Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

Significance of the School Physical Environment - A Commentary.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6ms1c3z8>

Journal

The Journal of school health, 86(7)

ISSN

0022-4391

Authors

Fisk, William J
Paulson, Jerome A
Kolbe, Lloyd J
et al.

Publication Date

2016-07-01

DOI

10.1111/josh.12400

Peer reviewed

COMMENTARY

Significance of the School Physical Environment - A Commentary

WILLIAM J. FISK, MS^a JEROME A. PAULSON, MD, FAAP^b LLOYD J. KOLBE, PhD^c CLAIRE L. BARNETT, MBA^d

Citation: Fisk WJ, Paulson JA, Kolbe LJ, Barnett CL. Significance of the school physical environment - a commentary. *J Sch Health*. 2016; 86: 483-487.

Received on February 18, 2016

Accepted on March 26, 2016

In their article "Critical Connections: Health and Academics," Michael et al¹ summarize research syntheses about the effects on academic achievement of each of the 10 components of the Whole School, Whole Community, Whole Child framework (WSCC).² We were particularly interested in the summary about effects of the school physical environment, because this component often seems comparatively neglected. Indeed, a National Research Council (NRC) examination of the criteria used in green schools³ concluded that a school with the following attributes would support student and teacher health, learning, and productivity: a dry building with good indoor air quality and thermal comfort, that is clean, quiet, and well maintained.

This commentary summarizes some additional important evidence about the effects of the school's indoor environmental quality on academic achievement. Much of this evidence has been developed since completion of the NRC review. Due to space constraints, we describe only key findings and papers. We do not review other risks to occupant health and/or achievement, such as asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), lead in paint or drinking water, radon, renovation of occupied school facilities, pesticides and other hazardous supplies; moreover, we do not discuss the potential impacts of environment on students with special needs.

Michael et al¹ briefly mention the importance of classroom ventilation rate (VR) which is the rate

of outdoor air supply. Here we elaborate more on the role of lower classroom VRs, ie, lower rates of outdoor air supply that are associated with increases in student absence in 2 of 3 US studies.⁴⁻⁶ In the strongest of these studies, Mendell et al⁵ tracked VRs and illness absence over 2 years in 162 classrooms within 28 urban schools in 3 California school districts. After controlling for potential confounders, for each 1 liter per second (L/s) per student increase in VR, on average, illness absence of students decreased by 1.6%. A substantial body of literature finds that lower VRs also reduce student performance. For example, 2 of 3 studies in US schools⁷⁻⁹ found that increases in VRs are associated with statistically significant improvements in performance in standard tests of academic achievement. Haverinen-Shaughnessey et al⁸ found that scores in math, reading, and science increase approximately 0.5% for each 1 L/s per person increase in VR. Their prior study⁷ found that pass rates in math and reading tests increased 2.9% and 2.7%, respectively, per each 1 L/s per student increase in VR within the 87 of 100 classrooms that had VRs less than specified in the applicable standard. A third study⁹ from California found small increases in test scores with increased VRs that were mostly not statistically significant. Other research, including blinded controlled intervention studies,¹⁰⁻¹² found that higher VRs are associated with improved student performance on special tests inserted into the curricula, for example tests of reading comprehension

^aSenior Scientist, (wfisk@lbl.gov), Indoor Environment Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720.

^bProfessor Emeritus, (jerry@envirohealthdoctor.com), Pediatrics and Environmental and Occupational Health, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences and George Washington, University Milken Institute School of Public Health, 1113 N Howard Street, Alexandria, VA 2203-1627.

^cProfessor Emeritus, (lkolbe@indiana.edu), Applied Health Science, Indiana University School of Public Health, Bloomington, 1205 Street, Georges Lane, Vero Beach, FL 32967.

^dExecutive Director, (cbarnett@healthyschools.org), Healthy Schools Network, 773 Madison Avenue, Albany, NY 12208.

Address correspondence to: William J. Fisk, Senior Scientist, (wfisk@lbl.gov), Indoor Environment Group, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720.

