UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Post-traumatic stress in older, community-dwelling adults with hypertension during the COVID-19 pandemic: An investigation of prepandemic sociodemographic, health, and vascular and inflammatory biomarker predictors

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6jk1b4g2

Journal

Journal of Health Psychology, 29(6)

ISSN

1359-1053

Authors

Troyer, Emily A Kohn, Jordan N Castillo, Monica Feliz R <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024-05-01

DOI

10.1177/13591053231213305

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

| eSchol | arshi | p.org |
|--------|-------|-------|
| | | |

Full length article

Post-traumatic stress in older, community-dwelling adults with hypertension during the COVID-19 pandemic: An investigation of pre-pandemic sociodemographic, health, and vascular and inflammatory biomarker predictors Journal of Health Psychology

2024 Vol. 29(6) 552–566 © The Author(s) 2023 © ① Article reuse guidelines:

sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/13591053231213305 journals.sagepub.com/home/hpq



Emily A Troyer¹, Jordan N Kohn¹, Monica Feliz R Castillo¹, Judith D Lobo¹, Yaniel Ramirez Sanchez¹, Gavrila Ang¹, Anthony Cirilo¹, Juan Andrew Leal¹, Christopher Pruitt¹, Amanda L Walker¹, Kathleen L Wilson¹, Meredith A Pung¹, Laura S Redwine² and Suzi Hong¹

Abstract

COVID-19 pandemic-related traumatic stress (PRTS) symptoms are reported in various populations, but risk factors in older adults with chronic medical conditions, remain understudied. We therefore examined correlates and pre-pandemic predictors of PRTS in older adults with hypertension during COVID-19. Participants in California, aged 61–92 years (n=95), participated in a pre-pandemic healthy aging trial and later completed a COVID-19 assessment (May to September 2020). Those experiencing \geq I PRTS symptom (n=40), and those without PRTS symptoms (n=55), were compared. The PRTS+ group had poorer mental and general health and greater impairment in instrumental activities of daily living. Pre-pandemic biomarkers of vascular inflammation did not predict increased odds of PRTS; however, greater pre-pandemic anxiety and female gender did predict PRTS during COVID-19. Our findings highlight PRTS as a threat to healthy aging in older adults with hypertension; targeted approaches are needed to mitigate this burden, particularly for females and those with pre-existing anxiety.

Keywords

aging, biomarkers, COVID-19, hypertension, post-traumatic stress

Corresponding author:

Emily A Troyer, Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, 210 Dickinson Street, Building CTF-A, Room 402, San Diego, CA 92103-0804, USA. Email: etroyer@health.ucsd.edu

¹University of California, USA ²University of Miami, USA

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is characterized by persistent mental and emotional distress lasting at least 1 month following a traumatic event, clinically defined by posttraumatic stress symptoms, which include (i) intrusion phenomena, (ii) avoidance, (iii) hypervigilance, and (iv) negative alterations in mood and cognition (APA, 2013). The coronavirus pandemic is a unique shared stressor, particularly for adults over 65 years of age with chronic medical conditions, who are at greater risk of hospitalization and death due to COVID-19, compared to younger adults (Taylor et al., 2023). Prior to the pandemic, the past-year prevalence of PTSD in the United States was 4.7% (Goldstein et al., 2016), and meta-analyses from studies during the pandemic have shown community prevalence of PTSD in several countries ranging from 15% to 23% (Cénat et al., 2021; Cooke et al., 2020; Krishnamoorthy et al., 2020).

PTSD is associated with increased incidence of various comorbidities, particularly cardiovascular disease (CVD) due to the wellcharacterized effects of stress on cardiovascular risk. For example, PTSD is linked to incident hypertension (HTN), acute cardiac events, stroke, and CVD-related mortality (Howard et al., 2018; Wentworth et al., 2013; Xue et al., 2012), which can be explained by both behavioral (e.g. sleep difficulties, alcohol and tobacco use, physical inactivity) and physiological mechanisms (e.g. autonomic imbalance. hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation, inflammation) (Scherrer et al., 2019). Individuals with PTSD, or chronic psychological distress and traumatic life events more generally, exhibit higher levels of blood proinflammatory biomarkers such as interleukin (IL)-1 β , IL-6, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , and C-reactive protein (CRP), compared to healthy controls (Knight et al., 2021; Speer et al., 2018). Interestingly, these same biomarkers are also implicated in HTN, CVD pathogenesis, and aging (Dinh et al., 2014). Therefore, inflammatory dysregulation might be a shared pathogenic mechanism underlying associations between traumatic stress and adverse health

outcomes, particularly in older aged adults. PTSD also confers risk for age-related cognitive deficits and neurodegenerative disorders including frontotemporal and vascular dementias, and Alzheimer's disease (Qureshi et al., 2010; Sperling et al., 2011; Yaffe et al., 2010). Furthermore, elevated pre-trauma inflammatory biomarker levels predict later risk of developing PTSD (Eraly et al., 2014; Sumner et al., 2018), and whether this extends to elevated risk of developing subclinical PTSD symptoms following exposure to a shared traumatic event, such as the pandemic, is unknown. Taken together, studies suggest that traumatic stress impacts vascular diseases (e.g. stroke, myocardial infarction) that have increased prevalence in older age, likely via complex multifactorial biopsychosocial mechanisms. However, the relationship between subclinical PTSD symptoms, specifically pandemic-related traumatic stress symptoms (PRTS), and physical and mental health in older individuals are less well understood, particularly among those with pre-existing CVD risk factors such as HTN. Therefore, disentangling these complex processes is important for characterizing and mitigating the traumatic stress-associated burden of the COVID-19 pandemic.

PRTS during the pandemic in older adults with CVD risk factors associated with chronic inflammation remains underexplored; therefore, we aimed to investigate correlates and predictors of PRTS in older adults with HTN in a well-characterized cohort previously enrolled in a longitudinal behavioral intervention trial. We assessed PRTS and other aspects of health and psychosocial functioning after onset of the pandemic in older adults, for whom prepandemic sociodemographic, general, mental, cognitive, and cardiovascular health, and vascular inflammatory biomarker data were also available. First, we hypothesized that (i) older adults with HTN who reported PRTS during the COVID-19 pandemic would have poorer self-rated general and mental health, poorer sleep quality, less adaptive functioning, and have worse cognitive status as compared to participants who did not report PRTS. We further hypothesized that (ii) pre-pandemic biopsychosocial factors would confer increased risk of PRTS after onset of the pandemic, including baseline sociodemographic characteristics, measures of cardiovascular and mental health, and vascular inflammatory biomarkers.

