlander, E.M.
Plumer, M.

Publication Date
1989-04-01

eScholarship.org Powered by the California Digital Library
University of California

LBL-27061
Preprint

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JUL 24 1989

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Submitted to Physical Review Letters

Collective versus Independent Annihilation of "Trans-Hydrogen" Antinuclei

E.M. Friedlander and M. Plümer

April 1989

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.



LBL-27061
c.2

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

Collective vs. Independent Annihilation of "Trans-hydrogen" Antinuclei

E.M.Friedlander and M. Plümer

Nuclear Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley CA 94720

Abstract

Annihilation of "heavy" antinuclei, such as $\overline{\alpha}$ in nuclear matter could serve to probe whether the constituent antinucleons annihilate independently of each other or whether a single fireball is formed. In the latter case the total meson multiplicity distribution should have a lower mean and a larger relative width than in the trivial case. The meson spectrum would be correspondingly harder.

With the advent of high-energy heavy ion accelerators and advanced detectors for heavy secondary fragments and anti-fragments [1], one may soon expect rather abundent separated beams of antinuclei heavier than hydrogen (such as $\bar{d}$, $\bar{t}$, $\bar{\alpha}$) to become available. Beside the intrinsic interest in the production mechanisms involved [1], a useful by-product of such experiments might be the investigation of the annihilation process of the antinuclei produced in high-energy nucleus-nucleus collisions.

This annihilation process can be imagined within the context of two extreme scenarios:

- The ν initial antinucleons annihilate independently of each other; in this case (hereafter denoted by A) the multiplicity distribution (MD) of the mesons resulting from the annihilation is expected to be just the ν-fold convolution of the MD for a $p\bar{p}$ annihilation with the same laboratory Lorentz factor γ_0.

- The incident antinucleus annihilates as a whole, leading to formation of a single "fireball". In this case (denoted by B) the MD is determined by the total effective c.m.s. energy of the annihilating baryons+anti-baryons.

We will show below that considerable differences between the MD's are to be expected in these two cases.

Previous theoretical studies of $p\bar{p}$ and $d\bar{d}$ (case A) annihilation at rest as well as at moderate energies have been carried out in refs. [2,3,4] where the authors considered the implications of the process for the investigation of the equation of state and/or quark-gluon-plasma formation. "Independent" $d\bar{d}$ annihilation (case B) was treated within the Glauber formalism in refs. [5,6]. For a review of microscopic theoretical descriptions of $p\bar{p}$ annihilation in the QCD context see ref. [7].

As long as one is interested only in the gross features of the MD of annihilation secondaries one may ignore detailed model representations and use only the property of "universality" , i.e. the independence of the final state on the nature of the incident particles depositing a given effective c.m.s. energy $\sqrt{s_{eff}}$ into meson production. For this purpose direct experimental information is readily available.

For the basic data on $p\bar{p}$ annihilation we use the detailed cross-section tables of ref. [8]. Fortunately reliable measurements of MD parameters concerning hadronic particle production in pp-collisions *at fixed effective c.m.s. energy* (i.e. after subtraction of the leading baryons) have come out of a hybrid HBC-spectrometer experiment [9], up to $\sqrt{s_{eff}} \simeq 12\ GeV$. Therefrom one may deduce such basic characteristics of the MD for negative secondaries (mostly pions) as the mean $< n^- >$ and the dispersion

$$D_{n^-} \equiv \sqrt{< (n^-)^2 > - < n^- >^2}, \tag{1}$$

which measures the absolute width of the distribution. The (more relevant) relative width of the MD is given by

$$\epsilon^- \equiv \frac{D_{n^-}}{< n^- >}; \tag{2}$$

the deviation of the MD from a Poisson distribution is measured, e.g. by the normalized second factorial cumulant:

$$\varphi_2^- \equiv (\epsilon^-)^2 - \frac{1}{< n^- >}. \tag{3}$$

If the incident antinucleus has a lab Lorentz factor γ_0 then the effective c.m.s. energy is

$$\sqrt{s_{eff}} = \tilde{\nu}(2m_N)\sqrt{\frac{\gamma_0 + 1}{2}} \tag{4}$$

where $\tilde{\nu} = 1$ for $p\bar{p}$ and case A whereas $\tilde{\nu} = \nu$ for case B.

