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Abstract

Trauma-related disorders of affect and cognition (TRACs) are associated with a high degree of diagnostic comorbidity, which may suggest that these disorders share a set of underlying neural mechanisms. TRACs are characterized by aberrations in functional and structural circuits subserving verbal memory and affective anticipation. Yet, it remains unknown how the neural circuitry underlying these multiple mechanisms contribute to TRACs. Here, in a sample of 47 combat Veterans, we measured affective anticipation using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), verbal memory with fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), and grey matter volume with structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI). Using a voxel-based multimodal canonical correlation analysis (mCCA), the set of neural measures were statistically integrated, or fused, with a set of TRAC symptom measures including mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI), posttraumatic stress, and depression severity. The first canonical correlation pair revealed neural convergence in clusters encompassing the middle frontal gyrus and supplemental motor area, regions implicated in top-down cognitive control and affect regulation. These results highlight the potential of leveraging multivariate neuroimaging analysis for linking neurobiological mechanisms associated with TRACs, paving the way for transdiagnostic biomarkers and targets for treatment.

1. Introduction

The neuropsychiatric consequences of traumatic events (e.g., military combat, assault, natural disasters) can manifest as a trauma-related disorder of affect and cognition (TRAC), including posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), major depressive disorder (MDD), and mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). These disorders are highly debilitating and represent a significant burden for mental health clinicians and public policy makers (Hoge et al., 2008; MacDonald et al., 2011). Moreover, these disorders have a high degree of comorbidity (Goldstein-Piekarski et al., 2016; Stein and McAllister, 2009) — obfuscating clinical decision-making and leading to poor treatment outcomes (Yehuda and Hoge, 2016). Diagnostic comorbidity may indicate that these disorders share underlying neural mechanisms, underscoring the need to provide an objective measure of the converging neural circuitry associated with the enduring effects of trauma (Milham et al., 2017; Woo et al., 2017).

TRACs are commonly linked to aberrations in neural circuits mediating memory processes (Zuj et al., 2016). Indeed, TRACs have been conceptualized as ‘disorders of memory’ (van Marle, 2015), with impairment observed for the encoding and learning phases of verbal memory in list learning tasks (Samuelson, 2011). Meta-analytic and experimental evidence indicates that compared to healthy controls, TRACs have a specific deficit in verbal memory encoding and immediate retrieval relative to visual-spatial memory (Dean and Sterr, 2013; Johnsen and Asbjørnsen, 2008; Mohn and Rund, 2016). Furthermore, pre-deployment verbal memory impairment predicts post-deployment development of TRACs (Marx et al., 2009) and high pre-treatment verbal memory ability on list learning tasks predicts enhanced treatment response (Nijdam et al., 2015; Scott et al., 2017). Verbal memory impairment in TRACs is associated with functional and structural abnormalities across multiple neuroimaging modalities (Bremner et al., 2009). For example, memory impairment has been associated with smaller hippocampal volume using structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) (Monti et al., 2013) and poor verbal memory...
encoding is associated with low hippocampal neural activity measured by functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) (Bremner et al., 2003). Prefrontal cortex activity, using fMRI and PET, is also associated with verbal memory encoding and immediate recall impairment in individuals with TRACs, including PTSD, mTBI, and MDD (Buchbaum et al., 2015; McDonald et al., 2012; J. Zhang et al., 2010). Collectively, these results suggest that deficits in verbal memory processes may be a transdiagnostic feature cutting across multiple TRACs and can be observed through several neuroimaging modalities (Bremner et al., 2009; Samuelson, 2011).

