

Inhibition of PDE5A1 guanosine cyclic monophosphate (cGMP) hydrolysing activity by sildenafil analogues that inhibit cellular cGMP efflux

Anna Subbotina^a, Aina W. Ravna^a, Roy A. Lysaa^a, Ruben Abagyan^b, Ryszard Bugno^c and Georg Sager^a

^aExperimental and Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø – The Arctic University of Norway, Tromsø, Norway, ^bSkaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA and ^cDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, Institute of Pharmacology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland

Keywords

guanosine cyclic monophosphate; inhibitors; molecular modelling; PDE5; sildenafil analogues

Correspondence

Georg Sager, Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Tromsø, N-9037 Tromsø, Norway.
E-mail: georg.sager@uit.no

Received July 6, 2016

Accepted December 18, 2016

doi: 10.1111/jphp.12693

Abstract

Objectives To determine the ability of 11 sildenafil analogues to discriminate between cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (cnPDEs) and to characterise their inhibitory potencies (K_i values) of PDE5A1-dependent guanosine cyclic monophosphate (cGMP) hydrolysis.

Methods Sildenafil analogues were identified by virtual ligand screening (VLS) and screened for their ability to inhibit adenosine cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) hydrolysis by PDE1A1, PDE1B1, PDE2A1, PDE3A, PDE10A1 and PDE10A2, and cGMP hydrolysis by PDE5A, PDE6C, PDE9A2 for a low (1 nM) and high concentration (10 μ M). Complete IC_{50} plots for all analogues were performed for PDE5A-dependent cGMP hydrolysis. Docking studies and scoring were made using the ICM molecular modelling software.

Key findings The analogues in a low concentration showed no or low inhibition of PDE1A1, PDE1B1, PDE2A1, PDE3A, PDE10A1 and PDE10A2. In contrast, PDE5A and PDE6C were markedly inhibited to a similar extent by the analogues in a low concentration, whereas PDE9A2 was much less inhibited. The analogues showed a relative narrow range of K_i values for PDE5A inhibition (1.2–14 nM). The sildenafil molecule was docked in the structure of PDE5A1 co-crystallised with sildenafil. All the analogues had similar binding poses as sildenafil.

Conclusions Sildenafil analogues that inhibit cellular cGMP efflux are potent inhibitors of PDE5A and PDE6C.

Introduction

Cyclic nucleotide signalling plays an essential role in normal cell physiology and is impaired in many pathological conditions, such as heart disease, pulmonary hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, obesity, diabetes and cancer.^[1] The family of human phosphodiesterases (PDEs) comprises 11 main forms, from which PDEs 4, 7 and 8 are adenosine cyclic monophosphate (cAMP) selective; PDEs 5, 6 and 9 are guanosine cyclic monophosphate (cGMP) selective; and PDEs 1, 2, 3, 10 and 11 hydrolyse both cAMP and cGMP.^[2] However, sildenafil raises cellular cGMP levels by two mechanisms, reduction in cellular cGMP efflux by ATP-binding cassette transporter subfamily C,

member 5 (ABCC5), previously termed multidrug resistance-associated protein 5 (MRP5),^[3] in addition to inhibition of PDE5 activity.^[4]

Observations suggest that some binding site resemblance exists between PDE5 and ABCC5. In addition to sildenafil, other compounds with ability to inhibit PDE5 activity also reduce cellular cGMP efflux, such as zaprinast,^[3,5,6] dipyrindamole,^[5,6] vardenafil and tadalafil^[6] and trequinsin.^[3] In contrast, non-selective PDE inhibitors, such as IBMX (3-isobutyl-1-methyl-xanthine),^[6,7] caffeine and theophylline,^[6] have much lower affinity for the cGMP efflux pump.

The K_i ratio for sildenafil inhibition of cellular cGMP efflux (ABCC5) and hydrolysis (PDE5) is approximately 1000 : 1. In an attempt to balance the action on ABCC5

and PDE5 (K_i ratio reduction), we identified a series of 11 high-affinity cGMP transporter inhibitors by virtual ligand screening (VLS).^[8] Some of them, IN-01 and IN-02 with K_i values of 75 and 65 nM, respectively, were clearly more potent than sildenafil (K_i of 1200 nM) in their inhibition of cGMP efflux.^[8] The present work characterises their selectivity towards other cnPDEs, their interaction with PDE5A determined both by inhibition of cGMP hydrolysis, and docking studies of the analogues into the enzyme-binding site. The possibility of creating dual and balanced inhibitors (of both PDE5 and ABCC5) by VLS (virtual ligand screening) represents the novelty of this study.

