LBL-25556
Preprint

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Physics Division

Mathematics Department

To be submitted for publication

A New Vortex Scheme for Viscous Flows

D. Fishelov

July 1988

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract Number DE-AC03-76SF00098.

LBL-25556

A NEW VORTEX SCHEME FOR VISCOUS FLOWS[1]

Dalia Fishelov

Department of Mathematics
and
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

July 1988

[1]This work was supported in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

A NEW VORTEX SCHEME FOR VISCOUS FLOWS

Dalia Fishelov

Department of Mathematics and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory,
University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to suggest a new way to discretize the viscous term of the Navier-Stokes equations, when they are approximated by a vortex method. The idea is to approximate the vorticity by convolving it with with a cutoff function. We then explicitly differentiate the cutoff function to approximate the second order spatial derivatives in the viscous term. We prove stability and give error estimates for the heat and the Navier-Stokes equations.

Key words: Vortex Methods, Viscous Flows, Spatial Approximation.
AMS(MOS) Subject Cassifications:76D05, 35Q10, 35K05, 42A10.

1. Introduction

Vortex methods are numerical methods for the simulation of incompressible flows. These methods follow particle trajectories, along which vorticity is tracked. Vortex methods are used to approximate the Euler's equations as well as the Navier-Stokes equations. Chorin [8] introduced a blob-vortex method for the two-dimensional Euler's Equations. The idea of introducing blobs was to smooth the singular kernel, which connects velocity and vorticity for incompressible flows. For the two-dimensional Euler's equation vorticity is a material quantity, and therefore only particle locations are updated. Chorin extented this method to three-dimensional flows [7] using filaments, along which vorticity is preserved. Later on, Beale and Majda [3],[4] and Anderson [1] extended the two-dimensional blobs to three-dimensional ones. While Beale and Majda suggested to approximate spatial derivatives in Lagrangian coordinates by finite differencing, Anderson explicitly differentiate the smoothed kernel in Euleran coordinates to approximate spatial derivatives. This scheme was tested numerically [13] and was proved to be stable and convergent [2],[5].

Chorin ([7],[8],[9]) and Leonard ([18],[19]) extented vortex methods to the Navier-Stokes equations in different ways. Leonard suggested to change the core of the blobs to exactly satisfy the heat equation. However, it was proven in [15] that the core-spreading technique approximates the wrong equation, rather than the Navier-Stokes equation. Chorin approximates the heat equation in the statistical sense via a random-walk algorithm. Every time step each particle takes a Gaussianly distributed step. This process was proved [16] to converge to the heat equation, though without high accuracy. The error in the L_2 norm decays as $n^{-1/2}$, where n is the number of particles. The purpose of this paper is to represent a scheme which approximates the viscous term with high accuracy.

In order to gain high-order accuracy for the viscous term we have to accurately approximate the Laplacian of the vorticity. The idea is to convolve the vorticity with a cutoff function, and then approximate the second order derivatives of the Laplacian operator by explicit differentiation of the cutoff function. In fact, other numerical methods, such as spectral and finite elements methods, can be represented in the same way (see [14].) The numerical method is therefore determined by the choice of the cutoff function and the numerical approximation of the integrals involved in the convolution. The only distinction of the method represented here and other numrical approximations is the dependence of the grid on time. In vortex methods the grid is moving with the particles and one needs to accurately approximate spatial derivatives on a time-dependent grid. For this purpose we made use of the incompressibility of the flow to approximate integrals. It was therefore possible to retain the accuracy of the integration formula, applied initially on a uniform grid. This scheme is simple to apply, retains the grid-free features of vortex methods and is a natural extension of the non-viscous schemes. We prove the stability and the consistency of this scheme for the heat and the Navier-Stokes equations and give error estimates. The discretization error is determined by the order of the cutoff function. One may chose the cutoff function, such that arbitrary order of convergence is obtained. We applied the scheme to the Stokes equations, once with non-smooth initial conditions, and once with periodic initial conditions. The numerical results demonstrate the accuracy of the scheme, even for a relatively coarse initial grid.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the new scheme is represented and in section 3 and 4 we prove the stability and the consistency of the scheme and give error estimates. In section 5 we compare the core-spreading scheme with our scheme and in section 6 we represent numerical results.