Preparation of this commentary was supported by the Healthy Schools Network. The original review of papers and tabulation of study results was funded through interagency agreement DW-89-92337001 between the Indoor Environments Division, Office of Radiation and Indoor Air of the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the US Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

or addition. In general, work speed, but not accuracy, improves with increased VR.

The evidence of the importance of classroom VRs needs to be considered together with the extensive data showing that VRs in classrooms routinely fail to meet the minimum requirements specified in standards, often by a wide margin. The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) minimum VR for classrooms¹³ with standard occupant density is approximately 7.1 L/s per person. The median or mean measured VRs in various US studies are typically about 50% of this minimum requirement.^{5,7,8,14,15} Consequently, the potential improvements in attendance and absence are several times larger than the improvements per 1 L/s per student listed above. Mendell et al⁵ estimate that increasing the average VR in California's classrooms to the minimum requirement in standards would decrease illness absence by 3.6%. They further estimate that increasing the average VR to a higher value, 9.4 L/s per student, would decrease illness absence by 7% to 10%. They also estimate that the increased revenue provided to school districts, which is linked to attendance, far exceed the energy costs associated with increases in VR.

Several studies have investigated associations of microbial pollutants and their sources in classrooms with asthma and respiratory outcomes. Table 1 lists related studies and summarizes their key findings. Higher airborne concentrations of total or specific types of airborne molds are associated with asthma or respiratory outcomes in 5 listed studies. There is a sizable body of literature documenting frequent visible dampness and mold in classrooms.²⁷ With high consistency, visible dampness and mold in homes has been associated with increases in respiratory and asthma symptoms²⁸ and with respiratory infections,²⁹ suggesting a similar association for classrooms. However, the direct documentation of the adverse effects of visible dampness and mold in the classroom is considerably more limited. Fewer, and generally, smaller studies have been performed in schools. The reduced time spent at school, relative to at home, also may be a factor.

The documentation of associations between student health and specific pollutants or classes of pollutants that are nonmicrobial in nature is more limited but remains significant, with most of the research from outside of the US. Table 2 lists related studies and summarizes their findings. Higher levels of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), formaldehyde, sulfur dioxide, and particles are associated with asthma or respiratory health in 2 or more listed studies. China-based studies from Zhang et al³⁵ and Zhao et al³⁶ may not apply well to locations with much lower concentrations of outdoor air pollutants. For many of the specific nonmicrobial air pollutants, with the

exception of NO₂,²⁸ the available data are too limited for firm conclusions; however, the current findings are sufficient to justify precautionary measures and performance of further research.

There is also literature relating nonmicrobial pollutants or their sources with measures of student absence, cognition, or test scores. Growth in cognitive development was diminished in children in schools in Barcelona, Spain, with higher levels of traffic-related pollutants in the classroom and outdoors.³⁷ Forns et al³⁸ found that higher levels of traffic-related pollutants in classrooms in Barcelona were associated with lower child behavior development based on a survey completed by parents. Average levels of outdoor air pollutants in Barcelona are higher than levels in most US cities; thus, these findings from studies in Barcelona might not apply to US locations. Mohai et al³⁹ report associations of decreased attendance and lower test scores in English and math with an increase in air pollutant sources near schools in Michigan.

Relatively few published papers address the effects of temperature in classrooms on the health or performance of students, other than studies from about 50 years ago that involved relatively extreme temperatures. In an intervention study in Denmark,¹⁰ speed in tests of subtraction, addition, and reading were statistically significantly improved at 20°C relative to 25°C; however, there were no effects on errors. Error rates in an acoustic proofreading test, involving listening to recorded text, were diminished at 20°C, but speed was not affected. There were no statistically significant associations of temperature with performance in tests of multiplication and logical thinking. Improvements in performance at 20°C versus 25°C, when statistically significant, were generally less than 10% but in one case the improvement was as high as 37%. In a cross-sectional study from the US⁸ that employed data from standard academic achievement testing, scores in the math test increased about 0.5% per each 1°C decrease in temperature in the 25°C to 20°C range. Scores in reading and science were affected similarly by temperature, but the associations were not statistically significant. In a study of university-level computer classrooms,⁴⁰ there were statistically significant increases in ocular, nasal, and throat symptoms, headache, and tiredness with increased temperature. These outcomes increased about 1.5% per each 1°C increase in temperature. Few systematic data on temperatures in US classrooms were identified. In a large survey of elementary-level classrooms in California,⁴¹ temperatures were less than 17°C 4.3% of the time, exceeded 26°C 4.4% of the time, and exceeded 29°C 2.3% of the time.