Materials and Methods

Sildenafil analogues

The sildenafil analogues (Table 1) were purchased from Ambinter (Greenpharma SAS, Orleans, France) with exception of 4-ethoxy-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo [4, 3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)-*N*-[3-(1-methylpyrrolidin-2-yl)pyridine-2-yl] benzenesulfonamide (I-03) which was unavailable. Consequently, this compound was synthesised at the Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Institute of Pharmacology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, Poland. The synthesis was achieved, using commercially available 5-(2-ethoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-3-*n*-propyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]-7-pyrimidinone (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany), in three-step sequence following procedures reported in the literature.^[9] 2-Aminonicotine used in the last step was prepared from (–)-nicotine according to the previously published procedure.^[10]

Phosphodiesterase assay for screening of sildenafil analogues




The screening was performed by BPS Bioscience Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA) with the following materials: PDE assay buffer (BPS), PDE binding agent (BPS), PDE binding agent diluent for cAMP (BPS), PDE binding agent diluent for cGMP (BPS), Bay 60-7550 was purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI, USA), and cilostamide, sildenafil citrate and papaverine were purchased from Axxora (San Diego, CA, USA). Bay 73-6691 was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The assays comprised 10 μ M and 1 nM dilutions of the test compound in assay buffer (10% DMSO concentration), and 5 μ l of the dilution was added to a 50 μ l reaction so that the final concentration of DMSO is 1% in all of reactions. The enzymatic reactions were conducted at room temperature for 60 min in a 50 μ l mixture containing PDE assay buffer, 100 nM FAM-cAMP, or 100 nM FAM-cGMP, a cnPDE enzyme and the test compound. Bay 60-7550 (10 μ M) was used as a reference

compound for PDE1A1, PDE1B, PDE1C and PDE2A1 with respective inhibition of 98%, 97%, 98% and 99%. Cilostamide (10 μ M) was used for PDE3A and PDE3B and inhibited 99% and 99% of activity. The reference substance for PDE5 and PDE6C was sildenafil (1 μ M) and inhibited both enzymes with 99%. The reference substance for PDE9A was Bay73-6691 (10 μ M) which gave an inhibition of 99%. Papaverine (10 μ M) was employed for PDE10A1 and PDE10A2 and inhibited 99% of activity. After the enzymatic reaction, 100 μ l of a binding solution (1 : 100 dilution of the binding agent with the binding agent diluent) was added to each reaction, and the reaction was performed at room temperature for 60 min. Fluorescence intensity was measured at an excitation of 485 nm and an emission of 528 nm using a Tecan Infinite M1000 microplate reader. PDE activity assays were performed in duplicate at each concentration. Fluorescence intensity was converted to fluorescence polarisation using the Tecan Magellan6 software. The fluorescence polarisation data were analysed using the computer software, GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). The fluorescence polarisation (FPt) in absence of the compound in each data set was defined as 100% activity. In the absence of cnPDE and the compound, the value of fluorescent polarisation (FPb) in each data set was defined as 0% activity. The per cent activity in the presence of the compound was calculated according to the following equation: % activity = (FP – FPb)/(FPt – FPb) \times 100%, where FP = the fluorescence polarisation in the presence of the compound.

IC₅₀ assay for PDE5A1 characterisation

Phosphodiesterase 5A1 human, recombinant, expressed in Sf9 cells, Supelco Discovery SPE (1 ml) with DSC-SAX (100 mg/ml), unlabelled cGMP, crotalus atrox venom and bovine serum albumin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. [³H]-cGMP (sp. act 1 mCi/mmol) was obtained from PerkinElmer Inc (Boston, MA, USA). The K_m values of the PDE5A1 cGMP hydrolysis were determined using mixtures of [³H]-cGMP and non-labelled cGMP to achieve total cGMP concentrations from 0.1 to 10 μ M. To obtain IC₅₀ values, seven concentrations (0.01 nM–10 μ M) of each inhibitor were incubated with 5 μ M [³H]-cGMP/unlabelled cGMP. The reaction mixture comprised 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 0.3 mg/ml BSA, 1.5 mM dithiothreitol and 3 mM MgCl₂. Incubation time was 10 min at 30 °C. In all studies, less than 10% of added [³H]-cGMP was hydrolysed to [³H]-GMP during the reaction. The reaction was terminated by transferring the reactant to a water bath (100 °C for 1 min) and cooled on ice (1–2 min). [³H]-GMP was hydrolysed to [³H]-guanosine by adding 2.5 μ l 10 mg/ml crotalus atrox snake venom which contains a potent 5'-nucleotidase.^[11] The mixture was incubated for 10 min at 30 °C and then