Methods

Participants

Individuals aged 60 years or older with HTN who participated (May 2016 to July 2020) or volunteered to participate in a larger healthy aging trial (Healthy Aging Practice-centered Instruction Cardiovascular Health Investigation, HAPI-CHI) were invited to participate in the current COVID-19 extension study in San Diego County, California. The HAPI-CHI Study aimed to investigate cardiovascular, immune, and psychological factors as they related to blood pressure changes in response to 12-weeks of Tai Chi (TC) versus Healthy Aging Practice-centered Education (HAP-E). For the parent study, a diagnosis of HTN was a key inclusion criterion (systolic blood pressure, SBP=130-170 mmHg), and use of antihypertensive medication(s) was allowed. Key exclusion criteria included inability to perform light to moderate exercise; regular moderate exercise or ongoing meditation practice; body mass index (BMI) >50 kg/m²; past-year stroke or myocardial infarction (MI), or fall requiring hospitalization; oxygen-dependent chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, or malignancy; serious mental illness which could interfere with study participation; current major depressive episode or suicidality; and current use of mood stabilizing, antipsychotic, or immune-modulating medications (e.g. systemic corticosteroids). Comprehensive inclusion and exclusion criteria for HAPI-CHI have also been described elsewhere (Kohn et al., 2020, 2023a, 2023b).

For the parent trial (HAPI-CHI) from 2016 to 2020, 182 participants were randomly assigned to a 12-week health behavioral intervention arm (TC n=97 or HAP-E n=85), and 166 participants attended at least one class and a pre-COVID study visit. We attempted to re-contact individuals who had previously consented to being contacted for follow-up/future studies (n=137) for participation in the COVID-19 assessment. Of those, 112 were successfully re-contacted, and 95 participants completed the COVID-19 survey.

Procedures

For the present study, participants were contacted in April 2020 and invited to participate in a survey to assess the impact of the pandemic, administered either online via Qualtrics software, or via a mailed paper survey, per participant preference. Ninety-five participants, 61-92 years of age, completed the survey from May to September 2020. At this time in the COVID-19 pandemic, vaccines and monoclonal antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 were not yet developed, the State of California had declared a state of emergency (March 2, 2020) and enacted a statewide stay-at-home order (March 19, 2020) that persisted throughout the survey period, and the US had recorded over 1 million SARS-CoV-2 infections (April 28, 2020). Assessments immediately after completion of the health behavioral intervention are referred to as "pre-COVID" assessments, and surveys administered during the pandemic as "COVID-19" assessments. Procedures and measures administered at both timepoints are detailed in the following sections and summarized in Table 1. Additionally, time between study visits (pre-COVID and COVID-19), and time between the California state of emergency declaration and the date of COVID-19 survey completion were calculated for each participant.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Diego. Upon study enrollment, participants provided written informed consent and demonstrated sufficient understanding of the study via the Brief Assessment of Capacity to Consent (Jeste et al., 2007).
 Table I. Overview of procedures and measures

 administered at each assessment timepoint.

| | Pre-COVID COVID-19 | |
|---|--------------------|------------|
| | assessment | assessment |
| Sociodemographic factors | | |
| Age, gender, race, marital | + | - |
| status, first language, | | |
| education | | |
| Pandemic-related traumatic s | tress symptom | IS |
| PC-PTSD | - | + |
| COVID-19 pandemic-related | stressors | |
| CRISIS questionnaire | - | + |
| General health | | |
| Medical diagnoses | + | - |
| Tobacco, cannabis, and | + | + |
| alcohol use | | |
| SF-20 | + | + |
| PROMIS-SD | + | + |
| SBQ | - | + |
| Mental health | | |
| BDI-II | + | + |
| PROMIS-A | + | + |
| CD-RISC-10 | + | + |
| GQ-6 | + | + |
| ULS-8 | - | + |
| SCS-SF | - | + |
| Cognitive status | | |
| MoCA | + | - |
| FAQ | - | + |
| Cardiovascular health | | |
| Anti-hypertensive | + | + |
| medications | | |
| Mean SBP and DBP | + | - |
| Lipids, CBC | + | - |
| Inflammatory and vascular injury biomarkers | + | - |

(+) Domain was assessed at this timepoint. (-) Domain was not assessed at this timepoint.

PC-PTSD: Primary Care PTSD Screen; CRISIS: CoRonavlruS health and Impact Survey; SF-20: 20-Item Short Form Health Survey; PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; PROMIS-SD: PROMIS Sleep Disturbance, Adult Short Form 8a; SBQ: Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory, Second Edition; PROMIS-A: PROMIS Anxiety, Adult Short Form 8a; CD-RISC-10: 10-Item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; GQ-6: Gratitude Questionnaire 6-Item Form; ULS-8: 8-Item UCLA Loneliness Scale; SCS-SF: Self Compassion Scale – Short Form; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment, Version 7; FAQ: Functional Activities Questionnaire; SBP: systolic blood pressure; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; CBC: complete blood count. Sociodemographic factors. Sociodemographic information was collected at the pre-COVID assessment, during in-person study visits (age in years, gender, race, marital status, first language, educational attainment).

Pandemic-related stressors and traumatic stress symptoms. COVID-19 pandemic-related stressors were assessed during COVID-19 using an adapted version of the CoRonavIruS health and Impact Survey (CRISIS), which was designed to assess the impact of the pandemic in various domains (Nikolaidis et al., 2021).

The primary outcome of interest, PRTS, was assessed during COVID-19, using the Primary Care PTSD Screen (PC-PTSD) (Prins et al., 2004), which assesses past-month presence of re-experiencing, avoidance, hyperarousal, and numbing. Scores range from 0 to 4, depending on number of items endorsed. Using a cut-off score of \geq 3, the PC-PTSD has 78% sensitivity, 87% specificity, and 85% efficiency for a clinical diagnosis of PTSD (Prins et al., 2004). In this study, participants were instructed to respond to items with reference to the pandemic (i.e. "In the past month, have you experienced any of the following symptoms related to the COVID-19 pandemic?"). The term PRTS, rather than PTSD, is used here, to emphasize that participants were not clinically diagnosed with PTSD.

General health and behaviors

Pre-COVID. Information about general health and behavior, including medical diagnoses and prescription medications, were ascertained via semi-structured in-person interviews at the pre-COVID assessment.

Pre-COVID and during COVID-19. Selfreported use of tobacco, cannabis, and alcohol were recorded at both timepoints. The Medical Outcomes Study (MOS) 20-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-20) (Stewart and Ware, 1992) and the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Sleep Disturbance scale, Adult Short Form 8a (PROMIS-SD)(Yuetal.,2012)wereadministered pre-COVID and during COVID-19, to assess domains of general health and sleep disturbances, respectively.

