UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Microdynamics of Interaction: Capturing and Modeling Infants' Social Learning

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6pz2t9jv

Journal IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems, 5(3)

ISSN 2379-8920

Authors Rohlfing, Katharina J Deák, Gedeon O

Publication Date 2013-09-01

DOI 10.1109/tamd.2013.2278456

Peer reviewed

Microdynamics of Interaction: Capturing and Modeling Infants' Social Learning

Abstract—Social learning takes place within an interactional loop. The contributions of this Special Issue exemplify approaches capturing the microdynamics of interaction to provide us with insights into the adaptation and learning processes.

Index Terms—Adaptation, interaction loop, microbehavioral research, microdynamics of interaction, social learning.

I. THE SCOPE OF THIS SPECIAL ISSUE

ESEARCH in distributed cognition shows that social **K** learning is not a process happening solely in the individual, but is distributed "over a system of people and objects within an environment" (see [1], p. 97; see also [2] and [3]). In this system, the information that is available for a novice learner is selected and shaped by their social partner [4]. This is true of the relationship between student and teacher, robot and user, or infant and parent. For example, when infants learn in a social environment, they do not simply pick up information passively. They response to, and learn from, the interaction as they jointly determine its content and quality through real-time contingent and reciprocal coaction [5]-[7]. Such learning-through-coaction continues well beyond infancy, however: Teachers in formal educational settings, for example, may attempt to instruct students using examples, gestures, and symbolic artifacts, and (ideally) modifying these actions based on students' behaviors (e.g., accuracy, facial expressions, etc.) [8], [9].

The term *social interaction loop* defines the action sequences during interactions between interlocutors. In asymmetric interactions, the loop takes place between an expert and novice. It is these asymmetric interaction loops that are the focus of the papers in this special issue. However, there are challenges to characterizing particular functions of social interaction loops across different contexts (such as learning or adaptation for a successful communication).

Some of these challenges are theoretical: for example, what common variables can be used to operationalize the loops? Some candidates might include consistency, synchrony, reciprocity, and similarity. Other candidates are outcome variables such as learning rate, replication or modification of novel actions (e.g., imitation), and transfer/generalization of behaviors. Another theoretical challenge is to find common explanatory frameworks: for example, Bayesian or Reinforcement Learning models of social adaptation and other supervised and unsupervised approaches, can be posited as possible theoretical models. Notable, many such approaches, as implemented in the machine learning literature have the limitation of focusing either on the learner's processing or teacher's strategies. However, approaches need to take the loop, i.e., both side into account when modeling learning during evolving interaction.

Other challenges are methodological. Most analyses of behavior or learning make clear assumptions about what are the dependent measures (outcomes) in a given situation, and what are the independent variables. However, in reciprocal social systems, we cannot so clearly assign causal priority. It is often difficult to single out the contribution of each participant. For example, how is the information available for a new learner selected and shaped by a parent or teacher? How do learners display their knowledge or ability, and how do tutors pick up on this information and adapt to it? One challenge of micro-behavioral research, then, is to capture large enough naturalistic behavioral datasets from dyads to extract the statistical structure of social interactions. The more complex and varied the behaviors are in a given context (thus the more naturalistic the study), the larger a dataset must be to extract patterns. Compiling such large data sets can be extremely laborious. For this reason, new approaches might use automated data collection instruments (e.g., motion capture), sophisticated coding tools, and other techniques to accelerate or enhance human coding.

A final challenge is to select the appropriate quantitative approaches to analyze interaction data so as to capture patterns from the dynamics of brief time scales to the qualitative shifts of longer (i.e., developmental time-scales). The difficulty is to find analytic tools that make the proper assumptions about dyadic behavioral interactions, and that also support falsifiable hypothesis-testing based on reliable, nonarbitrary definitions of high-level interaction constructs (e.g., "synchrony"; "responsiveness").

A major focus of this Special Issue is thus to accept the challenges and to precisely quantify and describe what the interaction loops provide; that is, the specific events and mechanisms that support social learning and adaptation on different time scales.