Table 1 Inhibitors (sildenafil analogues), IUPAC-names, molecular structure and PubChem CID

Inhibitor	IUPAC name	Molecular structure	PubChem CID
Sildenafil	5-[2-ethoxy-5-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)sulfonylphenyl]-1-methyl-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-7-one		5212
IN-01	5-[[3-(3-tert-butyl-1-methyl-7-oxo-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidin-5-yl)-4-ethoxyphenyl]sulfonylamino]-2-hydroxybenzoic acid		1598490
IN-02	5-[[4-ethoxy-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)phenyl]sulfonylamino]-2-hydroxybenzoic acid		1899750
IN-03	4-Ethoxy-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)-N-[3-(1-methylpyrrolidin-2-yl)pyridine-2-yl]benzenesulfonamide		4921527
IN-04	4-Ethoxy-N,N-diethyl-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide		1899174
IN-05	4-Ethoxy-N-methyl-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide		1896380
IN-06	4-Ethoxy-N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide		1900265
IN-07	4-Ethoxy-N-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-N-Methyl-3-(1-Methyl-7-Oxo-3-Propyl-4H- Pyrazolo[4,3-D]Pyrimidine-5-Yl)Benzenesulfonamide		1896597
IN-08	5-[5-(azepan-1-ylsulfonyl)-2-ethoxyphenyl]-1-methyl-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo [4,3-d]pyrimidine-7-one		1897952
IN-09	5-(2-ethoxy-5-piperidin-1-ylsulfonylphenyl)-1-methyl-3-propyl-4H- pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidine-7-one		1896867
IN-10	4-Ethoxy-N,N-dimethyl-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo [4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide		1902581
IN-11	N-Benzyl-4-ethoxy-3-(1-methyl-7-oxo-3-propyl-4H-pyrazolo [4,3-d]pyrimidine-5-yl)benzenesulfonamide		1896826

The compounds were identified by virtual ligand screening (VLS).^[8]

diluted in 250 μ l 10 mM Tris/8.2 mM propionic acid (pH 7.5). The samples were applied on a preconditioned/equilibrated DSC-SAX column to separate [3 H]-guanosine from [3 H]-GMP. The columns were washed with 100 μ l 10 mM Tris/8.2 mM propionic acid buffer (pH 7.5) five times. The eluate (400 μ l) was transferred to a scintillation vial containing 10-ml scintillation cocktail (Ultima Gold XR; Packard, Groningen, the Netherlands), and radioactivity was quantified in a Packard 1900 TR Liquid Scintillation analyser.

Data analysis and statistics

Values for K_m and IC_{50} were obtained according to Chou,^[12] and K_i values were calculated according to Cheng and Prusoff.^[13] The descriptive statistics is presented as mean value \pm SE in text, tables and figures. Kruskal-Wallis test (nonparametric ANOVA) with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test was used to compare the K_i values for the analogues with that of sildenafil (InStat, ver. 3.10 for Windows; GraphPad Software).

Molecular modelling

Docking studies and scoring were performed using the ICM molecular modelling software (Molsoft LLC, San

Diego, CA, USA).^[14] The crystal structure of PDE5A1^[15] in complex with sildenafil (PDB ID: 2H42) with the resolution 2.3 Å was converted to an ICM object, and receptor maps were calculated based on the pocket defined by position of co-crystallised sildenafil in the crystal structure. Ligands were prepared in the ICM ligand editor and converted to 3D when setting up the ligand during the docking session. Charges were also assigned in this step. The ligands were modelled using the ICM molecule editor and docked into PDE5 using interactive docking. Tautomer sampling was performed, as sildenafil may exist in three tautomeric forms. The docking poses were scored by the ICM scoring function. The scoring function gives a score optimised to rank order the docking hits by their binding affinity.^[16] The lower the ICM score, the higher the chance the ligand has a high affinity to the drug target.