During COVID-19. The Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire (SBQ) was administered during COVID-19 to quantify time spent engaged in nine sedentary behaviors on a typical day during the pandemic (Rosenberg et al., 2010).

Mental health

Pre-COVID and during COVID-19. Mental health was assessed at both timepoints. Selfreport measures of depressive and anxious symptoms included the BDI-II (Beck et al., 1996) and the PROMIS Anxiety (PROMIS-A), specifically Adult Short Form 8a (Pilkonis et al., 2011). Resilience and gratitude were assessed at both timepoints, using the 10-Item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC-10) (Connor and Davidson, 2003), and the Gratitude Questionnaire 6-Item Form (GQ-6) (McCullough et al., 2002), respectively.

During COVID-19. Measures administered only during COVID-19 included the 8-Item UCLA Loneliness Scale (ULS-8) (Hays and DiMatteo, 1987) and the Self Compassion Scale—Short Form (SCS-SF) (Raes et al., 2011).

Cognitive status

Pre-COVID. Cognitive status was assessed pre-COVID, using one of three alternate forms of Version 7 of the full Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), a brief screening measure for cognitive impairment (Nasreddine et al., 2005). The MoCA was administered in a randomized, counterbalanced order by trained research personnel.

During COVID-19. Cognition was not objectively assessed during the pandemic, given the survey format. However, the Functional Activities Questionnaire (FAQ) (Pfeffer et al., 1982) was administered, which measures impairment in instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs), and importantly, ability to independently perform IADLs is related to cognitive status, particularly executive function (Mlinac and Feng, 2016).

Journal of Health Psychology 29(6)

Cardiovascular health

Pre-COVID. Mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures (SBP, DBP) were calculated based on three consecutive pre-pandemic measurements using an automated oscillometric sphygmomanometer. A 10-year cardiovascular Framingham Risk Score (FRS) (Lloyd-Jones et al., 2004) was also calculated using baseline sociodemographic, medical history, and laboratory data.

Pre-COVID and during COVID-19. Current medications were recorded at both assessments, and anti-HTN medications were categorized into one of eight classes. For each participant, the sum of unique anti-HTN medication classes prescribed was recorded. Given the high proportion of medication use, each participant's sum of unique anti-HTN medications (74.4% taking ≥ 1 ; mean=1.31, SD=1.15) was used as a proxy for HTN severity.

Inflammatory and vascular injury biomarkers. Blood samples were collected at the pre-COVID assessment, at a similar time, after at least 12 hours of abstinence from anti-inflammatory medications, caffeine, nicotine, and strenuous exercise, into sterile EDTA tubes. Plasma was collected using a refrigerated centrifuge and stored at -80°C for subsequent vascular and inflammatory biomarker quantification. Whole blood samples were sent to a CLIA-certified laboratory (LabCorp, San Diego, CA) for evaluation of complete blood counts and lipid profiles, to rule out infection and to calculate FRSs, respectively.

Inflammatory and vascular injury markers, including CRP, serum amyloid A (SAA), soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (sVCAM-1), soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (sICAM-1), IL-6, and TNF- α were examined given their putative associations with PTSD, HTN, and cardiovascular disease (Dinh et al., 2014; Eraly et al., 2014; Speer et al., 2018; Sumner et al., 2018). Concentrations were measured using

electrochemi-luminescence-based multi-array sandwich immunoassays, 96-well-based high throughput platforms. Levels of CRP, SAA, sVCAM-1, and sICAM-1 were measured using the Meso Scale Discovery (MSD) Vascular Injury Panel-2 V-PLEX (human) 4-spot multiplex kit, and IL-6 and TNF- α using the MSD Human Proinflammatory Panel-1 V-PLEX (human) 10-spot multiplex kit (Meso Scale Diagnostics LLC, Rockville, MD, USA). Inter-assay and intraassay coefficients of variation (CVs) averaged <10%. Lower limits of detection (LLOD) and original concentration units for analytes were as follows: CRP=1.33pg/mL, SAA=10.9pg/mL, sVCAM-1=6.00 pg/mL, sICAM-1=1.03 pg/mL, IL-6=0.06 pg/mL, and TNF- α =0.04 pg/mL.

Statistical methods

Statistical analyses were conducted in R (v.3.6.0). All variables were inspected for normality and outliers. Participants with PC-PTSD scores ≥ 1 were classified as "PRTS+," and participants with PC-PTSD scores=0 were classified as "PRTS-." Group comparisons were conducted using nonparametric, rankbiserial correlation coefficients $(r_{\rm rb})$ for nonnormal continuous variables (Kerby, 2014). Welch's t-test for normally distributed continuous variables, and categorical variables were compared using Chi-squared (χ^2) or Fisher's exact tests. Missingness was <5% for all regression predictors, except FRS, which had ~20% missingness. Multiple imputation by chained equations (mice) (Azur et al., 2011), using predictive mean matching with 100 imputations was implemented to account for missing data in logistic regression analyses. Binomial logistic regression was applied to each of the imputed datasets, and results were pooled to determine odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals for each baseline variable in predicting "PRTS+" status during the pandemic. Likelihood ratio tests were computed from pooled models using the D3-statistic (Meng and Rubin, 1992) to compare a reduced model containing age, gender,

number of anti-HTN medications, FRS, and inflammatory and vascular injury biomarkers, to a full model that also contained depressive symptoms, anxiety, sleep disturbance, and MoCA scores.

Dimensionality reduction by principal components analysis (PCA) was performed using principal in psych for the six inflammatory and vascular injury biomarkers due to their high multicollinearity (mean Spearman's correlation $(r_s)=0.36$, range=0.10-0.72, SD=0.19) to extract component scores for each participant (see Supplemental Figure 1, a correlation matrix of the six pre-COVID biomarkers). Complete biomarker data were available for 80 of 95 (84%) of participants, and missing values were imputed using an iterative, regularized PCA algorithm in the missMDA package. Imputed biomarker data were scaled and standardized prior to PCA, for which the first component (PC1) accounted for 48% of the total variance and upon which all biomarkers loaded stably (standardized loadings ≥ 0.60), except for TNF- α (0.30). Varimax-rotated component scores from PC1 were used as the inflammatory and vascular injury biomarker index in logistic regression analysis, and correlations between PC1 and other variables were described. False discovery rate (FDR) correction was applied to biomarker correlations to control Type I error rate due to multiple comparisons.