2. A New Scheme for Viscous Flows

The object of this paper is to give a high-order numerical approximation for the Navier-Stokes equations, using a vortex method. The Navier Stokes equations, formulated for the vorticity ξ are given below.

$$\partial_t \xi + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\xi - (\xi \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = R^{-1}\Delta\xi,$$

$$\text{div } \mathbf{u} = 0,$$

where $\xi = \text{curl } \mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{u} = (u, v, w)$ is the velocity vector, and $\Delta = \nabla^2$ is the Laplace operator. $R = UL/\nu$ is the Reynolds number, where U and L are typical velocity and length, respectively, and ν is the viscosity. We follow the characteristic lines

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{u}, \tag{2.1}$$

along which the vorticity evolution is given by

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = (\xi \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + R^{-1}\Delta\xi. \tag{2.2}$$

In addition, the following relation between velocity and vorticity holds for incompressible flow [10].

$$\mathbf{u} = \int K(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\xi(\mathbf{x}', t)d\mathbf{x}'. \tag{2.3}$$

If we subtitude (2.3) in (2.1), we get the following system of ordinary differential equations.

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \int K(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\xi(\mathbf{x}', t)d\mathbf{x}'. \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = (\xi \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} + R^{-1}\Delta\xi. \tag{2.5}$$

We set an initial uniform grid $\mathbf{x}_j(0), j = 1, ..., n$ with spacing h_1, h_2, h_3 for a three-dimensional problem and h_1, h_2 for a two-dimensional one. For simlicity, we assume $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = h$. We approximate the initial vorticity by $\xi^h(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^n \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)\kappa_j^h$, where $\kappa_j^h = h^N \xi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$. Here $N = 2, 3$ is the dimension of the problem. Let $\mathbf{x}_j^h(t)$ be the approximate particle locations at time t, then equation (2.4) is discretized by (see [7],[8])

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_i^h}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^n K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N.$$

Here we approximate the sigular kernel $K(\mathbf{x})$ by a smoothed one $K_\delta(\mathbf{x})$, where $K_\delta = \phi_\delta * K$ and $\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\delta^N}\phi(\mathbf{x}/\delta)$. The function $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ is called a cutoff function.

The object now is to approximate the spatial derivatives appearing in (2.5). One of the terms in which spatial derivatives appear is $\xi \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}$. This term is called the stretching term, and vanishes in the two-dimensional case. For a three-dimensional problem we approximate the stretching term by explicit approximation of the smoothed kernel, as was suggested in [1]. More explicitly, we approximate this term by

$$\xi_i^h \sum_{j=1}^n \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h h^N.$$

Here $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} K_\delta$ is an explicit differentiation of the smoothed kernel in Euleran coordinates.

We now represent the approximation for the viscous term $R^{-1}\Delta\xi$. The idea is to approximate the vorticity by convolving it with a cutoff function, therefore ξ is approximated by $\phi_\delta * \xi$. We then derive an approximation to the Laplacian of the vorticity by differentiating this convolution, i.e., by $\Delta(\phi_\delta * \xi) = \Delta\phi_\delta * \xi$. Finally, we approximate the integrals involved in the convolution by the trapezoid rule, and obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}_i^h}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\frac{d\xi_i^h}{dt} = \xi_i^h \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{x} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N + R^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N. \tag{2.7}$$

This yields a scheme which is similar in nature to that applied for the Euler's equations.

It is also possible to construct a similar scheme if one wishes to apply time-splitting to the Navier-Stokes equations. In this case, one may split the Navier-Stokes equations to the Euler and the heat equations. The approximation for the Euler equations is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{x}_i^h}{dt} &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N \\ \frac{d\xi_i^h}{dt} &= \xi_i^h \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nabla \mathbf{x} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and the approximation for the heat equation is

$$\frac{\partial\xi_i^h}{\partial t} = R^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i^h(t) - \mathbf{x}_j^h(t))\xi_j^h(t)h^N. \tag{2.9}$$

3. Stability

We shall prove stability for (2.9) in two and three-dimensions. Equation (2.9) may be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial\xi^h}{\partial t} = R^{-1}\Delta\phi_\delta * \xi^h \tag{3.1}$$

$$\xi^h(\mathbf{x},0) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \kappa_j \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j),$$

where $\kappa_j = h^N \xi(\mathbf{x}_j, 0)$.