Results

Sildenafil analogues and cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase selectivity

The sildenafil analogues (Table 1) obtained for inhibition studies of cGMP efflux^[8] were screened for their ability to

Table 2 The inhibitors (IN-01–IN-11) listed in Table 1 were screened for their inhibitory activity on a panel of phosphodiesterase (PDE) family members as described in Methods

	PDE1A1	PDE1B	PDE1C	PDE2A1	PDE3A	PDE3B	PD5A	PDE6C	PDE9A2	PDE10A1	PDE10A2
IN-01	93 \pm 1.4	100 \pm 2.8	90 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 0	101 \pm 3.5	102 \pm 2.1	41 \pm 0.7	33 \pm 1.4	95 \pm 5.7	99 \pm 0.7	99 \pm 0
	30 \pm 1.4	41 \pm 2.8	26 \pm 0.7	33 \pm 0.7	46 \pm 2.1	40 \pm 0	4.0 \pm 1.4	11 \pm 1.4	7.0 \pm 2.8	39 \pm 1.4	30 \pm 1.4
IN-02	94 \pm 2.1	96 \pm 2.1	97 \pm 1.4	97 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 0	100 \pm 4.2	35 \pm 1.4	45 \pm 2.8	92 \pm 0	99 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 2.1
	44 \pm 0	51 \pm 1.4	39 \pm 1.4	45 \pm 1.4	65 \pm 1.4	50 \pm 2.1	15 \pm 2.1	11 \pm 2.8	17 \pm 1.4	57 \pm 0	43 \pm 0
IN-03	96 \pm 2.8	98 \pm 1.4	98 \pm 2.1	95 \pm 0	97 \pm 0	98 \pm 0	93 \pm 0.7	69 \pm 0	97 \pm 5.7	93 \pm 0	99 \pm 0.7
	2.0 \pm 0	6.0 \pm 0.7	1.0 \pm 0	29 \pm 1.4	51 \pm 0.7	62 \pm 1.4	1.0 \pm 0	3.5 \pm 0.7	29 \pm 2.8	2.0 \pm 2.8	7 \pm 0
IN-04	95 \pm 3.5	96 \pm 0.7	99 \pm 0.7	99 \pm 0	98 \pm 2.1	102 \pm 0	49 \pm 0.7	49 \pm 4.9	95 \pm 0	94 \pm 2.8	98 \pm 1.4
	10 \pm 1.4	16 \pm 0	0 \pm 1.4	46 \pm 1.4	50 \pm 0.7	65 \pm 0.7	1.0 \pm 0	4.5 \pm 2.1	29 \pm 5.7	25 \pm 2.1	31 \pm 0
IN-05	94 \pm 0	99 \pm 2.1	99 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 1.4	96 \pm 0.7	99 \pm 1.4	94 \pm 3.5	81 \pm 2.8	97 \pm 3.5	99 \pm 0.7	94 \pm 4.2
	15 \pm 2.1	23 \pm 2.1	0.5 \pm 0.7	50 \pm 1.4	79 \pm 0	83 \pm 0.7	1.5 \pm 0.7	2.5 \pm 2.1	37 \pm 3.5	46 \pm 0.7	53 \pm 0
IN-06	95 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 3.5	99 \pm 0.7	99 \pm 1.4	92 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 1.4	98 \pm 2.1	89 \pm 2.1	100 \pm 3.5	95 \pm 4.9	96 \pm 0
	2.0 \pm 2.8	24 \pm 2.8	3.0 \pm 1.4	57 \pm 2.1	78 \pm 0.7	87 \pm 2.1	3.5 \pm 3.5	6.0 \pm 2.8	48 \pm 0	52 \pm 0.7	63 \pm 0
IN-07	96 \pm 2.0	95 \pm 3.5	98 \pm 0	97 \pm 1.4	95 \pm 2.1	98 \pm 0.7	93 \pm 2.1	74 \pm 2.1	101 \pm 1.4	92 \pm 2.8	97 \pm 0.7
	12 \pm 0	29 \pm 1.4	1.0 \pm 1.4	60 \pm 2.1	73 \pm 0.5	83 \pm 0.7	2.5 \pm 0.7	6.5 \pm 2.1	28 \pm 3.5	57 \pm 0.7	61 \pm 1.4
IN-08	94 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 2.1	97 \pm 0.7	96 \pm 1.4	101 \pm 2.8	100 \pm 0	37 \pm 2.8	44 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 5.7	90 \pm 2.8	99 \pm 0.7
	16 \pm 3.5	22 \pm 2.1	2.0 \pm 0.7	77 \pm 0	78 \pm 3.5	84 \pm 0.7	1.5 \pm 0.7	1.0 \pm 0	56 \pm 1.4	60 \pm 2.8	69 \pm 2.1
IN-09	92 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 2.1	97 \pm 2.1	99 \pm 2.1	95 \pm 2.8	98 \pm 1.4	59 \pm 4.2	66 \pm 0	100 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 1.4	97 \pm 0.7
	12 \pm 1.4	28 \pm 0.7	1.0 \pm 0	79 \pm 2.1	74 \pm 0.7	88 \pm 2.8	1.5 \pm 0.7	1.5 \pm 0.7	67 \pm 1.4	60 \pm 0.7	66 \pm 2.8
IN-10	97 \pm 1.4	99 \pm 2.1	97 \pm 0.7	96 \pm 0.7	98 \pm 2.1	100 \pm 0.7	101 \pm 4.2	97 \pm 0	95 \pm 1.4	94 \pm 0.7	101 \pm 0.7
	11 \pm 0	31 \pm 0	3.0 \pm 0.7	55 \pm 0.7	69 \pm 3.5	81 \pm 2.1	1.0 \pm 0	6.0 \pm 1.4	33 \pm 4.9	56 \pm 4.2	60 \pm 0
IN-11	99 \pm 0.7	94 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 1.4	99 \pm 1.4	96 \pm 2.1	99 \pm 0.7	90 \pm 1.4	60 \pm 3.5	98 \pm 0.7	97 \pm 2.8	98 \pm 2.8
	11 \pm 0.7	28 \pm 2.8	5.0 \pm 0	34 \pm 0.7	83 \pm 2.8	91 \pm 0.7	4.0 \pm 1.4	5.0 \pm 1.4	78 \pm 0.7	69 \pm 3.5	89 \pm 2.8