There is considerable evidence across fMRI, sMRI, and PET studies that TRACs are associated with aberrations in core affective processing, with neural differences in regions including the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dLPFC), anterior insula (aINS), ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), hippocampus, and the amygdala compared to healthy controls (Admon et al., 2013; Milad et al., 2009). One such affective process important to trauma-related disorders is affective anticipation (Grupe and Nitschke, 2013; Grupe et al., 2016). Neurobiologically, anticipation of affective information is engaged by the aINS and the extended amygdala (Simmons et al., 2004; Simmons et al., 2006). PTSD is associated with increased aINS and amygdala activity in threat anticipation tasks (Grupe et al., 2016; Simmons et al., 2013). Unmedicated MDD is also associated with increased aINS and decreased prefrontal activity while anticipating aversive pain stimuli, suggesting a deficit in “top-down” regulation of affective responses (Strigo et al., 2013). These findings are consistent with evidence that TRACs are associated with structural connectivity decrements in networks implicated in emotion regulation. However, transdiagnostic aberrations in this circuitry have not always been observed (Glenn et al., 2017; Jovanovic et al., 2010; Reger et al., 2012). These inconsistent findings highlight the need to measure multiple disorders in a single analysis to better understand the circuit dysfunction that cuts across several types of TRACs.

Despite the advances in our understanding of the neural circuitry associated with verbal memory deficits and aversive anticipation abnormalities in TRACs, there is a paucity of research investigating how the relationship between these two mechanisms contribute to TRACs (Zuj et al., 2016). Instead, much of the extant research on TRACs have used a single neuroimaging modality to test a specific circuit hypothesis for a single mechanism. However, focusing on a single neural mechanism (e.g., verbal memory deficits) ignores how the relationship between different mechanisms (e.g., verbal memory processes + affective anticipation) and neural measures (structure and function) mutually contributes to TRACs. One potentially useful approach to address these limitations is to integrate multiple neural measures using multimodal neuroimaging fusion analysis (Calhoun and Sui, 2016; Sui et al., 2012). Multimodal fusion is a class of multivariate analyses that identifies neural patterns from multiple neuroimaging measures by maximizing fit on the shared correlation across features, and can be used to derive transdiagnostic neural profiles of neuropsychiatric disorders (Sui et al., 2013). A multimodal fusion approach has the advantage of potentially identifying complex and high-dimensional relationships between mechanisms that a univariate analytic approach would miss (Sui et al., 2015). Yet, no study to date has attempted this approach to trauma-related disorders.

To this end, we applied a novel voxel-wise multimodal canonical correlation analysis (mCCA) in a sample of Veterans that had completed a verbal memory task of encoding and immediate recall and a threat anticipation task while undergoing FDG-PET and fMRI, respectively. We also collected a high-resolution T1 scan to measure grey matter volume (sMRI). Canonical correlation analysis is a multivariate analysis of correlation, allowing for the measurement of latent canonical variables that represent the maximized linear relationship between multiple sets of variables (Hotelling, 1936). Similar to principal components analysis (PCA) and independent components analysis (ICA), CCA is a form of multivariate data reduction. Whereas, PCA is used to extract the most important features from a single set of variables and ICA derives independent features from a set of variables, CCA is used to extract features from multiple sets of variables such that these multiple features are maximally correlated with each other (Tabachnick and Fidell, 2006). Therefore, CCA has the advantage of explaining variance and novel patterns between multiple sets of features (Härder & Simar, 2015). CCA has been extended to the analysis of multimodal neuroimaging data (mCCA) to link together multiple neural measures to identify multivariate patterns between brain features and psychiatric disorders (Calhoun and Sui, 2016).

The aim of the current investigation was to test whether multivariate neural patterns linking grey matter volume with neural activity associated with verbal memory functioning (FDG-PET) and threat anticipation (fMRI) mutually contribute to TRACs. Individuals with TRACs have been characterized as having problems with top-down control of affective responses and cognition (Etkin and Wager, 2007; Rive et al., 2013; van der Horn et al., 2016). Therefore, we hypothesized that a multivariate neural circuit would be shared across all trauma-related disorders, particularly in regions of the prefrontal cortex that facilitate top-down control (Goldin et al., 2008; Simmons and Matthews, 2012). We also hypothesized that the amygdala and aINS would be prominent regions expressed across imaging modalities (Liberzon and Abelsohn, 2016). Using multimodal fusion analyses with multimodal neuroimaging may provide an unprecedented level of understanding of how TRACs are manifested in the brain — paving the way for neuroscientifically informed diagnosis and treatment.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

To collect a wide array of TRACs, we recruited a sample of 47 male military Veterans from the San Diego Veterans Administration Healthcare System. Two-thirds of the subjects (n = 32) experienced a self-reported combat-related traumatic event, where half of these subjects experienced a self-reported head injury (n = 16). The final third of the subjects (n = 15) did not experience a criterion A trauma during deployment and therefore did not meet criteria for PTSD or mTBI (i.e., Veterans without exposure to blast-related traumatic brain injury and did not meet criteria for deployment-related posttraumatic stress disorder, see Table 1). Subject inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in the Supplement. The institutional review boards of the VA San Diego Research Service approved all study procedures, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects (Buchbaum et al., 2015).