When classrooms and other parts of school buildings are noisy, it becomes difficult for students to comprehend spoken information from the teacher

Table 1. Studies of Associations of Asthma and Respiratory Outcomes With Microbial Pollutants and Their Sources

Study	Key Findings
Cai et al ¹⁶	Some positive and some negative associations of types of fungal DNA in dust in Malaysia with respiratory symptoms and doctor reported asthma, cat allergen not associated with health
Chen et al ¹⁷	Airborne fungal spores of some types were associated with current asthma and with having fewer asthma symptoms on holidays
Lai et al ¹⁸	Air endotoxin associated with asthma symptoms in nonatopic children, but not in atopic children
Meklin et al ¹⁹	In Finland, moisture damage associated with respiratory symptoms in concrete/brick schools but not in wood schools
Mi et al ²⁰	Observed molds associated with asthma exacerbation
Norback et al ²¹	Lower nasal patency associated with increased airborne molds, aspergillus
Sahakian et al ²²	Employees of two damp schools had more respiratory symptoms than National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) reference population. Employees of one damp school had increased doctor-diagnosed asthma
Saijo et al ²³	Nasal symptoms and cough increased with various indications of dampness or mold in classrooms, but most associations not statistically significant
Simoni et al ²⁴	Total viable molds in air associated with cough and rhinitis; aspergillus/penicillium DNA associated with wheeze; <i>Aspergillus versicolor</i> DNA associated with wheeze, rhinitis, cough, and lung function
Smedje et al ²⁵	Current asthma associated with airborne viable molds and bacteria
Smedje and Norback ²⁶	In nonatopic children, airborne molds associated with new asthma diagnosis

Table 2. Studies of Associations of Asthma and Respiratory Outcomes With Nonmicrobial Pollutants or Unvented Combustion Heaters

Study	Key Findings
Annesi-Maesano et al ³⁰	Higher levels of particles, acrolein, NO ₂ associated with increased past year asthma, although the association with NO ₂ was not quite statistically significant
Kim et al ³¹	Increased plasticizers associated with asthma symptoms
Marks et al ³²	Unflued gas heaters associated with cough and wheeze, but not lung function
Mi et al ²⁰	Higher CO ₂ and NO ₂ associated with current asthma and asthma medication, nonsignificant association of formaldehyde with asthma outcomes
Simoni et al ³³	Higher concentrations of particles less than 10 μm in diameter were not significantly associated with wheeze, dry cough at night, and rhinitis but were associated with reduced nasal patency
Smedje et al ²⁵	Current asthma associated with open shelves, lower temperature, higher relative humidity, higher formaldehyde, higher volatile organic compounds
Wallner et al ³⁴	Reduced lung function associated with higher formaldehyde, ethyl benzene, and xylenes in air and with benzylbutyl phthalate, and polybrominated diphenylethers in dust ³⁴
Zhang et al ³⁵	Nonspecific health symptoms, often called sick building syndrome symptoms associated with higher concentrations of particles, sulfur dioxide, and ozone
Zhao et al ³⁶	Higher SO ₂ associated with wheeze and nocturnal breathlessness, higher NO ₂ and formaldehyde associated with nocturnal breathlessness, although results varied somewhat depending on analysis model

or engage in classroom dialogue; it is also fatiguing for teachers to speak over a noisy background. Academic achievement and behavior are compromised.⁴²⁻⁴⁵ For example, in a cross-national study of aircraft noise, “a 5 dB difference in aircraft noise was equivalent to a 2-month reading delay in the United Kingdom and a 1-month reading delay in the Netherlands.”⁴⁵ There were also adverse impacts on recognition memory and annoyance. Some research indicates that noise interferes more with complex tasks than simpler tasks.⁴⁴