They were tested in duplicates for two concentrations (1 nM/10 μ M). FAM-cAMP (100 nM) was used as substrate for PDE1s, PDE2A1, PDE3s and PDE10s, whereas FAM-cGMP (100 nM) was used for PDE5A, PDE6C and PDE9A2. PDE5A was also tested with 1 nM and 10 μ M sildenafil (as a positive control) and reduced the cGMP hydrolysis to 58 \pm 1.4% and 1 \pm 0% of control, respectively. Results (mean \pm SE) are presented as % of control representing two time-independent experiments each in duplicate.

inhibit PDE5A and other members of the *cnPDE* family (Table 2). As described in methods, the screening was performed with a high and low concentration of the compounds. For the cGMP hydrolysing enzymes, the following order of potency existed; for PDE5A (1 nM inhibitor): IN-02 = IN-08 \geq IN-01 > IN-04 > IN-09 > IN-11 \geq IN-03 = IN-07 = IN-05 \geq IN-06 = IN-10. The respective order for PDE6C (1 nM inhibitor) was IN-01 > IN-08 = IN-02 \geq IN-04 > IN-11 > IN-09 \geq IN-03 > IN-07 > IN-05 > IN-06 > IN-10. The inhibitors had low affinity for PDE9A2 with a test concentration of 1 nM. The members of PDE-subfamilies tested for cAMP hydrolysis showed negligible inhibition with 1 nM. Increasing the test concentration to 10 μ M gave markedly inhibition of some of the other *cnPDEs*, including the PDE1, PDE2A1 and the PDE3 (sub-)families. Finally, some of the analogues, in the highest tested concentration, gave virtually complete inhibition of PDE10A1 and PDEA2.

Characterisation of PDE5A1 inhibition by sildenafil analogues

The characteristics (IC_{50}/K_i values) of the 11 sildenafil analogues were assessed by full concentration–inhibition curves for their ability to inhibit PDE5A1-mediated cGMP hydrolysis. The K_m value of PDE5A1-mediated cGMP hydrolysis was $1.7 \pm 0.4 \mu$ M. Sildenafil was employed as reference compound for the inhibitors. A K_i value of 3.3 ± 0.9 nM was obtained for sildenafil under the present experimental conditions. All analogues inhibited the PDE5A1-dependent cGMP hydrolysis in a concentration-dependent manner. Figure 1 shows IC_{50} curves for the analogues with sildenafil as reference substance. Table 3 shows both IC_{50} and K_i values. Three analogues were more potent than the rest (Figure 1, panel a), IN-03, IN-08 and IN-09 with K_i values from 1.2 to 1.9 nM (Table 3). Figure 1 (panel b) shows the second group




Figure 1 The sildenafil analogues were tested for their inhibition of PDE5A-mediated cGMP hydrolysis as described in methods. The experimental points represent mean \pm SE ($n = 6$). Sildenafil was used as reference substance. Panel a: sildenafil (●), IN-03 (▲), IN-08 (×) and IN-09 (■). Panel b: sildenafil (●), IN-01 (▲), IN-02 (×) and IN-04 (■). Panel c: sildenafil (●), IN-05 (▲), IN-11 (×), panel d: sildenafil (●), IN-06 (▲), IN-07 (×) and IN-10 (■).