Let us define for $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $m \geq 0$ the Sobolev spaces

$$W^{m,p} = \{f, \partial^\alpha f \in L^p(R^n), |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

and by $\|\cdot\|_{m,p}$ the norm

$$\|f\|_{m,p} = \left(\sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{0,p}^p \right)^{1/p},$$

and for $p = \infty$ the usual modification.

Stability Theorem. Let $\phi \in W^{2,1}(R^N)$ and let the Fourier transform of the cutoff function be non-negative,

$$\hat{\phi}(s) \geq 0, \tag{3.2}$$

then (3.1) is stable, i.e.,

$$\int (\xi^h(\mathbf{x}, t))^2 dx \leq \int (\xi^h(\mathbf{x}, 0))^2 dx.$$

Proof. Taking the Fourier transform of (3.1) yields

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\xi^h}}{\partial t}(\mathbf{s}, t) = -R^{-1}(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s}) \hat{\phi}_\delta(\mathbf{s}) \hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}, t).$$

Multiplying the last equality by the complex conjugate of $\hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}, t)$ and integrating over s yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int |\hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}, t)|^2 ds = -R^{-1} \int (\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathbf{s}) \hat{\phi}(\delta \mathbf{s}) |\hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}, t)|^2 ds \tag{3.3}$$

The right hand side of (3.3) is non-positive by (3.2), therefore if we apply the parseval equality, we find that

$$\int (\xi^h(\mathbf{x}, t))^2 d\mathbf{x} \leq \int (\xi^h(\mathbf{x}, 0))^2 d\mathbf{x}.$$

We list several examples for which condition (3.2) is satisfied. In all of them, exept exaple 5, the cutoff function is radially symmetric.

Example 1. Second order cutoff function $\phi(r) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-r^2}$ suggested by Beale and Majda [4]. To calculate the Fourier transform, we use polar coordinates and the idendity ([22],[17]) $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{irts in\phi} d\phi = 2\pi J_0(rt)$. We also use the following property of Bessel functions [22, pp. 393].

$$\int_0^\infty J_0(rs)re^{-p^2r^2}dr = \frac{1}{2p^2}e^{-s^2/4p^2},$$

where $J_0(s)$ is a Bessel function of order zero. We therefore find that the Fourier transform of ϕ is

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = 2\int_0^\infty J_0(rs)re^{-r^2}dr = e^{-s^2/4}.$$

It is clear that with this cutoff function the method is stable.

Example 2. Fourth order cutoff function [4] $\phi(r) = \frac{1}{\pi}[4e^{-r^2} - e^{-r^2/2}]$. In this case

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = 2[2e^{-s^2/4} - e^{-s^2/2}] = 2e^{-s^2/4}[2 - e^{-s^2/4}] \geq 0.$$

We used this cutoff function in our numerical experiments.

Example 3. Hald's infinite order cutoff-function [17].

$$\phi(r) = \frac{1}{3\pi r^2}[4J_2(2r) - J_2(r)].$$

The Fourier transform is

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq s \leq 1 \\ 4 - s^2 & 1 \leq s \leq 2 \\ 0 & s \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Example 4. Another example of Hald's infinite order cutoff function [17].

$$\phi(r) = \frac{4}{45\pi r^3}[16J_3(4r) - 10J_3(2r) + J_3(r)].$$

$$\hat{\phi}(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le s \le 1 \\ 44 + 2s^2 - s^4 & 1 \le s \le 2 \\ 256 - 32s^2 + s^2 & 2 \le s \le 4 \\ 0 & s \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

This function is non-negative for all s.

Example 5. For a periodic problem one may use a spectrally accurate cutoff function [14]. This function is not radially symmetric.

$$\phi(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}[1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{p} coskx] \ \ [1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{p} cosky].$$

In this case $\hat{\phi}(k,l) = 1$, for $k, l = 0, \pm 1, ..., \pm p$, and otherwise $\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{s}) = 0$.

We now prove the stability of our scheme for the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations.