Clearly, environmental conditions in schools can have a significant effect on student health and academic achievement. Environmental conditions in the home and community will also affect students’ health. Thus, efforts to improve indoor environmental conditions deserve more attention and fit well within the WSCC framework. Examples of actions to improve school environmental conditions that are supported by this brief review include:

- maintaining ventilation rates at or above the minimum rates specified in applicable standards;
- implementing school building maintenance and operational practices that prevent dampness and mold problems, together with rapid remediation of any problems that occur;
- locating schools away from major roads and industrial pollutant sources;
- minimizing indoor sources of air pollutants, especially combustion pollutants and formaldehyde;
- maintaining temperatures within established comfort zones; and
- avoiding noisy heating and cooling systems and siting of schools near strong sources of noise.

As explained in a Healthy Schools Network report⁴⁶ based on a facilitated workshop of key stakeholders, our nation’s children deserve better national, state, and local efforts to improve school physical environments. We will need purposeful collaboration across

health, environmental, and educational agencies and other responsible governmental and nongovernmental entities to strengthen school physical environment policies, programs, and indicators, including, but necessarily limited to the national Healthy People 2020 School Environment Health Objectives;⁴⁷ EPA Healthy Schools, Healthy Kids and related EPA programs;⁴⁸ US Centers for Disease Control School Health Policies and Programs;⁴⁹ Healthy Schools Network and the national Coalition for Healthier Schools.⁵⁰