2.2. Measuring trauma-related disorders

To assess for trauma-related disorders, we focused on three primary diagnostic or symptom types: mTBI, PTSD symptoms, and depressive symptoms. To measure the presence or absence of mTBI, subjects were first screened using the self-report Brief traumatic brain injury screen (BTBIS). Criteria for a diagnosis of mTBI were next determined using the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine guidelines (Harrington et al., 1993). PTSD symptoms were assessed with the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-IV (CAPS-IV) (Blake et al., 1995) the gold-standard clinical interview assessing for diagnostic criteria and severity of PTSD. CAPS-IV total score was used to assess PTSD symptom severity. The Beck Depression Inventory version 2 (BDI-II) (Beck et al., 1996) total score was used to assess for symptoms of depression. See Supplemental Methods for more details regarding the psychiatric measures and inclusion/exclusion criteria.
verbal memory task used to assess the ability to encode and im-
list. Each word list was repeated
for 1.5 s each. After the 16th word, subjects verbally recalled the word

Table 1
Demographics and measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (SD) &amp; Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>29.6 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>14.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mTBI</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blast</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dazed</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC &lt; 1 min</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOC &gt; 1–20 min</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t Recall</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPS-IV</td>
<td>40.04 (35.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI-II</td>
<td>11.07 (10.98)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. mTBI = self-reported mild traumatic brain injury.
LOC = self-reported loss of consciousness. CAPS-IV = Clinical
Assessment of PTSD Scale. BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory-II.

2.3. Tasks

2.3.1. fMRI: cued affective anticipation paradigm

This task (See Supplementary Fig. 1), which has been validated and
used in prior studies (Simmons et al., 2004, 2006), combines a simple
continuous performance task and a cued stimulus presentation to ex-
amine the effects of anticipation. The task has three conditions: (1) a
baseline condition, during which the individual is performing the con-
tinuous performance task; (2) an anticipation condition, when the sti-
lus characteristics of the shape changes and signals the impending
presentation of a visual stimulus; and (3) the stimulus condition, when
the subject is presented with a “pleasant” or “unpleasant” picture.
During the baseline condition (4–11 s), a blue square is shown and a
medium-range tone (500 Hz) is associated with each trial. For the an-
ticipation condition, subjects are informed that red shapes and a “high-
pitch” tone (1000 Hz) predict the consequent presentation of an
aversive or “unpleasant” picture, whereas green shapes and a “low-
pitch” tone (250 Hz) predict the presentation of a “pleasant” picture.
The anticipation condition consists of an 8-s period. The stimulus
condition consists of a 2-s presentation of an image, which is shown
only once during the task. The images were a combination from the
International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 1999) for the non-
aversive (noncombat) images and aversive combat-images that were
taken from a photographer accompanying a Marine unit deployed to
Iraq (Simmons et al., 2013).

2.3.2. FDG-PET: Serial verbal learning task (SVLT)

During the FDG uptake and prior to FDG-PET acquisition, partici-
pants completed the SVLT (see Supplementary Fig. 2). The SVLT is a
verbal memory task used to assess the ability to encode and im-
mediately recall verbal information. The SVLT results of these data are
reported elsewhere (Buchbaum et al., 2015). In this task, subjects learn
five sets of 16 word lists, where each word was presented sequentially
for 1.5 s each. After the 16th word, subjects verbally recalled the word
list. Each word list was repeated five times.