Stability for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations. Let $\phi \in W^{2,1}(R^N)$ and let the Fourier transform of the cutoff function be non-negative, then (2.8) is stable, i.e., if

$$\nu(t) = \|\xi^h(\mathbf{x},t)\|_{0,2} + \|\mathbf{x}^h(t)\|_{0,2},$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\nu(t) \le C\nu(t).$$

Proof. We write the differential equation for ξ^h,

$$\frac{d\xi^h}{dt} = R^{-1}\Delta\phi_\delta * \xi^h,$$

whose Fourier transform is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}) = -(\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{s})R^{-1}\Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{s})\hat{\xi^h}(\mathbf{s}).$$

Multiplying the last equality by the complex conjugate of $\hat{\xi^h}$ and integrating over $\mathbf{s}$, we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int |\hat{\xi}^h(\mathbf{s},t)|^2 d\mathbf{s} = -R^{-1}\int(\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{s})\hat{\phi}_\delta(\mathbf{s})|\hat{\xi}^h(\mathbf{s})|^2 d\mathbf{s}$$

The righthand side of the last equality is non-positive by (3.2). Therefore, by the parseval equality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\xi^h\|_{0,2} \leq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

We turn now to the particles locations and find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{x}^h = K_\delta * \xi^h.$$

By the Claderon-Zygmond inequality $\|K * \xi^h\|_{0,2} \leq C\|\xi^h\|_{0,2}$, therefore, applying

$$\|f * g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_2\|g\|_1,$$

which was proved in [20, pp. 267], we find that $\|K_\delta * \xi^h\|_{0,2} \leq \|\phi_\delta\|_{0,1}\|\xi^h\|_{0,2}$. We finally can estimate

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathbf{x}^h\|_{0,2} \leq C\|\xi^h\|_{0,2} \leq C\nu(t). \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) yields the desired result.

We turn now to the question of the accuracy of the scheme.

4. Consistency

Consistency Theorem. Let the cotoff function ϕ satisfy the following conditions.

$$\phi \in W^{m+2,1}(R^N), m \geq 1 \tag{4.1}$$

$$\int_{R^N} \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1, \quad \int_{R^N} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 0, |\alpha| \leq d-1, \quad \int_{R^N} |\mathbf{x}|^d \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_j, j = 1, ..., n$ be unifomly distributed grid points in R^N. Then, there exist a constant C such that

$$\|e_t\|_{0,2} = \|\Delta\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)\xi_j h^N\|_{0,2} \leq C(\delta^d + \frac{h^m}{\delta^{m+2}}).$$

Proof. We shall write the truncation error in (2.9) as a sum of the regularization error and the discretization one.

$$e_t = \Delta\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_j)\xi_j h^N,$$

$$e_t = e_r + e_d,$$

where

$$e_r = \Delta\xi - \Delta\phi_\delta * \xi,$$

$$e_d = \Delta\phi_\delta * \xi - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_j)\xi_j h^N.$$

We approximate the regularization error by expanding its Fourier transform in Taylor series ([1], [20, pp. 267]). This yields

$$\|e_r\|_{0,2} = \|\Delta\xi - \Delta\phi_\delta * \xi\|_{0,2} = \|\Delta\xi - \phi_\delta * \Delta\xi\|_{0,2}.$$

Therefore, we find that

$$\|e_r\|_{0,2} \leq C\delta^d \|\Delta\xi\|_{d,2}.$$

This yields

$$\|e_r\|_{0,2} \leq C\delta^d \|\xi\|_{d+2,2}. \tag{4.3}$$

The discretization error originates from the replacement of the integral in the covolution by the trapezoidal rule. It was proven in [20, pp. 262] that if $g \in W^{m,2}(R^N) \cap W^{m-1,1}(R^N)$ for $m \geq 3$, then

$$\left| \int g d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} g(\mathbf{x}_j)h^N \right| \leq h^m \|g\|_{m,2}.$$

Therefore, if $\xi \in W^{m,2} \cap W^{m-1,1}(R^N)$ for $m \geq 3$, then

$$|e_d| \leq h^m \|\Delta\phi_\delta * \xi\|_{m,2}.$$

We also apply the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_2 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_2, \tag{4.4}$$

which was proved in [20, pp. 267], and find

$$\|\Delta\phi_\delta * \xi\|_{m,2} \leq \|\Delta\phi_\delta\|_{m,1}\|\xi\|_{m,2} \leq \|\phi_\delta\|_{m+2,1}\|\xi\|_{m,2}.$$

Since $\|\phi_\delta\|_{m+2,1} \leq C\delta^{-(m+2)}$ (see [20, pp. 275]), we find

$$\|e_d\|_{0,2} \leq C\frac{h^m}{\delta^{m+2}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Combining (4.3) and (4.5) yields the desired result.

