UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Multi-omic longitudinal study reveals immune correlates of clinical course among hospitalized COVID-19 patients

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6m67c638>

Journal Cell Reports Medicine, 4(6)

ISSN 2666-3791

Authors

Diray-Arce, Joann Fourati, Slim Jayavelu, Naresh Doni [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6m67c638#author)

Publication Date 2023-06-01

DOI

10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101079

Peer reviewed

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Multi-omic longitudinal study reveals immune correlates of clinical course among hospitalized COVID-19 patients

Graphical abstract

Authors

Joann Diray-Arce, Slim Fourati, Naresh Doni Jayavelu, ..., Leying Guan, Bjoern Peters, Steven H. Kleinstein

Correspondence

joann.arce@childrens.harvard.edu (J.D.- A.), steven.kleinstein@yale.edu (S.H.K.)

In brief

Diray-Arce et al. conduct deep immunophenotyping of acute COVID-19 infection using more than 15,000 longitudinal samples from 540 hospitalized patients in the IMPACC cohort. The study comprehensively defines baseline and longitudinal immunologic states that are associated with mild to fatal disease trajectory groups.

Highlights

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet \\
\bullet\n\end{array}$

- **•** Distinct baseline and temporal patterns are associated with the clinical course
- **•** Persistent viral levels, despite high antibody titers, are associated with severity
- **e** Severity is linked to reduced cytotoxic NK cells, increased inflammation, and thrombosis
- Myocardial damage markers distinguish critical patients who recover from those who die

Diray-Arce et al., 2023, Cell Reports Medicine 4, 101079 June 20, 2023 © 2023 The Authors. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101079> **llast contract of the Contract of Collection CP CellPress**

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Multi-omic longitudinal study reveals immune correlates of clinical course among hospitalized COVID-19 patients

Joann Diray-Arce,^{[1,](#page-2-0)[7,](#page-2-1)[*](#page-2-2)} Slim Fourati,^{2,[16](#page-2-4)} Naresh Doni Jayavelu,^{[3,](#page-2-5)16} Ravi Patel,^{[4](#page-2-6)} Cole Maguire,^{[5](#page-2-7)} Ana C. Chang,^{[1](#page-2-0)} Ravi Dandekar,^{[4](#page-2-6)} Jingjing Qi,^{[6](#page-2-8)} Brian H. Lee,⁶ Patrick van Zalm,^{[7](#page-2-1)} Andrew Schroeder,⁴ Ernie Chen,^{[8](#page-2-9)} Anna Konstorum,⁸ Anderson Brito,^{[9](#page-2-10)} Jeremy P. Gygi,^{[8](#page-2-9)} Alvin Kho,^{[1](#page-2-0)} Jing Chen,^{[1,](#page-2-0)[7](#page-2-1)} Shrikant Pawar,⁸ Ana Silvia Gonzalez-Reiche,^{[6](#page-2-8)} Annmarie Hoch,^{[1,](#page-2-0)[7](#page-2-1)} Carly E. Milliren,^{[1](#page-2-0)} James A. Overton,^{[10](#page-2-11)} Kerstin Westendorf,^{[11](#page-2-12)} IMPACC Network, Charles B. Cairns,^{[12](#page-2-13)} Nadine Rouphael,^{[2](#page-2-3)} Steven E. Bosinger,² Seunghee Kim-Schulze,^{[6](#page-2-8)} Florian Krammer,⁶ Lindsey Rosen,^{[13](#page-2-14)} Nathan D. Grubaugh,^{[9](#page-2-10)} Harm van Bakel,^{[6](#page-2-8)} Michael Wilson,^{[4](#page-2-6)} Jayant Rajan,⁴ Hanno Steen,^{[7](#page-2-1)} Walter Eckalbar,⁴ Chris Cotsapas, $8,15$ $8,15$ Charles R. Langelier, [4](#page-2-6) Ofer Levy, $7,15$ $7,15$ Matthew C. Altman, [3](#page-2-5) Holden Maecker, 14 Ruth R. Montgomery, [8](#page-2-9) Elias K. Haddad,^{[12](#page-2-13)} Rafick P. Sekaly,^{[2](#page-2-3)} Denise Esserman,^{[9](#page-2-10)} Al Ozonoff,^{[1,](#page-2-0)[7,](#page-2-1)[15](#page-2-15)} Patrice M. Becker,^{[13](#page-2-14)} Alison D. Augustine,¹³ Leying Guan,^{[9](#page-2-10)} Bjoern Peters,^{[11](#page-2-12)} and Steven H. Kleinstein^{[8,](#page-2-9)[17,](#page-2-17)3} 1Clinical and Data Coordinating Center, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA 02115, USA 2Emory School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA 3Benaroya Research Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98101, USA 4University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94115, USA 5The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA ⁶Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA 7Precision Vaccines Program, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA 8Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA 9Yale School of Public Health, New Haven, CT 06510, USA 10Knocean, Inc., Toronto, ON M6P 2T3, Canada 11La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA 92037, USA 12Drexel University, Tower Health Hospital, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA 13National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA 14Stanford University School of Medicine, Palo Alto, CA 94305, USA 15Broad Institute of MIT & Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA 16These authors contributed equally

17Lead contact

*Correspondence: joann.arce@childrens.harvard.edu (J.D.-A.), steven.kleinstein@yale.edu (S.H.K.)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101079>

SUMMARY

The IMPACC cohort, composed of >1,000 hospitalized COVID-19 participants, contains five illness trajectory groups (TGs) during acute infection (first 28 days), ranging from milder (TG1–3) to more severe disease course (TG4) and death (TG5). Here, we report deep immunophenotyping, profiling of >15,000 longitudinal blood and nasal samples from 540 participants of the IMPACC cohort, using 14 distinct assays. These unbiased analyses identify cellular and molecular signatures present within 72 h of hospital admission that distinguish moderate from severe and fatal COVID-19 disease. Importantly, cellular and molecular states also distinguish participants with more severe disease that recover or stabilize within 28 days from those that progress to fatal outcomes (TG4 vs. TG5). Furthermore, our longitudinal design reveals that these biologic states display distinct temporal patterns associated with clinical outcomes. Characterizing host immune responses in relation to heterogeneity in disease course may inform clinical prognosis and opportunities for intervention.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, scientists worldwide have characterized immune responses and host-pathogen interactions to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection to gain insight into disease pathogenesis and identify potential interventions for COVID-19. Studies assessing distinct elements of viral variants and cellular and humoral immunity from different participant populations have greatly improved our understanding of SARS-CoV-2 pathogenesis. $1-6$ However, to design and deploy precision prognostics and therapeutics, it is essential to address the heterogeneity in clinical outcomes of COVID-19 and precisely define correlates of host immune responses to that heterogeneity.

The clinical manifestations of COVID-19 are diverse, ranging from asymptomatic disease to hospitalization and death. $7-$

Even among hospitalized patients, who are at the highest risk for death, clinical courses are highly variable. To provide a comprehensive and unbiased study of the clinical course, immunology, virology, and genetics of acute COVID-19, we established a geographically diverse US consortium of 15 centers and 20 hospital recruitment sites (Immunophenotyping Assessment in a COVID-19 Cohort, or IMPACC).^{[10](#page-20-1)} IMPACC analyzed participant characteristics to capture the dynamics of clinical course and defined five disease course trajectories spanning rapid recovery through fatal outcomes.^{[11](#page-20-2)}

Here, we carried out deep immunophenotyping of 15,193 longitudinal samples from 540 IMPACC adult participants with a confirmed positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR over the first 28 days after hospital admission. To define the immune status of the study participants, we employed six core immunophenotyping approaches on blood samples: serology (anti-SARS-CoV-2-specific and anti-interferon [IFN] antibodies), proteomics (circulating markers from serum and plasma reflecting immune status from protein states), metabolomics (metabolites and lipids), CyTOF (leukocyte frequency and phenotype), gene expression (host bulk RNA sequencing [RNA-seq] and metagenomics), and genomics (DNA sequence, genome-wide association study [GWAS]). In addition, we analyzed the nasal epithelium, the port of entry of SARS-CoV-2 infection, for viral load and viral sequences and host transcriptomic profiles. Overall, we identified biologic states associated with the five COVID-19 disease trajectory groups defined by IMPACC, revealing potential determinants of clinical heterogeneity and potential actionable targets for prognostic biomarkers and therapeutic intervention.

RESULTS

Immunophenotyping of participants within five clinical trajectory groups

We carried out deep immunophenotyping on longitudinal data and samples over the initial 28 days post-hospital admission of 540 adult participants with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection enrolled in the IMPACC cohort between May 6, 2020 and December 9, 2020 [\(Table S1\)](#page-19-1). Five illness trajectory groups were identified previously^{[11](#page-20-2)} using clinical data from the entire IMPACC cohort (1,164 participants) and latent class modeling of longitudinal observation of a modified ordinal score,^{[12](#page-20-3)} reflecting both the degree of respiratory support required and the presence or absence of activity limitations or oxygen requirement at discharge.^{[11](#page-20-2)} The model classified each participant into one of five groups: trajectory group 1 (TG1; n = 119) was characterized by relatively mild respiratory disease and a brief hospital stay (median [interquartile range (IQR)] 3 [2] days) with no limitations at hospital discharge; TG2 (n = 149) generally required more respiratory support than TG1 and had a longer length of hospital stay (LOS) (median [IQR] 7 [4] days) but no limitations at discharge; and TG3 ($n = 110$) was characterized by roughly similar respiratory support requirements and LOS (median [IQR] 7 [7] days) as TG2 but generally had limitations at discharge. Two additional groups had overall higher respiratory support requirements during their hospital stay: TG4 ($n = 106$) generally received more aggressive respiratory support and experienced a prolonged LOS (median [IQR] 20 [12] days), and

TG5 ($n = 56$) was characterized by high respiratory support re-quirements and fatal illness by day 28 [\(Figure 1A](#page-4-0)). Detailed clinical characteristics for the entire IMPACC cohort based on TG assignment have been previously reported.^{[11](#page-20-2)} Participant demographics, comorbidities, time from symptom onset to hospitalization, and baseline clinical respiratory status, radiographic findings, and clinical laboratory data for the 540 participants with deep immunophenotyping data analyzed here reflect characteristics of the entire IMPACC cohort [\(Table S1\)](#page-19-1).

Clinical laboratory values were collected both at baseline and at scheduled visits during the hospital stay if ordered by the clin-ical care team.^{[10](#page-20-1)} Longitudinal multi-omics profiles were generated for each participant employing 14 distinct assays on blood and nasal swab samples at each visit. In total, 15,193 biological samples were processed and analyzed from 540 participants [\(Figure 1B](#page-4-0); [Table S1](#page-19-1)). These assays included nasal viral load and sequence, serology, blood cytometry, plasma proteomics, serum cytokine/chemokine, plasma metabolomics, nasal and peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) transcriptomics, nasal metagenomics, and genetics [\(Figure 1C](#page-4-0)).

A common analytic framework to identify associations with clinical severity

We developed a common analytic framework for all assays [\(Fig](#page-4-0)[ure 1](#page-4-0)D; [STAR Methods](#page-25-0)). Briefly, this framework included a dimensionality reduction step followed by mixed-effects modeling for association with the five clinical trajectory groups, with confounding effects properly adjusted in this process. For assay readouts with >50 features, we identified correlated feature modules (referred to here as ''modules'') using weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA).^{[13](#page-20-4)} For a given module in an assay, we define the module values across samples as the first principal component constructed using features included in this module. We investigated the behavior of each feature (or module) both at visit 1 (within 72 h of hospital admission) and longitudinally (up to 28 days post-hospital admission) and correlated it with clinical outcomes. More specifically, we tested both if a feature exhibited a monotonic trend from the mildest (TG1) to most severe (TG5) disease course at visit 1 using mixed-effect ordinal regression (clmm) and if a feature showed differential kinetics over the whole time course (visits 1–6) via a generalized additive model with mixed effects (gamm4) where we examined if the average (referred to as intercept in the gamm4 documentation) or shape (referred to as the smoothing term in the gamm4 documentation) differs across the clinical trajectory groups. Features with a false discovery rate (FDR) <5% were considered significant based on the adjusted p value (referred to as adj.p).^{[14](#page-20-5)} For both analyses, significant features were further tested for differences between each pair of TGs to facilitate interpretation.[15,](#page-20-6)[16](#page-20-7)

Viral loads and antibody responses associated with disease trajectory

Viral loads and antibody responses are key aspects of host-pathogen interactions that relate to disease severity.^{[17–19](#page-20-8)} We assessed nasopharyngeal viral loads by RT-PCR, viral variants by whole-genome sequencing, anti-receptor binding domain (RBD) and anti-spike immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies by ELISA, and

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Figure 1. Overview of IMPACC cohort, sample collection, and immunophenotyping

(A) Clinical trajectory group assignment of IMPACC cohort participants ($N = 1,164$).^{[10–12](#page-20-1)}

(B) The total number of collected tissues (whole blood, serum, plasma, and nasal swab samples) for all acute visits (up to day 28 post-admission, including escalation visits). A total of 15,193 samples were profiled from 540 participants across 20 hospital recruitment sites from 15 biomedical centers. (C) The total number of participants profiled by 14 different immunophenotyping assays over the course of the scheduled acute visits (visits 1–6).

(D) Data analysis involved a rigorous data quality and confounder analysis, dimensionality reduction to combine features into modules, and association of module levels at visit 1 and their longitudinal pattern with the clinical trajectory group. Expression levels of modules at visit 1 are depicted as boxplots, while longitudinal patterns are shown as line graphs.

d CelPress OPEN ACCESS

(legend on next page)

antibodies targeting the entire SARS-CoV-2 linear peptidome by programmable phage display.^{[20](#page-20-9)}

Whole-genome viral amplification generated complete viral genomes from 316 nasopharyngeal swab samples collected from 221 participants. Genotyping identified 60 lineages from Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak $21,22$ $21,22$ (PANGO) across the cohort [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)A). All viral genomes were of the Wuhan strain. No variants of interest or concern, such as Delta or Omicron variants, were detected as the samples were collected prior to the occurrence of these variants. Clinical trajectory group was not associated with any of the 9 lineages that were detected across at least 3 recruitment sites or with participant-specific mutations [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)B).

SARS-CoV-2 viral loads were measured on 1,174 nasopharyngeal swab samples collected from 474 participants. We did not detect associations between viral load and sex, age, enrollment site, and other sample metadata and demographic variables. However, the RT-PCR median cycle threshold (Ct) values for both SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein genes N1 and N2 differed significantly among the five clinical trajectory groups at hospital admission (visit 1) (N1 Ct, adj.p = 0.04 , and N2 Ct, adj.p = 0.04 ; [Figures 2](#page-5-0)C and [S1](#page-19-1)A). The median viral loads were lowest (higher Ct values) in the participant group with mildest disease (TG1) and highest in the group with most severe disease (TG5). Longitudinal analysis identified additional significant differences in the shape of the viral loads across time (N1 Ct, adj.p = 0.001 , and N2 Ct, adj.p = 0.0003, [Figures 2D](#page-5-0) and $S1B$). While a decline in viral loads was observed for all of the trajectory groups, viral loads in participants with the most severe disease (TG5) plateaued after the first week of hospitalization at a Ct value still <30, suggesting persistent viral RNA throughout the 28 days [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)D).

Antibody titers against SARS-CoV-2 RBD IgG and spike IgG were measured in 1,335 serum samples collected from 489 participants. Anti-RBD and anti-spike IgG values at visit 1 were quantitatively lowest in participants with the most severe disease (TG5), but no significant difference was detected among the five clinical trajectory groups (anti-RBD $\lg G$, adj.p = 0.68, and antispike IgG, adj.p = 0.68 ; [Figures 2E](#page-5-0) and [S1C](#page-19-1)). In contrast, the average (anti-spike IgG, adj. $p = 0.07$) and shape (anti-spike IgG, adj.p = 0.01 , and anti-RBD IgG, adj.p = 0.07 ; [Figures 2](#page-5-0)F and [S1](#page-19-1)D) of the longitudinal responses were different across the trajectory groups, with TG4 showing the highest values of anti-spike and anti-RBD IgG.

Proteome-wide, linear peptide SARS-CoV-2 (and other human coronaviruses $[CoVs]$) antibody profiling with VirScan^{[23](#page-20-12)} (i.e., serum phage immunoprecipitation sequencing [PhIP-seq]) was performed on 1,312 serum samples from 496 participants. No batch effects were observed using principal-component analysis (PCA) [\(Figure S2](#page-19-1)A) and principal variance component analysis (PVCA) [\(Figure S2B](#page-19-1)). Visit 1 pan-SARS-CoV-2 antibody profiles ([Figure S2](#page-19-1)C) did not show any significant association between clinical trajectory group and seroreactivity to any viral protein or region. Focusing on seroreactivity to the spike (S) protein and nucleoprotein (N), the longitudinal analysis identified 323 significant 20 amino acid (aa) windows that were significantly associated with clinical trajectory groups and that mapped to 8 antigenic regions ([Figures 2](#page-5-0)G and [S2](#page-19-1)D; [Table S2\)](#page-19-1). In addition, baseline cross-reactivity to human seasonal CoVs did not correlate with the trajectory group ([Figure S2E](#page-19-1)). Most notably, more severe disease (TG5) was associated with increased seroreactivity to the N-terminal domain (NTD) of S and decreased antibody seroreactivity to the LINK domain of N $(adi.p = 0.023)$ ([Figure S2](#page-19-1)D).

Serum samples from 489 participants were screened for autoantibodies against type I IFNs (α , β , and ω) that may enhance susceptibility to severe SARS-CoV-[2](#page-19-2) infection.² A higher percentage of individuals with more severe disease (TG4, 9.6%, and TG5, 7.8%) had functional blocking anti-IFN antibodies than seen in mild disease (<5% for each of TG1–3; [Table S3;](#page-19-1) $p = 0.001$). Overall, these data show that viral loads along with anti-SARS-CoV-2 and anti-IFN antibody levels (all lowest in TG1) are significantly associated with clinical disease trajectory, suggesting an important role for antibodies in the host responses and clinical outcomes.

Analysis of serum and plasma proteomics identifies modules related to natural killer (NK) cells and coagulation pathways associated with severe disease

Soluble proteins are key effectors of immunity in blood. Cytokines, chemokines, and secreted receptors mediate a fast response and short-lived signaling, leading to slower but also longer-lasting changes in plasma protein abundances. Two complementary methodologies were used to investigate the link between protein markers and the clinical trajectory groups. A Proximity Extension Assay (PEA)-based technology (Olink) was used to quantify 92 inflammatory cytokines,

Figure 2. SARS-CoV-2 viral loads and antibody responses were associated with clinical trajectory group

⁽A) Viral sequencing identified 60 PANGO lineages across the cohort.

⁽B) The clinical trajectory group was not associated with any of the 9 lineages detected.

⁽C) Viral loads (SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene Ct values) measured from samples collected at visit 1 (significantly higher in participants with more severe disease [adj.p = 0.037]). For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

⁽D) Viral loads (SARS-CoV-2 N1 gene Ct values) from samples collected during the acute visits (shape: adj.p = 0.001, average: adj.p = 1.68e-5).

⁽E) Anti-RBD IgG area under the curve (AUC) values measured from samples collected at visit 1 (lower in TG5 [adj.p = 0.68]). For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

⁽F) Anti-RBD IgG AUC values from samples collected during the acute visits (shape: adj.p = 0.07 , average: adj.p = 0.3).

⁽G) Seroreactivity (log10 summed RPK across SARS-CoV-2 regions) across samples collected from the acute visits were measured longitudinally in two distinct regions (highlighted in gray within spike and N annotations): decreased seroreactivity in the NTD (shape: adj.p = 6.78e-6, average: adj.p = 0.058) and decreased overall seroreactivity in the LINK domain of the nucleoprotein (shape: $adj.p = 0.023$).

⁽C and D) Because lower Ct values indicate higher viral loads, the y axis is reversed. (C and E) Shown are median values (horizontal lines), IQRs (boxes), and 1.5 IQRs (whiskers), as well as all individual points.

Serum Olink

(legend on next page)

chemokines, and soluble receptors in serum; liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry (LC/MS) was used to monitor 241 selected classical plasma proteins in a targeted fashion and 508 plasma proteins that were detected and quantified in discovery mode. The rationale for this 3-pronged approach was to increase the coverage of the serum/plasma proteome by using dedicated workflows for chemokines, cytokines, and secreted receptors and two different fractions of the plasma proteome before and after depletion of the most abundant plasma proteins.^{[24](#page-20-13)}

Olink-link based cytokine, chemokine, and secreted receptor analysis

Olink was generated and analyzed on 1,386 serum samples from 517 participants. The Olink assay detects and quantifies cytokines, chemokines, and secreted receptors (for brevity, all referred to as ''soluble proteins''). No batch effect was observed using PCA ([Figure S3A](#page-19-1)) and PVCA ([Figure S3B](#page-19-1)). WGCNA identified six modules ranging from 6 to 30 soluble proteins [\(Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S3C](#page-19-1); [Table S4](#page-19-1)). The ImmuneXpresso 25 25 25 database, associating cytokines, chemokines, and secreted receptors to their action on immune cells, was used to label the six Olink modules. At visit 1, five of these modules were significantly associated with clinical outcome [\(Table S4\)](#page-19-1).