(IN-01, IN-02 and IN-04) with intermediate affinities (K_i values from 2.6 to 3.0 nM), virtually identical with that of sildenafil (Table 3). The third group (Figure 1, panel c) comprised IN-05 and IN-11 (K_i -values were 7.8 and 9.8 nM). The last group (Figure 1, panel d) with the lowest affinities (K_i value range was 12–14 nM) consisted of IN-06, IN-07 and IN-10. The inhibition curves of sildenafil were shifted from the right (Figure 1, panel a) to the left (Figure 1, panel d). Statistical analysis with Kruskal-Wallis test (nonparametric ANOVA) gave a P value < 0.0001, considered extremely significant. However, the Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test showed that only IN-06 and IN-10 had K_i -values significantly different from that of sildenafil (Table 3).

Docking of novel sildenafil analogues to the crystal structure of PDE5A1 catalytic domain

To assess the accuracy of ICM docking procedure, the sildenafil molecule was docked in the structure of PDE5A1 co-crystallised with sildenafil. Self-docking showed that it occupied spatially the same place as sildenafil from crystal structure (Figure 2, panel a). All the analogues had similar binding poses as sildenafil (Figure 2, panel b). The heterocyclic ring system of the sildenafil-like compounds spatially occupied the same position as in the crystal structure of PDE5A1 with sildenafil. Additionally, the salicylic acid moiety of the compounds IN-01 and IN-02 formed hydrogen bonds with Arg667 and Asn661 (Figure 2, panel c).

Table 3 IC_{50} and K_i values of PDE5A cGMP hydrolysis for sildenafil and its analogues

Inhibitor	IC_{50} (nM)	K_i (nM)
Sildenafil	10.3 ± 2.8	3.3 ± 0.9
IN-01	9.7 ± 0.02	3.0 ± 0.01 ^{ns}
IN-02	8.2 ± 3.7	2.6 ± 1.2 ^{ns}
IN-03	4.5 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 0.4 ^{ns}
IN-04	9.2 ± 0.7	2.9 ± 0.2 ^{ns}
IN-05	24.8 ± 1.2	7.8 ± 0.4 ^{ns}
IN-06	44.3 ± 4.9	14.0 ± 1.6*
IN-07	37.9 ± 6.8	12.0 ± 2.2 ^{ns}
IN-08	3.9 ± 0.7	1.2 ± 0.2 ^{ns}
IN-09	6.0 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 0.4*
IN-10	43.4 ± 2.3	13.7 ± 0.7*
IN-11	30.5 ± 1.7	9.6 ± 0.6 ^{ns}

IC_{50} curves were obtained for inhibitor concentrations between 0.1 nM and 10 μ M, and the IC_{50} value were calculated (given as mean ± SE, $n = 6$) as described by Chou^[12] and transformed to K_i values (given as mean ± SE) according to Cheng and Prusoff.^[13] The results were obtained from three time-independent series. The K_i values of analogues were compared statistically with that of sildenafil. Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple comparisons post-test; ns = $p > 0.05$, * = $p < 0.05$.

Discussion

Sildenafil has become a reference substance for inhibitors of PDE5.^[17] However, other molecular targets for sildenafil have been discovered such as ABC-transporters. Sildenafil interacts with ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein) and ABCG2 (breast cancer resistance protein),^[18] ABCC4 (MRP4),^[19–21] ABCC5 (MRP5)^[3,5,19] and ABCC10 (MRP7).^[22,23] It was not surprising that the sildenafil analogues identified with VLS^[8] inhibited activity of ABCC5^[8] and ABCC4.^[21] These analogues were characterised in this study to decide whether they were able to distinguish between the various cnPDEs and to determine their affinities for PDE5.

The properties of cnPDEs have been extensively reviewed by Conti and Beavo.^[2] Some PDEs hydrolyse both cAMP and cGMP at low substrate levels (PDEs 1, 2, 3, 10 and 11). The present results showed that members of PDE family 1, 2, 3 and 10 were not, or only to a minor extent, inhibited when analogue concentrations were 1 nM. Increasing the concentrations to 10 μ M caused a clear inhibition. Some PDEs selectively recognise and hydrolyse cGMP (PDEs 5, 6 and 9). In this study, the same analogues showed equipotent inhibition of PDE5A and PDE6C. In agreement with this, PDE6 binds sildenafil with similar affinity as PDE5.^[24] On the other hand, the inhibitory potency of PDE9A was much lower.