Consistency Theorem for Navier-Stokes Equations. Let the cotoff function ϕ satisfy the following conditions.

$$\phi \in W^{m+2,1}(R^N), m \geq 1 \tag{4.6}$$

$$\int_{R^N} \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 1, \quad \int_{R^N} \mathbf{x}^\alpha \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = 0, |\alpha| \leq d-1, \quad \int_{R^N} |\mathbf{x}|^d \phi(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Let $\mathbf{x}_j(0), j = 1, ..., n$ be unifomly distributed grid points in R^N. Then, there exist constants C such that

$$\|e_t\|_{0,2} = \|\Delta\xi - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta\phi_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j)\xi_j h^N\|_{0,2} \leq C(\delta^d + \frac{h^m}{\delta^{m+2}}).$$

$$\|\eta_t\|_{0,2} = \|K * \xi - \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_j)\xi_j h^N\|_{0,2} \leq C(\delta^d + \frac{h^m}{\delta^{m-1}}).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the one for the heat equation. The only difference is the approximation of the truncation error η_t for the locations of the particles. This is a standard estimate in vortex methods and would not be repeated here (see, for example, [1],[3]).

5. Core Spreading versus the New Algorithm

We reproduce the argument of Greengard [15] to demonstrate the difference between the core-spreading technique and the new algorithm. We shall discuss the two-dimensional case wirh $R = 1$. The core-spreading changes the shape of each vortex core $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ at time t. Thus, $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) = G(\mathbf{x}, t) * \phi(\mathbf{x}, 0)$, where $G(\mathbf{x}, t) = (4\pi t)^{-1} e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^2/2t}$ is the heat kernel. It was proven in [15] that the core spreading algorithm approximates

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = -G(\mathbf{x}, t) * (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \psi) + \Delta \xi,$$

where ψ is the transport of the initial weights $\xi(\mathbf{x}, 0) h^N$.

On the other hand, our algorithm approximates

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \int K(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \xi(\mathbf{x}') d\mathbf{x}',$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = \Delta \xi,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = -(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \xi + \Delta \xi.$$

Therefore, one can see that the difference between the core-spreding algorithm and our scheme is the equation the vorticity approximates. In the core-spreading algorithm the vorticity approximates the wrong equation, rather than the Navier-Stokes equation. Thus, vorticity is correctly diffused but incorrectly convected.

6. Numerical Results

We show numerical results for two test problems. The first one is the Navier-Stokes two-dimensional equation with non-smooth initial vorticity.

$$\xi(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1 \\ 0 & |\mathbf{x}| \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This problem was tested numerically by Roberts [21], using a random-walk algorithm. We represent numerical results for this problem and compare them to the random-walk results. In [21] several Reynolds number were tested for the Navier-Stokes equations. The range was $R = 1250, 5000, 20000, 80000$. The scheme was more sensitive to lower Reynolds number, therefore, we show numerical results for $R = 1250$. We checked the rate of the change in the support of vorticity. For this purpose, we used the following functional

$$L(t) = \frac{\int_{R^2} |\mathbf{x}|^2 \xi(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}}{\int_{R^2} \xi(\mathbf{x}, t) d\mathbf{x}},$$

which satisfies $L(t) = L(0) + 4t/R$. This functional was approximated by

$$A(t) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\mathbf{x}_j(t)|^2 \kappa_j(t)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \kappa_j}.$$

Here κ_j is the intensity of the $j - th$ particle. As was suggested in [21], to elliminate the startup error, due to the approximation of the initial condition, we check the following relative error

$$e(t) = \frac{|A(t) - A(0) - 4t/R|}{|A(t)|}.$$

We also smoothed the initial conditions to get more accurate results for the non-smooth solution. We assigned zero intensity to all particles $|\mathbf{x}| \geq 1 - \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = h/\sqrt{2}$. ϵ is the largest distance for which vorticity is non-zero if we initially locate particles at $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1$. We assigned h^2 intensity to every initial partical at $|\mathbf{x}| \leq 1 - \epsilon$, and varied the intensity linearly for $1 - \epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x}| \leq 1 + \epsilon$. In table 1 the relative error $e(t)$ is given for different time-levels, and compared with the random-walk results. In both schemes we used initial spacing between the particles $h = h_1 = h_2 = 0.2$. We chose the cutoff function described in Example 2 above, with the cutoff parameter $\delta = 1.8\sqrt{h}$. We stepped the equation in time via the second-order Modified Euler scheme [11],[12], for which the time step was chosen as $\Delta t = 0.2$. This yield a stable scheme, since we have to require $\Delta t \leq C\delta^2 = \tilde{C}h$ for stability.