One of these five modules (Olink.mod3, annotated as ''activators of NKs'') was higher in participants who recovered relatively quickly (TG1-3) (adj. $p = 8.85e-11$). This module, composed of 11 soluble proteins, was enriched for features related to activating cytotoxic NK cells and included molecules such as CD244 and interleukin 12B (IL-12B) ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)A). Six out of the 11 proteins were annotated to ''activator of lymphocytes'' based on ImmuneXpresso^{[25](#page-20-14)} and a literature search.^{[26–29](#page-20-15)} This module had an increased expression in milder trajectory groups, sug-gesting a role in disease recovery [\(Figure 3B](#page-7-0)). Consistent with this hypothesis, the expression of this module increased across time in groups TG1 through TG4, but not in the fatal trajectory group (TG5), where the opposite trend was observed (shape:

adj.p = $4.44e-12$, average: adj.p = $3.39e-18$; [Figure 3C](#page-7-0)). Notably, participants in TG4 that presented with severe disease but survived past day 28 started with lower levels of ''activators of NKs'' but exhibited an increase of those markers over time to levels comparable to TG1–3. In contrast, four modules (Olink. mod1 [adj.p = $4.01e-6$] annotated as "cytokines produced by neutrophils'' including the cytokine tumor necrosis factor [TNF] and IL-17A; Olink.mod2 $[adj.p = 3.55e-18]$ annotated as "proinflammatory"; Olink.mod4 [adj.p = $4.08e-4$] including the ADA deaminase; and Olink.mod6 $[adj.p = 2.38e-5]$ annotated as ''activators of macrophages'') were higher at visit 1 in partic-ipants with a more severe disease course ([Table S4](#page-19-1)). The most significant module, Olink.mod2, was composed of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including IL-6, CXCL-8 (IL-8), and CXCL-10 (IP10) ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)D) (13/17 proteins) annotated as "produced by monocytes. $^{130-32}$ Baseline ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)E) and longitudinal analyses revealed that this pro-inflammatory module persisted at elevated levels in participants that ultimately died (TG5), while it decreased over time in participants in the other trajectory groups (TG1–4) (shape adj.p = $4.70e-10$, average adj.p = 4.40e-42; [Figure 3](#page-7-0)F). In addition, cytokines in the pro-inflammatory modules were directly induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection [\(Figure S3](#page-19-1)D). Overall, these results identified early cytokines and chemokines as well as an NK cell link that are associated with clinical trajectories that distinguish fatal from non-fatal disease.

Targeted mass spectrometry-based classical plasma proteomics analysis

In total, 1,302 plasma samples from 500 participants were subjected to a targeted LC/MS-based proteomics assay. Using the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) data acquisition mode, we tracked 241 classical plasma proteins, many of which have immune modulatory roles and thus are important for a more complete molecular immunophenotyping. PVCA ([Figure S4](#page-19-1)A) and PCA ([Figures S4B](#page-19-1) and S4C) indicated batch effects based on the two phases in which the samples were processed and

Figure 3. Association of serum proximity extension assay (Olink) and plasma proteomics modules with clinical trajectory groups

(A–F) Analysis of serum Olink data identified significant associations in the expression levels of (A–C) Olink.mod3 and (D–F) Olink.mod2 among clinical trajectory groups. ImmuneXpresso,^{[25](#page-20-14)} a text-mining tool linking cytokines/chemokines to cells, was used to annotate (A) Olink.mod3 (activator of cytotoxic NKs) and (D) Olink.mod2 (pro-inflammatory cytokines).

(A and D) Significant enrichments (i.e., Fisher's exact test $p \le 0.05$) are presented in the network. Blue arrows correspond to negative correlation/repression, while red arrows indicate positive correlation/production/activation.

(B and C) Levels of Olink.mod3 (B) at visit 1 and (C) over time.

(E and F) Levels of Olink.mod2 (E) at visit 1 and (F) over time.

(G–O) Analysis of targeted and global mass spectrometry-based plasma proteomics data identified significant associations of (G–I) Targeted.Prot.mod1, (J–L) Targeted.Prot.mod3, and (M–O) Global.prot.mod4 with the clinical trajectory group.

(G) MSigDB hallmark pathway analysis of the 58 proteins of Targeted.Prot.mod1 identified an association with coagulation.

(H and I) Levels of Targeted.Prot.mod1 (H) at visit 1 and (I) over time.

(J) MSigDB hallmark pathway analysis of the 26 proteins of Targeted.Prot.mod3 identified an association with coagulation and complement hallmark gene sets. (K and L) Levels of Targeted.Prot.mod3 at (K) visit 1 and (L) over time. Analysis of global mass spectrometry-based plasma proteomics data identified significant associations of Global.prot.mod4 with the clinical trajectory group.

(M) MSigDB hallmark pathway analysis of the 54 proteins of Global.prot.mod4 identified an association with apical junctions, myogenesis, and epithelial mesenchymal transition.

(N and O) Levels of Global.prot.mod4 at (N) visit 1 and (O) over time.

(B, E, H, K, and N) For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

(B, C, E, F, H, I, K, L, N, and O) Each point is a sample from an individual participant. Light gray lines connect samples from the same participant. Thick black lines correspond to a smooth spline fit for all participants in each trajectory group.

analyzed, which were corrected for using the ComBat algo-rithm.^{[33](#page-20-17)} For this targeted dataset of classical plasma proteins, WGCNA resulted in 7 modules ranging in size from 16 to 62 proteins. Two of the seven modules (Targeted.Prot.mod1 and Targeted.Prot.mod3) showed significant differences across the five clinical trajectory groups ([Figure S4D](#page-19-1)).

Targeted.Prot.mod1 was enriched for proteins annotated to the ''coagulation'' pathway (14/62 proteins), including the fibri-nolysis stimulator plasma kallikrein (KLKB1) ([Figure 3G](#page-7-0)). Its expression differed significantly between TGs at visit 1 (adj.p = 2.19e-3; [Figure 3](#page-7-0)H) and longitudinally (shape adj.p = 3.06e-14, average adj.p = 1.61e-14; [Figure 3I](#page-7-0)). Participants in the mild to moderate clinical trajectory groups (TG1–3) started out with increased levels of Targeted.Prot.mod1 relative to participants from the more severe trajectory groups (TG4–5). In addition to starting at higher levels [\(Figure 3H](#page-7-0)), participants in TG1–3 showed a steady increase in their abundance levels over time ([Figure 3I](#page-7-0)). In contrast, participants in TG4-5 showed a clear downward pattern during their hospital stays, highlighting the prognostic nature associated with the dynamics and directionality of the proteins in Targeted.Prot.mod1. Interestingly, participants that ultimately died (TG5) continued to show a downward trend, while the expression leveled off after 10–15 days in severely ill participants who eventually recovered or stabilized (TG4) ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)I; [Table S5\)](#page-19-1).

Targeted.Prot.mod3 also contained proteins associated with the ''coagulation'' pathway (9/33 proteins) including the fibrinolysis inhibitor carboxypeptidase B2 (CPB2) in addition to proteins from the complement pathway (8/33 proteins) [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 3J](#page-7-0)). Like Targeted.Prot.mod1, the expression of Targeted. Prot.mod3 also differed significantly between TGs at visit 1 (adj.p = 1.32e-7; [Figure 3K](#page-7-0)) and longitudinally (shape adj.p = 5.52e-15, average adj.p = 8.90e-25; [Figure 3](#page-7-0)L). However, the abundance levels of the proteins in Targeted.Prot.mod3 at visit 1 and their dynamics were the opposite of those observed in Targeted.Prot.mod1, i.e., lower levels were associated with less severe disease manifestations and faster recovery (TG1–3) ([Figure 3](#page-7-0)K). The lower abundances at visit 1 were followed by a steady decrease in their abundance in plasma over time [\(Fig](#page-7-0)[ure 3](#page-7-0)L; [Table S5](#page-19-1)). In contrast, the plasma concentrations from participants in TG5 showed a steep increase over time, demonstrating the importance of trajectory analyses to leverage the full prognostic value of plasma proteins. The plasma from participants in TG4 showed an intermediate trajectory: an elevated level near the time of hospital admission (visit 1) was followed by a slight decrease. However, concentrations diminish about 3 weeks after hospitalization, consistent with the delayed recov-ery of these severely ill COVID-19 participants [\(Figure 3L](#page-7-0)). Longitudinal analysis of the proteins associated with Targeted. Prot.mod1 and Targeted.Prot.mod3 showed opposing temporal trajectories for the different clinical trajectory groups as one would expect for stimulators and inhibitors of the same biological process such as fibrinolysis.

Global mass spectrometry-based plasma proteome analysis

To increase the depth of the plasma proteome, we biochemically depleted the most abundant plasma proteins from 1,309

plasma samples associated with 497 participants. The depleted plasma samples were trypsinized and analyzed using LC/MS-based shotgun proteomics (henceforth called ''global'' proteomics). We did not observe any batch effect using PVCA [\(Figure S5](#page-19-1)A) and PCA [\(Figure S5B](#page-19-1)) in this global proteomics dataset. We identified 2,109 proteins in total, 508 of which were present in at least 50% of the samples. WGCNA of the expression levels of these 508 proteins identified seven modules ranging in size from 23 to 89 proteins. With the exceptions of Global.prot.mod2 and Global.prot.mod7, the other five modules were significantly associated with clinical trajectory groups at visit 1 ([Figure S5C](#page-19-1)).

The 27 proteins associated with Global.prot.mod4 were enriched in proteins associated with apical junctions (6/27 proteins), including myosins of cardiac (MYH7) as well as musculoskeletal origin (MYH1) ([Figure 3M](#page-7-0)). Interestingly, the majority of the proteins in this module were exclusively observed after biochemical depletion of the most abundant proteins. Without such depletion of the most abundant plasma proteins, the proteins in Global.prot.mod4 would not be observable using the same analytical instrumentation.

Participants with mild to moderate disease course (TG1–3) started out with significantly lower levels of Global.prot.mod4 than the participants with more severe disease trajectories $(TG4-5)$ (adj.p = 2.68e -19 , [Figure 3](#page-7-0)N; [Table S6\)](#page-19-1). In addition, participants in TG1–3 showed a clear downward trend during recovery, i.e., further reduction of these markers for cardiac injury. This longitudinal pattern of Global.prot.mod4 proteins differentiated severely ill participants that eventually recovered (TG4), who shared a downward trend, from those with fatal outcomes (TG5), who had a continuous upward trend (shape adj.p = 4.53e-11; [Figure 3O](#page-7-0); [Table S6\)](#page-19-1). These trends suggest significant involvement and damage of heart and lung in the acute phase of the disease. Worsening myocardial injury is associated with increased epithelial damage, as indicated by markers of apical junction damage 34 and epithelial-mesenchymal transition. 35 This is consistent with the higher cardiac troponin levels, associated with myocardial injury, previously observed for the participants in the most severe trajectory group in the IMPACC cohort.^{[11](#page-20-2)}

Plasma global metabolomics reveals metabolic dysregulation in hospitalized participants

Untargeted metabolomics using mass spectrometry (LC-MS) was performed on 1,275 plasma samples from 486 participants. After quality control and assurance procedures [\(Figures S6](#page-19-1)A–S6C), we identified 1,017 metabolite features based on their *m*/*z* ratio and retention time. PCA ([Figure S6D](#page-19-1)) and PVCA identified event location (outpatient vs. inpatient, 11.5% variance for baseline, 10.5% variance for longitudinal analysis; [Figure S6E](#page-19-1)) and body mass index (BMI; 14% vari-ance for visit 1 analysis; [Figure S6](#page-19-1)F) as accounting for a significant fraction of the variance. These factors were subsequently included as covariates in the longitudinal models. WGCNA identified 42 modules ranging from 5 to 296 metabolites [\(Figures S7](#page-19-1)A and S7B). Eighteen out of 42 modules measured at visit 1 were significantly associated with clinical outcome [\(Table S7\)](#page-19-1). Seven of these modules demonstrated higher

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Figure 4. Association of plasma metabolomics modules with clinical trajectory groups

(A–F) Analysis of plasma metabolomics data identified significant levels of (A–C) globalmet.mod6 and (D–F) globalmet.mod8 among clinical trajectory groups. (A–C) Levels of globalmet.mod6, comprised of mostly branched amino acid and urea cycle metabolites, (A and B) at visit 1 and (C) over time. (D-F) Levels of globalmet.mod8, which is comprised of phospholipid metabolites, were associated with severity at (D and E) visit 1 (adj.p = 7.33e-5) and (F) longitudinally.

(B and E) For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

levels in participants with mild disease, while 11 modules were associated with severe disease (TG5). This included branchedchain aa and urea cycle metabolites (globalmet.mod6), phenylalanine and tyrosine metabolism (globalmet.mod35), and monoacylglycerol metabolism (globalmet.mod24). Interestingly, one of these modules, globalmet.mod6, consisted of branched aa and urea cycle metabolites ([Figure 4A](#page-10-0)) and had a higher level in the more severe trajectory groups ([Figure 4B](#page-10-0); adj.p = $2.87e-13$) raising the possibility of a role in disease severity. Consistent with this hypothesis, the module levels eventually decreased over time in the milder trajectory groups (TG1–4) but significantly increased across time in the most severe trajectory group (TG5) (shape adj.p = $2.95e-9$, average adj.p = $4.3e-29$) [\(Figure 4C](#page-10-0)). Longitudinal analysis also identified 26 additional modules with average or shape having a significant association with clinical trajectory group ([Table S7\)](#page-19-1). Among the most significant modules associated with trajectory groups, we identified globalmet.mod8, composed of many phospholipid metabolites including arachidonic acids [\(Fig](#page-10-0)[ure 4](#page-10-0)D), as having higher concentration in participants with mild disease at hospital admission [\(Figure 4E](#page-10-0); adj.p = $7.33e-5$). This module also increased over time in all but the fatal group (TG5), where levels eventually decreased over time [\(Figure 4F](#page-10-0); shape adj.p = 7.98e-4, average adj.p = $3.11e-8$). We identified additional pathways such as histidine metabolism (globalmet.mod3) and glycerophospholipids (globalmet.mod21) that demonstrated the same decreasing pattern.

Overall, this analysis identified significant dysregulation of the plasma metabolome associated with disease severity. Increases in plasma concentrations of branched-chain aa metabolites, including those within the histidine, lysine, urea, and tryptophan pathways, were associated with more severe disease trajectories [\(Figure S7](#page-19-1)C). In contrast, severe disease was also associated with lower and decreasing concentrations of phospholipid metabolites ([Figure S7](#page-19-1)D).

Cell frequencies in blood of severe hospitalized COVID-19 participants show high frequencies of neutrophils and monocytes, with decreased cytotoxic NK cells

CyTOF profiling was performed on 811 blood samples collected from 371 participants. We used a panel of 43 antibodies designed to identify cell lineages and intracellular markers of functional status. Sixty-five cell subsets were identified in whole blood using a semi-automated gating strategy ([Figure S8](#page-19-1)A). We did not detect any batch effect using PCA [\(Figure S8B](#page-19-1)) and PVCA [\(Figure S8C](#page-19-1)). The frequencies of 9 cell subsets measured at visit 1 were significantly associated with clinical outcome. Specifically, higher frequencies of lymphocytes, including T cells and NK cells, were associated with mild disease trajectories (TG1–3). In addition, higher frequencies of neutrophils, hematopoietic progenitor cells (adj.p = $6.34e-3$; [Figure 5](#page-11-0)A), and CD14⁺CD16⁻ classical monocytes $(CD14+CD16^-: \text{adj.p } = 3.83e-4, \text{ CD14}^+CD16^+:$ adj.p = $3.73e-4$; [Figure 5](#page-11-0)B) were associated with more severe disease trajectories. Some of these cell subsets also showed

significant changes over time that were associated with clinical trajectory groups. Indeed, participants in the most severe trajectory group (TG5) had a higher frequency of neutrophils at admission (primarily driven by CD16hi neutrophils). While this subset tended to decrease over time, the CD16low neutrophils increased over time in the severe trajectory group (TG5) [\(Figure 5](#page-11-0)C). This contrasts with participants that recovered, who had either constant or decreasing frequencies of neutrophils (both CD16hi and CD16low) over time (CD16hi, average adj.p = $1.08e-3$, shape adj.p = $6.62e-3$; CD16low, average adj.p = 0.0113, shape adj.p = 0.0317 ; [Figure 5](#page-11-0)C). The frequency of total CD4 and CD8 T cells increased over time in all trajectory groups except for the most severe trajectory group (CD4, average adj.p = $4,18e-9$, shape adj.p = 0.0251 ; CD8, average adj.p = $1.15e-4$, shape adj.p = 0.0105 ; [Figure 5](#page-11-0)D; [Table S8](#page-19-1)), which saw instead an increase of myeloid cells over time [\(Figure S8](#page-19-1)D). The increase of CD4 and CD8 T cells in those aforementioned trajectory groups was driven by increases among many of the CD4 and CD8 cell subsets including CD4 and CD8 naive T cells, effector memory CD4 and CD8 T cells, and regulatory T cells (Tregs). Longitudinal analysis also revealed that the frequency of cytotoxic NK cells producing granzyme B (CD56low, CD16hi, CD57low) increased over time in participants in TG1–4, while in the most severe trajectory group (TG5), cytotoxic NK cell frequencies decreased over time (shape adj.p = $7.08e-7$; Figure $5E$; [Table S8](#page-19-1)). Altogether, we identified immune cells distinguishing the five clinical trajectory groups including an increase in hematopoietic progenitor cells and classical monocytes that was persistent over time in participants with the most severe disease course. The heightened frequency of hematopoietic progenitor cells may reflect the emergency hematopoiesis that occurs in the most severe participants, while heightened pro-inflammatory monocytes may reflect the sustained and uncontrolled inflammation exacerbated by severe COVID-19. We also identified lymphopenia, neutrophilia, and a decrease in cytotoxic NK cells as associated with COVID-19 disease severity.

Analysis of PBMC transcriptomics highlights modules related to inflammation and immune cell differentiation

We generated transcriptional profiles by RNA-seq for 1,033 PBMC samples from 429 participants. Batch effects were assessed using PCA ([Figures S9A](#page-19-1) and S9B) and PVCA [\(Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S9](#page-19-1)C). WGCNA identified 40 modules ranging from 86 to 1,676 genes. Twenty-one of these modules measured at visit 1 were significantly associated with clinical trajectory groups [\(Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S9D](#page-19-1)). Among these 21 modules, PBMC.mod2 (containing 802 genes) was enriched for several pathways that have previously been associated with COVID-19, including TNF-a signaling via nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) inflammatory response,^{[36](#page-21-0)} IFN- γ response, 37 and IL-6/JAK/STAT3 signaling 38 ([Figure 6A](#page-13-0)). This

module showed higher expression at visit 1 in participants from the more severe trajectory groups (TG4-5; adj.p = 7.99e-3; [Figure 6B](#page-13-0); [Table S9\)](#page-19-1) and showed a statistically significant change in the shape of expression over time between the trajectory groups (shape adj.p = 0.025 , average adj.p = $1.33e-10$; [Figure 6](#page-13-0)C).

A module with high statistical significance, both at visit 1 (adj.p = 1.78e-8) and longitudinally (shape adj.p = $1.64e-7$, average adj.p = $2.77e-20$), was PBMC.mod14, containing 356 genes. Enriched pathways for PBMC.mod14 included Th17 cell differentiation, Th1 and Th2 cell differentiation, T cell receptor signaling, and IL-2/STAT5 signaling^{[36](#page-21-0)} ([Figure 6D](#page-13-0)). PBMC.mod14 showed decreasing expression at visit 1 with increasing disease severity [\(Figure 6E](#page-13-0)). Additionally, this module showed increasing expression over time in trajectory groups that ultimately recovered (TG1–4) but decreasing expression in participants in the fatal trajectory group (TG5), suggesting a role in disease recovery [\(Figure 6](#page-13-0)F).^{[37](#page-21-1)} PBMC.mod29 also contained genes relating to T cell receptor signaling [\(Figure 6D](#page-13-0)). 38 PBMC.mod8 consisted of 416 genes with functions relating to TNF- α signaling via NF- κ B and transforming growth factor β (TGF-b) signaling [\(Figure 6](#page-13-0)G). Higher expression of PBMC.mod8 [\(Table S9](#page-19-1)) at visit 1 was associated with milder disease trajectories (adj.p = $2.76e-4$; [Figure 6](#page-13-0)H). Like PBMC.mod14, the expression of PBMC.mod8 generally increased over time in all but the most severe trajectory group (TG5) with decreasing expression over time (shape adj.p = 0.03 , average adj.p = 1.39e-9; [Figure 6I](#page-13-0)).

Interestingly, in multiple cases, the same pathway was found to be enriched in modules with opposing associations with trajectory group. For example, the TNF-a via NF-kB, IL-2/STAT5, and TCR signaling pathway genes that were part of PBMC.mod2 generally increased with trajectory group (at visit 1 and longitudinally). These same pathways have genes that were decreasing in PBMC.mod8 (TNF-α via NF-κB) and PBMC.mod14 (IL-2/STAT5 and TCR signaling). The genes driving each of these enrichments were distinct, as each gene is only associated with a single module [\(Figure S9E](#page-19-1)), and also reflected different biological mechanisms. Genes belonging to TNF-a via the NF-kB pathway in PBMC.mod2 were downstream of signaling from TNFR1 (TNF receptor 1), including the receptor itself, while only PBMC.mod8 genes were downstream of TNFR2 ([Figure S10A](#page-19-1)). Overall, these results identify gene expression changes in multiple pathways associated with disease severity at both visit 1 and over time.