2.4. Neuroimaging acquisition and preprocessing

2.4.1. fMRI and sMRI

Imaging experiments were performed on a 3T GE scanner at the
UCSD Keck Imaging Center. Each session consisted of an anatomical
scan (SPGR; T1-weighted; FOV = 25 cm; matrix = 256 × 256; 176
1 mm sagittal slices; TR = 8 ms; TE = 4 ms; flip = 12°), and a series of
BOLD scans (echo planar image [EPI]; T2*-weighted; matrix = 64 × 64; 30 axial slices; 3.43 × 3.43 × 2.6 mm voxels with
1.4 mm gap; TR = 2s; TE = 32 ms; flip = 90°). Subjects performed the
cued affective anticipation paradigm that was acquired in 290 repeti-
tions lasting 9 min and 40 s.

2.4.2. fMRI image preprocessing

Standard fMRI image pre-processing and analysis was conducted
using AFNI (Cox, 1996). The first two volumes of the EPI images were
removed. The remaining images were slice-time and motion corrected.
Images were spatially smoothed (6 mm full-width half-maximum) and
converted to percent signal change. Second-level analyses were con-
ducted using a general linear model. Two task regressors of interest
were entered into the model, one for the unpleasant anticipation
period, and one for the pleasant anticipation period. Two regressors
of non-interest were entered in for the positive and negative image pre-
sentation. These regressors were modeled with the canonical hemody-
amic response. Six additional parameters (x, y, z, roll, pitch, yaw) were
used as nuisance regressors to adjust EPI intensity changes due to
motion artifacts. Constant and linear regressors to account for scanner
drift were also included as nuisance regressors. Whole-brain beta-esti-
mates from the unpleasant > pleasant anticipation contrast was then
entered into primary analysis (see below).

2.4.3. sMRI image preprocessing

sMRI image processing was conducted using Voxel-Based
Morphometry (VBM) within the FSL suite (Douaud et al., 2007)
to evaluate grey matter morphometry on a voxel-wise basis. All subjects'
anatomical images were normalized and segmented using the unified
segmentation model and tissue probability maps, followed by hidden
Markov random field clean-up (Zhang et al., 2001). Jacobian modula-
tion was applied to compensate for the effect of spatial normalization
and to restore the original absolute grey matter density in the seg-
mented grey matter images (Good et al., 2001). These modulated
images were then smoothed with an 8-mm FWHM smoothing kernel.

2.4.4. FDG-PET acquisition and preprocessing

Each subject had an intravenous line inserted for tracer adminis-
tration and then rested in a sound-attenuated room until the uptake
period began. We administered 5 mCi FDG and the patients performed
the serial verbal learning test (Hazlett et al., 2010) which has been used
in earlier TBI studies (Buchsbaum et al., 2015). After approximately
32 min in which the SVLT was performed, each patient was positioned
in a Siemens/CTI ECAT HR + scanner with the head oriented such that
the lowest imaging plane was approximately 1 cm above and parallel to
the canthomeatal line. We acquired 63 axial sections spaced 3.5 mm
apart with a 15.5-cm field of view (FOV) in 3D mode, with standard 4-
mm in-plane spatial resolution (full-width half-maximum, FWHM).
Images were acquired at an angle parallel to the canthomeatal plane
and reconstructed using a Hann filter (cut-off frequency = 0.5 cycles/
pixel) into 128 × 128 pixel images. Four 5-min frames were collected
and summed for the full analysis, and split-half images were formed
from odd- and even-numbered frames (1 and 3, 2 and 4) for reliability
testing. Data were spatially smoothed with Gaussian profile filter of
FWHM 3 mm; high-pass temporal filtering with Gaussian-weighted
running line detrending was applied to reduce temporal noise
(cutoff = 70s).