REFERENCES

1. Michael SL, Merlo CL, Basch CE, Wentzel KR, Wechsler H. Critical connections: health and academics. *J Sch Health*. 2015;85(11):740-758.
2. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Adolescent and School Health. Whole school, whole community, whole child. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/wsccl/>. Accessed February 14, 2016.
3. Committee to Review and Assess the Health and Productivity Benefits of Green Schools. *Green Schools: Attributes for Health and Learning*. Washington, DC: National Research Council; 2006.
4. Shendell DG, Prill R, Fisk WJ, Apte MG, Blake D, Faulkner D. Associations between classroom CO₂ concentrations and student attendance in Washington and Idaho. *Indoor Air*. 2004;14(5):333-341.
5. Mendell MJ, Eliseeva EA, Davies MM, et al. Association of classroom ventilation with reduced illness absence: a prospective study in California elementary schools. *Indoor Air*. 2013;23(6):515-528.
6. Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Shaughnessy RJ, Cole EC, Toyinbo O, Moschandreas DJ. An assessment of indoor environmental quality in schools and its association with health and performance. *Build Environ*. 2015;93(1):35-40.
7. Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Moschandreas DJ, Shaughnessy RJ. Association between substandard classroom ventilation rates and students' academic achievement. *Indoor Air*. 2011;21(2):121-131.
8. Haverinen-Shaughnessy U, Shaughnessy RJ. Effects of classroom ventilation rate and temperature on students' test scores. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(8):e0136165.
9. Mendell MJ, Eliseeva EA, Davies MM, Lobscheid A. Do classroom ventilation rates in California elementary schools influence standardized test scores? Results from a prospective study. *Indoor Air*. 2015. DOI: 10.1111/ina.12241[Epub ahead of print].
10. Wargocki P, Wyon DP. The effect of moderately raised classroom temperatures and classroom ventilation rate on the performance of schoolwork by children. *HVAC&R Res*. 2007;13(2):193-220.
11. Bakó-Biró Z, Clements-Croome D, Kochhar N, Awbi H, Williams M. Ventilation rates in schools and pupils' performance. *Build Environ*. 2012;48:215-223.
12. Petersen S, Jensen K, Pedersen A, Rasmussen H. The effect of increased classroom ventilation rate indicated by reduced CO₂ concentration on the performance of schoolwork by children. *Indoor Air*. 2015. DOI: 10.1111/ina.12210[Epub ahead of print].
13. ASHRAE. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2010. Ventilation for acceptable indoor air quality. American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., Atlanta, GA; 2010.
14. Corsi RL, Torres VM, Sanders M, Kinney KA. Carbon dioxide levels and dynamics in elementary schools: results of the TESIAS study. *Indoor Air*. 2002;2:74-79. Proceedings of Indoor Air 2002 (9th International Conference on Indoor Air Quality and Climate); June 30-July 5, 2002; Monterey, CA. Available at: <http://www.aivc.org/resource/carbon-dioxide-levels-and-dynamics-elementary-schools-results-tesias-study>. Accessed February 14, 2016.
15. California Air Resources Board. *Report to the California Legislature: Environmental Health Conditions in California's Portable Classrooms*. Sacramento: California Air Resources Board and California Department of Health Services; 2004.
16. Cai GH, Hashim JH, Hashim Z, et al. Fungal DNA, allergens, mycotoxins and associations with asthmatic symptoms among pupils in schools from Johor Bahru, Malaysia. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2011;22(3):290-297.
17. Chen CH, Chao HJ, Chan CC, Chen BY, Guo YL. Current asthma in schoolchildren is related to fungal spores in classrooms. *Chest*. 2014;146(1):123-134.
18. Lai PS, Sheehan WJ, Gaffin JM, et al. School endotoxin exposure and asthma morbidity in inner-city children. *Chest*. 2015;148(5):1251-1258.
19. Meklin T, Husman T, Vepsäläinen A, et al. Indoor air microbes and respiratory symptoms of children in moisture damaged and reference schools. *Indoor Air*. 2002;12(3):175-183.
20. Mi YH, Norback D, Tao J, Mi YL, Ferm M. Current asthma and respiratory symptoms among pupils in Shanghai, China: influence of building ventilation, nitrogen dioxide, ozone, and formaldehyde in classrooms. *Indoor Air*. 2006;16(6):454-464.
21. Norback D, Wieslander G, Nordstrom K, Walinder R. Asthma symptoms in relation to measured building dampness in upper concrete floor construction, and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol in indoor air. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2000;4(11):1016-1025.
22. Sahakian NM, White SK, Park JH, Cox-Ganser JM, Kreiss K. Identification of mold and dampness-associated respiratory morbidity in 2 schools: comparison of questionnaire survey responses to national data. *J Sch Health*. 2008;78(1):32-37.
23. Saijo Y, Nakagi Y, Ito T, Sugioka Y, Endo H, Yoshida T. Dampness, food habits, and sick building syndrome symptoms in elementary school pupils. *Environ Health Prev Med*. 2010;15(5):276-284.
24. Simoni M, Cai GH, Norback D, et al. Total viable molds and fungal DNA in classrooms and association with respiratory health and pulmonary function of European schoolchildren. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2011;22(8):843-852.
25. Smedje G, Norback D, Edling C. Asthma among secondary schoolchildren in relation to the school environment. *Clin Exp Allergy*. 1997;27(11):1270-1278.
26. Smedje G, Norback D. Incidence of asthma diagnosis and self-reported allergy in relation to the school environment - a four-year follow-up study in schoolchildren. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2001;5(11):1059-1066.
27. Mudarri D, Fisk WJ. Public health and economic impact of dampness and mold. *Indoor Air*. 2007;17(3):226-235.
28. Mendell MJ, Heath GA. Do indoor pollutants and thermal conditions in schools influence student performance? A critical review of the literature. *Indoor Air*. 2005;15(1):27-52.
29. Fisk WJ, Eliseeva E, Mendell MJ. Association of residential dampness and mold with respiratory tract infections and bronchitis: a meta-analysis. *Environ Health*. 2010;9:72.
30. Annesi-Maesano I, Hulin M, Lavaud F, et al. Poor air quality in classrooms related to asthma and rhinitis in primary schoolchildren of the French 6 Cities Study. *Thorax*. 2012;67(8):682-688.
31. Kim JL, Elfman L, Mi Y, Wieslander G, Smedje G, Norback D. Indoor molds, bacteria, microbial volatile organic compounds and plasticizers in schools - associations with asthma and respiratory symptoms in pupils. *Indoor Air*. 2007;17(2):153-163.
32. Marks GB, Ezz W, Aust N, et al. Respiratory health effects of exposure to low-NO_x unflued gas heaters in the classroom: a double-blind, cluster-randomized, crossover study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118(10):1476-1482.