Genetic associations with severe disease overlap previously reported Human Genome Initiative association

To identify genetic determinants of severe disease, we generated a high-quality dataset of 466 participants genotyped at

Figure 5. Association of cell subset frequencies with clinical trajectory groups

⁽A) Visit 1 analysis identified the frequency of hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPCs) among non-granulocytes as different among clinical trajectory groups (adj.p = 6.34e-3), with higher average expression in the more severe groups.

⁽B) The frequencies of CD14⁺CD16⁻, CD14⁺CD16⁺, and CD14^{dim}CD16⁺ monocyte subsets among parental monocytes at visit 1. (A and B) For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

⁽C–E) Longitudinal analysis of (C) neutrophil subset frequencies (CD16hi, average adj.p = 9.76e-4, shape adj.p = 6.74e-3; CD16low, average adj.p = 0.0109, shape adj.p = 0.0310), (D) T cell frequencies (average adj.p = 6.01e-7, shape adj.p = 0.0123), and (E) cytotoxic NK cell frequencies among non-granulocytes.

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

Figure 6. Association of PBMC transcriptomic and nasal transcriptomic modules with clinical trajectory groups

(A–I) Analysis of PBMC transcriptomic data identified 21 modules with significant differences in expression levels between clinical trajectory groups at visit 1, including (A–C) PBMC.mod2, (D–F) PBMC.mod14, and (G–I) PBMC.mod8. (A, D, and G) These modules were interpreted using the top 10 enriched terms by MSigDB Hallmark,^{[39](#page-21-3)} Reactome,^{[40](#page-21-4)} and KEGG^{[41](#page-21-5)} pathway databases ranked by p value after filtering for significant pathways with p <0.05. (B, E, and H) Module expression over trajectory groups at visit 1. (C, F, and I) Module expression by trajectory group over time.

(J–O) Analysis of nasal transcriptomic data identified 7 modules with significant differences in expression levels among clinical trajectory groups, including (J–L) module 3 (NasalRNAseq.mod3) and (M–O) module 4 (NasalRNAseq.mod.4). Networks of protein-protein interactions among genes in (J) module 3 and (M) module 4 were retrieved from STRINGdb.⁴² Size of a node denotes degree, and edge thickness denotes strength of interaction as provided by STRINGdb.^{[42](#page-21-6)} (B, E, H, K, and N) For each boxplot, the vertical line indicates the median, the box indicates the interquartile range, and the whiskers indicate 1.5 times the interquartile range.

1,060,358 common variants across the genome, including the X and Y chromosomes. After controlling for population stratification (genomic inflation factor $\lambda = 0.98$) and other quality assessment measures [\(Figures S11](#page-19-1)A–S11E), we performed a GWAS of severe illness (TG4–5 vs. TG1–3). Given the sample size and modest effect sizes of common variants, no marker reached the genome-wide significant threshold of $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ [\(Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S11](#page-19-1)F). We were, however, able to replicate one of ten associations with COVID-19 hospitalization previously reported by the Human Genome Initiative^{[43](#page-21-7)} (11-34528766-C-T, $p = 0.03$; [Table S10](#page-19-1)), which was linked to a role for ELF5, a transcription factor active in epithelial cells. This observation suggested that the genetic basis of severe disease in our cohort is similar to that reported by the HGI. 44

Dysregulated airway epithelial barrier functions relate to disease severity and mortality

We generated host transcriptional profiles from nasal swab samples to assess the upper airway mucosal responses, the initial site of SARS-CoV-2 infection and first line of barrier and immunologic defense to the virus. RNA-seq data was generated for 1,078 nasopharyngeal swab samples collected from 451 participants. After correcting for technical covariates (plate and median CV), PCA [\(Figure S12](#page-19-1)A) and PVCA did not reveal any batch effects ([Figures S12](#page-19-1)B and S12C). WGCNA identified eight modules with size ranging from 92 to 1,761 genes [\(Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S12D](#page-19-1)). Overall, the expressions of three out of eight modules were significantly associated with clinical outcome at visit 1, and six modules were associated with clinical outcome on the longitudinal pattern. NasalRNASeq.mod1 was enriched for genes related to multiple innate immune signaling pathways including neutrophil activation, IL-6, IL-1, TNF-a, Toll-like receptors, and type 1 and type 2 IFN signaling, among others, and was higher in participants with more severe disease trajectories (TG4–5) ([Figure S12E](#page-19-1)). The NasalRNASeq.mod3 was enriched for genes involved in extracellular matrix formation including fibronectin 1 (*FN1*), periostin (*POSTN*), and 16 collagen genes and also enriched in genes associated with cell-cell adhesion and epithelial mesenchymal transition [\(Fig](#page-13-0)[ure 6](#page-13-0)J). Expression of this module was increased in more severe trajectory groups (TG4 and TG5) at visit 1 [\(Figure 6](#page-13-0)K) and decreased over time in all groups but the most severe trajectory group (TG5), where the opposite pattern was observed (shape adj.p = 0.004, average adj.p = $3.08e-13$; [Fig](#page-13-0)[ure 6](#page-13-0)L; [Table S11\)](#page-19-1), suggesting a role in disease severity. NasalRNASeq.mod4 was enriched for genes involved in epithelial cornification including filaggrin (*FLG*), *SPINK5*, and 11 keratin genes and was also enriched in serine-type peptidases including tissue kallikreins ([Figure 6](#page-13-0)M). In contrast, the expression of NasalRNASeq.mod4 was lower in participants in the more severe trajectory groups (TG4 and TG5) ([Figure 6](#page-13-0)N) and decreased over time, specifically in TG5 (shape adj.p = 0.018 , average adj.p = 0.07; [Figure 6](#page-13-0)O; [Table S11](#page-19-1)). Overall, this analysis identified significant dysregulation of airway epithelial barrier responses that were associated with disease severity and mortality. In particular, a multi-faceted inflammatory response occurs directly in the airway in severe COVID-19 as well as increased expression of extracellular matrix, adhesion, and

collagen genes that may represent the initial cellular damage driving severe inflammation.

Analysis of upper airway metagenomics reveals abundance in anaerobes in more severe trajectory group

In our previous publication describing clinical features of the entire IMPACC cohort, we noted differences in bacterial infections based on trajectory group, with bacteremia clinically reported in a higher proportion of participants in TG4 (45/212, 21%) and TG5 (28/108, 26%) than TG1–3 (40/844, 4.7%). Here, we performed meta-transcriptomic analysis on the same host nasal RNA-seq data generated from 1,077 nasopharyngeal samples collected from 451 participants. PCA [\(Figures S13G](#page-19-1) and S13H), non-metric Bray-Curtis dissimilarity analysis, and PVCA did not reveal any batch effects ([Figure S13I](#page-19-1)). There was no significant association of bacterial abundance ([Figure S13A](#page-19-1)) or α diversity [\(Figure S13](#page-19-1)B) with clinical trajectory either at visit 1 or longitudinally. The relative abundance of bacterial genera at visit 1 also showed no significant associations with clinical trajectory. However, the longitudinal patterns of 22 bacterial genera were significantly associated with clinical outcome [\(Table S12\)](#page-19-1). The relative abundance of anaerobic bacteria including *Bacteroides spp.* (shape adj.p = 0.038, average adj.p = $5.4e-4$; [Fig](#page-19-1)[ure S13C](#page-19-1)), *Fusobacterium spp*. (shape adj.p = 0.25, average adj.p = 0.001; [Figure S13](#page-19-1)D), and *Prevotella spp*. (shape adj.p = 0.0501, average adj.p = $5.4e-4$; [Figure S13E](#page-19-1)) was higher overall in more severe trajectory groups, and the expression of these bacterial genera increased over time in the most severe trajectory group (TG5). In contrast, the relative abundance of 10 bacterial genera, including the well-known commensal *Cutibacterium spp*., was lower overall and further decreased over time in the most severe trajectory group (TG5) (shape adj.p = 0.16 , average adj.p = $1.7e-5$; [Figure S13](#page-19-1)F). Overall, this analysis identified temporal changes in the relative abundance of multiple bacterial taxa that were associated with disease severity and mortality. These changes in upper airway microbial communities may influence inflammatory signaling or viral replication.

Overlap across data types reveals consistent pathways associated with disease severity

The analysis of each assay identified many modules that were significantly associated with clinical TG, both at visit 1 and longitudinally. We assessed the overlap of pathways that were enriched in these modules to identify common biological processes across data types (e.g., mRNA and protein) and tissues (i.e., blood and upper airways). Among the modules that were significantly associated with TGs at visit 1, 37 pathway annotations were enriched in multiple data types ([Figure 7A](#page-15-0)). The most overlapping annotation was related to monocytes/macrophages and was associated with PBMC transcriptomics and blood CyTOF as well as Olink. This included genes coding for myeloid cell-specific markers CD93 and Toll-like receptor 4 $(TLR4)$ and the soluble proteins CCL4 $(MIP-1\beta)$ and TNFSF14 (LIGHT), known to activate macrophages and abrogate T cell responses, as well as elevated frequencies of monocytes among the most severe COVID-19 cases. $45-47$ In general, overlapping annotations were shared between PBMC and upper airway

Figure 7. Markers of disease severity overlapping across assays

Overlapping pathways associated with more moderate or more severe trajectory groups (A) at the time of hospitalization (visit 1; left) or (B) during the longitudinal follow up during the acute phase of the disease (right). For each overlapping pathway (row), the assays contributing to its identification as a marker of COVID-19 disease severity (column) are indicated. The color of each cell reflects whether the pathway is associated with moderate (blue) or severe (red) disease or both (purple). Pathways were manually separated into groups of biologically related processes based on their names.

transcriptional responses. Pathways related to cell cycle and cell migration were perturbed in both tissue compartments. In the upper airway, higher expression of these modules was generally associated with more severe disease trajectory groups, suggesting more active, localized responses in severe disease. Common pathways enriched among modules identified in the longitudinal (shape) analysis highlighted additional disease-associated perturbations [\(Figure 7](#page-15-0)B). In particular, inflammatory responses and T cell-associated pathways were observed in both PBMC and upper airway transcriptomics assays. The positive and negative associations of T cell-associated pathways with severe disease in the upper airways and blood, respectively, may reflect

the migration of these subsets out of the blood. Two pathways (xenobiotic metabolism and complement) were observed in four separate assays: PBMC and upper airway transcriptomics along with targeted and global proteomics. The association of xenobiotic metabolism was driven by multiple genes (CYP1B1, ALDH2, and CES1 were part of PBMC.mod18) and proteins (APOE part of Targeted.Prot.mod4 and CRP part of the Global. prot.mod3) in the pathway. The association of xenobiotic metabolism with increased severity is likely a reflection of the large metabolomic reprogramming experienced by severe COVID-19 participants. The association of complement was driven by multiple genes (CR1, C5AR2, C5AR1 part of the PBMC.mod2 and

genes CD40LG part of the NasalRNAseq.mod6) and proteins (CP, CFB, C9 part of the Targeted.Prot.mod3 and Global.prot. mod3). Complement activation products orchestrate a pro-inflammatory environment that contributes to the maintenance of a severe inflammatory response to SARS-CoV-2 and is likely to cause several of the symptoms observed after infection.

DISCUSSION

For a comprehensive profile of acute COVID-19, we have undertaken unbiased large-scale transcriptomic, proteomic, metabolomic, cytometric, serologic, genomic, microbiome, and viral state analyses of 540 hospitalized COVID-19 participants, recruited from 20 hospitals associated with 15 biomedical centers, who were longitudinally followed up to 28 days post-admission. Major advantages of IMPACC include the prospective enrollment of diverse adult populations from across the US and sample sparing assays of blood and tissue/fluids using comprehensive molecular omics methods. Additionally, the collection of extensive clinical data allows for identification of five distinct clinical trajectories that discriminated ranges of respiratory disease severity.^{[11](#page-20-2)} This clinical phenotyping has advantages over conventional crosssectional assessments by fully leveraging longitudinal data indicative of respiratory illness severity to characterize a participant's outcomes during hospitalization, from mild respiratory disease (TG1) to severe respiratory disease ending in death (TG5). Using this systems analysis approach, we both confirm the findings of immune dysregulation from smaller, cross-sectional cohorts as well as identify cellular and soluble factors, at hospital admission and longitudinally, that are associated with disease severity and death from SARS-CoV-2 infection. Higher viral load and elevated inflammatory pathways in the airway are linked to more severe COVID-19 in this cohort.

Further characterization of molecular factors that are associated with disease trajectories enable identification of distinct cellular and molecular mechanisms that contribute to a fatal outcome. A primary finding is the association of delayed viral clearance with death, despite detectable antibody responses, which suggests ongoing viral replication and potential differences in antibody quality or functionality in those with fatal outcomes. While antibody quality and functionality were not directly assessed, individuals who died exhibited increased seroreactivity to the NTD of S and decreased reactivity to the LINK domain of N. In addition, consistent with prior studies, 2 participants with more severe COVID-19 had neutralizing autoantibodies (auto-Abs) against type I IFNs (TG4 = 9.6% , TG5 = 7.8%) that may contribute to the severity of disease in these individuals.

Lack of direct correspondence between viral loads and antiviral antibody response suggests that dysregulation in other elements of the immune response plays a role in fatal cases. $²$ $²$ $²$ </sup> Immuno-profiling of innate and adaptive leukocyte subsets in blood using CyTOF and RNA-seq reveals that the most severe trajectory group (TG5) had a lower frequency of granzyme B-producing cytotoxic NK cells and lower expression of cytotoxic gene pathways. NK cells kill virally infected cells, 48 and reduced levels of these cells may contribute to the viral persistence in TG5. Notably, analysis of cytokine/chemokine expression (Olink) identified a significant increase of activators of cyto-

toxic NK cells, including IL-12B and the immunoregulatory signaling molecule CD244, in less severe infection.^{[49](#page-21-11)} We also found decreased phospholipid components, including phosphatidylcholines, associated with more severe disease trajectories. Phosphatidylcholines contribute to the formation of the immunological synapse, macrophage activation, NK cell function and T and B cell activity^{[50](#page-21-12)[,51](#page-21-13)} underlying severe/fatal disease, $49,50$ $49,50$ suggesting a role for these metabolites in regulating anti-viral immunity and promoting protection from severe disease. In summary, our results identified a deficiency of NK cell subsets and activity that could lead to impaired viral clearance as a mechanism underlying severe/fatal COVID-19.

More severe disease trajectories were associated with the activity of multiple pro-inflammatory pathways at baseline, and this activity persisted in people who did not survive the infection (TG5). Active pathways include TNF- α signaling via NF- κ B, IL-6 signaling, and the IL-6/Jak/STAT3 pathway as noted previously in smaller cross-sectional studies. 52 Genes contained within the TNF- α signaling pathway that displayed both increased gene expression at the initial visit and increasing expression over time in TG5 were found exclusively in genes known to be downstream of the TNFR1, but not TNFR2, including TNFR1 itself. Among genes downstream of TNFR1, c-FLIP, which functions to inhibit apoptosis and stimulate inflammatory components of the TNF- α signaling pathway,^{[53](#page-21-15)} was also increased in expression in TG5. Inflammatory cell death induced by TNF and IFN- γ signaling has been linked to COVID-19 mortality.^{[54](#page-21-16)} Supporting this pro-inflammatory role of the TNF signaling pathway is the combined expression of leukocyte recruitment factors CXCL1/2/3. Additionally, contained in PBMC.mod8 (showing decreasing expression in TG5) is c-Jun, a transcription factor that activates pro-apoptotic genes. These key components of the TNF pathway, though enriched in modules showing opposite expression trajectories, show that anti-apoptosis and pro-inflammatory mechanisms are activated in more severe trajectory groups. These inflammatory cytokines can recruit pro-inflammatory innate immune cells including monocytes and neutrophils, which will amplify inflammatory pathways leading to a ''cytokine storm.''

Our results reveal a hyperinflammatory state across the airway and systemically as a correlate of severe infection and death. CyTOF analysis also shows a greater frequency of neutrophils in the more severe trajectory groups 4 and 5, a marker of severe COVID-19 outcome as noted previously $55,56$ $55,56$ $55,56$ and possibly re-flecting secondary bacterial infection.^{[57](#page-21-19)} Neutrophil influx into the lung may damage lung epithelial cells and contribute to lung pathology, which may be amplified by release of NETs and neutrophil granule contents. In addition, cytokine/chemokine assays (Olink) identified multiple modules associated with disease severity including cytokines produced by neutrophils, pro-inflammatory modules, and activators of macrophages. Inflammatory biomarkers, including IL-6, were higher at baseline in both TG4 and TG5 compared with milder disease, similar to previous findings.^{[58](#page-21-20)} Longitudinal Olink measurements suggest a clear association between resolution of inflammation in 28-day survivors (TG1-4) vs. non-survivors (TG5), confirming the value of evaluating both clinical outcomes and measures of inflammation over time rather than in a cross-sectional fashion.

Elevated products of neutrophils identified by nasal and blood RNA-seq are all associated with TG4 and TG5 and remained elevated over time. Examining metabolite profiles, we identified plasma branched-chain aa (BCAA) and urea components as significantly elevated at baseline and further increased over time with severe trajectories. Increased BCAA components enhance reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, endothelial cell pro-inflammatory activities, $59,60$ $59,60$ and insulin resistance. 61 Histidine and lysine residues, often found in viral envelope proteins, play roles in the activation of serine proteases assisting viral entry to host cells. $62,63$ $62,63$ RNA-seq analysis of upper airway samples identified that severe/fatal disease is associated with higher initial and increased subsequent expression of genes related to extracellular matrix formation and cell adhesion, including fibronectin.^{[64](#page-22-0)[,65](#page-22-1)} These findings suggest a potential etiology for our plasma proteome results that demonstrated throm-bosis.^{[64](#page-22-0)[,65](#page-22-1)} Our data suggest that in severe COVID-19, adverse remodeling of the airway epithelium, the first line of barrier and immunologic defense against respiratory viruses and the initial site of infection for SARS-CoV-2, may initiate a prothrombotic state systemically.^{[53–55](#page-21-15)}

Increased plasma concentrations of various myosin chains of cardiac and/or musculoskeletal origin were detected in the most severely ill COVID-19 participants. This provides evidence for damage to skeletal and cardiac muscle tissues in severe COVID-19 and might reflect damage to the blood vessels and myocardium, as well as muscle breakdown from a catabolic stress response. Muscle damage is associated with a poor prog-nosis in COVID-19.^{[66](#page-22-2)} In our study, all COVID-19 participants that eventually recovered (TG1–4) show a slight increase or steady state of plasma fibrinolysis stimulators and coagulation inhibitors. Coagulation is a carefully balanced counterplay of thrombosis (blood clot formation) and fibrinolysis (breakdown of blood clots). The observed relationship between a massively dysregulated coagulation cascade and disease severity is consistent with the widely reported blood clotting complications in COVID-19 participants. For example, elevated plasma levels of D-dimer, a fibrin-degradation product, are a marker of increased risk of severe disease and mortality.^{[67](#page-22-3)}

Changes in the respiratory microbiome may moderate inflammatory gene expression, immune signaling, and viral replication. We found an enrichment of anaerobes in the genera *Prevotella* and *Bacteroides* in the upper airways of participants with more severe trajectories. Conversely, we found that commensal *C*. *spp*. were enriched in participants with milder trajectories, whereas loss of these species over time was associated with fatal disease. These results suggest a possible role for some taxa in disease pathogenesis, or alternatively, they may reflect disruption of the upper airway microbiome resulting from the host immune response to SARS-CoV-2 infection. The observations may also, in part, reflect greater exposure of those with more severe COVID-19 to antibiotics.^{[68](#page-22-4)} Future work can extend these observations to both build improved prognostic models and understand the specific contributions of these taxa to respiratory tract inflammation and viral replication.

Our study also identified elements that may be protective from severe disease. Notably, in the upper airway epithelium, mild disease was associated with higher expression of genes related to

epithelial cornification typically seen in squamous epithelium, whereas this pathway declined significantly in fatal disease. Given that SARS-CoV-2 does not replicate significantly in squamous epithelium and that multi-ciliated cells are the primary site of SARS-CoV-2 infection, $69,70$ $69,70$ this finding suggests a protective response mediated via epithelial reprogramming toward squamous cells that can generate local anti-viral responses.⁶⁸ This finding is also consistent with the higher viral load and prolonged viral shedding associated with fatal disease. Additionally, we identified genes from PBMC pathways related to T cell receptor signaling, in which Th1, Th2, and Th17 cell differentiation was increased in disease recovery groups (TG1–4). These findings are consistent with observations of lymphopenia in COVID-19 cases^{[71](#page-22-7)} and later findings that altered T cell activity and decreased abundance were also associated with severe disease.³

Overall, our study featured multiple strengths, including (1) a large, geographical diverse cohort compared with most COVID-19 studies employing omics approaches, (2) longitudinal design with extensive clinical data capture, (3) immunophenotyping employing 14 assay types, and (4) rigorous data management, quality control and assurance, and a standardized analysis pipeline. This comprehensive approach enabled deep immunophenotyping of the acute phase of COVID-19 from 540 hospitalized participants enrolled in the IMPACC cohort and identified several significant associations with clinical course. Specifically, we identified decreases in activators of NK cells and phospholipid metabolites, increased blood neutrophils, increased circulating myosins that may indicate muscle damage, changes in the cells that line the airways (epithelial reprogramming), and an increased abundance of anaerobes in the airway of participants the succumbed to SARS-CoV-2 infection. Broadly, these results point to heightened levels of viremia driving an inflammatory response locally and systemically, leading to impaired antiviral innate and adaptive immunity as well dysregulation in metabolic pathways in participants with severe disease trajectories. While many of the perturbed pathways were observed in multiple assays, most were unique to a single assay, highlighting the utility of a multi-omics approach.