Results

COVID-19 survey respondents versus non-respondents

Of those invited to participate, COVID-19 survey respondents were younger, more likely to be married, and showed higher pre-COVID MoCA scores compared to COVID-19 survey non-respondents. The groups did not differ in other pre-COVID factors (see Supplemental Tables 1 and 2, which show comparisons of prepandemic sociodemographic characteristics and health measures for COVID-19 assessment respondents vs non-respondents).

| | PRTS+ (n=40) | PRTS- (n=55) | Test statistic [95% CI] |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| Age: mean (SD) | 74.15 (8.24) | 73.94 (6.12) | t ₆₇ =-0.28 [-3.44, 2.59] |
| Gender: % female | 33/40 (82.5) | 31/55 (56.4) | $\chi^2 = 6.06^*$ |
| Race: % Caucasian | 31/37 (83.8) | 43/53 (79.3) | $\chi^2 = 0.002$ |
| Marital status: % married | 17/40 (42.5) | 22/55 (40.0) | $\chi^2 = 0.00 I$ |
| First language: % English | 33/40 (82.5) | 50/55 (90.9) | $\chi^2 = 0.82$ |
| Education: % some college or greater | 34/40 (85.0) | 45/54 (83.3) | $\chi^2 = 0.000$ |
| Study arm: % assigned to Tai Chi | 14/40 (35.0) | 31/55 (56.4) | $\chi^2 = 3.43$ |

Table 2. Sociodemographic characteristics at the pre-COVID assessment by PRTS group.

PRTS: pandemic-related traumatic stress symptoms; CI: confidence interval; SD: standard deviation; χ^2 : Chi-squared. *p < 0.05.

PRTS at the COVID-19 assessment

Of 95 COVID-19 survey respondents, 40 (42%; 95% CI: 32%–52%) endorsed one or more symptoms on the PC-PTSD (i.e. "PRTS+"), and the remaining 55 participants (58%) reported no such symptoms (i.e. "PRTS–"). A threshold score of 1 on the PC-PTSD was used for group comparisons to capture any perceived traumatic stress during the pandemic, rather than a probable clinical diagnosis of PTSD. Nevertheless, 7 of 95 (7.4%) survey respondents endorsed three or more symptoms on the PC-PTSD, the cut-off score with optimal sensitivity, specificity, and efficiency for probable PTSD (Prins et al., 2004).

Biopsychosocial factors by PRTS status at the COVID-19 assessment

The PRTS + group was disproportionately female, but the groups did not otherwise differ in pre-pandemic characteristics, including age, race, marital status, first language, educational attainment, or HAPI-CHI study arm (see Table 2). Time elapsed between the pre-COVID and COVID-19 assessments did not differ by PRTS group. However, time since onset of California's state of emergency (March 2, 2020) to completion of the COVID-19 assessment was significantly longer in the PRTS + group compared to the PRTS – group (142.7 ± 36.0 vs 125.2 ± 32.8 days, χ^2 =5.64, p < 0.05) (see Supplemental Table 3). Types of pandemic-specific stressors on the CRISIS questionnaire did not differ between groups, and no participants reported having tested positive for COVID-19 infection (see Supplemental Table 4, which compares CRISIS questionnaire responses by selfreported PRTS).

Regarding general health and behavior, the groups did not differ in self-reported tobacco, alcohol, or cannabis use. PRTS + group participants reported worse perception of their general health and physical functioning, along with greater levels of pain, and greater sleep disturbances, compared to those without PRTS. There were no group differences in self-reported social or role functioning, nor were there differences in self-reported sedentary behavior (see Table 3).

The PRTS+ group reported significantly worse perception of their overall mental health on the SF-20, greater depressive symptoms on the BDI-II, and higher anxiety on the PROMIS-A. The PRTS+ group also selfreported greater loneliness, less resilience, and less self-compassion, but no difference in gratitude, relative to the PRTS- group (see Table 3).

In terms of cognitive status, the PRTS+ group self-reported significantly greater impairment in IADLs on the FAQ, compared to the PRTS- group (see Table 3).

We performed a sensitivity analysis wherein we repeated comparisons of sociodemographic and biopsychosocial factors between PRTS groups defined using a PC-PTSD cut-off score of \geq 3 (see Supplemental Tables 5 and 6). In both analyses (using either a cut-off score of

| | PRTS+(n=40) | PRTS-(n=55) | Test statistic [95% CI] |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Smalling: % using tobacco | 0/40 (0.00) | | |
| | 0/40 (0.00) | 1/34 (1.03) | OR = 0.00 [0.00, 32.8] |
| Cannabis: % any cannabis use | 1/40 (2.50) | 3/55 (5.45) | OR = 0.45 [0.01, 5.83] |
| Alcohol: % any alcohol use | 19/40 (47.5) | 31/55 (56.4) | χ ² =0.418 |
| SF-20 social functioning: mean (SD) | 78.5 (32.2) | 85.8 (28.2) | r _{rb} =0.14 [-0.10, 0.36] |
| SF-20 pain: mean (SD) | 53.5 (23.3) | 67.3 (22.9) | r _{rb} =0.33 [0.10, 0.52]** |
| SF-20 health perception: mean (SD) | 49.6 (20.2) | 58.3 (20.1) | r _{rb} =0.30 [0.08, 0.50]* |
| SF-20 role functioning: mean (SD) | 68.1 (43.1) | 79.6 (37.9) | r _{rb} =0.14 [-0.09, 0.36] |
| SF-20 physical functioning: mean (SD) | 59.8 (28.6) | 77.0 (27.0) | r _{rb} =0.38 [0.16, 0.56]** |
| PROMIS-SD: mean (SD) | 52.2 (7.7) | 44.8 (6.8) | $t_{76} = 4.84 \ [4.36, 10.4]^{***}$ |
| SBQ: mean (SD) | 65.8 (20.4) | 66.3 (25.9) | $t_{93} = 0.11 [-8.92, 9.95]$ |
| SF-20 mental health: mean (SD) | 71.9 (17.1) | 85.8 (13.6) | r _{rb} =0.51 [0.31, 0.66]*** |
| BDI-II: mean (SD) | 10.18 (6.26) | 4.22 (4.09) | $r_{\rm rb} = -0.59 [-0.72, -0.42]^{***}$ |
| PROMIS-A: mean (SD) | 55.1 (7.0) | 46.0 (6.6) | $t_{81} = -6.37 [-11.9, -6.25]^{***}$ |
| ULS-8: mean (SD) | 53.5 (16.1) | 41.52 (12.1) | $t_{69} = -3.96 [-18.0, -5.96]^{***}$ |
| CD-RISC-10: mean (SD) | 27.1 (8.26) | 31.4 (5.77) | $r_{\rm rb} = 0.31 \ [0.08, \ 0.51]^*$ |
| SCS-SF: mean (SD) | 41.4 (9.10) | 47.3 (7.74) | r _{rb} =0.38 [0.16, 0.56]** |
| GQ-6: mean (SD) | 35.7 (5.15) | 36.8 (5.19) | r _{rb} =0.16 [-0.08, -0.37] |
| FAQ: mean (SD) | 2.50 (3.51) | 1.12 (2.15) | $r_{\rm rb} = -0.29 \ [-0.49, \ -0.06]^{**}$ |

 Table 3. General, mental, and cognitive health self-report measures at the COVID-19 assessment based on self-reported PRTS.