For independent annihilation (case A) the ν-fold convolution yields

$$< n^- >_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\ indep.} = \nu < n^- >_{p\bar{p}(\sqrt{s_{eff}})}, \tag{5}$$

and

$$(D_{n^-})_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\ indep.} = \sqrt{\nu}(D_{n^-})_{p\bar{p}(\sqrt{s_{eff}})}. \tag{6}$$

For "collective" annihilation one expects

$$< n^- >_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\ collect.} = < n^- >_{pp(\sqrt{s_{eff}})}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$(D_{n^-})_{\alpha\bar{\alpha},\ collect.} = (D_{n^-})_{pp(\sqrt{s_{eff}})}. \tag{8}$$

The results of ref. [9] are best given in terms of the (very good) fits:

$$< n^- >= 0.88\ (\sqrt{s_{eff}})^{0.52}, \tag{9}$$

and

$$D_{n^-} = 0.105 + 0.535\ \ln\sqrt{s_{eff}}. \tag{10}$$

We consider now the cases of annihilation at rest (γ_0=1) as well as in flight at $\gamma_0 \approx 12$ for which detailed experimental data are provided in ref.[8].

For incident $\overline{\alpha}$ ($\nu = 4$) the numbers turn out as presented in Table 1.

Table 1.

Annihilation	γ_0	$\sqrt{s_{eff}}$ (GeV)	$< n^- >$	D_{n^-}	ϵ^-	φ_2^-
$p\overline{p}$	1	1.88	1.52	0.68	0.45	-0.46
$\alpha\overline{\alpha}$ (indep.)	1	1.88	6.08	1.35	0.22	-0.12
$\alpha\overline{\alpha}$ (collect.)	1	7.50	2.51	1.83	0.47	-0.18
$p\overline{p}$	12	4.93	2.76	0.99	0.36	-0.23
$\alpha\overline{\alpha}$ (indep.)	12	4.93	11.05	1.97	0.18	-0.06
$\alpha\overline{\alpha}$ (collect.)	12	19.72	4.08	1.68	0.41	-0.07

As can be seen (at both incident energies), if case B is realized, the mean multiplicity is smaller by a factor of about 2.5 than in case A. Obviously since energy is conserved, the mean meson energy would be higher by the same factor. As to the relative widths of the MD's (which are both "sub-Poisson" since $\varphi_2^- < 0$), they also differ by a factor exceeding 2 ,the MD being narrower in the "independent " case and closer to a Poisson distribution.

Such large differences in the MD should be easily detectable even with the limited statistics available in the early phases of new experiments.

Useful discussions with P.Lindstrom and A.Shor are gratefully acknowledged.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Nuclear Physics Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098. M.P was supported in part by a post-doctoral fellowship of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, F.R. of Germany.

References

[1] H.Crawford *et al.* ,Proposal P853/89, Brookhaven National Laboratory, (1989)

[2] J.Rafelski, Phys.Lett., **91B**,281,(1980)

[3] D.Strottman, *ibid.*, **119B**,39,(1982)

[4] D.Strottman and W.R.Gibbs, *ibid.*, **149B**,288,(1984)

[5] J.Formanek, Czech.J.Phys., **31**,1256,(1981)

[6] V.Šimak,*ibid.*, **31**,1341,(1981)

[7] A.M.Green and J.A.Niskanen, Progr.Part.Nucl.Phys., **18**,93,(1987)

[8] V.Flaminio *et al.*, Compilation of Cross-Sections III : p and $\overline{p}$ Induced Reactions, CERN-HERA 84-01, (1984)

[9] D.Brick *et al.*, Phys.Lett., **103B**,241,(1981)

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
1 CYCLOTRON ROAD
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720