The contributions exemplify diverse approaches that capture microdynamics in interaction. The approaches are inherently challenging because different constructs and measures–for example, "physically based codes" (e.g., raising the eye brow) on the one hand and "socially based codes" (e.g., an expression of surprise) on the other hand (see [10], p. 19)–require different level of observation, and these must be conceptually and quantitatively related to each other. Traditional statistical methods cannot reveal the structure of social interactions that is hidden across time-scales and types and sequences of behaviors; new options involve models imported from machine learning.

II. INDIVIDUAL CONTRIBUTIONS

The challenge of segmenting a continuous action stream into meaningful units is accepted in the first paper: "Mothers' Infant-

Directed Gaze During Object Demonstration Highlights Action Boundaries and Goals." In this paper, **Rebecca Brand, Emily Hollenbeck, and Jonathan Frank Kominsky** apply physically based codes to answer the question of whether the eye gaze behavior of the mother provides cues that help their children segment and learn about the mother's meaningful actions.

A social loop exists also in nonverbal exchanges, as exemplified in the paper by **Catherine Tamis-LeMonda, Yana Kuchirko, and Lisa Tafuro** titled "From Action to Interaction: Infant Object Exploration and Mothers' Contingent Responsiveness." This contribution shows that infants' object exploration can elicit informative verbal input from their mothers. Thus, infants play an active role in their social experiences.

Joanna Rączaszek-Leonardi, Iris Nomikou, and Katharina Rohlfing further illustrate the active role of the infant through microanalytic observations in their paper, "Young Children's Dialogical Actions: The Beginnings of Purposeful Intersubjectivity." They argue that the beginnings of joint intentionality can be traced to the maternal practice of embedding the child's actions into jointly shaped episodes.

The role of such jointly established episodes in fostering communication skills is a process that can be addressed though theoretical models. This is demonstrated in the model simulation provided by **Thomas Cederborg and Pierre-Yves Oudeyer** in the paper titled "From Language to Motor Gavagai: Unified Imitation Learning of Multiple Linguistic and Sensorimotor Skills." This paper tackles the difficult problem of specifying the capacities of an agent that could underlie imitative learning of multiple kinds of actions.

In social interactions, input is ideally provided in a responsive way to the learner. However, there is little consensus about what short of responsiveness is predictive of language development. The study by **Michelle McGillion**, **Jane Herbert**, **Julian Pine**, **Tamar Keren-Portnoy**, **Marilyn Vihman**, **and Danielle Matthews** on "Supporting Early Vocabulary Development: What Sort of Responsiveness Matters?" introduces multiple dimension of the construct "responsiveness," and provides results not only about the temporal, but also semantic aspect of this phenomenon in younger children than previously studied.

Further specification of the sorts of multimodal, coordinated behaviors that promote language learning are explored in **Patricia Zukow-Goldring and Nancy Rader's** paper "SEED Model of Early Language Development: The Dynamic-Coupling of Infant-Caregiver Perceiving and Acting Forms a Continuous Loop During Interaction." The authors show that infants from two different cultures learn words more effectively when caregivers produce certain natural, gestural actions in coordination with naming. These findings are couched in a theoretical framework that is drawn from action theory, distributed cognition, and Gibsonian theory.

The final paper by **Kaya de Barbaro**, **Christine Johnson**, **Deborah Forster**, **and Gedeon Deák**, "Methodological Considerations for Investigating the Microdynamics of Social Interaction Development" gives a methodological overview of microlevel approaches and offers practical explanations as well as some best-practice suggestions in "bridging" different levels of observation.

In conclusion, the contributions to this volume will present readers with a wide-ranging, provocative set of phenomena, approaches, and theoretical concepts that explore a range of microdynamic interactions. The interactions explored here focus largely, though not exclusively, on social learning and adaptive coaction by infants in dyadic communication contexts. However, many of the ideas, constructs and phenomena, from microbehavioral ethnographic methods to imitative learning to interaction loops, have broader applications—to other situations, populations, and behaviors. We hope that these papers will therefore provide inspiration and ideas to other researchers who are addressing many diverse questions about social interaction from the perspectives of many disciplines and frameworks.