PRTS: pandemic-related traumatic stress symptoms; CI: confidence interval; SF-20: 20-Item Short Form Health Survey; SD: standard deviation; PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; PROMIS-SD: PROMIS Sleep Disturbance, Adult Short Form 8a; SBQ: Sedentary Behavior Questionnaire; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory, Second Edition; PROMIS-A: PROMIS Anxiety, Adult Short Form 8a; ULS-8: 8-Item UCLA Loneliness Scale; CD-RISC-I0: 10-Item Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; SCS-SF: Self-Compassion Scale—Short Form; GQ-6: Gratitude Questionnaire 6-Item Form; FAQ: Functional Activities Questionnaire; OR: odds ratio (based on Fisher's Exact Test); χ^2 : Chi-squared; r_{rb} : rank biserial correlation coefficient.

*p<0.05. **p<0.01. ***p<0.001.

 \geq 1 or a cut-off score of \geq 3), the PRTS+ group had worse perception of their general health, greater levels of pain, greater sleep disturbances, worse overall mental health, higher depressive and anxiety symptoms, less resilience and self-compassion, and significantly greater impairment in IADLs (see Table 3 and Supplemental Table 6).

Pre-COVID predictors of PRTS during the COVID-19 pandemic

First, sociodemographic factors, cardiovascular health, and vascular and inflammatory biomarkers were considered as predictors of PRTS status. Pre-COVID, PRTS+, and PRTS- groups did not differ in age, anti-HTN medication usage, FRS, or inflammatory biomarker levels. FRS was marginally correlated with inflammatory biomarkers ($r_s = 0.21$, p = 0.07) and MoCA scores ($r_s = -0.19$, p = 0.09; see Supplemental Figure 2). Despite ORs > 1 for anti-HTN medications, FRS, and inflammation index, logistic regression revealed that the pre-COVID cardiovascular health and biomarker factors examined did not significantly predict increased COVID-19-related PRTS. Of note, women had significantly greater odds of PRTS+ status compared to men (see Table 4).

A subsequent logistic regression model was fit which included the prior predictors, as well as additional general and mental health and cognitive status predictors (i.e. sleep disturbances, depressive and anxious symptoms, and MoCA scores). This full model was a

| | Pre-COVID predictor variables | OR | df | 95% CI | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | Lower | Upper |
| Model I | Gender | 3.968 | 83.748 | 1.281 | 12.294 |
| | Age in years | 0.995 | 85.798 | 0.931 | 1.064 |
| | Anti-hypertensive medications | 1.031 | 83.119 | 0.669 | 1.59 |
| | FRS | 1.955 | 67.013 | 0.003 | 1422 |
| | Inflammation index | 1.211 | 86.857 | 0.925 | 1.587 |
| Model 2 | Gender | 5.508 | 76.191 | 1.417 | 21.416 |
| | Age in years | 0.982 | 79.734 | 0.903 | 1.069 |
| | Anti-hypertensive medications | 1.128 | 74.762 | 0.67 | 1.901 |
| | FRS | 0.910 | 56.755 | 0.000 | 4205 |
| | Inflammation index | 1.173 | 81.662 | 0.827 | 1.664 |
| | BDI-II scores | 0.941 | 81.393 | 0.841 | 1.053 |
| | PROMIS-SD scores | 1.057 | 80.453 | 0.994 | 1.124 |
| | PROMIS-A scores | 1.135 | 79.864 | 1.047 | 1.231 |
| | MoCA scores | 1.142 | 80.546 | 0.909 | 1.434 |

 Table 4.
 Logistic regression models of pre-COVID-19 predictors of PRTS status during the COVID-19 pandemic.

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; *df*: degrees of freedom; FRS: Framingham Risk Score; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory, Second Edition; PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System; PROMIS-SD: PROMIS Sleep Disturbance, Adult Short Form 8a; PROMIS-A: PROMIS Anxiety, Adult Short Form 8a; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment, Version 7.

significantly better fit than the aforementioned model (χ^2 =4.82, *df*=4, *p* < 0.001) and demonstrated that female gender and greater pre-pandemic anxiety symptoms were associated with significantly increased risk of PRTS+ status during the pandemic. Pre-COVID anti-HTN medications, inflammation index, sleep disturbance, and MoCA scores were not significant predictors of PRTS+ status (see Table 4).

Discussion

In this cohort of older adults with HTN during the COVID-19 pandemic, 42% of respondents endorsed at least 1 PRTS symptom. This is consistent with other studies in older adults during the pandemic, where PRTS prevalence is around 36%–38% (Armitage et al., 2022; Jassal et al., 2022). Our finding of potentially clinically elevated PTSD symptoms (PC-PTSD cutoff score \geq 3) in 7.4% of study participants is greater than the pre-pandemic prevalence of PTSD in the general population of 4.7%

(Goldstein et al., 2016), but lower than previously reported in meta-analyses of adult samples since onset of the pandemic (ranging 15%-23%) (Cénat et al., 2021; Cooke et al., 2020; Krishnamoorthy et al., 2020). This is consistent with studies suggesting that younger adults report greater pandemic-related disruptions to their lives and higher rates of pandemicrelated PTSD compared to older adults (Carney et al., 2021; Rossi et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the frequency with which PRTS progresses into clinically diagnosed PTSD among older adults remains critical question for future а exploration.

Cross-sectionally at the COVID-19 assessment, participants in the PRTS+ group selfreported greater depression, anxiety, and loneliness, and lower resilience and self-compassion, relative to the PRTS- group. This is not surprising, given the frequent comorbidity of trauma-related and other psychiatric symptoms (Kessler et al., 1995). The PRTS+ group also reported poorer general health, decreased physical functioning, greater sleep disturbance, and more subjective impairment in instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs). These findings are consistent with other studies indicating that pandemic-related chronic stress among older adults is associated with poorer sleep (Kuo et al., 2023), and greater physical disability is correlated with larger pandemic-related increases in depressive symptoms (Li and Luo, 2023). Although we did not perform objective assessments of cardiovascular health and cognitive function during the pandemic, our findings suggest that older adults with PRTS experienced poorer subjective general health and cognitive functioning. Indeed, longitudinal analyses indicate that community-dwelling older adults in the US with HTN or CVD experienced accelerated rates of cognitive decline during the COVID-19 pandemic relative to rates observed during pre-pandemic assessment (Hua et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). Pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors such as HTN, and traumatic stress, are both conceptualized as mechanisms in accelerating biological senescence (Lohr et al., 2015), and the cumulative effect of HTN and PRTS during COVID-19 on long-term risk for CVD-related outcomes (i.e. stroke, myocardial infarction) and cognitive decline, or incident neurocognitive disorders, in older adults remains to be fully elucidated. To date, no studies to our knowledge have examined these outcomes in older adults with HTN and PRTS during COVID-19, so this remains a critical area of inquiry.