time	random-walk	$e(t)$
t=1	1.2E-2	3.9E-4
t=2	7.2E-2	4.4E-4
t=3	1.6E-1	3.4E-4
t=4	2.6E-1	8.4E-5

Table 1

The second problem for which we checks the accuracy of our scheme is a periodic one. This problem served as a test problem for Chorin's finite-difference scheme for the Navier-Stokes equations [6]. The initial vorticity is given by $\xi(x, y, 0) = 2cos(x)cos(y)$. We perfomed our computations for $0 \leq x, y \leq 2\pi$. The exact solution for this problem is $\xi(x, y, t) = 2e^{-2t/R}cos(x)cos(y)$. We ran the scheme for $R = 1000$ and $R = 100$. The periodic boundary conditions were imposed as follows. For each computational particle we added the contributions of another eight particles, located at $(x \pm 2\pi, y), (x, y \pm 2\pi), (x \pm 2\pi, y \pm 2\pi), (x \pm 2\pi, y \mp 2\pi)$. This is reasonable, since the further are the particles from the computational domain the smaller is their contribution. The rate of the decay is exponentially fast as is evident from the cutoff of Beale and Majda (example 2, section 3). We checked the error in the discrete L_2 norm.

$$||e||_2^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\xi_{exact} - \xi_{comput}|^2$$

We chose the initial spacing between the particles to be $h = h_1 = h_2 = 2\pi/16$ in tables 2 and 4, and $h = h_1 = h_2 = 2\pi/32$ in table 3. It is possible to pick a different cutoff-parameter (δ_1) for smoothing the singular kernel in (2.6)-(2.7) or (2.8) and a different one (δ_2) for the smoothing of the vorticity by convolving it with a cutoff-function ϕ_δ in (2.7) or (2.9). We chose $\delta_1 = 8\sqrt{h}$, and $\delta_2 = 2\sqrt{h}$ for $R = 1000$. The time step was $\Delta t = 0.1$. Tables 2 and 3 refer to $R = 1000$ and Tables 4 and 5 to $R = 100$. In all tables we give the error when we applied the scheme once for the heat equation and once for the Navier-Stokes equations. For the heat equation we did not have to specify δ_1.

time	heat equation	Navier-Stokes
t=1	1.9E-3	2.6E-3
t=2	7.8E-3	1.2E-2
t=3	1.7E-2	2.4E-2
t=4	3.1E-2	3.9E-2

Table 2. $R = 1000, h = 2\pi/16$.

time	heat equation	Navier-Stokes
t=1	1.3E-4	1.5E-4
t=2	5.1E-4	6.0E-4
t=3	1.1E-3	1.3E-3
t=4	2.0E-3	2.4E-3

Table 3. $R = 1000, h = 2\pi/32$.

In table 4 we show numerical results for $R = 100$. All the parameters were chosen the same as for $R = 1000$, except that in this case we set $\delta_2 = 4\sqrt{h}$. It seems that the cutoff coefficient may depend on the problem and in particular on the Reynolds number. We found out that δ_2 grows as $1/\sqrt{R}$.

time	heat equation	Navier-Stokes
t=1	3.7E-3	3.5E-3
t=2	1.2E-2	1.4E-2
t=3	2.6E-2	3.0E-2
t=4	4.5E-2	5.2E-2

Table 4. $R = 100, h = 2\pi/16$.

In Table 5 we represent numerical results for the perodic cutoff function given in example 5, section 3. This cutoff fuction function was applied to (2.7) to evaluate the vorticity. Since the cutoff function is periodic, we did not have to add extra particles to satisfy the periodic boundary conditions for the heat equations. To update the particle locations (2.6) for the Navier-Stokes equations we used the fourth-order cutoff function of Beale and Majda, since the smoothed kernel K_δ is not periodic. For the nonperiodic kernel which connects the velocity and vorticity we did have to add extra points to update the locations of the particles in the Navier-Stokes equations. We chose $p = 4, h = 2\pi/8$ and $\Delta t = 1/(2\pi p)^2$, to satisfy the stability condition for periodic spectral cutoffs. Note that for the spectral cutoff we were able to achieve the same accuracy as for the fourth-order scheme with much fewer grid points. This is one of the features of the spectrally-accurate cutoff functions.

time	heat equation	Navier-Stokes
t=1	5.4E-4	6.9E-4
t=2	2.1E-3	2.6E-3
t=3	4.5E-3	5.7E-3
t=4	7.8E-3	9.9E-3

Table 5. $R = 100, h = 2\pi/8$, spectral cutoff.