The screening was succeeded by a thorough characterisation of the inhibitors on PDE5A-mediated cGMP hydrolysis activity. The two different methods employed for screening and PDE5A characterisation gave similar but not identical results. The methods employed for detailed studies on PDE5A were established 4–5 decades ago^[25] but is still in use after continuous refinements.^[26] The K_m value of PDE5A cGMP hydrolysis was 1.7 μ M, virtually identical to that reported (2 μ M) by Francis *et al.*^[27] Furthermore, the K_i value (3.3 nM) obtained here for sildenafil inhibition of PDE5A is in close agreement with that (4 nM) considered as typical.^[27] The 11 sildenafil analogues were able to inhibit cGMP hydrolysis by PDE5 within a relative narrow range of K_i values (1.2–14 nM). Only two of the analogues had K_i -values statistically different from that of sildenafil. This shows that VLS, at least in our hands, is a robust method to predict drug analogues.^[8] The molecular modelling employed in this study emphasises the potential of this technology. The inhibitors were recognised by the same binding site as sildenafil and showed an overlapping interaction. The pyrazolopyrimidine group stacked against phenylalanine-820 and the compounds formed two hydrogen bonds with glutamine-817, previously shown to play key role in PDE5 inhibitor binding.^[28]

The aim of our work was to identify and characterise sildenafil-like inhibitors with a balanced effect on cGMP hydrolysis and cGMP efflux. In our experimental set-ups,




Figure 2 Docking of sildenafil and sildenafil analogues into the crystal structure of PDE5A. Panel a: Location of sildenafil (red) after self-docking and that of sildenafil (black) co-crystallised with PDE5. Panel b: Location and poses of the 11 sildenafil analogues in the sildenafil binding site. Panel c: Location of the salicylic acid moiety of the compounds IN-01 and 1N-02 [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com].

we found virtually identical values K_m values for PDE5 cGMP hydrolysis ($1.7 \mu\text{M}$) in the present work and K_m values for high-affinity cGMP transport as reported in previous studies: 2.4 ,^[7] 2.2 ^[8] and $2.6 \mu\text{M}$.^[21] On the other hand,

the K_i values of sildenafil inhibition of PDE5A1 cGMP hydrolysis and high-affinity cGMP efflux are extremely different. Previously we have reported K_i values of 1.2 – $3.6 \mu\text{M}$ ^[5,8] for the active cellular extrusion of cGMP. This

means a K_i ratio (transport/hydrolysis) of approximately 1000 : 1. It is intriguing that the K_i ratios were clearly lower for some of the sildenafil analogues, being ≈ 25 : 1 for both IN-01 and IN-02, and ≈ 100 : 1 for IN-03. This demonstrates that single molecules may balance action on these two different molecular targets and thereby enhancing the effect of intracellular cGMP. However, the ABC-transporters are multipurpose pumps ('vacuum cleaners') with the ability to remove excess of potential harmful endo- and exobiotics. Development of dual and balanced inhibitors should not completely block this vital cellular function.

Conclusions

Virtual ligand screening was employed to identify sildenafil analogues. In previous studies, some of these compounds reduced cellular efflux of cGMP. In the present work, several of the analogues were more potent, equipotent or less potent

than sildenafil in their inhibition of PDE5A-mediated cGMP hydrolysis. Taken together, these results demonstrate that it is possible to design inhibitors with dual and balanced action.

Declarations

Conflict of interest

The Authors declare that they have no conflict of interests to disclose.

Acknowledgements

The grants from the Norwegian Cancer Association, Aakre Foundation for Cancer Research, Raagholt Foundation for Health Research and the statutory funds of the Institute of Pharmacology (Polish Academy of Sciences) are acknowledged.