Notably, time since onset of California's state of emergency (March 2, 2020) to completion of the COVID-19 study assessment was longer in the PRTS+ group compared to the PRTS- group, suggesting that greater duration of exposure to the pandemic could be associated with PRTS+ status. However, all assessments were conducted over a 4-month period, from May to September 2020, during which the status of the pandemic was relatively consistent. At the beginning of the study period, the US had already reported over 1 million cases (April 28, 2020), and so the implications of the pandemic (and therefore magnitude of the stressor) had been appreciated by all study participants. Throughout the study period, both groups were exposed to a consistent pattern of mitigation strategies (i.e. California maintained a statewide mask mandate and stay-at-home order, and vaccines and monoclonal antibody treatments for COVID-19 infection were not available). The PRTS groups also reported experiencing similar pandemic-related stressors on the CRISIS questionnaire, and no participants reported having been infected with COVID-19. All participants were invited to complete the COVID-19 assessment at the beginning of the study period, and it could be the case that PRTS+ status, or associated differences in other health domains during the pandemic, contributed to individuals in the PRTS+ status group delaying their participation to later in the study period.

We also found that gender and pre-pandemic anxiety scores significantly predicted PRTS. Female gender as a predictor of PRTS is consistent with previous studies during the pandemic, suggesting that among healthcare workers and the general population, women are at greater risk for PRTS (Liu et al., 2020). In response to traumatic events more generally, women are more likely than men to develop clinical PTSD (Kimerling et al., 2018). Among older adults, specifically, a nationally representative longitudinal sample from England reported increased levels of anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic (Zaninotto et al., 2022), with women experiencing larger increases than men (i.e. interaction effect) for anxiety and other mental health outcome (e.g. quality of life, loneliness). Similarly, older women in the UK reported greater PRTS than older men (assessed using the Impact of Event Scale) (Armitage et al., 2022). However, the mediators of these women-specific effects remain unclear: greater biologic risk for PRTS in females (e.g. neuroendocrine processes), disproportionate psychosocial impact of the pandemic on women (e.g. caregiving burden, isolation), or a combination of both. Further studies are therefore needed to elucidate the mechanisms by which biologic sex and gender are related to risk for

PRTS and other poor health outcomes during the pandemic among older adults.

Pre-COVID anxiety symptoms also significantly predicted PRTS status after onset of the pandemic, with about 1 standard deviation (SD) difference between the groups in terms of prepandemic anxiety symptoms (+0.5 SD for PRTS+ and -0.5 SD for PRTS-, relative to the population norm), aligning with other reports that pre-pandemic anxiety is associated with greater PRTS in older adults (Maggi et al., 2021). An important implication for older adults with HTN and poorer pre-pandemic mental health (e.g. anxiety) may be unfavorable lifestyle changes (e.g. decreased physical activity, dietary choices), which have been reported elsewhere (Jääskeläinen et al., 2023), and may increase risk of CVD-related morbidity. This suggests that screening older adults with even sub-clinical anxiety symptoms for traumarelated symptoms during the pandemic is warranted.

Factors related to cardiovascular health, including anti-HTN medications and FRS scores, were not associated with PRTS status during the pandemic, nor were pre-COVID vascular and inflammatory biomarker levels. It is possible, however, that our study was underpowered to detect smaller effects that these factors may have exerted on PRTS risk. For instance, at the proportion of PRTS+ participants observed in the present study (i.e. 42%), a moderately sized effect (d=0.30) detectable at 80% power would require ~150 PRTS+ individuals in the sample. Larger sample sizes may therefore be needed to detect group differences. It may also be the case that a true effect does not exist. While the literature supports bidirectional relationships between cardiovascular health and PTSD, it is unknown whether the physiologic or behavioral mechanisms at play would be applicable to sub-clinical traumatic stress symptoms, such as PRTS, which is the focus of the current investigation.

Our study had a handful of limitations. First, study participants were older adults with HTN who had previously enrolled in a clinical trial aimed at promoting health behaviors, which

could introduce a selection bias. It is also notable that the participants who did not respond to the COVID-19 survey were older, less likely to be married, and had lower pre-COVID MoCA scores, compared to those who responded to the COVID-19 survey. Participants in this followup study therefore represent a slightly younger group with better pre-pandemic cognitive status, potentially with more social support, compared the larger parent study cohort. The current study may therefore underestimate the true prevalence of PRTS in older adults generally. Participants were predominantly Caucasian and college-educated, and largely without preexisting illnesses other than HTN. They were also recruited from a geographic area with lower rates of infection but stricter lockdown measures during the early phase of the pandemic compared to other parts of the United States and globally, and no participants reported a laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 diagnosis. PRTS groups were balanced regarding these factors, but this could nevertheless limit the generalizability of the findings.

Further, PRTS was assessed using a rapid, 4-item, self-report measure, which was modified to assess trauma symptoms related to the pandemic, and clinical interviews to adjudicate PTSD diagnosis were not performed. While participants were prompted to respond to PC-PTSD questions with the COVID-19 pandemic as the trauma or stressor of reference, it is unknown to what degree the pandemic would meet the threshold of a "criterion A" trauma for each participant (i.e. exposure to actual or threatened death or serious injury). Traumarelated symptoms were also not assessed prior to the pandemic, and so the extent to which trauma history or pre-existing trauma-related symptoms influenced PRTS status during the pandemic is unknown. We also used a cut-off score of ≥ 1 on the PC-PTSD, while this measure was developed as a screening tool for PTSD in primary care settings, with a cut-off score ≥ 3 being the established cut-off score with optimal sensitivity, specificity, and efficiency for a clinical diagnosis of PTSD (Prins et al., 2004). However, we aimed to capture any perceived

traumatic stress during the pandemic, rather than only probable clinical diagnoses. Further, we conducted a sensitivity analysis, wherein we compared sociodemographic and health-related measures between PRTS groups using both a PC-PTSD cut-off score of ≥ 1 and ≥ 3 . In both analyses, the PRTS+ group had worse perception of their general and mental health, and greater perceived impairment in IADLs, suggesting that even subclinical traumatic stress symptoms during the pandemic are associated with poorer overall health status, and further investigations of these associations are warranted.