2.4.5. Multimodal alignment

After preprocessing, each subjects’ imaging modality (fMRI, FDG-
PET, sMRI) was aligned to the MNI template using Advanced
Normalization Tools (ANTSs) (Avants et al., 2011) to better account for
linear and morphometric alignment differences between subject and
imaging modality. The FDG-PET images were used to create a grey
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Fig. 1. Analysis schematic depicting the multimodal canonical correlation analysis (mCCA). For mCCA, each TRAC measure \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) and imaging modality \((y_1, y_2, y_3)\) were entered into the model. For the fMRI measure of affective anticipation \((y_1)\), we entered the whole-brain beta estimates from the preprocessed unpleasant > pleasant image contrast maps. For the FDG-PET measure of verbal memory functioning, FDG metabolism maps were entered \((y_2)\). For the sMRI measure of grey-matter volume, voxel-based morphometry (VBM) maps were used \((y_3)\). On a voxel-by-voxel basis, the mCCA analysis derives latent variables (i.e., canonical variants (CVs)) representing the maximized linear association between the variables on one side and the opposing CV. The output \((R_{xy})\) is a voxel-wise brain map of the canonical correlation coefficient between the TRAC CV and the neuroimaging CV.

2.4.6. Multimodal canonical correlation analysis (mCCA)

A voxel-based mCCA was performed in R (package: CCA). CCA is a multivariate analysis of correlation, allowing for the measurement of latent canonical variant pairs that represent the maximized linear relationship between multiple sets of variables (Hotelling, 1936). Here we applied this approach using multiple measures of trauma-related disorders and multiple neuroimaging modalities (Calhoun and Sui, 2016).

A voxel-wise mCCA approach was used to identify neural regions that reflect the maximized linear relationship between a pair of canonical variants (CV), where one CV is a TRAC feature, and the other feature is a neuroimaging CV. As shown in Fig. 1, for each subject, we defined the TRAC CV by entering three TRAC metrics as separate variables \((x_1, x_2, x_3)\) using presence or absence of a head-injury (mTBI), severity of PTSD symptoms (CAPS-IV total score), and depression severity (BDI-II total score). For the neuroimaging CV, we entered each imaging modality \((y_1, y_2, y_3)\) as separate variables. For the fMRI measure of affective anticipation \((y_1)\), we entered the whole-brain beta estimates from the preprocessed unpleasant > pleasant image contrast maps. For the FDG-PET measure of verbal memory functioning, FDG metabolism binding potential-maps were entered \((y_2)\). For the sMRI measure of grey-matter volume, voxel-based morphometry (VBM) maps were used \((y_3)\). Next, voxel-wise canonical correlation brain maps were derived (orthogonal 1st, 2nd, & 3rd factors), which represent neural circuitry associated with the maximized linear relationship between the two canonical pairs (i.e., correlation of the two CVs), allowing for a voxel-by-voxel integration (or ‘fusion’) across neuroimaging measures and TRACs. For example, through mCCA one can derive where BOLD response, glucose metabolism, and structural volume maximally relate to TRACs. Canonical correlation brain maps were thresholded \((p < .001)\) and conservatively whole-brain corrected \((p < .001)\) for multiple comparisons using AFNI’s Clustsim with the spatial autocorrelation function (-acf) to account for the non-Gaussian shape of fMRI data (Cox et al., 2017). We used the -acf parameters from the fMRI blur in Clustsim because it required a larger cluster size to achieve significance (204 voxels) compared to PET (196 voxels) and sMRI (135 voxels).

2.4.7. Fear-network ROIs

We further examined several regions of interest (ROIs) relevant to trauma-related disorders, including the amygdala, anterior insula, and ventromedial PFC, to validate that the mCCA fusion analysis produces strong associations between neuroimaging and trauma-related disorders. Regions of interest (ROI) were anatomically defined using the Harvard-Oxford cortical and subcortical atlases. For more details, see analyses in trauma-related disorder-relevant ROIs are reported in the Supplementary Materials.

For descriptive purposes, we examined the unique and shared contribution between neural measures of cognition and affective anticipation, grey matter volume, and trauma-related disorders. We extracted the pre-processed neuroimaging data from each unimodal neuroimaging modality from ROIs identified from the first canonical correlation brain map. Specifically, we extracted percent signal change from the fMRI measures of the affective anticipation task, FDG metabolism binding potential beta-estimates from the verbal memory task, and grey matter volume from the VBM analysis. Due to the concern of multicollinearity and to avoid making strong interpretations of statistical significance of the individual variables, we don’t report inferential statistics but do present data for visual depiction, strength, and direction of the relationships. (e.g. t-values, r-values, p-values).