References

- Das A *et al.* PDE5 inhibitors as therapeutics for heart disease, diabetes and cancer. *Pharmacol Ther* 2015; 147: 12–21.
- Conti M, Beavo J. Biochemistry and physiology of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases: essential components in cyclic nucleotide signaling. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2007; 76: 481–511.
- Jedlitschky G *et al.* The multidrug resistance protein 5 functions as an ATP-dependent export pump for cyclic nucleotides. *J Biol Chem* 2000; 275: 30069–30074.
- Corbin JD *et al.* Phosphodiesterase type 5 as a pharmacologic target in erectile dysfunction. *Urology* 2002; 60: 4–11.
- Sundkvist E *et al.* Pharmacological characterization of the ATP-dependent low $K(m)$ guanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (cGMP) transporter in human erythrocytes. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2002; 63: 945–949.
- Aronsen L *et al.* Modulation of high affinity ATP-dependent cyclic nucleotide transporters by specific and non-specific cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase inhibitors. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2014; 745: 249–253.
- Schultz C *et al.* Cyclic AMP stimulates the cyclic GMP egression pump in human erythrocytes: effects of probenecid, verapamil, progesterone, theophylline, IBMX, forskolin, and cyclic AMP on cyclic GMP uptake and association to inside-out vesicles. *Biochemistry* 1998; 37: 1161–1166.
- Sager G *et al.* Novel cGMP efflux inhibitors – identified by virtual ligand screening (VLS) and confirmed by experimental studies. *J Med Chem* 2012; 55: 3049–3057.
- Flores Toque HA *et al.* Synthesis and pharmacological evaluations of sildenafil analogues for treatment of erectile dysfunction. *J Med Chem* 2008; 51: 2807–2815.
- Latli B *et al.* Novel and potent 6-chloro-3-pyridinyl ligands for the $\alpha 4\beta 2$ neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *J Med Chem* 1999; 42: 2227–2234.
- Butcher RW, Sutherland EW. Adenosine 3',5'-phosphate in biological materials. I. Purification and properties of cyclic 3',5'-nucleotide phosphodiesterase and use of this enzyme to characterize adenosine 3',5'-phosphate in human urine. *J Biol Chem* 1962; 237: 1244–1250.
- Chou TC. Derivation and properties of Michaelis-Menten type and Hill type equations for reference ligands. *J Theor Biol* 1976; 39: 253–276.
- Cheng YC, Prusoff WH. Relationship between the inhibition constant (KI) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (IC50) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem Pharmacol* 1973; 22: 3099–3108.
- Abagyan R *et al.* ICM – a new method for protein modeling and design. Applications to docking and structure prediction from the distorted native conformation. *J Comput Chem* 1994; 15: 488–506.
- Wang H *et al.* Multiple conformations of phosphodiesterase-5: implications for enzyme function and drug development. *J Biol Chem* 2006; 281: 21469–21479.
- Totrov M, Abagyan R. Derivation of sensitive discrimination potential for virtual ligand screening. In: Istrail S, Pevzner P, Waterman M, eds. *Proceedings of the Third Annual International Conference on Computational Molecular Biology*. Lyon, France: ACM (New York), 1999: 312–320.
- Francis SH *et al.* Inhibition of cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases by methylxanthines and related compounds. In: Fredholm BB, ed. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Verlag, 2011: 93–133.

18. Shi Z *et al.* Sildenafil reverses ABCB1- and ABCG2-mediated chemotherapeutic drug resistance. *Cancer Res* 2011; 71: 3029–3041.
19. Chen ZS *et al.* Analysis of methotrexate and folate transport by multidrug resistance protein 4 (ABCC4): MRP4 is a component of the methotrexate efflux system. *Cancer Res* 2002; 62: 3144–3150.
20. Reid G *et al.* Characterization of the transport of nucleoside analog drugs by the human multidrug resistance proteins MRP4 and MRP5. *Mol Pharmacol* 2003; 63: 1094–1103.
21. Orvoll E *et al.* Misoprostol and the sildenafil analog (PHAR-0099048) modulate cellular efflux of cAMP and cGMP differently. *Pharmacol Pharm* 2013; 4: 104–109.
22. Chen ZS *et al.* Characterization of the transport properties of human multidrug resistance protein 7 (MRP7, ABCC10). *Mol Pharmacol* 2003; 63: 351–358.
23. Chen JJ *et al.* PDE5 inhibitors, sildenafil and vardenafil, reverse multidrug resistance by inhibiting the efflux function of multidrug resistance protein 7 (ATP-binding Cassette C10) transporter. *Cancer Sci* 2012; 103: 1531–1537.
24. Zhang X *et al.* Efficacy and selectivity of phosphodiesterase-targeted drugs in inhibiting photoreceptor phosphodiesterase (PDE6) in retinal photoreceptors. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2005; 46: 3060–3066.
25. Beavo JA *et al.* Hydrolysis of cyclic guanosine and adenosine 3',5'-monophosphates by rat and bovine tissues. *J Biol Chem* 1970; 245: 5649–5655.
26. Sonnenburg WK *et al.* Identification, quantitation, and cellular localization of PDE1 calmodulin-stimulated cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases. *Methods* 1998; 14: 3–19.
27. Francis SH *et al.* Cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases: relating structure and function. *Prog Nucleic Acid Res Mol Biol* 2001; 65: 1–52.
28. Zoraghi R *et al.* Phosphodiesterase-5 Gln817 is critical for cGMP, vardenafil, or sildenafil affinity: its orientation impacts cGMP but not cAMP affinity. *J Biol Chem* 2006; 281: 5553–5558.