Despite these limitations, our findings demonstrate that older adults with HTN reported PRTS at higher rates than pre-pandemic estimates for the general population. However, prevalence is not greater than that in other adult samples during the pandemic. Pre-COVID indices of cardiovascular health and vascular and inflammatory biomarkers did not significantly predict risk of PRTS during the pandemic in the current sample, but this warrants investigation in a larger sample. Female gender and pre-pandemic anxiety levels did predict PRTS, indicating that screening for PRTS during the pandemic in older women with HTN and pre-existing anxiety symptoms might be warranted. For older adults with HTN who do report PRTS during the pandemic, poorer self-reported general and mental health, along with more impairment in activities of daily living are of concern. Given the known associations among HTN, traumatic stress, and poorer cardiovascular and cognitive outcomes, longitudinal studies are urgently needed to better characterize the impact of PRTS during the pandemic on trajectories of aging in older adults, as are interventions to mitigate the traumatic-stress related burden of the pandemic in this vulnerable population.

Author contributions

Emily A Troyer made substantial contributions to the conceptualization of the work, data curation, formal analyses, and was responsible for drafting the manuscript. Jordan N Kohn made substantial contributions to data curation, formal analyses, visualizations, and drafting and revising the manuscript for important intellectual content. Monica Feliz R Castillo made substantial contributions to formal analyses and revised the work critically. Judith D Lobo contributed significantly to formal analyses and drafting and revising the manuscript. Yaniel Ramirez Sanchez made substantial contributions to data curation, formal analyses, and assisted in drafting the manuscript. Gavrila Ang, Anthony Cirilo, Juan Andrew Leal, Amanda L Walker, and Kathleen L Wilson made substantial contributions to investigation, data curation, and critical revision of the manuscript. Christopher Pruitt contributed significantly to data collection, formal analyses, interpretation of results, and revised the work critically. Meredith A Pung made substantial contributions to the conceptualization and design of the work, data curation and interpretation, project administration, and critically revised the work. Laura S Redwine and Suzi Hong made substantial contributions to the conceptualization and design of the work, interpretation of data, funding acquisition, supervision, and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have approved the final version for publication and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Data sharing statement

The current article is accompanied by the relevant raw data generated during and/or analysed during the study, including files detailing the analyses and either the complete database or other relevant raw data. These files are available in the Figshare repository and accessible as Supplemental Material via the Sage Journals platform. Ethics approval, participant permissions, and all other relevant approvals were granted for this data sharing.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: The work was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (R01HL126056 to LSR & SH) and the National Institute on Aging (R03AG063328 to SH). The authors also received support from the National Institute of Mental Health (T32MH018399 to EAT; T32MH019934 to JNK; R25MH101072 to MFRC; R01MH125720 to JDL), the National Institute on Drug Abuse (T32DA031098 to JDL), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (T32AI007384 to JDL), the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (T32GM007198 to YRS), the National Institute on Aging (R25AG066594 to AC & JAL), and the National Cancer Institute (R25CA221779 & R25CA132699 to AC & JAL). The REDCap software system was provided by the UCSD Clinical and Translational Research Center, supported by the National Center for Research Resources (UL1TR001442).

Ethics approval

The manuscript contains original research done solely by the authors, and this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Diego. This work has been presented, in part, as a poster at the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology Annual Meeting in San Juan, Puerto Rico in December 2021 and as a poster at the Society of Biological Psychiatry Annual Meeting in New Orleans, Lousiana in April 2022. This manuscript has not been published and is not being considered elsewhere for publication.

Informed consent

All participants provided informed consent prior to data collection.

ORCID iD

Emily A Troyer D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3295-0521

References

- APA (2013) American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edn. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.
- Armitage CJ, Dawes P and Munro KJ (2022) Prevalence and correlates of COVID-19-related traumatic stress symptoms among older adults: A national survey. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 147: 190–193.
- Azur MJ, Stuart EA, Frangakis C, et al. (2011) Multiple imputation by chained equations: What is it and how does it work? *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* 20(1): 40–49.

- Beck AT, Steer RA and Brown GK (1996) Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
- Carney AK, Graf AS, Hudson G, et al. (2021) Age moderates perceived COVID-19 disruption on well-being. *The Gerontologist* 61(1): 30–35.
- Cénat JM, Blais-Rochette C, Kokou-Kpolou CK, et al. (2021) Prevalence of symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and psychological distress among populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 295: 113599.
- Connor KM and Davidson JR (2003) Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and Anxiety* 18(2): 76–82.
- Cooke JE, Eirich R, Racine N, et al. (2020) Prevalence of posttraumatic and general psychological stress during COVID-19: A rapid review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 292: 113347.
- Dinh QN, Drummond GR, Sobey CG, et al. (2014) Roles of inflammation, oxidative stress, and vascular dysfunction in hypertension. *BioMed Research International* 2014: 1–11.
- Eraly SA, Nievergelt CM, Maihofer AX, et al. (2014) Assessment of plasma C-reactive protein as a biomarker of posttraumatic stress disorder risk. *JAMA Psychiatry* 71(4): 423–431.
- Goldstein RB, Smith SM, Chou SP, et al. (2016) The epidemiology of DSM-5 posttraumatic stress disorder in the United States: Results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on alcohol and related conditions-III. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology 51(8): 1137–1148.
- Hays RD and DiMatteo MR (1987) A short-form measure of loneliness. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 51(1): 69–81.
- Howard JT, Sosnov JA, Janak JC, et al. (2018) Associations of initial injury severity and posttraumatic stress disorder diagnoses with longterm hypertension risk after combat injury. *Hypertension* 71(5): 824–832.
- Hua R, Li C, Gao D, et al. (2023) Cognitive decline among older adults with heart diseases before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal cohort study. *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine* 9: 1077800.
- Jääskeläinen T, Sääksjärvi K, Pietilä A, et al. (2023) Poor health status before the COVID-19 pandemic is associated with unfavourable changes

in health-related lifestyle. *Scandinavian Journal* of Public Health 51: 792–796.