3. Results

3.1. Fusing multimodal imaging with trauma-related disorders

We performed a voxel-wise mCCA to determine how and where the fusion of neural measures of verbal memory, affective anticipation, and grey matter volume, as measured by PET/fMRI/sMRI respectively, related to key TRACs. The aim was to determine the strongest associations between neuroimaging mechanism-measures (fMRI/PET/sMRI) and TRACs (mTBI/PTSD/Depression). The first canonical correlation (Fig. 2) revealed a broad network representing the convergence of verbal memory and anticipatory anxiety neural activation and grey matter volume with TRACs. There was a strong association \((R > 0.508, p < .001\) cluster-corrected) in the supplemental motor area (SMA) and lateral prefrontal cortices, insula/inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), thalamus, as well as the hippocampus and temporal cortex (See Table 2 for a complete list of clusters identified). These observations...
indicate a broad set of neural regions that represent the maximized linear integration of verbal memory encoding and recall, affective anticipation processing, and grey matter volume that correlate with the three types of trauma-related disorders. No clusters survived thresholding in the other two canonical correlations (ps > .001; but see Supplemental Materials for sub-threshold brain maps). See Supplementary Figs. 3–5 for effect size (Canonical Correlation R^2) brain maps for all three canonical correlations.

We also extracted the first canonical correlation from several fear-network ROIs (see Method). We observed a relatively strong integration of functional activity (fMRI and PET) and grey matter volume with TRACs in the amygdala, left amygdala: canonical R = 0.43, p = .002; right amygdala: canonical R = 0.40, p = .005 (See Supplementary Table 1 for all ROIs). The dACC and aINS ROIs showed moderate effects, canonical Rs > 0.36 but did not survive correction for number of ROI correlations (α < 0.05/6 = 0.008). As expected, we observed that the fused neuroimaging modalities performed better at accounting for more variance with trauma-related disorders than any single neuroimaging modality across the ROIs: Fusion R^2s > 0.13; unimodal R^2s < 0.02 (see Supplementary Table 1 for all ROIs).

3.2. Interpreting the relationship between TRACs and neuroimaging using ROIs identified from the 1st canonical correlation

To facilitate interpretation of the first canonical correlation results and for descriptive purposes and not for determination of significance, we extracted the beta-estimates from the fMRI measure of aversive anticipation (aversive > pleasant contrast), glucose metabolism/binding potential from the FDG-PET measure of verbal memory encoding/recall, and grey matter volume from the sMRI measure using ROIs generated from the brain-map of the first canonical correlation. Next, we examined the relationship between neural circuitry and individual trauma-related disorder types. Across all TRACs, two regions revealed a shared latent trauma-related disorder signature depending upon imaging modality: the left middle frontal gyrus (MFG) and the precentral gyrus.
SMA. The left MFG cluster was most evident with the fMRI affective anticipation loading. Whereas, the SMA was found with both neural features associated with affective anticipation and grey matter (fMRI and sMRI). As shown in Fig. 3a, evaluating trauma-related disorders within these clusters, we observed that differences within left MFG fMRI affective anticipation loadings predicted the presence of mTBI (Fig. 3b, bar plot), and was positively associated with PTSD severity (Fig. 3c, second panel) and depressive symptoms (Fig. 3d, third panel). Likewise, we found that the right SMA grey matter measured through sMRI (Fig. 3e) revealed differences between mTBI and no mTBI groups (Fig. 3f, bar plot), and negatively predicted PTSD (Fig. 3g, second panel) and depression severity (Fig. 3h, third panel).

We also explored other important clusters identified from the first canonical correlation brain map. The verbal memory FDG-PET glucose binding potential was positively associated with depression severity in the right insula (Fig. 4a,d) but not for mTBI or PTSD (Fig. 4b,c). Interestingly, this same cluster using affective anticipation fMRI activity revealed a different pattern (Fig. 4b). Specifically, affective anticipation from the right insula (Fig. 4e) was positively associated with the presence of mTBI (Fig. 4f) and to a lesser extent to PTSD symptoms (Fig. 4g), but not depression severity (Fig. 4h). We also identified a large affective anticipation cluster encompassing the thalamus that was particularly important to the presence of mTBI (Supplementary Fig. 6). In addition, affective anticipation in the right hippocampus (Supplementary Fig. 7a-d) was increased in individuals with mTBI and positively associated with PTSD severity, but unrelated to depression. The amygdala ROI was particularly important for mTBI and depression (Supplementary Fig. 8).

