## UC Irvine Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine

## Title

Pacemaker Lead Migration and Ventricular Perforation in a Patient Presenting with Chest Pain

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6pm2c1x9

#### Journal

Clinical Practice and Cases in Emergency Medicine, 5(4)

## **Authors**

Cañizares-Otero, Maria C. Danckers, Mauricio

#### **Publication Date**

2021

#### **DOI** 10.5811/cpcem.2021.7.52689

## **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2021 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

# Pacemaker Lead Migration and Ventricular Perforation in a Patient Presenting with Chest Pain

Maria C. Cañizares-Otero, MD A Mauricio Danckers, MD A

Aventura Hospital & Medical Center, Department of Critical Care Medicine, Aventura, Florida

Section Editor: Manish Amin, DO Submission History: Submitted April 4, 2021; Revision received July 20, 2021; Accepted July 15, 2021 Electronically published September 9, 2021 Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem\_cpcem DOI: 10.5811/cpcem.2021.7.52689

**Case Presentation:** We describe a middle-age male with a past medical history of second-degree atrioventricular block type II status post permanent pacemaker placement the day prior who presented to the emergency department complaining of chest pain. Electrocardiography showed a non-paced ventricular rhythm. Chest radiograph showed the ventricular pacemaker lead located distally overlying the right ventricle apical area. On further investigation, chest computed tomography showed a perforation of the ventricular wall by the pacemaker lead prompting urgent intervention by the cardiothoracic surgery team for lead replacement and right ventricular repair.

**Discussion:** Our case illustrates the importance of timely recognition of a perforated pacemaker lead in a patient presenting with chest pain after device implantation. We additionally describe the risk factors for ventricular perforation, initial clinical presentation, and management approach. [Clin Pract Cases Emerg Med. 2021;5(4):479-481.]

Keywords: pacemaker; ventricular lead; perforation; chest pain.

#### **CASE PRESENTATION**

A 52-year-old man with second-degree type II atrioventricular (AV) block and recent dual-chamber pacemaker placement presented to the emergency department with left sided chest pain for the prior two hours. Electrocardiogram showed a second-degree AV block with a ventricular rate of 45 beats per minute. Pacemaker interrogation revealed atrial sensing without ventricular capture. His chest pain was exacerbated with electrical stimulation despite lack of capture and resolved after disabling the pacemaker. Further imaging (chest radiograph [CXR] and point-of-care echocardiogram) revealed ventricular pacer lead mispositioned and a possible cause of acute chest pain (Images 1, 2). Coronal computed tomography (CT) of the chest confirmed lead migration beyond the right ventricle chamber. The patient was emergently taken to the operating room. Exploratory sternotomy confirmed ventricle perforation (Image 3). The patient underwent lead extraction, right ventriculorrhaphy, and epicardial right ventricle pacemaker lead placement. He was discharged home on hospital day four.



**Image 1.** Chest radiograph revealing ventricular pacer lead located distally overlying the right ventricle apical area (arrow) and atrial pacer lead within right atrium area (arrowhead).



**Image 2.** Point-of-care echocardiogram subcostal view during systole showing ventricular pacer lead (arrow) beyond right ventricular chamber. No pericardial effusion is seen. RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle.

#### DISCUSSION

Pacemaker lead ventricular perforation is a rare event that occurs in 0.4-5.2% of pacemaker lead placements.<sup>1</sup> Prompt diagnosis requires high clinical suspicion in patients with chest pain and recent history of device placement or lead exchange. Presentation can be acute, subacute, or delayed; perforation most commonly occurs within the first month of placement.<sup>1,2</sup> Risk factors for perforation include prior temporary pacemaker placement, active fixation leads, female gender, and recent steroid use. The pathophysiology of



**Image 3.** Mediastinal exploration via sternotomy revealing ventricular lead piercing through the right ventricular apex (arrow).

**CPC-EM** Capsule

What do we already know about this clinical entity?

Pacemaker lead ventricular perforation is a rare complication of pacemaker placement and can present with chest pain, dyspnea, dizziness, syncope, or pacemaker failure.

What is the major impact of the image(s)? Chest radiography followed by point of care echocardiography or computed tomography of the chest are readily available imaging studies to support the diagnosis.

How might this improve emergency medicine practice? *Emergency physicians should have a high* 

*index of suspicion for pacemaker lead perforation in the clinical setting of chest pain and recent pacemaker placement.* 

perforation is attributed to continuous pressure of the thin lead per unit of the myocardial wall.<sup>2,3</sup> Perforation of the right ventricular apex is the area most commonly prone to perforation due to weakness of the wall.

Chest pain, dyspnea, dizziness, syncope, and pacing or sensing pacemaker failure are commonly encountered clinical scenarios. Point-of-care echocardiogram allows for the clinician to promptly rule out emergent conditions such as hemorrhagic pericardial tamponade. Additional workup includes CXR and echocardiogram to evaluate for pericardial effusion; however, a non-contrast CT is usually required to confirm the diagnosis. Management is targeted based on patient's clinical stability and the extent of injuries to nearby structures.<sup>4,5</sup> Clinically unstable or symptomatic patients often need emergent surgical repair.

Patient consent has been obtained and filed for the publication of this case report. The authors attest that their institution does not require Institutional Review Board approval for publication of this case report.

Address for Correspondence: Maria C. Cañizares-Otero, MD, Aventura Hospital & Medical Center, Department of Critical Care Medicine, 20900 Biscayne Boulevard, Aventura, Florida 33180. Email: <u>maria.canizaresotero@hcahealthcare.com</u> *Conflicts of Interest*: By the *CPC-EM* article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. The authors disclosed none.

*Copyright*: © 2021 Cañizares-Otero. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (<u>CC BY 4.0</u>) License. See: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Khan MN, Joseph G, Khaykin Y, et al. Delayed lead perforation: a disturbing trend. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2005;28(3):251-3.
- 2. Sterliński M, Przybylski A, Maciag A, et al. Subacute cardiac

perforations associated with active fixation leads. *Europace*. 2009;11(2):206-12.

- Hirschl DA, Jain VR, Spindola-Franco H, et al. Prevalence and characterization of asymptomatic pacemaker and ICD lead perforation on CT. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2007;30(1):28-32.
- Akbarzadeh MA, Mollazadeh R, Sefidbakht S, et al. Identification and management of right ventricular perforation using pacemaker and cardioverter-defibrillator leads: a case series and mini review. J Arrhythm. 2017;33(1):1-5.
- Sivakumaran S, Irwin ME, Gulamhusein SS, et al. Postpacemaker implant pericarditis: incidence and outcomes with active-fixation leads. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2002;25(5):833-7.
- 6. Ahmed A, Shokr M, Lieberman R. Subacute right ventricular perforation by pacemaker lead causing left-sided hemothorax and epicardial hematoma. *Case Rep Cardiol.* 2017;2017:1264734.