- Jassal S, Rahmadian AP, Kathirkamathamby S, et al. (2022) Prevalence of mental health outcomes and effects of sex and mood or cognitive comorbidity in older adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 30(4): S115–S116.
- Jeste DV, Palmer BW, Appelbaum PS, et al. (2007) A new brief instrument for assessing decisional capacity for clinical research. Archives of General Psychiatry 64: 966–974.
- Kerby DS (2014) The simple difference formula: An approach to teaching nonparametric correlation. *Comprehensive Psychology* 3: 11.IT.3.1.
- Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, et al. (1995) Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Archives of General Psychiatry 52(12): 1048–1060.
- Kimerling R, Allen MC and Duncan LE (2018) Chromosomes to social contexts: Sex and gender differences in PTSD. *Current Psychiatry Reports* 20: 1–9.
- Knight EL, Jiang Y, Rodriguez-Stanley J, et al. (2021) Perceived stress is linked to heightened biomarkers of inflammation via diurnal cortisol in a national sample of adults. *Brain, Behavior,* and Immunity 93: 206–213.
- Kohn JN, Troyer E, Guay-Ross RN, et al. (2020) Self-reported sleep disturbances are associated with poorer cognitive performance in older adults with hypertension: A multi-parameter risk factor investigation. *International Psychogeriatrics* 32(7): 815–825.
- Kohn JN, Lobo JD, Troyer EA, et al. (2023a) Tai Chi or health education for older adults with hypertension: Effects on mental health and psychological resilience to COVID-19. *Aging and Mental Health* 27(3): 496–504.
- Kohn JN, Lobo JD, Troyer EA, et al. (2023b) Tai Chi versus health education as a frailty intervention for community-dwelling older adults with hypertension. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research* 35(10): 2051–2060.
- Krishnamoorthy Y, Nagarajan R, Saya GK, et al. (2020) Prevalence of psychological morbidities among general population, healthcare workers and COVID-19 patients amidst the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Research* 293: 113382.
- Kuo WC, Ersig AL, Kunkul F, et al. (2023) Linking chronic stress to insomnia symptoms in older

adults: The role of stress co-occurrence during the pandemic. *Research in Nursing & Health* 46(1): 68–79.

- Li C, Hua R, Gao D, et al. (2023) Cognitive decline before and during COVID-19 pandemic among older people with multimorbidity: A longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association* 24(4): 419– 425.e10.
- Li M and Luo Y (2023) Physical disability, psychological resilience, and COVID-related changes in depressive symptoms among U.S. older adults. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences* 78(7): 1246–1256.
- Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, et al. (2020) Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: Gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Research* 287: 112921.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Wilson PWF, Larson MG, et al. (2004) Framingham risk score and prediction of lifetime risk for coronary heart disease. *The American Journal of Cardiology* 94(1): 20–24.
- Lohr JB, Palmer BW, Eidt CA, et al. (2015) Is post-traumatic stress disorder associated with premature senescence? A review of the literature. *American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry* 23(7): 709–725.
- McCullough ME, Emmons RA and Tsang J-A (2002) The grateful disposition: A conceptual and empirical topography. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 82(1): 112–127.
- Maggi G, Baldassarre I, Barbaro A, et al. (2021) Mental health status of Italian elderly subjects during and after quarantine for the COVID-19 pandemic: A cross-sectional and longitudinal study. *Psychogeriatrics* 21(4): 540–551.
- Meng X-L and Rubin DB (1992) Performing likelihood ratio tests with multiply-imputed data sets. *Biometrika* 79: 103–111.
- Mlinac ME and Feng MC (2016) Assessment of activities of daily living, self-care, and independence. Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology 31(6): 506–516.
- Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bédirian V, et al. (2005) The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: A brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 53(4): 695–699.
- Nikolaidis A, Paksarian D, Alexander L, et al. (2021) The Coronavirus Health and Impact Survey (CRISIS) reveals reproducible correlates of

pandemic-related mood states across the Atlantic. *Scientific Reports* 11(1): 8139.

- Pfeffer RI, Kurosaki TT, Harrah CH Jr, et al. (1982) Measurement of functional activities in older adults in the community. *Journal of Gerontology* 37(3): 323–329.
- Pilkonis PA, Choi SW, Reise SP, et al. (2011) Item banks for measuring emotional distress from the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS[®]): Depression, anxiety, and anger. Assessment 18(3): 263–283.
- Prins A, Ouimette P, Kimerling R, et al. (2004) The primary care PTSD screen (PC–PTSD): Development and operating characteristics. *Primary Care Psychiatry* 9(1): 9–14.
- Qureshi SU, Kimbrell T, Pyne JM, et al. (2010) Greater prevalence and incidence of dementia in older veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 58(9): 1627–1633.
- Raes F, Pommier E, Neff KD, et al. (2011) Construction and factorial validation of a short form of the Self-Compassion Scale. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy* 18(3): 250–255.
- Rosenberg DE, Norman GJ, Wagner N, et al. (2010) Reliability and validity of the sedentary behavior questionnaire (SBQ) for adults. *Journal of Physical Activity and Health* 7(6): 697–705.
- Rossi R, Socci V, Talevi D, et al. (2020) COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown measures impact on mental health among the general population in Italy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry* 11: 7–12.
- Scherrer JF, Salas J, Cohen BE, et al. (2019) Comorbid conditions explain the association between posttraumatic stress disorder and incident cardiovascular disease. *Journal of the American Heart Association* 8(4): e011133.
- Speer K, Upton D, Semple S, et al. (2018) Systemic low-grade inflammation in post-traumatic

stress disorder: A systematic review. *Journal of Inflammation Research* 11: 111–121.

- Sperling W, Kreil SK and Biermann T (2011) Posttraumatic stress disorder and dementia in holocaust survivors. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease* 199(3): 196–198.
- Stewart A and Ware J (1992) Measuring Functioning and Well-Being: The Medical Outcomes Study Approach. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Sumner JA, Chen Q, Roberts AL, et al. (2018) Posttraumatic stress disorder onset and inflammatory and endothelial function biomarkers in women. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity* 69(12): 203–209.
- Taylor CA, Patel K, Patton ME, et al. (2023) COVID-19-associated hospitalizations among U.S. adults aged ≥65 years – COVID-NET, 13 states, January-August 2023. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 72(40): 1089–1094.
- Wentworth BA, Stein MB, Redwine LS, et al. (2013) Post-traumatic stress disorder: A fast track to premature cardiovascular disease? *Cardiology* in Review 21(1): 16–22.
- Xue Y, Taub PR, Iqbal N, et al. (2012) Cardiac biomarkers, mortality, and post-traumatic stress disorder in military veterans. *The American Journal of Cardiology* 109(8): 1215–1218.
- Yaffe K, Vittinghoff E, Lindquist K, et al. (2010) Posttraumatic stress disorder and risk of dementia among US veterans. *Archives of General Psychiatry* 67(6): 608–613.
- YuL, Buysse DJ, Germain A, et al. (2012) Development of short forms from the PROMIS[™]. *Behavioral Sleep Medicine* 10(1): 6–24.
- Zaninotto P, Iob E, Demakakos P, et al. (2022) Immediate and longer-term changes in the mental health and well-being of older adults in England during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Psychiatry* 79(2): 151–159.