4. Discussion

The aim of the current investigation was to integrate neural measures of verbal memory (PET), affective anticipation (fMRI), and grey matter volume (sMRI) via multimodal canonical correlation analysis (mCCA) to better understand the neural circuitry underlying the complex clinical profile associated with TRACs. We identified several brain regions that represented the shared relationship between TRACs and neural measures of cognitive-affective function. From our review of the literature, this is the first study to integrate multiple mechanisms and neural measures using fMRI, FDG-PET, and sMRI with TRACs using a multivariate mCCA analytic approach. These data suggest that leveraging multimodal neuroimaging to identify integrated neural circuitry can provide valuable information associated with the complex neuropsychiatric sequelae of TRACs.

TRACs shared cognitive, affective, and grey matter signatures in prefrontal regions, including the MFG, SFG, and SMA. To facilitate interpretation, we show that affective anticipation in the left MFG as measured with fMRI was positively associated with all three TRACs (i.e., presence of mTBI, PTSD symptoms, depression symptoms). The MFG is involved in a vast array of functions, key among these in relation to TRACs is the top-down affective-regulation associated with successful cognitive reappraisal of negative information (Goldin et al., 2008; Wager et al., 2008). Increased MFG across all TRACs may suggest an exaggerated recruitment of a top-down control signal to down-regulate periods of affective anticipation that is transdiagnostic to trauma-related disorders (Brinkmann et al., 2017). In addition to the MFG, we demonstrate that SMA is important to TRACs. We observed that SMA structural architecture is another important transdiagnostic region related to TRACs. The SMA is integral to integrating cognitive and emotionally salient

complex function. From our review of the literature, this is the first study to integrate multiple mechanisms and neural measures using fMRI, FDG-PET, and sMRI with TRACs using a multivariate mCCA analytic approach. These data suggest that leveraging multimodal neuroimaging to identify integrated neural circuitry can provide valuable information associated with the complex neuropsychiatric sequelae of TRACs.

TRACs shared cognitive, affective, and grey matter signatures in prefrontal regions, including the MFG, SFG, and SMA. To facilitate interpretation, we show that affective anticipation in the left MFG as measured with fMRI was positively associated with all three TRACs (i.e., presence of mTBI, PTSD symptoms, depression symptoms). The MFG is involved in a vast array of functions, key among these in relation to TRACs is the top-down affective-regulation associated with successful cognitive reappraisal of negative information (Goldin et al., 2008; Wager et al., 2008). Increased MFG across all TRACs may suggest an exaggerated recruitment of a top-down control signal to down-regulate periods of affective anticipation that is transdiagnostic to trauma-related disorders (Brinkmann et al., 2017). In addition to the MFG, we demonstrate that SMA is important to TRACs. We observed that SMA structural architecture is another important transdiagnostic region related to TRACs. The SMA is integral to executing explicit-motor plans (Nachev et al., 2007) and resolving emotional conflict (Deng et al., 2014). Indeed, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors increase SMA activity during emotion regulation and predict treatment success in individuals diagnosed with PTSD (MacNamara et al., 2016). Collectively, these findings are consistent with our hypothesis that prefrontal top-down cognitive-affective neural circuitry is a transdiagnostic neural substrate of trauma-related disorders (Walther et al., 2012).

In addition to prefrontal regions, we found that the insula was a key region underlying neural function and structure in TRACs. The insula is an integral hub in the salience network (Menon and Uddin, 2010), plays a prominent role in integrating cognitive and emotionally salient
information (Craig, 2009; Gu et al., 2013), and is important for interoceptive awareness (Crittchley et al., 2004). Consistent with this function, increased activity in the insula has been associated with heightened emotional reactivity to internal physiological cues, anticipation of threat (Paulus and Stein, 2010), and cognitive load (Wesley and Bickel, 2014). The pattern observed in the current study could reflect increased cognitive resources devoted to encode and retrieve verbal information or negative affect when cognitively challenged, particularly for elevated depressive symptoms. Alternatively, it may reflect heightened affective responding to anticipating negative stimuli (Simmons et al., 2006).