> KATHARINA J. ROHLFING, *Guest Editor* Emergentist Semantics Group, Center of Excellence Cognitive Interaction Technology (CITEC) Bielefeld, 33615 Germany E-mail: kjr@uni-bielefeld.de

GEDEON O. DEÁK, *Guest Editor* University of California, San Diego, Department of Cognitive Science La Jolla, 92093 USA E-mail: gdeak@ucsd.edu

REFERENCES

- R. D. Beer, "Dynamical approaches to cognitive science," *Trends Cogn. Sci.*, vol. 4, pp. 91–99, 2000.
- [2] E. Hutchins, Cognition in the wild. Cambridge, MA, USA: MIT Press, 1995.
- [3] A. Pentland, "Are we one? on the nature of human intelligence," in Proc. Int. Conf. Develop. Learn., Bloomington, IN, USA, 2006.
- [4] G. Csibra and G. Gergely, "Natural pedagogy," *Trends Cogn. Sci.*, vol. 13, pp. 148–153, 2009.
- [5] S. Colins and I. Marková, "Interaction between impaired and unimpaired speakers: Inter-subjectivity and the interplay of culturally shared and situation specific knowledge," *British J. Soc. Psychol.*, vol. 38, pp. 339–368, 1999.
- [6] T. Striano, A. Henning, and D. Stahl, "Sensitivity to social contingencies between 1 and 3 months of age," *Develop. Sci.*, vol. 8, pp. 509–518, 2005.
- [7] G. Markova and M. Legerstee, "Contingency, imitation, and affect sharing: Foundations of infants' social awareness," *Develop. Psychol.*, vol. 42, pp. 132–141, 2006.
- [8] F. J. Bernieri, "Coordinated movement and rapport in teacher-student interactions," J. Nonverbal Behav., vol. 12, pp. 120–138, 1988.
- [9] R. Lysakowski and H. Walberg, "Instructional effects of cues, participation, and corrective feedback: A quantitative synthesis," *Amer. Edu. Res. J.*, vol. 19, pp. 559–572, 1982.
- [10] R. Bakeman and V. Quera, Sequential Analysis and Observational Methods for the Behavioral Sciences. New York, NY, USA: CUP, 2011.



Katharina J. Rohlfing received the Master's degree in linguistics, philosophy, and media studies from the University of Paderborn, Germany, in 1997. As a member of the Graduate Program Task Oriented Communication, she received the Ph.D. degree, in linguistics from the Bielefeld University, Germany, in 2002. Her postdoctoral work at San Diego State University, the University of Chicago, and Northwestern University was supported by a fellowship of the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and by the German Research Foundation (DFG).

In 2006, she became a Dilthey-Fellow (Funding initiative Focus on the Humanities), for her interdisciplinary project on the Symbiosis of Language and Action. Since 2008, she has been Head of the Emergentist Semantics Group within the Center of Excellence Cognitive Interaction Technology (CITEC) and member of the Scientific Board of the Research Institute for Cognition and Robotics (CoR-Lab), Bielefeld University. She is interested in learning processes and investigates the interface between cognitive development and early stages of language acquisition.



Gedeon O. Deák received the Ph.D. degree in child psychology from the University of Minnesota (Twin Cities), St. Paul, MN, USA, in 1995.

He was an Assistant Professor at Vanderbilt University from 1995–1999. Since 1999, he has been on the Faculty of the Department of Cognitive Science and the Human Development Program at the University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA. He became a Full Professor since 2012. He has been a Visiting Professor at Southwest University in Chongqing, China. He is author or coauthor of over 50 articles in peer-reviewed journals and proceedings, and 10 book chapters and encyclopedia entries.

Dr. Deák is an Associate Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTONOMOUS MENTAL DEVELOPMENT. He has been a Spencer Foundation/National Academy of Education Postdoctoral Fellow, and has received research grants from the National Science Foundation, the National Institute of Child Health and Development, the Kavli Institute for Mind and Brain, and the MIND Institute.