33. Simoni M, Annesi-Maesano I, Sigsgaard T, et al. School air quality related to dry cough, rhinitis and nasal patency in children. *Eur Respir J*. 2010;5(4):742-749.
34. Wallner P, Kundi M, Moshhammer H, et al. Indoor air in schools and lung function of Austrian school children. *J Environ Monit*. 2012;14(7):1976-1982.
35. Zhang X, Li F, Zhang L, Zhao Z, Norback D. A longitudinal study of sick building syndrome (SBS) among pupils in relation to SO₂, NO₂, O₃ and PM10 in schools in China. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e112933.
36. Zhao Z, Zhang Z, Wang Z, Ferm M, Liang Y, Norback D. Asthmatic symptoms among pupils in relation to winter indoor and outdoor air pollution in schools in Taiyuan, China. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116(1):90-97.
37. Sunyer J, Esnaola M, Alvarez-Pedrerol M, et al. Association between traffic-related air pollution in schools and cognitive development in primary school children: a prospective cohort study. *PLoS Med*. 2015;12(3):e1001792.
38. Forns J, Dadvand P, Foraster M, et al. Traffic-related air pollution, noise at school, and behavioral problems in Barcelona schoolchildren: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2015;124(4):529-535.
39. Mohai P, Kweon BS, Lee S, Ard K. Air pollution around schools is linked to poorer student health and academic performance. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2011;30(5):852-862.
40. Norback D, Nordstrom K. Sick building syndrome in relation to air exchange rate, CO₂, room temperature, and relative humidity in university computer classrooms: an experimental study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2008;82:21-30.
41. Whitmore R, Clayton A, Akland G. *California Portable Classrooms Study Phase II: Main Study, Final Report*, Vol. II. Sacramento: California Air Resources Board; 2003.
42. Shendell DG, Barnett C, Boese S. Science-based recommendations to prevent or reduce potential exposure to biological, chemical, and physical agents in schools. *J Sch Health*. 2004;74(10):390-396.
43. Clark C, Sörqvist P. A 3-year update on the influence of noise on performance and behavior. *Noise Health*. 2012;14(61):292.
44. Van Kempen E, Van Kamp I, Lebrecht E, Lammers J, Emmen H, Stansfeld S. Neurobehavioral effects of transportation noise in primary schoolchildren: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health* 2010;9-25. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2898757/pdf/1476-069X-9-25.pdf>. Accessed February 15, 2016.
45. Stansfeld SA, Berglund B, Clark C, et al. Aircraft and road traffic noise and children's cognition and health: a cross-national study. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9475):1942-1949.
46. Healthy Schools Network. Environmental health at school: ignored too long. 2016. Available at: http://www.healthy-schools.org/documents/Final_full_report.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2016.
47. Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Healthy People 2020. 2016. Available at: <http://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/environmental-health/objectives>. Accessed February 12, 2016.
48. US Environmental Protection Agency. Healthy schools, healthy kids. Available at: <http://www.epa.gov/schools>. Accessed February 5, 2016.
49. US Centers for Disease Control. Results from the school health policies and practices study 2014. 2015. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/shpps/pdf/shpps-508-final_101315.pdf. Accessed February 12, 2016.
50. Healthy Schools Network and Coalition for Healthier Schools. Towards Healthy Schools 2015: Progress on America's Environmental Health Crisis for Children. 2013. Available at: <http://www.healthyschools.org/HealthySchools2015.pdf>. Accessed February 12, 2016.