Limitations of the study

While featuring multiple strengths, potential limitations of our study include (1) the identification of associations but not cause-effect relationships, (2) the lack of immunophenotyping of the pre-infection biologic state, which could influence disease progression, or healthy control participants for comparison, (3) the exclusion of pregnant women and children, 10 and (4) the timing of cohort enrollment before vaccination or the widespread circulation of important variants, including SARS-CoV-2 B.1.617.2 (Delta) and B.1.1.529 (Omicron). While this study employed a common analytic strategy across modalities and tissues, allowing the identification of likely shared biological drivers, modules were defined separately for each assay and were analyzed independently. An alternate analysis that starts by defining multi-omics modules as the unit for analysis would allow for the direct identification of correlations between features and associated pathways. In some cases where common pathways were identified by multiple assays ([Figure 7](#page-15-0)), their association with severe disease was discordant. In these cases, it is possible

that distinct components of the pathway drive significance in each assay (e.g., up-regulation of inhibitory cytokines and down-regulation of the associated pathway) or that the changes reflect cell migration (e.g., migration of activated cells from blood to the upper airways). Some of the associations with COVID-19 disease severity may also be confounded by clinical treatment (e.g., medications administered to manage COVID-19). However, the analysis of the entire IMPACC cohort did not detect any impact of either remdesivir or systemic corticosteroid use on nasal viral load or SARS-CoV-2 serology titers.^{[11](#page-20-2)} Future analysis of the full IMPACC cohort with deep immunophenotyping data may allow for an assessment of the effect of medications.

CONSORTIA

The members of the IMPACC Network are James Abraham, Michael Adkisson, Marisa Albert, Luz Altamirano Torres, Bonny Alvarenga, Matthew L. Anderson, Evan J. Anderson, Azlann Arnett, Hiromitsu Asashima, Mark A. Atkinson, Lindsey R. Baden, Brenda Barton, Katherine Beach, Elizabeth Beagle, Patrice M. Becker, Matthew R. Bell, Mariana Bernui, Christian Bime, Arun Boddapati Kumar, J. Leland Booth, Brittney Borresen, Steven E. Bosinger, Scott C. Brakenridge, Laurel Bristow, Anderson Brito Fernandes, Robert Bryant, Charles B. Cairns, Carolyn S. Calfee, Juan Carreño Manuel, Sidney Carrillo, Suzanna Chak, Ana C. Chang, Iris Chang, Jing Chen, Ernie Chen, Jennifer Connors, Michelle Conway, David B. Corry, Chris Cotsapas, David Cowan, Brett Croen, Charles S. Dela Cruz, Gina Cusimano, Ravi Dandekar, Joann Diray-Arce, Lily Eaker, Walter Eckalbar, Carolyn Edwards, Lauren I.R. Ehrlich, David Elashoff, Heidi Erickson, David J. Erle, Denise Esserman, Shelli Farhadian, Keith Farrugia, Benoit Fatou, Andrea Fernandes, Ana Fernandez-Sesma, Slim Fourati, Gabriela K. Fragiadakis, Sara Furukawa, Janelle N. Geltman, Rajani Ghale, Ana Gonzalez-Reiche Silvia, Maria González Carolina Bermúdez, I. Michael Goonewardene, Nathan D. Grubaugh, Leying Guan, Estella Guerrero Sanchez, Faheem W. Guirgis, Jeremy Gygi, Elias K. Haddad, David A. Hafler, Sydney Hamilton, Paul Harris, Arash Hayati Nemati, Carolyn M. Hendrickson, Nelson I. Agudelo Higuita, Annmarie Hoch, Thomas Hodder, Steven M. Holland, Catherine L. Hough, Christopher Huerta, Kerin C. Hurley, Scott R. Hutton, Akiko Iwasaki, Alejandra Jauregui, Naresh Jayavelu Doni, Meenakshi Jha, Brandi Johnson, David Joyner, Kirsten N. Kangelaris, Geoffrey Kelly, Zain Khalil, Zenab Khan, Farrah Kheradmand, Alvin T. Kho, James N. Kim, Seunghee Kim-Schulze, Hiroki Kimura, Steven H. Kleinstein, Albert I. Ko, Bernard Kohr, Anna Konstorum, Monica Kraft, Florian Krammer, Matthew Krummel, Michele A. Kutzler, Charles R. Langelier, Jessica Lasky-Su, Serena Lee, Brian H. Lee, Deanna Lee, Michael Leipold, Claudia Lentucci, Carolyn Leroux, Ofer Levy, Edward Lin, Shanshan Liu, Christina Love, Zhengchun Lu, Holden Maecker, Cole Maguire, Lenka Maliskova, Brittany Roth Manning, Monali Manohar, Mark Martens, Grace A. McComsey, Kerry McEnaney, Renee McLin, Esther Melamed, Nataliya Melnyk, Kevin Mendez, William B. Messer, Jordan P. Metcalf, Greg Michelotti, Eran Mick, Carly E. Milliren, Subhasis Mohanty, Ruth R. Montgomery Jarrod Mosier, Lubbertus C.F. Mulder, Maimouna Murphy, Kari R.C. Nadeau, Ebony Nelson, Allison Nelson, Viet Nguyen, Jordan Oberhaus, James

A. Overton, Al Ozonoff, Bernadine Panganiban, Ravi Patel, Shrikant Pawar, Kathryn L. Pellegrini, Bjoern Peters, Harry C. Pickering, Debra L. Powell, Scott Presnell, Bali Pulendran, Jingjing Qi, Adeeb H. Rahman, Jayant Rajan, Ahmad Rashid Sadeed, Ariel Raskin, Elaine F. Reed, Susan Ribeiro Pereira, Adreanne M. Rivera, Jacob E. Rogers, Angela Rogers, Brandon Rogowski, Rebecca Rooks, Lindsey B. Rosen, Yael Rosenberg-Hasson, Jessica Rothman, Nadine Rouphael, Justin F. Rousseau, Ramin Salehi-Rad, Mehmet Saluvan, Hady Samaha, Joanna Schaenman, Andrew W. Schroeder, Ron Schunk, Rafick Sekaly, Nicholas C. Semenza, Subha Sen, Jonathan Sevransky, Vicki Seyfert-Margolis, Tanzia Shaheen, Albert C. Shaw, Scott Sieg, Sarah A.R. Siegel, Natalia Sigal, Nadia Siles, Brent Simmons, Viviana Simon, Gagandeep Singh, Lauren Sinko, Cecilia M. Smith, Kinga K Smolen, Li-Zhen Song, Komal Srivastava, Hanno Steen, Peter Sullivan, Caitlin Syphurs, Johnstone Tcheou, George P. Tegos, Greg K. Tharp, Alexandra Tong, Alexandra Tsitsiklis, Ricardo F. Ungaro, Tatyana Vaysman, Arthur Viode, Randi Vita, Xiaomei Wang, Alyssa Ward, Dawn C. Ward, Kerstin Westendorf, Andrew Willmore, Michael R. Wilson, Kyra Woloszczuk, Kari Wong, Prescott G. Woodruff, Leqi Xu, Harm van Bakel, Simon van Haren, Patrick van Zalm, Adriana van de Guchte, and Yujiao Zhao.

STAR+METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **[KEY RESOURCES TABLE](#page-25-1)**
- **[RESOURCE AVAILABILITY](#page-33-0)**
	- \circ Lead contact
	- \circ Materials availability
	- \circ Data and code availability
- d [EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY SUBJECT DETAILS](#page-34-0)
	- \circ IMPACC cohort characteristics
	- \circ Cell culture conditions
- **O** [METHOD DETAILS](#page-34-1)
	- \circ Sample processing and batch randomization
	- \circ Nasal viral PCR, host transcriptomics, and metagenomics
	- \circ RealTime quantitative polymerase chain reaction
	- \circ RNA-sequencing cDNA library production
	- \circ RNA-sequencing clustering and sequencing
	- \circ Nasal viral genome sequencing and assembly
	- \circ Antibody correlates: titers
	- SARS-CoV-2 recombinant RBD and spike proteins
	- \circ Autoantibody screening assay
	- \circ pSTAT1 functional assay
	- \circ Antibody correlates: coronavirus phage display (Vir-Scan)
	- \circ Preparation of phage libraries
	- \circ Serum proximity extension assay (olink)
	- \circ Plasma global proteomics
	- \circ Plasma targeted proteomics
	- \circ Plasma global metabolomics
	- O Blood CyTOF
	- \circ Peripheral blood mononuclear cell transcriptomics
	- \circ Genetics

[QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS](#page-38-0)

- \circ OMIC-specific preprocessing from raw to computable matrices
- \circ Nasal metagenomics
- \circ Antibody titers peptide-based PhIP-seq bioinformatics analysis
- \circ Antibody peptide (PhIP-Seq) data pre-processing
- \circ Serum proximity extension assay (olink) data processing
- \circ Plasma global proteomics data processing and quality control
- \circ Plasma targeted proteomics data processing
- \circ Plasma global metabolomics data processing and quality control
- \circ Blood CyTOF data processing and demultiplexing
- \circ Blood CyTOF count normalization
- \circ PBMC transcriptomics data processing and quality control
- \circ Genetics data processing and quality control
- \circ Data quality assurance
- \circ Data preparation and batch effect evaluation
- \circ Common statistical analyses framework
- \circ Weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA)
- O Visit 1 and Longitudinal Model Analysis
- \circ Identification of overlap between assays' annotations
- \bullet **[ADDITIONAL RESOURCES](#page-42-0)**

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101079) [xcrm.2023.101079.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2023.101079)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Marianne Bernardo, Julia Boll, Jenny Brook, Omkar Chaudhary, Mitchell Cooney, Dimitri Duvilaire, John Fournier, Jennifer A. Fulcher, Tristan Horton, Laila Hussaini, Shannon Intluxay, Maxine Kuang, Megan Llamas, Clara E. Magyar, Aneesh K. Mehta, Elena Morrocchi, Catherine Muenker, Arash Naeim, Claudia Perdomo, Khadir Raddassi, Michael Rainone, Estefania Ramires-Sanchez, Shun Rao, William Ruff, Syim Salahuddin, Sarahmay Sanchez, Denise Shepherd, Christine Spainhour, Sanya Thomas, Sofia Vignolo, Haowei Wang, and the UCLA Center for Pathology Research Services and the Pathology Research Portal. The study was funded by the US National Institutes of Health National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases through the following grants: R01AI135803, U19AI118608, U19AI128910, U19AI090023, U19AI090023, P510D11132, U19AI118610, R01AI145835, U19AI062629, U19AI057229, U19AI125357, U19AI128913, U19AI077439, U54AI142766, R01AI104870, U19AI089992, U19AI128913, U19AI1289130, U19AI128913 and R01AI122220.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, J.D.-A., S.F., IMPACC Network, C.B.C., N.R., M.C.A., H.M., R.R.M., E.K.H., R.P.S., D.E., A.O., P.M.B., A.D.A., L.G., B.P., and S.H.K.; formal analysis, J.D.-A., S.F., N.D.J., R.P., C.M., A.S.G.-R., R.D., J.Q., B.H.L., P.v.Z., A.S., E.C., A.K., A.B., and J.P.G.; data curation, J.D.-A., A.K., J.C., S.P., A.C.C., A.H., J.A.O., K.W., D.E., A.O., and B.P.; software, R.P., C.M., J.G., and L.G.; methodology, L.G. and S.H.K.; resources, S.E.B., S.K.-S., F.K., L.R., H.v.B., M.W., H.S., W.E., C.L., O.L., M.C.A., H.M., and R.R.M.; funding acquisition, IMPACC Network; supervision, N.D.G., H.v.B., M.W., J.R., H.S., W.E., C.C., C.L., O.L., M.C.A., H.M., L.G., B.P., and S.H.K. All authors wrote, edited, and reviewed the manuscript.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

The Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai has filed patent applications relating to SARS-CoV-2 serological assays and NDV-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines, which list F.K. as co-inventor. Mount Sinai has spun out a company, Kantaro, to market serological tests for SARS-CoV-2. F.K. has consulted for Merck and Pfizer (before 2020) and is currently consulting for Pfizer, Seqirus, Third Rock Ventures, Merck, and Avimex. The Krammer laboratory is also collaborating with Pfizer on animal models of SARS-CoV-2. Viviana Simon is a co-inventor on a patent filed relating to SARS-CoV-2 serological assays (the ''Serology Assays''). O.L. is a named inventor on patents held by Boston Children's Hospital relating to vaccine adjuvants and human *in vitro* platforms that model vaccine action. His laboratory has received research support from GlaxoSmithKline (GSK). C.B.C. serves as a consultant to bioMerieux and is funded for a grant from the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. J.A.O. is a consultant at Knocean, Inc. J.L.-S. serves as a scientific advisor of Precion, Inc. S.R.H., G.M., and K.W. are employees of Metabolon, Inc. V.S.-M. is a current employee of MyOwnMed. N.R. reports contracts with Lilly and Sanofi for COVID-19 clinical trials and serves as a consultant for ICON EMMES for consulting on safety for COVID19 clinical trials. A. Rahman is a current employee of Immunai, Inc. S.H.K. is a consultant related to ImmPort data repository for Peraton. N.D.G. is a consultant for Tempus Labs and the National Basketball Association. Akiko Iwasaki is a consultant for 4BIO, Blue Willow Biologics, Revelar Biotherapeutics, RIGImmune, Xanadu Bio, and Paratus Sciences. M. Kraft receives research funds paid to her institution from NIH and ALA and from Sanofi and Astra-Zeneca for work in asthma; serves as a consultant for Astra-Zeneca, Sanofi, Chiesi, and GSK for severe asthma; and is a cofounder and CMO for RaeSedo, Inc., a company created to develop peptidomimetics for treatment of inflammatory lung disease. E. Melamed received research funding from Babson Diagnostics and honorarium from Multiple Sclerosis Association of America and has served on the advisory boards of Genentech, Horizon, Teva, and Viela Bio.

Received: August 30, 2022 Revised: January 31, 2023 Accepted: May 16, 2023 Published: June 20, 2023

REFERENCES

- 1. Merad, M., Blish, C.A., Sallusto, F., and Iwasaki, A. (2022). The immunology and immunopathology of COVID-19. Science *375*, 1122–1127. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abm8108>.
- 2. Bastard, P., Rosen, L.B., Zhang, Q., Michailidis, E., Hoffmann, H.H., Zhang, Y., Dorgham, K., Philippot, Q., Rosain, J., Béziat, V., et al. (2020). Autoantibodies against type I IFNs in patients with life-threatening COVID-19. Science *370*, eabd4585. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd4585) [abd4585](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd4585).
- 3. Mathew, D., Giles, J.R., Baxter, A.E., Oldridge, D.A., Greenplate, A.R., Wu, J.E., Alanio, C., Kuri-Cervantes, L., Pampena, M.B., D'Andrea, K., et al. (2020). Deep immune profiling of COVID-19 patients reveals distinct immunotypes with therapeutic implications. Science *369*, eabc8511. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc8511.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc8511)
- 4. Hadjadj, J., Yatim, N., Barnabei, L., Corneau, A., Boussier, J., Smith, N., Péré, H., Charbit, B., Bondet, V., Chenevier-Gobeaux, C., et al. (2020). Impaired type I interferon activity and inflammatory responses in severe COVID-19 patients. Science *369*, 718–724. [https://doi.org/10.1126/sci](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6027)[ence.abc6027.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6027)
- 5. Arunachalam, P.S., Wimmers, F., Mok, C.K.P., Perera, R.A.P.M., Scott, M., Hagan, T., Sigal, N., Feng, Y., Bristow, L., Tak-Yin Tsang, O., et al. (2020). Systems biological assessment of immunity to mild versus severe COVID-19 infection in humans. Science *369*, 1210–1220. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6261) [10.1126/science.abc6261](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc6261).
- 6. Lucas, C., Wong, P., Klein, J., Castro, T.B.R., Silva, J., Sundaram, M., Ellingson, M.K., Mao, T., Oh, J.E., Israelow, B., et al. (2020). Longitudinal

analyses reveal immunological misfiring in severe COVID-19. Nature *584*, 463–469. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2588-y.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2588-y)

- 7. Guan, W.J., Ni, Z.Y., Hu, Y., Liang, W.H., Ou, C.Q., He, J.X., Liu, L., Shan, H., Lei, C.L., Hui, D.S.C., et al. (2020). Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 1708–1720. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032) [org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032)
- 8. Holshue, M.L., DeBolt, C., Lindquist, S., Lofy, K.H., Wiesman, J., Bruce, H., Spitters, C., Ericson, K., Wilkerson, S., Tural, A., et al. (2020). First case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. N. Engl. J. Med. *382*, 929–936. [https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001191.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2001191)
- 9. Huang, C., Wang, Y., Li, X., Ren, L., Zhao, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., Fan, G., Xu, J., Gu, X., et al. (2020). Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet *395*, 497–506. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5) [org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5).
- 10. IMPACC Manuscript Writing Team; IMPACC Network Steering Committee (2021). Immunophenotyping assessment in a COVID-19 cohort (IM-PACC): a prospective longitudinal study. Sci. Immunol. *6*, eabf3733. [https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abf3733.](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abf3733)
- 11. Ozonoff, A., Schaenman, J., Jayavelu, N.D., Milliren, C.E., Calfee, C.S., Cairns, C.B., Kraft, M., Baden, L.R., Shaw, A.C., Krammer, F., et al. (2022). Phenotypes of disease severity in a cohort of hospitalized COVID-19 patients: results from the IMPACC study. EBioMedicine *83*, 104208. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104208>.
- 12. Beigel, J.H., Tomashek, K.M., Dodd, L.E., Mehta, A.K., Zingman, B.S., Kalil, A.C., Hohmann, E., Chu, H.Y., Luetkemeyer, A., Kline, S., et al. (2020). Remdesivir for the treatment of covid-19 - final report. N. Engl. J. Med. *383*, 1813–1826. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2007764>.
- 13. Langfelder, P., and Horvath, S. (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinf. *9*, 559. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559) [org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-9-559).
- 14. Chen, X., Robinson, D.G., and Storey, J.D. (2021). The functional false discovery rate with applications to genomics. Biostatistics *22*, 68–81. [https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxz010.](https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxz010)
- 15. Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J.P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst. *1*, 417–425. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004) [1016/j.cels.2015.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004).
- 16. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., and Kawashima, M. (2022). KEGG mapping tools for uncovering hidden features in biological data. Protein Sci. *31*, 47–53. [https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4172.](https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.4172)
- 17. El Zein, S., Chehab, O., Kanj, A., Akrawe, S., Alkassis, S., Mishra, T., Shatta, M., El-Hor, N., Salimnia, H., and Chandrasekar, P. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection: initial viral load (iVL) predicts severity of illness/ outcome, and declining trend of iVL in hospitalized patients corresponds with slowing of the pandemic. PLoS One *16*, e0255981. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255981) [10.1371/journal.pone.0255981.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255981)
- 18. Trunfio, M., Venuti, F., Alladio, F., Longo, B.M., Burdino, E., Cerutti, F., Ghisetti, V., Bertucci, R., Picco, C., Bonora, S., et al. (2021). Diagnostic SARS-CoV-2 cycle threshold value predicts disease severity, survival, and six-month sequelae in COVID-19 symptomatic patients. Viruses *13*. [https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020281.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020281)
- 19. Trinité, B., Tarrés-Freixas, F., Rodon, J., Pradenas, E., Urrea, V., Marfil, S., Rodríguez de la Concepción, M.L., Ávila-Nieto, C., Aguilar-Gurrieri, C., Barajas, A., et al. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection elicits a rapid neutralizing antibody response that correlates with disease severity. Sci. Rep. *11*, 2608. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81862-9>.
- 20. Mohan, D., Wansley, D.L., Sie, B.M., Noon, M.S., Baer, A.N., Laserson, U., and Larman, H.B. (2018). PhIP-Seq characterization of serum antibodies using oligonucleotide-encoded peptidomes. Nat. Protoc. *13*, 1958–1978. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0025-6.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0025-6)
- 21. O'Toole, Á., Scher, E., Underwood, A., Jackson, B., Hill, V., McCrone, J.T., Colquhoun, R., Ruis, C., Abu-Dahab, K., Taylor, B., et al. (2021). Assignment of epidemiological lineages in an emerging pandemic using

the pangolin tool. Virus Evol. *7*, veab064. [https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/](https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/veab064) [veab064.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/veab064)