5. Conclusions

Both theoretical and numerical arguments show that one may approximate the Navier-Stokes equations using vortex methods with high accuracy. The proposed scheme for viscous flows is a natural extention of the non-viscous vortex schemes. If we choose a cutoff function whose Fourier transform is positive , stability is assured for the heat equation. The rate of convergence can be made as high as desired by choosing a high-order cutoff function.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professors Alexandre Chorin and Ole Hald for many helpful discussions. This work was supported in part at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory by the Applied Mathematical Sciences Subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

References

[1] C. Anderson and C. Greengard, On vortex methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 22 (1985), pp. 413-440.

[2] T. Beale, A convergent 3-D vortex method with grid-free stretching, Math. Comp., 46 (1986), pp. 401-424.

[3] T. Beale and A. Majda, Vortex methods I: Convergence in three dimensions, Math. Comp., 39 (1982), pp. 1-27.

[4] T. Beale and A. Majda, High order accurate vortex methods with explicit velocity kernels, J. Comp. Phys., 58 (1985), pp. 188-208.

[5] G.H. Cottet, A new approach for the analysis of vortex methods in two and three dimensions, to appear in Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare.

[6] A. J. Chorin, Numerical solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, Math. Comp., Vol. 22, No. 104 (1968), pp. 745-762.

[7] A.J. Chorin, Vortex models and boundary layer instability, SIAM, J. Sci. and Stat. Compt., 1 (1980), pp. 1-21.

[8] A.J. Chorin, Numerical study of slightly viscous flow, J. Fluid Mech., 57 (1973), pp. 785-796.

[9] A.J. Chorin, Vortex sheet approximation of boundary layers, J. Comput. Phys., 27 (1978), pp. 428-442.

[10] A.J. Chorin and J.E. Marsden, A Mathematical Introduction to Fluid Mechanics, Springer-Verlag (1979).

[11] D. Fishelov, Spectral methods for the small disturbance equation of transonic flows, Siam J. Sci. Sta. Comp., Vol. 9, 2 (1988).

[12] D. Fishelov, The spectrum and the stability of the Chebyshev collocation operator for transonic flow, Math. Comp., October 1988.

[13] D. Fishelov, Vortex methods for slightly viscous three-dimensional flow, LBL-25176, April 1988. Submitted to Siam J. Sci. Sta. Comp..

[14] D. Gottlieb and E. Tadmor, Recovering pointwise values of discontinuous data within spectral accuracy, Progress and Supercomputing in Computational Fluid Dynamics, E. M. Murman and S. Abarbanel eds., Proceedings of U.S.- Israel workshop, 1984.

[15] C. Greengard, The core spreading vortex method approximates the wrong equation, J. Comp. Phy., 61 (1985), pp. 345-348.

[16] O.H. Hald, Convergence of a random method with creation of vorticity, Siam J. Sci. Stat. Comput., Vol 7 (1986), pp. 1373-1386.

[17] O. H. Hald, Convergence of vortex methods for Euler's equations, Siam J. Numer. Anal., vol. 24, No. 3 (1987), pp. 538-582.

[18] A. Leonard, Computing three-dimensional incompressible flows with vortex elements, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech., 17 (1985), pp. 523-559.

[19] A. Leonard, Vortex methods for flow simulation, J. Comp. Phy., 37, pp. 289-335 (1980).

[20] P.A. Raviart, An analysis of particle methods, in Numerical methods in Fluid Dynamics (F. Brezzi ed), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1127, Springer Verlag Berlin (1985).

[21] S. Roberts, Accuracy of the random vortex method for a problem with non-smooth initial conditions, J. Comput. Phys., Vol. 58, No. 1 (1985), pp. 29-43.

[22] G. N. Watson, A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1944.

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720