We also found that the neural signatures in the thalamus and hippocampus were important to TRACs. The thalamus is highly connected to cortical and subcortical brain networks (O’Muircheartaigh et al., 2017), making it well positioned to influence cognitive (Schmitt et al., 2017) and affective processing (Arend et al., 2015). Our observation of thalamic differences in TRACs is consistent with a growing literature showing abnormal functional and structural connectivity in mTBI, PTSD, and MDD patients (Grossman and Inglese, 2016; Wise et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016), which is associated with impaired cognitive functioning in these patients (Grossman et al., 2012). We also observed that the right hippocampus was positively associated with TRACs. The hippocampus plays an integral role in episodic and contextual memory (Zeidman and Maguire, 2016), mediates the contextual retrieval of fear extinction (Sotres-Bayon et al., 2006), and is a central component of the fear regulation network via its rich structural and functional connectivity with the amygdala, anterior cingulate cortex, and the ventromedial PFC (Hartley and Phelps, 2010). This has led investigators to suggest that the hippocampus is critical for the pathogenesis of TRACs (Acheson et al., 2012; Libezron and Abelson, 2016; Maren et al., 2013).

A meta-analysis of neuroimaging studies reported little overlap in the neural signatures of comorbid trauma-related disorders (Simmons and Matthews, 2012). Here, using a multivariate analytic approach, we identified a neuro-mechanistic TRAC profile. Based upon our findings, TRACs are associated with generalized aberrations in a prefrontal network instantiating the top-down control of cognition and affect, potentially contributing to poor executive functioning and emotion regulation (Kohn et al., 2014). This observation extends other work establishing top-down control deficits in mTBI, PTSD, and major depression separately (Etkin and Wager, 2007; Rive et al., 2013; van der Horn et al., 2016) by showing that this deficit is a transdiagnostic marker representing TRACs more broadly. These findings indicate that the dynamic interplay between verbal memory encoding/retrieval, affective anticipation processes, and grey matter may be a key component of TRACs. Through multivariate approaches, we can begin to understand how the integration of multiple mechanisms are manifested in the brain and determine the extent to which linked mechanisms contribute to the manifestation of TRACs.

We had hypothesized that the amygdala would be represented across imaging modalities. Although we did not observe an amygdala cluster through the whole-brain mCCA, we did observe that amygdala was moderately associated with TRACs through the ROI approach. The amygdala is a well-characterized region (Shackman and Fox, 2016) and is implicated in the pathophysiology of comorbid mTBI/PTSD (Bomyea et al., 2017), PTSD alone, (Stevens et al., 2017), and depression (Belzung et al., 2015). One possible reason we did not observe a robust amygdala cluster using the whole-brain mCCA is that our multimodal neuroimaging inputs were not specifically designed to capture amygdala-based processing (Simmons et al., 2011). This raises an important issue in that results from multimodal fusion analyses are inherently dependent upon the tasks and neural metric entered into the analysis. Using task-based neural measures may be helpful for understanding the joint-relationship between relevant mechanistic indices of neuropsychiatric disorders, but the results may not generalize to other mechanisms, tasks, or disorders.

Of course, limitations in our study indicate that important challenges remain. Recruiting larger samples with a wider selection of trauma-related disorders (e.g., substance use, other anxiety disorders) will be necessary, and extending this approach beyond combat Veterans and males will be critical for understanding how the integration of

---

**Fig. 4.** Visualization of the first canonical correlation effects in the insula. (A) FDG-PET glucose binding potential in the right insula was not associated with mTBI (B) or PTSD symptoms (C) but was positively associated with depression severity (D). (E) An fMRI-based cluster in the right insula differentiated mTBI from no mTBI patients (F), and was weakly associated PTSD symptoms (G), but not depressive symptoms as measured by the Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) (H). All ROIs were identified from the first canonical correlation brain map (see Fig. 2). Results are reported for to facilitate interpretation and are for visualization purposes only.
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