- 22. Rambaut, A., Holmes, E.C., O'Toole, Á., Hill, V., McCrone, J.T., Ruis, C., du Plessis, L., and Pybus, O.G. (2020). A dynamic nomenclature proposal for SARS-CoV-2 lineages to assist genomic epidemiology. Nat. Microbiol. *5*, 1403–1407. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0770-5)
- 23. Xu, G.J., Kula, T., Xu, Q., Li, M.Z., Vernon, S.D., Ndung'u, T., Ruxrungtham, K., Sanchez, J., Brander, C., Chung, R.T., et al. (2015). Viral immunology. Comprehensive serological profiling of human populations using a synthetic human virome. Science *348*, aaa0698. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0698) [1126/science.aaa0698](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa0698).
- 24. Anderson, N.L., and Anderson, N.G. (2002). The human plasma proteome: history, character, and diagnostic prospects. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *1*, 845–867. [https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.r200007-mcp200.](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.r200007-mcp200)
- 25. Kveler, K., Starosvetsky, E., Ziv-Kenet, A., Kalugny, Y., Gorelik, Y., Shalev-Malul, G., Aizenbud-Reshef, N., Dubovik, T., Briller, M., Campbell, J., et al. (2018). Immune-centric network of cytokines and cells in disease context identified by computational mining of PubMed. Nat. Biotechnol. *36*, 651–659. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4152.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4152)
- 26. Chen, J., Meng, X., Zhou, Q., Feng, J., Zheng, W., Wang, Z., Wang, J., and Wang, Y. (2020). Effect of CXCR5-positive cell infiltration on the immune contexture and patient prognosis in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. OncoTargets Ther. *13*, 5869–5877. [https://doi.org/10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S248958) [OTT.S248958.](https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S248958)
- 27. Forconi, C.S., Oduor, C.I., Oluoch, P.O., Ong'echa, J.M., Münz, C., Bailey, J.A., and Moormann, A.M. (2020). A new hope for CD56(neg) CD16(pos) NK cells as unconventional cytotoxic mediators: an adaptation to chronic diseases. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. *10*, 162. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00162) [doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00162.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.00162)
- 28. Agresta, L., Hoebe, K.H.N., and Janssen, E.M. (2018). The emerging role of CD244 signaling in immune cells of the tumor microenvironment. Front. Immunol. *9*, 2809. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02809>.
- 29. Adhikary, T., Wortmann, A., Finkernagel, F., Lieber, S., Nist, A., Stiewe, T., Wagner, U., Müller-Brüsselbach, S., Reinartz, S., and Müller, R. (2017). Interferon signaling in ascites-associated macrophages is linked to a favorable clinical outcome in a subgroup of ovarian carcinoma patients. BMC Genom. *18*, 243. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3630-9) [3630-9.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3630-9)
- 30. Grytting, V.S., Chand, P., Låg, M., Øvrevik, J., and Refsnes, M. (2022). The pro-inflammatory effects of combined exposure to diesel exhaust particles and mineral particles in human bronchial epithelial cells. Part. Fibre Toxicol. *19*, 14. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-022-00455-0.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-022-00455-0)
- 31. Kong, X., Wu, S., Dai, X., Yu, W., Wang, J., Sun, Y., Ji, Z., Ma, L., Dai, X., Chen, H., et al. (2022). A comprehensive profile of chemokines in the peripheral blood and vascular tissue of patients with Takayasu arteritis. Arthritis Res. Ther. *24*, 49. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-022-02740-x>.
- 32. Uranga-Murillo, I., Morte, E., Hidalgo, S., Pesini, C., García-Mulero, S., Sierra, J.L., Santiago, L., Arias, M., De Miguel, D., Encabo-Berzosa, M.D.M., et al. (2022). Integrated analysis of circulating immune cellular and soluble mediators reveals specific COVID19 signatures at hospital admission with utility for prediction of clinical outcomes. Theranostics *12*, 290–306. <https://doi.org/10.7150/thno.63463>.
- 33. Johnson, W.E., Li, C., and Rabinovic, A. (2007). Adjusting batch effects in microarray expression data using empirical Bayes methods. Biostatistics *8*, 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxj037>.
- 34. Pharo, E.A., Williams, S.M., Boyd, V., Sundaramoorthy, V., Durr, P.A., and Baker, M.L. (2020). Host-pathogen responses to pandemic influenza H1N1pdm09 in a human respiratory airway model. Viruses *12*. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/v12060679) [doi.org/10.3390/v12060679.](https://doi.org/10.3390/v12060679)
- 35. Werlein, C., Ackermann, M., Stark, H., Shah, H.R., Tzankov, A., Haslbauer, J.D., von Stillfried, S., Bulow, R.D., El-Armouche, A., Kuenzel, S., et al. (2022). Inflammation and Vascular Remodeling in COVID-19 Hearts. Angiogenesis, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10456-022-09860-7>.

- 36. Kircheis, R., Haasbach, E., Lueftenegger, D., Heyken, W.T., Ocker, M., and Planz, O. (2020). NF-kappaB pathway as a potential target for treatment of critical stage COVID-19 patients. Front. Immunol. *11*, 598444. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.598444.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.598444)
- 37. Gadotti, A.C., de Castro Deus, M., Telles, J.P., Wind, R., Goes, M., Garcia Charello Ossoski, R., de Padua, A.M., de Noronha, L., Moreno-Amaral, A., Baena, C.P., and Tuon, F.F. (2020). IFN-gamma is an independent risk factor associated with mortality in patients with moderate and severe COVID-19 infection. Virus Res. *289*, 198171. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vi](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198171)[rusres.2020.198171](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198171).
- 38. Han, H., Ma, Q., Li, C., Liu, R., Zhao, L., Wang, W., Zhang, P., Liu, X., Gao, G., Liu, F., et al. (2020). Profiling serum cytokines in COVID-19 patients reveals IL-6 and IL-10 are disease severity predictors. Emerg. Microbes Infect. *9*, 1123–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1770129>.
- 39. Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J.P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The molecular signatures database hallmark gene set collection. Cell Syst. *1*, 417–425. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004) [cels.2015.12.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004)
- 40. Gillespie, M., Jassal, B., Stephan, R., Milacic, M., Rothfels, K., Senff-Ribeiro, A., Griss, J., Sevilla, C., Matthews, L., Gong, C., et al. (2022). The reactome pathway knowledgebase 2022. Nucleic Acids Res. *50*, D687– D692. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab1028>.
- 41. Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M., and Tanabe, M. (2016). KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, D457–D462. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070) [gkv1070.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1070)
- 42. Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A.L., Nastou, K.C., Lyon, D., Kirsch, R., Pyysalo, S., Doncheva, N.T., Legeay, M., Fang, T., Bork, P., et al. (2021). The STRING database in 2021: customizable protein–protein networks, and functional characterization of user-uploaded gene/measurement sets. Nucleic Acids Res. *49*, D605–D612. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1074) [gkaa1074](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1074).
- 43. Niemi, M.E.K., Karjalainen, J., Liao, R.G., Neale, B.M., Daly, M., Ganna, A., Pathak, G.A., Andrews, S.J., Kanai, M., Veerapen, K., et al. (2021). Mapping the human genetic architecture of COVID-19. Nature *600*, 472–477. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03767-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03767-x)
- 44. Pietzner, M., Chua, R.L., Wheeler, E., Jechow, K., Radbruch, H., Trump, S., Heidecker, B., Heppner, F.L., Eils, R., Mall, M.A., et al. (2022). ELF5 Is a Respiratory Epithelial Cell-specific Risk Gene for Severe COVID-19. Preprint at medRxiv. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.17.22269283>.
- 45. Kim, W.J., Kang, Y.J., Koh, E.M., Ahn, K.S., Cha, H.S., and Lee, W.H. (2005). LIGHT is involved in the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis by inducing the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and MMP-9 in macrophages. Immunology *114*, 272–279. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2004.02004.x) [1365-2567.2004.02004.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2004.02004.x).
- 46. Liu, Q.H., Williams, D.A., McManus, C., Baribaud, F., Doms, R.W., Schols, D., De Clercq, E., Kotlikoff, M.I., Collman, R.G., and Freedman, B.D. (2000). HIV-1 gp120 and chemokines activate ion channels in primary macrophages through CCR5 and CXCR4 stimulation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *97*, 4832–4837. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.090521697) [090521697](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.090521697).
- 47. Ware, C.F. (2009). Targeting the LIGHT-HVEM pathway. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. *647*, 146–155. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-89520-8_10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-89520-8_10)
- 48. van Eeden, C., Khan, L., Osman, M.S., and Cohen Tervaert, J.W. (2020). Natural killer cell dysfunction and its role in COVID-19. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *21*, 6351. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21176351>.
- 49. Wherry, E.J., and Kurachi, M. (2015). Molecular and cellular insights into T cell exhaustion. Nat. Rev. Immunol. *15*, 486–499. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3862) [1038/nri3862](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3862).
- 50. Arshad, H., Alfonso, J.C.L., Franke, R., Michaelis, K., Araujo, L., Habib, A., Zboromyrska, Y., Lücke, E., Strungaru, E., Akmatov, M.K., et al. (2019). Decreased plasma phospholipid concentrations and increased acid sphingomyelinase activity are accurate biomarkers for commu-

nity-acquired pneumonia. J. Transl. Med. *17*, 365. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-019-2112-z) [1186/s12967-019-2112-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-019-2112-z).

- 51. Spadaro, F., Cecchetti, S., and Fantuzzi, L. (2017). Macrophages and phospholipases at the intersection between inflammation and the pathogenesis of HIV-1 infection. Int. J. Mol. Sci. *18*, 1390. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18071390) [10.3390/ijms18071390](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18071390).
- 52. Guo, Y., Hu, K., Li, Y., Lu, C., Ling, K., Cai, C., Wang, W., and Ye, D. (2022). Targeting TNF-alpha for COVID-19: recent advanced and controversies. Front. Public Health *10*, 833967. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.833967) [2022.833967.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.833967)
- 53. Wajant, H., and Siegmund, D. (2019). TNFR1 and TNFR2 in the control of the life and death balance of macrophages. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. *7*, 91. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00091.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2019.00091)
- 54. Karki, R., Sharma, B.R., Tuladhar, S., Williams, E.P., Zalduondo, L., Samir, P., Zheng, M., Sundaram, B., Banoth, B., Malireddi, R.K.S., et al. (2021). Synergism of TNF-alpha and IFN-gamma triggers inflammatory cell death, tissue damage, and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection and cytokine shock syndromes. Cell *184*, 149–168.e17. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.025) [1016/j.cell.2020.11.025.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.11.025)
- 55. Veras, F.P., Pontelli, M.C., Silva, C.M., Toller-Kawahisa, J.E., de Lima, M., Nascimento, D.C., Schneider, A.H., Caetité, D., Tavares, L.A., Paiva, I.M., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2-triggered neutrophil extracellular traps mediate COVID-19 pathology. J. Exp. Med. *217*, e20201129. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20201129) doi.org/10.1084/jem.20201129.
- 56. Middleton, E.A., He, X.Y., Denorme, F., Campbell, R.A., Ng, D., Salvatore, S.P., Mostyka, M., Baxter-Stoltzfus, A., Borczuk, A.C., Loda, M., et al. (2020). Neutrophil extracellular traps contribute to immunothrombosis in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. Blood *136*, 1169–1179. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020007008>.
- 57. Holliday, Z.M., Alnijoumi, M.M., Reed, M.A., Earhart, A.P., Schrum, A.G., Allen, L.A.H., and Krvavac, A. (2021). Neutrophils and secondary infections in COVID-19 induced acute respiratory distress syndrome. New Microbes New Infect. *44*, 100944. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2021.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2021.100944) [100944.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2021.100944)
- 58. Del Valle, D.M., Kim-Schulze, S., Huang, H.H., Beckmann, N.D., Nirenberg, S., Wang, B., Lavin, Y., Swartz, T.H., Madduri, D., Stock, A., et al. (2020). An inflammatory cytokine signature predicts COVID-19 severity and survival. Nat. Med. *26*, 1636–1643. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1051-9) [1038/s41591-020-1051-9.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1051-9)
- 59. Zhenyukh, O., Civantos, E., Ruiz-Ortega, M., Sánchez, M.S., Vázquez, C., Peiró, C., Egido, J., and Mas, S. (2017). High concentration of branched-chain amino acids promotes oxidative stress, inflammation and migration of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells via mTORC1 activation. Free Radic. Biol. Med. *104*, 165–177. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2017.01.009) [j.freeradbiomed.2017.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2017.01.009).
- 60. De Simone, R., Vissicchio, F., Mingarelli, C., De Nuccio, C., Visentin, S., Ajmone-Cat, M.A., and Minghetti, L. (2013). Branched-chain amino acids influence the immune properties of microglial cells and their responsiveness to pro-inflammatory signals. Biochim. Biophys. Acta *1832*, 650–659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.02.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2013.02.001)
- 61. Würtz, P., Soininen, P., Kangas, A.J., Rönnemaa, T., Lehtimäki, T., Kähönen, M., Viikari, J.S., Raitakari, O.T., and Ala-Korpela, M. (2013). Branched-chain and aromatic amino acids are predictors of insulin resistance in young adults. Diabetes Care *36*, 648–655. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-0895) [2337/dc12-0895.](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc12-0895)
- 62. Ye, B., Deng, H., Zhao, H., Liang, J., Ke, L., and Li, W. (2021). Association between an increase in blood urea nitrogen at 24 h and worse outcomes in COVID-19 pneumonia. Ren. Fail. *43*, 347–350. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/0886022X.2021.1879855) [0886022X.2021.1879855](https://doi.org/10.1080/0886022X.2021.1879855).
- 63. Hachim, M.Y., Hachim, I.Y., Naeem, K.B., Hannawi, H., Salmi, I.A., and Hannawi, S. (2020). D-Dimer, troponin, and urea level at presentation with COVID-19 can predict ICU admission: a single centered study. Front. Med. *7*, 585003. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.585003>.

- 64. Rout-Pitt, N., Farrow, N., Parsons, D., and Donnelley, M. (2018). Epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT): a universal process in lung diseases with implications for cystic fibrosis pathophysiology. Respir. Res. *19*, 136. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0834-8.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12931-018-0834-8)
- 65. Upagupta, C., Shimbori, C., Alsilmi, R., and Kolb, M. (2018). Matrix abnormalities in pulmonary fibrosis. Eur. Respir. Rev. *27*, 180033. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0033-2018) [doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0033-2018.](https://doi.org/10.1183/16000617.0033-2018)
- 66. Ali, A.M., and Kunugi, H. (2021). Skeletal muscle damage in COVID-19: a call for action. Medicina (Kaunas) *57*, 372. [https://doi.org/10.3390/me](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57040372)[dicina57040372](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57040372).
- 67. Shah, S., Shah, K., Patel, S.B., Patel, F.S., Osman, M., Velagapudi, P., Turagam, M.K., Lakkireddy, D., and Garg, J. (2020). Elevated D-dimer levels are associated with increased risk of mortality in coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Cardiol. Rev. *28*, 295–302. [https://doi.org/10.1097/CRD.0000000000000330.](https://doi.org/10.1097/CRD.0000000000000330)
- 68. Ziegler, C.G.K., Miao, V.N., Owings, A.H., Navia, A.W., Tang, Y., Bromley, J.D., Lotfy, P., Sloan, M., Laird, H., Williams, H.B., et al. (2021). Impaired local intrinsic immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection in severe COVID-19. Cell *184*, 4713–4733.e22. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.07.023.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.07.023)
- 69. Ahn, J.H., Kim, J., Hong, S.P., Choi, S.Y., Yang, M.J., Ju, Y.S., Kim, Y.T., Kim, H.M., Rahman, M.D.T., Chung, M.K., et al. (2021). Nasal ciliated cells are primary targets for SARS-CoV-2 replication in the early stage of COVID-19. J. Clin. Invest. *131*, e148517. [https://doi.org/10.1172/](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI148517) [JCI148517](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI148517).
- 70. Hou, Y.J., Okuda, K., Edwards, C.E., Martinez, D.R., Asakura, T., Dinnon, K.H., 3rd, Kato, T., Lee, R.E., Yount, B.L., Mascenik, T.M., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 reverse genetics reveals a variable infection gradient in the respiratory tract. Cell *182*, 429–446.e14. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.042) [cell.2020.05.042.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.042)
- 71. Tan, L., Wang, Q., Zhang, D., Ding, J., Huang, Q., Tang, Y.Q., Wang, Q., and Miao, H. (2020). Lymphopenia predicts disease severity of COVID-19: a descriptive and predictive study. Signal Transduct. Target. Ther. *5*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-020-0148-4>.
- 72. Schneider, V.A., Graves-Lindsay, T., Howe, K., Bouk, N., Chen, H.C., Kitts, P.A., Murphy, T.D., Pruitt, K.D., Thibaud-Nissen, F., Albracht, D., et al. (2017). Evaluation of GRCh38 and de novo haploid genome assemblies demonstrates the enduring quality of the reference assembly. Genome Res. *27*, 849–864. [https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.213611.116.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.213611.116)
- 73. Cunningham, F., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Allen, J., Amode, M.R., Armean, I.M., Bennett, R., Bhai, J., Billis, K., Boddu, S., et al. (2019). Ensembl 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. *47*, D745–D751. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1113) [1093/nar/gky1113](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1113).
- 74. Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y.M., Wang, W., Song, Z.G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z.W., Tian, J.H., Pei, Y.Y., et al. (2020). A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. Nature *579*, 265–269. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3) doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2008-3.
- 75. Kuleshov, M.V., Stein, D.J., Clarke, D.J.B., Kropiwnicki, E., Jagodnik, K.M., Bartal, A., Evangelista, J.E., Hom, J., Cheng, M., Bailey, A., et al. (2020). The COVID-19 Drug and gene Set Library. Patterns (N Y) *1*, 100090. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patter.2020.100090>.
- 76. Gonzalez-Reiche, A.S., Hernandez, M.M., Sullivan, M.J., Ciferri, B., Alshammary, H., Obla, A., Fabre, S., Kleiner, G., Polanco, J., Khan, Z., et al. (2020). Introductions and early spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the New York City area. Science *369*, 297–301. [https://doi.org/10.1126/science.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc1917) [abc1917.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc1917)
- 77. [Andrews, S. \(2016\). FastQC](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref95).
- 78. Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics *29*, 15–21. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635) [1093/bioinformatics/bts635](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635).
- 79. Okonechnikov, K., Conesa, A., and García-Alcalde, F. (2016). Qualimap 2: advanced multi-sample quality control for high-throughput

sequencing data. Bioinformatics *32*, 292–294. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv566) [bioinformatics/btv566.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv566)

- 80. Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt Removes Adapter Sequences from High-Throughput Sequencing Reads *17*, 3. [https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.](https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200) [1.200](https://doi.org/10.14806/ej.17.1.200).
- 81. Daley, T., and Smith, A.D. (2013). Predicting the molecular complexity of sequencing libraries. Nat. Methods *10*, 325–327. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2375) [1038/nmeth.2375.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2375)
- 82. Ewels, P., Magnusson, M., Lundin, S., and Käller, M. (2016). MultiQC: summarize analysis results for multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinformatics *32*, 3047–3048. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinfor](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354)[matics/btw354](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw354).
- 83. Bates, D.W., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. BMJ Qual. Saf. *24*, 1–3. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01) [org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01).
- 84. [Christensen, R.H.B. \(2018\). Cumulative Link Models for Ordinal Regres](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref120)[sion with the R Package Ordinal.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref120)
- 85. [Wood, S., and Scheipl, F. \(2014\). gamm4: generalized additive mixed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref121) [models using mgcv and lme4. R package version 0, 2–3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref121).
- 86. Gu, Z., Eils, R., and Schlesner, M. (2016). Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics *32*, 2847–2849. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw313)
- 87. Li, J., Bushel, P., Chu, T.-M., and Wolfinger, R. (2009). Principal variance components analysis: estimating batch effects in microarray gene expression data, pp. 141–154. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470685983.ch12) [9780470685983.ch12](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470685983.ch12).
- 88. Bushel, P. (2021). pvca: Principal Variance Component Analysis (PVCA). R package version 1.34.0.
- 89. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., and Durbin, R.; 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioin](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352)[formatics/btp352](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352).
- 90. Danecek, P., Bonfield, J.K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M.O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S.A., Davies, R.M., et al. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. Gigascience. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008) doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008.
- 91. Bolger, A.M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics *30*, 2114–2120. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170>.
- 92. Anders, S., Pyl, P.T., and Huber, W. (2015). HTSeq–a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics *31*, 166–169. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638)
- 93. [Picard Toolkit \(2019\). Broad Institute \(Github repository\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref88).
- 94. [Dowle, M., and Srinivasan, A. \(2023\). data.table \(Extension of 'data.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref124) [frame'\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref124).
- 95. [Xie, Y., Cheng, J., and Tan, X. \(2022\). DT \(A Wrapper of the JavaScript](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref125) [Library 'DataTables'\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref125).
- 96. [Meyer, D., Dimitriadou, E., Hornik, K., Weingessel, A., Leisch, F., Chang,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref126) [C., and Lin, C. \(2023\). Misc Functions of the Department of Statistics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref126) [\(Probablity Theory Group\), e1071](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref126).
- 97. Amir, E.A.D., Guo, X.V., Mayovska, O., and Rahman, A.H. (2018). Average Overlap Frequency: a simple metric to evaluate staining quality and community identification in high dimensional mass cytometry experiments. J. Immunol. Methods *453*, 20–29. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2017.08.011) [2017.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2017.08.011).
- 98. Pang, Z., Chong, J., Zhou, G., de Lima Morais, D.A., Chang, L., Barrette, M., Gauthier, C., Jacques, P.É., Li, S., and Xia, J. (2021). MetaboAnalyst 5.0: narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. Nucleic Acids Res. *49*, W388–W396. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab382>.
- 99. Chen, T.J., and Kotecha, N. (2014). Cytobank: providing an analytics platform for community cytometry data analysis and collaboration.

Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. *377*, 127–157. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2014_364) [82_2014_364](https://doi.org/10.1007/82_2014_364).

- 100. Magoč, T., and Salzberg, S.L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics *27*, 2957– 2963. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507)
- 101. Langmead, B., Wilks, C., Antonescu, V., and Charles, R. (2019). Scaling read aligners to hundreds of threads on general-purpose processors. Bioinformatics *35*, 421–432. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty648) [bty648.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty648)
- 102. Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S.L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods *9*, 357–359. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923) [nmeth.1923](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923).
- 103. Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., and Lipman, D.J. (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. *215*, 403–410. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2) [org/10.1016/S0022-2836\(05\)80360-2.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2)
- 104. Li, W., and Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics *22*, 1658–1659. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158>.
- 105. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., and Li, W. (2012). CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics *28*, 3150–3152. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565)
- 106. Li, H. (2018). Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics *34*, 3094–3100. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191) [bty191.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty191)
- 107. [Seemann, T., Edwards, R., Goncalves da Silva, A., and Kiil, K. \(2020\).](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref135) [Assemble Bacterial Isolate Genomes from Illumina Paired-End Reads](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-3791(23)00198-2/sref135).
- 108. Walker, B.J., Abeel, T., Shea, T., Priest, M., Abouelliel, A., Sakthikumar, S., Cuomo, C.A., Zeng, Q., Wortman, J., Young, S.K., and Earl, A.M. (2014). Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. PLoS One *9*, e112963. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112963>.
- 109. Koren, S., Walenz, B.P., Berlin, K., Miller, J.R., Bergman, N.H., and Phillippy, A.M. (2017). Canu: scalable and accurate long-read assembly via adaptive k-mer weighting and repeat separation. Genome Res. *27*, 722–736. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.215087.116>.
- 110. Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics *30*, 2068–2069. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153) [btu153](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153).
- 111. Shen, W., Le, S., Li, Y., and Hu, F. (2016). SeqKit: a cross-platform and ultrafast toolkit for FASTA/Q file manipulation. PLoS One *11*, e0163962. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163962>.
- 112. Wood, D.E., Lu, J., and Langmead, B. (2019). Improved metagenomic analysis with Kraken 2. Genome Biol. *20*, 257. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0) [s13059-019-1891-0.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1891-0)
- 113. MacLean, B., Tomazela, D.M., Shulman, N., Chambers, M., Finney, G.L., Frewen, B., Kern, R., Tabb, D.L., Liebler, D.C., and MacCoss, M.J. (2010). Skyline: an open source document editor for creating and analyzing targeted proteomics experiments. Bioinformatics *26*, 966–968. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq054) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq054.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq054)
- 114. Tyanova, S., and Cox, J. (2018). Perseus: a bioinformatics platform for integrative analysis of proteomics data in cancer research. Methods Mol. Biol. *1711*, 133–148. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7493-1_7) [7493-1_7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7493-1_7)
- 115. Hadfield, J., Megill, C., Bell, S.M., Huddleston, J., Potter, B., Callender, C., Sagulenko, P., Bedford, T., and Neher, R.A. (2018). Nextstrain: realtime tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics *34*, 4121–4123. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407>.
- 116. Aksamentov, I., Roemer, C., Hodcroft, E.B., and Neher, R.A. (2021). Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. Journal of Open Source Software *6*, 3773. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773) [21105/joss.03773.](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03773)
- 117. Dudas, G., and Bedford, T. (2019). The ability of single genes vs full genomes to resolve time and space in outbreak analysis. BMC Evol. Biol. *19*, 232. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-019-1567-0>.
- 118. Minh, B.Q., Schmidt, H.A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M.D., von Haeseler, A., and Lanfear, R. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. Mol. Biol. Evol. *37*, 1530–1534. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015) [msaa015.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa015)
- 119. Hoang, D.T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., and Vinh, L.S. (2018). UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol. Biol. Evol. *35*, 518–522. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx281)
- 120. Diray-Arce, J., Fourati, S., Jayavelu, N.D., Patel, R., Maguire, C., Chang, A., Dandekar, R., Qi, J., Lee, B., Zalm, P.V., et al. (2023). Multi-omic longitudinal study reveals immune correlates of clinical course among hospitalized COVID-19 patients. [https://bitbucket.org/kleinstein/impacc](https://bitbucket.org/kleinstein/impacc-public-devel/src/master/)[public-devel/src/master/.](https://bitbucket.org/kleinstein/impacc-public-devel/src/master/)
- 121. Stadlbauer, D., Amanat, F., Chromikova, V., Jiang, K., Strohmeier, S., Arunkumar, G.A., Tan, J., Bhavsar, D., Capuano, C., Kirkpatrick, E., et al. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 seroconversion in humans: a detailed protocol for a serological assay, antigen production, and test setup. Curr. Protoc. Microbiol. *57*, e100. [https://doi.org/10.1002/cpmc.100.](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpmc.100)
- 122. Carreño, J.M., Alshammary, H., Tcheou, J., Singh, G., Raskin, A.J., Kawabata, H., Sominsky, L.A., Clark, J.J., Adelsberg, D.C., Bielak, D.A., et al. (2022). Activity of convalescent and vaccine serum against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron. Nature *602*, 682–688. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04399-5) [s41586-022-04399-5.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04399-5)
- 123. Carreño, J.M., Alshammary, H., Singh, G., Raskin, A., Amanat, F., Amoako, A., Gonzalez-Reiche, A.S., van de Guchte, A., Study Group, P., Srivastava, K., et al. (2021). Evidence for retained spike-binding and neutralizing activity against emerging SARS-CoV-2 variants in serum of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine recipients. EBioMedicine *73*, 103626. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103626) doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2021.103626.
- 124. Amanat, F., Stadlbauer, D., Strohmeier, S., Nguyen, T.H.O., Chromikova, V., McMahon, M., Jiang, K., Asthagiri Arunkumar, G., Jurczyszak, D., Polanco, J., et al. (2020). A Serological Assay to Detect SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Humans. Preprint at medRxiv. [https://doi.org/10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.17.20037713) [2020.03.17.20037713.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.17.20037713)
- 125. Zamecnik, C.R., Rajan, J.V., Yamauchi, K.A., Mann, S.A., Loudermilk, R.P., Sowa, G.M., Zorn, K.C., Alvarenga, B.D., Gaebler, C., Caskey, M., et al. (2020). ReScan, a multiplex diagnostic pipeline, pans human sera for SARS-CoV-2 antigens. Cell Rep. Med. *1*, 100123. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100123) [org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100123](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2020.100123).
- 126. Viodé, A., Smolen, K.K., Fatou, B., Wurie, Z., Van Zalm, P., Konde, M.K., Keita, B.M., Ablam, R.A., Fish, E.N., and Steen, H. (2022). Plasma proteomic analysis distinguishes severity outcomes of human ebola virus disease. mBio *13*, e0056722. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00567-22>.
- 127. Hughes, C.S., Moggridge, S., Müller, T., Sorensen, P.H., Morin, G.B., and Krijgsveld, J. (2019). Single-pot, solid-phase-enhanced sample preparation for proteomics experiments. Nat. Protoc. *14*, 68–85. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x) [10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0082-x).
- 128. Evans, A.M., DeHaven, C.D., Barrett, T., Mitchell, M., and Milgram, E. (2009). Integrated, nontargeted ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization tandem mass spectrometry platform for the identification and relative quantification of the small-molecule complement of biological systems. Anal. Chem. *81*, 6656–6667. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac901536h) [org/10.1021/ac901536h](https://doi.org/10.1021/ac901536h).
- 129. Long, T., Hicks, M., Yu, H.C., Biggs, W.H., Kirkness, E.F., Menni, C., Zierer, J., Small, K.S., Mangino, M., Messier, H., et al. (2017). Wholegenome sequencing identifies common-to-rare variants associated with human blood metabolites. Nat. Genet. *49*, 568–578. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3809) [org/10.1038/ng.3809.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3809)
- 130. Spicer, R.A., Salek, R., and Steinbeck, C. (2017). A decade after the metabolomics standards initiative it's time for a revision. Sci. Data *4*, 170138. [https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.138.](https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.138)

- 131. Sumner, L.W., Amberg, A., Barrett, D., Beale, M.H., Beger, R., Daykin, C.A., Fan, T.W.M., Fiehn, O., Goodacre, R., Griffin, J.L., et al. (2007). Proposed minimum reporting standards for chemical analysis chemical analysis working group (CAWG) metabolomics standards initiative (MSI). Metabolomics *3*, 211–221. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-007-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2) [0082-2.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-007-0082-2)
- 132. MSI Board Members; Sansone, S.A., Fan, T., Goodacre, R., Griffin, J.L., Hardy, N.W., Kaddurah-Daouk, R., Kristal, B.S., Lindon, J., Mendes, P., et al. (2007). The metabolomics standards initiative. Nat. Biotechnol. *25*, 846–848. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt0807-846b>.
- 133. Chang, C.C., Chow, C.C., Tellier, L.C., Vattikuti, S., Purcell, S.M., and Lee, J.J. (2015). Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience *4*, 7. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8) [s13742-015-0047-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8).
- 134. Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M.A.R., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P.I.W., Daly, M.J., and Sham, P.C. (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *81*, 559–575. [https://doi.org/10.1086/519795.](https://doi.org/10.1086/519795)
- 135. Kalantar, K.L., Carvalho, T., de Bourcy, C.F.A., Dimitrov, B., Dingle, G., Egger, R., Han, J., Holmes, O.B., Juan, Y.F., King, R., et al. (2020). IDseq-An open source cloud-based pipeline and analysis service for metagenomic pathogen detection and monitoring. GigaScience *9*, giaa111. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giaa111>.
- 136. Wilson, M.R., O'Donovan, B.D., Gelfand, J.M., Sample, H.A., Chow, F.C., Betjemann, J.P., Shah, M.P., Richie, M.B., Gorman, M.P., Hajj-Ali, R.A., et al. (2018). Chronic meningitis investigated via metagenomic next-generation sequencing. JAMA Neurol. *75*, 947–955. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.0463) [jamaneurol.2018.0463.](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2018.0463)
- 137. Vazquez, S.E., Mann, S.A., Bodansky, A., Kung, A.F., Quandt, Z., Ferré, E.M.N., Landegren, N., Eriksson, D., Bastard, P., Zhang, S.Y., et al. (2022). Autoantibody discovery across monogenic, acquired, and COVID19-associated autoimmunity with scalable PhIP-Seq. Preprint at bioRxiv. [https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.23.485509.](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.23.485509)
- 138. O'Donovan, B., Mandel-Brehm, C., Vazquez, S.E., Liu, J., Parent, A.V., Anderson, M.S., Kassimatis, T., Zekeridou, A., Hauser, S.L., Pittock, S.J., et al. (2018). Exploration of Anti-Yo and Anti-Hu paraneoplastic neurological disorders by PhIP-Seq reveals a highly restricted pattern of antibody epitopes. Preprint at bioRxiv. [https://doi.org/10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/502187) [502187.](https://doi.org/10.1101/502187)
- 139. Zhang, J., Kobert, K., Flouri, T., and Stamatakis, A. (2014). PEAR: a fast and accurate Illumina Paired-End reAd mergeR. Bioinformatics *30*, 614–620. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt593)
- 140. Mandel-Brehm, C., Dubey, D., Kryzer, T.J., O'Donovan, B.D., Tran, B., Vazquez, S.E., Sample, H.A., Zorn, K.C., Khan, L.M., Bledsoe, I.O., et al. (2019). Kelch-like protein 11 antibodies in seminoma-associated paraneoplastic encephalitis. N. Engl. J. Med. *381*, 47–54. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1816721) [org/10.1056/NEJMoa1816721.](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1816721)
- 141. Yu, F., Haynes, S.E., and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2021). IonQuant enables accurate and sensitive label-free quantification with FDR-controlled matchbetween-runs. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *20*, 100077. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100077) [1016/j.mcpro.2021.100077.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpro.2021.100077)
- 142. da Veiga Leprevost, F., Haynes, S.E., Avtonomov, D.M., Chang, H.Y., Shanmugam, A.K., Mellacheruvu, D., Kong, A.T., and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2020). Philosopher: a versatile toolkit for shotgun proteomics data analysis. Nat. Methods *17*, 869–870. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0912-y) [0912-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-0912-y).
- 143. Yu, F., Haynes, S.E., Teo, G.C., Avtonomov, D.M., Polasky, D.A., and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2020). Fast quantitative analysis of timsTOF PASEF data with MSFragger and IonQuant. Mol. Cell. Proteomics *19*, 1575– 1585. <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.TIR120.002048>.
- 144. Kong, A.T., Leprevost, F.V., Avtonomov, D.M., Mellacheruvu, D., and Nesvizhskii, A.I. (2017). MSFragger: ultrafast and comprehensive peptide identification in mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nat. Methods *14*, 513–520. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4256>.
- 145. van Zalm, P., Viodé, A., Smolen, K., Fatou, B., Hayati, A.N., Schlaffner, C.N., Levy, O., Steen, J., and Steen, H. (2022). A parallelization strategy for the time efficient analysis of thousands of LC/MS runs in high-performance computing environment. J. Proteome Res. *21*, 2810–2814. [https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.2c00278.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.2c00278)
- 146. Diray-Arce, J., Angelidou, A., Jensen, K.J., Conti, M.G., Kelly, R.S., Pettengill, M.A., Liu, M., van Haren, S.D., McCulloch, S.D., Michelloti, G., et al. (2022). Bacille Calmette-Guérin vaccine reprograms human neonatal lipid metabolism in vivo and in vitro. Cell Rep. *39*, 110772. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2022.110772>.
- 147. Xia, J., and Wishart, D.S. (2011). Metabolomic data processing, analysis, and interpretation using MetaboAnalyst. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics *14*, 14.10.1–14.10.48. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi1410s34>.
- 148. Anderson, C.A., Pettersson, F.H., Clarke, G.M., Cardon, L.R., Morris, A.P., and Zondervan, K.T. (2010). Data quality control in genetic casecontrol association studies. Nat. Protoc. *5*, 1564–1573. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2010.116) [10.1038/nprot.2010.116.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2010.116)

STAR★METHODS

KEY RESOURCES TABLE

ll OPEN ACCESS

Cell Reports Medicine

c CellPress OPEN ACCESS

Cell Reports Medicine

c CellPress OPEN ACCESS

Continued

Cell Reports Medicine

d CellPress OPEN ACCESS

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

All requests for information regarding reagents and resources should be directed to the [lead contact](#page-33-1) and will be fulfilled by the [lead](#page-33-1) [contact](#page-33-1) or corresponding authors.

Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by Dr. Steven Kleinstein (steven.kleinstein@yale.edu).

Materials availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability

Data files are available at ImmPort under accession number SDY1760 and dbGAP accession number phs002686.v1.p1. Accession numbers are listed in the [key resources table](#page-25-1). Additional supplementary items are available from Mendeley Data at [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.17632/vcskpv8tjk.1) [17632/vcskpv8tjk.1](https://doi.org/10.17632/vcskpv8tjk.1).

All analysis codes have been deposited at Bitbucket: <https://bitbucket.org/kleinstein/impacc-public-code>^{[120](#page-23-20)} and are publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the [key resources table](#page-25-1).

Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the [lead contact](#page-33-1) upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND STUDY SUBJECT DETAILS

IMPACC cohort characteristics

The IMPACC cohort enrolled participants from 20 hospitals affiliated with 15 geographically distributed academic institutions across the U.S. Eligible participants were patients hospitalized with symptoms or signs consistent with COVID-19, which had SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by RT-PCR to remain in the study. The detailed study design, schedule for clinical data, biological sample collec-tion and demographic information about the participants were previously described.^{[10](#page-20-1),[11](#page-20-2)} Briefly, detailed clinical assessments and nasal, blood, and endotracheal aspirates (intubated participants only) were collected within 72h of hospitalization (visit 1) and on days 4, 7, 14, 21, 28 after hospital admission (visits 2–6, respectively). If a participant required escalation of care or was re-admitted to the hospital prior to Day 28, additional samples were collected within 24 and 96 h of care escalation or readmission. If participants were discharged prior to day 14 or 28, attempts were made to collect limited clinical information and biologic samples on days 14 and/or 28 in outpatients. Disease severity was assessed using a 7-point ordinal scale based on degree of respiratory illness,¹⁰ modified from Beigel et al.

Cell culture conditions

Expi293F cells (Gibco #A14527) used for antibody titer assay were cultured in Expi293 Expression Medium before transfection as described previously^{[121](#page-23-21)} and in [SARS-CoV-2 recombinant RBD and spike proteins method section](#page-35-0).

METHOD DETAILS

Sample processing and batch randomization

Biological sample collection and processing followed a standard protocol utilized by every participating academic institution. The complete IMPACC sample processing protocol was published previously.[10](#page-20-1) To mitigate potential batching effects, a randomization procedure was developed to help ensure that longitudinal samples from the same individuals were run on the same plates and were randomly distributed across the plates. We stratified this randomization by disease severity (mild/moderate versus severe) and age (younger versus older) with the representation of these strata across plates. In addition, we verified that race, ethnicity, gender, and site were well represented across the plates.

Nasal viral PCR, host transcriptomics, and metagenomics

RNA preparation

Inferior nasal turbinate swabs were collected and placed in 1mL of Zymo-DNA/RNA shield reagent (Zymo Research). RNA was extracted from 250 µL of sample and eluted into a volume of 50ul using the KingFisher Flex sample purification system (ThermoFisher) and the quick DNA-RNA MagBead kit (Zymo Research) following the manufacturer's instructions. Each sample was extracted twice in parallel. The 2 eluted RNA samples were pooled and aliquoted into 20 µL aliquots using a Rainin Liquidator 96 pipettor for downstream RT-qPCR, RNA-sequencing, and viral sequencing.

RealTime quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Master mixes containing nuclease-Free water, combined primer/probe mixes, and One-Step RT-qPCR ToughMix (Quantabio) were prepared on ice, and 15 µL was dispensed in each well of a 384-reaction plate (Thermofisher) CoV-2 was quantitated using the CDC qRT-PCR assay (primers and probes from IDT). Briefly, this comprises two reactions targeting the CoV-2 nucleocapsid gene (N1 and N2) and one reaction targeting *RPP30* (RP). Each batch included positive controls of plasmids containing N1/N2 and RP target sequence (2019-nCoV_N_Positive Control and Hs_RPP30 Positive Control, IDT) to allow quantitation of each transcript. Primer/ probe sequences were: 2019-nCOV_N1-F GAC CCC AAA ATC AGC GAA AT, 2019-nCOV_N1-R TCT GGT TAC TGC CAG TTG AAT CTG, 2019-nCOV_N1-P ACC CCG CAT TAC GTT TGG TGG ACC, 2019-nCOV_N2-F TTA CAA ACA TTG GCC GCA AA, 2019-nCOV_N2-R GCG CGA CAT TCC GAA GAA, 2019-nCOV_N2-P ACA ATT TGC CCC CAG CGC TTC AG, RP-F AGA TTT GGA CCT GCG AGC G, RP-R GAG CGG CTG TCT CCA CAA GT and RP-P TTC TGA CCT GAA GGC TCT GCG CG. After RNA extracts were gently vortexed and added 5 μ L per sample. Plates were centrifuged for 30 s at 500 \times g, 4C. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction was performed using a Quantstudio5 (Thermo Fisher) with cycling conditions:1 cycle 10 min at 50° C, followed by 3 min at 95° C, 45 cycles 3 s at 95° C, followed by 30 s at 55.0° C.

RNA-sequencing cDNA library production

From each nasal RNA sample, 10ul was aliquoted to a library construction plate using the Perkin Elmer Janus Workstation (Perkin Elmer, Janus II). Ribosomal depletion, cDNA synthesis, and library construction steps were performed using the Total Stranded RNA Prep with Ribo-Zero Plus kit, following the manufacturer's instructions (Illumina). All steps were automated on the Perkin Elmer Sciclone NGSx Workstation to reduce batch-to-batch variability and increase sample throughput. Final cDNA libraries were quantified using the Quant-it dsDNA High Sensitivity assay, and library insert size distribution was checked using a fragment analyzer

(Advanced Analytical; kit ID DNF474). Samples, where adapter dimers constituted more than 4% of the electropherogram area, were failed before sequencing. Technical controls (K562, Thermo Fisher Scientific, cat# AM7832) were compared to expected results to ensure that batch to batch variability was minimized. Successful libraries were normalized to 10nM for sequencing.

RNA-sequencing clustering and sequencing

Barcoded libraries were pooled using liquid handling robotics prior to loading. Massively parallel sequencing-by-synthesis with fluorescently labeled reversibly terminating nucleotides was carried out on the NovaSeq 6000 sequencer using S4 flowcells with a target depth of 50 million 100 base-pair paired-end reads per sample (25 million read pairs).

Nasal viral genome sequencing and assembly

For viral genome sequencing,^{[76](#page-22-12)} cDNA synthesis was performed with random hexamers and ProtoScript II (New England Biolabs, E6560) starting from 7 μ L of total RNA extracted from clinical specimens. The SARS-CoV-2 genome was then amplified with Q5 Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, cat. M0493) using two sets of custom-designed tiling primers generating overlapping amplicons of \sim 1.5 and 2 kb. The PCR amplification parameters were: 1 min at 98C, 35 cycles of 15 s at 98C and 5 min at 63C, and final extension for 10 min at 65C. After equivolume pooling of the amplicons and cleanup with 1.8X volume of Ampure XT beads, libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation kit (Illumina, FC-131-1096), followed by paired-end sequencing (2 x 150nt) on the Illumina MiSeq platform. A custom reference-based analysis pipeline, [https://github.](https://github.com/mjsull/COVID_pipe) [com/mjsull/COVID_pipe](https://github.com/mjsull/COVID_pipe), was used to assemble SARS-CoV-2 genomes. Whole-genome viral amplification was initially conducted on 1,154 nasopharyngeal swab samples collected from 474 participants, of which 531 samples had a detectable PCR band to attempt sequencing. Of these samples, 316 yielded complete viral genomes from 221 participants.

Antibody correlates: titers

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Antibodies antibody levels against the recombinant receptor-binding domain (RBD) and full-length spike were measured using a research-grade ELISA as described.^{[122](#page-23-22),[123](#page-23-23)} Briefly, samples were heat-inactivated at 56°C for 1 h. 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher Lot # 4199147) were coated with 50 μ L/well of RBD or spike proteins at 2 μ g/mL concentration in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Gibco lot # 2388102) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed 3x in an automatic plate washer (BioTek) with PBS 0.01% Tween 20 (Fisher Scientific, Cat#BP337-100, TPBS) and blocked for 1 h with 200 μ L/well of 3% non-fat dry milk (Cat#AB10109-01000) prepared in TPBS. Serum samples were serially diluted (3-fold starting at 1:80 dilution) in 1% non-fat dry milk in TPBS. The blocking solution was removed, and 100 μ L/well of serially diluted samples were added to the plates and incubated for 2h at 20 \degree C. Plates were washed 3x with TPBS, and 50 μ L/well of the corresponding secondary antibody, prepared in 1% non-fat dry milk in TPBS, were added for 1h at RT: Anti-human IgG (Fc specific)-Peroxidase antibody produced in goat (Sigma-Aldrich Cat#A0170); Goat anti-human IgM-HRP (SouthernBiotech Cat#2020–05); Anti-human IgA (a-chain specific)-Peroxidase antibody produced in goat (Sigma-Aldrich Cat#A0295). Plates were washed 3x with TPBS, and 100 µL/well of peroxidase substrate (SigmaFAST *o-*phenylenediamine dihydrochloride, Sigma-Aldrich Cat#P9187) were added for 10 min 50 μL/well of 3M hydrochloric acid (HCl, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Cat#S25856) was added to stop the reaction. Optical density (OD) was measured in a Synergy 4 (BioTek) plate reader at 490 nm. The area under the curve was calculated, considering 0.15 OD as the cutoff. Data were analyzed using Graphpad Prism 9.

SARS-CoV-2 recombinant RBD and spike proteins

Recombinant RBD and spike proteins of SARS-CoV-2 were generated and expressed as previously described.^{[124](#page-23-24)} Briefly, constructs consisted of mammalian-cell codon-optimized nucleotide sequences for RBD (amino acids 319–541), including a signal peptide and hexahistidine tag, or the soluble version of the spike protein (amino acids 1–1,213) with a signal peptide, C-terminal thrombin cleavage site, T4 fold-on trimerization domain, and hexahistidine tag. These sequences were cloned into the mammalian expression vector pCAGGS. The nucleotide sequence of the spike protein was additionally modified to remove the polybasic cleavage site, and two stabilizing mutations (K986P and V987P) were introduced. The expression plasmids are available at BEI Resources Repository ([https://www.beiresources.org/\)](https://www.beiresources.org/). Recombinant proteins were produced in Expi293F cells (Thermo Fisher) using the ExpiFectamine 293 Transfection Kit (Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Expi293F cells (Gibco #A14527) were cultured in Expi293 Expression Medium before transfection as described previously^{[121](#page-23-21)} and in [SARS-CoV-2 recombinant RBD and spike pro](#page-35-0)[teins method section](#page-35-0). Expi293F cells were not authenticated and tested negative for mycoplasma. Proteins were purified by gravity flow using Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen) and concentrated in Amicon centrifugal units (EMD Millipore). Purified proteins were analyzed by reducing sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE), and correct folding was confirmed by performing ELISAs with RBD-specific monoclonal antibody CR3022.

Autoantibody screening assay

Samples were screened for autoantibodies^{[2](#page-19-2)} against type I IFNs in a multiplex, particle-based assay, in which differentially fluorescing magnetic beads were covalently coupled to recombinant human proteins $(2.5 \mu g/r$ eaction). Beads were combined and incubated with 1:100 diluted serum samples for 30 min. Each sample was tested once with a random assortment in each plate tested in

Cell Reports Medicine

Article

duplicate to ensure minimal intra-assay variability. Beads were washed then incubated with PE-labeled goat anti-human IgG (1ug/ mL) for an additional 30 min. Beads were washed again and run on a BioPlex X200 instrument in a multiplex assay. Participant samples with a fluorescence intensity greater than 3 standard deviations above the mean of 1099 healthy controls at the earliest timepoint received (>1310 FI for IFNa; >386 FI for IFNb; >1387 for IFNw) were tested for blocking activity in the pSTAT1 functional assay.

pSTAT1 functional assay

The blocking activity of anti-IFN-containing serum was determined by assessing STAT1 phosphorylation in healthy control cells following stimulation with the appropriate cytokine in the presence of 10% healthy control or participant serum.^{[2](#page-19-2)} Surface-stained healthy control PBMCs (350,000/reaction) were cultured in serum-free RPMI medium with 10% healthy control (pooled human AB serum) or participant serum and were either left unstimulated or stimulated with IFNa, IFNw, or IFNb (10 ng/mL) for 15 min at 37C. Cells were fixed, permeabilized, and stained for intranuclear phopsho-STAT1 (Y701). Cells were acquired on a BD LSR Fortessa cytometer with gating on CD14⁺ monocytes and analyzed with FlowJo software. A stimulation index was calculated for each sample by dividing the geometric mean fluorescence for the pSTAT1 channel for each stimulated condition by that of the unstimulated condition. The stimulation index for each cytokine was then normalized to that of the healthy control serum from the same assay, generating a normalized stimulation index (where >65% pSTAT1 = NOT blocking; 20–65% pSTAT1 = partially blocking; <20% pSTAT1 = blocking). Statistical analyses were performed using Fisher's exact test of the overall association of autoantibodies among all 5 TGs.

Antibody correlates: coronavirus phage display (VirScan) Coronavirus library design and cloning

As described previously,^{[125](#page-23-25)} RefSeq sequences for SARS-CoV-2 (NC_045512), SARS-CoV-1 (NC_004718), and 7 other coronaviruses known to infect humans, including beta coronavirus England 1 (NC_038294), HuCoV 229E (NC_002645), HuCoV HKU1 (NC_006577), HuCoV NL63 (NC_005831), HuCoV OC43 (NC_006213), Infectious Bronchitis virus (NC_001451), and MERS CoV (NC_019843) were downloaded from the National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI). All open reading frames for each virus were divided into sequences of 38mer peptides, with consecutive peptides sharing a 19 amino acid overlap. All peptide sequences were collapsed on 99% amino acid sequence identity using cd-hit. A subsequent patch of spike peptides was added to the library to account for variation in the spike protein. All spike protein sequences present in NCBI databases, including the SARS-CoV-2 allele used to generate the initial library, were downloaded (as of 10/02/2020), aligned, and divided into 38mers with a 19 amino acid overlap as already described. Only peptides with >3 amino acid differences (<92% sequence similarity) were retained and added to the library. The combined set of peptide sequences was converted to DNA sequences using an R language script, randomizing codon selection. Twenty-one (21) nucleotide 5' linker sequences, as well as a nucleic acid sequence encoding an FLAG tag (DYKDDDDK) at the 3' end of each oligonucleotide sequence, were added. The final oligonucleotide sequences were 159 nucleotides in length, outputted to a FASTA file, and sent to Twist Biosciences for synthesis. A single vial of 10pmoles of lyophilized DNA was received from Twist. The lyophilized oligonucleotides were resuspended in 10mM Tris/1mM EDTA (TE) to a final concentration of 0.2nM and PCR amplified for cloning into T7 phage vector arms (Novagen/EMD Millipore, Inc).

Preparation of phage libraries

Phage libraries were prepared and amplified fresh from packaging reactions. To prepare phage libraries, a 300 mL culture of *E*. *coli* BLT5403 was incubated at 37°C with shaking until the mid-log phase, defined as $OD_{600} = 0.4$. The culture was then inoculated at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.001 and incubated at 37°C for 2.5 h or until complete lysis was observed, after which 5M NaCl was added to the lysate for stabilization, and the lysate was placed on ice. The lysate was then spun at 8000 g for 15 min to pellet cellular debris. The phage-containing supernatant was 0.2uM filtered, and 5X PEG/NaCl (PEG-8000 20%, NaCl 2.5 mM) added to a final 1X concentration and incubated overnight at 4° C. The PEG-phage lysate mix was centrifuged for 15 min at 4000 g at 4° C, and the pellet was resuspended in storage media (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 6 mM MgCl₂) before 0.22µM filtration. Phage libraries were titered by plaque assay and adjusted to a working concentration of 10^{10} pfu/mL before incubation with participant sera. All VirScan experimental procedures and data analysis are described fully.^{[10](#page-20-1),[125](#page-23-25)}

Serum proximity extension assay (olink)

Study samples were assayed in plate batch layouts following a centralized randomized scheme described above. Three samples (IMPACC_Serum, IMPACC_Plasma, and IMPACC_Plasma_Stim) were used as IMPACC inter-plate references (Reference samples)] in every plate. All samples (participant sera and reference) were subjected to PEA (Olink) multiplex assay Inflammatory panel (Olink Bioscience, Uppsala, Sweden), according to the manufacturer's instructions. This inflammatory panel included 92 proteins associated with human inflammatory conditions. An incubation master mix containing pairs of oligonucleotide-labeled antibodies to each protein was added to the samples and incubated for 16 h at 4° C. Each protein was targeted with two different epitope-specific antibodies, increasing the assay's specificity. The presence of the target protein in the sample brought the partner probes in close proximity, allowing the formation of a double-strand oligonucleotide polymerase chain reaction (PCR) target. On the following day, the extension master mix in the sample initiated the specific target sequences to be detected and generated amplicons using PCR in 96 well plates. For the detection of the specific protein, Dynamic array integrated fluidic Circuit (IFC) 96 x 96 chip was primed, loaded

with 92 protein-specific primers, and mixed with sample amplicons, including three inter-plate controls (IPS) and three negative controls (NC). Real-time microfluidic qPCR was performed in Biomark (Fluidigm, San Francisco, CA) for the target protein quantification.

Plasma global proteomics

Fifty microliters of neat plasma samples were diluted with 450 μ L of water, and 25 μ L of perchloric acid was added.^{[126](#page-23-26)} After vigorous agitation, the suspension was kept at -20° C for 15 min, then centrifuged for 60 min (4 $^{\circ}$ C, 3200 ×g). 390 µL of the supernatant was mixed with 40 μ L of 1% trifluoroacetic acid and loaded onto a μ SPE HLB plate, previously conditioned once with 300 μ L methanol and twice with 500 µL of 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. Proteins were eluted from the µSPE HLB plate with 100 µL of 90% acetonitrile and 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid. After elution, the samples were dried with a Speedvac, resuspended with 35 μ L of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, and digested with 10 μ L trypsin (500 ng) overnight at 37°C. Digestion was stopped by the addition of 5 μ L 10% formic acid. The samples were stored at -80° C before LC/MS analysis. Two microliters of tryptic peptides were loaded onto Evotips and analyzed using an Evosep ONE liquid chromatography system (EVOSEP, Odense, Denmark) connected to a timsTOF Pro mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, USA). The Evosep ONE was set to 60 samples per day, and the mass spectrometer was operated in DDA-PASEF mode. DDA-PASEF parameters were set as follows: *m*/*z* range 100–1700, the mobility (1/K0) range was set to 0.70–1.45 Vs./cm2, and the accumulation time was set to 100 ms.

Plasma targeted proteomics

All chemicals and reagents were purchased at the highest purities available. Solvents used in this study were LC/MS grade and were purchased from Fisher Chemicals (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, a volume of 10 µL of 10-fold diluted plasma was mixed with ⁶⁰ mL of urea buffer (8M urea in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, Sigma Aldrich) and 15 mL of dithiothreitol buffer (DTT, 50 mM in urea buffer, Sigma Aldrich) before incubated 30 min on a thermomixer (800 rpm, room temperature). The samples were alkylated using iodoacetamide buffer (IAA, 375 mM in urea buffer, Sigma Aldrich) and incubated for 30 min (800 rpm, room temperature, and dark). A volume of 10 µL of DTT buffer was added to quench the alkylation. The samples were transferred to the SP3 beads mixture (Sera-Mag SpeedBeads, 1:1 v/v, GE Healthcare) previously washed with HPLC water (scale 1:10 protein to beads). Then a volume of 150 µL of absolute ethanol (Supelco) was added, and the mix was incubated for 15 min on a thermomixer (1,000 rpm at room temperature). The samples were placed on the magnetic rack, and the clear supernatant was removed. The beads were washed in three cycles in 200 µL of 80% ethanol. After the final washing step, the samples were trypsinized using 100 µL of trypsin buffer (Promega, 20 μ g/mL in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate) and placed on a thermomixer (1,000 rpm, 2 h, 37°). After digestion, the samples were centrifuged to pulldown the liquid and placed on a magnetic rack to collect the supernatant and were then acidified with 2% v/v formic acid in HPLC water (Sigma Aldrich). The C18 cleanup was performed using a 96-well MACROSPIN C18 plate (TARGA, The NestGroup Inc.), and the tryptic peptides were eluted off the C18 particles using 40% ACN/0.1% FA. The samples were dried and stored at -20° C until LC/MS analysis.^{[127](#page-23-27)} The samples were analyzed using an LC system (Nexera Mikros, Shimadzu) equipped with a Capillary C18 column (0.2 x 100mm, 2.7um particle diameter, Shimadzu) coupled online to an 8060 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer instrument (Shimadzu). From each sample, 1 µg peptide quantity was separated using a non-linear gradient over a 15-min run time operated at 10 μ L/min (5% solvent B for 0.2 min; 5 to 40%B for 10.3 min; 85%B for 1.5 min and 5% for 3 min). The final scheduling method was performed using the following parameters: 1.2 s of maximum loop time with minimum dwell time of 2 msec and pause time of 1 msec, Q1 and Q3 resolution set at the 'unit' level.

Plasma global metabolomics

Plasma metabolite profiling was conducted by Metabolon using in-house standards.^{[128,](#page-23-28)[129](#page-23-29)} The samples were divided into randomized sample batches, extracted, and prepared for analysis using Metabolon's solvent extraction method (Evans, 2008). Recovery standards were added to the first step in the extraction process to ensure proper quality control. Protein was removed by methanol precipitation under vigorous shaking for 2 min (Glen Mills GenoGrinder 2000) and then by centrifugation. The supernatants were divided into five fractions: two for analysis by two separate reverse phases (RP)/UPLC-MS/MS methods with positive ion mode electrospray ionization (ESI); one for analysis by RP/UPLC-MS/MS with negative ion mode ESI; one for analysis by HILIC/UPLC-MS/MS with negative ion mode ESI; and one sample was reserved for backup analysis using Waters ACQUITY ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) and a Thermo Scientific Q-Exactive high resolution/accurate mass spectrometer interfaced with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI-II) source and Orbitrap mass analyzer operated at 35,000 mass resolution. Metabolites were identified by comparison to Metabolon library entries of standard metabolites^{[128](#page-23-28)} based on three criteria: retention index (RI) within a narrow RI window of the proposed identification; accurate mass match to the library ±10 ppm; and the MS/MS forward and reverse scores between the experimental data and authentic standards. Compounds were categorized according to reporting standards set by the Chemical Analysis Working Group of the Metabolomics Standards Initiative,^{[130–132](#page-23-30)} and appropriate orthogonal analytical techniques were applied to the metabolite of interest and a chemical reference standard. Metabolites were reported that had their corresponding accurate mass confirmed via MS with retention index, chemical, and composition ID.

Blood CyTOF

Samples from a given batch were acquired on the Fluidigm Helios mass cytometer in multiple acquisitions. The PROT-1 fixed whole blood samples were processed in batches of 20 samples. Due to sample quality issues, some samples remained pink or red after the

barcoding step; those samples were discarded, and the remaining samples were pooled for the remaining staining steps. After staining was completed, the pooled sample was counted and split into 2–3 subsamples to be frozen as FBS/DMSO samples stored at 80C until the day of acquisition. On the day of acquisition, the Helios instrument was tuned according to the manufacturer's software standards; if the signal of Tb159 or Tm169 from the Fluidigm Tuning Solution was more than 10% lower than previous days, the process was repeated until the margin was achieved. The final Tuning results were exported as a CSV from the software for the record.

One FBS/DMSO subsample was thawed, washed once with Fluidigm Cell Staining Buffer, and then counted on a Bio-Rad TC20 cell counter. If necessary, the sample was split into subsamples of 2×10^6 cells, centrifuged, and the resulting pellet was left with a minimal overlay of CSB. One CSB subsample was washed twice in MilliQ water, or Fluidigm Cell Acquisition Solution then resuspended to 7–8 x 10⁵/mL in CAS or MilliQ containing a 10-fold dilution of Fluidigm EQ 4-Element normalization beads and acquired on the tuned Helios instrument using either the PSI or SuperSampler for sample introduction. This dilution was chosen to give approximately 250–350 events/sec acquisition rate. The next CSB subsample or FBS/DMSO subsample was processed when the previous sample had less than 1mL of sample remaining. The instrument was cleaned with Fluidigm Wash Solution whenever clogging occurred, or approximately every 2×10^6 cell events were acquired. These cleaning steps resulted in multiple FCS files per pooled sample acquisition. Pooled samples were acquired until a total of 6×10^6 cell events had been collected, or all FBS/DMSO samples were collected, whichever occurred first. This corresponds to an average target event number of 3×10^5 events per original donor subsample.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cell transcriptomics

RNA was extracted from cells (2.5 \times 10⁵ PBMCs) homogenized in 200 µL of Buffer RLT (Qiagen) and then extracted using the Quick-RNA MagBead Kit (Zymo) with DNase digestion. RNA quality was quantitated using Qubit HS RNA assays and assessed using a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent). Library preps were performed using the SMART-Seq v4 Ultra Low Input RNA Kit (Takara Bio) to synthesize full-length cDNA from an input of 10ng of RNA. After a bead-based clean-up to purify the cDNA, the Nextera XT kit was used to create libraries through a process of tagmentation and fragment amplification and appended with dual-indexed bar codes using the NexteraXT DNA Library Preparation kit (Illumina). Libraries were validated by capillary electrophoresis on a Fragment Analyzer (Agilent), pooled at equimolar concentrations, and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq6000 (Emory) at 100 bp, paired-end read length targeting \sim 25 million reads per sample. Repeated measures from a group of PBMC samples collected from healthy controls and repeated measures of a subset of IMPACC samples were used across library prep and sequencing batches to assess inter-site batch effects throughout the study. Universal Human References controls were included to assess intra-site batch variation.

Genetics

DNA was extracted using the Chemagic 360 system (PerkinElmer Inc), DNA preparations with low yield or fragmented samples (detected on 1% agarose gel) were removed. These samples were genotyped on the Illumina Global Diversity Array per the manufacturer's protocol [Illumina's LCG protocol] (support.illumina.com/downloads/infinium-lcg-assay-reference-guide-15023139.html" title = "<https://support.illumina.com/downloads/infinium-lcg-assay-reference-guide-15023139.html>">[https://support.illumina.com/](https://support.illumina.com/downloads/infinium-lcg-assay-reference-guide-15023139.html) [downloads/infinium-lcg-assay-reference-guide-15023139.html](https://support.illumina.com/downloads/infinium-lcg-assay-reference-guide-15023139.html)). Briefly, genomic DNA was normalized to 200ng in 4 µL 1XTE buffer using pico green quantification. Samples were whole genome amplified for 22 h, fragmented, precipitated, resuspended, and then hybridized to arrays for 18 h. Arrays were then washed, stained, dried, and scanned to produce raw iDat files, from which variants were called using Illumina's Genome Studio v.2.0.5. Data were then exported in vcf format and converted to plink ped/map format for further analysis.^{[133](#page-24-0)[,134](#page-24-1)}

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

OMIC-specific preprocessing from raw to computable matrices

Nasal host transcriptomics read processing and alignment

Base calls were generated in real-time on the NovaSeq6000 instrument (RTA 3.1.5). Demultiplexed, unaligned BAM files were pro-duced by Picard^{[93](#page-22-29)} ExtractIlluminaBarcodes, and IlluminaBasecallsToSam were converted to FASTQ format using SamTools bam2fq 89 89 89 (v1.4). The sequence read, and base quality were checked using the Trimmomatic-toolkit^{[91](#page-22-27)} (v0.36.5). Reads were processed using workflows managed on the Galaxy platform. Reads were trimmed by 1 base at the 3' end, then trimmed from both ends until base calls had a minimum quality score of at least 30. Any remaining adapter sequence was removed as well. The STAR aligner^{[78](#page-22-14)} (v2.4.2a) with the GRCh38^{[72](#page-22-8)} reference genome and gene annotations from Ensembl release 91^{[73](#page-22-9)} was used to align the trimmed reads. Gene counts were generated using HTSeq-count $(0.4.1).^{92}$ $(0.4.1).^{92}$ $(0.4.1).^{92}$ Quality metrics were compiled from Picard^{[93](#page-22-29)} (v1.134), FASTQC^{[77](#page-22-13)} (v0.11.3), Samtools (v1.2),⁸⁹ and HTSeq-count (v0.4.1)94. Failed samples were identified as median cv gene coverage >0.8 and Aligned Counts <1 million. These samples were removed from further downstream analyses.

Nasal metagenomics

Taxonomic alignments were obtained from raw fastq files using the ID-seq pipeline,^{[135](#page-24-2)} which first removes human sequence via subtractive alignment against human genome build 38, followed by quality and complexity filtering. Subsequently, reference-based

taxonomic alignment at both the nucleotide and amino acid levels against sequences in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nucleotide (NT) and non-redundant (NR) databases, respectively, is carried out, followed by assembly of the reads matching each taxon. Taxa were aggregated at the genus level for analyses. Mapped taxa obtained from the ID-seq pipeline were then filtered to retain taxa with >0.1 reads per million reads sequenced (rpM) in both the NT and NR database alignments. Sub-sequently, a subset of previously reported common next-generation sequencing reagent contaminants^{[136](#page-24-3)} (Sphingomonas, Bradyrhizobium, Ralstonia, Delftia, Propionibacterium, Methylobacterium, and Acidovorax) was filtered from the dataset. Finally, total bacterial abundance per sample was calculated by summing the rpM of all bacterial taxa present, and the Shannon Diversity Index was calculated using the vegan package v.2.6 in R.

Antibody titers peptide-based PhIP-seq bioinformatics analysis

For all VirScan libraries, the null distribution of each peptide's log10 (rpK) was modeled using a set of 71 pre-pandemic healthy con-trols sera collected from the New York Blood Center.^{[137](#page-24-4)} Peptide counts were computed for all control samples, then were converted into proportions relative to the sum of sample counts. Each sample was downsampled by 1,500,000 weighted by the proportion values. The down-sampled counts produced were then converted to rpK values. Multiple distribution fits were examined for these data, including Poisson, Negative Binomial, and Normal distributions. Quantile-quantile plots for each distribution demonstrated that the Normal distribution had the best fit across all peptides, as the median linear correlation coefficient across all peptides was the highest for this distribution. These null distributions were used to calculate p values for the observed log10(rpK) of each peptide within a given sample. For peptides with less than 10 unique values across all 71 controls, the background model was substituted with a peptide whose counts closely match the median. The calculated p values were corrected for multiple hypotheses using the Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) method. Any peptide with a corrected p value of <0.001 was considered significantly enriched over the set of pre-pandemic blood center serum controls.

To identify regions targeted by host antibodies, all library peptides were aligned to the SARS-CoV-2 reference genome, concatenating all of its open reading frames (ORFS) into a single sequence. This reference sequence was used to generate a blastp database, and all peptides in the library were aligned to it using NCBI blastp (v2.11.0). Using these data, the summation of enrichment relative to the healthy background was calculated at each position across SARS-CoV-2 for all significant peptides for each experimental sample. Finally, the results were summed at each position across all experimental samples, and the summed enrichment was plotted by position using the R ggplot2 library. Only full-length alignments (38 amino acids) were included in this analysis.

To identify clusters of peptides, CD-HIT was used to group together peptides with at least 70% AA sequence identity. Annotation categories were defined based on the composition of each cluster. Clusters containing peptides exclusive to SARS-CoV-2 or SARS-CoV1 were defined as SARS clusters. All remaining clusters were defined as Non-SARS clusters. Alphavirus strains were omitted from the downstream analysis. Only peptides significantly enriched above the control background (adj.p < 0.001) were used in this analysis. In addition, only peptides that fell into the SARS peptide clusters and aligned at full length to the SARS-Cov-2 protein reference were kept for further analysis. A sliding window algorithm, with a window size of 20 and a step size of 1, was used to sequentially sum the rpK values for every window across both the Spike and N region of the SARS-CoV-2 proteome.

Antibody peptide (PhIP-Seq) data pre-processing

Sequencing reads from 1,318 samples were aligned to a reference database of the full coronavirus peptide library, which consisted of 3,745 peptides, using the Bowtie2 aligner v2.2.7.^{[102](#page-23-2)} Prior to the alignment, paired R1 and R2 reads from each sample were stitched together using FLASH (v1.2.11). All SAM format files outputted from Bowtie2 were converted to BAM using the samtools (v1.11)^{[89](#page-22-25)} view command. The CIGAR string was examined for each aligned sequence, and all alignments where the CIGAR string did not indicate a perfect match were removed. The filtered set of aligned sequences was then translated, and only translated peptides that matched perfectly to the input library were retained for subsequent analysis. The final set of aligned peptides was tabulated to generate counts for each peptide in each individual sample. All of this analysis was automated using an R language script. Peptide counts were normalized for read depth by dividing them by the total number of peptides in the sample and multiplying by 100,000, resulting in a reads/100,000 reads (rpK) for each peptide.^{[102](#page-23-2),138-140}

Serum proximity extension assay (olink) data processing

Data were analyzed using Real-time PCR analysis software via the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method and Normalized Protein Expression (NPX) manager. NPX is calculated in three steps from the Cq-values: (i) ΔC qsample = Cqsample - Cqextensioncontrol, (ii) $\Delta \Delta C$ q = ΔC qsample - Δ Cqinterplatecontrol, (iii) NPX = Correction factor $-\Delta\Delta C$ qsample. Data were normalized using internal controls in every sample, inter-plate control (IPC) and negative controls, and correction factor and expressed as Log2 scale proportional to the protein concentration. One NPX difference equals to the doubling of the protein concentration.

Batch normalization was performed to account for potential batch effects caused by re-assayed samples which were not able to adhere to the study randomization scheme or assay condition changes including those due to assay kit lot# changes or differences in study collection phases. Olink Data Analysis Normalization employed identical reference samples in all plates. NPX value for each analyte was adjusted based on the adjust factor that makes the median of all reference samples the same for all plates. Sequential steps included: 1) the reference sample the-inter-plate-median was calculated; 2) for each assay, the pairwise difference from the inter-plate median was calculated in first step 1 for each of the reference sample on all plates; 3) plate- and assay-specific differences

in step 2 were used as normalization factors; and 4) plate- and assay-specific normalization factors were added from step 3 to each value for each assay and plate.

Plasma global proteomics data processing and quality control

All raw timsTOF data were searched on a high-performance computing environment where Fragpipe (including MSFragger, Philosopher, and IonQuant^{141–144}) was run to identify and quantify peptides and protein throughout the data.¹⁴⁵ MSFragger 3.4 was run using the standard settings without the fixed modification of carboxylmethylation and with the variable modification's oxidation and N-term acetylation. Data were scored against a human FASTA file without isoforms where SARS-COV-2 proteins were manually added. Philosopher 4.1.1 was used where PeptideProphet was used for statistical validation of identified peptides. IonQuant 1.7.17 was used for quantification, where a minimum of 1 ion was used for peptide quantification.

Genes were first filtered based on ''Homo Sapiens'' and ''*Homo sapiens* OX = 9606''. For each sample, the ''Total intensity'' column was selected. Then Genes without any values across the samples were removed. Finally, sample outliers were removed. A sample is considered an outlier if its total number of quantified proteins is more than 3 standard deviations below the mean of quantified proteins of all samples. In brief, the number of proteins quantified for each sample was calculated, and log2-transformed. Then the mean and standard deviation of quantified proteins across all samples was calculated, and any samples outside 3 standard deviations were considered an outlier and removed. Finally, a protein had to be identified and quantified in at least half of all samples to be analyzed in any of the downstream analyses. We identified 508 proteins that were present in at least 699 (50%) of the samples (out of 2109 proteins in total).

Plasma targeted proteomics data processing

The raw data were exported into Skyline software (v20.2.1.315)^{[113](#page-23-13)} for peak area and retention time refinement. The peptide intensity (average of transition pairs) and the protein abundance (average of peptide intensities) in all samples were exported from Skyline. These effects were corrected using Combat^{[33](#page-20-17)} The means of the peptide intensities were used for the different protein abundances, which were exported for further analysis using RStudio Pro Server.

Plasma global metabolomics data processing and quality control

Raw data were measured based on LC-MS peak areas proportional to feature concentration. For quality control, missing values were imputed with half the minimum detected level for a given metabolite. Metabolites with an interquartile range of 0 were excluded from the analysis, as previously described.^{[146](#page-24-8)} All features were log-transformed, normalized then Pareto-scaled to reduce variation in foldchange differences between features [\(Figures S5A](#page-19-1) and S5B). After pre-processing, 5 metabolites were filtered out with zero inter-quartile range, yielding 1012 remaining metabolites ([Figure S5](#page-19-1)C). Statistical analyses for univariate, chemometrics, and clustering analysis used in-house algorithms, R statistical packages, and *MetaboAnalyst 5*.*0*. [98,](#page-22-34)[147](#page-24-9)

Blood CyTOF data processing and demultiplexing

Samples from a given batch were acquired on the Fluidigm Helios mass cytometer in multiple acquisitions. The resulting FCS files were normalized and concatenated using Fluidigm's CyTOF software. The FCS file was further cleaned using the Human Immune Monitoring Center at Mt. Sinai's internal pipeline. The pipeline removed any aberrant acquisition time windows of 3 s where the cell sampling event rate was too high or too low (2 standard deviations from the mean). EQ normalization beads that were spiked into every acquisition and used for normalization were removed, along with events that had low DNA signal intensity.

The pipeline was also used to demultiplex the cleaned and pooled FCS files into single sample files. The cosine similarity of every cell's Pd barcoding channels to every possible barcode used in a batch was calculated and then was assigned to its highest similarity barcode. Once the cell had been assigned to a sample barcode, the difference between its highest and second highest similarity scores was calculated and used as a signal-to-noise metric. Any cells with low signal-to-noise were flagged as multiplets and removed from that sample. Finally, acquisition multiplets were removed based on the Gaussian parameters Residual and Offset acquired by the Helios mass cytometer.

Cells from a single biological sample were clustered into 1000 K-means clusters. A subset of samples was then selected and manually annotated into cell types using Clustergrammer2's widget interface (<https://github.com/ismms-himc/clustergrammer2>) to create a training dataset (n x n matrix of cell types by median marker intensities) for each manually annotated sample.

To annotate a given sample's 1000 K-means clusters, the cosine similarity of every cluster to all possible cell types within the training datasets was calculated, and that cluster was assigned to either its highest similarity score cell type or the greatest consensus cell type across the training datasets. Finally, the cluster cell-type annotation was assigned back to the single cells within that cluster, and the number of cells was calculated for a cell type within a given single sample.

Blood CyTOF count normalization

To account for differences in cell events acquired for each sample, the cell population count matrix was converted into cell frequencies. We first processed immune cell frequencies of the more broadly defined cell subsets (e.g., CD4 T cells, B cells, monocytes, etc.) as a percentage of total CD45⁺ immune cells by excluding debris, RBCs, platelets, and multiplets. Next, we processed the broadly defined cell subsets as a percentage of all non-granulocytes by further excluding eosinophils, neutrophils, and basophils.

We also processed immune cell frequencies of the more granularly defined subsets (e.g., CD4 effector memory T cells, Naive B cells, CD14⁺CD16⁻classical monocytes, etc.) as a percentage of total CD45⁺ immune cells and as a percentage of non-granulocytes.

PBMC transcriptomics data processing and quality control

Processing and quality control was performed using an internal Snakemake workflow for RNA-Seq analysis (Github: [https://github.](https://github.com/yerkes-gencore/IMPACC-RNA_Seq) [com/yerkes-gencore/IMPACC-RNA_Seq\)](https://github.com/yerkes-gencore/IMPACC-RNA_Seq). Reads were trimmed for adapter sequence and quality score with cutadapt v1.14112. Reads were aligned with STAR v2.4.2a91 to a composite reference of human (GRCh38)^{[72](#page-22-8)} reference sequence with gene annotations from Ensembl release (release 91)^{[73](#page-22-9)} and SARS-CoV-2 (NCBI strain MN908947.3).^{[74](#page-22-10)} Transcript abundance estimates were calculated internal to the STAR aligner91 using the algorithm of htseq-count94. Sequencing quality metrics were determined using FastQC^{[77](#page-22-13)} (v0.11.5), alignment quality metrics with Picard tools (v2.22)⁹³ and STAR91logs and gene counts, including average quality per read > Q30, percent and absolute counts of reads uniquely mapped to annotated transcripts.

Genetics data processing and quality control

Genotype data were processed as previously described.^{[148](#page-24-10)} Briefly, it was required that samples had genotyping rate >95%; and markers had minor allele frequency >1% and were within Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p < 1e-6). After removing 8 sample outliers in a heterozygosity/missingness space, 483 samples remained.

Chromosomal sex was then inferred from rates of X chromosome homozygosity (XHE), using 26,051 X chromosome markers. As expected, two clear clusters of individuals were found, corresponding to males (one X chromosome, high XHE) and females (two X chromosomes, low XHE). 5 samples where genetically determined sex was discordant with physician-reported sex at birth in the clinical database were removed from the subsequent genetics analysis.

Duplicate samples were also removed to prevent bias in downstream genetic association testing. Using all autosomal markers, the proportion of identity by descent (IBD, the sharing of DNA segments from common ancestors) was calculated between all pairs of individuals. A value near 1 denotes that the entire genome is identical and inherited from the same common ancestor: the pair of samples are therefore either from the same person or from monozygotic twins. 12 samples with an IBD greater than 0.98, denoting duplication, were removed leaving 466 participants for genetic association testing.

Data quality assurance

Data quality assurance (QA) refers to the curation of raw datasets from their generation by an assay core to the production of their canonical forms as the bases for analyses within the IMPACC network. A typical assay core-generated raw dataset is comprised of two components: [1] a ''Counts'' matrix of samples (as rows) and assay features (as columns) values, and [2] a ''Metadata'' matrix of the sample identifiers and assay-core specific processing and quality control features for each sample in the ''Counts'' matrix. Each IMPACC sample has a unique global sample identifier (sample_id) associated with the subject and biosample extraction time in the study. Assay feature values may be continuous real numbers, categorical variables, or character strings of nucleotides, depending on the assay type. Assay core-specific processing features may include technical quality control metrics of the sample assay. First, sample identifiers are checked for concordance between ''Counts'', ''Metadata'' and the central IMPACC database of sample identifiers, clinical and assay core processing parameters. Second, depending on the assay type, the sample-wise distributions of Counts values are qualitatively investigated for technical anomalies. For the genetics association testing, the sex/kinship of a participant derived from their sample Counts variables are compared with their clinical records. Lastly, for downstream analyses, canonical and cumulative ''Counts'' and ''Metadata'' matrices with the well-defined and standardized assay, technical, and additional QA feature annotations are generated for each assay type.

Data preparation and batch effect evaluation

Samples included for analysis have undergone prior core internal and assay-specific quality control steps. In addition, proper pro-cedures for quality assurance outlined previously were performed to ensure the data standards for each assay were met. [Table S13](#page-19-1) provides information on additional steps adopted to prepare the data for statistical analysis.

For each assay, we first filtered out samples using the sample filtering criterion and followed by a filtration on features based on the feature filtering criteria, we performed data imputation and data transformation as indicated in the table. N/A: no additional step taken. Half-min: replacing missing value using half of the minimum of observed values for the corresponding feature. Impute.knn: using impute.knn function from R package impute. Pareto-Scaling: in-house function of dividing each variable by the square root of the standard deviation. We evaluated the influence of potential batch effects on different assays using Principal variance component analysis (PVCA) or PCA.

Common statistical analyses framework

Overview of common analyses framework

Data from each assay were pre-processed to a counts matrix as described in the section ''[OMIC-specific preprocessing from raw to](#page-38-1) [computable matrices'](#page-38-1)'. Then for each of the omics assays, we used Principal Variance Component Analysis (PVCA) to identify covariates to include in the models. These included participant enrollment site, elapsed time from self-reported disease onset, sex, age, ethnicity, race, and core lab site. Covariates that explained >10% of the variance were either used for batch correction of

ll OPEN ACCESS

the data (RNA-seq) or as covariates in the models as detailed shortly after in the section ''[data preparation and batch effect evalu](#page-41-0)[ation](#page-41-0)*''*. To reduce the dimensionality of assay readouts with >50 features for analysis, we utilized all available samples to identify feature correlated modules (referred to here as "modules") for each assay using WGCNA.^{[13](#page-20-4)} The number of modules ranged from six modules in the Olink assay to 41 modules in the global plasma metabolomics assay. Details of tuning parameters used in WGCNA are given in the section ''[weighted gene correlation network analysis \(WGCNA\)](#page-42-1)''. For a given module in an assay, we define the module values across samples as the first principal component constructed using features included in this module. To aid in interpretation, the features in each module were annotated to biological processes by performing an enrichment analysis leveraging bio-logical knowledge bases, such as MSigDB Hallmark.^{[39](#page-21-3)} Olink WGCNA modules were annotated by testing the overlap between the soluble proteins in a module with the cytokine annotations in ImmuneXpresso^{[25](#page-20-14)} and the COVID-19 Drug and Gene Set Library^{[75](#page-22-11)} using Fisher's exact test.

We investigated the behavior of each feature (or module) both at visit 1 (within 72 h of hospital admission) and longitudinally for scheduled visits (visits 1–6, up to 28 days post-hospital admission) and correlated it with clinical outcomes. We first tested whether the value of each feature at visit 1 exhibited a monotonic trend from mildest (TG1) to most severe (TG5) disease course using mixed effect ordinal regression (clmm). Features with false discovery rate (FDR) < 5% were considered significant based on adjusted p value (referred to as adj.p) (Chen et al., 2021). To identify longitudinal associations, we next tested if feature kinetics across the whole timecourse (visits 1–6) were different across the clinical trajectory groups via a generalized additive model with mixed effects (gamm4). Features for which the average (referred to as intercept in the gamm4 documentation) or shape (referred to as the smoothing term in the gamm4 documentation) differed between the clinical trajectory groups at FDR<5% were considered significant. For both the visit 1 and longitudinal analyses, significant features were further tested for differences between each pair of TGs to facilitate interpretation. More details on the association tests are given in the section "[visit 1 and longitudinal model analysis](#page-42-2)".

Weighted gene correlation network analysis (WGCNA)

We used R package Weighted Gene Coexpression Network Analysis (WGCNA) (v1.71)^{[13](#page-20-4)} to identify modules of correlated features from high throughput assays, specifically, RNA-seq, proteomics, metabolomics, Olink. We used the data as input to WGCNA after following assay specific QC/QA steps and additional data preparation steps as described in [Table S14](#page-19-1). For each assay, we first computed optimum soft-thresholding power parameter using '*pickSoftThreshold'* function. Then, we built modules using '*blockwiseModules*' function with the selected power parameter. When building the modules, we set networkType = ''signed'', TOMType = ''unsigned'', maxPOutlier = 0.1. Details of the other assay-specific parameters are provided below. Note that escalation samples were included in the WGCNA module creation, while only samples from scheduled visits were part of the visit 1 and longitudinal modeling.^{[10](#page-20-1)}

Visit 1 and Longitudinal Model Analysis

We performed a mixed effect analysis using module levels from baseline visit samples and investigated (1) if there is an ordinal trend from trajectory group 1 to trajectory group 5 and (2) if any pair of groups exhibit significant differences. This analysis included enrollment sites as a random effect, and age group, and sex as fixed effects, and tested for the ordinal trend with the R package clmm and pairwise difference with the R package lmer. We identified significant WGCNA modules whose adjusted p values are below 0.05. Significant modules can potentially be used for separating clinical groups at hospital admission.

We next moved to longitudinal analysis for scheduled visits (visits 1–6) to identify WGCNA modules whose trajectories differ for different clinical groups. We performed a mixed generalized additive modeling analysis and modeled the module levels as a smooth function of admission time using the R package gamm4. For each pair of groups, we tested if the two groups have different longitudinal trends for the WGCNA modules after including the participant ID and enrollment site as random effects along with sex and age group as fixed effects. We claimed significance when the adjusted p value is below 0.05, and significant modules could indicate interesting molecular dynamics across clinical groups. Physician-reported sex at birth was used in all analyses except genetic association testing.

Identification of overlap between assays' annotations

For each assay, geneset set analysis was used to identify pathways overrepresented among feature (WGCNA modules or individual features) associated with the trajectory groups. To identify pathways enriched in two assays or more, the name/label of the pathways were matched across assays based on the similarity of the name using fuzzy matching (match allowing for mismatches characters) as implemented in the function stringdist_join of the R package fuzzyjoin (with default parameters). Incorrect matches were filtered out manually.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Clinical trials number: NCT04378777.

Link: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04378777?term = IMPACC&draw = 28 rank = 1.